

*Supplementary material*

**Describing variability in pig genes involved in coronavirus infections for a One Health perspective in conservation of animal genetic resources**

Samuele Bovo, Giuseppina Schiavo, Anisa Ribani, Valerio J. Utzeri, Valeria Taurisano, Mohamad Ballan, Maria Muñoz, Estefania Alves, Jose P. Araujo, Riccardo Bozzi, Rui Charneca, Federica Di Palma, Ivona Djurkin Kušec, Graham Etherington, Ana I. Fernandez, Fabián García, Juan García-Casco, Danijel Karolyi, Maurizio Gallo, José Manuel Martins, Marie-José Mercat, Yolanda Núñez, Raquel Quintanilla, Čedomir Radović, Violeta Razmaite, Juliette Riquet, Radomir Savić, Martin Škrlep, Graziano Usai, Christoph Zimmer, Cristina Ovilo, Luca Fontanesi

**Supplementary Table S1.** Details on the analyzed animals and investigated breeds.

Breed name	Acronym of the breed name	Native Name	Alternative name	Country / Geographic region	Population	Additional Info
Alentejana	AL	Alentejano, Alentejana	Alentejano	PT / Southwest of the Iberian Peninsula	6464 breeding sows and 510 boars distributed by 137 herds (End of 2017).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/alentejano-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/alentejano-pig</a>
Apulo-Calabrese	AC	Apulo Calabrese	Apulo Calabrese, Calabrese, Nero Abruzzese, Nero Calabrese, Nero dei Lepini, Nero dei Monti Dauni Meridionali, Nero dei Monti Lepini, Nero di Calabria, Nero di Capitanata, Nero Lucano, Nero Maremmano, Nero Pugliese, Nero Reatino, Pugliese	IT / Central-South of Italy (Lazio, Basilicata and Calabria regions)	489 breeding sows and 93 boars distributed in 45 registered farms (August 2015).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/apulo-calabrese-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/apulo-calabrese-pig</a>
Basque	BA	Basque	Basque Pie Noir du Pays Basque Bigourdan Béarnais Basco-Béarnais Navarrin	FR / Basque Country, a region located in the South-West of France and across Spain border.	580 breeding sows distributed in 28 registered farms (January 2017).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/basque-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/basque-pig</a>
Bísara	BI	Bísaro, Bísara	Bísaro	PT / North of Portugal	5460 breeding sows and 520 boars distributed in 189 registered farms (August 2017)	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/b-saro-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/b-saro-pig</a>
Black Slavonian	BS	Black Slavonian	Fajferica	HR / East Croatia	1930 breeding sows and 242 boars distributed in 209 registered farms (December 2017).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/black-slavonian-crna-slavonska-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/black-slavonian-crna-slavonska-pig</a>
Casertana	CA	Casertana	Casertana, Maiale di Teano, Teanese, Pelatella	IT / Central-South of Italy (Campania and Molise regions)	545 breeding sows and 20 boars distributed in 20 registered farms (August 2015).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/nero-casertano-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/nero-casertano-pig</a>

Cinta Senese	CS	Cinta Senese	Cinta Senese, Cinta, Cinto, Cinto Toscano, Cinturello Umbro, Cinturino Umbro, Siena Belted	IT / Central Italy (Tuscany region)	5000 animals distributed in 140 farms	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/cinta-senese-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/cinta-senese-pig</a>
Gascon	GA	Gascon	Gascon	FR / Foot of the Pyrénées mountains in the southwest of France.	1423 breeding sows and 177 breeding males distributed in 64 registered farms (year 2017).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/gascon-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/gascon-pig</a>
Krškopolje	KR	Krškopoljski prašič	Krškopolje pig	SI / Slovenia	311 breeding sows and 60 boars distributed in 130 registered farms (August 2015).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/kr-kopoljski-pra-i-kr-kopolje-pig-">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/kr-kopoljski-pra-i-kr-kopolje-pig-</a>
Lithuanian Indigenous Wattle	LIW	Lietuvos vietines	Lithuanian indigenous wattle	LT / Central part of Lithuania, Baisogala, Radviliškis district	43 breeding sows and 11 boars distributed in 2 registered farms (December 2017)	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/lietuvos-vietin-lithuanian-indigenous-wattle-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/lietuvos-vietin-lithuanian-indigenous-wattle-pig</a>
Lithuanian White Old Type	LWOT	Senojo tipo Lietuvos baltosios	Old type Lithuanian White	LT / Baisogala, Radviliškis district, in the central part of Lithuania	93 breeding sows and 19 boars distributed in 3 registered farms (December 2017).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/lietuvos-baltosios-senojo-tipo-lithuanian-white-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/lietuvos-baltosios-senojo-tipo-lithuanian-white-pig</a>
Majorcan Black	MB	Negre Mallorquí	Black Majorcan Porc Negre Mallorquí Cerdo Negro Mallorquín	ES / Balearic Islands	969 breeding sows and 54 boars distributed in 59 registered farms (August, 2016).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/negre-mallorqu-majorcan-black-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/negre-mallorqu-majorcan-black-pig</a>
Mora Romagnola	MR	Mora Romagnola	Mora Romagnola	IT / North of Italy (Romagna region)	270 breeding sows and 67 boars distributed in the 31 registered farms (August 2015).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/mora-romagnola-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/mora-romagnola-pig</a>
Moravka	MO	Moravka	Moravka	RS / Morava Valley of central Serbia	265 breeding sows and 15 boars distributed in 25 registered farms (February 2018).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/moravka-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/moravka-pig</a>
Nero Siciliano	NS	Nero Siciliano	Nero dei Nebrodi, Nero delle Madonie, Nero dell'Etna	IT / Sicily island	1103 breeding sows and 124 boars distributed in 87 registered farms (August 2015).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/nero-siciliano-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/nero-siciliano-pig</a>
Sarda	SA	Sarda	Sarda	IT / Sardinia island	61 breeding sows and 20 boars distributed in 13 registered farms.	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/sarda-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/sarda-pig</a>
Schwäbisch-Hällisches Schwein	SHS	Schwäbisch-Hällisches Schwein	Schwaebisch-Haellisches Schwein Swabian Hall pig, Swabian Hall swine	DE / Area of Schwäbisch Hall	350 breeding sows and 35 boars distributed in 15 registered farms (August 2015).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/schw-bisch-h-llisches-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/schw-bisch-h-llisches-pig</a>

Swallow-Bellied Mangalitsa	SBMA	Mangulica	Mangalitsa	RS / Serbia	925 sows, 605 gilts and 42 boars distributed in 67 registered farm (End of 2017)	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/mangalitsa-swallow-belly-mangalitsa-pig">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/mangalitsa-swallow-belly-mangalitsa-pig</a>
Turopolje	TU	Turopoljska svinja	Turopolje pig	HR / West Croatia	116 breeding sows and 14 boars distributed in 12 registered farms (August 2016).	<a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/turopolje-pig-turopoljska-svinja-">https://www.intechopen.com/books/european-local-pig-breeds-diversity-and-performance-a-study-of-project-treasure/turopolje-pig-turopoljska-svinja-</a>
Italian Duroc	IDU	Duroc Italiana	Duroc Italiana	IT	-	<a href="http://www.anas.it">www.anas.it</a>
Italian Large White	ILW	Large White Italiana	Large White Italiana	IT	-	<a href="http://www.anas.it">www.anas.it</a>
Italian Landrace	ILA	Landrace Italiana	Landrace Italiana	IT	-	<a href="http://www.anas.it">www.anas.it</a>
Wild Boar	WB	Wild Boar	Wild Boar	IT	-	-

**Supplementary Table S2.** Summary statistics of whole-genome resequencing data.

<b>Breed</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>No. of read pairs</b>	<b>No. of animals</b>	<b>Breadth of coverage (%)</b>	<b>Depth of coverage (×)</b>
<b>Autochthonous (Europe)</b>					
Alentejana	PT	419,690,476	35	98.42	41.98
Apulo-Calabrese	IT	418,529,727	35	98.49	42.12
Basque	FR	407,698,128	30	98.35	39.55
Bísara	PT	415,284,437	35	98.51	42.44
Black Slavonian	HR	405,316,112	35	98.51	40.61
Casertana	IT	435,598,516	35	98.50	43.61
Cinta Senese	IT	422,120,850	35	98.47	42.42
Gascon	FR	408,764,207	30	98.47	41.10
Krškopolje	SI	404,204,144	35	98.52	40.80
Lithuanian Indigenous Wattle	LT	409,935,460	35	98.48	41.99
Lithuanian White Old Type	LT	405,822,217	35	98.45	41.62
Majorcan Black	ES	414,314,159	35	98.48	41.92
Mora Romagnola	IT	411,095,541	35	98.45	41.21
Moravka	RS	413,100,992	35	98.49	42.27
Nero Siciliano	IT	405,812,223	35	98.44	38.92
Sarda	IT	442,035,147	35	98.51	44.32
Schwäbisch-Hällisches Schwein	DE	428,982,876	35	98.48	42.69
Swallow-Bellied Mangalitsa	RS	416,663,891	35	98.45	41.08
Turopolje	HR	416,663,891	35	98.36	42.61
<b>Commercial (Europe)</b>					
Italian Duroc	IT	420,384,723	35	98.51	41.91
Italian Large White	IT	450,673,024	35	98.38	45.24
Italian Landrace	IT	442,780,637	35	98.48	44.35
<b>Wild Boar (Europe)</b>	IT	164,203,815	35	98.20	11.74
Meishan (Asia)	Asia	153,384,747	1	97.86	12.16
Meishan (Asia)	Asia	159,324,510	1	97.95	12.54
Meishan (Asia)	Asia	154,524,714	1	97.20	11.92
Meishan (Asia)	Asia	118,665,143	1	97.65	9.35
Meishan (Asia)	Asia	161,517,220	1	97.92	12.72
<b>Wild Boar (Asia)</b>	Asia	196,283,001	1	98.12	15.02
<b>Wild Boar (Asia)</b>	Asia	84,751,336	1	92.31	6.75

**Supplementary Table S3.** Number of variants in candidate genes discovered in the analysis of European pig breeds.

Gene*	Variant type		Variant location <sup>§</sup>							Variant consequence			
	SNPs	INDELS	Flanking (3'-UTR)	3'-UTR	Exon	Intron	5'-UTR	Flanking (5'-UTR)	Splice region	Synonymous	Missense	Frameshift	
<i>ACE2</i>	750	87	Novel	14	0	2	374	0	71	2	1	1	0
			dbSNP	33	2	21	428	0	0	3	11	10	0
			<b>Total</b>	47	2	23	802	0	71	5	12	11	0
<i>ANPEP</i>	161	12	Novel	6	0	0	11	0	3	0	0	0	0
			dbSNP	25	4	7	98	1	35	1	3	4	0
			<b>Total</b>	31	4	7	109	1	38	1	3	4	0
<i>DPP4</i>	401	59	Novel	5	0	3	53	1	3	0	2	1	0
			dbSNP	21	5	3	344	4	18	1	2	1	0
			<b>Total</b>	26	5	6	397	5	21	1	4	2	0
<i>TMPRSS2</i>	687	72	Novel	7	3	0	54	0	6	0	0	0	1
			dbSNP	91	19	18	474	0	87	3	11	5	0
			<b>Total</b>	98	22	18	526	0	93	3	11	5	1

\* The identifier of the canonical transcript and gene coordinates are reported in Table 1. Data consider also additional flanking regions of 5 kbp.

§ The total sum is not equal to the no. of called variants (variant type) as they can co-locate or have multiple consequences as predicted with VEP.

## Supplementary Table S4. ACE2 residues critical for protein function and coronaviruses

pathogenesis.

Interaction sites <sup>1</sup>	
HSA*	S19 Q24 A25 K26 T27 F28 D30 K31 H34 E35 E37 D38 Y41 Q42 L45 K68 L79 M82 Y83 N90 Q325 E329 N330 N339 K353 G354 D355 R357 M383 P389 R393 S425 P426 D427 R559
SSC <sup>^</sup>	. L24 . . F27 . E30 . L34 . . . . I79 T82 T90 N329 . . . . . P425 . . S559
NGS <sup>§</sup>	. . . . . F83 . . . . .
Binding/Active sites <sup>2</sup>	
	Binding site Binding site Binding site Binding site Binding site Metal binding Active site Metal binding Metal binding Binding site Binding site Binding site Binding site
HSA	R169 R273 H345 P346 T371 H374 E375 H378 E402 W477 K481 H505 Y515
SSC	. . . . .
NGS	. . . . .
Cleavage by ADAM17 <sup>2</sup>	
HSA	R652 Q653 Y654 655F L656 K657 V658 K659
SSC	. N653 . . S656 S657 A658 .
NGS	. . . . N657 R657 . .
Cleavage by TMPRSS1 and TMPRSS2 <sup>2</sup>	
HSA	R697 T698 E699 V700 E701 K702 A703 I704 R705 M706 S707 R708 S709 R710 I711 N712 D713 A714 F715 R716
SSC	. S698 D699 . . . . S705 . . . . .
NGS	. . . . . E702 . . . . . H716
Glycosylation sites <sup>3</sup>	
HSA	N53 N90 N103 N322 N432 N546
SSC	. T90 S103 . . .
NGS	. . . . .

\* Residues are based on the human UniProtKB entry Q9BYF1.

<sup>^</sup> Residues are based on the porcine UniProtKB entry K7GLM4. A dot represents an identical residue where residues differing between human and pig are reported.

<sup>§</sup> Variants identified in the next generation sequencing datasets. Residues are reported. A dot represents an identical residue.

<sup>1</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Lan et al. (2020), Shang et al. (2020), Benetti et al. (2020), Sun et al. (2020), Damas et al. (2020), Li W et al. (2005), Li F et al (2005), Luan et al. (2020), Cao et al. (2020)

<sup>2</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Towler et al. (2004)

<sup>3</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Towler et al. (2004), Sun et al. (2020), Kristiansen et al. (2004), Chen et al. (2009).

## Supplementary Table S5. ANPEP residues critical for protein function and coronaviruses

pathogenesis. Residues differing between human and pig are underlined.

Interaction sites <sup>1</sup>	
HSA*	D288 Y289 V290 E291 K292 Q293 A294 S295 D315 L318
SSC <sup>^</sup>	<u>Q283</u> <u>S284</u> V285 <u>N286</u> <u>E287</u> <u>T288</u> A289 <u>Q290</u> <u>M310</u> L313
NGS <sup>§</sup>	. . . . .
Binding/Active sites <sup>2</sup>	
HSA	H388 Metal binding E389 Active site H392 Metal binding E411 Metal binding Y477 Site
SSC	H383 E384 H387 E406 Y472
NGS	. . . . .
Glycosylation sites <sup>3</sup>	
HSA	N128 N234 N265 N319 N527 N573 N625 N681 N818
SSC	N124 N229 <u>S260</u> N314 <u>D521</u> N569 N622 N676 <u>Q869</u>
NGS	. . . . .

\* Residues are based on the human UniProtKB entry P15144.

<sup>^</sup> Residues are based on the porcine UniProtKB entry A0A5G2QI26. Residues differing between human and pig are underlined.

<sup>§</sup> Variants identified in the next generation sequencing datasets. Residues are reported. A dot represents an identical residue.

<sup>1</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Li Z et al. (2019), Wentworth et al. (2019).

<sup>2</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Wong A et al. (2012), Kolb et al. (1996).

<sup>3</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Wentworth et al. (2019), Wong et al. (2012).



## Supplementary Table S6. DPP4 residues critical for protein function and coronaviruses

pathogenesis. Residues differing between human and pig are underlined.

Interaction sites <sup>1</sup>															
HSA*	<u>L267</u>	<u>P269</u>	Q286	<u>I288</u>	<u>A289</u>	A291	L294	I295	H298	<u>A317</u>	<u>T322</u>	R336	Q344	I346	<u>L392</u>
SSC <sup>^</sup>	<u>K334</u>	<u>F336</u>	Q353	<u>V355</u>	<u>P356</u>	A358	L361	I362	H365	<u>R384</u>	<u>Y389</u>	R403	Q411	I413	<u>S459</u>
NGS <sup>§</sup>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Active sites <sup>2</sup>															
HSA	E205	E206	Y547	S630	D708	H740									
SSC	E272	E273	Y614	S697	D775	H807									
NGS	.	.	.	.	.	.									
Glycosylation sites <sup>3</sup>															
HSA	N85	N92	N150	N219	N229	<u>N281</u>	N321	<u>N520</u>	N685						
SSC	N152	N159	N217	N286	N296	<u>S348</u>	N388	<u>H587</u>	N752						
NGS	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.						

\*Residues are based on the human UniProtKB entry P27487.

<sup>^</sup> Residues are based on the porcine UniProtKB entry A0A5G2Q7G7. Residues differing between human and pig are underlined.

<sup>§</sup> Variants identified in the next generation sequencing datasets. Residues are reported. A dot represents an identical residue.

<sup>1</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Wang N et al. (2013), de Wit et al. (2017).

<sup>2</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Kirby et al. (2010).

<sup>3</sup> UniProtKB annotations, Hiramatsu et al. (2003), Rasmussen et al. (2003), Thoma et al. (2003), Meng et al. (2010), Chen R et al. (2009).

**Supplementary Table S7.** TMPRSS2 residues critical for protein function and coronavirus pathogenesis.

	Cleavage/Binding/Active sites <sup>1</sup>							
	Cleavage	Cleavage	Active site	Active site	substrate binding	Active site	substrate binding	substrate binding
HSA*	R255	I256	H296	I346	D435	S441	S460	G462
SSC <sup>^</sup>	R257	I258	H298	I345	D434	S440	S459	G461
NGS <sup>§</sup>	.	<u>V258</u>	.	.	.	.	.	.

\* Residues are based on the human UniProtKB entry O15393.

<sup>^</sup> Residues are based on the porcine UniProtKB entry A0A287AFA0. Residues differing between human and pig are underlined.

<sup>§</sup> Variants identified in the next generation sequencing datasets. Residues are reported. A dot represents an identical residue.

<sup>1</sup> Hussain et al. (2020), Afar et al. (2001), Benetti et al. (2020).



<i>TMPRSS2</i>	13	204881920	G/GT	-	A309SX	0.28	0.41	0.75	0.47	0.29	0.27	0.40	0.78	0.74	0.15	0.08	0.57	0.00	0.19	0.47	0.20	0.35	0.61	0.87	0.64	0.12	0.43	0.30	C	C	C	C	C	C	NC
<i>TMPRSS2</i>	13	204883347	T/C	rs699066732	I258V	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
<i>TMPRSS2</i>	13	204887942	A/T	rs703753915	F195I	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC

\* Acronyms of the breed name are given in Supplementary Table S1.

§ *ME* indicates Meishan pigs. *C* and *NC* indicate carries and non-carries of the variant.

**Supplementary Table S9.** All functional coding variants in the porcine *ACE2*, *ANPEP*, *DPP4* and *TMPRSS2* genes detected by mining whole genome resequencing datasets and retrieved from Ensembl, release 100.

Location <sup>1</sup>	Alleles	Variant ID	Gene	Transcript	Consequence	Residue	SIFT	SIFT score	NGS
X:12103359	G/A	rs713862336	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	P738L	deleterious - low confidence	0.04	YES
X:12103425	C/T	rs323807708	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	R716H	tolerated - low confidence	0.08	YES
X:12105547	T/C	rs322684836	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	K702E	tolerated - low confidence	1.00	YES
X:12107234	G/A	rs696938608	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	A658V	tolerated - low confidence	1.00	YES
X:12107236	A/T	rs703692808	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	S657R	tolerated - low confidence	0.10	YES
X:12107237	C/T	rs713746699	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	S657N	tolerated - low confidence	0.09	YES
X:12107248	A/C	rs345377857	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	N653K	tolerated - low confidence	1.00	YES
X:12109953	T/A	rs321042645	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	E631D	tolerated	0.52	YES
X:12109958	T/C	rs328679136	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	K630E	tolerated	0.40	YES
X:12120704	T/C	rs334297294	<i>ACE2</i>	ENSSSCT00000034032.2	missense variant	I305V	tolerated	0.27	YES
X:12136848	T/A	-	<i>ACE2</i>	-	missense variant	Y83F	tolerated	1.00	YES
7:55351535	C/G	rs321552301	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	E1015Q	tolerated	0.21	NO
7:55351584	G/T	rs702354052	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	N998K	deleterious	0.01	NO
7:55351589	C/T	rs80819730	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	A997T	tolerated	0.17	NO
7:55352761	A/G	rs80840529	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	L904P	tolerated	0.20	NO
7:55352771	T/G	rs690295979	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	N901H	deleterious	0.01	NO
7:55359943	A/G	rs694785744	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	L701P	tolerated	0.31	NO
7:55359949	G/A	rs704508162	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	T699I	tolerated	0.07	NO
7:55359973	C/T	rs708356135	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	R691K	tolerated	0.50	NO
7:55359983	C/T	rs694071064	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	D688N	tolerated	0.46	NO
7:55359986	C/T	rs790254658	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	E687K	tolerated	0.83	NO
7:55360022	T/C	rs322932309	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	I675V	tolerated	0.50	YES
7:55360093	C/T	rs332460794	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	R651Q	tolerated	0.47	YES
7:55360105	G/A	rs696362099	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	A647V	tolerated	0.29	NO
7:55360111	A/G	rs703234834	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	F645S	tolerated	0.07	NO
7:55360118	T/C	rs712865931	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	M643V	tolerated	0.51	NO
7:55360246	T/C	rs705719244	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	D600G	tolerated	0.38	NO
7:55360249	C/T	rs691486822	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	R599Q	tolerated	0.59	NO
7:55360253	G/T	rs698244278	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	L598M	tolerated	0.23	NO
7:55360585	C/T	rs698986521	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	E567K	tolerated	0.20	NO
7:55363723	C/G	rs695736506	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	E359D	deleterious	-	YES
7:55363906	G/A	rs331380848	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	P330S	tolerated	1.00	YES
7:55364439	A/G	rs708466949	<i>ANPEP</i>	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	V293A	deleterious	0.01	NO

7:55365462	G/A	rs323965258	ANPEP	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	S164L	tolerated	0.27	YES
7:55365547	C/T	rs711934067	ANPEP	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	G136S	tolerated	0.27	NO
7:55365619	G/A	rs334494411	ANPEP	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	P112S	tolerated	0.66	YES
7:55365631	G/T	rs431825257	ANPEP	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	frameshift variant	FI107FX	-	-	NO
7:55365631	G/T	rs431825257	ANPEP	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	frameshift variant	FI107LX	-	-	NO
7:55365858	A/G	rs342665405	ANPEP	ENSSSCT00000086218.1	missense variant	V32A	tolerated	0.09	NO
15:68663912	T/C	rs706766380	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	missense variant	H771R	deleterious	-	NO
15:68663923	G/T	rs692548435	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	stop gained	Y767*	-	--	NO
15:68673354	A/G	-	DPP4	-	missense variant	Y749H	tolerated	0.70	YES
15:68676800	G/A	rs697343146	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	missense variant	S704L	deleterious	-	YES
15:68680521	T/C	rs81213853	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	missense variant	S636G	deleterious	-	NO
15:68694798	G/A	rs704514617	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	splice region variant	A409V	tolerated		
15:68696930	T/C	rs697267964	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	missense variant	I383V	deleterious	0.04	YES
15:68704861	G/A	rs325595747	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	missense variant	T340I	tolerated	0.16	YES
15:68706434	G/T	rs712862703	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	missense variant	P301T	deleterious	0.01	NO
15:68710385	A/T	rs706520405	DPP4	ENSSSCT00000067722.1	missense variant	N237K	deleterious	0.01	NO
13:204877719	A/-	rs789572246	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	frameshift variant	P519X	-	-	YES
13:204877721	G/T	rs789944785	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	P519T	-	-	YES
13:204877772	A/T	rs341813954	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	C502S	deleterious - low confidence	0.04	YES
13:204877780	A/G	rs702135491	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	L499P	deleterious - low confidence	0.03	NO
13:204878494	A/G	rs697132526	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	M400T	tolerated	0.58	
13:204881040	A/G	rs690909053	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	W379R	deleterious	-	NO
13:204881905	A/C	rs704726313	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	L314W	deleterious	-	NO
13:204881920	G/GC	-	TMPRSS2	-	frameshift variant			-	YES
13:204881920	G/GC	-	TMPRSS2	-	splice region variant	A309G/X			
13:204881920	G/GT	-	TMPRSS2	-	frameshift variant			-	YES
13:204881920	G/GT	-	TMPRSS2	-	splice region variant	A309G/X			
13:204881921	C/T	rs337297302	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	A309T	tolerated	0.06	NO
13:204883347	T/C	rs699066732	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	I258V	deleterious	0.02	YES
13:204887898	G/T	rs711589152	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	S209R	tolerated	0.09	NO
13:204887942	A/T	rs703753915	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	F195I	deleterious	0.02	YES
13:204895495	T/C	rs337083256	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	I97V	tolerated	1.00	NO
13:204901994	T/C	rs793686550	TMPRSS2	ENSSSCT00000041631.2	missense variant	Q52R	tolerated	0.09	NO

## References

- Afar, D.E., Vivanco, I., Hubert, R.S., *et al.* (2001) Catalytic cleavage of the androgen-regulated TMPRSS2 protease results in its secretion by prostate and prostate cancer epithelia. *Cancer Res.* 61, 1686-1692. <https://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/61/4/1686.long>.
- Benetti, E., Tita, R., Spiga, O., *et al.* (2020) ACE2 gene variants may underlie interindividual variability and susceptibility to COVID-19 in the Italian population. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-020-0691-z>.
- Cao, Y., Li, L., Feng, Z., *et al.* (2020) Comparative genetic analysis of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2) receptor ACE2 in different populations. *Cell Discov.* 6, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-020-0147-1>.
- Chen, R., Jiang, X., Sun, D., *et al.* (2009) Glycoproteomics analysis of human liver tissue by combination of multiple enzyme digestion and hydrazide chemistry. *J. Proteome Res.* 8, 651-661. <https://doi.org/10.1021/pr8008012>.
- Chen, R., Jiang, X., Sun, D., *et al.* (2009) Glycoproteomics analysis of human liver tissue by combination of multiple enzyme digestion and hydrazide chemistry. *J. Proteome Res.* 8, 651-661. <https://doi.org/10.1021/pr8008012>.
- Damas, J., Hughes, G.M., Keough, K.C., *et al.* (2020) Broad Host Range of SARS-CoV-2 Predicted by Comparative and Structural Analysis of ACE2 in Vertebrates. *bioRxiv*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.16.045302>.
- de Wit, E., Feldmann, F., Horne, E., *et al.* (2017) Domestic pig unlikely reservoir for MERS-CoV. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 23, 985-988. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2306.170096>.
- Hiramatsu, H., Kyono, K., Higashiyama, Y., *et al.* (2003) The structure and function of human dipeptidyl peptidase IV, possessing a unique eight-bladed  $\beta$ -propeller fold. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 302, 849-854. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-291x\(03\)00258-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-291x(03)00258-4).
- Hussain, M., Jabeen, N., Amanullah, A., Baig, A.A., Aziz, B., Shabbir, S., Raza, F. (2020) Structural Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Priming by TMPRSS2. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.21.052639>.
- Kirby, M., Yu, D.M., O'connor, S., Gorrell, M.D. (2010) Inhibitor selectivity in the clinical application of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibition. *Clin. Sci.* 118, 31-41. <https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20090047>.
- Kolb, A.F., Maile, J., Heister, A., Siddell, S.G. (1996) Characterization of functional domains in the human coronavirus HCV 229E receptor. *J. Gen. Virol.* 77, 2515-2521. <https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-77-10-2515>.
- Kristiansen, T.Z., Bunkenborg, J., Gronborg, M., *et al.* (2004) A proteomic analysis of human bile. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 3, 715-728. <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M400015-MCP200>.
- Lan, J., Ge, J., Yu, J., *et al.* (2020) Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* 581, 215-220. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2180-5>.
- Li, F., Li, W., Farzan, M., Harrison, S.C. (2005). Structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-binding domain complexed with receptor. *Science* 309, 1864-1868. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116480>.
- Li, W., Zhang, C., Sui, J., *et al.* (2005). Receptor and viral determinants of SARS-coronavirus adaptation to human ACE2. *EMBO J.* 24, 1634-1643. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600640>.
- Li, Z., Tomlinson, A.C., Wong, A.H., *et al.* (2019) The human coronavirus HCoV-229E S-protein structure and receptor binding. *Elife* 8, e51230. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.51230>.
- Luan, J., Jin, X., Lu, Y., Zhang, L. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 spike protein favors ACE2 from Bovidae and Cricetidae. *J. Med. Virol.*, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25817>.
- Meng, W., Brigance, R.P., Chao, H.J., *et al.* (2010) Discovery of 6-(Aminomethyl)-5-(2, 4-dichlorophenyl)-7-methylimidazo [1, 2-a] pyrimidine-2-carboxamides as Potent, Selective Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 (DPP4) Inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 53, 5620-5628. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm100634a>.

- Rasmussen, H.B., Branner, S., Wiberg, F.C., Wagtmann, N. (2003) Crystal structure of human dipeptidyl peptidase IV/CD26 in complex with a substrate analog. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 10, 19-25. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nsb882>.
- Shang, J., Ye, G., Shi, K., *et al.* (2020) Structural basis of receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 581, 221-224. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2179-y>.
- Sun, J., He, W.T., Wang, L., *et al.* (2020) COVID-19: epidemiology, evolution, and cross-disciplinary perspectives. *Trends Mol. Med.* 26, 483-495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2020.02.008>
- Thoma, R., Löffler, B., Stihle, M., Huber, W., Ruf, A., Hennig, M. (2003) Structural basis of proline-specific exopeptidase activity as observed in human dipeptidyl peptidase-IV. *Structure* 11, 947-959. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0969-2126\(03\)00160-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0969-2126(03)00160-6).
- Towler, P., Staker, B., Prasad, S.G., *et al.* (2004) ACE2 X-ray structures reveal a large hinge-bending motion important for inhibitor binding and catalysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 17996-18007. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M311191200>.
- Wang, N., Shi, X., Jiang, L., *et al.* (2013) Structure of MERS-CoV spike receptor-binding domain complexed with human receptor DPP4. *Cell Res.* 23, 986-993. <https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2013.92>.
- Wentworth, D.E., Holmes, K.V. (2001) Molecular determinants of species specificity in the coronavirus receptor aminopeptidase N (CD13): influence of N-linked glycosylation. *J. Virol.* 75, 9741-9752. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.75.20.9741-9752.2001>.
- Wong, A.H., Zhou, D., Rini, J.M. (2012) The X-ray crystal structure of human aminopeptidase N reveals a novel dimer and the basis for peptide processing. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 36804-36813. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.398842>.