



## Photosynthetic Performance and Vegetative Growth in a New Red Leaf Pear: Comparison of Scion Genotypes Using a Complex, Grafted-Plant System

Francesca Tozzi<sup>1</sup>, Ben M. van Hooijdonk<sup>2</sup>, Donald S. Tustin<sup>2</sup>, Luca Corelli Grappadelli<sup>1</sup>, Brunella Morandi<sup>1</sup>, Pasquale Losciale<sup>3</sup> and Luigi Manfrini<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agro-Alimentari, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, <sup>2</sup> The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Ltd., Hawkes's Bay, New Zealand, <sup>3</sup> Consiglio per la Ricerca e l'Analisi dell'Economia Agraria, Centro di Ricerca Agricoltura e Ambiente, Bari, Italy

### **OPEN ACCESS**

### Edited by:

Antonio Ferrante, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Víctor Arturo González-Hernández, Inicio COLPOS, Mexico María Serrano, Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, Spain Bruno Carra, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Brazil

> \*Correspondence: Luigi Manfrini luigi.manfrini@unibo.it

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Crop and Product Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 03 November 2017 Accepted: 14 March 2018 Published: 05 April 2018

#### Citation:

Tozzi F, van Hooijdonk BM, Tustin DS, Corelli Grappadelli L, Morandi B, Losciale P and Manfrini L (2018) Photosynthetic Performance and Vegetative Growth in a New Red Leaf Pear: Comparison of Scion Genotypes Using a Complex, Grafted-Plant System. Front. Plant Sci. 9:404. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00404

Leaf photosynthetic performance of a new red-skinned inter-specific hybrid pear variety called 'PremP009' (PIQA®BOO®) is presently unknown and therefore was compared to the Asian pear variety 'Hosui'. The seasonal growth patterns and the final dry matter accumulation of all tree components were also investigated for both genotypes in their first year of growth after grafting. Leaf gas exchange and tree growth comparisons were assessed using an innovative grafted plant system, which involved a bi-axis tree with the presence of combinations of identical or mixed (one of each genotype) 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' scion genotypes grafted onto a single clonal rootstock ('Buerre Hardy' BA29). This experimental grafted plant system allowed a technique for comparing leaf photosynthesis of two scion genotypes on the same root system, thereby avoiding between-plant differences in plant water relations. 'PremP009' had higher leaf photosynthesis and higher leaf mass compared with 'Hosui.' However, by the end of the first year of growth, primary shoots of 'PremP009' were shorter with fewer nodes, corresponding to less dry weight gain in primary shoot leaves and stems. This vegetative behavior of 'PremP009' is likely a response to the smaller individual leaf area in the early season affecting light capture that greatly limits dry matter accumulation of young trees.

### HIGHLIGHTS

- The bi-axis grafting technique never showed before in a scientific paper presents a strategic system for a comparative study of red/green leaf photosynthetic performance and related dry matter partitioning.

Keywords: red leaf, Asian and European pear, grafting system, gas exchange, plant vigor, dry matter partitioning

## INTRODUCTION

The new 'PremP009,' branded as PIQA<sup>®</sup>BOO<sup>®</sup>, is the first European (*Pyrus communis*) x Asian (*Pyrus pyrifolia*) interspecific pear (*P. communis x P. pyrifolia x P. bretschneideri*) released for cultivation. The intense red color of its skin is the main feature of 'PremP009' fruit, a quality trait appealing to many consumers. Young stems and juvenile leaves (**Figure 1**) exhibit red

1



FIGURE 1 | 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' bi-axis trees grafted with either homogenous or mixed scion genotype ('PremP009' or 'Hosui') at shoot position (1 or 2). Figure (A) is a homogenous plant of 'PremP009' (H<sub>P</sub>) with red immature leaves. (B) Represents the homogenous plant of 'Hosui' (H<sub>H</sub>) with green leaves. (C) Represents a mixed tree (M) with 'Hosui' grafted at the lower nodal position (1) and 'PremP009' at the higher second nodal position (2). Trees are pictured 42 days after scion budbreak.

pigmentation as well, while mature leaves gradually show a darker green. Red leaves may result from an abundance of anthocyanins in the presence of relatively low chlorophyll levels, which may reduce overall leaf net carbon exchange rates thus limiting shoot growth, especially in early spring, i.e., when leaves are at their reddest (Martin et al., 1997).

Red-fruited cultivars have long been studied as their fruit color is perceived as advantageous to boost sales (Sugar, 1990; Feng et al., 2010). However, red-fruited pears have been reported to be more difficult to grow, less vigorous (Ing, 1987; Sugar, 1990; Zhang et al., 2012) and less productive, with lower yields per hectare than their green-fruited counterparts (Heitkamp, 1986; Burkhart and Willett, 1990; Martin et al., 1997). The lower vigor of red-fruited pear trees has been associated by several authors to reduced photosynthesis caused by the lower chlorophyll content (Willett, 1983; Rogers, 1985; Li et al., 2008). Martin et al. (1997) reported on the photosynthetic capacity of three red bud mutations and their three green parents. They found higher net photosynthesis and stomatal conductance, and higher Rubisco activity, for each green pear cultivar than their red-fruited bud sports. However, although differing in photosynthesis, often the growth of the three genetic pairs was not different (Martin et al., 1997). How red leaf genotypes may modify young tree growth and dry matter accumulation is still unknown. If red leafed genotypes have lower net carbon exchange rates, they should exhibit reduced growth rates (Marini, 1986). A preliminary and unpublished study of a red leaf seedling population grown on their own root systems suggested lower net photosynthesis for red than green leaf genotypes (Dr. Richard Volz, personal communication). Unfortunately, the stage of extension shoot growth at which leaf photosynthesis was measured for each genotype was unknown, and soil moisture content and stem water potential were not measured. Espley et al. (2012) on the other hand, found that transgenic red apple leaves (cv. Royal Gala) had higher photosynthesis than control plants. Through light response curves they showed higher electron transport rate for red leaves at increasing levels of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). However, no data for plant water status and annual growth (dry mass) were presented.

Another factor that limits young tree dry matter accumulation is leaf area development, which may well differ between genotypes. In young apple trees, van Hooijdonk et al. (2015) reported that dry matter accumulation was limited by the rate of early-season leaf area development necessary for the interception of solar radiation for photosynthesis. Thus, measurement of node/leaf formation of the primary axes is as important as the measurement of leaf photosynthetic rates to understand and interpret the growth and physiological behavior of young trees. Source-sink relationships and regulation of carbon allocation determine growth and yield (DeJong and Grossman, 1995; Morandi et al., 2014b; Zhang et al., 2016). Carbon allocation is affected by the amount of available assimilate (source limitations) and by the organ's ability to utilize assimilates (sink limitation) (Patrick, 1988). Both these limitations respond to multiple internal (mainly genetic and physiological) and external (environmental) factors. Nowadays, all these variables are taken into account in models, developed for simulating the carbohydrates pathway. Thus, it is possible to estimate biomass accumulation to leaves, stem and root (Poorter et al., 2012), or the final fruit quality (i.e., Lescourret et al., 2011).

We hypothesize that red leaves of 'PremP009' have lower leaf photosynthetic performance limiting young tree vegetative development. The physiological behavior of 'PremP009' is unknown, and this study attempts to quantify the photosynthetic performance of its leaves, the

**Abbreviations:** A, net photosynthesis; g<sub>s</sub>, stomatal conductance; E, transpiration; SWP, stem water potential; DABB, day after bud break; RuBisCo, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase; PAR, photosynthetic active radiation; CP, compensation point; SCA, shoot cross-sectional area; LMA, leaf mass area; LDDW, leaf disc dry weight.

relationship between photosynthesis and final dry matter accumulation/distribution considering the seasonal vegetative development during the first year of growth. The results are compared with the photosynthetic performance of 'Hosui,' a vigorous pear cultivar with typical green leaves. 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' share some physiological traits; they are easily managed in the orchard and they have a simple architecture of the tree; however, 'Hosui' leaves have never revealed the presence of the red pigments. Recently, there is increasing interest on split-canopy shapes, also known as bi-axis planting systems (Dorigoni et al., 2011), a similar tree shape has been adopted here. Multi-grafted trees developed with two main vegetative primary shoot axes were sampled for estimating whether the 'PremP009' leaf effectively has lower photosynthesis than 'Hosui' leaf, where all other within-tree factors are commonly regulated by the one rootstock/root system assuming equal plant, environmental and hydrological conditions. In pear, it has been pointed out that tree photosynthetic productivity can vary depending on the chosen rootstock (Losciale et al., 2008; Bosa et al., 2016). The multi-grafting system adopted here, is a new experimental technique for improving the physiological study of fruit trees and for elucidating at best any interaction between genotypes (Figure 2). To our knowledge, no previous study has used a similar multi-graft system for comparisons of photosynthesis and vegetative growth. Of added practical interest was whether the insertion of 'Hosui,' (hypothesized





to have greater net photosynthesis and growth vigor), might contribute to overall total tree growth (dry mass) if grafted into a 'PremP009' tree.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Plant Material**

The experiments were conducted during the 2014-2015 season at the Hawke's Bay Research Centre, The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research Ltd., in Havelock North New Zealand (NZ). In late winter (mid-August 2012), scion genotypes of 'PremP009' were cleft grafted at 0.2 m height onto 1-year-old "Buerre Hardy" interstems on BA29 quince rootstocks. In August 2013, after 1 year in the nursery, 'PremP009' scions were cut back at 3-4 buds and grown as a bi-axis tree. During winter 2014, trees were lifted from the nursery and subsequently bench grafted using a cleft grafting machine (Raggett Industries, Gisborne, NZ) with two pear genotypes: 'PremP009' (Pyrus communis x (Pyrus pyrifolia x Pyrus bretschneideri)) and 'Hosui' (Pyrus pyrifolia) using the grafting combinations: "homogenous" (H) formed by grafting two identical scion genotypes per tree, or "mixed" (M) formed by grafting two different genotypes per tree. This determined three main treatments: H<sub>P</sub> with 'PremP009,' H<sub>H</sub> with 'Hosui' scion genotypes on both axes and M with one axis of each scion genotype. A further treatment subdivision related to shoot position, characterized by the numbers "1" and "2." Position 1 is the primary axis originating closest to the root system and 2 the farthest (Figure 2) for both H and M plants. The subsequent treatments were: H<sub>P</sub>1, H<sub>P</sub>2, H<sub>H</sub>1, H<sub>H</sub>2, M<sub>P</sub>1, M<sub>H</sub>2, M<sub>H</sub>1 and M<sub>P</sub>2 generated from four different plant types: H<sub>P</sub>1<sub>P</sub>2, H<sub>H</sub>1<sub>H</sub>2,  $M_P1_H2$ , and  $M_H1_P2$ .

Grafted trees were planted into black polythene 501 bags containing growing medium comprised: 0.8 composted bark, 0:2 pumice (by volume), 1 g  $l^{-1}$  6-month slow release fertilizer, 3 g  $l^{-1}$  9-month slow-release fertilizer (each 13 N, 5.7 P, 10.8 K, 1.2 Mg) (Nutricote r., Chisso-Assahi Fertilizer Co., Japan), and 0.6 g  $l^{-1}$  Magri-Trace (15 Mg, 3.2 Ca, 18 Fe, 3 Mn, 4 Zn, 3 Cu, 0.6 B, 0.08 Mo) (HortFert Plus Ltd, NZ) (van Hooijdonk et al., 2015).

### Primary Axis Growth Curves and Whole Tree Final Dry Matter Accumulation

Diameter, length and node number of all primary axes were measured monthly throughout the growing season following the protocol described by van Hooijdonk et al. (2015) for the biaxis nursery tree design. The diameters of the primary axes were measured with digital calipers and were used for calculating the circumference and the total shoot cross-sectional area (SCA) for each tree. The shoot length and node number measurements were taken from the shoot base, ending at the first unfurled leaf of the shoot apex beginning from October 21st, 2014 (28 DABB, days after bud break). The final growth assessment was measured on March 3rd, 2015 (early autumn), and subsequently the area of each leaf was measured using a leaf area meter (Li-3100, LI-COR Inc., USA). In addition, the node number of primary axes and small spurs were measured. 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' leaf discs (10 mm in diameter) were removed from mature (within the fifth and tenth nodal position) and young leaves (the upper

three nodal positions), for observing possible differences due to the age effect in leaf disc dry weight (LDDW, g) and the specific leaf weight expressed as leaf mass area (LMA, g cm<sup>-2</sup>). Eighteen randomly selected trees per treatment were then sampled to obtain the total dry weight of root, rootstock shank, interstem scion, graftwood section, primary shoot leaves, primary shoot stem, small spurs, and sylleptic shoots from the primary stems (where present). Roots were cut in two parts, each part being that subtending its respective primary stem, for splitting the contribution of the two different scions to the growth of the roots. The dry weight for each scion genotype has been assigned to each part of the roots and primary shoot stem of the bi-axis trees, thus obtaining the weight of each of the two "halves" of the tree (**Figure 2, Tables 4, 5**). All samples were oven dried at 60°C to a constant weight.

## Gas Exchange and Water Potential Measurements

On January 19th (104 DABB) and February 15th (139 DABB) 2015, gas exchange measurements were performed on six leaves of each plant type (see experimental design). Leaves selected were healthy and fully-expanded, and were measured at similar nodal positions on each primary shoot (i.e., midpoint) to ensure a similar age and light exposure. Measurements were carried out during the day at 9:00 (from 9:00 to 10.00), 13:00 (from 13:00 to 14:00) and 16:00 (from 16.00 to 17:00). Cumulative photosynthesis ( $\sum_{A}$ ) and transpiration ( $\sum_{E}$ ) over the time of daily measurements (from 9:00 to 17:00) were also calculated. As described by Losciale et al. (2010) and Cano et al. (2014) for other photosynthesis related parameters, the formula used was:

$$\sum_{y} = \sum_{i=t_0}^{t_1} \left(\frac{y_{t_0} + y_{t_1}}{2}\right)_i + \sum_{i=t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{y_{t_1} + y_{t_2}}{2}\right)_i \tag{1}$$

where *y* is the variable A or E,  $t_0$  corresponds to 9:30,  $t_1$  to 13:30 and  $t_2$  to 16:30.

Leaf gas exchange was measured with a portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor 6400, Lincoln NE, USA), at a CO<sub>2</sub> concentration similar to the environment (375 ppm), while radiation (PAR) was maintained constant at 1,000, 1,600, and 1,200  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at 9:00, 13:00, and 16:00, respectively, representative of the light intensity at these times. During the gas exchange measurements, soil moisture was monitored with TDR sensor, (Time Domain Reflectometry) and quantification of the midday stem water potential (SWP) was performed by a pressure chamber (Soil Moisture Equipment corp., Santa Barbara, California, USA) on six leaves of each genotype according to the methodology described by McCutchan and Shackel (1992) and by Naor et al. (1995).

# Photosynthetic Light Response Curves and A/C<sub>i</sub> Measurements

The photosynthetic light response curves were gathered on the 26th and the 27th of February 2015 and were made using a portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor 6400, Lincoln NE, USA), at a  $CO_2$  concentration similar to the environment (375 ppm),

following the protocol of Campbell et al. (1992). The sequence of the light intensity was 2,000, 1,500, 1,000, 700, 500, 300, 100, 50  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. A regression analysis was used to evaluate the response of leaf photosynthetic rate at different irradiances. Data were analyzed according to the model described by Corelli Grappadelli and Magnanini (1993) where:

$$A = B0(B1 - exp(-B2^*PAR))$$

and B0, B1, B2 represent estimated parameters.  $A/C_i$  response curves were completed on March 3rd, 2015 and the measurements were performed at varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (375, 200, 100, 60, 2,000, 1,800, 1,500, 1,200, 800, 500, 375 ppm) using a PAR at 1,600  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. The A/C<sub>i</sub> data were used to estimate the maximum rate of RuBisCo (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) carboxylation activity (V<sub>max</sub>), and the maximum rate of electron transport driving RuBisCo regeneration (J<sub>max</sub>) as described by Farquhar et al. (1980). For light response and A/C<sub>i</sub> curves, data were obtained from measurements on both 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' between 10:00 and 13:00, on well exposed and healthy leaves located at similar nodal positions, corresponding approximately to the middle of each primary axis.

### **Experimental Design and Data Analysis**

The experiment was a completely random design with six plant replicates per treatment. Three main treatments were: H<sub>P</sub>, H<sub>H</sub> (6 plants each treatment) with 'PremP009' or 'Hosui' scion genotypes in both axes, and M (6 plants) (Figure 2). The effect of treatments on whole-tree growth, allometry, dry matter accumulation and gas exchange parameters was evaluated by a two-way ANOVA ( $P \le 0.05$ ) followed by Student-Newman-Keuls for mean separation. Light responses, A/Ci curves and LMA determinations were undertaken only on M trees for testing genotype behavior with no rootstock interaction allowing respectively a regression analysis and a one-way ANOVA ( $P \leq$ 0.05) followed by Student-Newman-Keuls for mean separation. A further analysis based on shoot position, characterized by the numbers "1" and "2," where position 1 is the primary axis originating closest to the root system and 2 the farthest (Figure 2) was undertaken for both H and M treatments. The subsequent treatments were: H<sub>P</sub>1, H<sub>P</sub>2, H<sub>H</sub>1, H<sub>H</sub>2, M<sub>P</sub>1, M<sub>H</sub>2, M<sub>H</sub>1, and M<sub>P</sub>2 with 6 leaves or shoots analyzed for H plants and 3 for the M trees. In this last case, an unbalanced three-way ANOVA  $(P \le 0.05)$  was performed on the gas exchange measurements for the three measurement times each day and on the dry matter accumulation data. The factors analyzed were plant genotypes, plant types and shoot positions.

## RESULTS

### Light and Carbon Response Curves, Gas Exchange and Stem Water Potential

For the light and carbon response curves, only leaves within the M trees have been used, to observe the general photosynthetic response and to compare the photosynthetic efficiency of each genotype ('PremP009' and 'Hosui') on the same root system.

Fitting the light response model to leaf photosynthetic rates at different irradiances resulted in an  $R^2$  of 0.98 for 'PremP009' and 0.75 for 'Hosui,' at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (**Figure 3A**). Light saturation was recorded at circa 1,200 µmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for both genotypes. The A/C<sub>i</sub> curves (**Figure 3B**) revealed that J<sub>max</sub> (**Table 1**) differed between genotypes, being higher for 'PremP009' leaves. There was no genotypic difference in the values of compensation point (CP) for light and CO<sub>2</sub>, which were 50 µmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for PAR and around 60 ppm for CO<sub>2</sub> (**Figure 3**) (Farquhar et al., 1980).

For mature leaves of both LDDW and LMA, 'PremP009' showed higher values (28 mg and 35.6 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively) than 'Hosui' (21 mg and 26.9 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>). In contrast to mature leaves, young 'PremP009' leaves have lower LDDW and LMA than 'Hosui' leaves (**Table 2**).

Photosynthesis of individual leaves was measured three times during the day in mid-January and late February. Data

were analyzed by a three-way ANOVA of the factors leaf genotype, plant type, and shoot position. On January 15th, the measurements were significantly different only for leaf genotype, with no interactions among the other factors (**Figure 4**). **Figure 4A** shows that 'PremP009' overrated 'Hosui' at the 3

TABLE 1 | Maximum rubisco carboxylation rate (V<sub>max</sub>) and maximum electron transport rate (J<sub>max</sub>) in 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' pear leaves at PAR 1,600  $\mu$ mol m $^{-2}s^{-1}.$ 

Genotype	V <sub>max</sub>	J <sub>max</sub>
'PremP009'	73.9	180.9
'Hosui'	71.6	139.1
Significance	ns	**

Differences for each genotype, values rapresent a mean of four replicates. \*\*P < 0.001; ns, not significant.



measured times. At 9:00, the highest net photosynthetic rate (A) of 18.0  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> was found for 'PremP009,' whereas 'Hosui' reached a peak at 15.2  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 4A). Throughout the day for both genotypes, net photosynthesis declined, reaching lower rates. Net photosynthesis (A), stomatal conductance (g<sub>s</sub>) and transpiration (E), in fact, were greater for 'PremP009,' with the major peak at  $0.49 \text{ mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  and 3.6 mmol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (**Figures 4B,C**). Despite differences in gas exchanges, no differences were found for SWP (stem water potential) between the plant genotypes (Figure 4D). If SWP is evaluated on the basis of the plant type factor (H<sub>P</sub>, H<sub>H</sub>, and M) over the 2 measurement dates, some differences appear. On January 15th, SWP did not differ between the three plant types, while on February 19th, H<sub>P</sub> had a higher SWP of -0.58 MPa (Figure S1). On February 19th, the three-way ANOVA analysis indicated interactions between the factors as presented in Table 3. Taking into account the leaf genotype, 'PremP009' had higher A, g<sub>s</sub>, and E compared to 'Hosui'; the only exception being at 9:00 h, when A and E were not different (Table 3). Plant type showed a predominant trend for M leaves to have higher A, g<sub>s</sub>, and E compared to H leaves. As shown in Table 3, these trends occurred almost all day long excluding A at 9:00, and independently from the genotype or shoot position. Comparing stomatal conductance, at 16:00 'PremP009' in 1 and 2 position had higher values than comparable 'Hosui' positions. An interaction among plant type, leaf genotype and

TABLE 2   Leaf disc dry weight (g) and leaf disc mass area (g cm <sup>-2</sup> ) of young and
mature leaves of 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' pear.

	Young	leaves	Mature	leaves
	Leaf disc dry weight	Leaf disc mass area	Leaf disc dry weight	Leaf disc mass area
Genotype	(g)	(g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(g)	(g cm <sup>-2</sup> )
'PremP009'	0.015	0.0191	0.028	0.0356
'Hosui'	0.0161	0.0205	0.0211	0.0269
Significance	*	*	*	*

Differences for each genotpe, values represent a mean of four replicates. \*P < 0.05.

shoot position occurred for gs at 16:00: 'PremP009' had greater average gs than 'Hosui,' particularly when in the M trees, with the greatest value of  $0.498 \text{ mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  on 'PremP009' leaves within M trees at position 2 (Table 3). A cumulative calculation of leaf photosynthesis and transpiration has been provided for a consolidated understanding of the gas exchange measurements in January 15th and February 19th. Each histogram represented in **Figure 5** is the integration of net photosynthesis ( $\Sigma_A$ ) and transpiration ( $\Sigma_{\rm E}$ ) of each leaf measured (Losciale et al., 2010). In the three-way ANOVA, no interactions were observed; leaf genotype in January and February and plant type in February showed differences (Figure 5). In general, 'PremP009' genotype recorded higher values of A and E than 'Hosui' genotype in both dates (Figures 5A,B,E,F). Regarding plant type, no differences were found in January (Figures 5C,D). In February (Figures 5G,H), leaves within the M trees had larger cumulated values for A and E than H ones.

### **Dry Matter Accumulation and Distribution**

Different components of plant growth and dry matter accumulation have been considered for the three plant types H<sub>P</sub>, H<sub>H</sub>, and M (see Figures 1, 2 for plant identification). Small sprout and sylleptic shoots (shoots that develop from a lateral bud without any period of dormancy) have not been considered part of the primary shoot axis and so have been excluded in the representation of scion extension growth. The curves presented in Figure 6 show the seasonal development of the mean total primary axis length and node number (the sum of the two axes) of H<sub>P</sub>, H<sub>H</sub> and M plants describing the overall growth behavior of each plant type. Differences in primary axis growth among the treatments were measurable by 28 DABB with H<sub>P</sub> shoots being shorter than  $H_H$  and M, with fewer nodes (Figures 6A,B). From 28 to 109 DABB, primary axis length and node number of  $H_H$  and M were similar but greater than  $H_P$  (Figures 6A,B). From 109 DABB until the end of the season, H<sub>H</sub> developed the longest shoots, with the most nodes (Figures 6A,B). A regression analysis between final tree dry weight and total leaf area (Figure S2) showed a positive relationship. The 3 tree types occupy distinct positions within the graph: HP trees in the lowest region, H<sub>H</sub> with the highest values and M trees intermediate. In Figure 7, each histogram shows the final total standing tree dry weight as the sum of the non-axis parts (roots, rootstock shank,



		A (Jumol CO	2 m - 5 s - 1	_				gs (mol H <sub>2</sub> C	() m_(					E (mmol H <sub>2</sub> t	0 m <sup>-z</sup> s-1	_	
		Plant ge	notype					Plant g	enotype					Plant ge	notype		
Hour Plant type	Shoot position	'PremP009'	"insoH,	Plant type × shoot position	↓ Plant type mean	↓ Plant type	Shoot position	'PremP009"	ʻinsoH,	Plant type × shoot position	↓ Plant type mean	↓ Plant type	Shoot position	'PremP009'	"InsoH,	Plant ↓ type × shoot position	Plant type mean
09:00 Mixed	- 0	14.3 14.5	12.7 14.3	13.47 14.4	13.93	Mixed	- 0	0.564 0.856	0.347 0.687	0.455 0.772	0.613	a Mixed	- 0	4.00 4.28	2.67 4.49	3.34 4.38	3.86
	Mean →	14.4	13.5			I	Mean →	0.710	0.517				Mean →	4.14	3.58		
Homogeneous	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	14.0 14.5	10.9 13.3	12.46 13.86	13.16	Homogeneous	- 0	0.376 0.591	0.24 0.291	0.308 0.441	0.374	b Homogeneous	- 0	3.09 3.83	2.24	2.67 3.21	2.94
	Mean →	14.3	12.1			I	Mean →	0.483	0.265				Mean →	3.46	2.42		
Shoot position mean	- 0	14.1 14.5	11.8	12.96 14.31		Shoot position mean	- 0	0.470 0.723	0.293 0.489	0.382	m Q.	Shoot position mean	- 0	3.55 4.05	2.45 3.54	3.00 3.79	
	General mean →	14.3	12.8			Ι	General mean →	0.597	a 0.391 b				General mean →	3.80	3.00		
13:00 Mixed	- 0	13.8 13.6	13.7 11.6	13.7 12.6	13.16	a Mixed	- 0	0.518 0.610	0.410 0.235	0.464 0.423	0.443	a Mixed	- 0	3.34 3.77	3.05 2.31	3.19 3.04	3.12
	Mean →	13.7	12.6			I	Mean →	0.564	0.322				Mean →	3.55	2.68		
Homogeneous	- 0	11.5 12.2	7.2 9.6	9.3 10.9	10.14	b Homogeneous	- 0	0.343 0.387	0.145 0.179	0.244 0.283	0.264	b Homogeneous	- 0	2.79 3.08	1.48 1.83	2.13 2.45	2.29
	Mean →	11.9	8.4			I	Mean →	0.365	0.162				Mean →	2.93	1.65		
Shoot position	c	12.6	10.4	11.54		Shoot position	- c	0.430	0.277	0.354		Shoot position	- c	3.06	2.26	2.66	
	General mean →	12.8	a 10.5 b	2			Seneral mean →	0.465	a 0.242 b	2			c General mean →	3.24	a 2.17 b	2 N	
16:00 Mixed	~ ~	12.2 14.7	12.9 12.2	12.52 13.4	12.96	a Mixed	- 0	0.307 0.498	bc 0.400 ab a 0.199 c	0.353	0.351	a Mixed	ю <del>т</del>	3.47 3.31	2.55 2.19	3.48 3.22	3.35
	Mean →	13.4	12.5			1	Mean →	0.402	0.299				Mean →	3.67	3.03		
Homogeneous	~ ~	12.0 13.0	8.6 10.8	10.31 11.9	11.11	b Homogeneous	- 0	0.311	bc 0.148 c bc 0.178 c	0.230 0.234	0.232	b Homogeneous	- 0	3.27 3.23	2.34 2.16	2.53 2.64	2.58
	Mean →	12.5	9.7			I	Mean →	0.300	0.163				Mean →	3.19	1.98		
Shoot position mean	- 0	12.1 13.8	10.8	11.42 12.65		Shoot position mean	- 0	0.309 0.394	a 0.274 b a 0.188 b	0.291		Shoot position mean	- 0	3.34 3.51	2.66 2.35	3.00 2.93	
	General mean →	13.0	a 11.1 b			I	General mean →	0.351	a 0.231 b				General mean →	3.43	a 2.51 b		

Tozzi et al.

7



**FIGURE 5** | Cumulative net photosynthesis (A) and transpiration (E) (±Standard Error, SE) over the time of daily measurements on January 15th (**A-D**) and February 19th (**E-H**). Measurements consider leaf genotype ('PremP009' and 'Hosui') and plant type (Homogeneous or Mixed). Each bar represents the cumulative mean  $\pm$  SE of four replicates. Letters on the histograms indicate the mean separation. *P* < 0.05. interstem and scion) plus the total primary stem dry weight of  $H_P$ ,  $H_H$  and the two combinations of M plant types ( $M_H1_P2$  and  $M_P1_H2$ ). Trees of  $H_H$  and  $M_H1_P2$  had the greatest values of total primary stem dry weight of 200.6 and 207.1 g, while  $H_P$  and  $M_P1_H2$  were 158.2 and 163.1g respectively. No difference between plant types has been found regarding the components of the non-axis part (**Figure 7**).

### Plant Development and Dry Matter Accumulation Based on Primary Axis Position

The three-way ANOVA of tree growth and dry matter accumulation revealed a positive 3-way interaction between tree type, genotype and shoot position (Table 4). Thus, an analysis of the scion extension growth in each tree combination identified by the primary shoot position has been undertaken (Figure 8 and Tables 4, 5). Small spurs and sylleptic shoots have not been considered as a part of the primary shoots and are excluded in the representation of scion extension growth. From 28 to 84 DABB, M<sub>H</sub>1, M<sub>H</sub>2, and H<sub>H</sub>1 developed the longest shoots, whereas H<sub>P</sub>2 the shortest (Figure 8A). From 95 DABB until the end of the season, H<sub>H</sub>1, H<sub>H</sub>2, M<sub>H</sub>1, M<sub>H</sub>2, and M<sub>P</sub>2 developed the longest shoots (Figure 8A). At 151 DABB, Mp1, Hp1, and Hp2 had the shortest shoots. The mean node number per primary shoot was similar among all the treatments until 109 DABB (Figure 8B). At 38 DABB only,  $H_P2$  had fewer nodes than  $M_P2$  and  $H_H1$ . From 122 DABB, HP1, HP2, and MP1 had developed 41.0, 40.0, and 40.0 nodes, respectively, significantly fewer than the other treatments (Figure 8B). Scion cross-sectional area was similar among treatments for the first 52 DABB (Figure 8C). From 70 DABB, M<sub>P</sub>2 always had the greatest SCA (shoot cross-sectional area), reaching 3.09  $\text{cm}^2$  at 151 DABB, while M<sub>H</sub>2 had the lowest value of 1.98 cm<sup>2</sup> at the same date (Figure 8C). The SCA of all other treatments were intermediate from 70 DABB onward (Figure 8C). For the leaf and primary axis development and their dry matter accumulation, H<sub>H</sub>1, H<sub>H</sub>2, M<sub>H</sub>1, and M<sub>H</sub>2 had greater leaf area, almost double that of H<sub>P</sub>1 and H<sub>P</sub>2 which were the smallest (Table 4). A clear division between the total leaf area of 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' was found, with the exception of M<sub>P</sub>2 that had an intermediate leaf area compared to the other combinations (Table 4). A similar pattern was found for average area per leaf, with a clear difference between 'PremP009' and 'Hosui'. Leaf dry mass generally followed leaf area, with the highest leaf dry weight occurring with 'Hosui' leaves; the Mp2 shoots showed the highest leaf dry weight among the 'PremP009' shoot combinations. Even though some considerable differences occurred for the total stem dry mass among shoot treatments, these were not statistically different. The total stem dry weight in: H<sub>H</sub>1 reached the highest value of 163.1 g, while the lowest were H<sub>P</sub>2 and M<sub>P</sub>1 with 120.6 and 119.8 g, respectively. M<sub>P</sub>2 was characteristically greater in all primary stem growth analysis traits compared to other 'PremP009' shoots (Table 4). Generally, 'Hosui' plants had higher number of leaves though the leaf number of M<sub>P</sub>2 stems was more similar to 'Hosui' stems both numerically and statistically. The dry mass per leaf area



ratio clearly divided into two groups according to 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' genotypes, irrespective of tree composition or shoot position. No differences have been found for small spur leaves, stems, and the total small spur dry matter. Finally, in **Table 5**, the analysis of the remaining tree components below the stem axes (roots, rootstock shank, interstem, scion and budwood axis; refer to **Figure 2**) did not show any statistical differences.

## DISCUSSION

Light and carbon response curves (**Figures 3A,B**) demonstrated differences in leaf photosynthetic response and light efficiency of the plant genotypes 'PremP009' and 'Hosui.' The experimental unit used (two scion genotypes grafted on the same stock) allowed the comparison of genetic differences in leaf photosyntetic performance. 'PremP009' leaves had provided a consistent photosynthetic advantage. The higher efficiency of

'PremP009' leaves is represented by the steeper slope of the linear portion of the light response curve, expressing a greater quantum efficiency (Campbell et al., 1992) and a higher maximum rate asymptote. Within the A/C<sub>i</sub> response, the maximum electron transport rate parameter J<sub>max</sub> was found to be the key trait increased in 'PremP009' leaves compared with 'Hosui' (Table 1). In both cultivars, the net assimilation response to internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration declined above 1,200 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that at this concentration, a triose phosphate utilization limitation occurred (Sharkey et al., 1986). Differences between the genotypes were also from the maximum CO2 assimilation rate of  $\sim$ 36 µmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for 'PremP009' compared to  $\sim$ 29  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for 'Hosui' (Figure 3B). According to Farquhar and von Caemmerer (1982), the model of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation is limited by (i) RuBiSco capacity, (ii) electron transport rate to supply NADPH and ATP for RuBiSco regeneration, (iii) capacity of triose phosphate utilization in starch and sucrose synthesis to regenerate Pi for photophosphorylation. In this



**TABLE 4** | Summary of the final dry weight of component parts of primary axes of bi-axis pear trees, considering the interaction between plant type (Homogeneous, H, Mixed, M), genotype ('Hosui,' <sub>H</sub>, 'PremP009,' <sub>P</sub>) and shoot position (1, lower primary axis; 2, upper primary axis).

		Pri	mary sh	oot			Small sp	our <sup>b</sup>			Total						
Treatment	Le wei	af dry ight (g)	Tota area	al leaf a (m <sup>2</sup> )	Ave le area	rage af (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Stem dry weight (g)	Tota weig	l dry ht (g)	Leaves (g)	Stem (g)	Total (g)	Dry weight (g)	Leaf	number	D weigh ar (g cr	ry nt/leaf rea m <sup>-2</sup> )
H <sub>P</sub> 1	44.5	bc	0.28	С	0.63	b	79.5	124.0	ab	3.2	0.0	3.2	341.1	43.8	b	0.12	b
H <sub>P</sub> 2	42.0	С	0.27	С	0.63	b	78.7	120.6	b	1.1	0.0	1.1	350.1	42.8	b	0.13	ab
M <sub>P</sub> 1	42.0	С	0.26	С	0.63	b	77.8	119.8	b	0.6	0.0	0.6	381.6	41.7	b	0.14	а
M <sub>P</sub> 2	45.9	bc	0.33	b	0.66	b	109.7	155.6	ab	2.5	0.0	2.5	412.4	49.0	а	0.13	ab
M <sub>H</sub> 1	56.3	а	0.43	а	0.81	а	97.4	153.7	ab	0.2	0.0	0.2	401.8	52.3	а	0.09	С
M <sub>H</sub> 2	53.2	ab	0.40	а	0.78	а	85.4	138.6	ab	0.0	0.0	0.0	395.1	50.7	а	0.10	С
H <sub>H</sub> 1	60.3	а	0.42	а	0.81	а	102.8	163.1	а	3.3	3.0	6.3	428.3	51.8	а	0.10	С
H <sub>H</sub> 2	57.4	а	0.41	а	0.80	а	97.8	155.3	ab	7.6	8.3	15.9	428.1	51.3	а	0.10	С
Significance	9	**		**		**	ns	,	**	ns	ns	ns	ns		**		**

<sup>a</sup>Mean separation within columns by the Student-Newman-Keuls test; \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.001; ns: not significant.

<sup>b</sup>no small spurs on  $H_P$ ,  $M_P$  and  $M_H$  trees.

case, it seems that in the plateau region (where the curve is constant) photosynthesis is mainly limited by the slow rate of triose phosphate utilization for the formation of sucrose. The limitation in regeneration of RuBP and triose phosphate use provoke the slight decline in transporting electrons out of PSII. This can be the cause of the different shapes of the two curves between leaf genotypes, with a value of ~36 µmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for 'PremP009' and ~29 µmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for 'Hosui' (**Figure 3B**). Moreover, the differences in J<sub>max</sub> may be elucidated further

by considering the differences in leaf mass area (**Table 2**) of 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' leaves, because the capacity of carbon assimilation is correlated to LMA (Marini and Barden, 1981). Leaf dry weight and LMA were greater for mature 'PremP009' leaves than for mature 'Hosui' leaves (**Table 2**), and this could partly explain the higher  $J_{max}$  found because it expresses the maximum rate of electron transport. Further research in sugar metabolism could allow better understanding of this different behavior. The photosynthetic performance differences appear





TABLE 5   Summary of the final dry weight of roots, rootstock shank, interstem,
scion, and budwood parts of bi-axis pear trees, considering the interaction
between plant type (Homogeneous, H, Mixed, M), genotype ('Hosui,' $_{\rm H}$ ,
'PremP009,' P) and shoot position (1, lower primary axis; 2, upper primary axis).

Treatment	Root (g)	Rootstock shank (g)	Interstem (g)	Scion (g)	Budwood (g)
H <sub>P</sub> 1	35.8	75.5 <sup>1</sup>	30.6 <sup>1</sup>	49.1 <sup>1</sup>	22.9
H <sub>P</sub> 2	48.8	75.5 <sup>1</sup>	30.6 <sup>1</sup>	49.1 <sup>1</sup>	24.5
M <sub>P</sub> 1	55.4	93.0 <sup>2</sup>	45.7 <sup>2</sup>	43.9 <sup>2</sup>	23.3
M <sub>P</sub> 2	52.4	90.6 <sup>3</sup>	51.9 <sup>3</sup>	35.8 <sup>3</sup>	23.6
M <sub>H</sub> 1	51.8	90.6 <sup>3</sup>	51.9 <sup>3</sup>	35.8 <sup>3</sup>	17.8
M <sub>H</sub> 2	49.7	93.0 <sup>2</sup>	45.7 <sup>2</sup>	43.9 <sup>2</sup>	24.3
H <sub>H</sub> 1	57.5	90.2 <sup>4</sup>	45.4 <sup>4</sup>	41.5 <sup>4</sup>	24.2
H <sub>H</sub> 2	54.2	90.2 <sup>4</sup>	45.4 <sup>4</sup>	41.5 <sup>4</sup>	25.6
Significance	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

<sup>a</sup>Mean separation within columns by the Student-Newman-Keuls test; ns, non-significant. <sup>1,2,3,4</sup>equal number because sharing the same part of the plant.

due to RuBP regeneration and triose phosphate utilization, which limited A more in 'Hosui' than in 'PremP009'. Therefore, the "quality" of the electron transport out of PSII determined the rate of photosynthesis of these two pear cultivars. A higher rate of photosynthesis in 'PremP009' leaves may send a positive feedback through the electron transport out of PSII, while the lower rate of photosynthesis found in 'Hosui' induced inhibitory feedback, which slightly decreased the electron transport. The gas exchange measurements demonstrate that it is possible to use the individual leaf performance of net photosynthesis, stomata conductance and transpiration to elucidate which variables of the grafted plant model system (plant type, genotype and shoot position) influence the photosynthetic performance. In January, differences in photosynthesis depended solely on the leaf genotype influence (Figure 4) because plants were not water stressed, having midday stem water potentials (Figure 4D) equivalent to well-watered young pear trees (Morandi et al., 2014a). In February, besides leaf genotype (Figures 5E,F), leaves of M plants were more active than leaves of H plants (Figures 5G,H), in particular M<sub>P</sub>2 had the highest values (Table 3). Thus, photosynthetic performance of 'PremP009' may be affected by the presence of the other scion genotype and the best combination may result from grafting 'Hosui' in position 1, closer to the root system as also suggested by dry matter accumulation. It may be possible to assert that 'PremP009' leaves could be efficient under strong periods of illumination or high temperature and this behavior could be inherited from the European character by the genetic Asian cross. Possibly, 'PremP009' expresses its higher photosynthetic potential most obviously when subjected to extended periods of high irradiance.

The mean total vegetative development of the three plant types at the end of the season showed that  $H_P$  were less vigorous, gaining less dry matter in the primary shoots than both  $H_H$  and M possibly because the earlier shoot termination ended node neoformation. In contrast,  $H_H$  scions continued growing later in the season, developing more nodes, leaves and longer

shoots and a greater final tree biomass (**Figures 6A,B**). M yielded intermediate results, most probably because it is the combination treatment that includes both 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' genotypes in the one plant.

The ranges of leaf area of 'PremP009' in each combination were of 0.26-0.33 m<sup>2</sup> (Table 4). According to Wünsche et al. (1996), the proportion of intercepted photosynthetic energy converted into biomass relates to photosynthesis and leaf area. Thus, the development of more nodes and greater mean leaf size from early in the season may have increased intercepted solar radiation and dry matter accumulation in trees containing 'Hosui' scions (H<sub>H</sub> and M) compared to homogeneous 'PremP009' trees. Considering young newlygrafted apple trees, tree size (dry mass) at the end of the first growing season was found to be strongly dependent on the rate that leaf area increased early in the season (van Hooijdonk et al., 2015). The behavior of HP trees showed slower leaf area formation early in the season, and earlier time of shoot termination, developing shorter shoots, meaning H<sub>P</sub> shoots had a shorter growth duration. Moreover, 'Hosui' trees developed more small sprouts containing additional leaf area. Even if there were no differences in small spur production (Table 4), they could have contributed to light capture necessary for leaf photosynthesis and dry matter accumulation.

'PremP009' and 'Hosui,' when they are combined with their homologous scion ("HP or HH" combination), represent the extreme values in terms of growth and dry matter accumulation. Otherwise, when 'PREMP009' is linked to 'Hosui' or vice versa ("M" combination), the plant shows average values, revealing how the intermediate treatment is characterized by node number, length and dry matter accumulation placed in between that of homogenous plants (Figures 6A,B). Generally, the proximity of the scion to the rootstock could be an advantage in receiving nutrients and water from roots. In this experiment, MP2 primary shoots were 192 cm long with 49 nodes at growth cessation, statistically greater than M<sub>P</sub>1 primary shoots, which were of 157 cm with 42 nodes (Figures 8A,B). Moreover, M<sub>P</sub>2 is the scion combination reaching similar values in length and node number and leaf area to the 'Hosui' scion treatments. Considering the dry matter accumulation of H<sub>H</sub>, H<sub>P</sub>, and M plant type (Figure 7), the primary stem dry weight of  $M_{\rm H}1_{\rm P}2$  is 1.26 times higher than M<sub>P</sub>1<sub>H</sub>2, reaching similar results to the H<sub>H</sub> plant type. Thus, the growth of 'PremP009' stem in M<sub>H</sub>1<sub>P</sub>2, may have been affected by the presence of 'Hosui' in the basal location, placed favorably closer to the root system, leading the 'PremP009' axis to partition more dry matter to primary shoot leaves and stems, compared with the other 'PremP009' shoots. Finally, with an average of 3.09  $\text{cm}^2$ , M<sub>P</sub>2 has the greatest SCA (Figure 8C). Strongly apical dominant pear, as in the case of 'PremP009' allocated in position 2, exhibit greater secondary growth (expansion) rather than primary (shoot elongation) and it confirmed that, as asserted by Jackson (2003), distal shoots furthest from the roots exert positional (and usually also a premigenic) dominance over more proximal shoots. Probably the additional growth was due to the presence of 'Hosui,' closer to the root system, providing additional carbon for growth.

The experimental bi-axis grafting technique showed a strategic system for a comparative study of 'PremP009' photosynthetic performance and related growth analysis: it allowed the comparison between two different leaf-colored pear genotypes bypassing the possible confounding behaviors and alterations due to the plant interaction with environmental factors. Thus, it is possible to determine 'PremP009' leaves have a higher photosynthetic advantage, performing a more efficient use of the light intercepted achieved by a more effective RuBP regeneration. 'PremP009' leaves are characterized by greater thickness, which may allude to the presence of photosynthetic apparatus and pigments involved in its photochemistry. However, in order to better investigate the photosynthetic capacity of red pigment in pear plants supporting the leaf chlorophylls through unloading energy in excess in the photosynthetic system, additional experiments and analysis are required.

Considering merely the individual leaf photosynthetic yield, 'PremP009' leaves showed a higher activity compared to 'Hosui' genotype. However, at the end of the season, this is not translated into its final biomass accumulation, because of the effects of seasonal patterns of leaf and node neoformation, which are elementary components of the whole canopy light interception and tree dry matter accumulation. 'PremP009' genotype during the first year of growth was characterized by lower vigor with fewer neoformed nodes resulting from earlier shoot growth cessation. This vegetative behavior is likely due to the lower early season leaf area development (and probably a faster leaf senescence) as essential requirements for light interception by young trees. In cropping systems, a low vigor fruit tree genotype is not an undesirable physiological trait; reaching tree maturity earlier in the life of the orchard and with a smaller tree can allow easier management of the orchard. A limitation of this single year experiment is that no information on the leaf genotype photosynthesis x fruit growth interactions were measurable; this knowledge is important considering 'PremP009' is likely to be commercially grown in different environmental conditions and managing systems internationally. Unexpected results combining heterogeneous varieties on the same plant came from the interaction between the two genotypes: in the M plants, 'Hosui' could be interpreted as having a positive effect supporting

### REFERENCES

- Bosa, K., Jadczuk-Tobjasz, E., and Kalaji, M. H. (2016). Photosynthetic productivity of pear trees grown on different rootstocks. *Ann. Bot.* 6, 69–75. doi: 10.4462/annbotrm-13172
- Burkhart, D. J., and Willett, M. J. (1990). "Red d'Anjou: a challenge and an opportunity for Pacific Northwest pear growers," in *Pacific Northwest Fruit Tester's Association Annual Report Part 2*, ed J. K. Ballard (Selah, DC: Ballard, J), 24.
- Campbell, R. J., Marini, R. P., and Birch, J. B. (1992). Canopy position affects light response curves for gas exchange characteristics of apple spur leaves. J. Am. Soc. Horticult. Sci. 117, 467–472.
- Cano, F. J., López, R., and Warren, C. R. (2014). Implications of the mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> for photosynthesis and water-use efficiency during longterm water stress and recovery in two contrasting Eucalyptus species. *Plant Cell Environ.* 37, 2470–2490. doi: 10.1111/pce.12325

'PremP009' growth depending on their respective axis positions in the compound plant. An unverified explanation could be 'Hosui' behaves as a mediator and through some hormonal canopy-roots-canopy messaging to stimulate 'PremP009' growth, or is simply a feature of additional carbon availability for growth. This mediation action is more noticeable when 'Hosui' is grafted in position 1 (closest to the roots system). It would be worth repeating this experiment as a multi-year study to demonstrate if this interaction is reproducible also in field trees. A deeper investigation, following the experimental design of this report, through the evaluation of hormonal messaging, might enable the development of a model for understanding better: (i) hormonal messages for comparing photosynthesis of different scion genotypes; or (ii) hormonal message modulated by the grafting system.

This experiment clearly underlines the strong chain connecting tree physiology and field management studies. A deep understanding on the relationships between these two sciences is needed for creating new options for the interaction of basic and field research and have a clearer and smarter overview of the future horticultural crop horizons.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All the authors contributed in the drafting of the manuscript and peer review. FT, BvH, DT collected all the field data. LM, FT, BvH, DT designed the experiment and carried out the statistical analysis.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2018. 00404/full#supplementary-material

**Figure S1** | Mean ( $\pm$  Standard Error, SE) midday stem water potential (SWP) considering the plant type (Homogeneous 'Hosui' (HH), Homogeneous 'PremP009' (HP) and Mixed (M)). Each bar represents the mean  $\pm$  SE of 12 replicates of the three plant types. Treatments were separated with a one-way ANOVA and compared by the Student-Newman-Keuls test.

Figure S2 | Relationship between final tree dry weight and total leaf area of 'PremP009' and 'Hosui' homogenous plant (H<sub>P</sub> and H<sub>H</sub>) and mixed plant (M). Each point represents an entire tree.

- Corelli Grappadelli, L., and Magnanini, E. (1993). A whole-tree system for gasexchange studies. *HortScience* 28, 41–45.
- DeJong, T. M., and Grossman, Y. L. (1995). Quantifying sink and source limitations on dry matter partitioning to fruit growth in peach trees. *Physiol. Plant.* 95, 437–443 doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1995.tb00860.x
- Dorigoni, A., Lezzer, P., Dallabetta, N., Serra, S., and Musacchi, S. (2011). BI-AXIS: an alternative to slender spindle for apple orchards. *Acta. Hortic.* 903, 581–588. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2011.903.80
- Espley, R. V., Bovy, A., Bava, C., Jaeger, S. R., Tomes, S., Norling, C., et al. (2012). Analysis of genetically modified red-fleshed in apples reveals effects on growth and consumer attributes. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 11, 408–419. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12017
- Farquhar, G. D., and von Caemmerer, S. (1982). "Modeling photosynthetic response to environmental conditions," in *Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology*, Vol. 12B, eds O. L. Lange, P. S. Nobel, C. B. Osmond, and H. Ziegler (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), 549–587.

- Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S., and Berry, J. B. (1980). A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO2 assimilation in leaves C3 species. *Planta* 149, 78–90.
- Feng, S., Wang, Y., Yang, S., Xu, Y., and Chen, X. (2010). Anthocyanin biosynthesis in pears is regulated by a R2R3-MYB transcription factor PyMYB10. *Planta* 232, 245–255. doi: 10.1007/s00425-010-1170-5
- Heitkamp, M. D. (1986). Growing red pears in Jackson country. Oregon Hortic. Soc. Ann. Rep. 77, 86–87.
- Ing, G. (1987). "A grower looks at red pears," in *Proceedings of 83rd Annual Meeting, Washington State Horticultural Association* (Wenatchee, WA), 206–209.
- Jackson, J. E. (ed.). (2003). "Mechanisms of rootstock and interstock effects on scion vigour," in *The Biology of Apples and Pears*, (*The Biology of Horticultural Crops*) (Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press), 141–156. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511542657.007
- Lescourret, F., Moitrier, N., Valesia, P., and Genard, M. (2011). QualiTree, a virtual fruit tree to study the management of fruit quality. *I. Model Dev. Trees.* 25, 519–530. doi: 10.1007/s00468-010-0531-9
- Li, P., Castagnoli, S., and Cheng, L. (2008). Red "Anjou" pear has a higher photoprotective capacity than green "Anjou." *Physiol. Plant.* 134, 486–498. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01155.x
- Losciale, P., Chow, W. S., and Corelli Grappadelli, L. (2010). Modulating the light environment with the peach "asymmetric orchard": effects on gas exchange performances, photoprotection, and photoinhibition. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 1177–1192. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp387
- Losciale, P., Zibordi, Z., Manfrini, L., and Corelli Grappadelli, L. C. (2008). Effects of rootstock on pear photosynthetic efficiency. *Acta Hortic.* 800, 241–248. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2008.800.28
- Marini, R. P. (1986). Do net gas exchange rates of green and red leaf peach leaves differ? *Hortscience* 21, 118–120.
- Marini, R. P., and Barden, J. A. (1981). Seasonal correlations of specific leaf weight to net photosynthesis and dark respiration of apple leaves. *Photosyn. Res.* 2, 251–258. doi: 10.1007/BF00056262
- Martin, M. M., Larsen, F. E., Higgins, S. S., Ku, M. S. B., and Andrews, P. K. (1997). Comparative growth and physiology of selected one-year-old red- and green-fruited European pear cultivars. *Sci. Horticolt.* 71, 213–226. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4238(97)00100-3
- McCutchan, H., and Shackel, K. A. (1992). Stem-water potential as a sensitive indicator of water stress in prune trees (*Prunus domestica* L. cv. French). J. Am. Soc. Horticult. Sci. 117, 607–611.
- Morandi, B., Losciale, P., Manfrini, L., Zibordi, M., Anconelli, S., Galli, F., et al. (2014a). Increasing water stress negatively affects pear fruit growth by reducing first its xylem and then its phloem inflow. *J. Plant Physiol.* 171, 1500–1509. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2014.07.005
- Morandi, B., Losciale, P., Manfrini, L., Zibordi, M., Anconelli, S., Pierpaoli, E., et al. (2014b). Leaf gas exchanges and water relations affect the daily patterns of fruit growth and vascular flows in Abbé Fétel pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) trees. *Sci. Hortic.* 178, 106–113. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2014.08.009

- Naor, A., Klein, I., and Doron, I. (1995). Stem water potential and apple size. J. Am. Soc. Horticult. Sci. 120, 577–582.
- Patrick, J. W. (1988). Assimilate partitioning in relation to crop productivity. *HortScience* 23, 33–40.
- Poorter, H., Niklas, K. J., Reich, P. B., Oleksyn, J., Poot, P., and Mommer, L. (2012). Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: meta-analyses of interspecific variation and environmental control. *New Phytol.* 193, 30–150. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03952.x
- Rogers, H. T. (1985). Red pears carving a place in the market. *Fruit Grower* 105, 8–10.
- Sharkey, T. D., Stitt, M., Heineke, D., Gerhardt, R., Raschke, K., and Heldt, H. W. (1986). Limitation of photosynthesis by carbon metabolism. *Plant Physiol.* 81, 1123–1129. doi: 10.1104/pp.81.4.1123
- Sugar, D. (1990). "Red pears: varietal characteristics and rootstock effects on early production. Pear Growing in the 1990s," in *Pear Production in the Pacific Northwest. Proceedings of the 1986 Pacific Northwest Tree Fruit Shortcourse*, 1990 Revision, eds J. T. Raese and J. A. Beutel (Davis, CA: University of California), 27–40.
- van Hooijdonk, B. M., Tustin, D. S., Dayatilake, D., and Oliver, M. (2015). Nursery tree design modifies annual dry matter production of newly grafted "Royal Gala" apple trees. *Sci. Horticolt.* 197, 404–410. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.058
- Willett, M. (1983). "Those new red pears: promises and pitfalls," in *Proceedings of 79th Annual Meeting, Washington State Horticultural Association* (Wenatchee, WA), 142–146.
- Wünsche, J. N., Lasko, A. N., Robinson, T. L., Lenz, F., and Denning, S. S. (1996). The bases of productivity in apple production systems: the role of light interception by different shoot types. J. Am. Soc. Horticult. Sci. 121, 886–893.
- Zhang, D., Yu, B., Bai, J., Qian, M., Shu, Q., Su, J., et al. (2012). Effects of high temperatures on UV-B/visible irradiation induced postharvest anthocyanin accumulation in "Yunhongli No. 1" (Pyrus pyrifolia Nakai) pears. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 134, 53–59. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2011.10.025
- Zhang, J., Serra, S., Leisso, R., and Musacchi, S. (2016). Effect of light microclimate on the quality of "d"Anjou' pears in mature open-centre tree architecture. *Biosyst. Eng.* 141, 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2015.11.002

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Tozzi, van Hooijdonk, Tustin, Corelli Grappadelli, Morandi, Losciale and Manfrini. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.