



**FACULTY OF MECHANICAL AND CIVIL ENGINEERING KRALJEVO  
UNIVERSITY OF KRAGUJEVAC  
KRALJEVO – SERBIA**

---

---

**THE NINTH INTERNATIONAL TRIENNIAL CONFERENCE**

# **HEAVY MACHINERY HM 2017**

**PROCEEDINGS**

**ORGANIZATION SUPPORTED BY:**

Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development

Development Agency of Serbia

**Zlatibor, June 28 – July 1 2017**



**PUBLISHER:**

Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering, Kraljevo

**EDITORS:**

Prof. dr Milomir Gašić, mech. eng.

**PRINTOUT:**

SaTCIP d.o.o. Vrnjacka Banja

**TECHNICAL COMMITTEE**

dr Vladimir Stojanović  
Jovana Bojković  
Goran Bošković  
Marina Bošković  
Vladimir Đorđević  
Vladan Grković  
Vladimir Mandić  
Saša Marinković  
Aleksandar Nikolić  
Marko Nikolić  
Nenad Stojić  
Slobodan Todosijević  
Jelena Tomić  
Slobodan Bukarica  
Bojan Beloica

No. of copies: 100

**REVIEWS:**

All papers have been reviewed by members of scientific committee



## CONFERENCE CHAIRMAN

Prof. dr Milomir Gašić, FMCE Kraljevo

## INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE

### CHAIRMAN

Prof. Dr Mile Savković, FMCE Kraljevo

### VICE-CHAIRMAN

Prof. Dr Milan Kolarević, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia

### MEMBERS

1. Prof. Dr M. Alamoreanu, TU Bucharest, Romania
2. Prof. Dr S. Arsovski, FME Kragujevac, Serbia
3. Prof. Dr D. Atmadzhova, VTU "Todor Kableshev", Sofia, Bulgaria
4. Prof. Dr M. Berg, Royal Institut of Tecnology-KTH, Sweden
5. Prof. Dr H. Bogdevicius, Technical University, Vilnius, Lithuania
6. Prof. Dr S. Bošnjak, FME Belgrade, Serbia
7. Prof. Dr A. Bruja, TU Bucharest, Romania
8. Prof. Dr Z. Bučevac, FME Belgrade, Serbia
9. Prof. Dr R. Bulatović, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
10. Prof. Dr A. Bukvić, FME East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
11. Prof. Dr S. Ćirić-Kostić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
12. Prof. Dr M. Dedić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
13. Prof. Dr R. Durković, FME Podgorica, Montenegro
14. Prof. Dr M. Đapić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
15. Prof. Dr Z. Đinović, Integrated MicroSystem, Vienna, Austria
16. Prof. Dr K. Ehmann, Northwestern University, Chicago, USA
17. Prof Dr O. Erić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
18. Prof. Dr A. Emeljanova, HGTUSA Harkov, Ukraine
19. Prof. Dr V. Filipović, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
20. Prof. Dr G. Minak, University of Bologna, Italy
21. Prof. Dr M. Gagl, Integrated MicroSystem, Vienna, Austria
22. Prof. Dr D. Golubović, FME East Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
23. Prof. Dr V. Jovišević, FME Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina
24. Prof. Dr B. Jerman, FME Ljubljana, Slovenia
25. Prof. Dr Z. Jugović, Tehnical Faculty Čačak, Serbia
26. Prof. Dr V. Karamarković, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
27. Prof Dr R. Karamarković, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
28. Prof. Dr M. Karasahin, Demirel Univerity, Istanbul, Turkey
29. Prof. Dr I. Kiričenko, HNADU Kiev, Ukraine
30. Prof. Dr K. Kocman, Tecnical University of Brno, Czech Republic
31. Prof. Dr S. Kolaković, Faculty of Tehnical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia
32. Prof. Dr M. Kostic, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, USA
33. Prof. Dr M. Králik, FME Bratislava, Slovakia



34. Prof. Dr E. Kudrjavcev, MGSU, Moscow, Russia
35. Prof. Dr Đ. Lađinović, Faculty of Tehnical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia
36. Prof. Dr LJ. Lukić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
37. Prof. Dr Z. Marinković, FME Niš, Serbia
38. Prof. Dr N. Mešćerin, MGSU, Moscow, Russia
39. Prof. Dr N. Nedić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
40. Prof. Dr N. Nenov, VTU “Todor Kableskov”, Sofia, Bulgaria
41. Prof. Dr V. Nikolić, FME Niš, Serbia
42. Prof. Dr E. Nikolov, Technical University, Sofia, Bulgaria
43. Prof. Dr M. Ognjanović, FME Belgrade, Serbia
44. Prof. Dr J. Peterka, FMS&T, Trnava, Slovakia
45. Prof. Dr D. Petrović, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
46. Prof. Dr J. Polajnar, BC University, Prince George, Canada
47. Prof. Dr D. Pršić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
48. Prof. Dr V. Radonjanin, Faculty of Tehnical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia
49. Prof. Dr V. Raičević, FME Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia
50. Prof. Dr M. Rajović, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
51. Prof. Dr M. Stefanović, FME Kragujevac, Serbia
52. Prof. Dr D. Sever, Maribor, Civil Engineering, Slovenia
53. Prof. Dr M. A. Stepanov, MGSU, Moscow, Russia
54. Prof. Dr I. S. Surovcev, VGSU, Voronezh, Russia
55. Prof. Dr S. Šalinić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
56. Prof. Dr Z. Šoškić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
57. Prof. Dr LJ. Tanović, FME Belgrade, Serbia
58. Prof. Dr S. Trifunović, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
59. Prof. Dr J. Vladić, Faculty of Tehnical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia
60. Prof. Dr M. Vukićević, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia
61. Prof. Dr K. Weinert, University of Dortmund, Germany
62. Prof. Dr N. Zrnić, FME Belgrade, Serbia

## ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

### CHAIRMAN:

Prof. Dr Ljubomir Lukić, FMCE Kraljevo

### VICE-CHAIRMAN:

Prof. Dr Zlatan Šoškić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia

### MEMBERS:

Doc. Dr Lj. Dubonjić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr M. Bižić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr M. Bjelić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr N. Bogojević, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr M. Ljujić, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr M. Marašević, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia

Doc. Dr G. Marković, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
M.A. N. Pavlović, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr A. Petrović, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr B. Radičević, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia  
Doc. Dr N. Zdravković, FMCE Kraljevo, Serbia



## PREFACE

Ladies and gentlemen, dear colleagues,

Welcome to Zlatibor, to the International Scientific Conference Heavy Machinery 2017.

This year the International Conference Heavy Machinery is held by the University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering in Kraljevo from 28 of June to 1 of July 2017.

It has gained a unique recognizable form for exchange of information, ideas and new scientific researches. The Conference is held in the year when the Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering in Kraljevo celebrates the 58<sup>th</sup> year of university teaching in mechanical engineering and sixth year of university teaching in civil engineering.

For 24 years of its existence it has acquired specific and recognizable form in domestic and foreign scientific circles thanks to its scientific and research results.

The goal of the Conference is to make the research from the fields covered at the Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering in Kraljevo available and applicable both within domestic and foreign frames. Also, our scientific workers will have the opportunity to learn about results of research done by their colleagues from abroad in the fields of transport design in industry, energy control, production technologies, and civil engineering through the following thematic sessions:

- Earth moving and transportation machinery,
- Production technologies,
- Automatic control, robotics and fluid technique,
- Machine design and mechanics,
- Railway engineering,
- Thermal technique and environment protection,
- Civil engineering and materials.

High scientific rating of domestic and foreign participants as well as the number of papers provide guarantees that the Conference is going to be very successful.

I wish to emphasize that this year we have a large number of papers, especially from abroad. The program also contains 104 invited papers in the plenary session. The invited lectures reflect the wide spectrum of important topics of current interest in heavy machinery. The sponsorship by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Serbia is supportive of efforts to promote science and technology in the area of mechanical and civil engineering in Serbia. We would like to express our sincere thanks to all members of scientific and organizing committee, reviewers, as well as to all participants including invited speakers for coming to Zlatibor to present their papers.

Thank you and see you at the next conference.

Kraljevo – Zlatibor, June 2017

Conference Chairman,

  
Prof. Dr. Milomir Gašić, mech eng.



## PLENARY SESSION

<b>COMPUTER MODELLING OF A DIGGING ZONE OF A SINGLE-BUCKET EXCAVATOR</b> Evgeniy M. Kudryavtsev	1
<b>AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THE FATIGUE RESPONSE OF 15-5 PH STAINLESS STEEL BUILT BY DMLS</b> Dario Croccolo, Massimiliano De Agostinis, Stefano Fini, Giorgio Olmi, Aleksandar Vranic, Snezana Ciric-Kostic	9
<b>TRIBOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF DETERGENTS AS ADDITIVES FOR MOTOR OILS</b> Anatoly Dotsenko, Vladimir Samusenko	17
<b>A SURVEY OF RESEARCHES IN THE FIELD OF ECODESIGN RELATED TO INTRALOGISTICS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE - FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (2010-2017)</b> Nenad Zrnić	23
<b>DAMPING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SEISMIC ISOLATION BEARINGS GROUP, IN MODULAR DESIGN, FOR BRIDGES AND VIADUCTS</b> Marian Dima, Catalin Francu	41

## SESSION A: EARTH-MOVING AND TRANSPORTATION MACHINERY

<b>DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF TOWER CRANE MOVEMENT MECHANISM</b> Evgeniy Kudryavtsev	1
<b>THE DEFINITION OF BASIC PARAMETERS OF THE SET OF SMALL-SIZED EQUIPMENT FOR PREPARATION OF DRY MORTAR FOR VARIOUS APPLICATIONS</b> Inga Emelyanova, Vladimir Blazhko, S.I Karpenko	7
<b>SHAPELESS MANUFACTURE OF REINFORCED CONCRETE CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS WITH THE HELP OF NEW GENERATION HYDRAULIC EQUIPMENT OF NEW GENERATIONS</b> Inga Emelyanova, Ana Anishchenko, Sergey Guzenko, Denis Chayka	11
<b>RESEARCH OF STRESS-STRAIN STATE OF METAL CONSTRUCTIONS FOR STATIC AND DYNAMIC LOADS MACHINERY</b> Ivan Nazarenko, Oleg Dedov, Igor Zalisko	17
<b>RESEARCH OF ENERGY-SAVING VIBRATION MACHINES WITH ACCOUNT OF THE STRESS-STRAIN STATE OF TECHNOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT</b> Ivan Nazarenko, Oleg Dedov, Anatoly Svidersky, Nicolay Ruchinsky	21
<b>APPLICATION OF NUMERICAL METHODS FOR ANALYSIS OF VERTICAL TRANSPORT SYSTEMS</b> Jovan Vladić, Radomir Đokić, Anto Gajić	25
<b>GEOMETRICAL IDENTIFICATION OF CYLINDRICAL CARRIER OF AXIAL BEARINGS WITH BIG DIAMETERS</b> Milomir Gašić, Mile Savković, Goran Marković, Nebojša Zdravković, Srđan Ribar	33

<b>DESIGN OPTIMIZATION OF THE RECTANGULAR BOX SECTION OF THE DOUBLE BEAM BRIDGE CRANE USING MATLAB OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX</b>	<b>37</b>
Goran Pavlović, Mile Savković, Nebojša Zdravković, Vladimir Kvrđić, Stefan Mitrović	
<b>INTEGRITY OF BEAM BRACES AND THREADED SPINDLE FOR CONJOINT OPERATION OF TWO 5 MN BRIDGE CRANES</b>	<b>45</b>
Miodrag Arsić, Mladen Mladenović, Bojan Međo, Zoranka Malešević, Zoran Savić	
<b>ORGANIZATIONAL-TECHNOLOGICAL MODELS FOR THE FORMATION OF EFFECTIVE SETS OF MACHINES AND TECHNOLOGIES IN THE PERFORMANCE OF CONSTRUCTION WORKS</b>	<b>53</b>
Maxim Nazarenko, Ivan Pereginets, Viktor Leschinsky	
<b>RISK MANAGEMENT IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING</b>	<b>57</b>
Vladimir Zorin	
<b>LABORATORY TEST RIG FOR NONDESTRUCTIVE INSPECTION OF STEEL CORD BELTS</b>	<b>61</b>
Miloš Đorđević, Nenad Zrnić, Srđan Bošnjak	
<b>ANALYSIS OF THE INFLUENCE OF BASIC PARAMETERS OF THE MAGNETIC SEPARATOR ECMS-500 FOR NON-FERROUS METALS ON THE SEPARATION FORCE INTENSITY</b>	<b>69</b>
Mile Savković, Milomir Gašić, Nebojša Zdravković, Goran Marković, Goran Pavlović	
<b>APPLICATION OF RELIABILITY CENTERED MAINTENANCE METHODOLOGY FOR MAINTENANCE OF AN SPECIAL MILITARY VEHICLE ENGINE</b>	<b>75</b>
Slavko Rakić, Uglješa Bugarić	
<b>THE APPLICATION OF VOITH HYDRODYNAMIC COUPLERS WHILE STARTING THE BELT CONVEYORS OF MINING</b>	<b>83</b>
Dragoljub Veličković, Svetislav Marković, Dragana Andjelić	
<b>SOME MODERN SOLUTIONS FOR DELIVERY OPERATION IN POSTAL TRAFFIC</b>	<b>87</b>
Aleksandar Čupić, Mladenka Blagojević, Goran Marković	
<b>MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT SELECTION USING AN INTEGRATED APPROACH F-MODIPROM: AN ADVANTAGE GAINED FROM USING FUZZY NUMBERS</b>	<b>95</b>
Goran Marković, Mile Savković, Nebojša Zdravković, Aleksandar Čupić, Marko Popović	
<b>DIGGING RESISTANCE MODEL SHOVEL MANIPULATOR OF HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR</b>	<b>101</b>
Vesna Jovanović, Dragoslav Janošević, Jovan Pavlović, Goran Petrović	
<b>ANALYSIS OF THE INFLUENCE OF PARAMETERS OF HYDROSTATIC SYSTEM ON THE MANIPULATOR DRIVE OF THE MOBILE MACHINE</b>	<b>105</b>
Jovan Pavlović, Dragoslav Janošević, Vesna Jovanović, Nikola Petrović	
<b>RESEARCH AND CALCULATION OF RATIONAL MODES AND PARAMETERS OF AN ULTRASONIC CAVITATOR</b>	<b>109</b>
Irina Bernyk, Oleksandr Lugovskoy	

## **SESSION B: PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES**

<b>DETERMINATION OF EXPULSION COSTS IN RESISTANCE SPOT WELDING</b>	<b>1</b>
Miomir Vukićević, Mišo Bjelić, Marina Pljakić, Milan Tešević	



<b>NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF HARDNESS DISTRIBUTION AT THE HAZ OF P355GH STEEL</b>	<b>7</b>
Mišo Bjelić, Karel Kovanda, Ladislav Kolařík, Marie Kolaříková, Miomir Vukićević, Branko Radičević	
<b>APPLICATION OF MULTICRITERIA DECISION MAKING IN SELECTION OF OPTIMAL TOOLPATH</b>	<b>13</b>
Aleksandra Petrović, Slobodan Ivanović, Goran Miodragović, Vladan Grković	
<b>ELECTRODE INVESTIGATION AT PLASMA CUTTING</b>	<b>19</b>
Bogdan Nedić, Marko Jankovic, Peko Ivan	
<b>ANALYTICAL ANALYSIS OF DRILLING-ASSOCIATED DAMAGE IN COMPOSITES</b>	<b>23</b>
Navid Zarif Karimi, Giangiacomo Minak	
<b>THE SIMULATION PROCESS IN SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES: DECISION-MAKING SUPPORT</b>	<b>29</b>
Miroslav Dragić, Miloš Sorak	
<b>THE INFLUENCE OF WORKING EXPERIENCE AND LEVEL OF EDUCATION ON THE MARKET ORIENTATION OF SMES IN TRANSITION</b>	<b>37</b>
Ljiljana Pecić, Milan Kolarević, Vladan Grković, Natasa Obradović	
<b>ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUSTAINABILITY COMPLIANCE IN THE PROCESS OF EXPLOITATION MACHINES</b>	<b>45</b>
Miljan Cvetković, Žarko Janković, Dragan Cvetković	
<b>PARTNERSHIP FOR ENTREPRENEURIAL ENGINEERING EDUCATION</b>	<b>51</b>
Milica Gerasimović, Ugljesa Bugarić	
<b>ORAL PRESENTATIONS OF COMPANIES IN ESP CLASSES AS A MULTI-PURPOSE TASK</b>	<b>55</b>
Nataša Pavlović	
<b>MACHINING SIMULATION AND VERIFICATION OF TOOL PATH FOR CNC MACHINE TOOLS WITH SERIAL AND HYBRID KINEMATICS</b>	<b>63</b>
Saša Živanović, Slobodan Tabaković, Milan Zeljković, Cvijetin Mladenović, Aleksandar Košarac	
<b>3D ANIMATION OF WORKPIECE TRANSFORMATION DURING MILLING OPERATION</b>	<b>69</b>
Slobodan Ivanović, Aleksandra Petrović, Ljubomir Lukić, Marina Pljakić	
<b>OPTIMIZATION MODEL FOR MACHINING PROCESSES DESIGN IN FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS</b>	<b>75</b>
Ljubomir Lukic, Slobodan Ivanovic, Aleksandra Petrovic, Mirko Djapic	
<b>APPLICATION OF AXIOMATIC DESIGN THEORY AND BELIEF FUNCTION THEORU IN THE ASSEMBLY SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT</b>	<b>83</b>
Zvonko Petrović, Mirko Đapić, Ljubomir Lukić	
<b>THE APPLICATION OF DEMPSTER-SHAFER THEORY ON FAILURE ANALYSIS OF HYDRAULIC HAND PUMPS</b>	<b>89</b>
Violeta Đorđević, Mirko Đapić, Zvonko Petrović	

<b>REMOTE MONITORING AND CONTROL OF ASYNCHRONOUS DRIVES PERFORMANCE – LABORATORY STAND</b>	<b>97</b>
Vasil Dimitrov, Petko Kostadinov	
<b>SYNERGISTIC MODEL OF TRAFFIC FLOWS</b>	<b>103</b>
Galina Cherneva, Emiliya Dimitrova	
<b>DYNAMIC FAULT TREE. COMPUTATION OF PARAMETERS – PART I</b>	<b>109</b>
Emiliya Dimitrova, Plamen Atanasov	
<b>DYNAMIC FAULT TREE. COMPUTATION OF PARAMETERS – PART II</b>	<b>115</b>
Emiliya Dimitrova, Plamen Atanasov	
 <b>SESSION C: AUTOMATIC CONTROL, ROBOTICS AND FLUID TECHNIQUE</b>	
<b>ADAPTIVE INPUT DESIGN FOR ROBUST IDENTIFICATION OF OUTPUT-CONSTRAINED OE MODELS</b>	<b>1</b>
Vladimir Stojanović, Novak Nedić, Dragan Pršić	
<b>CONDITIONAL OPTIMIZATION OF COMPUTER AUTOMATIC CONTROL SYSTEM OF AN SELECTED PLANT AT ARBITRARY INITIAL CONDITIONS</b>	<b>7</b>
Vladimir R. Zarić, Zoran M. Bučevac, Radiša Ž. Jovanović	
<b>CONDITIONAL OPTIMIZATION OF TRANSIENT BEHAVIOUR OF PLANT CONTROLLED WITH PI CONTROLLER CONSIDERING INITIAL CONDITIONS</b>	<b>13</b>
Goran Petrović, Zoran Ribar , Radiša Jovanović	
<b>IDENTIFICATION OF MIMO HAMMERSTEIN MODELS IN THE PRESENCE OF PIECEWISE POLYNOMIAL DISTURBANCES USING KACZMARZ ALGORITHM</b>	<b>19</b>
Vojislav Filipović, Vladimir Djordjević	
<b>PHILOSOPHICAL INTERPRETATION OF CONNECTION OF ROBUST STATISTICS AND FUZZY LOGIC: THE ROBUST FUZZY CLUSTERING</b>	<b>25</b>
Vladimir Djordjević, Vojislav Filipović	
<b>SELF-TUNING PID CONTROLLER BASED ON TIME RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS</b>	<b>31</b>
Novak N. Nedić, Saša Lj. Prodanović	
<b>DESIGN OF FIXED ORDER <math>H_{\infty}</math> CONTROLLERS WITH SPECIFIED SETTLING TIME USING D-DECOMPOSITION</b>	<b>37</b>
Ljubiša Dubonjić, Vojislav Filipović, Novak Nedić, Vladimir Đorđević	
<b>DATA CLASSIFICATION USING A SET OF NEURAL NETWORKS</b>	<b>43</b>
Srdjan Ribar	
<b>KINEMATIC AND DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF THE PATH OF MOVEMENT OF THE ROBOT</b>	<b>49</b>
Ljiljana Pecić, Zvonko Petrović, Nikola Kostić	
<b>WATER DRAIN EMERGENCY SYSTEM</b>	<b>55</b>
Nikola Terzić, Dragan Pršić	

## SESSION D: MECHANICAL DESIGN AND MECHANICS

<b>DAMPING AND SEISMIC ISOLATION BEARINGS GROUP, IN MODULAR DESIGN, FOR BRIDGES AND VIADUCTS. CONSTRUCTION AND WORKING PRINCIPLE</b> Marian Dima, Catalin Francu	1
<b>DYNAMICS OF THE ROTATING CANTILEVER BEAM</b> Aleksandar Nikolić, Slaviša Šalinić	7
<b>EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF TRIBOLOGICAL BEHAVIOR OF JOURNAL BEARING COATED BY BABBITT ALLOYS TEGOTENAX V840</b> Amir Alsammarraie, Dragan Milčić, Milan Banić, Goran Radenković, Miodrag Milčić	13
<b>SURFACE QUALITY OF MARAGING STEEL PARTS PRODUCED BY DMLS</b> Nebojša Bogojević, Aleksandar Vranić, Nusret Muharemović, Nenad Drvar	21
<b>MACHINING AND HEAT TREATMENT EFFECTS ON THE FATIGUE PROPERTIES OF MARAGING STEEL PRODUCED BY DMLS</b> Snežana Ćirić-Kostić, Nebojša Bogojević, Aleksandar Vranić, Dario Croccolo, Massimiliano De Agostinis, Stefano Fini, Giorgio Olmi	27
<b>A HEURISTIC APPROACH TO THE ESTIMATION OF MASS OF THE WASTE POWDER DURING SELECTIVE LASER SINTERING OF POLYAMIDE PA2200</b> Zlatan Šoškić, Simona Montanari, Gian Luca Monti, Michele Monti	37
<b>PRACTICAL EXAMPLES OF REGENERATION OF THE DAMAGED HEAVY MACHINERY PARTS</b> Svetislav Marković, Vladimir Stepanović, Lazar Jovičić, Milijan Ćirić, Ivan Stanišić, Miroslav Ćurčin, Nemanja Petrović	45
<b>ANALYSIS OF FREE OSCILLATION OF SPATIAL FRAMES APPLYING THE METHOD OF CONSISTENT MASSES</b> Rade Vasiljević	51
<b>NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF TRIBOMECHANICAL SYSTEM BRAKE DISC-PAD FOR HEAVY DUTY VEHICLES</b> Nadica Stojanović, Jasna Glišović, Blaža Stojanović, Ivan Grujić	57

## SESSION E: RAILWAY ENGINEERING

<b>THE PURPOSE OF DIAGNOSTICS OF PANTOGRAPHS USED IN THE SERBIAN RAILWAYS</b> Branislav Gavrilović, Zoran Bundalo	1
<b>PROCESSES AND DEPENDENCIES RELATED TO NADAL'S FORMULA</b> Dobrinka Atmadzhova	7
<b>OSCILLATION OF RESERVOIR OF TANK-WAGON IN DYNAMIC LONGITUDINAL LOAD</b> Dragan Petrović, Milan Bižić	17
<b>RELIABILITY INDICATORS OF THE BRAKE DISTRIBUTORS KE 1 FOR ROLLING STOCK IN OPERATION</b> Vasko Nikolov	23

<b>THE IMPORTANCE OF THE RAILWAY INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE OPERATIONAL PROGRAM "TRANSPORT AND TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE."</b>	<b>27</b>
Mira Zafirova	
<b>STUDY OF THE INTERACTION "WHEELSET-TRACK" OF THE ATTACKING WHEELSET OF TRAM BOGIE TYPE T81 IN EXPLOITATION IN SOFIA</b>	<b>33</b>
Dobrinka Atmadzhova, Emil Mihaylov, Emil Iontchev	
<b>OVERVIEW OF WHEEL-RAIL ROLLING CONTACT THEORIES</b>	<b>41</b>
Milan Bižić, Dragan Petrović	
<b>STUDY OF THE MOVEMENT OF THE ATTACKING TRAM WHEELSET ON TRACK WITH GAUGE 1009 MM</b>	<b>49</b>
Emil Mihaylov, Emil Iontchev, Vladimir Zhekov, Zornitsa Evlogieva, Metodi Atanasov	
<b>THE IMPACT OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF TRAIN ON THE TRAIN BRAKING DISTANCE AT CRITICAL SECTIONS OF THE BELGRADE – BAR RAILWAY LINE</b>	<b>57</b>
Dusan Vujović	
<b>METHODS FOR CARRYING OUT TRACK MAINTENANCE AND OPTIMIZATION POSSIBILITIES</b>	<b>65</b>
Metodi Atanasov	
<b>MEASUREMENTS OF NOISE LEVELS OF FREIGHT TRAIN ON SERBIAN RAILWAYS</b>	<b>71</b>
Jelena Tomić, Nebojša Bogojević	

## **SESSION F: THERMAL TECHNIQUE AND ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION**

<b>MODELING OF TECHNOLOGICAL EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGICAL TRANSPORTATION FLOWS OF THE INDUSTRIES BINDER USING MATHEMATICAL METHODS</b>	<b>1</b>
Cristina Sescu-Gal	
<b>ENSURING PHONIC COMFORT IN URBAN ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>9</b>
Vasile Bacria, Nicolae Herisanu	
<b>OPTIMIZATION OF FLOW SCHEMES IN RADIANT RECUPERATORS</b>	<b>15</b>
Rade Karamarković, Vladan Karamarković, Miloš Nikolić, Nenad Stojčić, Miljan Marašević	
<b>ACOUSTIC PROPERTIES OF RECYCLED RUBBER AT NORMAL INCIDENCE</b>	<b>23</b>
Milan Kolarević, Branko Radičević, Nicolae Herisanu, Miloje Rajović, Vladan Grković	
<b>DESIGNING RECUPERATOR ON A ROTARY KILN SUPPLIED WITH ENRICHED AIR DURING THE CALCINATION OF DOLOMITE</b>	<b>29</b>
Miljan Marasevic, Vladan Karamarković, Nenad Stojic, Milos Nikolic, Djordje Novčić	
<b>ANALYSIS OF DYNAMIC PINCH</b>	<b>37</b>
Aleksandar Vičovac, Rade Karamarković, Dragan Pršić	
<b>SELECTION OF THE OPTIMAL ROUTE OF TRANSPORTATION – A CASE STUDY OF TRANSPORT OF MUNICIPAL WASTE IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF TRSTENIK</b>	<b>45</b>
Nikola Kostic, Milomir Mijatovic, Sasa Babic, Branimir Milosavljevic, Zvonko Petrovic	

<b>DESIGN IMPROVEMENT OF A SIDE WATER INTAKE ON A SMALL HYDROPOWER PLANT</b> Miloš Nikolić, Vladan Karamarković, Rade Karamarković, Miljan Marašević	<b>51</b>
<b>MEASUREMENT AND ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN TOTAL QUANTITY OF INJECTION DEPENDING ON THE CHANGES IN VALUE OF PRESSURE IN COMMON RAIL SYSTEM</b> Nikola Kostic, Božidar Krstic, Milomir Mijatovic, Sasa Babic, Branimir Milosavljevic	<b>57</b>
<b>DETERMINATION OF TRANSFER FUNCTION OF PHOTOACOUSTIC SYSTEM BY ACQUISITION CARDS WITH UNSYNCHRONIZED SIGNAL INPUT AND OUTPUT</b> Slobodan Todosijević, Nenad Drvar, Zlatan Šoškić	<b>69</b>
<b>PROTOTYPE SYSTEM FOR GAS TANKS CLEANING</b> Zoran Petrović, Miroljub Babić, Uglješa Bugarić, Dušan Petrović	<b>74</b>

## **SESSION G: CIVIL ENGINEERING AND MATERIALS**

<b>MACHINE FOR IMPACT TESTING OF PLASTIC PIPES - IMPACT 2000 DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPING</b> Ivan Milićević, Miloš Božić, Vojislav Vujičić, Radomir Slavković	<b>1</b>
<b>MULTI-CRITERIA SELECTION OF OPTIMAL MECHANIZATION FOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION</b> Vladimir Mandić, Saša Marinković, Jovana Bojković	<b>7</b>
<b>PREDICTION OF ACOUSTICAL PROPERTIES OF POROUS BUILDING MATERIALS</b> Jovana Bojković, Branko radičević, Nedeljko Manojlović, Mišo Bjelić, Vladimir Mandić, Saša Marinković,	<b>13</b>
<b>FLOTATION TAILINGS FROM COPPER MINING AND SMELTING PLANT AS MINERAL ADDITIVES FOR SCC</b> Saša Marinković, Vladimir Mandić, Jovana Bojković, Stefan Mihajlović	<b>19</b>
<b>RESEARCH OF SHEAR STRENGTH AND COMPATIBILITY BY HEAT CONDITIONING OF SHEETS FOR WATERPROOFING USED IN CONCRETE BRIDGE DECKS</b> Nikolina Porozhanova	<b>27</b>
<b>WELDING OF THE RESERVOIRS FOR OIL DERIVATIVES STORAGE WITH SELF-SHIELDED CORED WIRE</b> Radomir Jovičić, Olivera Erić Cekić, Aleksandar Sedmak, Sanja Petronić, Vukić Lazić	<b>33</b>
<b>DETAILED GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF THE LANDSLIDE BOCKE</b> Mitar Đogo, Milinko Vasić	<b>41</b>



# **SESSION D**

## **MACHINE DESIGN AND MECHANICS**





# Machining and Heat Treatment Effects on the Fatigue Properties of Maraging Steel Produced by DMLS

S. Ćirić-Kostić<sup>1</sup>, N. Bogojević<sup>1</sup>, A. Vranić<sup>1\*</sup>, D. Croccolo<sup>2</sup>, M. De Agostinis<sup>2</sup>, S. Fini<sup>2</sup>, G. Olmi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering in Kraljevo, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering (DIN), University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

*DMLS enables manufacturing of functional parts with complex shapes in a short time. This technology has some drawbacks: high manufacturing cost, residual stresses, and volume and surface imperfections. These problems can be solved by additional post processing (machining, heat treatment and shot peening), which increase manufacturing cost and time. There is an increasing interest towards the mechanical response of parts in the as-fabricated state. Being able to manage these parts, without the need for machining or heat treatment, would strongly increase the great potentials of this technology. The present study deals with the effect of machining and heat treatment (aging at the temperature of 490°C for 6 hours) on the fatigue response of DMLS Maraging steel parts, with vertical build orientation. Specimens have been manufactured according to ISO 1143 for fatigue tests under rotating four-point bending. The experimental campaign has been arranged as a 2-by-2 factorial plane, with a total amount of four treatment combinations. The first results, processed also by tools of analysis of variance, indicate that heat treatment has the greatest beneficial impact on the fatigue response and that even without machining a fatigue limit in the order of 25% of the ultimate tensile strength can be achieved.*

**Keywords: Fatigue strength, Maraging steel, Additive manufacturing, Direct Metal Laser Sintering, Aging heat treatment, Machining.**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Additive Manufacturing (AM) process is based on layer manufacturing, without any additional tools or machining processes [1-4]. Direct Metal Laser Sintering (DMLS) and Selective Laser Melting are the two most important Additive Manufacturing technologies. Both of them are powder bed-based technologies.

Concept of layered built parts dates from more than one century. AM enables manufacturing without tools, using just one AM machine fed by a CAD model. CAD model is split into two-dimensional layers with constant thickness, by specific software. These layers can be regarded as areas that will be melted with thickness corresponding to the distance between layers (thickness of the layer). Every new layer is fused with the previous one during the AM process. Part is built, by repeating this process until the last layer is stacked.

There are several AM technologies that are divided, based on the type of material, how material is applied, fused etc. Powder Bed technology is based on material application on the entire building surface; afterwards, the laser or electron beam melts the area that corresponds to the sliced surface. The process is repeated, until part completion. Wire or powder feed technology is based on step-by-step material application and melting, forming the surface that correspond to the sliced layer. In this case, material is applied just to the surface that is being manufactured. A further classification of the AM techniques could be made, based on the principle of material melting (laser beam, electro beam, electro-arc etc.). In almost all the technologies for AM of metal parts, the material is completely melted and bonding between layers is achieved during solidification. DMLS and SLM are nowadays quite close technologies. Their different names mostly arise from different trademarks [5]. At the early stages of development of these technologies,

components after manufacturing were porous, density was not full due to partial fusion. The sintering process was different and material was based on Iron, Copper and Nickel alloy. Additional processing was needed to achieve better density and fusion [6,7].

AM technologies are used not only in industrial applications but also in the medical field. It is possible to use these technologies and material, to build custom implants. Using 3D CT scanners, it is possible to model implants that perfectly fit the person's need [8-10]. These materials have good bio-compatibility that gives them good potential for dental and medical purposes [11].

Since AM of metal parts is based on manufacturing of fully functional parts that can be built directly into machine, with minimal post processing, mechanical and physical characteristics of the built parts are of high importance. Layer based manufacturing provides characteristic microstructure of the built parts that is different than casted structure of the same material. In AM, material melting takes place on one plane (build plane), whereas the stacking direction is normal to this plane. Material melting and cooling rates are very high. Fast melting is the result of high energy concentration. Fast cooling arises from the small amount of melted material with low surrounding temperature. This high temperature gradient usually induces high residual stresses. Part building starts on thick steel plate (base-plate). Part can be built directly on the plate or with a support structure, generated between plate and part. Its purpose is part constraining, moreover it facilitates heat flow from the part during the scanning (melting) process. Support structure needs to be strong enough, to restrain any kind of deformation that residual stress can cause.

With casting technology, a much larger amount of material keeps heat accumulated for a longer period time. Melting and solidification of material is a slow process

\*Corresponding author: Faculty of the Mechanical and Civil Engineering, 36000 Kraljevo, Dositejeva 19, vranic.a@mfk.vg.ac.rs

and involves the whole volume. For this reason, it is interesting to explore influence of layer manufactured structure on mechanical properties. Machine manufactures usually provide some data regarding the mechanical properties of AM built parts in the material datasheets [12]. However, these mainly deal with static properties, such as ultimate tensile strength, yield strength, hardness, mechanical characteristics after ageing etc.

Maraging steel is one of the most promising materials, for use in Additive Manufacturing [13]. Density of AM built parts are >90%. Hardness of AM built parts from maraging steel is similar to those made by conventional ways like casting. It has good mechanical characteristics and it can be a good candidate for high-carbon steel substitution. It is resistant to corrosion and crack initiation during tempering and it has good machinability [14-16]. It has a relatively high ultimate tensile strength (*UTS*) after the heat treatment, around 2000MPa. Thanks to its high *UTS*, it is a promising material to be used for complex structures exposed to high states of load. This becomes more attractive, considering that AM technologies gives the chance to build multi-part object as a single part [17]. Research contributions on the Fatigue limit (*FL*) and the fatigue strength (*FS*) of Maraging steel made by some of AM processes are quite limited, to the authors' best knowledge. This paper presents a follow-up of a previous research by the same authors [18].

Components produced by AM can have different orientation with respect to the stacking direction of the layers. The aim of the previous research was to explore the effect of build orientation on the fatigue strength of Maraging Steel samples built by DMLS EOS M280 machine. The obtained results indicate that part orientation did not have significant effect on *FS* and *FL*.

Literature studies dealing with orientation influence on the mechanical properties of the parts made by AM are few. Most of the research deals with the influence of orientation and additional post-processing on tensile strength [19-21]. Few papers are concerned with research on the part orientation effect on fatigue strength of Aluminium alloy [22-25], Inconel alloy [26] and Titanium alloy samples [27]. Review papers have been written, trying to collect all the technologies and all the available mechanical testing results [28]. However, a lack of consistency between the testing procedures and the obtained results can be noticed, when all these data are rounded together. Different technologies provide different results for same materials. This may be due to the lack of Standards in AM that define the parameters of the process, how building preparation of samples should be managed, etc.

There is an increasing interest in lowering down post-manufacturing expenses in AM, and in speeding up the process from design to installation. Sometimes, post processing is not possible, for instance, when treating lattice structures, cooling channels in injection moulds or in turbine blades. In particular, machining or shot-peening cannot be performed on internal surfaces. This was the main motivation that led to this study. This topic has been tackled experimentally: for this purpose, an experimental campaign has been arranged as a 2-by-2 factorial plane,

with a total amount of four treatment combinations as shown in Table 1, presenting four sample types, one for each of the treatment combinations.

Table 1: 2-by-2 research plan

<b>N</b> Not heat treated As Built	<b>M</b> Not heat treated Machined with 0.5mm allowance
<b>H</b> Heat treated As Built	<b>I</b> Heat treated Machined with 0.5mm allowance

As an extension of the previous research [18], this paper focuses on the effects of heat treatment and machining influence on *FS* and *FL*. Samples without machining, named "as built" underwent only shot peening as surface post processing.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Testing procedure was based on ISO 1143 Standard for rotating bending fatigue testing [29]. Standard defines testing procedure, loads and specimen geometry. Specimens were designed with cylindrical smooth geometry with reduction at the gage cross section. Specimen geometry with uniform 6mm diameter at the gage the as smallest dimension purposed by the Standard, has been chosen as the best compromise, considering the high manufacturing costs. A drawing of the specimen is shown in Fig. 1. The specification regarding surface quality was not considered for the "as built" to properly account for the influence of machining.




Figure 1: Specimen drawing with the 6mm diameter at gauge, according to the ISO 1143 standard

The specimens have been manufactured by M280 DMLS machine (EOS GmbH – Electro Optical Systems, Germany), equipped by Ytterbium fibre laser with 200W power and emitting 0.2032mm thickness and 1064nm wavelength infrared light beam [30]. Specimen material was MS1 maraging steel (EOS GmbH – Electro Optical Systems, Germany), equivalent to 1.2709 steel [31]. Chemical composition of the material is provided in Table 2. Specimen manufacturing was done in the processing chamber of the machine. The recoater applies material from the dispenser platform on building plate and takes excess material onto collector platform. Building starts on the base plate with working area of 250×250mm in horizontal plane and with maximum building height up to 325mm. Base plate was preheated to the temperature of 40°C.

Table 2: Chemical composition of MS1 Maraging Steel by EOS

	Fe	Ni	Co	Mo	Ti	Al	Cr	Cu	C	Mn	Si	P	S
%		17-19	8.5-9.5	4.5-5.2	0.6-0.8	0.05-0.15	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.03	≤0.1	≤0.1	≤0.01	≤0.01

Manufacturing process typically takes place in nitrogen inert atmosphere, generated from compressed air by nitrogen generator that is built inside machine. Process chamber consists of three platforms and recoater: The Dispenser platform, where material powder is contained, the Building platform, on which the base plate is set and building process is done, the Collector platform for the collection of excess material. Schematics of the building chamber is presented on Fig. 2




Figure 2. Process chamber schematics

Material is applied with 40µm thickness that corresponds to layer thickness for the MS1 Maraging Steel. Building parameters (laser speed, laser power, laser offset, layer thickness etc.) of the EOSINT M280 for MS1 sample manufacturing were kept constant. They were provided by the EOS as a predetermined set of parameters named "PERFORMANCE". This parameter set is a good compromise between good surface quality and manufacturing speed, for which EOS warrants mechanical characteristics of the built parts.

Scanning strategy was set in such way, where laser scans surface in parallel traces in one layer. For next layer, scanning strategy was rotated by an angle of 67°. For every layer, the contour of the scanned surface was finally rescanned, in order to get better surface quality. Example of the scanning process is shown in Fig. 3 a).

Specimens were built directly on the base plate, without using a support structure, Fig. 3 c). Proceeding this way, the surface quality of the as built specimens could keep unaffected by the support structure teeth traces on the surface. After building process, specimens were taken from the process chamber, cleaned from excessive powder by shot-peening, using stainless steel spherical shots with 400 µm diameter. Cutting off samples from the base plate was done by wire cutting with Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM).

Samples planned for heat treatment underwent age-hardening by heating in oven. Temperature was increased from room temperature to 490 °C in 1h, afterwards, they were kept at constant temperature for additional 5h (total 6h process). This heat treatment was particularly important for lowering or relaxing the residual stresses, which arise from AM process, thus enhancing fatigue response of the built specimens [32, 33]. Since these samples were built vertically, their geometry was not influenced by residual

stress. After heat treatment process, specimens were cooled to room temperature in fresh air. Shot-peening gave effect just in better surface quality and closing micro pores for as built samples. For heat treated samples surface hardening induced by plastic deformation was lost after ageing, due to relaxation of the compressive residual stresses induced by shot-peening. The effect of micro shot peening was also questionable for the machined samples, since allowance for machining was 0.5mm. There is large probability that the hardened surface following micro shot peening was removed upon machining. Finally, specimens planned for machining, underwent machining and refining by grinding with the aim of achieving the surface quality required by the ISO 1143 Standard and also to improve the fatigue performance [29].




Figure 3. a) As Built specimens during scanning, b) Specimens cleaning from powder, c) Specimens after micro shot peening

For this research campaign, three sets of samples were built, all with vertical build orientation, with dog bone shape and shot peened. The first specimen set, type 1 (with additional age-hardening and machining with 0.5mm

allowance), was tested in the previous research campaign [18] and the related results were used here for comparisons. The second specimen set type M, for machined condition without age hardening, was built under the same conditions as the first one, with 0.5mm allowance for machining. The last two sets in the as built condition (one with age hardening, type H, the other without age hardening, type N) were built without any additional material allowance. Their surface roughness was lowered just by micro shot peening process.

Specimens were mounted on the testing rig, by tightening their heads into chuck collet, on both sides of the specimen Fig 4. Load was kept constant and bending moment was constant at gage during testing Fig. 5. The Testing rig, for four-point rotating bending was described in [18].




Figure 4. a) Clamped specimen after break, b) Specimen running, c) Chuck collet




Figure 5. Load distribution

The specimens were tested until failure or until  $10^7$  cycles: in this case the specimen was marked as "RUN OUT". Each sample set consisted of 7 to 14 specimens. In the previous stage of the research, some samples were damaged during the manufacturing process, so they were not considered.

Using the aforementioned procedure, it was possible to obtain *FL* and the *S-N* curve for finite life domain. Fatigue limit was obtained by the Dixon stair-case method for small number of sample trials with failure or

non-failure outcomes [34]. Dixon method is a modified stair-case method that makes it possible to estimate *FL* even from small series of nominal trials (in this case four to seven). Standard deviation was estimated to estimate the uncertainty and to determine the confidence band for *FL*. ISO 12107 was used for processing data in finite life domain [35]. Data were linearly interpolated in logarithmic diagram. Upper and lower limits of the logarithmic curve were determined, based on the standard deviation of fatigue life, with the probability of failure of 90% for upper limit and 10% for lower limit and with the confidence level of 90%.

3. EXPERIMENTAL

All the samples have undergone geometry measurement, to check drawing requirements accomplishment. Diameter dimension and surface roughness have been measured at the head and gauge. For this purpose, a micrometre screw gauge, (with the resolution of 0.01mm) and a portable surface roughness tester (with the resolution of 0.01  $\mu$ m, Handysurf E-30A; Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) have been used.

Diameter measurement checks have been done at two points at the heads, replicating measurement with 90° rotations at each point, for a total of eight replications, including both specimen heads. Diameter at the gauge was measured at three points, with two replications for each, by 90° rotation for an overall number of 6 replications.

Surface roughness on the both heads was measured at four points, with 90° angular spacing, with two replications, for a total number of eight replications per head. Surface roughness at the gauge was measured only after breakage, in same manner as at the heads, with eight replications per broken side. Specimens that survived testing, marked as run-out, were not measured for surface roughness at the gauge.

Average values of the diameter and surface roughness measurements are presented in Tables 3 to 6.

Table 3. Diameter and roughness measurement for sample type I

Specimen ID	Gauge diameter			Head diameter		
	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [ $\mu$ m]	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [ $\mu$ m]
1.1	6.00	0.004	0.248	9.93	0.004	0.26
1.2	6.00	0.004	0.470	9.93	0.000	0.21
1.3	6.00	0.000	0.447	9.93	0.000	0.29
1.4	6.01	0.000	0.395	9.93	0.000	0.20
1.5	6.00	0.004	/	9.92	0.000	0.31
1.6	6.01	0.000	/	9.93	0.000	0.22
1.7	6.00	0.000	/	9.93	0.007	0.27
1.8	6.00	0.004	0.697	9.93	0.000	0.30

Specimen types I and M are well consistent with the drawing requirements presented in Fig.1. Measurements indicate minor diameter deviations from the drawing specifications, according to ISO 1143, for specimen types H and N. Surface roughness values for the same specimen types were almost five times higher than specifications. It is reasonable, considering that these specimens were in as-built condition. Although these specimens did not satisfy surface roughness requirements, their testing was justified by the increasing demand for as-built parts and by the need for an estimation of their

fatigue response. After measurement procedure, fatigue tests were carried out, loading the samples under four-point rotary bending.

Table 4. Diameter and roughness measurement for sample type H

Specimen ID	Gauge diameter			Head diameter		
	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [µm]	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [µm]
H.1	6.05	0.004	4.063	10.09	0.017	4.90
H.2	6.06	0.015	4.700	10.10	0.012	4.95
H.3	6.06	0.008	4.055	10.07	0.010	5.02
H.4	6.06	0.011	3.738	10.10	0.015	4.55
H.5	6.05	0.004	3.769	10.09	0.004	4.13
H.6	6.06	0.008	/	10.08	0.010	5.50
H.7	6.06	0.008	/	10.09	0.017	4.78
H.8	6.05	0.003	/	10.09	0.013	4.30
H.9	6.05	0.006	4.000	10.08	0.014	4.70
H.10	6.08	0.005	4.195	10.11	0.019	4.67
H.11	6.04	0.012	5.614	10.09	0.006	6.38
H.12	6.05	0.014	3.714	10.07	0.014	4.55

Table 5. Diameter and roughness measurement for sample type N

Specimen ID	Gauge diameter			Head diameter		
	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [µm]	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [µm]
N.1	6.08	0.012	4.24	10.07	0.020	5.54
N.2	6.09	0.010	4.12	10.08	0.004	5.48
N.3	6.08	0.008	3.97	10.06	0.010	5.19
N.4	6.09	0.008	4.37	10.07	0.013	4.74
N.5	6.09	0.005	4.57	10.07	0.019	5.28
N.6	6.09	0.009	/	10.07	0.012	4.75
N.7	6.09	0.010	/	10.08	0.007	4.43
N.8	6.08	0.007	/	10.07	0.008	4.24
N.9	6.09	0.007	4.07	10.06	0.010	4.76
N.10	6.09	0.009	5.12	10.08	0.011	5.65
N.11	6.10	0.012	4.54	10.08	0.014	4.72
N.12	6.08	0.012	2.30	10.07	0.015	4.86
N.13	6.08	0.009	3.75	10.08	0.008	5.10
N.14	6.09	0.014	4.21	10.05	0.003	4.48

Table 6. Diameter and roughness measurement for sample type M

Specimen ID	Gauge diameter			Head diameter		
	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [µm]	Mean [mm]	ST. Dev. [mm]	Roughness [µm]
M.1	5.99	0.006	0.753	10.01	0.003	0.24
M.2	5.99	0.009	0.544	10.02	0.002	0.94
M.3	5.99	0.007	0.701	10.02	0.001	0.29
M.4	5.99	0.005	0.694	10.04	0.058	0.95
M.5	5.99	0.008	0.748	10.01	0.002	0.94
M.6	5.99	0.006	/	10.02	0.002	0.30
M.7	5.99	0.007	0.765	10.00	0.005	0.29
M.8	6.00	0.004	/	10.02	0.002	0.36
M.9	6.02	0.005	/	10.01	0.002	0.94
M.10	5.99	0.005	0.714	10.02	0.002	1.03

Tightening was done in such a way that specimen heads could not revolve in any chance inside chuck collets. It was also important to avoid overtightening, otherwise superposition of the chuck collet pressure and load may have occurred, which is likely to result in some irregular results. After specimen was mounted, radial misalignment of the gage section was checked. Total misalignment

between spikes, was also checked for all samples during machining process. Testing was done under reversed bending load with stress ratio  $R=-1$  and with the frequency of 60Hz. Fractographic and micrographic analysis have been done as well for some samples, after the end of the testing campaign to examine fracture initiation and propagation areas.

4. RESULTS

The results of the testing campaign are collected in Tables 7 to 9. The Tables provide data regarding specimen ID, applied loads, nominal stress value at the gage, observed life and comment regarding the trial outcome. In particular, "Run-out" indicates that the specimen survived testing at given load after  $10^7$  cycles, whereas "Yes" indicates that failure occurred. In this case the number of cycle to failure is also reported.

Table 7. Test results for sample type I

Specimen ID	Load [N]	Stress [MPa]	Life [cycles]	Failure
1.1	211.9	699	2 277 295	Yes
1.2	201.6	665	3 374 203	Yes
1.3	180.5	596	6 090 458	Yes
1.4	158.9	524	-	Run-out
1.5	169.7	560	-	Run-out
1.6	169.7	560	-	Run-out
1.7	180.5	596	-	Run-out

Table 8. Test results for sample type H

Specimen ID	Load [N]	Stress [MPa]	Life [N]	Failure
H.1	211.8	699	85 768	Yes
H.2	184.9	610	120 572	Yes
H.3	157.4	520	127 820	Yes
H.4	103.0	340	-	Run-out
H.5	139.3	460	-	Run-out
H.6	148.6	490	-	Run-out
H.7	148.6	490	-	Run-out
H.8	157.4	520	-	Run-out
H.9	166.7	550	523 162	Yes
H.10	175.5	580	491 671	Yes
H.11	166.7	550	56 331	Yes
H.12	161.8	534	405 247	Yes

Table 8. Test results for sample type N

Specimen ID	Load [N]	Stress [MPa]	Life [N]	Failure
N.1	184.9	610	175 804	Yes
N.2	166.7	550	236 637	Yes
N.3	148.6	490	3 577 212	Yes
N.4	130.4	430	8 336 653	Yes
N.5	121.2	400	9 659 056	Yes
N.6	112.3	370	-	Run-out
N.7	121.2	400	-	Run-out
N.8	130.4	430	-	Run-out
N.9	139.3	460	8 069 582	Yes
N.10	130.4	430	-	Run-out
N.11	139.3	460	9 900 777	Yes
N.12	184.9	610	151 212	Yes
N.13	166.7	550	156 691	Yes
N.14	148.6	490	687 908	Yes

Table 9. Test results for sample type M

Specimen ID	Load [N]	Stress [MPa]	Life [N]	Failure
M.1	184.9	610	81 160	Yes
M.2	157.4	520	219 333	Yes



M.3	139.6	460	2 415 186	Yes
M.4	121.2	400	7 885 879	Yes
M.5	112.3	370	3 035 027	Yes
M.6	103.0	340	-	Run-out
M.7	112.3	370	7 879 073	Yes
M.8	103.0	340	-	Run-out
M.9	112.3	370	-	Run-out
M.10	121.2	400	5 662 050	Yes

Finally, each specimen was removed from the chuck collets and carefully examined for any irregularity.

5. DISCUSSION

The results of specimen testing presented in the previous Section were processed, to obtain the S-N curves in the finite life domain [35]. Curves trends with their upper and lower bounds for 90% confidence levels, obtained using linear regression method, are shown in Figures 6 to 9, using double logarithmic scale.




Figure 6. S-N Curve for sample type 1




Figure 7. S-N Curve for type sample H




Figure 8. S-N Curve for sample type N




Figure 9. S-N Curve for sample type M

Details regarding specimen type, load ratio, testing frequency and the equation of the curve are also included in the same graphs. Run outs are marked with arrows on the graphs with indication of how many of them occurred at any load level. For all sample types, inclination angles between S-N curves and vertical axis were calculated. For sample type 1 the angle value it is 76°, for sample type H, it is 71°, for sample type N, 85° and for sample type M, 84°. Larger angle between the vertical axis and the S-N curve means that those sample types are more sensitive to load increase. For those sample types with smaller angle value, the number of cycles to failure decreases less with load increase. Change in load leads to smaller change in cycle number. Sample types N and M exhibit a higher sensitivity to load increase than sample types 1 and H. A reason for this can be influence of age hardening, their hardness should be increased from 33-37 HRC to 50-56 HRC [31]. Sample type H exhibited greater scattering of the results than the other three sample types, which can be seen in Fig. 7. Specimens experienced failures at the same or close load levels with considerable differences in life cycle numbers, which also affected the unusual S-N curve inclination. As an effect of these outcomes, the confidence band for this curve is particularly wide (much wider than the others), which will probably require to run further tests at the next stages of this research.

Fatigue limit for every sample type was obtained using Dixon stair case method, based on the retrieved series of failure, and not-failure outcomes.




Figure 7. Fatigue limits with confidence bands

Fatigue limits for all sample types with their confidence band (95% confidence level) are presented in the bar graph in Fig. 7. The first two sample types underwent heat treatment, whereas the second two ones

were without heat treatment. The calculated value of *FL* for sample type 1 is 606MPa, for sample type H is 524MPa, for sample type N is 426MPa and for sample type M is 363MPa. These results indicate that heat treatment significantly enhances *FL*. *UTS* of MS1 maraging steel is 1100MPa in as the built condition, after hardening it is incremented up to 2050MPa, corresponding to almost 100% increase of *UTS*, following heat treatment [31]. Sample types 1 and H underwent hardening and their *FL* is respectively 29% and 25% of *UTS*. Sample types N and M were without hardening and their *FL* is indeed lower but respectively 39% and 33% of the corresponding *UTS* without heat treatment. These ratios are much lower than the commonly accepted ratios of *FL* over *UTS* of 50% for machined samples, but are in agreement with some literature research, when considering as built parts [38-39]. This is not surprising, due to the layered characteristic of specimens. Sample type N had greater *FL*, than machined sample type M. Both samples were without any heat treatment. First, it must be observed that the difference between type N and type M fatigue strengths is quite small: a statistical test, based on the Analysis of Variance and on the Fisher-test, indicated that these differences are not significant at the 5% significance level. Anyway, some possible reasons for unmachined samples having a better performance than machined ones is provided in the following. Sample types N had greater surface roughness than types M. This is possible outcome of shot peening which is known to have positive effect on *FL* [40].




Figure 8. Pore in crack initiation zone, close to the surface for H.5 specimen

The surfaces of the sample types N were hardened by shot peening plastic deformation, and all micro pores were closed as a result of this process, conversely for sample type M, this effect is shadowed because of the machining. Machining also took possible irregularities and porosities inside material to surface, which are possible sources of premature crack initiations [27].

The previous statement can be confirmed by the many porosities and voids revealed during fractographic and micrographic analysis. During fractographic analysis of break surface of both sides of the broken sample, it was found that crack initiation and nucleation starts at one point on the surface or just beneath it, as shown in Fig. 8. Some amount of voids and inclusions were noticed on

fractured surface of all samples. It is indeed possible that voids or inclusions were responsible for crack initiation: most cracks seem to start from such defects. On all the samples, only one crack initiation point was noticed. There have been some doubts for as built samples, due to surface roughness influence (notch effect). Fracture surface of as built samples without heat treatment showed coarse-grained structure.

Some specimens were cut, embedded into phenolic resin, and polished for micrographic analysis Fig.9.



Figure 9. Specimen preparation for micrography

Specimen surface was etched with combination of 150cc of water (H<sub>2</sub>O), 50cc of Chloridric Acid (HCl), 25cc of Nitric Acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) and 1g of Calcium Chloride. Etching was done at room temperature for 70 seconds. It must be pointed out that laser scanning traces were visible both in longitudinal and in transverse sections, regardless of heat treatment execution.




Figure 10. a) Longitudinal section of the N.2 specimen without heat treatment

b) Build plane section (normal to specimen axis)

Some inclusions were noticed and marked with arrows in Fig.10. Scanning pattern in build plane section Fig.10 b), shows some scanning traces underneath with rotation angles corresponding to the aforementioned angle of 67°. Specimens without heat treatment had more pronounced scanning traces than those that had undergone the heat treatment by age hardening (see Fig 11). This outcome indicates that heat treatment had some effect on



fusion of the laser traces but was not effective at completing deleting these traces. For all the four sample types a comparable amount of inclusion was observed. Heat treatment had no effect on the presence of porosities in material.




Figure 11. a) Longitudinal section of the specimen with heat treatment

b) Build plane section (normal to specimen axis)

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper aims at a study on machining and heat treatment effects on fatigue limit and fatigue strength of Maraging Steel specimens manufactured by DMLS EOSINT M280 machine. Four sample sets were considered, all with vertical stacking direction during building. No deformation of the specimens as a result of residual stresses was observed. All the sets were shot peened as part of cleaning process. Two sets underwent machining procedure for 0.5mm allowance and two were left in the as built surface state. One machined and one as built set were heat treated by age hardening in oven. All sample sets were then tested under four point bending tested with  $R=-1$  load ratio at the frequency of 60Hz. All the experimental results were processed for the determination of  $S-N$  curves in the finite life domain and fatigue limits. The heat treated samples exhibited steeper  $S-N$  curve than the samples without heat treatment. Moreover, heat treated samples without machining exhibited a great result scattering that can be attributed to notch effect deriving from surface roughness. Anyway, this outcome will need further testing and investigations at the next stages of the research. Heat treated sample types had greater fatigue limits than samples without heat

treatment. Fatigue strength to ultimate tensile strength ratio for unmachined heat treated samples was around 25%, which is consistent with other research but lower than the corresponding ratios for the other two sample sets. In fact, when running comparative analysis, it must be noticed that the ultimate tensile strength for samples without heat treatment is almost one-half of the value for heat treated ones.

Machined samples without heat treatment had lowest ultimate tensile strength. A possible reason is that, following machining, pores, inclusions and micro cracks were brought to the surface and became source of micro stress concentration, thus inducing crack initiation and detrimentally affecting fatigue limit. In addition, the hardened surface obtained by plastic deformation (and the related compressive residual stress state) via shot peening was also taken away, which is not the case for as built sample without heat treatment, resulting in a greater fatigue limit.

in the future it could be possible to expand research with shot peening effect on machined samples after machining. In this way all the pores and microcracks brought to surface would be closed, the surface would be hardened by plastic deformation and a potentially beneficial compressive residual stress state could be induced.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper represents a part of research performed within the project "Advanced design rules for optimal dynamic properties of additive manufacturing products - A\_MADAM", which received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No.734455.

Two of the authors (S. Ćirić-Kostić and A. Vranić) wish also to acknowledge the support of Ministry of Education, Science and Technology Development of Republic of Serbia through grants No.TR35006 and No.TR37020.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bourell, D.L., Beaman, J.J., Leu, M.C. and Rosen, D.W., 2009. A brief history of additive manufacturing and the 2009 roadmap for additive manufacturing: looking back and looking ahead. *Proceedings of RapidTech*, pp.24-25.
- [2] Aliakbari, M. "Additive manufacturing: State-of-the-art, capabilities, and sample applications with cost analysis." (2012).
- [3] Pandey, P.M., 2010. Rapid prototyping technologies, applications and part deposition planning. Retrieved October, 15.
- [4] Herderick, E. "Additive manufacturing of metals: A review." *Materials Science & Technology* (2011): 1413-1425.
- [5] Shellabear, M., and O. Nyrhilä. "DMLS-Development history and state of the art." *Laser Assisted Netshape Engineering 4*, *Proceedings of the 4th LANE* (2004): pp. 21-24.



- [6] Campanelli, S.L., Contuzzi, N., Angelastro, A. and Ludovico, A.D., 2010. Capabilities and performances of the selective laser melting process. In *New Trends in Technologies: Devices, Computer, Communication and Industrial Systems*. InTech.
- [7] Naiju, C. D., M. Adithan, and P. Radhakrishnan. "An Investigation of Process Variables Influencing Fatigue Properties of Components Produced by Direct Metal Laser Sintering." *KMUTNB: International Journal of Applied Science and Technology* 4.1 (2011): pp. 63-69.
- [8] Parthasarathy, J., Starly, B. and Raman, S., 2011. A design for the additive manufacture of functionally graded porous structures with tailored mechanical properties for biomedical applications. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 13(2), pp.160-170.
- [9] Jardini, A. L., M. A. Larosa, M. F. Macedo, L. F. Bernardes, C. S. Lambert, C. A. C. Zavaglia, R. Maciel Filho, D. R. Calderoni, E. Ghizoni, and P. Kharmandayan. "Improvement in Cranioplasty: Advanced Prosthesis Biomanufacturing." *Procedia CIRP* 49 (2016): pp. 203-208.
- [10] Jardini, A.L., Larosa, M.A., Maciel Filho, R., de Carvalho Zavaglia, C.A., Bernardes, L.F., Lambert, C.S., Calderoni, D.R. and Kharmandayan, P., 2014. Cranial reconstruction: 3D biomodel and custom-built implant created using additive manufacturing. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery*, 42(8), pp.1877-1884.
- [11] Bertol, L.S., Júnior, W.K., da Silva, F.P. and Aumund-Kopp, C., 2010. Medical design: direct metal laser sintering of Ti-6Al-4V. *Materials & Design*, 31(8), pp.3982-3988.
- [12] <https://www.eos.info/material-m>
- [13] Brookes, K.J., 2016. Maraging steel for additive manufacturing—Philipp Stoll's paper at DDMC 2016. *Metal Powder Report*, 3(71), pp.149-152.
- [14] Yasa, E., Kempen, K., Kruth, J.P., Thijs, L. and Van Humbeeck, J., 2010, August. Microstructure and mechanical properties of maraging steel 300 after selective laser melting. In *Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium Proceedings* (pp. 383-396).
- [15] Kempen, K., Yasa, E., Thijs, L., Kruth, J.P. and Van Humbeeck, J., 2011. Microstructure and mechanical properties of Selective Laser Melted 18Ni-300 steel. *Physics Procedia*, 12, pp.255-263.
- [16] Casalino, G., Campanelli, S.L., Contuzzi, N. and Ludovico, A.D., 2015. Experimental investigation and statistical optimisation of the selective laser melting process of a maraging steel. *Optics & Laser Technology*, 65, pp.151-158.
- [17] [https://www.eos.info/industries\\_markets/aerospace/engines](https://www.eos.info/industries_markets/aerospace/engines)
- [18] Crocchio, D., De Agostinis, M., Fini, S., Olmi, G., Vranic, A., Ciric-Kostic, S.: "Influence of the build orientation on the fatigue strength of EOS maraging steel produced by additive metal machine", *Fatigue and Fracture of Engineering Materials and Structures* 39 (5) (2016), pp. 637-647
- [19] Casati, R., Lemke, J.N., Tuissi, A. and Vedani, M., 2016. Aging Behaviour and Mechanical Performance of 18-Ni 300 Steel Processed by Selective Laser Melting. *Metals*, 6(9), p.218.
- [20] Gibson, I. and Shi, D., 1997. Material properties and fabrication parameters in selective laser sintering process. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 3(4), pp.129-136.
- [21] Baufeld, B., Van der Biest, O. and Gault, R., 2010. Additive manufacturing of Ti-6Al-4V components by shaped metal deposition: microstructure and mechanical properties. *Materials & Design*, 31, pp. S106-S111.
- [22] Edwards, P. and Ramulu, M., 2014. Fatigue performance evaluation of selective laser melted Ti-6Al-4V. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 598, pp.327-337.
- [23] Bača, A., Konečná, R., Nicoletto, G. and Kunz, L., 2016. Influence of build direction on the fatigue behaviour of Ti6Al4V alloy produced by direct metal laser sintering. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 3(4), pp.921-924.
- [24] de Vree, W.K., 2016. On the influence of build orientation on the mechanical properties of direct metal laser sintered (DMLS) Ti-6Al-4V flexures.
- [25] Konečná, R., Kunz, L., Bača, A. and Nicoletto, G., 2016. Long fatigue crack growth in Ti6Al4V produced by direct metal laser sintering. *Procedia Engineering*, 160, pp.69-76.
- [26] Smith, D.H., Bicknell, J., Jorgensen, L., Patterson, B.M., Cordes, N.L., Tsukrov, I. and Knezevic, M., 2016. Microstructure and mechanical behavior of direct metal laser sintered Inconel alloy 718. *Materials Characterization*, 113, pp.1-9.
- [27] Brandl, E., Heckenberger, U., Holzinger, V. and Buchbinder, D., 2012. Additive manufactured AlSi10Mg samples using Selective Laser Melting (SLM): Microstructure, high cycle fatigue, and fracture behavior. *Materials & Design*, 34, pp.159-169.
- [28] Lewandowski, J.J. and Seifi, M., 2016. Metal additive manufacturing: a review of mechanical properties. *Annual Review of Materials Research*, 46, pp.151-186.
- [29] International Organization for Standardization ISO 1143:2010 (E) (2010) *Metallic Materials – Rotating Bar Bending Fatigue Testing*, International Organization for Standardization (ISO), Geneva, Switzerland.
- [30] [https://www.eos.info/systems\\_solutions/metal/system\\_s\\_equipment/eosint\\_m280](https://www.eos.info/systems_solutions/metal/system_s_equipment/eosint_m280)
- [31] [https://www.eos.info/material\\_m/werkstoffe/download/EOS\\_MaragingSteel\\_MS1.pdf](https://www.eos.info/material_m/werkstoffe/download/EOS_MaragingSteel_MS1.pdf)
- [32] Sanz, C. and Navas, V.G., 2013. Structural integrity of direct metal laser sintered parts subjected to thermal and finishing treatments. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 213(12), pp.2126-2136.
- [33] Aboulkhair, N.T., Maskery, I., Tuck, C., Ashcroft, I. and Everitt, N.M., 2016. Improving the fatigue behaviour of a selectively laser melted aluminium alloy: Influence of heat treatment and surface quality. *Materials & Design*, 104, pp.174-182.

- [34] Dixon, W.J. and Massey, F.J., 1969. Introduction to statistical analysis (Vol. 344). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [35] International Organization for Standardization ISO 12107:2003, "Metallic Materials – Fatigue Testing – Statistical Planning and Analysis of Data", International Organization for Standardization (ISO), Geneva, Switzerland, (2003)
- [36] Bunker, R. S. Innovative gas turbine cooling techniques. WIT Press, Southampton, UK, 2008.
- [37] Kasperovich, G. and Hausmann, J., 2015. Improvement of fatigue resistance and ductility of TiAl6V4 processed by selective laser melting. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 220, pp.202-214.
- [38] Stoffregen, H.A., Butterweck, K. and Abele, E., 2014. Fatigue analysis in selective laser melting: review and investigation of thin-walled actuator housings. In *25th Solid Freeform Fabrication Symp.*
- [39] Niemann, G., Winter, H. and Hohn, B. R. (2005) *Maschinenelemente*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin Germany.
- [40] Olmi, G. and Freddi, A., 2013. A new method for modelling the support effect under rotating bending fatigue: application to Ti-6Al-4V alloy, with and without shot peening. *Fatigue & Fracture of Engineering Materials & Structures*, 36(10), pp.981-993.



CIP - Katalogizacija u publikaciji -  
Narodna biblioteka Srbije, Beograd

INTERNATIONAL Triennial Conference Heavy  
Machinery (9 ; 2017 ; Zlatibor)  
Proceedings [Elektronski izvor] / The Ninth International  
Triennial Conference Heavy Machinery HM 2017,  
Zlatibor, June 28 - July 1 2017 ; [editor Milomir Gašić].  
- Kraljevo : Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering,  
2017 (Vrnjačka Banja : SaTCIP), 628 str. : ilustr; 29 cm  
  
Sistemska zahtevi: Nisu navedeni. - Naslov sa naslovne  
strane dokumenta. -Tiraž 100. -Bibliografija uz svaki rad.

ISBN 978-86-82631-89-7

621(082)(0.034.2)

621.86/.87(082)(0.034.2)

629.3/.4(082)(0.034.2)

622.6(082)(0.034.2)

681.5(082)(0.034.2)

COBISS.SR-ID 239679756