

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Water sorption in microfibrillated cellulose (MFC): The effect of temperature and pretreatment

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Water sorption in microfibrillated cellulose (MFC): The effect of temperature and pretreatment / Meriçer, Çağlar; Minelli, Matteo; Giacinti Baschetti, Marco; Lindström, Tom. - In: CARBOHYDRATE POLYMERS. - ISSN 0144-8617. - STAMPA. - 174:(2017), pp. S0144861717307890.1201-S0144861717307890.1212. [10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.07.023]

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/615471 since: 2018-01-15

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.07.023

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

(Article begins on next page)

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version. This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Çağlar Meriçer, Matteo Minelli, Marco Giacinti Baschetti, Tom Lindström, Water sorption in microfibrillated cellulose (MFC): The effect of temperature and pretreatment, Carbohydrate Polymers, Volume 174, 2017, Pages 1201-1212, ISSN 0144-8617

The final published version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.07.023

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	Water sorption in microfibrillated cellulose (MFC): The effect of temperature
2	and pretreatment
3 4	Çağlar Meriçer ^a , Matteo Minelli ^a , Marco Giacinti Baschetti ^{*, a}
5	T. Lindström ^b ,
6	^a Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, Chimica, Ambientale e dei Materiali (DICAM) -
7	Università degli studi di Bologna, via Terracini 28, 40131 - Bologna (Italy)
8	^b Innventia AB, Box 5604, SE-11486 Stockholm, Sweden
9	(To Be submitted to Carbohydrate Polymers)
10	
11	Keywords: Nanocellulose; Water sorption; Solubility isotherm; Sorption modeling
12	
13	Highlights:
14	• Water solubility is measured on different microfibrillated cellulose (MFC) films
15	• The effect of temperature on water sorption is investigated in the range 16-65 °C
16	• Water uptake results higher in carboxymethylated MFC than enzimatic MFC
17	
18	
19	
20	Corresponding author
21	Marco Giacinti B.
22	Tel. +39 (0) 51 2090408
23	Fax +39 (0) 51 2090247
24	marco.giacinti@unibo.it

25 Abstract

Water sorption behavior of two different microfibrillated cellulose (MFC) films, produced by delamination of cellulose pulp after different pretreatment methods, is examined at various temperatures (16 - 65 °C) and up to 70% RH. The effect of drying temperature of MFC films on the water uptake is also investigated.

The obtained solubility isotherms showed the typical downward curvature at moderate RH, while no upturn is observed at higher RH; the uptakes are in line with characteristic values for cellulose fibers. Enzymatically pretreated MFC dispersion showed lower solubility than carboxymethylated MFC, likely due to the different material structure, which results from the different preparation methods. The experimental results are analyzed by Park and GAB models, which proved suitable to describe the observed behaviors.

Interestingly, while no significant thermal effect is detected on water solubility above 35 °C, the uptake at 16 and 25 °C, at a given RH, is substantially lower than that at higher temperature, indicating that, in such range, sorption process is endothermic. Such unusual behavior for a cellulose-based system seems to be related mainly to the structural characteristics of MFC films, and to relaxation phenomena taking place upon water sorption.

The diffusion kinetics, indeed, showed a clear Fickian behavior at low temperature and
RH, whereas a secondary process seems to occur at high temperature and higher RH, leading
to anomalous diffusion behaviors.

- 44
- 45

46 **1. Introduction**

Recently, there has been a growing interest in bio-based materials due to their high level 47 of sustainability, biodegradability and recyclability. Petroleum-based products, still highly 48 utilized for many different applications, are indeed responsible for serious environmental issues 49 that push towards alternative and more environmental friendly solutions such as those offered 50 by bio-based materials (Johansson et al., 2012). Among many possible choices, cellulose, the 51 most abundant organic polymer on Earth, is a perfect candidate for such replacement, being 52 already widely used for various purposes, and, among the others, for packaging applications. 53 However, the most typical cellulose derivatives, namely paper and paperboard, lack many of 54 55 the properties required to replace oil-based plastics, such as water resistance, formability, and 56 gas and moisture barrier. For these reasons, relevant research efforts during the last decades have been devoted to the processing and the modification of cellulose to produce novel 57 derivatives with significantly improved performances with respect to conventional paper or 58 paperboard products and unaltered biodegradability. 59

In this concern, the development of nano-sized cellulosic materials, as microfibrillated
cellulose, MFC (also referred as nanofibrillated cellulose, NFC), nanowhiskers or nanocrystals,
disclosed new opportunities in the use of cellulose for packaging applications, due to their
peculiar features, including a remarkable gas and oil barrier properties (Berglund, 2005;
Dufresne, 1998; Azizi Samir, Alloin, & Dufresne, 2005; Kamel, 2007; Dufresne, 2008; Hubbe,
Rojas, Lucia, & Sain, 2008; Nogi, Iwamoto, Nakagaito, & Yano, 2009).

The characteristics and the structural behavior of the different types of nanocellulose may
vary due to the different production procedures and protocols. Microfibrillated cellulose,
(MFC) is obtained after the mechanical disintegration of the cellulosic fibers from plant cell
walls, as first explored by Turbak, Snyder, & Sandberg (1983) and Herrick, Casebier, Hamilton,
& Sandberg (1983). The delamination of the fibers, promoted by different types of pretreatment

on the raw pulp, is carried out in a high pressure homogenization process, which, due to high 71 72 shearing of wood pulp, leads to the formation of elementary fibrils and microfibrils having final width of less than 20 nm and length up to several micrometers (Plackett et al., 2010; Siró, 73 Plackett, Hedenqvist, Ankerfors, & Lindström, 2011; Svagan, Azizi Samir, & Berglund, 2007). 74 The MFC, produced as highly diluted water dispersion, can be processed to obtain thin 75 films with good stiffness and strength, due to high aspect ratios of the fibrils; MFC is also 76 suitable as reinforcement for the design of novel bionanocomposites with improved mechanical 77 behavior (Henriksson et al., 2008; Leitner et al., 2007; Nogi et al., 2009; Svagan et al., 2007; 78 Syverud & Stenius, 2009, Iwatake, Nogi, & Yano (2008), Zimmermann, Pöhler, & Geiger 79 80 (2004)). Furthermore, the large amount of hydroxyl groups onto the microfibrils surface provides available sites for chemical modifications and for functionalization of the cellulosic 81 materials for various applications (e.g. the hydrophobization) (Lu, Askeland, & Drzal, 2008; 82 Siqueira, Bras, & Dufresne, 2009; Stenstad, Andresen, Tanem, & Stenius, 2008, Andresen et 83 al. (2007)). 84

The large crystalline content of MFC (Aulin et al., 2009; Lu, Wang, & Drzal, 2008), and 85 its ability to form dense networks by strong interfibrillar hydrogen bonds, provides excellent 86 gas barrier properties to MFC films, suitable for nanocomposites and coating formulations for 87 88 barrier packaging applications (Fukuzumi et al., 2009; Syverud & Stenius, 2009). Syverud & Stenius (2009) measured the oxygen barrier properties of 21 µm-thick MFC films produced 89 from bleached spruce sulfite pulp at 23 °C and 0% RH, and reported a permeability of $1.9 \cdot 10^{-10}$ 90 ¹⁸ mol m/m² s Pa, comparable with well-known ultra-barrier oil-based materials such as 91 polyvinyl alcohol, PVOH ($1.0 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m/m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m/m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) or polyvinylidene chloride, PVdC ($7.9 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ mol m}^2 \text{ s Pa}$) 92 10⁻¹⁹ mol m/m² s Pa) (Lange & Wyser, 2003). Alternatively, Fukuzumi et al. (2009) prepared 93 an MFC (TEMPO oxidized softwood and hardwood pulps) thin coating, 0.4 µm, on plasma-94 treated PLA film, leading to a dramatic reduction of the oxygen transfer rate. Minelli et al. 95

(2010) characterized the barrier properties of the two MFC types investigated in this work and 96 obtained permeability values equal to $2.6 \cdot 10^{-19}$ and $6.3 \cdot 10^{-19}$ mol m/m² s Pa for enzymatically 97 pretreated MFC and carboxymethylated MFC, respectively. Plackett et al. (2010) coupled the 98 same MFC materials with amylopectin, obtaining a further decrease of the oxygen permeability 99 values for a 50/50 MFC/amylopectin composite films. MFC has been also used in combination 100 with different inorganic fillers aiming at the fabrication of nanocomposites with improved 101 102 barrier properties; among the others, Liu et al. (2011), prepared composite materials with 50/50 weight composition of MFC and clay, claiming an extraordinary low (5.0 \cdot 10⁻²¹ mol m/ m² s 103 Pa) oxygen permeability in dry conditions. 104

105 Despite the very promising results obtained in dry conditions, the large number of hydroxyl groups onto MFC fibrils surface causes a strong sensitivity of cellulosic materials to 106 moisture, with a consequent worsening of the material properties, including also the reduction 107 108 of the oxygen barrier ability under humid environments. Indeed, moisture uptake causes structural changes in cellulosic substrates, visible from the changes of appearance, and resulting 109 in remarkably altered materials properties (Siroka, Noisternig, Griesser, & Bechtold, 2008). 110 This drawback has been studied extensively, and the effect of humidity on the oxygen 111 112 permeability of MFC films has been quantitatively evaluated (Aulin, Gällstedt, & Lindström, 113 2010; Minelli et al., 2010; Österberg et al., 2013). Minelli et al. (2010) and Aulin et al. (2010) observed a two-step increase of the oxygen permeability in MFC films, with an initial rise of 114 two orders of magnitude, followed by a sort of plateau up to a water activity of about 60-70% 115 RH, corresponding to about 10-15 wt. % of water in the MFC matrix; a further increase is 116 finally observed above 80% of RH. Interestingly, Österberg et al. (2013) developed a simple 117 preparation method based on pressure filtration for MFC films and with improved resistance 118 toward moisture at intermediate water activities; however, the sharp increase of O₂ permeability 119 120 at very high RH still remains a challenge.

121 Clearly, the large water uptake by the cellulose nanofibers produces a significant swelling 122 of MFC film that leads to a large plasticization of the matrix, eventually enhancing the gas 123 permeability. However, in spite of a large number of experimental and modeling analyses, the 124 deep understanding of these mechanisms is still undisclosed (Belbekhouche et al., 2011; 125 Bessadok et al., 2009; Gouanvé et al., 2007; Minelli et al., 2010; Österberg et al., 2013).

Current view for cellulosic materials relies on the idea that water molecules are not simply 126 adsorbed onto the fibrils surface, but are also able to penetrate into the amorphous part of 127 cellulose structure, leading to larger water uptakes, exceeding the contribution given by the 128 specific surface area of the material (Zografi, Kontny, Yang, & Brenner, 1984). Previous studies 129 130 on cellulose powders investigated the influence of material properties such as crystallinity 131 fraction, surface area and pore volume on the interaction with moisture, and concluded that the moisture uptake is higher for materials with a lower crystallinity index (i.e. a larger amorphous 132 portion), higher pore volume and surface area (Kohler et al., 2003; Mihranyan et al., 2004; 133 Okubayashi et al., 2004). Belbekhouche et al. (2011) compared the water vapor sorption 134 behavior in cellulose whiskers and MFC produced from sisal, obtaining approximately the same 135 water uptake, as a direct consequence of the same amount of amorphous regions of the two 136 systems, although different morphologies were observed. Related studies claimed that the water 137 138 diffuses first towards the amorphous regions, and the external sites of the fibrils, which are more accessible and available for water molecules, whereas the sites at the inner surface and 139 onto the crystallites in are involved only when the matrix has been significantly swollen 140 141 (Belbekhouche et al., 2011; Okubayashi et al., 2004).

In spite of the large amount of work carried out in the water sorption characterization in cellulosic materials, and particularly in MFC, to authors' best knowledge, very limited experimental data are available on the effect of temperature on water solubility. Indeed, only Bedane et al. (2015) investigated water solubility in nanofibrillated cellulose at various

temperatures, limiting however their analysis to the range 5 to 35 °C. Nevertheless, this peculiar 146 aspect has a significant relevance for practical purposes, being the removal of water from MFC 147 suspensions one of the most critical aspects in the production of nanocellulose based coatings 148 or films. For this reason, in the present study, the water vapor sorption of two types of MFC is 149 presented with the focus on the effect of temperature, and experiments have been carried out 150 spanning over a rather broad range of temperature (16-65 °C) and relative humidity (0-70%). 151 152 The effect of the drying protocol on the MFC samples on the resulting water solubility is also investigated. The comparison of the moisture uptake is linked to their structural difference and 153 temperature dependence of the solubility modeling parameters is briefly discussed. Further 154 155 information on the sorption kinetics and the related modeling analysis will be presented in a 156 future article, devoted to the description of the kinetic analysis of water transport in cellulosic materials. 157

158

159 **2. Experimental**

160 *2.1. Materials*

The films investigated in this work have been prepared from aqueous dispersions of MFC 161 (2 wt. %), produced and kindly provided by Innventia AB (Stockholm, Sweden). Two different 162 163 materials have been investigated, often labeled as MFC generation 1 (MFC G1) and MFC generation 2 (MFC G2), characterized by different pretreatment procedures carried out on the 164 cellulose pulp prior the delamination in the high pressure homogenization step. The detailed 165 166 procedure for their production as well as the physical and morphological characterizations are reported in previous works (Pääkkö et al., 2007; Wågberg et al., 2008), only a brief description 167 is here included, for the sake of clarity. 168

Commercial never dried sulfite softwood dissolving pulp (Domsjö Dissolving Plus,
Domsjö Fabriker AB, Sweden) has been used for the production of both MFC G1 and MFC G2

dispersions. Prior to the high-pressure homogenization step, 3-5 passes in two differently sized 171 172 fluidizers in series (Pääkko et al., 2007), the cellulose pulp has been first subjected to a combined refining and enzymatic pre-treatment, resulting in MFC G1 microfibrils with 173 diameters of ~17-30 nm and charge density of ~40 µequiv/g (Fukuzumi et al., 2009). 174 Conversely, the production of MFC G2 has been carried out by the carboxymethylation of the 175 cellulose pulp, followed by a high-pressure homogenization step at 1650 bar, passing one time 176 through the fluidizer with two different chambers in series. Such process allowed to obtain 177 microfibrils with smaller diameters, in the range of 5-15 nm, and higher surface charge, ~586 178 µequiv/g (Wågberg et al., 2008). 179

180 Pure MFC G1 and MFC G2 films have been prepared by solution casting of the MFC water dispersions, following the procedure already described in previous studies (Minelli et al., 181 2010; Plackett et al., 2010). The MFC dispersions have been first diluted by deionized water in 182 order to prepare a suspension that could be easily poured (1% of solid content for MFC G1, and 183 0.7% for MFC G2), vigorously stirred for about 3 h, and then poured into a glass Petri dish. 184 The films have been obtained after the water evaporation in a clean hood at ambient conditions. 185 The films thickness has been measured by a Mitutoyo micrometer (Mitutoyo Scandinavia AB, 186 Väsby, Sweden) in 10 different spots, resulting in average values ranging from 18 to 25 µm 187 with an absolute error of $\pm 1 \mu m$ for both materials. 188

Ultra-pure, double distilled water (Carlo Erba, conductivity lower than 0.01 µS/cm), has
been used as penetrant during all the experiments.

191

192 *2.2. Moisture Sorption*

193 The experimental device used for water sorption tests is a classical pressure decay 194 apparatus (Fig. 1), already described elsewhere (Minelli, De Angelis, Doghieri, Rocchetti, & 195 Montenero, 2010), in which the penetrant uptake is evaluated by a manometric measure in a 196 closed cell, whose volume has been conveniently calibrated. The apparatus has two identical197 branches in order to be able to test two different samples at the same time.

The specimens are first placed in the sample cell, and then conditioned under vacuum for 198 at least 24 h, in order to remove absorbed atmospheric moisture, at the desired test temperature. 199 In this case, at the lowest temperatures inspected (below 35 °C), preliminary experiments 200 revealed the presence of residual water, so that the samples have been also dried at higher T 201 202 values, in order to ensure complete water removal, as it will better explained in the results section. After the pretreatment, the pre-chamber is loaded by water vapor at a certain activity, 203 and when the pressure reached a stable value, the sorption experiment started by opening the 204 205 valve between the pre-chamber and sample chamber (V05 and V07 in Fig. 1). After a sudden pressure drop due to volume expansion in the very first few seconds, an asymptotic decrease of 206 pressure, due to the sorption in the MFC film is observed, and measured, in order to obtain 207 208 information on the sorption process.



209 210

Figure 1. Layout of the pressure decay apparatus.

The procedure is applied by increasing stepwise the pressure, after the final equilibrium 212 of the previous step is reached, in order to explore a wide range of penetrant activity and to 213 214 determine the solubility isotherm at the given experimental temperature. The amount of water absorbed in the MFC films is then calculated from the pressure decrease by means of a suitable 215 equation of state (e.g. ideal gas law, as in the present case), being the chamber volume 216 accurately known. The water uptake at the *i*th step, expressed as mass ratio Ω_w^i , i.e mass of 217 water per the mass of MFC $m_{\rm MFC}$, is calculated by Eq. (1) below, in which p_0^i and p_{∞}^i are the 218 initial and final water vapor pressures respectively, V is the sample chamber volume and M_w is 219 the penetrant molar mass: 220

221
$$\Omega_{w}^{i} = \Omega_{w}^{i-1} + \frac{\left(p_{0}^{i} - p_{\infty}^{i}\right) V M_{w}}{R T m_{MFC}}$$
(1)

Sorption kinetics can also be evaluated in the same tests by processing the experimentally measured mass uptake as a function of time through the use of Fick's law with the appropriate boundary conditions. Eq. (2) below, proposed by Crank (1956), provides the relative water uptake in the i^{th} sorption step as a function of time:

226
$$\frac{\Omega_w^i(t) - \Omega_w^{i-1}}{\Omega_w^i - \Omega_w^{i-1}} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha \left(1 + \alpha\right)}{1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 q_n^2} e^{-D q_n^2 t/l^2}$$
(2)

in which Ω_w^{i-1} and Ω_w^i are the initial and final penetrant mass ratio of the *i*th sorption step, q_n are the positive solutions of the equation $\tan(q_n) = -\alpha q_n$, being α the dimensional length obtained by the ratio A/(Kl) between sample area (A), its thickness (l), and the water partition coefficient between the membrane and the vapor phase (K). The Fickian diffusion coefficient (D), assumed constant during each experimental sorption step, is the only unknown in Eq. (2), and it can be obtained by the best fit of the experimental kinetic data.

Sorption experiments have been carried out at 16, 25, 35, 45, 55 and 65 °C, for both MFC G1 and MFC G2, and in water activity range spanning from 0 to 70% RH. Higher relative humidity could not be investigated due to intrinsic limitations of the experimental technique employed.

237

238 3. Modeling background

The solubility of water in cellulose-based materials has been analyzed by a variety of suitable approaches, and different models have been reported as effective in representing the solubility and swelling isotherms, and able to account for significant interactions between water molecules and the cellulosic matrix.

Similar to most of the hydrophilic materials, the water sorption in MFC typically shows 243 a type II isotherm according to the IUPAC classification (Rouquerou et al., 1994), characteristic 244 for non-porous or microporous materials, with an initial downward curvature (Langmuir), and 245 an upturn at high activities usually associated to clustering or multilayer adsorption. Such 246 behavior is the result of different sorption processes occurring on external cellulose hydroxyl 247 groups, in the interfibrillar amorphous regions, and onto micro-voids and crystallites. 248 Furthermore, water molecules can also be directly adsorbed on the water molecules already 249 bound to the fiber (Morton & Hearle, 1993). According to Kohler et al. (2003), water molecules 250 have easier access into the areas between the fibrils and bundles compared to the free volume 251 252 inside the fibrils.

Three different approaches are mainly considered to describe water solubility in nanocellulose (and in cellulosic materials in general), as reviewed by Belbekhouche et al. (2011):

i) physical adsorption of water as a single layer on the surface of crystalline domains,modeled through a Langmuir type isotherm;

ii) physical multilayer sorption, in which water can be adsorbed directly on crystal sites
or on water molecules already adsorbed, as described by specific models, such as the BET
theory (Brunauer, Emmett, & Teller, 1938), or the GAB model (Guggenheim, 1966);

261 iii) empirical or semi-empirical models, developed for an accurate fitting of the
262 experimental behavior, but in lack of any physical meaning (see e.g. Ferro-Fontan, Chirife,
263 Sancho & Iglesias, 1982; Henderson, 1952; Smith, 1947; Oswin, 1946; Peleg, 1993; Halsey,
264 1948; Al-Muhtaseb, McMinn, & Magee, 2004; Belbekhouche et al., 2011).

Water sorption in cellulosic materials is often well described also by Park model (Park, 1986), even at high R.H., considering different contributions to water sorption, and allowing for both physical adsorption and water dissolution in the cellulosic matrix. GAB and Park models are widely employed to describe the water solubility in cellulose based materials (Alix et al., 2009; Bessadok et al., 2007; Gouanvé et al., 2006, 2007), and also in nanosized cellulose (Belbekhouche et al., 2011; Minelli et al., 2010), due to their ability to describe well the experimental behaviors and for the physical meaning of the model parameters. Hence, the GAB and Park are considered for the description of water solubility isotherms obtained in this work, aiming at a more thorough comprehension of the process. A brief description of the two models is given in the following sections.

275

276 *3.1. Park Model*

277 The Park model (1986) is based on the dual mode sorption idea, in which a Langmuirtype adsorption (A_L : Langmuir capacity constant, b_L : Langmuir affinity constant) is combined 278 with an ordinary dissolution (absorption) described by Henry's law ($K_{\rm H}$: Henry's solubility 279 280 coefficient) (Michaels, Vieth, & Barrie, 1963; Vieth & Sladek, 1965). A third contribution is then introduced to account for water clustering, involving multiple (n) self-associated water 281 molecules inside the matrix, occurring mainly at high water activity (usually above 70-80% 282 R.H.). This term in particular is described by two further parameter, K_a , a sort of equilibrium 283 constant for the clustering mechanism, and the number of molecules forming the cluster, n. 284

The total water uptake in the cellulose based materials (expressed as mass fraction, $\Omega_{\rm W}$) is the sum of the different contributions and includes 5 model parameters.

287
$$\Omega_{w}^{Park} = \Omega_{w}^{L} + \Omega_{w}^{H} + \Omega_{w}^{c} = \frac{A_{L} \ b_{L} \ a_{w}}{1 + b_{L} \ a_{w}} + K_{H} \ a_{w} + K_{a} \ n \ a_{w}^{n}$$
(3)

As the very high RH values were not explored in this work, the clustering term is not considered, and Eq. (3) becomes the traditional dual mode sorption equation, in which the slope of the linear part of the solubility isotherm gives Henry's coefficient $K_{\rm H}$, whereas $A_{\rm L}$ and $b_{\rm L}$ are determined from the intercept after linearization of the relevant terms.

The Guggenheim-Anderson-de Boer (GAB) model (Guggenheim, 1966) describes the water 293 uptake as the adsorption of the penetrant molecules layer by layer on the available sites in the 294 cellulose material. It has been derived considering that the active sorption sites are identical, 295 and subsequent layers are characterized by lower interaction energies values comprised 296 between those of the monolayer molecules and that of the bulk liquid. (Quirijns, Van Boxtel, 297 Van Loon, & Van Straten, 2005). Two main parameters are defined, namely the penetrant 298 adsorption capacity $C_{\rm m}$ in the solid adsorbed onto a monolayer and the constant $K_{\rm ads}$, referred 299 to the adsorption enthalpy difference between multilayer water molecules and bulk liquid state 300 301 describing the degree of localized sorption. A third parameter, the Guggenheim constant $C_{\rm G}$, included in the Eq. (4), measures the strength of bound water to the primary binding sites; it 302 represents the ratio of the partition function of the first molecule sorbed on a site and the 303 partition function of molecules sorbed at the outer layers. The water uptake is then obtained as: 304

305
$$\Omega_{w}^{GAB} = \frac{C_{M} C_{G} K_{ads} a_{w}}{(1 - K_{ads} a_{w})(1 - K_{ads} a_{w} + C_{G} K_{ads} a_{w})}$$
(4)

306

307 4. Results and discussion

308 Differential water sorption experiments have been carried out on two different MFC 309 materials in the temperature range from 16 to 65 °C, and up to approximately 0.70 of water 310 activity, allowing the determination of the water solubility isotherms and the evaluation of the 311 kinetic characteristics.

312

313 *4.1. Steady state solubility*

The results obtained from sorption experiments at different temperatures are reported in Fig. 2 (MFC G1) and Fig. 3 (MFC G2), which illustrate, at all temperatures investigated, the typical solubility behavior observed for water vapor in cellulosic materials (Belbekhouche et

al., 2011), with a clear downward curvature in the low activity range, and a linear trend at 317 intermediate R.H. values. Interestingly, no upturn of the solubility isotherms has been observed, 318 as the limit of very high activities (i.e. above 0.80) has not been explored in the present study. 319 Water solubility at all temperatures is reported in term of water to MFC mass ratio Ω_w as 320 function of water activity (that is the ratio between water pressure in the vapor phase and water 321 vapor pressure, $p/p^*(T)$). Fig. 4 compares the solubility isotherms at 35 °C obtained in this 322 work, with analogous data available in the open literature for other types of nanocellulose 323 materials. 324



Figure 2. Water solubility isotherms in MFC G1: experimental data and model curves (dotted lines:
Park model; and dashed lines GAB model).



Figure 3. Water solubility isotherms in MFC G2: experimental data and model curves (dotted lines: Park model; and dashed lines GAB model).



Figure 4. Comparison of water solubility isotherms in nanocellulose with literature data: (a) Minelli et al., 2010; (b) Aulin et al., 2010; (c) Belbekhouche et al., 2011; (d) Bedane et al., 2015.

335

As can be seen from the figures, a maximum water uptake of 0.070 g/g_{MFC} has been 336 registered for MFC G1 at 70% R.H., while MFC G2 presented a more hydrophilic character, as 337 the penetrant uptake increased up to 0.085 g/g_{MFC} at the same activity. This feature, already 338 observed by Minelli et al. (2010), is related to the different material characteristics, as result of 339 340 preparation techniques described above. Indeed, the carboxymethylation pretreatment of the cellulose pulp (MFC G2) produced microfibrils with a larger surface charge, and a more 341 accessible interfibrillar region, leading thus to a more pronounced plasticization effect caused 342 by water, even at moderate activities, due to a significant swelling of the cellulose matrix. 343 Interestingly, Österberg et al. (2013) observed that a large number of carboxylic groups 344 promoted during pre-treatments, such as carboxymethylation or TEMPO-mediated oxidation, 345 produces final materials more sensitive towards water and moisture. Indeed, the water solubility 346 in TEMPO nanocellulose reported by Bedane et al. (2015) revealed quite large uptakes, 347 348 appreciably larger than those obtained in this work.

It also noteworthy that, the more compact and closely packed structure of MFC G1 contributes to lower the water solubility in MFC G1, as also indicated by lower gas permeability observed for this material with respect to MFC G2 (Minelli et al., 2010).

Similarly, as one can see in Fig. 4, the enzymatically pretreated and carboxymethylated MFC showed lower water uptake compared to MFC produced from sisal pulp in the study of Belbekhouche et al. (2011) at 25 °C, with differences that may be ascribed to the different cellulose source and the preparation procedure. Indeed, the pretreatment of the sisal pulp involved several cycles in the homogenizer, resulting in comparably higher fibril diameters (\approx 50 nm) for sisal MFC, although its crystalline content (75%) is slightly higher than those reported for the materials in this study (63 ± 9%; Aulin et al., 2009). On the other hand, the method used to fabricate the MFC films and the drying procedure are also relevant in the packing of the fibril structure, determining thus different sorption and permeation properties due to hydrogen bonding, fiber dimensions and void structures (Lavoine, Desloges, Dufresne, & Bras, 2012).

Aulin et al. (2010) also analyzed the water solubility of MFC films obtained using the 363 same source of pulp, which has been carboxymethylated and then homogenized 10 times to 364 produce the final MFC. The resulting material showed the highest water uptake at 30 °C, 365 compared to the others, suggesting that a higher number of homogenization cycles may produce 366 a more homogeneous fibrillated structure and nanofibrils with a larger specific surface area 367 368 compared to, MFC G2, analyzed in this study, which was produced after one pass only in the 369 fluidizer (Wågberg et al., 2008). Minelli et al. (2010) reported a substantially lower water solubility in MFC G1 with respect to the one obtained here, while data for MGC G2 are very 370 similar. That is related to the different experimental procedure followed in this work, in which 371 the MFC G1 is dried at 45 °C prior the sorption experiment, and not at the operative temperature 372 (35° C) as in the previous study. Such value, indeed, was not sufficient to remove completely 373 the atmospheric moisture from the sample, as will be better discussed in the following section, 374 375 leading to an apparent lower water uptake.

376

377 *4.2. Influence of temperature*

The analysis of temperature effect on water solubility in MFC films requires to address two aspects. First, in view of strong hydrophilic character of the cellulosic material, the complete removal of the residual water from the MFC sample has to be ensured, prior to any solubility measurement. In this respect, an increase of conditioning temperatures resulted more effective in drying the samples, and ensuring a correct solubility evaluation (De Angelis et al., 2006). Second, the effect of temperature on the penetrant solubility is ascribed to a nonnegligible heat of mixing or to structural relaxation phenomena, which can be revealed by thedata analysis.

In order to address the first feature, ad hoc measurements have been carried out 386 preconditioning the MFC samples following different protocols, i.e. drying them at different 387 temperatures (higher than the test temperature), and then running sorption experiments at 388 constant temperature. Interestingly, the resulting sorption behaviors, illustrated in Fig. 5 (MFC 389 390 G1) and 6 (MFC G2), showed a significant increase of water uptake as the drying temperature is raised, due to a non-negligible effect of the drying temperature on the different types of MFC. 391 Furthermore, above 35 °C for MFC G1 (or 25 °C for MFC G2), no further appreciable 392 393 difference is observed, suggesting that such temperature is sufficient to obtain repeatable results and ensure the complete removal of the residual atmospheric moisture. As expected, a more 394 intensive drying protocol is able to remove more water, and the resulting solubility is enhanced; 395 396 the comparison of the two solubility isotherms obtained at 35 °C with different conditioning protocols (Fig. 5) seems to support this analysis, as the final slopes at high R.H. of the two sets 397 of data are very similar, although the absolute solubility values appear quite different. In this 398 concern, the data from Minelli et al. (2010) are also reported in Fig. 5 to show that once the 399 400 same pretreatment is accounted for, only minor discrepancies are observed, related to the 401 experimental error.



403
404 Figure 5. The effect of drying temperature on solubility isotherms for MFC G1 (^a Minelli et al., 2010; dashed lines are drawn to guide the eye).
406



Figure 6. The effect of drying temperature on solubility isotherms for MFC G2 (dashed lines are drawn to guide the eye).

410

Once the residual water has been conveniently eliminated by appropriate thermal drying 411 pretreatment, as it was carried out for the data reported in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 previously discussed, 412 a certain discrepancy is still appreciable at the lower temperatures, whereas at higher values the 413 curves are practically superimposed. In particular, as one can see in Fig. 2 and 3, the solubility 414 415 isotherms at 16 and 25 °C in both MFC G1 and MFC G2 lie appreciably below those at higher temperatures, and the water uptake increases at increasing T. The obtained behavior is quite 416 surprising, as penetrant solubility in polymers typically decreases at increasing temperature, 417 due to the reduction of the binding energy and to the increase in kinetic energy, which enhances 418 the distance among molecules and make less favorable the interaction between the penetrant 419 and the adsorption sites (Quirijns et al., 2005). More specifically, plenty of experimental studies 420 421 pointed out a decreasing function of water solubility with temperature in many cellulose or cellulose derived materials (see e.g. Jeffries, 1960; Velázquez de la Cruz et al. 2001), and even 422 the raw cellulose pulp used for MFC production of the samples investigated in this work, 423 presented water uptake reduction up to 30% when going from 25 to 50°C. 424

Hence, the observed behavior in MFC films, already mentioned by Bedani et al. (2015), has to be related to the peculiar structure of MFC films, and it is likely produced by structural changes occurring during water sorption, which provide extra available sites after relaxation (swelling) of the cellulosic matrix.

That temperature effect can be quantitatively analyzed by calculating the molar enthalpy of mixing, $\Delta \tilde{H}_s$, from the experimental data, by considering an Arrhenius type correlation between logarithm of water solubility with the inverse of absolute. The enthalpy of mixing can be then obtained from solubility data by means of the following relationship:

433
$$\Delta \tilde{H}_{s}(\Omega_{w}) = R \left(\frac{\partial \ln(p/p^{*})}{\partial (1/T)} \right)_{\Omega_{w}}$$
(5)

in which the derivative of water activity is taken considering data at different temperaturesand water activities, but at constant water uptake.

As already pointed out above, the water sorption in nanocellulose systems is often described either as a physical adsorption process onto the fibers or fibrils, or as a penetrant dissolution in the amorphous regions of the material, or their combination. Interestingly, the same equation (Eq. 5) may account for the temperature dependence of water solubility for both mechanisms, with obvious differences in the meaning of the enthalpy change involved (enthalpy of mixing due to non-ideal mixing in the first case, heat of adsorption in the second one).

Indeed, the experimental solubility data obtained in this work follow the trend in Eq. 5 in 443 the temperature range of 16 - 35 °C, and at water activities above 10% (corresponding to a 444 water uptake of about 2 wt. % in both materials), at which the regression coefficient R^2 of water 445 activity with the inverse of temperature at constant concentration is always higher than 0.9; the 446 resulting values of $\Delta \tilde{H}_s$ in MFC G1 and MFC G2 are then reported in Table 1. Conversely, at 447 lower R.H. no satisfactory analysis could be carried out, as the solubility data at different 448 temperatures are too similar, in the order of the experimental error, and no reliable estimation 449 of this quantity is possible. As one can see in Table 1, the enthalpy of mixing is a positive 450 quantity, accounting for increased solubility at higher temperatures, as illustrated in Fig. 2 and 451 3; furthermore, it is an increasing function of water concentration, going from a value of 5.6 to 452 453 28.9 kJ/mol and from 17.7 to 27.5 kJ/mol, in MFG G1 and G2, respectively, when the water content increased from 0.020 to 0.045 g/g_{MFC} . The endothermic character of the sorption 454 process is probably related to a significant relaxation of the cellulose nanofibrils, which 455 456 produces an appreciable swelling of the matrix. In polymeric materials, the volume dilation

upon sorption is indeed a process that requires energy, as shown for example by Giacinti et al.
(2005), even if its effect is usually covered by the exothermic character of the overall mixing
process.

460 It is noteworthy that lower $\Delta \tilde{H}_s$ values are obtained for MFC G1 than for MFC G2 at the 461 lowest RH considered for regression, but increasing the water content, the two materials behave 462 similarly, approaching the same value. In the high activity range, indeed, water-water 463 interactions, similar in MFC G1 and G2, become more frequent inside the matrix, thus reducing 464 the differences between the water sorption mechanisms in the two materials.

Hence, the data suggest that after monolayer adsorption is completed the two materials 465 request different amount of energy to relax and accommodate further incoming water 466 467 molecules, which is higher for MGC G2, due to its higher surface charge with respect to MFC G1. Such differences are mainly relevant at low temperature and RH, when fiber are still closely 468 packed and thermal energy is not sufficient to disrupt such interactions, and tend to vanish at 469 470 higher water content, because in the swollen matrix short range interactions among fiber are not relevant anymore, as well as at higher temperatures (i.e. above 35°C), at which thermal 471 vibration promotes such interactions making easier for water to enter the matrix. In this concern, 472 it is worthwhile to note that the effect of the drying temperature previously discussed seems to 473 be consistent with such analysis, as bound water is released at 35 °C, suggesting that such 474 475 temperature is high enough to disrupt H-bonding on the surface of the fibers.

476

477

Table 1. Sorption enthalpies calculated for MFC G1 and MFC G2 between 16-35 °C.

	MFC G1		MFC G2	MFC G2		
$\Omega_{_W}(g/g_{\mathrm{MFC}})$	$\Delta \tilde{H}_{s}$ (kJ/mol)	\mathbb{R}^2	$\Delta \tilde{H}_s$ (kJ/mol)	\mathbb{R}^2		
0.020	5.64	0.995	17.74	0.957		
0.030	12.09	1.000	19.48	0.900		
0.040	21.46	0.954	25.84	0.998		
0.045	27.43	0.999	26.10	0.999		

As above mentioned, the obtained experimental data are analyzed by means of the Park 480 and GAB models, suitable to the description of water solubility isotherms in these nanosized 481 cellulosic materials. The characteristic model parameters are retrieved from the best fit of the 482 solubility data, and the resulting values are summarized in Table 2, whereas Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 483 report the comparison between experiments and model calculations. A sole set of parameters, 484 for each of the two models, is considered at 35 °C and higher temperatures, at which the sorption 485 isotherms practically overlap. In all cases, both models can provide a very accurate 486 representation of the water solubility in MFC systems (mean relative deviation well below 10%) 487 488 with the same number of adjustable parameters (3), as the clustering contribution of the Park model has been neglected. Interestingly, the behavior of the two model is slightly different at 489 16 °C and 25 °C, while the two curves are practically coincident at above these temperatures. 490

491

		Park			GAB		Ref.	
	T (°C)	K _H	A_L	b_L	Kads	C_m	C_{G}	
	16	0.037	0.024	24	0.240	0.044	31.8	
MFC G1	25	0.049	0.023	24	0.430	0.043	21.0	This work
	35-65	0.072	0.021	23	0.705	0.041	12.0	
	16	0.053	0.019	19	0.160	0.064	19.9	
MFC G2	25	0.068	0.018	19	0.319	0.063	11.6	This work
	35-65	0.104	0.017	20	0.615	0.059	8.0	
MFC G1	35	0.048	0.020	12	0.210	0.058	16.0	Mincilli at $a1 - 2010^*$
MFC G2	35	0.090	0.021	21	0.510	0.062	10.0	Minelli et al., 2010
MFC	30	0.143	0.020	21	0.840	0.060	8.0	Aulin et al., 2010 *
MFC	25	0.115	0.021	22	0.720	0.060	8.0	Belbekhouche et al., 2011 *
flax	25	0.116	0.012	50	0.794	0.049	0.5	A live at $a1 - 2000$
fibers	23	0.110	0.015	39	0.784	0.048	9.5	Alix et al., 2009
flax	25	0.114	0.021	17	0.802	0.026	50.6	Gouanyá at al 2006
fibers	23	0.114	0.021	4/	0.892	0.030	39.0	Gouarive et al., 2000
			1.0	0		1 (1	D 1	1

492 Table 2. Park and GAB model solubility model parameters.

493

^{*}Data are extracted from reference paper, and fit by Park and GAB models.

Park and GAB model parameters, $K_{\rm H}$, $A_{\rm L}$, $b_{\rm L}$ and $K_{\rm ads}$, $C_{\rm m}$, $C_{\rm G}$, obtained in the present 495 work are comparable to those presented in other studies on the water sorption in cellulosic 496 fibers, suggesting very similar meachanisms for the water uptake in both MFC films considered 497 before for solublity comparisons, whose model parameters are reported in Table 2. For example, 498 Alix et al. (2009) as well as Gouanvé et al. (2006) reported Park and GAB parameters for water 499 soprtion in flax fibers obtening values (included in Table 2) that are comparable with those here 500 obtained for MFC samples. Slight changes in these parameters are likely due to different fitting 501 procedures applied, and to different assumptions in the clustering term, neglected in this study 502 (Alix et al., 2009; Belbekhouche et al., 2011; Bessadok et al., 2007, 2009; Gouanvé et al., 2006). 503 504 Considering more attentively Park model regression, it can be noticed that the parameters 505 $A_{\rm L}$ and $b_{\rm L}$, characteristic of Langmuir adsorption mechanisms (mainly relevant at low water activity), are almost temperature independent for both MFC G1 and MFC G2, indicating no 506 507 significant changes in available sites for penetrating water molecules $(A_{\rm L})$, and in the affinity parameter, $b_{\rm L}$. No large differences are also observed among the two generations, as the 508 obtained values for A_L and b_L are only slightly higher in MFC G1 than in MFC G2, in the order 509 of the uncertainty arisen by the model parameter optimization procedure. Consequently, Park 510 511 model suggests that the amount of adsorbed water on the fibrils surface is very similar for both 512 MFC generations, and it is pratically unaffected by temperature changes. On the other hand, the Henry's solubility coefficient $(K_{\rm H})$ increased significantly with temperature for both MFC 513 generations as the temperature increased from 16 to 35 °C; and its value is always higher for 514 515 MFC G2 with respect to MFC G1, likely in view of the larger interfibrillar region accessible to penetrant molecules. Moreover, the carboxymethylation pretreatment of the cellulose pulp, 516 which typically produces more hydrophilic structures, is characterized by larger values of $K_{\rm H}$, 517 accounting for water desolved in the amorphous, interfibrillar regions of the material. 518

A similar analysis can be carried out on the GAB model parameters, also useful to 519 understand the water sorption mechanism in the two MFC types. The value of C_m , for example, 520 represents the amount of water molecules adsorbed in the monolayer, and, as also showed for 521 Langmuir parameter $A_{\rm L}$, it is practically temperature independent. On the other hand, the 522 analysis provided by the GAB model indicates a larger availability of sites for MFC G2, as Cm 523 is significantly larger then in MFC G1, as expected due to the larger surface charge of these 524 nanofibrils, although not well represented by the Park model, in which $A_{\rm L}$ parameter resulted 525 always slightly higher in MFC G1 rather than in MFC G2. The two models, therefore, seems to 526 suggest different weights of the monolayer adsorption in the two MFCs inspected, with GAB 527 528 results seeming more reliable on the base of material properties, although it is difficult to draw 529 a final conclusion about this point, due to the very slight difference shown by the experimental data in the low activity range. 530

Noticeably, Park and GAB models agree in the description of the temperature dependence 531 of water sorption. Similarly to K_H in Park model, C_G and K_{ads} are thermodynamic sound 532 parameters, and are significantly temperature dependent (by means of a Van't Hoff relation) 533 (Quirijns et al., 2005; Timmermann, 2003), as $C_{\rm G}$ defines the difference between the strength 534 of bound water in the monolayer with that in the succesive layer, whereas K_{ads} is the difference 535 536 in enthalpy between the multilayer and bulk liquid. Based on their physical meaning, $C_{\rm G}$ is expected to decrease with temperature, while K_{ads} should increase. Such behavior is indeed 537 observed for both materials, as Kads increase of about 70% for the two MFCs going from 16 to 538 35°C, while in the same temperature range $C_{\rm G}$ decreases of about 60%. In agreement with their 539 physical meaning, the observed variations are indeed of Van't Hoff type, and the resulting 540 correlation factor R^2 is in the order of 0.99. 541

542 Therefore, both Park and GAB models can represent very well the observed solubility543 isotherms, although based on completely different approaches, with the description of the

physical mechanisms of water sorption in the investigate systems, likely involving both multilayer adsoprtion and physical dissolution. Based on the obtained results, no final conclusion can be made about the most suitable model to describe the data, and a preference, if any, can be given to GAB just because it is potentially able to describe possible upturn of the isotherm without the addition of any further parameter.

549 *4.4. Diffusion kinetics*

The kinetics of water diffusion in MFC films has been also recorded, and an example of the transient water uptake as function of the square root of time is reported in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, for two generic water sorption steps in MFC G1 at 16 and 45 °C, at average relative humidity of 1%, 54% and 13%, 42%, respectively.





Figure 7. Mass uptake for MFC G1 at 16 °C, at low (0-2%) and high R.H. (49-58%).



558 559

Figure 8. Mass uptake for MFC G1 at 45 °C at low (9-16%) and high R.H. (37-46%).

28

At low temperature, in the whole range of the water activity investigated in this work, the 561 kinetics are well described by a simple Fickian model (Eq. 2), also included in Figures 7 and 8, 562 and the diffusion coefficients can be readily determined from best fit of the experimental data, 563 resulting e.g. in the values of $5.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$ cm²/s and $1.0 \cdot 10^{-9}$ cm²/s at 16 °C at the two activities 564 reported in Fig. 7, and $2.0 \cdot 10^{-10}$ cm²/s for 13% of average water activity at 45 °C (Fig. 8). 565 However, at 45 °C and above, at higher water activity, the sorption process shows a more 566 complex behavior, which cannot be simply described by a sole diffusion mechanism, as a two 567 stage non-Fickian kinetics is apparent. Only the curve at early times, covering roughly the initial 568 50-60% of the relative water uptake, is somewhat Fickian and characterized by a rather fast rate 569 of diffusion ($D = 5.0 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$). 570

These features have been found at all temperature values, with the only exceptions of 16 and 25 °C, at which no evident secondary process has been observed in the sorption kinetics, leading therefore to a lower water uptake, as previously discussed. At the lower temperatures, apparently, this second mechanism that provides an extra-sorption contribution, has not been activated. In this concern, also the present kinetics data are consistent with the qualitative description of temperature dependence of solubility provided above; the non-Fickian behavior observed at high temperatures, indeed, is likely to be ascribed to an increased ability of the material to rearrange itself and to swell, accommodating more water with respect to what happens at the lower temperatures.

Such secondary process is probably related to pure relaxational phenomena occurring on 580 the MFC matrix during sorption, as typically observed in glassy polymers with swelling 581 582 penetrants (Berens & Hopfenberg, 1978), or to complex mechanisms of diffusion in the multiphase material, which includes basically 3 distinct phases, crystallites, amorphous phase 583 and interfibrillar region, as illustrated by Belbekhouche et al. (2011), Bessadok et al. (2009) 584 585 and Gouanvé et al. (2007), who accounted for two different diffusion coefficients to describe water diffusion in the MFC or cellulose fibers systems. Several works in the literature, however, 586 make use of so-called Parallel Exponential Kinetics (PEK) kinetics, which assumes two not 587 specific, parallel and independent first order processes occurring simultaneously, to describe 588 589 water sorption kinetics (Belbekhouche et al., 2011; Kohler et al., 2003; Okubayashi et al., 2004). 590

591 The complete presentation of kinetic data and their detailed analysis however is outside 592 the scope of the present work and will be presented in a future work.

593

594 **5.** Conclusion

The water vapor sorption behavior has been investigated in two types of microfibrillated cellulose (MFC) films, MFC G1 and G2, in wide ranges of temperature (16-65 °C), and water activity (0-0.70). 598 Depending on the pre-treatment procedure of the pristine pulp, the resulting films showed 599 different properties, which played a significant role in the sorption experiments. Experimental 600 analysis showed larger water solubilities in MFC G2 (carboxymethylation pretreatment) with 601 respect to MFC G1 (enzymatic pretreatment), due to the higher surface charge and higher 602 hydrophilic character of the microfibrils.

Overall, water solubility values measured for the two MFC materials were in line with those available in the open literature, and the typical behavior of the sorption isotherm in cellulosic materials was observed, with an initial downward curvature followed by a linear trend. The obtained solubility were modeled by two appropriate approaches, commonly applied to this aim for cellulosic materials, the Park and GAB model, that both proved to be able to consistently describe the observed experimental behavior.

Interestingly, the tests pointed out that the MFC samples need to be carefully evacuated 609 from atmospheric moisture by conditioning treatment under vacuum, at temperatures higher 610 than 35 °C, as only above this threshold, the procedure is effective in complete water removal. 611 No temperature effect was observed on the solubility above 35 °C, while an appreciably lower 612 uptake was obtained at 16 and 25 °C, resulting in an endothermic sorption process at 613 temperature below 35 °C. Mixing enthalpies in the range of 5.6-27.5 kJ/mol and 17.7-26.1 614 615 kJ/mol were obtained for MFC G1 and MFC G2, respectively. Such unexpected result, likely related to the structural features of MFC films, points out once again the peculiar properties of 616 nanocellulose with respect to other cellulose-based materials. 617

The analysis of the sorption kinetics revealed that the sorption process is substantially diffusive and well described by Fick's at lower activities and at lower temperatures. When the temperature is raised and the water content in the MFC film increases, a secondary process is also observed at longer times. This dual behavior is associated to the diffusion in a complex

622	multiphase medium and to some kind of structural relaxation of interfibrillar bonds occurring
623	in the matrix at large water uptakes.
624	
625	Acknowledgements
626	
627	The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support provided through the EU Seventh
628	Framework NEWGENPAK project and COST Action FP 1003 BioMatPack for the research
629	reported in this paper.
630	

631 **References**

632	Alix, S., Philippe, E., Bessadok, A., Lebrun, L., Morvan, C., & Marais, S. (2009). Effect of
633	chemical treatments on water sorption and mechanical properties of flax fibres.
634	<i>Bioresource Technology</i> , 100, 4742–4749. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.04.067
635	Al-Muhtaseb, A. H., McMinn, W. A. M., & Magee, T. R. A. (2004). Water sorption
636	isotherms of starch powders: Part 1: Mathematical description of experimental data.
637	<i>Journal of Food Engineering</i> , 61, 297–307. doi:10.1016/S0260-8774(03)00133-X
638	Andresen, M., Stenstad, P., Møretrø, T., Langsrud, S., Syverud, K., Johansson, L. S., &
639	Stenius, P. (2007). Nonleaching antimicrobial films prepared from surface-modified
640	microfibrillated cellulose. <i>Biomacromolecules</i> , 8, 2149–2155. doi:10.1021/bm070304e
641	Aulin, C., Ahola, S., Josefsson, P., Nishino, T., Hirose, Y., Osterberg, M., & Wågberg, L.
642	(2009). Nanoscale cellulose films with different crystallinities and mesostructurestheir
643	surface properties and interaction with water. <i>Langmuir : The ACS Journal of Surfaces</i>
644	and Colloids, 25(13), 7675–7685. doi:10.1021/la900323n
645	Aulin, C., Gällstedt, M., & Lindström, T. (2010). Oxygen and oil barrier properties of
646	microfibrillated cellulose films and coatings. <i>Cellulose</i> , 17(3), 559–574.
647	doi:10.1007/s10570-009-9393-y
648	Azizi Samir, M. A. S., Alloin, F., & Dufresne, A. (2005). Review of recent research into
649	cellulosic whiskers, their properties and their application in nanocomposite field.
650	<i>Biomacromolecules</i> , 6(2), 612–26. doi:10.1021/bm0493685
651	Bedane, A. H., Eić, M., Farmahini-Farahani, M., Xiao H. (2015). Water vapor transport
652	properties of regenerated cellulose and nanofibrillated cellulose films. <i>J. Membr. Sci.</i>
653	493 (2015) 46–57.
654	Belbekhouche, S., Bras, J., Siqueira, G., Chappey, C., Lebrun, L., Khelifi, B., Dufresne, A.
655	(2011). Water sorption behavior and gas barrier properties of cellulose whiskers and
656	microfibrils films. <i>Carbohydrate Polymers</i> , <i>83</i> , 1740–1748.
657	doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.10.036
658 659 660	 Berens, A, & Hopfenberg, H (1978). Diffusion and relaxation in glassy polymer powders: 2. Separation of diffusion and relaxation parameters. <i>Polymer</i>. doi:10.1016/0032-3861(78)90269-0
661	Berglund, L., (2005). Cellulose-based nanocomposites, in Natural Fibres, Biopolymers and
662	Biocomposites, ed. A. K. Mohanty, M. Misra and L. T. Drzal, Taylor & Francis, Boca
663	Raton, pp. 807–832.
664	Bessadok, A., Langevin, D., Gouanvé, F., Chappey, C., Roudesli, S., & Marais, S. (2009).
665	Study of water sorption on modified Agave fibres. <i>Carbohydrate Polymers</i> , 76, 74–85.
666	doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.09.033

- Bessadok, A., Marais, S., Gouanvé, F., Colasse, L., Zimmerlin, I., Roudesli, S., & Métayer,
 M. (2007). Effect of chemical treatments of Alfa (Stipa tenacissima) fibres on watersorption properties. *Composites Science and Technology*, 67, 685–697.
 doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2006.04.013
- Brunauer, S., Emmett, P. H., & Teller, E. (1938). Gases i n Multimolecular Layers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *60*, 309–319. doi:10.1021/ja01269a023
- 673 Crank, J., (1956). The Mathematics of Diffusion, *Clarendon Press*, Oxford.
- 674 De Angelis, M. G., Lodge, S., Giacinti Baschetti, M., Sarti, G. C., Doghieri, F., Sanguineti,
 675 A., & Fossati, P. (2006). Water sorption and diffusion in a short-side-chain
 676 perfluorosulfonic acid ionomer membrane for PEMFCS: effect of temperature and pre677 treatment. *Desalination*, 193, 398–404. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2005.06.070
- Dufresne, A., Cavaillé, J. Y., (1998). Clustering and percolation effect in microcrystalline
 starch reinforced thermoplastic. J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys., 36, 2211–2224.
- Dufresne, A. (2008). Polysaccharide nano crystal reinforced nanocomposites. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*. doi:10.1139/v07-152
- Ferro-Fontan, C., Chirife, J., Sancho, E. Iglesias, H.A. (1982). Analysis of a model for
 sorption phenomena in foods. J. Food Sci., 47, 1590-1595.
- Fukuzumi, H., Saito, T., Iwata, T., Kumamoto, Y., & Isogai, A. (2009). Transparent and high
 gas barrier films of cellulose nanofibers prepared by TEMPO-mediated oxidation. *Biomacromolecules*, 10, 162–165. doi:10.1021/bm801065u
- Giacinti Baschetti, M., Ghisellini, M., Quinzi, M., Doghieri, F., Stagnaro, P., Costa, G., &
 Sarti, G. C. (2005). Effects on sorption and diffusion in PTMSP and TMSP / TMSE
 copolymers of free volume changes due to polymer ageing. *Journal of Molecular Structure*, 739, 75–86. doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2004.08.027
- Gouanvé, F., Marais, S., Bessadok, A., Langevin, D., & Métayer, M. (2007). Kinetics of
 water sorption in flax and PET fibers. *European Polymer Journal*, 43, 586–598.
 doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2006.10.023
- Gouanvé, F., Marais, S., Bessadok, A., Langevin, D., Morvan, C., & Métayer, M. (2006).
 Study of water sorption in modified flax fibers. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 101, 4281–4289. doi:10.1002/app.23661
- Guggenheim, E. A. (1966). *Application of statistical mechanics*. Oxford: UK: Clarendon
 Press.
- Halsey, G. (1948). Physical adsorption on non-uniform surfaces. J. Chem. Phys. 16, 931-937.
- Henderson, S.M. (1952). A basic concept of equilibrium moisture. Agr. Eng. 33, 29-32.

- Henriksson, M., Berglund, L. A., Isaksson, P., Lindström, T., & Nishino, T. (2008). Cellulose
 nanopaper structures of high toughness. *Biomacromolecules*, *9*, 1579–1585.
 doi:10.1021/bm800038n
- Herrick, F. W., Casebier, R. L., Hamilton, K. J., & Sandberg, K. R. (1983). Microfibrillated
 Cellulose: Morphology and Accessibility. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science: Applied Polymer Symposium*, 37, 797–813.
- Hubbe, M. A., Rojas, O. J., Lucia, L. A., & Sain, M. (2008). CELLULOSIC
 NANOCOMPOSITES: A REVIEW. *BioResources*. Retrieved from
 http://ojs.cnr.ncsu.edu/index.php/BioRes/article/view/BioRes_03_3_0929_Hubbe_RLS_
 Cellulosic Nanocomposites Rev
- 711 Iwatake, A., Nogi, M., & Yano, H. (2008). Cellulose nanofiber-reinforced polylactic acid.
 712 *Composites Science and Technology*, 68, 2103–2106.
 713 doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2008.03.006
- R. Jeffries. (1960). The sorption of water by cellulose and eight other textile polymers. J.
 Textile Inst. Trans. 51, 339-374.
- Johansson, C., Bras, J., Mondragon, I., Nechita, P., Plackett, D., Šimon, P., ... Aucejo, S.
 (2012). Renewable fibers and bio-based materials for packaging applications A review of recent developments. *BioResources*.
- Kamel, S. (2007). Nanotechnology and its applications in lignocellulosic composites, a mini
 review. *eXPRESS Polymer Letters*, 1(9), 546–575.
 doi:10.3144/expresspolymlett.2007.78
- Kohler, R., Dück, R., Ausperger, B., & Alex, R. (2003). A numeric model for the kinetics of
 water vapor sorption on cellulosic reinforcement fibers. *Composite Interfaces*.
 doi:10.1163/156855403765826900
- Lange, J., & Wyser, Y. (2003). Recent Innovations in Barrier Technologies for Plastic
 Packaging A Review. *Packaging Technology and Science*. doi:10.1002/pts.621
- Lavoine, N., Desloges, I., Dufresne, A., & Bras, J. (2012). Microfibrillated cellulose Its
 barrier properties and applications in cellulosic materials: A review. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.05.026
- Leitner, J., Hinterstoisser, B., Wastyn, M., Keckes, J., & Gindl, W. (2007). Sugar beet
 cellulose nanofibril-reinforced composites. *Cellulose*, *14*, 419–425. doi:10.1007/s10570007-9131-2
- Liu, A., Walther, A., Ikkala, O., Belova, L., & Berglund, L. A. (2011). Clay nanopaper with
 tough cellulose nanofiber matrix for fire retardancy and gas barrier functions. *Biomacromolecules*, *12*, 633–641. doi:10.1021/bm101296z
- Lu, J., Askeland, P., & Drzal, L. T. (2008). Surface modification of microfibrillated cellulose
 for epoxy composite applications. *Polymer*, *49*, 1285–1296.
 doi:10.1016/j.polymer.2008.01.028

Lu, J., Wang, T., & Drzal, L. T. (2008). Preparation and properties of microfibrillated
 cellulose polyvinyl alcohol composite materials. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 39(5), 738–746. doi:10.1016/j.compositesa.2008.02.003

- Michaels, A. S., Vieth, W. R., & Barrie, J. A. (1963). Solution of gases in polyethylene
 terephthalate. *Journal of Applied Physics*, *34*, 1–12. doi:10.1063/1.1729066
- Mihranyan, A., Llagostera, A. P., Karmhag, R., Str??mme, M., & Ek, R. (2004). Moisture
 sorption by cellulose powders of varying crystallinity. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 269, 433–442. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2003.09.030
- Minelli, M., Baschetti, M. G., Doghieri, F., Ankerfors, M., Lindström, T., Siró, I., & Plackett,
 D. (2010). Investigation of mass transport properties of microfibrillated cellulose (MFC)
 films. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *358*(1-2), 67–75.
 doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2010.04.030
- Minelli, M., De Angelis, M. G., Doghieri, F., Rocchetti, M., & Montenero, A. (2010). Barrier
 Properties of Organic Inorganic Hybrid Coatings Based on Polyvinyl Alcohol With
 Improved Water Resistance. *Polymer Engineering and Science*, 50(1), 144–153.
 doi:10.1002/pen
- Morton, W. E., & Hearle, J. W. S. (1993). *Physical properties of textile fibres*. *New York 1993*.
- Nogi, M., Iwamoto, S., Nakagaito, A. N., & Yano, H. (2009). Optically Transparent
 Nanofiber Paper. *Advanced Materials*, *21*, 1595–1598. doi:10.1002/adma.200803174
- Okubayashi, S., Griesser, U. J., & Bechtold, T. (2004). A kinetic study of moisture sorption
 and desorption on lyocell fibers. *Carbohydrate Polymers*.
 doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2004.07.004
- Osterberg, M., Vartiainen, J., Lucenius, J., Hippi, U., Seppälä, J., Serimaa, R., & Laine, J.
 (2013). A fast method to produce strong NFC films as a platform for barrier and
 functional materials. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 5(11), 4640–7.
 doi:10.1021/am401046x
- 767 Oswin, C.R. (1946) The kinetics of package life III. The isotherm. J. Chem. Ind. 65, 419–421.
- 768 Pääkkö, M., Ankerfors, M., Kosonen, H., Nykänen, A., Ahola, S., Österberg, M., ...
- 769 Lindström, T. (2007). Enzymatic hydrolysis combined with mechanical shearing and
- high-pressure homogenization for nanoscale cellulose fibrils and strong gels.
- 771 *Biomacromolecules*, *8*, 1934–1941. doi:10.1021/bm061215p
- Peleg, M. (1993). Assessment of a semi-empirical four parameter general model for sigmoid
 moisture sorption isotherms. J. Food Process Engineering, 16, 21-37.
- Plackett, D., Anturi, H., Hedenqvist, M., Ankerfors, M., Gällstedt, M., Lindström, T., & Siró,
 I. (2010). Physical properties and morphology of films prepared from microfibrillated

- cellulose and microfibrillated cellulose in combination with amylopectin. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, *117*, 3601–3609. doi:10.1002/app.32254
- Quirijns, E. J., Van Boxtel, A. J. B., Van Loon, W. K. P., & Van Straten, G. (2005). Sorption
 isotherms, GAB parameters and isosteric heat of sorption. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *85*, 1805–1814. doi:10.1002/jsfa.2140
- Rouquerol, J., Avnir, D., Fairbridge, C. W., Everett, D. H., Haynes, J. H., Pernicone, N., ...
 Unger, K. K. (1994). Recommendations for the characterization of porous solids. *Pure & Appl. Chem*, 66, 1739–1758.
- Siqueira, G., Bras, J., & Dufresne, A. (2009). Cellulose whiskers versus microfibrils:
 influence of the nature of the nanoparticle and its surface functionalization on the
 thermal and mechanical properties of nanocomposites. *Biomacromolecules*, 10(2), 425–
 32. doi:10.1021/bm801193d
- Siró, I., Plackett, D., Hedenqvist, M., Ankerfors, M., & Lindström, T. (2011). Highly
 transparent films from carboxymethylated microfibrillated cellulose: The effect of
 multiple homogenization steps on key properties. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, *119*, 2652–2660. doi:10.1002/app.32831
- Siroka, B., Noisternig, M., Griesser, U. J., & Bechtold, T. (2008). Characterization of
 cellulosic fibers and fabrics by sorption/desorption. *Carbohydrate Research*, 343, 2194–
 2199. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2008.01.037
- Smith, S.E. (1947). The sorption of water vapour by high polymers J. Amer. Chem. Soc.
 69,646-649.
- Stenstad, P., Andresen, M., Tanem, B. S., & Stenius, P. (2008). Chemical surface
 modifications of microfibrillated cellulose. *Cellulose*, 15, 35–45. doi:10.1007/s10570007-9143-y
- Svagan, A. J., Azizi Samir, M. A. S., & Berglund, L. A. (2007). Biomimetic polysaccharide
 nanocomposites of high cellulose content and high toughness. *Biomacromolecules*, 8,
 2556–2563. doi:10.1021/bm0703160
- Syverud, K., & Stenius, P. (2009). Strength and barrier properties of MFC films. *Cellulose*,
 16, 75–85. doi:10.1007/s10570-008-9244-2
- Timmermann, E. O. (2003). Multilayer sorption parameters: BET or GAB values? *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 220(1-3), 235–260.
 doi:10.1016/S0927-7757(03)00059-1
- Turbak, A. F., Snyder, F. W., & Sandberg, K. R. (1983). Microfibrillated cellulose, a new
 cellulose product: Properties, uses, and commercial potential. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science: Applied Polymer Symposium*, *37*, 815–827.
- 811 Velázquez de la Cruz, G., Torres, J.A., Martín-Polo, M.O. (2001) Temperature effect on the
 812 moisture sorption isotherms for methylcellulose and ethylcellulose fims. Journal of Food
 813 Engineering, 48, 91-94

- 814
- Vieth, W. ., & Sladek, K. . (1965). A model for diffusion in a glassy polymer. *Journal of Colloid Science*. doi:10.1016/0095-8522(65)90071-1
- 817 Wågberg, L., Decher, G., Norgren, M., Lindström, T., Ankerfors, M., & Axnäs, K. (2008).
 818 The build-up of polyelectrolyte multilayers of microfibrillated cellulose and cationic
 819 polyelectrolytes. *Langmuir : The ACS Journal of Surfaces and Colloids*, 24(3), 784–95.
 820 doi:10.1021/la702481v
- Zimmermann, T., Pöhler, E., & Geiger, T. (2004). Cellulose fibrils for polymer
 reinforcement. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 6(9), 754–761.
- Zografi, G., Kontny, M. J., Yang, A. Y. S., & Brenner, G. S. (1984). Surface area and water
 vapor sorption of microcrystalline cellulose. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 18,
- 825 99–116. doi:10.1016/0378-5173(84)90111-X