# Multifunctional coordination polymers based on Copper(I) and mercaptonicotinic ligands: synthesis, structural, optical and electrical characterization 
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Figure S1. Experimental (obtained by methods A and B) and calculated powder diffractograms of CP1.


Figure S2. Experimental and calculated powder diffractograms of CP2.


Figure S3. Experimental (obtained by methods A and B) and calculated powder diffractograms of CP3.


Figure S4. TGA of CP1.


Figure S5. TGA of CP2.


Figure S6. TGA of CP3.

Table S1. Crystallographic data of CP1 and CP3.

|  | CP1 | CP3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C6 H4 Cu N O2 S | C14 H11 Cu2 I2 N3 O4 S2 |
| Formula weight | 217.71 | 730.28 |
| Temperature | 296(2) K | 293(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P 21/c | P 2 $1 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=3.90740(10) \AA \\ & \mathrm{b}=5.9276(2) \AA \\ & \mathrm{c}=27.9248(10) \AA \\ & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \beta=92.441(2)^{\circ} \\ & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=18.4346(7) \AA \\ & \mathrm{b}=4.2211(2) \AA \\ & \mathrm{c}=28.6476(14) \AA \\ & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \beta=101.236(4)^{\circ} \\ & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 646.19(4) $\AA^{3}$ | 2186.47(17) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $2.228 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $2.218 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $3.633 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | $4.984 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.46 to $25.35^{\circ}$ | 3.3078 to $25.993^{\circ}$ |
| Reflections collected | 10890 | 9060 |
| Independent reflections | 1180 [R(int) $=0.0464$ ] | 4287 [R(int) $=0.0415]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% | 99.9 \% |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 1180 / 0/100 | 4287 / 0/244 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.043 | 1.118 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0304, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1172$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0561, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.952$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0867, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1773$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0808, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1025$ |

Table S2. Crystallographic data of CP2 collected at 293 and 100K.

|  | $\mathrm{CP2}$ (room temperature) | CP2 (100 K) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C6 H5 Cl Cu N O2 S | C6 H5 Cl Cu N O2 S |
| Formula weight | 254.16 | 254.16 |
| Temperature | 293(2) K | 100(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 § | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Hexagonal | Hexagonal |
| Space group | P63 | P63 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & a=15.8853(9) \AA \\ & B=15.8853(9) \AA \\ & c=6.6096(4) \AA \\ & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \beta=90^{\circ} \\ & \gamma=120^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a=15.8563(5) \AA \\ & B=15.8563(5) \AA \\ & c=6.5650(2) \AA \\ & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ & \beta=90^{\circ} \\ & \gamma=120^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 1444.43(10) $\AA^{3}$ | 1429.45(10) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 6 | 6 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.753 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $1.771 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $2.719 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | $2.748 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.4196 to $29.2541^{\circ}$ | 3.4397 to $29.4297^{\circ}$ |
| Reflections collected | 3517 | 3367 |
| Independent reflections | $1906[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0260]$ | $1613[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0286]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.3154^{\circ}$ | 99.7 \% | 99.53 \% |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 1906 / 2 / 96 | 1613 / 2 / 99 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.064 | 1.085 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0532, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1135$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0453, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1215$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0767, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1241$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0607, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1276$ |

Table S3. List of the bond lengths in the three coordination polymers.

|  | CP1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | $2.197(1) \AA / 2.295(1) \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | $2.00(4) \AA$ |


|  | CP2_HT |
| :--- | :---: |
| S01A -Cu 01 | $2.480(7) \AA / 2.326(7) \AA / 2.254(8) \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 01 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Cu} 01$ | $2.498(8) \AA / 2.285(5) \AA / 2.233(8) \AA$ |


|  | CP2_LT |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S} 01 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{Cu} 01$ | $2.258(8) \AA / 2.316(6) \AA / 2.439(8) \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 01 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Cu} 01$ | $2.217(6) \AA / 2.301(8) \AA / 2.464(9) \AA$ |


|  | CP3 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | $2.724(1) \AA / 2.690(1) \AA / 2.601(1) \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | $2.721(1) \AA / 2.709(1) \AA / 2.631(1) \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | $3.218(2) \AA$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2$ | $2.822(1) \AA / 3.420(1) \AA$ |



Figure S7. Detail of the CP1 asymmetric unit, with the representation of the thermal ellipsoids.

b)

Figure S8 . Detail of the CP2 asymmetric unit, with the representation of the thermal ellipsoids;
a) structure collected at room temperature, b) structure collected at 100 K .


Figure S9. Detail of the CP3 asymmetric unit, with the representation of the thermal ellipsoids.

## Structure refinement details for CP2

SHELXT[1] was used for structure solution and SHELXL[2] for the refinement based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms bound to carbon atoms were added in calculated positions.
CP2 presents some internal disorder. Part of the ligand (the pyridinic ring and the sulphur) is disordered over two positions, their occupancy was refined to $50 \%$ at RT and $53 \%$ and $47 \%$ at LT. The pyridinic ring was constrained as hexagon and it was not possible to determine the N position, so it was modeled over the two sites (C02/N02 and C04/N04) with occupancy $50 \%$.
The chlorine atom is split in four positions, the occupancy of the different positions is $33 \% / 31 \%$ $/ 20 \% / 16 \%$ for the structure at room temperature, and $34 \% / 31 \% / 18 \% / 17 \%$ for the structure at 100 K . The disorder of the chlorine is probably connected to the splitting of the ligand in two positions. The four Cl sites can be divided in two couple with an occupancy near to $50 \%$ each, a value compatible with the occupancy of the two ligand configurations. By the distances between the Cl and the nearest H atom $(\mathrm{H} 02 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{H} 02 \mathrm{~B})$, it seem that the sites Cl 1 and Cl 4 are relative to the configuration A of the ligand, while the sites Cl 2 and Cl 3 are relative to the configuration B .
A channel with disordered solvent is run along the 3 -fold axes. The solvent was impossible to model and the SQUEEZE[3] procedure has been applied to complete the refinement. The TGA analysis suggests the presence of $1 / 3$ of water molecule in the asymmetric unit.
All the internal disorder is supposed to be static, in fact, the same disorder is present also in the structure solved at 100 K with almost the same distribution.
The program Mercury[4] was used for all graphical representations and for the calculated powder pattern.


Figure S10. a) Detail of the disordered ligand with conformation A (pink) and conformation B (green) visible, H atoms are omitted for clarity; b) detail of the chlorine (light green) disorder in the four positions.


Figure S11. Appearance of the disordered chain (ligand omitted), a) configuration A seen along b -axis; b) configuration B seen along b -axis; c ) view along c -axis.

## Variable Temperature X-ray diffraction



Figure S12. XRPD measurements at variable temperature for CP1.


Figure S13. XRPD measurements at variable temperature for CP2.


Figure S14. XRPD measurements at variable temperature for CP3.


Figure S15. IR spectra of the H6mna ligand and the three CPs.


Figure S16. Comparison of the IR spectra of H6mna and the three CPs in the region 1800-1500 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S17. (top) Absorption (full line) and emission (dashed line) of H6mna in EtOH (black) and in DCM:MeOH 1:1 (red, the emission spectrum is recorded at 77 K ); (bottom) absorption (empty circles) and emission (full circles) spectra of 6 mna in the solid-state at room temperature.


Figure S18. (top) Absorption (full line) and emission (dashed line) of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ dtdn in EtOH (black) and in DCM:MeOH 1:1 (red, the emission spectrum is recorded at 77 K ); (bottom) absorption spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dtdn}$ in the solid-state at room temperature.

Table S4. Absorption data for ligands 6 mna and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dtdn}$ in EtOH at room temperature.

|  | $\lambda_{\text {max abs }} / \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon / 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| H6mna | $310(2.27), 360 \mathrm{sh}(1.33)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dtdn}$ | $253(3.33), 290(3.45)$ |

Table S5. Luminescence data for CPs 1-3 in the solid state at 77 K (in square brackets values at room temperature).

|  | $\lambda_{\operatorname{maxem}} / \mathrm{nm}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\tau / \mu \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{C P 1}$ | 692 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{C P 2}$ | $622[620]$ | $3.6[2.7]$ |
| $\mathbf{C P 3}$ | 624 | $2.6(50 \%), 11.4(50 \%)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From corrected spectra. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Excitation at 373 or 465 nm .


Figure S20. Crystallographic orientations data of single crystals. First column: abc parameters of CP1 (1A), CP2 (2A) and CP3 (3A). Second column: hkl planes of CP1 (2A), CP2 (2B) and CP3 (3B).


Figure S21. Graph intensity versus voltage of CP1 measured at 300 K .


Figure S22. Graph intensity versus voltage of $\mathbf{C P} 2$ measured at 300 K .


Figure S23. Graph intensity versus voltage of CP3 measured at 300 K .

(a)
(b)

Figure S24. Variation of conductivity versus temperature (a) and resistivity versus temperature (b) of CP1.


Figure S25. Variation of the neperian logarithm of the resistivity, versus the inverse of the temperature of the CP1.
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