Effects of ad libitum or restricted access to total mixed ration with supplemental long hay on production, intake, and rumination

1
2

3 This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

4 D. Cavallini, L. M. E. Mammi, M. Fustini, A. Palmonari, A. J. Heinrichs and A.

5 Formigoni. 2018. Effects of ad libitum or restricted access to total mixed ration with

6 supplemental long hay on production, intake, and rumination. J. Dairy Sci.,

7 101:10922–10928.

8

11

12 Rights / License:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Effects of ad libitum or restricted access to total mixed ration with supplemental long hay

on production, intake, and rumination

28

Cavallini

30

31

32

33 **INTERPRETIVE SUMMARY**

34   Total mixed rations (TMR) based on dry hay are widely used in the Parmigiano

35 Reggiano production area of Italy. In this study, mid-lactation cows were offered access to

36 TMR for 24 or 19 h/d with or without additional free choice hay. Feed efficiency was

37 improved with the restricted feed access, and most rumen parameters were similar. However,

38 milk production, ruminal function, and pH stability were improved when TMR and long hay

39 were available continuously.

40

41

43   **Effects of ad libitum or restricted access to total mixed ration with supplemental long hay**

44   **on production, intake, and rumination**

45

46

47   **D. Cavallini*, L. M. E. Mammi*, M. Fustini*, A. Palmonari*, A. J. Heinrichs†, and A.**

48   **Formigoni***

49

50   [*] Department of Veterinary Medicine, Università di Bologna, 40064, Bologna, Italy

51   [†] Department of Animal Science, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, 16802

52

ABSTRACT

53

54   Limiting feeding time has been a concept used in growing and non-lactating ruminant

55   animals with good success, especially in improving feed efficiency while maintaining normal

56   rumen function and fiber digestibility. This study evaluated the physiological and productive

57   responses of cows fed a total mixed ration (TMR) available for 24 or 19 h/d with or without

58   access to additional long hay. Eight multiparous Holstein cows were used in a replicated 4×4

59   Latin square design. Rations were formulated to mimic TMR used in the Parmigiano Reggiano

60   cheese production area of Italy, consisting of all dry and non-fermented components. Intakes

61   were reduced slightly in cows with restricted TMR access and without supplemental hay. Rumen

62   characteristics, as well as production of milk and components, were similar for all groups. The

63   results show that once cows adapted to diet changes, there were few differences in dry matter

64   intake when offering TMR continuously or with limited access. In addition, the presence of long

65   hay during the TMR restriction offered the best conditions for ruminal function and pH stability

66   yet no effects observed on body weight change. A Latin square with 21-d periods could have a

67   carry-over effect on energy storage and mobilization of fat reserves might be able to mask

68   negative energy balance during restriction. This feeding system could be used as a strategy to

69   manage feed availability according to cow production and metabolic condition, in order to

70   maximize the use of nutrient resources, reducing the cost of milk production and improving the

71   cows' welfare and health.

72

74

75   **INTRODUCTION**

76   Total mixed rations (TMR) with dry hay as the only forage source are widely used in the

77   Parmigiano Reggiano production area of Italy. Typically, little to no water is added to the TMR

78   to avoid the risk of fermentation in the manger. In this situation, particle size of the feed must be

79   reduced to prevent feed sorting, and therefore physically effective NDF (peNDF) is generally

80   below what is commonly considered acceptable (Fustini et al., 2016). This may led to a reduction

81   of rumination time and saliva secretion thereby leading to SARA (Khafipour et al., 2009). Italian

82   hays are often of low quality and reduced nutrient value due to the climatic conditions (Palmonari

83   et al., 2016), which often necessitates an increased amount of concentrate in the diet to satisfy the

84   energy requirements of lactating cows.

85   Adequate forage particle size in the ration is therefore critical to maintain rumen function

86   and milk components. Furthermore, peNDF promotes chewing and salivary secretion,

87   maintaining rumen conditions for VFA absorption, which improves pH stability in the rumen

88   (Mertens, 1977, 1997). In a recent study, Kmicikewycz and Heinrichs (2014) concluded that

89   supplementing rations with long hay favored the recovery of animals with SARA in early and

90   mid-lactation. Moreover, inclusion of long hay in low proportions, particularly in diets based on

91   finely chopped silages, has been shown to improve rumination time (Beauchemin et al., 1994).

92   Dairy cows may also be able to select in favor of longer particles if rumen pH and

93   rumination levels are low. Maulfair et al. (2013) saw that during SARA cows were able to change

94   their eating preferences in favor of diets with more long forage and lower contents of fermentable

95   starch. Keunen et al. (2002) also showed that lactating cows with induced SARA increased their

96 preference for long alfalfa hay compared to alfalfa pellets. It has been suggested that under

97 conditions of reduced ruminal pH if given an option, cows will choose feeds with more buffering

98 capacity (DeVries et al., 2008).

99   Limit feeding has been used in ruminant animals with good success, especially in

100 improving feed efficiency while maintaining normal rumen function and digestibility (Owens et

101 al., 1995). Limit feeding often improves digestibility (Zanton and Heinrichs, 2008), primarily

102 through reducing the rate of passage of material from the reticulo-rumen of animals that are fed

103 less (Bhatti et al., 2008). However, few trials based on this concept have been conducted with

104 cows in mid lactation.

105   The purpose of this experiment was to evaluate the physiological and productive response

106 in cows fed a dry, finely chopped TMR administered for a 24 or 19 h/d, with or without

107 additional free choice long hay.

108

109

110   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**
111
112   This study was conducted at the University of Bologna (Italy), and all experimental

113 procedures involving animals were approved by the University of Bologna Animal Care and Use

114 Committee.

115 *Experimental Design and Data Collection*

116   Eight multiparous Holstein cows (avg. BW 629 ± 49 kg) were blocked by parity ($2.25 \pm$

117 0.46), milk production (44.9 ± 2.5 kg/d), and DIM (99 ± 49) and used in a replicated 4×4 Latin

118 square design study with 21-d periods (14 d adaptation and 7 d collection). Rations were

119 formulated to mimic TMR used in the Parmigiano Reggiano cheese production area of Italy and

120 consisted of all dry and non-fermented components. The basal diet was the same for all 4

6

121 treatments with or without access to additional long grass hay, which was the same hay used in

122 the TMR (Table 1). Diets were offered in individual feed mangers divided in 2 equal parts, one

123 for the TMR and the other for the long grass hay. Cows were offered ad libitum intake of TMR

124 for 24 or 19 h/d; TMR (approximately 1.10× expected intake) was fed once a day at 2000 h (Zago

125 Mixer; Padova, IT). The study was conducted during the months of September and December,

126 and feeding was done at a time to encourage intake in the evening hours. Animals were housed in

127 a naturally ventilated tie-stall barn and milked twice a day (0800 and 1930 h) in a double-5

128 herringbone milking parlor. Treatments were identified by the length of TMR access as 24 h or

129 19 h, with (F+) or without (F-) ad libitum long grass hay.

130   From d 15 to 21 of each period, we collected data and took samples. Body weight was

131 measured daily (Afiweight scale, Afikim, Israel), and DMI was determined by recording feed

132 offered and refused for each cow. Samples of diets and orts were collected daily and a portion of

133 each sample was dried in a forced-air oven at 105°C for 24 h for DM determination. Milk yield

134 was recorded daily (Afimilk Information Management System; Afikim, Israel) during d 15 to 21

135 of each period. Milk samples from 2 consecutive milkings for each cow were collected on d 17

136 and 18 of each period, preserved (Bronolab-W II Liquid Preservative; D & F Control Systems,

137 Inc., Dublin, CA), and analyzed for fat, true protein, and lactose by infrared spectroscopy

138 procedures (Associazione Provinciale Allevatori Bologna; Foss 4000, Foss Technology). Feed

139 efficiency (kg/kg) was calculated as milk/DMI, 3.5% FCM/DMI, and solids-corrected milk/DMI

140 during the experimental week.

141 ***Rumen Characteristics***

142   Cows were monitored for rumination activity from d 15 to 20 of each period using the Hi-

143 Tag rumination monitoring system (SCR Engineers Ltd., Netanya, Israel). Data Flow software

144 analyzed rumination time with a resolution of 2 h (Schirmann et al., 2009) and calculated the

145   rumination time during the last 24 h. To continuously monitor rumen pH, all cows received an

146   indwelling wireless pH-transmitting unit (SmaXtec Animal Care Sales GmbH, Graz, Austria),

147   which has been validated with rumen-cannulated dairy cows (Klevenhusen et al., 2014). These

148   units (3.5 cm i.d., 12 cm long, and weighing 210 g) were manually inserted into the reticulorumen

149   via the esophagus on d 14 of the first period. Prior to this, the units were calibrated following the

150   company's instruction protocol. The units measured pH and temperature every 10 min and

151   transmitted the data in real time to a basestation using the ISM band (433 MHz). Both data of pH

152   and temperature were collected using an analog-to-digital converter and stored in an external

153   memory chip. Data of pH from d 15 to 21of each period were analyzed as daily mean pH and

154   time (min/d) below specific cut-off points (5.5 and 5.8). Because wireless sensors were located in

155   the ventral reticulorumen (Gasteiner et al., 2009) and few differences were observed between pH

156   measured by wireless units and in the ventral rumen sac (Klevenhusen et al., 2014), the term

157   "reticuloruminal pH" will be used.

158   On day 5 and 6 of experimental week, rumen fluid was collected via esophageal probe at

159   12h (0800) and 24h (2000) after feeding. Volatile fatty acid (**VFA**) concentrations were

160   determined by gas chromatography (Goetsch and Galyean, 1983), while ammonia was evaluated

161   via commercial kit (Urea/BUN – color, BioSystems S.A. Barcelona, Spain) according to the

162   producer procedure.

163

164   *Chemical Analysis*

165   Individual feed ingredients were collected weekly and dried in a forced-air oven at 55°C

166   for 48 h for DM. During the experimental week of each period, diets were sampled daily and

167   analyzed for chemical composition according the following methods: CP, amylase-treated, ash-

168   corrected NDF (aNDFom), and ADF according to Mertens (2002) and AOAC 973.18. Starch was

169   determined according to AOAC 996.11 and ether extract according to AOAC 920.390020.

170   Forage and diet composite samples were used to determine particle size distribution on an as-fed

171   basis using the Penn State Particle Separator (Lammers et al., 1996), physical effectiveness factor

172   (pef) using a RoTap Separator (W.S. Tyler, Mentor, OH). Diet peNDF was calculated as the

173   product of the total diet NDF content and its pef (Mertens, 1997). In vitro digestibility (24, 48,

174   and 240 h) of aNDFom of forage composite and diet composite samples (1-mm grind; Wiley

175   mill; Arthur H. Thomas, Philadelphia, PA) were determined using an in vitro fermentation (Tilly

176   and Terry, 1963) in buffered media containing ruminal fluid (Goering and Van Soest, 1970).

177   Ingredients and diets also were analyzed for in vitro aNDFom digestibility at 24 h and 240 h

178   according to the procedure described by Palmonari et al. (2017). Briefly, in vitro aNDFom

179   digestibility at 24 h and 240 h (**IVNDFD24h** and **IVNDFD240h**) was performed using the Tilley

180   and Terry modified technique (Tilley and Terry, 1963; Van Soest et al., 1991).

181   *Statistical Analysis*

182   Data for DMI, milk yield and composition, feed efficiency, microbial protein supply, and

183   BW, and were analyzed as a replicated Latin square design with model effects for diet, period,

184   and replicate using the MIXED procedure of SAS (version 9.1, Statistical Analysis Systems

185   Institute Inc., Cary, NC) using cow within replicate as a random effect. Repeated measurements

186   on performance data (i.e. DMI, milk yield, etc.) were reduced to period means for each cow

187   before statistical analysis. Data for ruminal pH, NH_3-N, and VFA were analyzed with repeated

188   measures using the MIXED procedure of SAS. The model included effects of diet, period, time,

189   and the interaction of diet and time, with cow as a random effect. Least squares means were

190   separated using PDIFF option with Tukey's adjusted *P*-values when a significant *F*-test ($P \leq$

191   0.05) was detected.

192

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

194   The TMR fed was adequate in terms of nutrient composition (NRC, 2001) but smaller in

195   particle size (peNDF = 14.14 ± 1.30%) than what is often recommended (Heinrichs, 2013,

196   Kmicikewycz et al., 2015; Table 1). This level of dietary particle size minimized the risk of cows

197   sorting (Fustini et al., 2016). When cows were offered TMR 24 vs 19 h/d, TMR DMI increased

198   (25.98 vs 23.49 kg, SEM = 1.66, P = 0.04, Table 2). Supplemental hay intake was similar

199   between diets. Consequently, total DMI tended to be greater for cows with 24-h TMR access

200   (26.21 vs 23.85 kg, SEM = 1.70, P = 0.06, Table 2) compared to cows offered TMR 19 h/d. Diets

201   including hay tended to increase total NDF intake (8.26 vs 7.55 kg/d, SEM = 0.54, P = 0.07,

202   Table 2). When hay was offered in addition to TMR, cows consumed relatively little hay (< 1

203   kg/d) and tended to consume more hay when TMR was offered for 19 vs 24 h/d. However, this

204   hay intake was not equal to the reduction in TMR intake, likely due to feed palatability or

205   preference for the TMR over the hay alone. A change in eating behavior may have occurred and

206   may fall into the category of slug feeding once cows had adapted to this feeding (19 vs 24 h)

207   change (Fustini et al., 2016). According to other authors (Albright, 1993; Maulfair et al., 2013),

208   animals modified their eating behavior after adaptation to a different feeding regimen. It was

209   thought that when dairy cows had a limited amount of TMR, it would result in faster eating rates,

210   which could lead to substantial diurnal changes in VFA production and increase the risk of

211   SARA (Van Soest, 1994). Previous work (Kmicikewycz and Heinrichs, 2014) demonstrated that

212   long hay availability with no TMR offered could decrease risk of SARA in lactating dairy cows.

213   This hay availability should also limit the chance of a higher amount of feed being consumed in

214   the first meal after TMR delivery the following day. This condition is often called "slug feeding,"

215   and is characterized by a long period of time in which cows remain out of feed, after which they

216   rapidly consume an amount of fermentable carbohydrate able to induce a drop in ruminal pH

217   (Krause and Oetzel, 2006). Cows with TMR available for 19 h/d consumed 37.1% of their hay

218   intake in the 5 h after TMR was removed, while cows with TMR continuously available had

219   14.4% of their hay intake in the same period of time. Due to the quality of this hay, cows that had

220   it available had higher intakes of NDF and unavailable NDF intake. Results of fiber digestibility

221   (total tract digestibility > 75% in all the treatments; Table 4) appeared to be comparable to values

222   obtained in other experiments and, confirm digestibility shown by Fustini et. al. (2017), given the

223   quality of forage used in the dry diets of the Parmigiano Reggiano cheese production area of Italy

224   (Palmonari et al., 2016) (total tract digestibility higher than 75% in all the treatments; Table 3).

225   There were no differences in milk production between the 24 and 19 h feed groups;

226   however, there was a tendency for increased milk yield by cows that consumed supplemental

227   forage (38.03 vs 35.95 kg, for F+ and F- respectively, SEM = 1.63, $P = 0.09$, Table 4). Cows with

228   TMR access for 19 h/d had greater feed efficiency (Table 3) on both a milk volume (milk kg/DMI

229   kg, $P = 0.03$) and component (ECM kg/DMI kg, $P = 0.05$) basis compared to the 24 h group. This

230   improved efficiency is likely a result of the design of the study with relatively short periods

231   where body reserves could be used to support production. However BW of cows did not change

232   over the study. There were no differences in milk protein, fat, and urea content; however, somatic

233   cells tended to be higher for 19 h/d TMR diets, in particular when hay was not offered (Table 3).

234   Lactose tended to be higher in milk from cows with 24-h TMR access, likely because of the

235   lower SCC (Table 3).

236   Rumination times were much higher than values considered as a minimum threshold to

237   ensure rumen functionality (> 390 min/d; Zebeli et al., 2007, 2009). An interaction was observed

238   between TMR availability and provision of supplemental hay for rumination time (Table 5).

239   Cows with 19 h of TMR access ruminated less when hay was offered ($P < 0.01$). In contrast, hay

240   had no effect on rumination time in cows with 24-h TMR access. Rumination time, average

241   reticuloruminal pH values, and time when pH was below pH 5.8 and 5.5 are shown in Table 5.

242   The results obtained are reflective of the high fiber diets used in this study. Time in which the pH

243   fell below 5.5, the minimum critical threshold, was negligible. Average pH values were lower (P

244    $< .05$) in the diets where long hay was offered, but for no obvious reason. Overall pH of cows

245   was not affected by diet treatment. This was likely a result of the cows' preference for TMR and

246   their ability to somewhat compensate for total TMR DMI once adapted to the restricted hours of

247   availability (Maulfair et al., 2013) while the group having no access to feed for 5 h ate less..

248   There were no differences regarding average ammonia and ruminal VFA levels, as shown

249   in Table 6. At 12 h after feeding (0800 h) there was a tendency for lower ammonia for the cows

250   with 19-h TMR access. This could be explained by the lower DMI for the restricted diets that

251   release a higher amount of fermentable carbohydrates allowing bacteria to consume more

252   nitrogen during the part of the day when feed was available. Conversely, when looking the values

253   obtained 24 h after feed distribution (2000 h), acetate, propionate, and total VFA tended to be

254   lower in cows with 19 h of TMR access, and butyrate was significantly lower. This condition is

255   likely due to the absence of new feed that could have reduced VFA production in the rumen. All

256   other rumen parameters measured were similar. Restricting TMR comprised of dry forages while

257   offering additional long hay appears to be consistent with previous research using silage-based

258   diets (Kmicikewycz and Heinrichs, 2014). In the same research, once cows adapted to the

259   restricted feeding period, the lack of hay made little change to their feed intake and digestion.

260

261

262

263

264

CONCLUSIONS

265

266   The results obtained in this study show that once cows adapted to diet changes, there were

267   few differences when offering TMR continuously 24 h/d or limited to 19 h/d. In addition, the

268   presence of long hay during the TMR restriction offered the best conditions for ruminal function

269   and pH stability and tended to improve milk production. It was noted that a restriction of TMR

270   availability for 5 h/d can potentially increase feed efficiency, as has been shown in other classes

271   of ruminants. These results need to be confirmed by longer duration experiments using mid-

272   lactation dairy cows. Due to the design of the experiment, milk production results need to be

273   considered with caution. A Latin square with 21-d periods could have a carry-over effect on

274   energy storage and mobilization of fat reserves might be able to mask negative energy balance

275   during restriction. However, in our experiment we did not seen any BW variation. This feeding

276   system could be used as a strategy to manage feed availability according to cow production and

277   metabolic condition, in order to maximize the use of nutrient resources, reducing the cost of milk

278   production and improving the cows' welfare and health.

REFERENCES

279

280

281 Albright, J. L. 1993. Feeding behavior of dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 76:485-498.

282 AOAC. 2016. Official Methods of Analysis. 20th ed. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., Arlington, VA.

283 Beauchemin, K. A., B. I. Farr, L. M. Rode, and G. B. Shaalje. 1994. Effects of alfalfa silage chop

284 length and supplementary long hay on chewing and milk production of dairy cows. J.

285 Dairy Sci. 77:1326–1339.

286 Bhatti, S. D., J. G. Bowman, J. L. Firkins, A. V. Grove, and C. W. Hunt. 2008. Effect of intake

287 level and alfalfa substitution for grass hay on ruminal kinetics of fiber digestion and

288 particle size passage in beef cattle. J. Anim Sci. 86:134-145.

289 DeVries, T. J., F. Dohme, and K. A. Beauchemin. 2008. Repeated ruminal acidosis challenges in

290 lactating dairy cows at high and low risk for developing acidosis: Feed sorting. J. Dairy

291 Sci. 91:3958–3967.

292 Fustini M., A. Palmonari, G. Canestrari, E. Bonfante, L. Mammi, M. T. Pacchioli, G. C. J.

293 Sniffen, R. J. Grant, K. W. Cotanch, and A. Formigoni. 2017. Effect of undigested neutral

294 detergent fiber content of alfalfa hay on lactating dairy cows: Feeding behavior, fiber

295 digestibility, and lactation performance. J. Dairy Sci. 100:4475–4483.

296 Fustini, M., A. J. Heinrichs, A. Palmonari, and A. Formigoni. 2016. Farm characteristics and total

297 mixed ration particle size issues on Parmigiano Reggiano farms in Northern Italy. Prof.

298 Anim. Sci. 32:869-873.

299 Gasteiner J., M. Fallast, S. Rosenkranz, J. Häusler, K. Schneider and T. Guggenberger. 2009.

300 Measuring rumen pH and temperature by an indwelling and data transmitting unit and

301 application under different feeding conditions. Wiener Tierarztliche Monatsschrift

302 96:188-194.

303   Goering, H. K., and P. J. Van Soest. 1970. Forage Fiber Analysis (Apparatus, Reagents,

304   Procedures, and Some Applications). Agriculture Handbook No. 379. ARS-USDA,

305   Washington, DC.

306   Goetsch, A. L., and M. L. Galyean. 1983. Influence of feeding frequency on passage of fluid and

307   particulate markers in steers fed a concentrate diet. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 63:727.

308   Heinrichs, A. J. 2013. The Penn State Particle Separator. DSE 2013-186. Accessed Jan 5, 2018.

309   https://extension.psu.edu/penn-state-particle-separator.

310   Keunen, J. E., J. C. Plaizier, L. Kyriazakis, T. F. Duffield, T. M. Widowski, M. I. Lindinger, and

311   B. W. McBride. 2002. Effects of a subacute ruminal acidosis model on the diet selection

312   of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 85:3304–3313.

313   Khafipour, E., S. Li, C. Plaizier, and D. O. Krause. 2009. Rumen microbiome composition

314   determined using two nutritional models of subacute ruminal acidosis. Appl. Environ.

315   Microbiol. 75:7115–7124.

316   Klevenhusen, F, P. Pourazad, S. U. Wetzels, M. Qumar, A. Khol-Parisini. 2014. Technical note:

317   Evaluation of a real-time wireless pH measurement system relative to intraruminal

318   differences of digesta in dairy cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 92:5635-5639.

319   Kmicikewycz, A. D., and A. J. Heinrichs. 2014. Feeding lactating dairy cattle long hay separate

320   from the total mixed ration can maintain dry matter intake during incidents of low rumen

321   pH. J. Dairy Sci. 97:7175–7184.

322   Kmicikewycz, A. D., K. J. Harvatine, and A. J. Heinrichs. 2015. Effects of corn silage particle

323   size, supplemental hay, and forage-to-concentrate ratio on rumen pH, feed preference, and

324   milk fat profile of dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 98:4850–4868.

325   Krause, K. M., and G. R. Oetzel. 2006. Inducing subacute ruminal acidosis in lactating dairy

326   cows. J. Dairy Sci. 88:3633-3639.

327 Lammers, B. P., D. R., Buckmaster, and A. J. Heinrichs. 1996. A simple method for the analysis

328   of particle sizes of forage and total mixed rations. J. Dairy Sci. 79:922-928.

329 Maulfair, D. D., K. K. McIntyre, and A. J. Heinrichs. 2013. Subacute ruminal acidosis and total

330   mixed ration preference in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 96:6610-6771.

331 Maulfair, D. D., M. Fustini, and A. J. Heinrichs. 2011. Effect of varying total mixed ration

332   particle size on rumen digesta and fecal particle size and digestibility in lactating dairy

333   cows. J. Dairy Sci. 94:3527–3536.

334 Mertens, D. R. 1977. Dietary fiber components: Relationship to the rate and extent of ruminal

335   digestion. Fed. Proc. 36:187-192.

336 Mertens, D. R. 1997. Creating a system for meeting the fiber requirements of dairy cows. J. Dairy

337   Sci. 80:1463–1481.

338 Mertens, D. R., M. Allen, J. Carmany, J. Clegg, A. Davidowicz, M. Drouches, K. Frank, D.

339   Gambin, M. Garkie, B. Gildemeister, D. Jeffress, C. S. Jeon, D. Jones, D. Kaplan, G. N.

340   Kim, S. Kobata, D. Main, X. Moua, B. Paul, J. Robertson, D. Taysom, N. Thiex, J.

341   Williams, and M. Wolf. 2002. Gravimetric determination of amylase-treated neutral

342   detergent fiber in feeds with refluxing in beakers or crucibles. J. AOAC Int. 85:1217-

343   1240.

344 National Research Council. 2001. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. 7th rev. ed. Natl. Acad.

345   Press, Washington, DC.

346 Owens, F. N., D. R. Gill, D. S. Secrest, and S. W. Coleman. 1995. Review of some aspects of

347   growth and development of feedlot cattle. J. Anim Sci. 73:3152-3172.

348 Palmonari, A., A. Gallo, M. Fustini, G. Canestrari, F. Masoero, C. J. Sniffen, and A. Formigoni.

349   2016. Estimation of the indigestible fiber in different forage types. J. Anim. Sci. 94:248-

350   254.

351 Palmonari, A., G. Canestrari, E. Bonfante, M. Fustini, L. Mammi, and A. Formigoni. 2017.

352   Technical note: In vitro digestibility of amylase-treated, ash-corrected neutral detergent

353   fiber, with addition of sodium sulfite, at 240 hours with or without rumen fluid

354   reinoculation. J. Dairy Sci. 100:1200–1202.

355 Schirmann. K., M. A. G. von Keyserlingk, D. M. Weary, D. M. Veira, and W. Heuwieser. 2009.

356   Validation of a system for monitoring rumination in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 92:6052–

357   6055.

358 Tilley J. M. A., and R. A.Terry. 1963. A two-stage technique for the in vitro digestion of forage

359   crops. Grass Forage Sci. 18:104–111.

360 Van Soest, P. J. 1994. Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. Cornell Univ. Press. Ithaca, NY.

361 Van Soest, P. J., J. B. Robertson, and B. A. Lewis. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral

362   detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci.

363   74:3583-3597.

364 Zanton, G. I., and A. J. Heinrichs. 2008. Rumen digestion and nutritional efficiency of dairy

365   heifers limit-fed a high forage ration to four levels of dry matter intake. J. Dairy Sci.

366   91:3579-3588.

367 Zebeli, Q., M. Tafaj, I. Weber, J. Dijkstra, H. Steingass, and W. Drochner. 2007. Effects of

368   varying dietary forage particle size in two concentrate levels on chewing activity, ruminal

369   mat characteristics, and passage in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90:1929-1942.

370 Zebeli, Q. J., D. Mansman, H. Steingass, and B. N. Ametaj. 2009. Balancing diets for physically

371   effective fibre and ruminaly degradable starch: A key to lower the risk of sub-acute rumen

372   acidosis and improve productivity of dairy cattle. Livest. Sci. 127:1-10.

373

Table 1. Composition of the TMR diet and the hay (mean ± SD)

Item	TMR[1]	Hay
DM, %	87.66 ± 0.72	88.54 ± 2.93
Ether extract, % DM	2.53 ± 0.38	1.64 ± 0.20
Ash, % DM	9.76 ± 0.37	8.93 ± 0.63
aNDFom[2], % DM	31.44 ± 5.45	58.46 ± 3.45
ADF, % DM	20.77 ± 4.18	42.61 ± 1.62
ADL, % DM	2.94 ± 0.64	6.62 ± 0.80
IVNDFD[3] 24h, % aNDFom	77.33 ± 6.95	67.02 ± 3.21
d-NDF 24h, % aNDFom	45.51 ± 3.44	44.74 ± 5.27
uNDF$_{240}$[4], % DM	6.40 ± 1.98	17.82 ± 5.40
Starch, % DM	23.55 ± 4.78	1.98 ± 2.12
Sugar, % DM	6.96 ± 0.56	4.79 ± 0.66
CP, % DM	14.28 ± 0.90	8.76 ± 1.10
Soluble protein, % DM	3.91 ± 0.44	3.55 ± 0.37
NDIP[5], % DM	3.00 ± 0.28	4.92 ± 0.65
ADIP[6], % DM	1.01 ± 0.07	1.55 ± 0.15
PSPS[7]		
19 mm %	0.39 ± 0.79	
8 mm %	15.18 ± 5.10	
4 mm %	18.73 ± 1.92	
Bottom %	65.69 ± 5.60	
Ro-Tap % >1.18 mm	46.24 ± 3.90	
peNDF[8] % DM	14.14 ± 1.30	

[1]TMR Ingredients: 34.5% grass hay, 19.2% steam flaked corn, 3.9% cane-beet molasses blend, and 42.4% grain mix [29.6% wheat bran, 29.4% sorghum grain, 21.6% soybean meal, 14.7% flaked soybean, 2.2% calcium carbonate, 1% sodium chloride, 0.4% magnesium oxide, 0.9% sodium bentonite, and 0.3% vitamin and mineral premix (provided 40,000 IU vitamin A, 4,000 IU vitamin D3, 30 mg vitamin E 92% α-tocopherol, 5 mg vitamin B1, 3 mg vitamin B2, 1.5 mg vitamin B6, 0.06 mg vitamin B12, 5 mg vitamin K, 5 mg vitamin H1 (para-aminobenzoic acid), 150 mg vitamin PP (niacin), 50 mg choline chloride, 100 mg Fe, 1 mg Co, 5 mg I, 120 mg Mn, 10 mg Cu, and 130 mg Zn)].
[2]Amylase- and sodium sulfite-treated NDF with ash correction.
[3]In vitro NDF digestibility, in % aNDFom.
[4]Unavailable NDF estimated via 240-h in vitro fermentation.
[5]Neutral detergent insoluble protein (Neutral detergent insoluble nitrogen*6.25).
[6]Acid detergent insoluble protein (Acid detergent insoluble nitrogen*6.25).
[7]Penn State Particle Separator, % sample retained on each sieve (Lammers et al., 1996).
[8]Physically effective NDF (aNDFom*pef), calculated using the Ro-Tap system (Mertens, 1997).

374
375

376

Table 2. Effect of feed restriction and supplemental hay[1] on DMI and fiber fraction intake

| Item | Feed availability | | | | | | |
| | 24 h | | 19 h | | | *P* value | |
	F+	F-	F+	F-	SEM	24 vs 19	F+ vs F-
TMR DMI, kg	27.36	24.60	23.27	23.71	1.66	0.04	0.31
Hay DMI, kg	0.55	-	0.70	-	0.09	0.09	-
DMI, kg	27.85	24.56	24.05	23.65	1.70	0.06	0.13
BW, kg	637.93	637.86	646.56	631.67	20.99	0.76	0.08
aNDFom[2], kg/d	8.79	7.66	7.73	7.44	0.54	0.10	0.07
aNDFom[2], % BW	9.39	9.48	9.31	9.51	0.34	0.83	0.24
uNDF[3], kg/d	1.89	1.60	1.70	1.57	0.12	0.17	0.02
uNDF[3], % BW	0.30	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.02	0.25	0.19

[1]F+ = supplemental hay; F- = no supplemental hay.
[2]Amylase- and sodium sulfite-treated NDF with ash correction.
[3]Unavailable NDF estimated via 240-h in vitro fermentation.

377
378
379

380
381

Table 3. Effect of feed restriction and supplemental hay[1] on fecal fiber content and total tract fiber digestibility

| Item | Feed availability | | | | | *P* value | |
| | 24 h | | 19 h | | | | |
	F+	F-	F+	F-	SEM	24 vs 19	F+ vs F-
Fecal aNDFom[2],% DM	60.42	60.15	60.14	60.38	0.48	0.92	0.95
Fecal uNDFom[3], % DM	32.21	31.99	32.48	31.69	0.83	0.97	0.21
Fecal pdNDF[4], % DM	28.20	28.17	27.66	28.69	1.03	0.98	0.34
aNDFom TTD[5], %	59.64	60.21	59.54	59.76	1.08	0.58	0.42
pdNDF TTD[5], %	75.90	76.30	76.10	75.69	1.37	0.76	0.99

[1]F+ = supplemental hay; F- = no supplemental hay.
[2]Amylase- and sodium sulfite-treated NDF with ash correction.
[3]Unavailable NDF estimated via 240-h in vitro fermentation.
[4]Potentially digestible NDF.
[5]Total tract fiber digestibility.

382
383

Table 4. Effect of feed restriction and supplemental hay[1] on milk production and quality

Item	Feed availability					*P* value	
	24 h		19 h				
	F+	F-	F+	F-	SEM	24 vs 19	F+ vs F-
Milk, kg/d	37.87	36.56	38.19	35.33	1.63	0.71	0.09
ECM, kg/d	40.26	38.23	39.13	36.84	1.33	0.13	0.01
Milk kg/DMI kg	1.40	1.54	1.91	1.64	0.18	0.03	0.64
ECM kg/DMI kg	1.48	1.60	1.92	1.68	0.17	0.05	0.63
Fat, %	3.82	3.62	3.58	3.60	0.18	0.31	0.46
Fat yield, kg/d	1.27	1.32	1.35	1.33	0.16	0.71	0.91
Protein, %	3.38	3.38	3.42	3.31	0.11	0.74	0.34
Protein yield, kg/d	1.13	1.26	1.28	1.20	0.10	0.65	0.83
Lactose, %	4.88	4.88	4.80	4.79	0.05	0.04	0.94
Lactose yield, kg/d	1.65	1.83	1.86	1.75	0.15	0.68	0.85
Urea, %	14.68	15.42	15.30	15.53	1.36	0.72	0.65
SCC, log cfu/mL	2.39	3.49	3.38	4.00	0.80	0.07	0.04
BW variation, kg	0.52	-3.48	17.63	17.47	9.60	0.08	0.85

[1]F+ = supplemental hay; F- = no supplemental hay.

388

Table 5. Effect of feed restriction and supplemental hay[1] on rumination time and reticuloruminal pH

| Item | Feed availability | | | | | *P* value | |
| | 24 h | | 19 h | | | | |
	F+	F-	F+	F-	SEM	24vs19	F+ vs F-
Rumination, min/d	424.98[a]	443.10[a]	446.26[a]	412.22[b]	30.49	0.62	0.41
Daily average pH	6.10	6.11	6.09	6.12	0.09	0.88	0.04
Time pH < 5.8, min/d	18.79	19.06	22.02	18.44	11.78	0.32	0.21
Time pH < 5.5, min/d	0.79	0.25	0.10	1.74	0.84	0.51	0.36

[1]F+ = supplemental hay; F- = no supplemental hay.

[a,b] Means with different superscripts differ at $P < 0.05$. (Significant interaction between effects of feed availability and provision of supplemental hay.)

389
390

Table 6. Effect of feed restriction and supplemental hay[1] on ruminal VFA and ammonia content

Item	Feed availability					P value	
	24 h		19 h				
	F+	F-	F+	F-	SEM	24 vs 19	F+ vs F-
Average, mmol/L							
NH_3	3.95	4.00	3.33	3.56	0.49	0.24	0.75
Acetic	45.46	50.76	47.54	42.95	3.19	0.37	0.91
Propionic	18.94	21.92	20.26	18.29	1.86	0.48	0.76
Butyric	9.14	9.61	9.48	8.98	0.82	0.49	0.76
Isobutyric	0.47	0.56	0.49	0.45	0.08	0.83	0.98
Valerianic	0.91	1.07	1.06	0.95	0.09	0.88	0.84
Isovalerianic	0.92	1.02	1.10	0.98	0.13	0.50	0.96
Total VFA	75.84	84.94	79.93	72.60	5.14	0.45	0.87
12 h after feeding, mmol/L							
NH_3	4.23	4.70	3.54	3.24	0.57	0.07	0.88
Acetic	39.77	48.38	47.03	42.78	4.37	0.86	0.63
Propionic	15.11	19.63	20.13	17.71	2.09	0.46	0.62
Butyric	7.77	8.96	9.95	9.22	1.11	0.24	0.82
Isobutyric	0.42	0.61	0.45	0.40	0.09	0.34	0.42
Valerianic	0.79	0.99	1.05	0.95	0.12	0.37	0.70
Isovalerianic	0.76	1.03	0.97	0.96	0.15	0.56	0.30
Total VFA	64.62	79.59	79.59	72.03	7.18	0.62	0.62
24 h after feeding, mmol/L							
NH_3	3.66	3.31	3.11	3.87	0.51	0.99	0.68
Acetic	51.17	53.17	47.99	42.61	3.86	0.10	0.69
Propionic	22.76	24.20	20.42	19.07	2.45	0.10	0.96
Butyric	10.53	10.28	8.97	8.40	0.82	0.03	0.56
Isobutyric	0.53	0.51	0.53	0.48	0.08	0.81	0.59
Valerianic	1.04	1.14	1.07	0.92	0.11	0.44	0.89
Isovalerianic	1.07	1.01	1.21	0.92	0.14	0.79	0.16
Total VFA	87.08	90.30	80.22	72.75	6.35	0.09	0.77

[1]F+ = supplemental hay; F- = no supplemental hay.