

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Combined exposure to sublethal concentrations of an insecticide and a fungicide affect feeding, ovary development and longevity in a solitary bee

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:
Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/648721 since: 2021-11-09
Published:
DOI: http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0887
Terms of use:
Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Sgolastra F, Arnan X, Cabbri R, Isani G, Medrzycki P, Teper D, et al. Combined exposure to sublethal concentrations of an insecticide and a fungicide affect feeding, ovary development and longevity in a solitary bee. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 285(1885):20180887.

The final published version is available online at: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rspb.2018.0887

# Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<a href="https://cris.unibo.it/">https://cris.unibo.it/</a>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Combined exposure to sublethal concentrations of an insecticide and a fungicide affect feeding, ovary development and longevity in a solitary bee Fabio Sgolastra<sup>1\*</sup>, Xavier Arnan<sup>2</sup>, Riccardo Cabbri<sup>3</sup>, Gloria Isani<sup>3</sup>, Piotr Medrzycki<sup>4</sup>, Dariusz Teper<sup>5</sup>, Jordi Bosch<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agro-Alimentari, *Alma Mater Studiorum* Università di Bologna <sup>2</sup>CREAF, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain <sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Mediche Veterinarie, *Alma Mater Studiorum* Università di Bologna <sup>4</sup>CREA-Consiglio per la Ricerca in Agricoltura e l'Analisi dell'Economia Agrari, Centro di Ricerca Agricoltura ed Ambiente, Bologna, Italy <sup>5</sup>Research Institute of Horticulture, Apiculture Division, Puławy, Poland 

#### Abstract

Pollinators in agroecosystems are often exposed to pesticide mixtures. Even at low concentrations, the effects of these mixtures on bee populations are difficult to predict due to potential synergistic interactions. We orally exposed newly-emerged females of the solitary bee Osmia bicornis to environmentally-realistic levels of clothianidin (neonicotinoid insecticide) and propiconazole (fungicide), singly and in combination. The amount of feeding solution consumed was highest in bees exposed to the neonicotinoid, and lowest in bees exposed to the pesticide mixture. Ovary maturation and longevity of bees of the neonicotinoid and the fungicide treatments did not differ from those of control bees. In contrast, bees exposed to the pesticide mixture showed slow ovary maturation and decreased longevity. We found a synergistic interaction between the neonicotinoid and the fungicide on survival probability. We also found an interaction between treatment and emergence time (an indicator of physiological condition) on longevity. Longevity was negatively correlated to physiological condition only in the fungicide and the mixture treatments. Delayed ovary maturation and premature death imply a shortened nesting period (highly correlated to fecundity in Osmia). Our findings provide a mechanism to explain the observed dynamics of solitary bee populations exposed to multiple chemical residues in agricultural environments. **Key words:** neonicotinoids, insecticide, ergosterol-biosynthesis-inhibiting fungicide, synergism, ecotoxicology, Osmia bicornis

### 1. Introduction

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

The last decades have seen significant declines in wild bee diversity at local and regional scales [1-3], together with abnormal honey bee colony losses in various parts of the world [4,5]. Although these declines are undoubtedly caused by a combination of factors, pesticides in general, and neonicotinoid insecticides in particular, have often been signalled as one of the main drivers of the population declines experienced by both wild and managed species. For this reason, the use of neonicotinoids has been recently restricted in the European Union [6]. Nonetheless, neonicotinoids are still used on a wide range of crops and account for more than 30% of the global insecticide market [7]. Neonicotinoids are highly toxic to insects [8-10]. However, studies testing lethal and sublethal effects of neonicotinoids on bees often yield inconsistent results [11-14]. There are several important challenges when assessing the potential hazards of pesticides on bees. First, in as much as possible, bees should be subjected to realistic exposure conditions, likely to be experienced in field situations. In relation to this, some studies have been criticized based on allegedly overestimated exposure in terms of concentration and duration (e.g., studies testing acute exposure to high doses rather than chronic exposure to low doses) [15]. Second, in agricultural environments bees are often exposed to combinations of chemicals [16]. This is important because certain pesticide mixtures have been shown to produce synergistic effects [17-19]. Yet, with some exceptions [e.g., 17-20], ecotoxicological studies usually test single compounds. Third, sensitivity to pesticides may be highly influenced by the physiological condition of the bee. A recent review [21] shows that response to pesticide exposure in honey bees is highly variable at the individual level and dependent on several endogenous factors such as genetic background, body size and age. Fourth, the effects of pesticides may be species-dependent. Most bee ecotoxicological studies have been conducted on a single species, the western honey bee, Apis mellifera [16,22]. However, there is increasing evidence that solitary bees (Osmia bicornis) are more sensitive to certain pesticide treatments than honey bees and bumblebees [12,13,18,23]. In this study, we tested the effects of environmentally-realistic oral exposure to clothianidin (a neonicotinoid insecticide) and propiconazole (an ergosterol-biosynthesis-inhibiting (EBI) fungicide), singly and in combination, in the solitary bee O. bicornis. In agricultural environments, bees are likely to be exposed simultaneously to both compounds because these two groups of agrochemicals are commonly applied to various crops [24,25].

86 87

88

A key question in ecotoxicological studies is whether the test doses applied in the laboratory can be considered to be field realistic. However, estimating field realistic pesticide doses is not easy. The

amount of nectar collected in a foraging bout by a nesting *Osmia* female can be estimated from the literature [26], and concentrations of pesticides in nectar can be measured (e.g., [27,28]). However, it is difficult to establish how much of the nectar collected is actually ingested by the foraging female *versus* regurgitated onto the larval food provision. Nonetheless, we know that upon emergence out of the natal nest, and prior to engaging in nesting activities, *Osmia* females collect nectar exclusively for their own consumption [29]. Therefore, we provided newly-emerged *Osmia* females in the laboratory with *ad libitum* feeding solution to simulate this "first nectar meal". To account for the physiological condition of the bees, we measured body size and emergence time. Adult body size in *Osmia* is strongly correlated to the amount of food ingested during the larval period [30]. Large bees have higher lipid content [31], and are more likely to survive the winter [32]. As for emergence time, *Osmia* females lose ~7.5% of their body weight during the process of emerging out of the cocoon [31]. Previous studies have shown that the probability to start a nest and reproduce decreases with emergence time [33], indicating that females that take longer to emerge are less vigorous than females that emerge promptly.

Upon feeding at the flowers, newly-emerged *Osmia* females undergo a short period (2-4 days) during which they complete ovary maturation prior to initiating nesting activities [33,34]. During this period ovary size and vitellogenin concentration in the hemolymph increase in parallel for up to six days [35]. On average, individual *Osmia* females live for about 20 days, and their fecundity is low (10-20 eggs) and highly correlated to the duration of the nesting period [33,34]. Therefore, any effects on ovary maturation during this pre-nesting phase may significantly delay the onset of nesting activities, with important consequences on reproductive success. Consequently, we measured vitellogenin levels, ovary maturation and longevity in females exposed to the neonicotinoid insecticide and the EBI fungicide, singly and in combination. Based on previous studies showing synergistic mortality effects between clothianidin and propiconazole [18], we hypothesize lower vitellogenin levels, slower ovary maturation and shorter life span in newly-emerged *O. bicornis* females taking their first meal on the neonicotinoid-fungicide mixture. We also hypothesize that these effects will be stronger on bees in poor physiological condition (smaller bees and/or bees taking longer to emerge).

### 2. Material and Methods

(a) Bee population and treatments

- Osmia bicornis cocoons were obtained from a population nesting in a pesticide free area in
- Kazimierz Landscape Park, Poland. In January 2016, wintering adults within their cocoons were
- shipped to the CREA-AA in Bologna, Italy, where they were transferred to a 3 °C cabinet. In early
- April 2016, cocoons were taken to the laboratory of Agricultural Entomology at the University of
- Bologna. In mid-April 2016, cocoons presumed to contain females (generally larger than those
- 126 containing males) were incubated at 21±2 °C and 55±10% RH under natural light. Emergence was
- checked daily. Since most males emerge a few days before females, any emerging males were
- discarded. We recorded the days each female took to emerge out of the cocoon following
- incubation (henceforth emergence time). Upon emergence, females were transferred to a Plexiglas
- laboratory cage (50 x 50 x 50 cm) to allow them to deposit the meconium. Females emerging on
- any given day were equally distributed among four treatments: control (feeding solution with 1%-
- acetone, CON), propiconazole (PRO), clothianidin (CLO) and mixture (propiconazole +
- clothianidin, MIX). Throughout the study bees were maintained at 21-23 °C, 40-50 % RH under
- 134 natural light.
- 135 *(b) Test solution preparation*
- We used clothianidin active ingredient (purity 99%) from Dr Ehrenstorfer Gmbh. A stock solution
- was prepared by dissolving technical grade clothianidin (99% pure) in acetone at a nominal
- concentration of 1000 mg/L (actual concentration: 1090 mg/L), which was then diluted to 1 mg/L
- (actual concentration: 0.983 mg/L). The stock solution was then diluted in a 38% w:v (33% w:w)
- sugar + distilled water solution to achieve the desired concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/L (corresponding to
- 8.6 μg/Kg). This concentration is within the range of clothianidin residues found in nectar collected
- 142 from flowers of oilseed rape grown from clothianidin-coated seeds (6.7-16 µg/L [12]; 5-16 µg/Kg
- 143 [24]; 2.3-10.1  $\mu$ g/Kg [36]; <0.7-13.2  $\mu$ g/Kg [37]);
- We tested a propiconazole concentration of 62.5 mg/L. This concentration corresponds to the field
- application rate of the commercial formulation Protil ® EC (250 g/L of a.i.) in orchards (25 mL/hL
- or 0.25 L/ha). To obtain this concentration we prepared a stock solution with a propiconazole
- 147 concentration of 25 g/L by dissolving Protil ® EC in distilled water. The stock solution was then
- diluted with 38% w:v (33% w:w) sugar solution to achieve the desired concentration.
- The final concentration of acetone in the feeding solution was adjusted to 1% (v:v) with pure
- acetone in all treatments.
- 151 *(c) Exposure phase*

Previous studies have shown that upon emergence out of the cocoon, Osmia females take about one 152 day to come out of their natal nest [38]. Therefore, 24 hours after emergence, meconium-free 153 females were individually housed in small plastic cylinders (width: 3.5 cm; high: 5.5 cm) with a 154 transparent plastic lid through which a feeder made with a 1-mL syringe was inserted. Each feeder 155 contained ~150 µL of feeding solution (33% sucrose concentration w:w) with or without pesticides. 156 A flower petal (*Euryops*, Asteraceae) was attached to the tip of the syringe to ensure the bees 157 located the feeder quickly (see [18,39] for details). To simulate a first nectar meal, bees were 158 maintained in these cylinders for 4 hours. Preliminary trials showed that extending this exposure 159 phase up to 8 h did not result in increased solution consumption. To measure the amount of solution 160 ingested by each bee, syringes were weighed before and after the exposure phase. Three cages 161 without bees served as controls to account for potential evaporation. Only bees that fed were 162 included in the statistical analyses. In natural conditions, newly-emerged bees have to fly to reach 163 164 flowers on which to sip nectar. In our laboratory set-up bees only had to walk a very short distance to have access to a feeding solution source. Therefore, if anything, our method can be assumed to 165 166 underestimate the amount of nectar and chemical residue ingested by a newly-emerged bee in her first nectar meal. Sample size were 35-50 bees per treatment. 167

## 168 (d) Experiment 1

- After the exposure phase, each bee was individually transferred to a plastic ice cream cup (width: 5.5-8 cm; high: 7 cm) with a transparent lid through which a 2.5 mL syringe filled with sucrose
- solution (33% sugar concentration, w:w) was inserted. Again, a flower petal was attached to the tip
- of the feeder to ensure the bees located the feeder quickly. Bees were allowed to feed *ad libitum* and
- the sucrose solution in the feeder was renewed every 3 days. Solution consumption was visually
- assessed every day. Mortality was monitored daily until all bees died. Upon death, the head width
- of each bee was measured under a stereomicroscope at 32 X. Head size is strongly correlated to
- body weight in *Osmia* [30]. Sample sizes were ~30 bees per treatment.

# 177 *(e) Experiment 2*

- We followed the same procedure as the experiment 1 with two modifications. First, because pollen
- 179 consumption enhances ovary maturation in *Osmia* [40], bees of this experiment were provided with
- a source of pollen throughout the post-exposure phase. In each ice cream cup we provided ~55 mg
- of pollen in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube cap. Pollen was obtained from nests of an O. bicornis
- population nesting in a pear/apple orchard near Bologna. Several provision masses (pollen mixed
- with nectar) from various nests were mixed to obtain a common homogeneous pollen source from

- which 55 mg portions were taken. Samples of this pollen source were subjected to palynological
- and chemical multiresidue analyses (see details in the electronic supplementary material). Chemical
- analyses revealed that the provisions contained several pesticide residues, including insecticides,
- fungicides and herbicides at very low concentrations (electronic supplementary material, Table S1).
- Although unplanned, the presence of these residues resulted in a more realistic exposure, congruent
- with the co-occurrence of multiple compounds in pollen-nectar matrices in agricultural
- environments [41,42]. Importantly, no obvious negative effects were observed in the nesting O.
- bicornis population from which the provisions were taken or its progeny.
- Second, in this experiment the post exposure phase was interrupted after 3 days to measure
- vitellogenin levels in the haemolymph and ovary maturation. Details on vitellogenin and ovary
- maturation measurements are available in the electronic supplementary material.
- All statistical analyses are described in the electronic supplementary material.
- 197 **3. Results**

- 198 *(a) Exposure phase feeding*
- The amount of feeding solution ingested during the 4-hour exposure phase differed among
- treatments (Table 1). Bees of the CLO treatment fed significantly more than bees of the other
- treatments, and feeding levels were lowest in the MIX treatment (Fig. 1). Solution ingestion during
- this phase also depended on body size (larger bees ingested more syrup), but not on emergence time
- 203 (Table 1). However, the interaction between treatment and emergence time was significant. As
- 204 emergence time increased, feeding increased in CLO bees, whereas it decreased in PRO and MIX
- bees, and did not change in CON bees (electronic supplementary material, figure S1).
- 206 Experiment 1
- Differences among treatments in feeding rate (µL of syrup per day) during the post-exposure phase
- approached significance (Table 1), again with bees of the MIX treatment tending to feed less (Fig.
- 209 2). Both body size and emergence time affected post-exposure feeding (Table 1). Feeding rates
- were higher in larger bees and lower in bees that took longer to emerge.
- Cumulative survival curves differed significantly among treatments (df = 3,  $\chi^2$  = 12.99, P = 0.005)
- 212 (Fig. 3). Throughout the first days following exposure, mortality in the MIX treatment was much
- 213 greater than mortality in the other treatments, yielding a significant synergistic interaction between

```
clothianidin and propiconazole on day 4 (day 4: p = 0.045; day 8: p = 0.075; day 17: p = 0.44). That
214
       is, the CLO-PRO combination was significantly more toxic than the sum of the toxicity of the two
215
       compounds separately. Consequently, longevity differed significantly across treatments (Table 1),
216
       and was shortest in the MIX treatment (Fig. 2). Body size had no effect on longevity, but bees that
217
       took longer to emerge tended to have shorter longevity (Table 1). In addition, there was a
218
       significant interaction between treatment and emergence time. As emergence time increased,
219
       longevity decreased in PRO and MIX bees, but did not change in CON and CLO bees (Table 1,
220
       electronic supplementary material, Fig. S2).
221
222
       Experiment 2
223
       Nectar feeding rate during the three-day post-exposure phase significantly differed among
       treatments (Table 1). As in experiment 1, it was highest in the CON treatment and lowest in the
224
       MIX treatment (Fig. 4). In contrast to experiment 1, body size and emergence time did not affect
225
       post-exposure feeding (Table 1), but it is important to note that the post-exposure phase lasted only
226
       three days in this experiment. We repeatedly observed O. bicornis females feeding on the pollen
227
228
       provided. However, the amount of pollen consumed could not be measured because bees spread the
       pollen all over the hoarding cage.
229
      Three-day cumulative survival curves differed among treatments (df = 3, \chi^2 = 45.72, P < 0.001).
230
       Survival was again lowest in the MIX treatment (Fig. 5), and there was a significant synergistic
231
232
       interaction between clothianidin and propiconazole on all three assessment time points (day 1: p <
       0.001; day 2: p < 0.001; day 3: p = 0.002). Oocyte length and vitellogenin concentration were
233
234
       measured in all the bees that survived the 3-day post-exposure period (n=55). We found significant
       differences among treatments in basal oocyte mean length (Table 1), with bees of the MIX
235
       treatment having shorter oocytes than bees of the other treatments (Fig. 4). Ovary size was
236
237
       positively related to head size, but was not related to emergence time (Table 1). We found no
       differences among treatments in vitellogenin concentration (Table 1). Larger bees had higher
238
       vitellogenin concentrations, but emergence time did not affect vitellogenin levels (Table 1). No
239
240
       interactions between treatment and head size or emergence time were apparent in this experiment
       (Table 1).
241
```

4. Discussion

242

Wild and managed bees are exposed to pesticide mixtures in agricultural and urban areas [41,43-244 45]. Neonicotinoids and EBI fungicides, in particular, are routinely used on many crops [24,25], 245 and have often been found together in the nectar and pollen of both cultivated and wild flowers 246 [37,41], in honey bee-collected pollen and on bee body surfaces [41,46,47]. In a previous study [18] 247 we showed synergistic mortality effects in honey bees, bumblebees and solitary bees (Osmia 248 bicornis) acutely exposed to sublethal doses of CLO (0.63 ng/bee) and PRO (7 µg/bee) in a fixed 249 amount of syrup (10 µL). The amount of CLO ingested by bees in that study was within the range 250 of CLO potentially ingested in a foraging bout. However, the tested concentration (63 µg/L of 251 CLO) was higher than concentrations likely to be found in nectar ( $<0.7-16 \mu g/L$ ) [12,24,36,37,48]. 252 On the other hand, considering the honey stomach capacity of honey bees ( $\sim 30 \mu L$ ) and 253 bumblebees (80 μL) [49,50] it is conceivable that a bee could ingest more than 10 μL of nectar in a 254 single foraging bout. At any rate, given the difficulty to estimate what proportion of the nectar 255 256 collected by a nesting female bee is ingested versus regurgitated in the nest, in this study we worked with pre-nesting females, which consume all the nectar they collect. Our study provides first-time-257 258 evidence that oral exposure to field-relevant concentrations of an insecticide and a fungicide mixture affect feeding behavior, ovary maturation and longevity in a solitary bee. 259 260 Results of syrup consumption during the exposure phase show that O. bicornis females not only did 261 not avoid but even preferred neonicotinoid-laced syrup. This behavior has also been observed in 262 bumblebees and honey bees [51,52]. Interestingly, syrup consumption during this phase was lowest 263 in bees of the MIX treatment, indicating that the attractiveness of clothianidin was lost when 264 265 propiconazole was added. Post-exposure feeding rate (ml of syrup consumed per day) was also lowest in the MIX treatment in both experiments (although differences among treatments narrowly 266 failed significance in Experiment 1), suggesting that the clothianidin-propiconazole combination 267 alters the feeding behavior of O. bicornis. 268 Vitellogenin is a fat-body-synthesized glycolipophosphoprotein that constitutes a significant part of 269 the yolk protein of insect eggs [53]. In Osmia, vitellogenin concentration in the hemolymph 270 increases with ovary maturation, reaching maximum levels 3-6 days after adult emergence and 271 gradually declining thereafter [35]. Studies on honey bee and bumblebee queens have reported a 272 strong up-regulation of vitellogenin genes [54] but slower ovary maturation following experimental 273 274 neonicotinoid exposure [55,52]. Because pollen feeding enhances ovary development in 275 bumblebees [56], Baron et al. [52] hypothesized a reduction in pollen consumption in bees exposed to neonicotinoids. Osmia females also require pollen to mature their oocytes [40]. Our bees clearly 276

fed on the pollen supplied in experiment 2, but we could not establish whether pollen consumption 277 differed among treatments because bees spread the pollen over the hoarding cages. At any rate, we 278 did not find differences in vitellogenin concentration or ovary maturation between clothianidin-279 exposed and control bees. On the other hand, we found that ovary maturation was slowest in bees of 280 281 the MIX treatment, even if this reduction was not accompanied by increased levels of vitellogenin 282 concentration. In experiment 1, longevity of propiconazole- and clothianidin-exposed bees (mean: 17 and 19 days, 283 respectively) did not differ from that of control bees (mean: 17.5 days). These life spans are similar 284 to those recorded in field and greenhouse populations (17.5 - 24 days [33,34,57]; though mean 285 longevity can be extended up to 30.5 days under bad weather conditions; [34]). Bees of the CLO 286 treatment consumed larger amounts of feeding solution, thus ingesting greater amounts of sugar, 287 288 which could have buffered any negative effect of clothianidin [58]. By contrast, exposure to the MIX treatment resulted in significantly reduced longevity. Life span of bees of the MIX treatment 289 290 in experiment 1 was 10 days, that is 0.5-0.6 times shorter than that of control bees (17.5 days) and bees exposed to single compounds (17 and 19 days, respectively). The negative effect of the 291 292 pesticide mixture was further evidenced by the comparison of the survival curves of the various treatments, revealing a synergistic interaction between clothianidin and propiconazole on survival 293 probability in both experiments. Three days after exposure, mortality in the MIX treatment of 294 experiment 2 was 78%, more than twice higher than expected under additive (non-synergistic) 295 effects (36%). Bees of experiment 2 were fed pollen during the post-exposure phase whereas bees 296 of the experiment 1 were not, and the pollen supplied was contaminated with pesticide residues 297 (electronic supplementary material, Table S1). This pollen was obtained from O. bicornis 298 provisions from a population nesting in a pear/apple orchard that was sprayed during bloom with 299 boscalid. This fungicide was the main chemical residue found in the pollen, but four other 300 chemicals that were not sprayed in the orchard were also found. Pollen analysis of the provisions 301 revealed that O. bicornis females foraged mostly on wild plants (Quercus robur (39%), Ranunculus 302 303 spp. (27%), Cercis spp. (25%), apple/pear (2 %)). Thus, our study provides further evidence of pesticide exposure affecting not only bees foraging on sprayed crops, but also those foraging on the 304 305 accompanying flora [13,59,60]. Differences between experiments 1 and 2 in survival probability at day 3 were very small for the 306 CON (87% vs 87%) and PRO (82% vs 88%) treatments. By contrast, these differences were very 307 308 pronounced for the CLO (93% vs 73 %) and the MIX treatments (48% and 22%), suggesting that,

even at the low concentrations recorded, the presence of additional pesticides in the pollen supplied 309 in experiment 2 interacted with the clothianidin ingested during the exposure phase. 310 We used body size and timing of emergence as proxies of physiological condition. Not surprisingly, 311 large bees consumed more feeding solution during the exposure phase and during the post-exposure 312 phase of experiment 1. No such relationship was found in experiment 2, but the post-exposure 313 phase of this experiment lasted only three days. Larger bees also had higher levels of vitellogenin in 314 the hemolymph and, in agreement with previous studies [33], produced larger oocytes. However, 315 large bees did not live longer than small bees. Studies on Osmia populations nesting in field and 316 greenhouse conditions have also failed to find a relationship between female body size and 317 longevity (or nesting period) [33,34,61-63]. 318 Emergence time affected post-exposure feeding solution consumption rate and longevity in 319 experiment 1, both of which were lower in females with long emergence periods. These results are 320 congruent with the reduced ability of bees that take long to emerge to start nesting activities [33]. 321 As with body size, such a relationship was not apparent in experiment 2, possibly due to the short 322 323 post-exposure phase of this experiment. Despite their lower feeding solution consumption, we did 324 not find lower vitellogenin levels or slower ovary maturation in bees with long emergence times. Physiological condition may influence sensitivity to pesticides [21]. Our results show that the 325 negative effects of emergence time on longevity occurred only in the MIX and PRO treatments. The 326 327 suboptimal physiological condition of bees with long pre-emergence periods could have reduced their detoxification capacity making them more vulnerable to these two treatments. To our 328 329 knowledge, this is the first time an effect of physiological condition on sensitivity to pesticides is shown for a solitary bee. Ecotoxicological studies are often carried out under conditions that are 330 assumed to be optimal for the test organisms (e.g., healthy individuals kept at adequate 331 332 temperatures with ad libitum feeding). In the field, however, bees may be exposed to various stress factors, such as parasites, diseases, and limiting food resources, which could magnify the negative 333 effects of pesticides. In their review, Holmstrup et al. [64] argue that synergistic interactions 334 335 between toxic compounds and natural stressors are frequent and should be considered in risk assessment schemes. 336 337 Our study shows that a single meal with a cocktail of pesticides at sublethal doses and realistic concentrations during the pre-nesting period affects feeding behavior, ovary maturation and 338 339 longevity in a solitary bee. Importantly, none of these effects were observed when bees were

exposed to either compound singly. The pre-nesting period is a critical stage in the life cycle of

solitary bees for two reasons. First, females in poor physiological condition are less likely to start 341 nesting activities and reproduce [33]. Our results show that nesting success of these weakened 342 females may be further compromised by exposure to pesticide mixtures at realistic field 343 concentrations. Second, fecundity of females that do successfully nest is highly correlated to the 344 duration of the nesting period [33,34], which is constrained by ovary maturation at one end [33,35] 345 and by death at the other end. Our insecticide-fungicide mixture had negative effects on both ovary 346 maturation and longevity, thus affecting the duration of the nesting period at both ends. Under field 347 conditions, Osmia females live ~ 20 days on average [34]. Of this time, ~ 5 days are spent maturing 348 the ovaries [35], prior to the initiation of nesting activities (pre-nesting period) [33,34]. During the 349 rest of their life time (nesting period), females build and provision nest cells and lay eggs at a rate 350 of  $\sim 0.7$  per day [34]. If we assume that mean longevities recorded in our study are representative of 351 longevities under field conditions, females of our MIX treatment would have laid a mean of 3.5 352 eggs compared to 8.4 in control bees. We conclude that our findings have direct repercussions on 353 the reproductive success of solitary bees, and provide a potential mechanism to explain observed 354 355 negative dynamics of *Osmia* populations in agricultural environments [12,13,65]. Our study has also important implications for pesticide regulation. Current risk assessment schemes rely on tests 356 357 of single compounds [27,28]. Our results underscore the need to consider pesticide combinations likely to occur in agricultural environments. 358

- **Data accessibility.** Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository:
- 360 <u>https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.895pn6p</u> [66].
- Author contributions. F.S and J.B. conceived the experiments. F.S, J.B., R.C., G.I., D.T. and P.M.
- designed the experiments. F.S. and R.C. collected the data. X.A. analysed the data. F.S. and J.B.
- took the lead in writing the manuscript.
- 364 **Competing interests.** We have no competing interests.
- Funding. This study was partially funded by the Council for Agricultural Research and Economics,
- 366 Agriculture and Environment Research Centre and *Alma Mater Studiorum*, University of Bologna
- 367 (RFO 2014-SGOLASTRA FABIO, RFO 2014 2015- ISANI GLORIA). X.A. was supported by a
- Ramón y Cajal research contract by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (RYC-

2015-18448). J. B. was supported by a DURSI-GDR (Catalan Government) grant SGR SGR2005-2008-046.

Acknowledgements. We thank Michela Boi, Francesca Grillenzoni and Francesca Corvucci for the chemical and palynological analysis of pollen samples. We are grateful to Enea Ferlizza for the technical support in the vitellogenin analysis. We also thank several students, especially Andrea Cocchi and Maria Meloni, for their help with laboratory data collection. Finally, we appreciate the constructive comments of James Cresswell (University of Exeter, UK) on an early draft of the manuscript.

377

371

372

373

374

375

376

378

379

### References

- 1. Biesmeijer JC *et al.* 2006 Parallel declines in pollinators and insect-pollinated plants in Britain and the Netherlands. *Science* **313**, 351–354. (doi.org/10.1126/science.1127863)
- Bartomeus I, Ascher JS, Gibbs J, Danforth BN, Wagner DL, Hedtke SM, Winfree R. 2013
   Historical changes in northeastern US bee pollinators related to shared ecological traits.
   PNAS 110, 4656-4660. (doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218503110)
- Ollerton J, Erenler H, Edwards M, Crockett R. 2014 Extinctions of aculeate pollinators in
   Britain and the role of large-scale agricultural changes. *Science* 346, 1360–1362.
   (doi.org/10.1126/science.1257259)
- 4. Chauzat MP, Cauquil L, Roy L, Franco S, Hendrikx P, Ribière-Chabert M. 2013
   Demographics of the European apicultural industry. *PLOS ONE* 8.
   (doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079018)
- 5. Lee KV *et al.* 2015 A national survey of managed honey bee 2013-2014 annual colony losses in the USA. *Apidologie* **46**, 292–305. (doi.org/10.1007/s13592-015-0356-z)
- 6. EU. 2013 Commission implementing Regulation (EU) No 485/2013 of 24 May 2013 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, as regards the conditions of approval of the active substances clothianidin, thiamethoxam and imidacloprid, and prohibiting the use and sale of seeds treated with plant protection products containing those active substances. OJ EU L 139, 12-26.
- 7. Simon-Delso N *et al.* 2015 Systemic insecticides (neonicotinoids and fipronil): trends, uses, mode of action and metabolites. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.* **22**, 5–34. (doi.org/10.1007/s11356-

- 400 014-3470-y)
- 8. Blacquière T, Smagghe G, van Gestel CM, Mommaerts V. 2012 Neonicotinoids in bees: a
- review on concentrations, side-effects and risk assessment. *Ecotoxicology* **21**, 973–992.
- 403 (doi.org/10.1007/s10646-012-0863-x)
- 9. Godfray HCJ, Blacquière T, Field LM, Hails RS, Petrokofsky G, Potts SG, Raine NE,
- Vanbergen AJ, McLean AR. 2014 A restatement of the natural science evidence base
- 406 concerning neonicotinoid insecticides and insect pollinators. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **281**,
- 407 20140558.
- 408 10. Pisa LW et al. (2015). Effects of neonicotinoids and fipronil on non-target invertebrates.
- 409 Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 22, 68–102. (doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3471-x)
- 410 11. Walters K. 2013 Data, data everywhere but we don't know what to think? Neonicotinoid
- insecticides and pollinators. *Outlooks on Pest Management* **24**, 151–555.
- 412 (doi.org/10.1564/v24)
- 413 12. Rundlöf M et al. 2015 Seed coating with a neonicotinoid insecticide negatively affects wild
- bees. *Nature*. (doi.org/10.1038/nature14420)
- 415 13. Woodcock BA et al. 2017 Country-specific effects of neonicotinoid pesticides on honey
- bees and wild bees. *Science* **356**, 1393–1395.
- 417 14. Potts SG et al. 2016 Summary for policymakers of the assessment report of the
- Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services on
- pollinators, pollination and food production. IPBES, Bonn, Germany, pp. 1–30.
- 420 15. Carreck NL, Ratnieksi FLW. 2014 The dose makes the poison: have "field realistic" rates of
- exposure of bees to neonicotinoid insecticides been overestimated in laboratory studies? J.
- 422 Apicult. Res. **53**, 607–614. (doi.org/10.3896/IBRA.1.53.5.08)
- 16. Lundin O, Rundlöf M, Smith HG, Fries I, Bommarco R. 2015 Neonicotinoid Insecticides
- and Their Impacts on Bees: A Systematic Review of Research Approaches and
- Identification of Knowledge Gaps. *PLOS ONE* **10(8)**, e0136928.
- 426 (doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136928)
- 17. Thompson HM, Fryday SL, Harkin S, Milner S. 2014 Potential impacts of synergism in
- honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) of exposure to neonicotinoids and sprayed fungicides in crops.
- *Apidologie* **45**, 545–553
- 430 18. Sgolastra F *et al.* 2017 Synergistic mortality between a neonicotinoid insecticide and an
- ergosterol-biosynthesis-inhibiting fungicide in three bee species. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **73**, 1236–
- 432 1243. (doi.org/10.1002/ps.4449).
- 19. Sgolastra F et al. 2018 Lethal effects of Cr(III) alone and in combination with

- propiconazole and clothianidin in honey bees. *Chemosphere* **191**, 365-372. (doi:
- 435 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.068)
- 20. Gill RJ, Ramos-Rodriguez O, Raine NE. 2012 Combined pesticide exposure severely affects
- individual- and colony-level traits in bees. *Nature* **491**, 105–108.
- 21. Poquet Y, Vidau C, Alaux C. 2016 Modulation of pesticide response in honeybees.
- *Apidologie* 47: 412-426. (doi.org/10.1007/s13592-016-0429-7)
- 22. Sgolastra F, Hinarejos S, Pitts-Singer TL, Boyle NK, Joseph T, Lückmann J, Raine NE,
- Singh R, Williams NM, Bosch J, 2018 Pesticide exposure assessment paradigm for solitary
- bees. *Environ. Entomol.* In press. (doi: 10.1093/ee/nvy105)
- 23. Arena M, Sgolastra F. 2014 A meta-analysis comparing the sensitivity of bees to pesticides.
- 444 *Ecotoxicology* **23**, 324–334.
- 24. EFSA. 2013 Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment for bees for the
- active substance clothianidin. EFSA J. 11, 3066. http://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3066.
- 25. EFSA. 2015 Reasoned opinion on the review of the existing maximum residue levels
- 448 (MRLs) for propiconazole according to Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No. 396/2005. EFSA
- 449 *J.* **13**, 3975.
- 26. Bosch J. 1994 The nesting behaviour of the mason bee *Osmia cornuta* (Latr) with special
- reference to its pollinating potential (Hymenoptera, Megachilidae). *Apidologie* **25**, 84–93.
- 27. EFSA. 2012 Scientific Opinion on the science behind the development of a risk assessment
- of Plant Protection Products on bees (*Apis mellifera*, *Bombus* spp. and solitary bees ). *EFSA*
- 454 *J.* **10**, 2668. (doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2668).
- 28. EPA. 2012 White Paper in Support of the Proposed Risk Assessment Process for Bees.
- 456 Submitted to the FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel for Review and Comment Office of
- Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention Office of Pesticide Programs Environmental Fate
- 458 and Effects, 1–275.
- 29. Torchio PF. 1987 Use of non-honey bee species as pollinators of crops. *P. Entomol. Soc.*
- 460 *Ont.* **118**, 111–124.
- 30. Bosch J, Vicens N. 2002 Body size as an estimator of production costs in a solitary bee.
- 462 *Ecol. Entomol.* **27**, 129–137.
- 31. Sgolastra F, Kemp WP, Buckner JS, Pitts-Singer TL, Maini S, Bosch J. 2011 The long
- summer: pre-wintering temperatures affect metabolic expenditure and winter survival in a
- solitary bee. *J. Insect Physiol.* **57**, 1651–1659.
- 32. Bosch J, Kemp WP. 2004 Effect of pre-wintering and wintering temperature regimes on
- weight loss, survival, and emergence time in the mason bee *Osmia cornuta* (Hymenoptera:

- 468 Megachilidae), *Apidologie* **35**, 469–479.
- 33. Sgolastra F, Arnan X, Pitts-Singer TL, Maini S, Kemp WP, Bosch J. 2016 Pre-wintering
   conditions and post-winter performance in a solitary bee: does diapause impose an energetic
- 471 cost on reproductive success? *Ecol. Entomol.* **41**, 201–210.
- 34. Bosch J, Vicens N. 2006 Relationship between body size, provisioning rate, longevity and
- 473 reproductive success in females of the solitary bee *Osmia cornuta*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*
- **60**, 26–33. (doi.org/10.1007/s00265-005-0134-4)
- 35. Lee KY, Lee KS, Yoon HJ, Jin BR. 2015 Ovarian development and secretion of vitellogenin
- protein during the wintering period and after emergence in the hornfaced bee, Osmia
- 477 cornifrons. J. Asia-Pac. Entomol. 18, 515–523. (doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2015.07.002)
- 36. Pohorecka K et al. 2012 Residues of neonicotinoid insecticides in bee collected plant
- materials from oilseed rape crops and their effect on bee colonies. *J Apic Sci* **56**,115–134.
- 480 37. Botías C, David A, Horwood J, Abdul-Sada A, Nicholls E, Hill EM, Goulson D. 2015
- Neonicotinoid Residues in Wildflowers, a Potential Route of Chronic Exposure for Bees.
- 482 Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 12731-12740. (doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b03459)
- 38. Pitts Singer T, Bosch J, Kemp WP, Trostle GE. 2008 Field use of an incubation box for
- improved emergence timing of *Osmia lignaria* populations used for orchard pollination.
- 485 *Apidologie* **39**, 235-246
- 39. Hinarejos S, Domene X and Bosch J. 2015 Oral toxicity of dimethoate to adult *Osmia*
- 487 *cornuta* using an improved laboratory feeding method for solitary bees. 12th Int Symp of
- 488 ICP-PR. Hazards of Pesticides to Bees, Ghent, Belgium, 15–17 September 2014. *Julius*-
- 489 *Kuhn-Arch.* **450**, 192.
- 490 40. Cane JH. 2016 Adult Pollen Diet Essential for Egg Maturation by a Solitary *Osmia* Bee. *J.*
- 491 *Insect Physiol.* **95**, 105–109. (doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2016.09.011)
- 41. David A, Botias C, Abdul-Sada A, Nicholls E, Rotheray EL, Hill EM, Goulson D. 2016
- Widespread contamination of wildflower and bee-collected pollen with complex mixtures of
- neonicotinoids and fungicides commonly applied to crops. *Environ. Int.* **88**, 169–178.
- 495 (doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.12.011)
- 42. Porrini C et al. 2016 The Status of Honey Bee Health in Italy: Results from the Nationwide
- 497 Bee Monitoring Network. *PLOS ONE* **11**, e0155411.
- 498 (doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155411)
- 43. Hladik ML, Vandever M, Smalling KL. 2016 Exposure of native bees foraging in an
- agricultural landscape to current-use pesticides. Sci. Total Environ. **542**, 469–477.
- 501 (doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.077)

- 502 44. Botías C, David A, Hill EM, Goulson D. 2017 Quantifying exposure of wild bumblebees to mixtures of agrochemicals in agricultural and urban landscapes. *Environ. Pollut.* **222**, 73-82.
- 504 45. Samson-Robert O, Labrie G, Chagnon M, Fournier V. 2017 Planting of neonicotinoid-505 coated corn raises honey bee mortality and sets back colony development. *PeerJ* 5, e3670.
- 506 (doi 10.7717/peerj.3670)
- 46. Kiljanek T, Niewiadowska A, Gaweł M, Semeniuk S, Borze M, Posyniak A, Pohorecka K.
   2017 Multiple pesticide residues in live and poisoned honeybees-Preliminary exposure
- ssessment. *Chemosphere* **175**, 36-44.
- 47. Tosi S, Costa C, Vesco U, Quaglia G, Guido G. 2018 A 3-year survey of Italian honey bee collected pollen reveals widespread contamination by agricultural pesticides. *Sci. Total Environ.* 615, 208–218. (doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.226)
- 48. Bonmatin J-M *et al.* 2015 Environmental fate and exposure; neonicotinoids and fipronil. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.* **22**, 35–67. (doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3332-7)
- 49. Ribbands C. 1953 The behaviour and social life of honeybees. London: Bee Research
  Association.
- 50. Heinrich B. 1979 Bumblebee economics. Cambride, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 51. Kessler SC, Tiedeken EJ, Simcock KL, Derveau S, Mitchell J, Softley S, Stout JC, Wright
  GA. 2015 Bees prefer foods containing neonicotinoid pesticides. *Nature* **521**,74–76.
- 52. Baron GL, Raine NE, Brown MJF. 2017 General and species-specific impacts of a neonicotinoid insecticide on the ovary development and feeding of wild bumblebee queens.

  Proc. R. Soc B 284, 20170123.
- 53. Chapman RF. 2013 The insects: structure and function. 5<sup>th</sup> edition (edited by Simpson S. J. and Douglas A. E.). Cambrdige University Press, New York.
- 525 54. Christen V, Mittner F, Fent K. 2016 Molecular Effects of Neonicotinoids in Honey Bees 526 (*Apis mellifera*). Environ. Sci. Technol. **50**, 4071–4081. (doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b00678).
- 55. Williams GR, Troxler A, Retschnig G, Roth K, Yañez O, Shutler D, Neumann P, Gauthier
  L. 2015 Neonicotinoid pesticides severely affect honey bee queens. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14621. (doi: 10.1038/srep1462)
- 56. Duchateau MJ, Velthuis H 1989 Ovarian development and egg laying in workers of Bombus terrestris. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **51**, 199–213. (doi: 10.1111/j.1570-7458.1989.tb01231.x.)
- 57. Sandrock C, Tanadini LG, Pettis JS, Biesmeijer JC, Potts SG, Neumann P. 2014 Sublethal neonicotinoid insecticide exposure reduces solitary bee reproductive success. *Agric For Entomol.* **16**, 119–128.
- 58. Tosi S, Nieh JC, Sgolastra F, Cabbri R, Medrzycki P. 2017 Neonicotinoid pesticides and

536		nutritional stress synergistically reduce survival in honeybees. Proc. R. Soc. B 284,
537		20171711. (dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1711)
538	59.	McArt SH, Fersch AA, Milano NJ, Truitt LL, Böröczky K. 2017 High pesticide risk to
539		honey bees despite low focal crop pollen collection during pollination of a mass blooming
540		crop. Sci. Rep. 7, 46554. (doi: 10.1038/srep46554)
541	60.	Tsvetkov N, Samson-Robert O, Sood K, Patel HS, Malena DA, Gajiwala PH, Maciukiewicz
542		P, Fournier V, Zayed A. 2017. Chronic exposure to neonicotinoids reduces honey-bee health
543		near corn crops. Science <b>356</b> , 1395–1397.
544	61.	Tepedino VJ, Torchio PF. 1982 Phenotypic variability in the nesting success among Osmia
545		lignaria propinqua females in a glasshouse environment (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae).
546		Ecol. Entomol. 7, 453-462.
547	62.	Frohlich DR, Tepedino VJ 1986. Sex ratio, parental investment, and interparent variability
548		in nesting success in a solitary bee. Evolution 40, 142-151.
549	63.	Sugiura N, Maeta Y. 1989 Parental investment and offspring sex ratio in a solitary mason
550		bee, Osmia cornifrons (Radoszkowski) (Hymenoptera, Megachilidae). Japanese Journal of
551		Entomology 57, 861-875.
552	64.	Holmstrup M et al. 2010 Interactions between effects of environmental chemicals and
553		natural stressors: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 408, 3746–3762.
554	65.	Ladurner E, Bosch J, Kemp WP and Maini S. 2005 Assessing delayed and acute toxicity of
555		five formulated fungicides to Osmia lignaria Say and Apis mellifera. Apidologie 36, 449-
556		460.
557	66.	Sgolastra F, Arnan X, Cabbri R, Isani G, Medrzycki P, Teper D, Bosch J. Data from:
558		Combined exposure to sublethal concentrations of an insecticide and a fungicide affect
559		feeding, ovary development and longevity in a solitary bee. Dryad Digital Repository.
560		https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.895pn6p
561		
562		
302		
563		
564		
J		
565		
566		

568 569 Figure 1. Mean + SE test solution ingested during the 4-hour exposure phase in O. bicornis females orally exposed to four treatments (CON: control; CLO: clothianidin; PRO: propiconazole; MIX: 570 571 clothianidin + propiconazole mixture). Different letters denote significant differences (Fisher LSD Post-hoc, P<0.05). 572 Figure 2. Experiment 1- Mean + SE post-exposure feeding rate (µl of feeding solution ingested per 573 day) and longevity in O. bicornis females orally exposed to four treatments (CON: control; CLO: 574 clothianidin; PRO: propiconazole; MIX: clothianidin + propiconazole mixture). Different letters 575 denote significant differences (Fisher LSD Post-hoc, P<0.05). 576 Figure 3. Experiment 1 - Cumulative survival probability of O. bicornis females or ally exposed to 577 578 four treatments (CON: control; CLO: clothianidin; PRO: propiconazole; MIX: clothianidin + 579 propiconazole mixture). Synergistic interactions between CLO and PRO treatments (P < 0.05; onetailed binomial proportion test; assessment times: 4, 8, and 17 days) are marked with an asterisk. 580 **Figure 4.** Experiment 2 - Mean + SE post-exposure feeding rate and basal oocyte length in O. 581 bicornis females orally exposed to four different treatments (CON: control; CLO: clothianidin; 582 PRO: propiconazole; MIX: mixture). Different letters denote significant differences (Fisher LSD 583 Post-hoc, P<0.05). 584 585 Figure 5. Experiment 2 - Cumulative survival probability of O. bicornis females orally exposed to four treatments (CON: control; CLO: clothianidin; PRO: propiconazole; MIX: clothianidin + 586 propiconazole mixture). Synergistic interactions between CLO and PRO treatments (P < 0.05; one-587 tailed binomial proportion test; assessment times: 1, 2, 3 days) are marked with an asterisk. 588 589 590 591 592 593 594

**Table 1.** Best selected ( $\triangle$ AICc < 2) general linear models explaining the effects of treatment (Tr), emergence time (ET), head size (HS) and the interactions between treatment and emergence time and treatment and head size on each response variable. Significant predictors (p < 0.05) in bold, marginally significant predictors (p=0.05 – 0.1) in italics. Positive and negative signs in brackets denote the direction of the relationship.

	Response variable		Model components	AIC <sub>c</sub>	$\Delta AIC_c$	$w_i$	R <sup>2</sup> (%)
	Exposure feeding	1	Tr + ET (+) + HS (+) + Tr:ET	1376.7	0.00	0.592	22
		2	Tr + HS (+)	1378.4	1.73	0.249	17
	Post-exposure feeding rate	1	<i>Tr</i> + <b>ET</b> (-) + <b>HS</b> (+)	707.1	0.00	0.463	21
		2	ET (-) + HS (+)	707.5	0.44	0.371	14
Experiment 1	Longevity (sqrt-transformed)	1	Tr + ET (+) + Tr:ET	380.3	0.00	0.358	26
Exper		2	<b>Tr</b> + <b>ET</b> (-) + HS (+) + <i>Tr:ET</i>	381.3	0.99	0.218	27
		3	Tr + ET (-) + HS (+)	381.9	1.62	0.159	21
		4	Tr + ET (-)	382.2	1.89	0.139	19
	Post-exposure feeding rate	1	Tr	647.5	0.00	0.562	22
2	Oocyte length	1	Tr + HS (+)	-51.0	0.00	0.667	37
Experiment 2		2	Tr + ET (+) + HS (+)	-49.3	1.78	0.273	38
Experi	Vitellogenin concentration (sqrt-transformed)	1	HS (+)	123.1	0.00	0.467	27
		2	ET (-) + <b>HS (+)</b>	123.1	0.03	0.460	31
602							









