



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Purification of active recombinant human histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) overexpressed in Escherichia coli

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Stefan A, C.N. (2018). Purification of active recombinant human histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) overexpressed in Escherichia coli. BIOTECHNOLOGY LETTERS, 40(9-10), 1355-1363 [10.1007/s10529-018-2585-5].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/647225> since: 2018-10-19

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-018-2585-5>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

“This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Biotechnology Letters. The final authenticated version is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-018-2585-5>”

This version is subjected to Springer Nature terms for reuse that can be found at: <https://www.springer.com/gp/open-access/authors-rights/aam-terms-v1>

Purification of active recombinant human histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) overexpressed in *Escherichia coli*

Alessandra Stefan,^{1,3,#} Natalia Calonghi,^{1,#} Fabrizio Schipani,¹ Fabrizio Dal Piaz,² Giorgio Sartor,¹ Alejandro Hochkoepler^{1,3,*}

1 Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, Viale Risorgimento 4, 40136 Bologna (Italy)

2 Department of Medicine, University of Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II 132, 84084 Fisciano SA (Italy)

3 CSGI, University of Firenze, Via della Lastruccia 3, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino FI (Italy)

A S and N C contributed equally to this work.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Prof. Alejandro Hochkoepler
Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology
University of Bologna
Viale Risorgimento 4
40136 Bologna
Italy
Tel.: ++ 39 051 2093671
Fax: ++ 39 051 2093673
e-mail: a.hochkoepler@unibo.it

Running Title: Overexpression and purification of recombinant HDAC1.

Type of paper: Microbial and Enzyme Technology

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3

4 **Objective** We attempted to overexpress Human Histone Deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) in *Escherichia coli*.

5 **Results** A synthetic gene coding for HDAC1, and optimised for *E. coli* codon usage, was cloned into
6 pBADHisB, generating pBAD-rHDAC1. This construct was used to transform *E. coli* TOP10, and
7 the target protein was overexpressed and partially purified. According to its elution volume from a
8 Superdex 200 column, the partially purified rHDAC1 was obtained in aggregated form, i.e. as an
9 octamer. The dissociation of octameric HDAC1 was tested using several agents, among which
10 sodium dodecyl sulfate was competent in partially dissociating rHDAC1 aggregates. When the
11 enzyme activity was tested *in vitro* using ³H-acetyl-labelled histones both protein samples, aggregated
12 and dissociated, were active. Hence, our results suggest that *E. coli* represents an alternative system
13 for the production of the recombinant HDAC1.

14 **Conclusions** We described a procedure for the overexpression in *E. coli* of recombinant HDAC1, the
15 purification of which in active form can be successfully performed, although yielding an octameric
16 aggregate.

17

18 **Key words:** *Escherichia coli*; heterologous expression; human histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1);
19 protein dissociation; protein purification.

20

21

1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 Histone deacetylases (HDACs) are specifically responsible for the deacetylation of lysine residues at
4 the N-terminal regions of the core histones (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4). In addition, HDACs may
5 deacetylate other non-histone proteins and thus are involved in several cellular processes (e.g.
6 differentiation, apoptosis, cancer development).

7 To date, human histone deacetylases belonging to all three known classes (class I: HDAC1, HDAC2,
8 HDAC3, HDAC8; class II: HDAC4, HDAC5, HDAC6, HDAC7, HDAC9, HDAC10; class III:
9 HDAC11) have been produced using the baculovirus-insect cell expression system. However, all
10 these recombinant proteins were generally expressed as GST/His/FLAG-tagged proteins with limited
11 yield and high costs. Alternatively, the heterologous expression system based on *Escherichia coli*
12 provides several advantages, such as the simple and well-known genetic manipulation, the high cell
13 density achievable, the short time for cultivation and the cheapness of substrates. Despite these
14 advantages, the use of *E. coli* as an alternative expression system for HDACs has been limited.
15 Recently, the full length HDAC8, belonging to the class I, was produced using *E. coli* BL21(DE3)
16 cells. In particular, the protein was fused either to GST or His-tag at the N-terminal and the
17 overexpression was triggered by the addition of IPTG to the culture medium (Feng et al. 2011). Both
18 recombinant proteins, mostly recovered in the insoluble fraction, were active after purification using
19 GST or Ni-NTA resin columns, respectively, followed by Source Q and Superdex-200 columns.

20 The expression of His-tagged HDAC1 in HEK293 cells transformed with the pcDNA3.1 vector was
21 attempted (Li et al. 2004). In this case, the yield of recombinant protein was very low, albeit the
22 recovered enzyme was shown to be active. To investigate the role of *in vivo* and *in vitro*
23 phosphorylation at S421 and S423 sites, the gene coding for HDAC1 was recently cloned into the
24 pET21b vector and the enzyme was overexpressed as His-tagged protein in *E. coli* BL21(DE3)
25 (Karwowska-Desaulniers et al. 2007). However, the production of HDAC1 with high yields has not
26 been described yet. Nevertheless, recombinant HDAC1 is commercially available, although with low

1 purity and at high cost. To improve the efficiency of recombinant HDAC1 production, we report here
2 on a procedure for the production of this enzyme devoid of any tag, using *E. coli* as the expression
3 host.

4

5 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

6 **Bacterial strain, plasmid and media**

7 *Escherichia coli* TOP10 was used for the expression of recombinant HDAC1 (rHDAC1). A synthetic
8 gene, optimised for *E. coli* codon usage using the software Leto® (Entelechon GmbH, Germany),
9 was cloned into the pBADHisB expression vector (Invitrogen, USA), using the *NcoI* and *PstI*
10 restriction sites, yielding the recombinant pBAD-rHDAC1 plasmid. *E. coli* TOP10 competent cells
11 were transformed by electroporation with 20 ng of the recombinant construct, and transformants were
12 selected on LB agar plates containing ampicillin (100 µg/mL). Bacterial cultures were grown in LB
13 medium (10, 5, and 10 g/L of tryptone, yeast extract, and NaCl, respectively) supplemented with
14 ampicillin (100 µg/mL).

15 **Optimization of protein expression**

16 Single colonies of *E. coli* TOP10/pBAD-rHDAC1 were grown overnight at 37 °C in 5 mL of
17 LB/ampicillin. The pre-cultures accordingly obtained were diluted (1:250) in 20 mL of fresh medium,
18 and grown for 8 h at 37 °C, under constant shaking (200 rpm). The expression of the target protein
19 was tested as a function of temperature (30 or 37 °C), of the concentration of arabinose (1.3 or 13
20 mM) and of induction time (2.5, 6 and 15 h). To analyse the expression of rHDAC1, cells pellets
21 were resuspended in 10% SDS (m/v), 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 30% glycerol (v/v), 0.2 M Tris-
22 HCl pH 6.8, 0.05% bromophenol blue (m/v) and boiled for 5 min. 18 µL of each sample were
23 subjected to SDS-PAGE in 10% polyacrylamide gels.

24 **rHDAC1 production**

1 After growing a culture at 37 °C for 8 h, the expression of rHDAC1 was induced with 1.3 mM
2 arabinose at 30 °C for 15 h. The cells suspension (3 L) was centrifuged and the pellets were
3 resuspended in 40 mL of buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM
4 DTT) supplemented with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF). Cells were homogenized
5 using a cold potter, then sonicated on ice (7 cycles, 15 sec pulses with 15 sec intervals, at 18 W for 2
6 min). Soluble proteins were recovered by centrifugation at 10,000xg for 30 min. Protein
7 concentration was then determined according to Bradford (Bradford 1976).

8 **rHDAC1 purification**

9 After filtration, the protein extract (40 mL) was loaded onto a Q-Sepharose FF column (1.6 x 25 cm,
10 50 mL volume) equilibrated with buffer A. Following a washing step with the same buffer, the elution
11 was performed by a linear 50-600 mM NaCl gradient. Eluted fractions, diluted 1:2 with buffer B
12 (buffer A containing 40% glycerol), were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis. The best fractions were
13 pooled, diluted in buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol) and concentrated
14 by ultrafiltration. The resulting sample was loaded onto a HiTrap Heparin affinity column (5 mL, GE
15 Healthcare, USA) equilibrated with buffer D (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA,
16 20% glycerol). After washing, a linear 50-800 mM NaCl gradient was applied and fractions of 0.8
17 mL were collected. The flow-through was concentrated and then applied to a poly-L-lysine agarose
18 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) affinity column (1.5 x 5 cm, 8 mL volume) previously equilibrated with buffer
19 D. The elution was performed with a linear gradient from 50 mM to 1 M NaCl and the presence of
20 rHDAC1 was evaluated by SDS-PAGE. The final purification step was performed with a Superdex
21 200 column (1.6 x 70 cm), previously calibrated with Broad-Molecular-Weight protein standards (GE
22 Healthcare, USA), and equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 20%
23 glycerol, 2.5 mM DTT.

24 **Mass spectrometry**

25 Elution of proteins from acrylamide gels, trypsin digestion, and separation of peptides were
26 performed as previously described (Shevchenko et al. 2007; Conte et al. 2012).

1 **Dissociating agents**

2 In order to obtain rHDAC1 in monomeric form, different conditions and dissociating agents were
3 tested: aliquots of purified rHDAC1 (2.5 μg) were incubated at room temperature for 1 h in the
4 presence of each agent. The samples were conditioned with native sample buffer (40% v/v glycerol,
5 0.4 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 0.05% bromophenol blue), then loaded on native polyacrylamide gels (7.5
6 and 4% acrylamide for running and stacking gel, respectively). Additional samples, prepared by
7 mixing 5 μg of rHDAC1 with each dissociating agent in a volume of 100 μL , were loaded onto
8 Amicon Ultracel 100,000 NMWL filters (Millipore, USA), and centrifuged for 45 s at 14,000xg. Both
9 eluate and retentate fractions were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis (10% acrylamide).

10 **Preparation of [^3H]acetyl histones and assay of HDAC1 activity**

11 To obtain [^3H]acetyl-labelled histones as the substrate for the HDAC1 assay, 1×10^7 HT29 cells were
12 incubated in 30 mL of medium containing 0.5 mCi/mL [^3H]acetate for 1 h, and the labeled histone
13 fraction was immediately extracted. Cells were harvested using 0.11% trypsin and 0.02% EDTA,
14 washed twice with 10 mM sodium butyrate in PBS, and nuclei were isolated (Amellem et al. 1996).
15 Sodium butyrate (5 mM) was added to nuclear isolation buffer to prevent histone deacetylation. The
16 nuclear pellet was suspended in 0.1 mL of ice-cold water using a Vortex mixer, and concentrated
17 H_2SO_4 was added to the suspension to give a final concentration of 0.4 M. After incubation at 4 $^\circ\text{C}$
18 for 1 h, the suspension was centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000xg, and the supernatant was taken and
19 mixed with 1 mL of acetone. After overnight incubation at -20 $^\circ\text{C}$, the coagulate material was
20 collected by microcentrifugation and air-dried. This acid-soluble histone fraction was dissolved in 50
21 μl of water. Protein concentration was determined by the Bradford assay (Bradford 1976).

22 HDAC1 activity was estimated in 50 μl of a reaction mixture containing 1.5 or 2.5 μg HDAC1, [^3H]
23 acetate-labeled HT29 histones (12,000 dpm) dissolved in HDA buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150
24 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol) at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 50 μl of 1 M
25 HCl and 0.16 M acetic acid. The released [^3H]acetic acid was extracted with 0.5 mL of ethyl acetate,

1 and the solvent layer was taken into 5 mL of toluene scintillation solution for the determination of
2 radioactivity.

3

4 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

5

6 **Expression in *E. coli***

7 We attempted the heterologous expression of the human histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) in a
8 prokaryotic system. The full-length human HDAC1, GST/His or FLAG-tagged, was produced in
9 baculovirus infected insect cells (Hassig et al. 1997; Hassig et al. 1998). Recently, the expression of
10 the whole human HDAC1 protein in mammalian cells has been achieved (Li et al. 2004). However,
11 in this study, the recombinant HDAC1 was obtained as His-tagged protein (at the N-terminal). So far,
12 several attempts have been made to use microbial expression hosts, like *Pichia pastoris* and
13 *Escherichia coli*, but all the systems tested were unsuccessful. The only mammalian histone
14 deacetylase expressed in *E. coli* was HDAC8 (Feng et al. 2011; Hu et al. 2000): the coding sequence,
15 cloned into pET21b plasmid, was expressed as His-tagged protein using BL21(DE3) cells after
16 induction with IPTG. The recombinant HDAC8-his protein, after purification by nickel affinity
17 column, was found to be active despite the absence of post-translational modifications.

18 In view of these considerations, we have attempted to express recombinant HDAC1 in *E. coli*. To
19 this aim, the synthetic gene, optimised for *E. coli* codon usage (Supplementary Fig. 1), was cloned
20 into the tightly regulated vector, pBADHisB, which contains the *araBAD* promoter (Guzman et al.
21 1995). In order to obtain rHDAC1 without any additional sequence, the cloning was performed using
22 proper restriction sites (*NcoI* and *PstI*) to eliminate the N-terminal histidine tag eventually conferred
23 by the vector.

24 Preliminary experiments were performed in small shake-flasks to test different conditions of growth
25 and induction (e.g. time/temperature of growth and inducer concentration) in order to identify the
26 optimal procedure for the heterologous expression. In particular, we tested two different inducer

1 concentrations, 1.3 and 13 mM, and two temperatures of induction, 30 and 37 °C. As shown in Fig.
2 1A (lane 1 and 2), the band corresponding to rHDAC1 was detectable, albeit weak, on denaturing
3 gels, independently of the growth temperature. A similar independence was observed using 1.3 or 13
4 mM arabinose as inducer (data not shown). To prevent the possible production of protein aggregates
5 (e.g. inclusion bodies) and to avoid cellular stress in response to the induction, we decided to induce
6 the overexpression of HDAC1 at 30 °C with 1.3 mM arabinose, when bacterial populations reached
7 an OD₆₀₀ equal to 0.8-0.9. After 15 h induction at 30 °C, cells pellets were harvested and used for
8 protein extraction.

9 FIGURE 1

10 We determined the growth kinetics of *E. coli* TOP10 populations containing the pBAD-rHDAC1 or
11 the pBAD vector, under non-inducing or inducing conditions. The addition of arabinose (5 h after the
12 inoculum) to induce the expression of rHDAC1 did adversely affect the biomass yield of
13 TOP10/pBAD-rHDAC1 (Fig. 1B), most likely due to a weak toxic effect of the recombinant protein.

14 **Purification of rHDAC1**

15 We used four chromatographic steps to purify rHDAC1 (see Methods and Supplementary Figs 2-4).
16 Starting from about 10 g of wet biomass the final recovery was equal to 2.5 mg of purified rHDAC1.
17 Unfortunately, rHDAC1 was obtained in aggregated form, as an octamer. According to the elution
18 volume of the protein from the gel filtration column (Fig. 2A), corresponding to a K_{av} of 0.155, the
19 molecular mass of the recombinant protein was estimated equal to 470 kDa, whereas the expected
20 mass is 55.1 kDa. The gel filtration peak containing fractions 8-15 (Fig. 2A) did contain HDAC1 as
21 was confirmed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2B), and by mass spectrometry (Table 1).

22 TABLE 1, FIGURE 2

23 Although in aggregated form, the recombinant protein was very stable and has not been shown to be
24 susceptible to proteolysis. In particular, 5 µg of purified rHDAC1 were incubated at 4 °C and 37 °C
25 for 3 h, then samples were analysed by SDS-PAGE. As shown in Fig. 2C, only a single band

1 corresponding to rHDAC1 is visible, indicating the absence of degradation and high stability of the
2 protein.

3 **Protein dissociation**

4 As previously mentioned, gel filtration chromatography showed that rHDAC1 was produced as a
5 high molecular mass aggregate. Before testing its activity, we tried to dissociate this protein aggregate
6 using several dissociating agents. In particular, the effect of chaotropic species, weak kosmotropes,
7 amino acids, reducing or denaturing agents, detergents, alcohols, and saturated fatty acids was tested
8 (Table 2).

9 **TABLE 2**

10 Preliminary information about the aggregation state of purified rHDAC1 was obtained by native
11 electrophoresis, performed in the absence of denaturing or reducing agents. Under these conditions,
12 high molecular mass complexes are inhibited from entering the gel. As shown in Fig. 3A, rHDAC1
13 was able to migrate further in native gels only after pre-treatment with 2% (w/v) SDS, while the
14 incubation with several other agents was ineffective.

15 **FIGURE 3**

16 A detailed screening of dissociating agents was then performed with a simple and rapid assay: an
17 aliquot of purified rHDAC1 was incubated with each agent at room temperature for 1 h, then samples
18 were subjected to ultrafiltration using disposable cells with a molecular weight cut-off (100 kDa) able
19 to discriminate between monomeric (55 kDa) or aggregated proteins (> dimeric, 110 kDa). Samples
20 (100 μ L) were centrifuged for 45 s at 14,000xg, then aliquots of the protein retained over the
21 membrane (aggregates) and protein passed through the ultrafilter (monomeric form) were analysed
22 by SDS-PAGE. Interestingly, the anionic detergent SDS was the only agent able to dissociate
23 rHDAC1 aggregates to monomers (lane 4, Fig. 3B), in agreement with the observations obtained with
24 native polyacrylamide gels. Unfortunately, the effect of SDS was incomplete and a significant amount
25 of aggregated protein was still detectable in the retentate fraction.

1 We also analysed different SDS concentrations (ranging from 0.002 to 2% m/v, corresponding to
2 concentrations from 0.069 to 69 mM) in order to determine the smallest able to partially dissociate
3 rHDAC1 complexes. This dissociative effect was evident down to concentrations equal to 0.05%
4 (corresponding to 1.75 mM, Fig. 3C), while lower concentrations did not trigger dissociation (data
5 not shown). These observations are in excellent agreement with the dissociation action exerted by
6 SDS (tested at concentrations from 0.13 to 10 mM) towards carmin (Rao and Prakash 1993). The
7 minimal SDS concentration able to dissociate carmin was indeed evaluated as equal to 1.6 mM (Rao
8 and Prakash 1993), a value very close to that reported here as effective in rHDAC1 dissociation, i.e.
9 1.75 mM.

10 **Activity assays**

11 To assess rHDAC1 activity, the aggregated enzyme was assayed using [³H]acetyl histones as
12 substrate. The amount of [³H]acetic acid released from the histones was observed to linearly depend
13 on the rHDAC1 concentration in the reaction mixture (Fig. 4).

14 **FIGURE 4**

15 A small, but significant, release of [³H]acetic acid was detected in the absence of rHDAC1,
16 independently of the addition of SDS to the reaction mixture (Fig. 4). However, it should also be
17 noted that the extent of this unspecific activity accounts for 3-5% only of the activity determined in
18 the presence of enzyme (Fig. 4). We did also compare the activity exerted by 1.5 µg of aggregated or
19 monomeric rHDAC1, and we observed similar substrate conversion, i.e. we detected $355 \cdot 10^3$ and
20 $275 \cdot 10^3$ dpm / mg histones in the presence of octameric and monomeric enzyme, respectively.

21

22 **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

23 We reported here a procedure for the overexpression of HDAC1 in *E. coli*. The protein of interest
24 was partially purified, although as an octamer. By appropriate screens, we identified SDS as an agent
25 able to partially dissociate this aggregated form of recombinant HDAC1. When assayed, we detected
26 significant deacetylase activity exerted by rHDAC1, both in aggregated and dissociated form. In

1 particular, the activity exerted by the aggregated enzyme (Fig. 4) is very similar to the catalytic action
2 reported for rHDAC1 expressed in mammalian cells (Li et al. 2004). Indeed, our HDAC1 preparation
3 and the enzyme expressed in mammalian cells were both assayed with labelled histones, and yielded
4 comparable substrate conversions: i) we incubated, at 37 °C for 2 h, 1.5 µg of enzyme and 3.6 µg of
5 tritiated histones (featuring 5600 cpm), and we observed, under these conditions, the conversion of
6 18% of substrate; ii) when 1 µg of the enzyme produced in mammalian cells was incubated at 37 °C
7 for 1 h in the presence of labelled histones, the amount of radioactive product (acetate) was
8 determined as equal to 18% of substrate ((Li et al. 2004). These assays suggest that the activity of
9 our octameric rHDAC1 is slightly lower than the recombinant deacetylase isolated from mammalian
10 cells. Accordingly, *E. coli* seems to be a suitable host for the production of recombinant HDAC1.

11

12 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

13 **Supplementary Figure 1**

14 Sequence of the synthetic optimised rHDAC1 gene. The *NcoI* and *PstI* restriction sites, used for the
15 cloning into pBADHisB, are underlined. The ATG start codon is shown in bold.

16 **Supplementary Figure 2**

17 (A) Purification of rHDAC1 by anion exchange chromatography (Q-sepharose FF column, 50 mL).
18 (B) SDS-PAGE (10% polyacrylamide) of eluted fractions. Lane M: molecular mass markers (116,
19 66, 45, 35, 25 kDa); lane I: sample of soluble protein extract (input); FT: flow-through fractions; W:
20 washing fractions; numbered lanes: eluted fractions.

21 **Supplementary Figure 3**

22 (A) HiTrap Heparin affinity chromatography of rHDAC1 (5 mL column). (B) SDS-PAGE (10%
23 polyacrylamide) of eluted fractions. Lane M: molecular mass markers (116, 66, 45, 35, 25 kDa); lane
24 I: sample of pooled fractions (input); FT: flow-through fractions; W: washing fractions; numbered
25 lanes: eluted fractions.

26

1 **Supplementary Figure 4**

2 (A) Poly-lysine affinity chromatography of rHDAC1 (8 mL column). (B) SDS-PAGE (10%
3 polyacrylamide) of eluted fractions. Lane M: molecular mass markers (116, 66, 45, 35, 25 kDa); lane
4 I: sample of pooled fractions (input); FT: flow-through fraction; W: washing fraction; numbered
5 lanes: eluted fractions.

6
7 **REFERENCES**

- 8
9
- 10 1. Amellem O, Stokke T, Sandvik JA, Pettersen EO (1996) The retinoblastoma gene product is
11 reversibly dephosphorylated and bound in the nucleus in S and G2 phases during hypoxic
12 stress. *Exp Cell Res* 227:106–115.
 - 13
14 2. Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram
15 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72:248–
16 254.
 - 17
18 3. Conte E, Vincelli G, Schaaper RM, Bressanin D, Stefan A, Dal Piaz F, Hochkoepler A
19 (2012) Stabilization of the *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase III ϵ subunit by the θ subunit
20 favors *in vivo* assembly of the Pol III catalytic core. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 523:135-143.
 - 21
22 4. Feng JH, Jing FB, Fang H, Gu LC, Xu WF (2011) Expression, purification, and S-
23 nitrosylation of recombinant histone deacetylase 8 in *Escherichia coli*. *Biosci Trends* 5:17-
24 22.
 - 25
26 5. Guzman LM, Belin D, Carson MJ, Beckwith J (1995) Tight regulation, modulation, and high-

- 1 level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. J Bacteriol 177:4121-
2 4130.
- 3
- 4 6. Hassig CA, Fleischer TC, Billin AN, Schreiber SL, Ayer DE (1997) Histone deacetylase
5 activity is required for full transcriptional repression by mSin3A. Cell 89:341-347.
6
- 7 7. Hassig CA, Tong JK, Fleischer TC, Owa T, Grable PG, Ayer DE, Schreiber SL (1998) A role
8 for histone deacetylase activity in HDAC1-mediated transcriptional repression. Proc Natl
9 Acad Sci USA 95:3519-3524.
- 10
- 11 8. Hu E, Chen Z, Fredrickson T, Zhu Y, Kirkpatrick R, Zhang GF, Johanson K, Sung CM, Liu
12 R, Winkler J (2000) Cloning and characterization of a novel human class I histone deacetylase
13 that functions as a transcription repressor. J Biol Chem 275:15254-15264.
- 14
- 15 9. Karwowska-Desaulniers P, Ketko A, Kamath N, Pflum MKH (2007) Histone deacetylase 1
16 phosphorylation at S421 and S423 is constitutive *in vivo*, but dispensable *in vitro*. Biochem
17 Biophys Res Commun 361:349-355.
- 18
- 19 10. Li J, Staver MJ, Curtin ML, Holms JH, Frey RR, Edalji R, Smith R, Michaelides MR,
20 Davidsen S, Glaser KB (2004) Expression and functional characterization of recombinant
21 human HDAC1 and HDAC3. Life Sci 74:2693-2705.
- 22

- 1 11. Rao KS, Prakash V (1993) Interaction of sodium dodecyl sulfate with multi-subunit proteins.
2 A case study with carmin. J Biol Chem 268:14769-14775.
- 3
- 4 12. Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havliš J, Olsen JV, Mann M (2007) In-gel digestion for mass
5 spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. Nat Protoc 1:2856-2860.
- 6

7 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

8

9 **FIGURE 1**

10 (A) SDS-PAGE of total proteins extracted from *E. coli* TOP10/pBAD-rHDAC1 cultures. Lane M:
11 protein molecular mass markers (ThermoFisher Scientific). Lane NI: proteins extracted from not
12 induced cultures. Lanes 1 and 2: proteins extracted from cultures induced with 1.3 mM arabinose for
13 15 h at 30 or 37 °C, respectively. The arrow indicates the band corresponding to recombinant HDAC1
14 (approximately 55 kDa). (B) Kinetics of growth of *E. coli* TOP10 cultures containing the pBAD
15 empty vector (triangles) or the pBAD-rHDAC1 vector (squares) under non-inducing (empty
16 symbols) or inducing (filled symbols) conditions, respectively. Cultures were grown in LB medium
17 at 37 °C before induction, then the temperature was shifted to 30 °C after the addition of 1.3 mM
18 arabinose.

19

20 **FIGURE 2**

21 (A) Purification of rHDAC1. Gel filtration chromatographic profile (Superdex 200 column) of
22 rHDAC1 after anion exchange and affinity chromatographies (HiTrap Heparin followed by a Poly-
23 L-lysine column). The volume of each collected fraction was 0.9 mL. (B) SDS-PAGE (10%
24 polyacrylamide) of eluted gel filtration fractions. Lane M: molecular mass markers (116, 66, 45, 35,

1 25 kDa); lane I: sample of pooled fractions (input); numbered lanes: eluted fractions. (C) Stability of
2 rHDAC1. Lane M: molecular mass markers; lanes 1-2: purified rHDAC1 incubated for 3 h at 4 and
3 37 °C, respectively. The arrow indicates the band corresponding to recombinant HDAC1 (55 kDa).

4
5
6

7 **FIGURE 3**

8 (A) Analysis of protein aggregates by native gel electrophoresis. Lanes 1 and 9: rHDAC1 incubated
9 with 2% SDS; lanes 2-8 and 10-17: incubation with 500 mM KCl, 500 mM LiCl, 200 mM MgCl₂,
10 200 mM CaCl₂, 200 mM SrCl₂, 1 M urea, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1% Triton X-100, 1% Tween
11 20, 2% ethanol, 2% 2-propanol, 1.5% 1-butanol, 0.6% 1-pentanol, 200 mM arginine, and 200 mM
12 betaine, respectively. (B) Screening of agents used to dissociate rHDAC1 aggregates. After
13 incubation and filtration, samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE. Lane M: molecular mass marker;
14 lanes 1-2: retentate and filtrate of untreated rHDAC1; lanes 3-12: retentate (odd lanes) and filtrate
15 (even lanes) after incubation with SDS (2%, lanes 3 and 4), Triton X-100 (1%, lanes 5 and 6), Tween
16 20 (1%, lanes 7 and 8), urea (1 M, lanes 9 and 10), MgCl₂ (200 mM, lanes 11 and 12). (C) SDS-
17 PAGE of aliquots of retentate and filtrate samples separated by Amicon Ultracel (YM100). Lane M:
18 molecular mass markers. Lanes 1 and 4: untreated rHDAC1. Lanes 2-3: rHDAC1 samples incubated
19 with 0.2% SDS; lanes 5-6: rHDAC1 samples incubated with 0.05% SDS.

20

21 **FIGURE 4**

22 rHDAC1 activity assay. The release of [³H]acetic acid from [³H]acetyl histones was assayed at 37
23 °C. Reaction mixtures containing 1.5 or 2.5 μg of rHDAC1 were incubated for 2 h; the reactions were
24 then stopped, and the radioactivity associated with the release of [³H]acetic was estimated. Two
25 control reaction mixtures (containing only buffer, and devoid of enzyme), were also assayed. One of
26 these control reaction mixtures was also supplemented with 0.2% SDS (filled triangle).

1

2

3

4




A**B**

Figure 2

A



B



C






Figure 3

A



B



C




Figure 4




TABLE 1. Mass spectrometry data leading to the identification of HDAC1 peptides following in gel digestion of the purified protein. The mass/charge (m/z) ratio of the detected ions is indicated, along with the corresponding identified fragments (peptide) of HDAC1, whose detected (observed) and expected (theoretical) masses are reported in Dalton.

Observed ion (m/z)	Molecular mass (observed)	Peptide	Molecular mass (theoretical)	Difference (ppm)
588.6564	2938.2556	11–34	2938.2592	-1.23
626.3444	1876.0114	35–49	1876.0141	-1.46
804.4219	1606.8292	37–49	1606.8289	0.19
579.3143	1734.9211	37–50	1734.9239	-1.63
537.2816	1072.5486	51–58	1072.5488	-0.097
638.9561	1913.8465	59–74	1913.8465	-0.013
520.7377	1039.4608	67–74	1039.4611	-0.2
476.2258	1425.6556	78–89	1425.6558	-0.15
1079.8342	3236.4808	94–123	3236.4795	0.39
615.9831	1844.9275	127–143	1844.9282	-0.38
828.113	2481.3172	144–165	2481.3189	-0.68
1177.6198	2353.225	145–165	2353.2239	0.48
735.3841	2937.5073	145–169	2937.5058	0.5
893.4291	2677.2655	170–192	2677.266	-0.21
482.7491	963.4836	193–200	963.4848	-1.2
687.8198	1373.625	201–212	1373.6252	-0.098
579.7999	1157.5852	221–229	1157.5869	-1.44
800.637	3198.5189	221–247	3198.5219	-0.95
1022.4819	2042.9492	230–247	2042.9507	-0.69
1257.0758	2512.137	248–270	2512.1396	-1.03
533.2903	1064.566	271–279	1064.5688	-2.63
913.967	1825.9194	290–306	1825.9219	-1.34
1117.0306	2232.0466	343–361	2232.048	-0.63
1211.2098	3630.6076	372–404	3630.609	-0.39
455.2207	908.4268	405–412	908.4273	-0.52
579.2526	2312.9813	413–432	2312.9815	-0.084
719.9678	2156.8816	414–432	2156.8804	0.55
492.8951	1475.6635	445–456	1475.6627	0.52

TABLE 2. Additives used to promote protein dissociation. The concentrations indicated are expressed as: % (v/v) for detergents and alcohols, mM for salts, urea, and β -mercaptoethanol, and μ M for fatty acids.

Reagent	Concentration
Sodium dodecyl sulfate	2
Triton X-100	1
Tween 20	1
KCl	500
LiCl	500
MgCl ₂	200
CaCl ₂	200
StCl ₂	200
Urea	1000
Arginine	200
Betaine	200
Glycine	200
Ethanol	2
2-propanol	2
1-butanol	1.5
1-pentanol	0.6
β -mercaptoethanol	10
Lauric acid	200
Myristic acid	200
Stearic acid	200