

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Construction of a Functionalized Selenophene-Allylidene Ligand via Alkyne Double Action at a Diiron Complex

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: Construction of a Functionalized Selenophene-Allylidene Ligand via Alkyne Double Action at a Diiron Complex / De Palo A.; Zacchini S.; Pampaloni G.; Marchetti F.. - In: EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INORGANIC CHEMISTRY. - ISSN 1434-1948. - STAMPA. - 2020:34(2020), pp. 3268-3276. [10.1002/ejic.202000371]

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/782540 since: 2020-11-29

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.202000371

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

A. De Palo, S. Zacchini, G. Pampaloni, F. Marchetti, "Construction of a Functionalized Selenophene-Allylidene Ligand via Alkyne Double Action at a Diiron Complex", *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **2020**, 3268-3276.

Thefinalpublishedversionisavailableonlineat:https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.202000371

Rights / License: Licenza per Accesso Aperto. Creative Commons Attribuzione - Non

commerciale - Non opere derivate 4.0 (CCBYNCND)

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

Construction of Functionalized Selenophene-Allylidene Ligand via Alkyne Double Action at a Diiron Complex

Alice De Palo,^a Stefano Zacchini,^b Guido Pampaloni,^a Fabio Marchetti^{a,*}

^a Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università di Pisa, Via G. Moruzzi 13, I-56124 Pisa, Italy.

^b Dipartimento di Chimica Industriale "Toso Montanari", Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 4, I-40136 Bologna, Italy.

Corresponding Author

*E-mail address: fabio.marchetti1974@unipi.it (webpage: http://people.unipi.it/fabio_marchetti1974/).

Abstract

The diiron μ -vinyliminium compounds [Fe₂Cp₂(CO)(μ -CO){ μ - η ¹: η ³-C³HC²HC¹NMe(R)}]CF₃SO₃ (R = Me, 2a; R = Xyl = 2,6-C₆H₃Me₂, 2b; Cp = η^{5} -C₅H₅) reacted with gray selenium, in the presence of sodium methoxide, to give the corresponding Se-functionalized derivatives $[Fe_2Cp_2(CO)(\mu-CO)\{\mu-CO)\}$ η^{1} : η^{3} -C³HC²(Se)C¹NMe(R)]], **3a-b**, in ca. 50% yields. The monoiron zwitterionic complex $[FeCp(CO){SeC^{1}(NMe_{2})C^{2}HC^{3}H}]$, 4. was obtained as a side-product (31%) of the reaction leading to **3a**. The of 3b with S₈/NaOMe afforded the treatment 2-ferra-thiophene $[FeCp(CO){SC^{3}HC^{2}HC^{1}NMe(Xyl)}]$, 5, in 49% yield. The straightforward reactions of 3a with a twofold excess of dialkyl-acetylenedicarboxylates led to functionalized 3-amino-selenophenes appended to ligand, $[Fe_2Cp_2(CO)(\mu-CO)\{\mu-n^1:n^3$ allylidene diiron frame through a bridging the $C^{7}(CO_{2}R)C^{6}(CO_{2}R)C^{3}HC^{2}SeC^{5}(CO_{2}R)C^{4}(CO_{2}R)C^{1}(NMe_{2})\}]$ (R = Me, **6a**; R = Et, **6b**; R = ^tBu, **6c**), in approximately 50% yields. The synthesis of **6a-c** is the result of two distinct modes of reactivity exhibited by the alkyne reactant in one pot, i.e. 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition to C and Se atoms and insertion into Fe-µ-alkylidene. All the products were characterized by means of elemental analysis, IR and multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, and the molecular structure of **6a** was elucidated by a single crystal X-ray diffraction study.

Keywords: diiron complexes; alkyne insertion; 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition; bridging alkylidene; selenophene


Introduction

Alkynes are versatile reagents in a multitude of valuable organic transformations,¹ some of them being established even on an industrial scale, such as the Dötz reaction,² the alkyne metathesis³ and the alkyne cyclotrimerization.⁴ Alkynes are prone to cyclization reactions, and in particular 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions to various substrates provide straightforward access to a range of heterocyclic structures possibly finding significant pharmaceutical applications.⁵ The insertion of an alkyne molecule into a metal-carbon bond represents the key step of useful metal-catalyzed syntheses,⁶ and is a viable procedure to grow organometallic fragments. This kind of reaction has been described with reference to a variety of monometal species and ligands, including alkyls,⁷ aryls,⁸ vinyls,⁹ and alkylidenes.¹⁰ Two adjacent metal centres are able to provide cooperative effects in reactivity, thus favouring the insertion of alkynes into the bond between one metal and a suitable bridging ligand;¹¹ in this regard, a wide number of reactions have been reported involving, *inter alia*, bridging carbonyl,¹² thiocarbonyl,¹³ isocyanide,¹⁴ carbyne,¹⁵ and carbydo units.¹⁶

The insertion of (electron-poor) alkynes into metal- μ -alkylidene bonds aroused attention due to its relevance to the C-C chain growth in the Fischer-Tropsch process,¹⁷ and has been documented with complexes based on the $[M_2Cp_2(CO)_2]$ skeleton (M = Fe, Ru),¹⁸ and Rh-Ru,¹⁹ Rh-Os²⁰ and Ir-Os systems.²¹ In general, a vacant metal site is necessary to allow alkyne entrance through preliminary η^2 -coordination; both chemical and photolytic methods may be employed to this purpose,^{19,20} except in the rare case that cleavage of the metal-metal linkage is feasible.²¹

The interest of some of us in the construction of functionalized organometallic structures, since almost 20 years ago, has been focused on diiron complexes,^{11c,22} which constitute a matter of growing interest for several reasons. First, the dinuclear system offers the opportunity for reactivity patterns which are not available to similar mononuclear species, as also mentioned above. In addition, iron as element is substantially nontoxic and earth abundant, and thus an appealing candidate to develop new sustainable metal-directed synthetic routes and metal complexes for medicinal applications.²³


In the framework of our research, we described the sequential assembly of small molecular units to afford diiron complexes with a bridging-coordinated Se-functionalized ligand (**A**); this latter is firmly anchored to the [Fe-Fe] frame through a C₃ chain featured by an extensive charge delocalization and described by means of three main resonance forms (see Scheme 1).²⁴ However, the C³ carbon clearly manifests a substantial alkylidene character, according to X-ray (equidistance between the two iron atoms, as determined for R = Xyl, R' = Me) and ¹³C NMR (typical low-field resonance in the range 180-200 ppm) data. Compounds of type **A** exhibit a rich chemistry which is essentially related to the zwitterionic nature of the bridging ligand.^{24b,25} In particular, a peculiar combination of substituents enables 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition by dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate, leading to the selenophene-substituted μ -alkylidene species **B**^{Me} (Scheme 1);²⁶ it is remarkable that the use of a large excess (up to 10 eq.) of alkyne does not modify this outcome. Herein, we will show that the Me/H replacement (R') results in a dramatic change of the reactivity with dialkyl acetylenedicarboxylates, activating two distinct reactivity modes and thus providing access to a novel class of highly functionalized hydrocarbyl ligands.



Scheme 1. Resonance forms representing a bridging Se-derivatized C₃ ligand (**A-I**: allylidene; **A-II**: vinyliminium; **A-III**: bis-alkylidene), and alkyne cycloaddition to form a μ -alkylidene-selenophene derivative (**B**^{Me}).²⁶ R = Me or XyI = 2,6-C₆H₃Me₂; R' = alkyl, aryl or CO₂Me.

Results and discussion

The previously reported diiron vinyliminium compounds **2a-b** were prepared from the respective μ aminocarbyne precursors, **1a-b**, via removal of one carbonyl ligand and subsequent acetylene insertion into iron-carbyne bond, using an optimized procedure (see Scheme 2 and Experimental for details). The reaction of **2a-b** with an excess of sodium methoxide, in tetrahydrofuran in the presence of gray selenium, proceeded with dehydrogenative selanylation affording the new selenium-functionalized complexes **3a-b**,^{24b,25} in approximately 50% yields (Scheme 2).




Scheme 2. Synthesis of di- and monoiron complexes via reactions of diiron vinyliminium compounds with elemental chalcogens and sodium methoxide. **1a**, **2a**, **3a**: R = Me; **1b**, **2b**, **3b**: $R = XyI = 2,6-C_6H_3Me_2$.

Compound **3b** was isolated after alumina chromatography as a stable solid under N₂ atmosphere, and fully characterized; conversely, 3a revealed rather unstable especially in solution for prolonged times, hence its characterization relied on elemental analysis, IR and ¹H NMR only. The IR spectra of **3a-b** in dichloromethane exhibit two bands due to the carbonyl ligands at ca. 1970 and 1790 cm⁻¹, and an additional absorption accounting for the substantial double bond nature of C^{1} -N (e.g. at 1603 cm⁻¹ in the case of **2b**). The NMR spectra of **3a-b** (in CDCl₃) display diagnostic low field resonances due to the alkylidene {C³H} unit [e.g. in the case of **3b**: $\delta({}^{1}H) = 12.42 \text{ ppm}, \delta({}^{13}C) = 186.7 \text{ ppm}$]. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **3a**, the N-bound methyl groups give raise to tow distinct resonances, at 3.75 and 3.39 ppm. However, of the two possible isomeric forms expected for **3b** (E and Z, with reference to the possible orientations of the two different N-substituents), only the Z isomer exists in CDCl₃ solution, based on a comparison of the NMR data with those previously reported for analogous complexes.^{24b,25} The selenium centre in **3b** manifests itself with a ⁷⁷Se signal falling at 241.8 ppm. The reaction leading to 3a is not selective, since a less amount of the monoiron, zwitterionic complex 4 was produced, which was recovered in 31% yield after work-up. Complex 4, maintaining the C^2 -H unit, might be viewed as the result of selenium incorporation along a fragmentation process initiated by electron transfer to 2a.²⁷ Compound 4 belongs to the rare family of 2-ferra-5-iminium-selenophenes, and was identified on the basis of a comparison of its IR and NMR features with those of the strictly analogous species $[FeCp(CO){SeC^{1}(NMe_{2})C^{2}HC^{3}(Me)}]$, crystallographically characterized and recently described.^{24b} The iminium character of the C^1 =N bond is evidenced by the non equivalence of the methyl substituents in the ¹H NMR spectrum (3.64 and 3.33 ppm, CDCl₃ solution). The C³ carbon exhibits some alkylidene nature $[\delta(^{13}C) =$

174.1 ppm], while {C²H} is substantially an alkenic group [δ (¹H) = 7.43 ppm, δ (¹³C) = 137.5 ppm]. The selenium atom resonates at 210.1 ppm.


Reactions analogous to those yielding **3a-b** but employing elemental sulphur, surprisingly, did not afford diiron derivatives (Scheme 2).^{24a} More precisely, a complicated mixture of products was recovered from **3a**, while the reaction involving **3b** resulted in the prevalent formation of the 2-ferrathiophene **5**. Compound **5**, belonging to a family of strictly similar compounds which was described previously,^{24a,28} was isolated in 49% yield and unambiguously identified by means of a comparative analysis of spectroscopic data. The IR spectrum in CH_2Cl_2 consists of an intense band at 1935 cm⁻¹. The NMR spectra show a single set of resonances, ascribable to the E configuration of substituents at the iminium moiety. The C¹ carbon resonates at 253.0 ppm, thus revealing its aminocarbene identity.²⁹ Typical low field resonances feature also the C² and C³ carbons (132.8 and 182.6 ppm, respectively).^{24a} The treatment of a CH_2Cl_2 solution of **3a** with a two-fold molar excess of dialkylacetylenedicarboxylates led to the formation of complexes **6a-c**, containing a unprecedented bridging selenophene-modified allylidene ligand (Scheme 3). Compounds **6a-c** were isolated in ca. 50% yields after purification by alumina chromatography.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of functionalized selenophene-allylidene diiron complexes, via alkyne 1,3-dipolar annulation (i) and alkyne insertion into Fe- μ -alkylidene bond (ii).

The structure of **6a** was ascertained by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 1, Table 1). It consists of a *cis*-[Fe₂Cp₂(CO)(μ -CO)] core, to which is (μ - η^{1} : η^{3})-coordinated an allylidene ligand functionalized with a very rare example of multi-substituted 3-amino-selenophene.^{30,31}

It should be noted that allylidene ligands bridging two metal centres in a μ -n¹:n³ fashion are not uncommon in organometallic chemistry,³² being previously described also in analogous complexes based on the $[M_2Cp_2(CO)(\mu-CO)]$ scaffold (M = Fe³³ or Ru³⁴). However, such ligands typically consist of a hydrocarbyl C₃ chain, bearing only a limited functionalization in some cases.³³ In **6a**, Fe(1) is σ coordinated to C(7) [Fe(1)-C(7) 1.948(5) Å], whereas Fe(2) is coordinated to all three carbon atoms constituting the η^3 -allylidene unit, i.e. C(3), C(6) and C(7). In agreement with this, C(6)-C(7) [1.438(7) Å] and C(6)-C(3) [1.438(6) Å] distances are almost identical and manifest some π -character. Nonetheless, Fe(2)-C(7) [1.965(5) Å] is significantly shorter than Fe(2)-C(6) [2.035(5) Å] and Fe(2)-C(3) [2.061(5) Å], suggesting also a vinylalkylidene character of the $C^3-C^6-C^7$ moiety. The μ -CO ligand shows a considerable asymmetry [Fe(1)-C(12) 1.981(5) Å, Fe(2)-C(12) 1.902(5) Å], as a consequence of the more p-acidic terminal CO ligand on Fe(1) with respect to the η^3 -allylidene ligand on Fe(2). The selenophene ring is perfectly planar [mean deviation from the Se(1)-C(2)-C(1)-C(4)-C(5) least squares plane 0.0159 Å] and the bonding parameters are comparable to those available for analogous hetero-cyclic systems.^{26,31} The C(1)-N(1) distance [1.419(6) Å] is typical for a C(sp²)-N single bond,³⁵ as also suggested by the sum of the angles at N(1) [346.5(7)°], which indicates a sp³ rather than sp^2 hybridization of nitrogen.






Figure 1. Molecular structure of **6a**. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 30% probability level. H-atoms, except H(3), have been omitted for clarity.

Fe(1)-Fe(2)	2.5302(10)	Fe(1)-C(11)	1.750(6)
Fe(1)-C(12)	1.981(5)	Fe(2)-C(12)	1.902(5)
Fe(1)-C(7)	1.948(5)	Fe(2)-C(7)	1.965(5)
Fe(2)-C(6)	2.035(5)	Fe(2)-C(3)	2.061(5)
C(11)-O(1)	1.154(6)	C(12)-O(2)	1.171(6)
C(7)-C(6)	1.438(7)	C(6)-C(3)	1.438(6)
C(3)-C(2)	1.448(6)	C(2)-C(1)	1.385(6)
C(1)-C(4)	1.434(6)	C(4)-C(5)	1.358(7)
Se(1)-C(2)	1.878(5)	Se(1)-C(5)	1.864(4)
C(1)-N(1)	1.419(6)		
Fe(1)-C(11)- O(1)	175.4(4)	Fe(1)-C(12)-Fe(2)	81.31(19)
Fe(1)-C(7)-Fe(2)	80.58(17)	Fe(1)-C(7)-C(6)	124.5(3)
C(7)-C(6)-C(3)	119.2(4)	C(6)-C(3)-C(2)	127.7(4)
C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	122.2(4)	Se(1)-C(2)-C(1)	110.5(3)
C(2)-C(1)-C(4)	114.3(4)	C(1)-C(4)-C(5)	115.9(4)
C(4)-C(5)-Se(1)	111.2(4)	C(2)-Se(1)-C(5)	88.0(2)

 Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 6a.

C(3)-C(2)-Se(1) 127.1(3)	Sum at N(1)	346.5(7)
--------------------------	-------------	----------

The IR spectra of **6a-c**, in dichloromethane, share a common pattern consisting of five absorptions, accounting for the terminal and bridging carbonyls, the carboxylato groups and an alkenic function (e.g. for **6a** at 1966, 1799, 1730, 1707 and 1584 cm⁻¹, respectively). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **6a-c** (in CDCl₃) display a single resonance for the amino-group, indicating free rotation around the C¹-N bond. The ¹H resonance of the C³-bound hydrogen is upfield shifted (e.g. at 0.53 ppm in the case of **6a**), in agreement with what previously recognized for (μ - η ¹: η ³)-allylidene/vinylalkylidene ligands in analogous diiron and diruthenium complexes.^{18d,36} The alkylidene nature of the C⁷ carbon is reflected by a diagnostic low field resonance (e.g. at 173.8 ppm for **6a**), while the carbon atoms belonging to the selenophene ring give raise to four signals in the 127-156 ppm range. The ⁷⁷Se NMR spectra contains a resonance at ca. 560 ppm; for sake of comparison, the selenium atom in unsubstituted selenophene occurs at 613 ppm (CDCl₃ solution).³⁷

The synthesis of **6a-c** appears the result of a two-step sequence, comprising fast 1,3-dipolar alkyne cycloaddition to the Se and C¹ atoms of **3a**, affording an intermediate selenophene-alkylidene species analogous to **B**^{Me} (Scheme 1), followed by alkyne insertion into Fe- μ -alkylidene bond (Scheme 3, steps i and ii). In accordance with this hypothesis, the formation of 6x was monitored by IR spectroscopy... In contrast to the literature, the alkyne insertion step does not require any chemical/photolytic process to generate a vacant site at one metal centre; instead, the hemilabile nature of the bridging ligand in **B**^H (Scheme 3) is presumably responsible for the initial η^2 -coordination of the alkyne moiety, which is expected to precede the insertion.^{15c} Consistently, it was found that the iron coordination of the amino pendant group in **B**^{Me} can be easily replaced by an isocyanide molecule under mild conditions.²⁶

It has also to be remarked that the outcome of the reaction shown in Scheme 3 is strictly dependent on the bulkiness on the C^3 and N atoms. More precisely, the alkyne insertion is feasible with the $\{C^3H\}$ alkylidene unit (Scheme 3), but precluded in the presence of more hindered R' groups (Scheme 1, R' = Me, CO₂Me, aryl).^{24a} Similarly, the alkyne annulation forming the selenophene ring is not possible when nitrogen is substituted with the xylyl moiety, as previously demonstrated.^{24a} Accordingly, **3b** did not react with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate in CH₂Cl₂ solution after 4 hours; also, this result confirms the hypothesis that the alkyne insertion must follow the formation of an intermediate selenophene-alkylidene ligand (Scheme 3).

Conclusions

The versatile $\{Fe_2Cp_2(CO)_2\}$ skeleton is a convenient tool to assemble small organic/inorganic fragments up to the building of unusual molecular architectures, possibly not available from monometal species or conventional

organic synthesis.^{11c,38} Herein, we have described that the reaction of a pre-constructed bridging hydrocarbyl ligand derivatized with a selenide function undergoes addition of two alkyne molecules producing an expansion of the carbonic chain from C^3 to C^7 . The resulting selenophene-functionalized μ -allylidene species is unprecedented in organometallic chemistry, and might be alternatively viewed as a rare example of multifunctionalized 3-amino-selenophene ring, which is also connected to the diiron core. Two key features should be remarked, being quite uncommon in the landscape of organometallic chemistry: 1) the alkyne reactant plays two distinct roles at two different, non adjacent sites of the starting molecule, assisted by the notable cooperative effects provided by the bimetallic system; 2) the alkyne insertion into the Fe- μ -alkylidene bond occurs smoothly due to the hemilabile character of the pre-existing ligand, weakly binding one iron centre through a pendant amino group. Conversely, alkyne insertion reactions into metal-bridging alkylidenes in poly-metal systems are generally triggered by a photochemical/chemical stimulus, generating a vacant metal site which is required for alkyne entrance.

Experimental

1. Synthetic and characterization of compounds

General details. Unless otherwise specified, operations were carried out under N₂ atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques, and isolated products were stored under N₂. Solvents were purchased from Merck and distilled before use under N₂ from appropriate drying agents. Organic reactants (TCI Europe or Merck) were commercial products of the highest purity available. Compounds **1a-b** were prepared according to a published procedure.³⁹ Chromatography separations were carried out on columns of deactivated alumina (Merck, 4% *w/w* water). Infrared spectra of solutions were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer with a CaF₂ liquid transmission cell (2300-1500 cm⁻¹ range), and then processed with Spectragryph software.⁴⁰ NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Bruker Avance II DRX400 instrument equipped with a BBFO broadband probe. Chemical shifts (expressed in parts per million) are referenced to the residual solvent peaks (¹H, ¹³C),⁴¹ or to external standard (⁷⁷Se, SeMe₂). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were assigned with the assistance of ¹H-¹³C (*gs*-HSQC and *gs*-HMBC) correlation experiments.⁴² NMR signals due to a second isomeric form (where it has been possible to detect them) are italicized. Elemental analyses were performed on a Vario MICRO cube instrument (Elementar).

$[Fe_{2}Cp_{2}(CO)(\mu-CO)\{\mu-\eta^{1}:\eta^{3}-C^{3}HC^{2}HC^{1}NMe_{2}\}]CF_{3}SO_{3}, 2a (Chart 1).^{43}$





Chart 1. Structure of the cation of 2a.

Compound **1a** (2.00 g, 3.77 mmol) was dissolved into acetonitrile (30 mL) and treated with Me₃NO (365 mg, 4.86 mmol) in air. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 hours, and progressive darkening of the solution was observed. The complete conversion of the starting material into the acetonitrile adduct [Fe₂Cp₂(CO)(μ -CO)(NCMe){ μ -CNMe₂}]CF₃SO₃ was checked by IR spectroscopy.⁴⁴ The volatiles were eliminated under vacuum, thus the dark brown residue was dissolved into dichloromethane (ca. 40 mL). A large excess of acetylene, separately produced by dropwise water addition to calcium cyanamide, was bubbled into the solution for two minutes. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 72 hours. The final solution was charged on an alumina column. Elution with CH₂Cl₂/THF (1:1 v/v) allowed to separate impurities, then a green-brown fraction was collected using MeOH as eluent. The solvent was evaporated under reduced

pressure, then the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and this dichloromethane solution was filtered through celite to remove some NaCl (alumina contaminant). The title product was precipitated upon addition of hexane (ca. 50 mL) to the filtrated solution (ca. 20 mL), dried under vacuum and then stored in air. Yield 1.60 g, 80%. Anal. calcd. for C₁₈H₁₈F₃Fe₂NO₅S: C, 40.86; H, 3.43; N, 2.65; S, 6.06. Found: C, 40.78; H, 3.51; N, 2.62; S, 6.16. IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹ = 1994vs (CO), 1816s (µ-CO), 1676w (C²C¹N). ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆): δ /ppm = 12.38 (br, 1 H, C³H); 5.52, 5.19 (s, 10 H, Cp); 5.03 (br, 1 H, C²H); 3.92, 3.33 (NMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone-d₆): δ /ppm = 256.0 (µ-CO); 226.8 (C¹); 210.2 (CO); 184.8 (C³); 89.9, 87.1 (Cp); 52.4 (C²); 50.9, 44.8 (NMe₂). [Fe₂Cp₂(CO)(µ-CO){µ-η¹:η³-C³HC²HC¹NMe(Xyl)}]CF₃SO₃, 2b (Chart 2).⁴⁵


Chart 2. Structure of the cation of 2b.



The title compound was prepared by using a procedure analogous to that described for **2a**, from **1b** (2.00 g, 3.22 mmol) and acetylene, and stored in air. Brown solid, yield 0.997 g (50%). Anal. calcd. for $C_{25}H_{24}F_3Fe_2NO_5S$: C, 48.49; H, 3.91; N, 2.26; S, 5.18. Found: C, 48.22; H, 3.97; N, 2.33; S, 5.09. IR (CH₂Cl₂): \tilde{v} /cm⁻¹ = 2005vs (CO), 1820s (µ-CO), 1628m (C²C¹N). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = *12.73*, 12.57 (d, 1 H, ³J_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, C³H); 7.44-6.92 (m, 3 H, C₆H₃); *5.49*, 4.59 (d, 1 H, ³J_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, C²H); 5.43, *5.36*, *4.74*, 5.16 (s, 10 H, Cp); 4.15, *3.50* (NMe); *2.51*, 2.28, 1.71, *1.93* (C₆H₃*Me*₂). E/Z ratio = 1.2. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = *253.3*, 253.2 (µ-CO); *233.9*, 232.1 (C¹); 209.7, *208.9* (CO); *189.1*, 188.0 (C³); 144.7, *141.2* (*ipso*-C₆H₃); 133.6-129.0 (C₆H₃); *90.1*, 89.9, *87.0*, 86.5 (Cp); *53.2*, 52.2 (C²); *52.1*, 46.1 (NMe); *17.9*, 17.7, *17.5*, 16.9 (C₆H₃*Me*₂).

Synthesis of $[Fe_2Cp_2(CO)(\mu-CO){\mu-\eta^1:\eta^3-C^3HC^2(Se)C^1NMe_2}]$, 3a (Chart 3).


Chart 3. Structure of 3a.



A stirred mixture of **2a** (200 mg, 0.378 mmol) and gray Se (300 mg, 3.80 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was treated with sodium methoxide (40 mg, 0.74 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 hours, until IR analysis evidenced the complete consumption of **2a**. The final mixture was filtered through a celite pad using dichloromethane as eluent. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure from the filtrated solution, the residue was repeatedly washed with diethyl ether (4 x 30 mL) and then dried under vacuum. Green solid, yield 92 mg, 53%. Anal. calcd. for C₁₇H₁₇Fe₂NO₂Se: C, 44.58; H, 3.74; N, 3.06. Found: C, 44.45; H, 3.82; N, 3.12. IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹ = 1969vs (CO), 1790s (μ -CO), 1618m (C¹N). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 12.12 (s, 1 H, C³H); 4.95, 4.66 (s, 10 H, Cp); 3.75, 3.39 (s, 6 H, NMe₂).

 $[Fe_{2}Cp_{2}(CO)(\mu-CO)\{\mu-\eta^{1}:\eta^{3}-C^{3}HC^{2}(Se)C^{1}N(Me)(Xyl)\}], \text{ 3b (Chart 4)}.$

Chart 4. Structure of 3b.



A stirred mixture of **2b** (100 mg, 0.161 mmol) and gray Se (128 mg, 1.61 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was treated with sodium methoxide (26 mg, 0.48 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour, until IR analysis evidenced the complete consumption of **2b**. The final mixture was filtered through a celite pad using THF as eluent. The solvent was removed from the filtrated solution under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and this solution charged on an alumina column. Elution with CH₂Cl₂ allowed to separate impurities, then a green fraction was collected using THF as eluent. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the solid was dried under vacuum. Green solid, yield 48 mg (54%). Anal. calcd. for C₂₄H₂₃Fe₂NO₂Se: C, 52.59; H, 4.23; N, 2.56. Found: C, 52.38; H, 4.18; N, 2.67. IR (CH₂Cl₂): \tilde{v} /cm⁻¹ = 1970vs (CO), 1796s (µ-CO), 1603 (C¹N), 1584w (arom C=C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 12.42 (s, 1 H, C³H); 7.29, 6.97 (m, 3 H, C₆H₃); 5.03, 4.36 (s, 10 H, Cp); 3.59 (s, 3 H, NMe); 2.65, 2.03 (s, 6 H, C₆H₃Me₂). ¹³C{¹H}</sup> NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 262.3 (µ-CO); 234.5 (C¹);


211.2 (CO); 186.7 (C³); 142.2 (*ipso*-C₆H₃); 135.5, 134.3, 129.3, 129.1, 128.9 (C₆H₃); 89.9, 88.5 (Cp); 89.7 (C²); 47.2 (NMe); 18.1 (C₆H₃Me₂). ⁷⁷Se NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 241.8.

Chart 5. Structure of 4. H C^3 C^2 C^1 NOC Fe Se

Synthesis and isolation of [FeCp(CO){SeC¹(NMe₂)C²HC³H}], 4 (Chart 5)

The title compound was obtained as a byproduct of the reaction leading to **3a**. The diethyl ether solution collected from the washings (see above) was filtered through an alumina column (diethyl ether as eluent). Thus, a red fraction was obtained, corresponding to **4**. Compound **4** was isolated as an air-stable, red solid upon removal of the solvent under vacuum. Yield 64 mg (31%). Anal. calcd. for $C_{11}H_{13}FeNOSe: C, 42.61$; H, 4.23; N, 4.52. Found: C, 42.52; H, 4.30; N, 4.44. IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹ = 1930vs (CO), 1535w (C³=C²). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 8.96 (d, 1 H, ³J_{HH} = 6.3 Hz, C³H); 7.43 (d, 1 H, ³J_{HH} = 6.3 Hz, C²H); 4.58 (s, 5 H, Cp); 3.64, 3.33 (s, 6 H, NMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 227.5 (CO); 218.8 (C¹); 174.1 (C³); 137.5 (C²); 82.8 (Cp); 43.2 (NMe₂). ⁷⁷Se NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 210.1.

Synthesis and isolation of [FeCp(CO){SC³HC²HC¹NMe(Xyl)}], 5 (Chart 6)




A stirred mixture of **2b** (100 mg, 0.161 mmol) and S_8 (60 mg, 0.23 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was treated with sodium methoxide (26 mg, 0.484 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 minutes, when IR analysis evidenced the complete consumption of **2b** and the presence of an intense band at 1937 cm⁻¹ (THF solution).

This mixture was filtered through a short alumina pad using THF as eluent, then the volatiles were removed under vacuum from the filtrated solution. Subsequent alumina chromatography of the residue led to isolate a red fraction, corresponding to **5**, using heptane/diethyl ether (2:1 v/v) as eluent. Compound **5** was isolated as an air stable, red solid upon removal of the solvent under vacuum. Yield 28 mg, 49%. Anal. calcd. for $C_{18}H_{19}FeNOS: C$, 61.20; H, 5.42; N, 3.96. Found: C, 61.09; H, 5.51; N, 3.92. IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{v}/cm^{-1} = 1935vs$ (CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta/ppm = 8.11$ (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.6 Hz, 1 H, C³H); 7.18-7.09 (m, 3 H, C₆H₃); 6.03 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.6 Hz, 1 H, C²H); 4.72 (s, 5 H, Cp); 3.68 (s, 3 H, NMe); 2.25, 2.02 (s, 6 H, C₆H₃Me₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta/ppm = 253.0$ (C¹); 217.9 (CO); 182.6 (C³); 132.8 (C²); 145.6, 133.4, 133.1, 129.3, 128.9; 127.9 (C₆H₃); 83.1 (Cp); 47.9 (NMe); 17.8, 17.8 (C₆H₃Me₂).


$$\begin{split} &Synthesis \ of \ [Fe_2Cp_2(CO)(\mu-CO)\{\mu-\eta^1:\eta^3-C^7(CO_2Me)C^6(CO_2Me)C^3HC^2SeC^5(CO_2Me)C^4(CO_2Me)C^1(NMe_2)\}], \ one \ (Chart \ 7). \end{split}$$

Chart 7. Structure of 6a.



A solution of **3a** (150 mg, 0.328 mmol) and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (0.12 mL, 0.98 mmol), in THF (15 mL), was left stirring for 4 hours. Then, the volatiles were eliminated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether and this solution charged on an alumina column. The fraction containing the title product was isolated using dichloromethane/diethyl ether (1:1 v/v) as eluent. Removal of the solvent under vacuum afforded a red solid. Yield 150 mg (62%). Anal. calcd. for $C_{29}H_{29}Fe_2NO_{10}Se: C, 46.93; H, 3.94; N, 1.89$. Found: C, 46.83; H, 4.00; N, 1.92. IR (CH₂Cl₂): \tilde{v} /cm⁻¹ = 1966vs (CO), 1799s (µ-CO), 1730vs (OC=O), 1707vs-sh (OC=O), 1584w (C=C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 4.80, 4.62 (s, 10 H, Cp); 4.05, 3.95, 3.82, 3.81 (s, 12 H, CO₂Me); 2.62 (s, 6 H, NMe₂); 0.53 (s, 1 H, C³H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 262.8 (µ-CO); 213.5 (CO); 179.4, 171.0 (C₆-CO + C₇-CO); 173.8 (C⁷); 167.8, 162.6 (C₄-CO + C₅-CO); 155.8 (C²); 149.2 (C¹); 140.7, 127.4 (C⁴ + C⁵); 90.5 (C⁶); 88.3, 87.0 (Cp); 53.1, 52.8, 52.4, 52.2 (CO₂Me); 51.0 (C³); 43.7 (NMe₂). ⁷⁷Se NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 557.4.

 $\frac{[Fe_{2}Cp_{2}(CO)(\mu-CO)\{\mu-\eta^{1}:\eta^{3}-C^{7}(CO_{2}Et)C^{6}(CO_{2}Et)C^{3}HC^{2}SeC^{5}(CO_{2}Et)C^{4}(CO_{2}Et)C^{1}(NMe_{2})\}],\ 6b\ (Chart\ 8).}{Chart\ 8.\ Structure\ of\ 6b.}$



The title compound was prepared by using the same procedure described for the synthesis of **6a**, from **3a** (130 mg, 0.284 mmol) and diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (0.14 mL, 0.85 mmol). Eluent for chromatography: diethyl ether. Brown solid, yield 127 mg (56%). Anal. calcd. for $C_{33}H_{37}Fe_2NO_{10}Se: C$, 49.65; H, 4.67; N, 1.75. Found: C, 49.60; H, 4.74; N, 1.84. IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹ = 1964vs (CO), 1798s (µ-CO), 1726vs (OC=O), 1711vs (OC=O), 1582w (C=C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 4.77, 4.60 (s, 10 H, Cp); 4.42-4.18 (m, 8 H, CH₂CH₃); 2.61 (s, 6 H, NMe₂); 1.57-1.15 (m, 12 H, CH₂CH₃); 0.50 (s, 1 H, C³H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 263.4 (µ-CO); 213.9 (CO); 179.3, 170.5 (C₆-CO + C₇-CO); 175.0 (C⁷); 167.3, 162.3 (C₄-CO + C₅-CO); 156.1 (C²); 149.1 (C¹); 141.2, 128.0 (C⁴ + C⁵); 91.3 (C⁶); 88.7, 87.1 (Cp); 63.3, 62.0, 61.5, 61.1 (CH₂CH₃); 51.3 (C³); 43.5 (NMe₂); 14.9, 14.4, 14.1 (CH₂CH₃). ⁷⁷Se NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 557.4.

$[Fe_{2}Cp_{2}(CO)(\mu-CO)\{\mu-\eta^{1}:\eta^{3}-C^{7}(CO_{2}{}^{t}Bu)C^{6}(CO_{2}{}^{t}Bu)C^{3}HC^{2}SeC^{5}(CO_{2}{}^{t}Bu)C^{4}(CO_{2}{}^{t}Bu)C^{1}(NMe_{2})\}], 6c (Chart 9).$

Chart 9. Structure of 6c. tBuO_2C C^5 C^2 C^2 C^3 C^6 C^7 CO_2^{tBu} $CO_2^$

The title compound was prepared by using the same procedure described for the synthesis of **6a**, from **3a** (104 mg, 0.227 mmol) and di-tert-butyl acetylenedicarboxylate (154 mg, 0.681 mmol). Eluent for chromatography: diethyl ether. Brown solid, yield 106 mg (49%). Anal. calcd. for $C_{44}H_{53}Fe_2NO_{10}Se: C, 55.83; H, 5.64; N, 1.48$. Found: C, 55.91; H, 5.55; N, 1.41. IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{\nu}$ /cm⁻¹ = 1961vs (CO), 1793s (µ-CO), 1703vs (OC=O), 1682vs (OC=O), 1532w (C=C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 4.79, 4.64 (s, 10 H, Cp); 2.56 (s, 6 H, NMe₂); 1.76, 1.57, 1.48, 1.42 (s, 36 H, CMe₃); 0.21 (s, 1 H, C³H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ /ppm = 265.7 (µ-CO); 214.3 (CO); 179.0, 169.9 (C₆-CO + C₇-CO); 176.0 (C⁷); 166.2, 161.5 (C₄-CO + C₅-CO); 154.9 (C²); 149.8 (C¹); 142.2, 129.4 (C⁴ + C⁵); 92.2 (C⁶); 88.2, 86.7 (Cp); 83.5, 82.8, 81.8, 81.3 (*C*Me₃); 52.6 (C³); 43.5 (NMe₂); 29.1, 28.3, 28.2 (*CMe₃*). ⁷⁷Se NMR (CDCl₃): δ/ppm = 555.7.

2. X-ray crystallography

Crystal data and collection details for **6a** are reported in Table 2. Data were recorded on a Bruker APEX II diffractometer equipped with a PHOTON2 detector using Mo–K α radiation. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares based on all data using F^{2} .⁴⁶ Hydrogen atoms were fixed at calculated positions and refined using a riding model, except H(3) which was located in the Fourier map and refined isotropically using the 1.2-fold U_{iso} of the parent C(3) atom. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters.

	6a
Formula	$C_{29}H_{29}Fe_2NO_{10}Se$
FW	742.19
Т, К	100(2)
λ, Å	0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	PĪ
<i>a</i> , Å	9.1807(10)
<i>b,</i> Å	9.9630(11)
<i>c</i> , Å	17.678(2)
<i>α</i> , °	101.491(4)
$\beta,^{\circ}$	98.374(4)
γ, °	110.330(4)
Cell Volume, Å ³	1444.6(3)
Z	2
<i>D_c</i> , g·cm ⁻³	1.706
μ , mm ⁻¹	2.324
F(000)	752
Crystal size, mm	0.19×0.16×0.13
θ limits,°	2.261-24.997
Reflections collected	31537
Independent reflections	4963 [<i>R_{int}</i> = 0.1379]
Data / restraints	4963 / 1 / 397
/parameters Goodness on fit on F ²	1.055

Table 2. Crystal data and measurement details for 6a.

$R_1 (I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.0516
wR_2 (all data)	0.1195
Largest diff. peak and hole, e Å⁻ ³	1.099 / -0.584

Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank the University of Pisa (Fondi di Ateneo 2019) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available

NMR spectra of products. CCDC reference number XXXX (**6a**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for the X-ray study reported in this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (internat.) +44-1223/336-033; e-mail: <u>deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u>).

References

- a) K. H. Dötz, P. Tomuschat, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1999, 28, 187. (b) K. H. Dötz, J. Stendel Jr, in Modern Arene Chemistry, ed. D. Astruc, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2002, p. 250. (c) A. Minatti and K. H. Dötz, Top. Organomet. Chem., 2004, 13, 123.
- 3 (a) A. P. Y. Chan, A. G. Sergeev, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2020, 413, 213213. (b) H. Ehrhorn, M. Tamm, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 3190 3208. (c) R. R. Schrock, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 5529-5531.
- 4 (a) O. N. Temkin, Kinetics and Catalysis, 2019, 60, 689–732. (b) M. Hapke, Tetrahedron Letters 2016, 57, 5719–5729.
- (a) J.-J. Feng, J. Zhang, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6651–6661. (b) R. S.Gomes, G. A.M. Jardim, R. L.de Carvalho, M. H.Araujo, E. N. da Silva Júnior, Tetrahedron 2019, 75, 3697-3712. (c) M. S. Singh, S. Chowdhury, S. Koley, Tetrahedron 2016, 72, 5257-5283. (d) N. K. Verma, D. Mondal, S. Bera, Curr. Org. Chem. 2019, 23, 2505-2572.
- 6 Selected recent references: (a) C. Dutta, S. S. Rana, J. Choudhury, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 10674-10679.
 (b) Y. Jiang, P. Li, J. Wang, J. Zhao, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, J. Chang, B. Liu, X. Li, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 438-442.
 (c) G. Duarah, P. P. Kaishap, B. Sarma, S. Gogoi, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 10196-10200.
 (d) X. Zhang, Q. Zhao, J.-Q. Fan, D.-Z. Chen, J.-B. Liu, Org. Chem. Front. 2019, 6, 618-626.
 (e) T. Takahashi, D. Kuroda, T. Kuwano, Y. Yoshida, T. Kurahashi, S. Matsubara, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 12750-12753.
 (f) Yoon, Hyung; Roelz, Martin; Landau, Felicitas; Lautens, Mark, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10920-10923.
 (g) Yu, Jing-Lu; Zhang, Shuo-Qing; Hong, Xin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7224-7243.
- (a) Liu, Dongmei; Qiu, Zaozao; Xie, Zuowei, Inorg. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 467-472. (b) A. E. Harms, J. R. Stille, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6565-6568. (c) Bender, Georg; Kehr, Gerald; Froehlich, Roland; Petersen, Jeffrey L.; Erker, Gerhard, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3534-3540. (d) Pinkas, J.; Cisarova, I.; Gyepes, R.; Horacek, M.; Kubista, J.; Cejka, J.; Gomez-Ruiz, S.; Hey-Hawkins, E.; Mach, K., Organometallics 2008, 27, 5532-5547.
- 8 (a) Sun, Ruichen; Zhang, Shaowei; Chu, Xiaodan; Zhu, Bolin, Organometallics 2017, 36, 1133-1141.
 (b) M. T. Jan, Muhammad, S. Sarkar, S. Kuppuswamy, I. Ghiviriga, K. A. Abboud, A. S. Veige, J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 4079-4089. (c) Y. Ikeda, K. Takano, S. Kodama, Y. Ishii, Organometallics 2014, 33, 3998-4004. (d) J. R. Crook, B. Chamberlain, R. J. Mawby, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1989, 3, 465-470. (e) Ikeda, Yousuke; Kodama, Shintaro; Tsuchida, Noriko; Ishii, Youichi, Dalton Transactions 2015, 44, 17448-17452.
- 9 (a) Chu, Xiaodan; Zhang, Shaowei; Wang, Zhuo; Li, Tongyu; Zhu, Bolin, RSC Adv. 2018, 8, 7164-7172. (b) Vujkovic, Nadia; Ward, Benjamin D.; Maisse-Francois, Aline; Wadepohl, Hubert; Mountford, Philip; Gade, Lutz H. (c) Canovese, Luciano; Visentin, Fabiano; Chessa, Gavino; Uguagliati, Paolo;

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

 ⁽a) F. Le Vaillant, J. Waser, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 8909–8923. (b) Z. T. Bali, Ed. D. M. P. Mingos, R. H. Crabtree, Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III, Volume10, 789-813. (c) J. S. S. Neto, G. Zeni, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2020, 409, 213217. (d) R. K. Kumar, X. Bi, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 853-868. (e) L. Peng, Z. Hu, H. Wang, L. Wu, Y. Jiao, Z. Tang, X. Xu, RSC Adv. 2020, 10, 10232–10244. (f) G. Fang, X. Bi, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 8124--8173. (g) R. Dorel, A. M. Echavarren, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9028-9072.

Santo, Claudio; Dolmella, Alessandro, Organometallics 2005, 24, 3297-3308. (d) N. Vujkovic, B. D. Ward, A. Maisse-François, H. Wadepohl, P. Mountford, L. H. Gade, Organometallics 2007, 26, 5522-5534.

- M. Talavera, R. Pereira-Cameselle, S. Bolano, Dalton Trans. 2018, 47, 9064-9071. (b) M. J. Bernal, O. Torres, M. Martin, E. Sola, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 19008-19015. (c) Y. Lin, L. Gong, H. Xu, X. He, T. B. Wen, H. Xia, Organometallics 2009, 28, 1524-1533. (d) J. Barluenga, R. B. de la Rua, D. de Saa, A. Ballesteros, M. Tomas, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4981-4983. (e) V. Cadierno, J. Diez, J. Garcia-Alvarez, J. Gimeno, Organometallics 2005, 24, 2801-2810. (f) J. J. Lippstreu, B. F. Straub, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7444-7457. (g) J. Barluenga, F. Aznar, I. Gutierrez, A. Martin, S. Garcia-Granda, M. A. Llorca-Baragano, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1314-1324.
- (a) M. Knorr, I. Jourdain, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2017, 350, 217–247. (b) R. Mazzoni, M. Salmi, V. Zanotti, V. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 10174-10194. (c) F. Marchetti, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2018, 3987–4003.
- (a) M. A. Alvarez, M. E. García, D. García-Vivó, E. Huergo, M. A. Ruiz, Inorg. Chem. 2018, 57, 912–915. (b) A. F. Dyke, S. A. R. Knox, P. J. Naish, G. E. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1982, 1297-1307. (c) S. A. R. Knox, B. R. Lloyd, D. A. V. Morton, A. G. Orpen, M. L. Turner, Polyhedron 1995, 14, 2723-2743. (d) J. N. L. Dennett, S. A. R. Knox, K. M. Anderson, J. P. H. Charmant, A. G. Orpen, Dalton Trans. 2005, 63–73. (e) H. Hisako K. Kazuyoshi, T. Tobita, H. Ogino, J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 1481–1495. (f) L. Brieger, I. Jourdain, M. Knorr, C. Strohmann, Acta Cryst. 2019. E75, 1902–1906. (g) M. A. Alvarez, I. Amor, M. E. García, D. García-Vivó, M. A. Ruiz, J. Suárez, Organometallics 2012, 31, 2749-2763.
- 13 F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Organometallics 2016, 35, 2630–2637.
- 14 V. G. Albano, L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, M. Monari, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Organometallics 2007, 26, 3448-3455.
- (a) J. C. Jeffery, K. A. Mead, H. Razay, F. G. A. Stone, M. J. Went, P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 7, 1383-1391. (b) C. P. Casey, Charles, L. K. Woo, P. J. Fagan, R. E. Palermo, B. R. Adams, Organometallics 1987, 6, 447-454. (c) G. Ciancaleoni, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, F. Marchetti, Organometallics 2018, 37, 3718-3731. (d) L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 2424-2439. (e) P. N. Riley, R. D. Profilet, M. M. Salberg, P. E. Fanwick, I. P. Rothwell, Polyhedron 1998, 17, 773-779. (f) Seyferth, D.; Ruschke, D. P.; Davis, W. M. Organometallics 1994, 13, 4695-4703.
- 16 H. J. Barnett, A. F. Hill, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 4274-4277.
- 17 P. M. Maitlis, V. Zanotti, Catal. Lett. 2008, 122, 80-83.
- (a) P. Q. Adams, D. L. Davies, A. F. Dyke, S. A. R. Knox, K. A. Mead, P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1983, 5, 222-224. (b) A. F. Dyke, S. A. R. Knox, P. J. Naish, G. E. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1980, 17, 803-805. (c) R. E. Colborn, D. L. Davies, A. F. Dyke, S. A. R. Knox, K. A. Mead, A. G. Orpen, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1989, 1799-1805. (d) J. N. L. Dennett, S. A. R. Knox, J. P. H. Charmant, A. L. Gillon, A. G. Orpen, Inorg. Chim. Acta 2003, 354, 29-40. (e) C. P. Casey, W. H. Miles, P. J. Fagan, K. J. Haller, Organometallics 1985, 4, 559-563.
- 19 B. D. Rowsell, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, M. Cowie, Organometallics 2003, 22, 2944-2955.
- 20 J. R. Wigginton, A. Chokshi, T. W. Graham, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, M. Cowie, Organometallics 2005, 24, 6398-6410.
- 21 T. J. MacDougall, A. Llamazares, O. Kuhnert, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald, M. Cowie, Organometallics 2011, 30, 952-964.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

- (a) Mazzoni, R.; Marchetti, F.; Cingolani, A.; Zanotti, V. Bond Forming Reactions Involving Isocyanides at Diiron Complexes, Inorganics 2019, 7, 25. (b) G. Agonigi, L. Biancalana, M. G. Lupo, M. Montopoli, N. Ferri, S. Zacchini, F. Binacchi, T. Biver, B. Campanella, G. Pampaloni, V. Zanotti, F. Marchetti, Organometallics 2020, 39, 645-657.
- (a) P. J. Chirik in Catalysis Without Precious Metals; R. M. Bullock, Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010, 83-110. (b) Fürstner, A. ACS Cent. Sci. 2016, 2, 778-789. (c) Piontek, A.; Bisz, E.; Szostak, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 11116-11128. (d) A. Singh, I. Lumb, V. Mehra, V. Kumar, Dalton Trans., 2019, 48, 2840–2860.
- (a) L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Organometallics 2006, 25, 4808-4816. (b) G. Agonigi, L. K. Batchelor, E. Ferretti, S. Schoch, M. Bortoluzzi, S. Braccini, F. Chiellini, L. Biancalana, S. Zacchini, G. Pampaloni, B. Sarkar, P. J. Dyson, F. Marchetti, Molecules, 2020, 25, 1656; doi:10.3390/molecules25071656.
- 25 L. Busetto, M. Dionisio, F. Marchetti, R. Mazzoni, M. Salmi, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, J. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 693, 2383–2391.
- 26 L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, F. Renili, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Organometallics 2010, 29, 1797–1805.
- (a) G. Agonigi, G. Ciancaleoni, T. Funaioli, S. Zacchini, F. Pineider, C. Pinzino, G. Pampaloni, V. Zanotti, F. Marchetti, Inorg. Chem. 2018, 57, 15172–15186. (b) D. Rocco, L. K. Batchelor, E. Ferretti, S. Zacchini, G. Pampaloni, P. J. Dyson, F. Marchetti, ChemPlusChem 2020, 85, 110–122.
- 28 F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 5145–5152.
- (a) S. G. Eaves, D. S. Yufit, B. W. Skelton, J. A. K. Howard, P. J. Low, Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 14341-14348. (b) F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Organometallics 2018, 37, 107–115. (c) M. Tamm, F. Ekkehardt Hahn, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 182, 175–209. (d) J. Ruiz, D. Sol, M. A. Mateo, M. Vivanco, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 6279–6282.
- 30 (a) S. Ghosh, A. Bedi, S. S. Zade, RSC Adv. 2014, 5, 5312-.5320. (b) S. Ghosh, S. Das, N. R. Kumar, A. R. Agrawal, S. S. Zade, New J. Chem., 2017, 41, 11568-11575.
- P. Arsenyan, J. Vasijeva, I. Shestakova, I. Domracheva, E. Jaschenko, N. Romanchikova, A. Leonchiks,
 Z. Rudevica, S. Belyakov, Comptes Rendus Chimie 2015, 18, 399-409.
- See for instance: (a) R. E. White, T. P. Hanusa, B. E. Kucera, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9622-9623.
 (b) N. G. Connelly, B. Metz, A. G. Orpen, P. H. Rieger, Organometallics 1996, 15, 729-735. (c) J. C. Jeffery, J. G. Lawrence-Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 1589-1596. (d) M. Green, A. G. Orpen, C. J. Schaverien, I. D. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 6, 1313-1318.
- (a) S. Schoch, L. K. Batchelor, T. Funaioli, G. Ciancaleoni, S. Zacchini, S. Braccini, F. Chiellini, T. Biver, G. Pampaloni, P. J. Dyson, F. Marchetti, Organometallics 2020, 39, 361-373. (b) F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 2456–2463. (c) V. G. Albano, L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, M. Monari, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 4234–4243. (d) V. G. Albano, L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, M. Monari, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, V. Zanotti, V. Zanotti, J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 4234–4243. (d) V. G. Albano, L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, M. Monari, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 4666–4676.
- 34 (a) P. J. King, S. A. R. Knox, G. J. McCormick, A. Guy Orpen, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 2975–2982. (b) S. A. R. Knox, J. Clu. Sci. 1992, 3, 385-296.
- 35 F. H. Allen, O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, L. Brammer, A. G. Orpen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1987, 12, S1-S19.
- 36 V. G. Albano, L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, M. Monari, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Organometallics 2004, 23, 3348-3354.
- 37 T. B. Schroeder, C. Job, M. F. Brown, R. S. Glass, Magn. Res. Chem. 1995, 33, 191-195.
- 38 F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2016, 4820–4828.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

- 39 G. Agonigi, M. Bortoluzzi, F. Marchetti, G. Pampaloni, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2018, 960–971.
- 40 F. Menges, "Spectragryph optical spectroscopy software", Version 1.2.5, @ 2016-2017, http://www.effemm2.de/spectragryph.
- 41 G. R. Fulmer, A. J. M. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw, K. I. Goldberg, Organometallics 2010, 29, 2176–2179.
- 42 W. Willker, D. Leibfritz, R. Kerssebaum, and W. Bermel, Magn. Reson. Chem., 1993, 31, 287-292.
- 43 L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, S. Zacchini, V. Zanotti, Organometallics 2008, 27, 5058–5066.
- 44 V. G. Albano, L. Busetto, M. Monari, V. Zanotti, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 606, 163–168.
- 45 V. G. Albano, L. Busetto, F. Marchetti, M. Monari, S. Zacchini and V. Zanotti, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 1326-1331.
- 46 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. C, 2015, 71, 3.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)