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#### Abstract

A domino Friedel-Crafts/nitro-Michael reaction between 4 -substituted indoles and nitroethene is presented. The reaction is catalyzed by BINOL-derived phosphoric acid catalysts, and delivers the corresponding 3,4-ringfused indoles with very good results in terms of yields and diastereo- and enantioselectivities. The tricyclic benzo[cd]indole products bear a nitro group at the right position to serve as precursors of ergot alkaloids, as demonstrated by the formal synthesis of 6,7 -secoagroclavine from one of the adducts. DFT calculations suggest that the outcome of the reaction stems from the preferential evolution of a key nitronic acid intermediate through a nucleophilic addition pathway, rather than to the expected "quenching" through protonation.


Ergot alkaloids have been the subject of longstanding interest. ${ }^{[1]}$ Besides being the causative agents of the serious disease ergotism and used as hallucinogenic drugs, ergot alkaloids and derivatives have seen their powerful biological activities subdued for medical purposes. Pharmaceutical usefulness arises from subtle modifications of their naturally occurring structures, which feature a distinctive tricyclic 4 -amino-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indole framework with a modified methallyl residue at the 5 -position, often fused within an additional ring (Figure 1a). Biosynthetically derived from tryptophan through intriguing enzymatic pathways, ${ }^{[2]}$ total syntheses of several

[^0]members of this class of natural compounds have been reported, with the archetype lysergic acid having been the most pursued. ${ }^{[3]}$ Concurrently, the construction of the synthetically challenging 1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indole scaffold has recently received considerable attention, even in its unadorned and racemic/achiral forms. ${ }^{[4]}$ In this context, we have reported an enantioselective approach to this scaffold, based on the organocatalytic domino reaction of indoles 1 bearing a Michael acceptor at the 4-position with $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes. ${ }^{[5]}$

The domino reaction of these substrates 1 with nitroethene ${ }^{[6]} 2$ leads to benzo[cd]indoles 3 having the nitro group at a strategic position to serve as precursors of ergot alkaloids (Figure 1 b ). This reaction has been attempted with a view to ergot synthesis. However, results were disappointing and the reaction was thus discarded in favor of less direct routes for compounds related to $3 .{ }^{[4 d, 7,8]}$ We hypothesized that recent advances in the activation of nitro compounds by weak H -bond donor catalysts ${ }^{[9]}$ could offer a solution for this transformation, giving also an unprecedented stereocontrolled access to compounds 3. However, initial experiments (Figure 1c) showed that thiourea catalysts that were useful in simpler FriedelCrafts (FC) reactions ${ }^{[10]}$ were not able to promote any reaction between substrates $\mathbf{1 a - c}$ and nitroethene $\mathbf{2}$, possibly due to the known ${ }^{[5 a]}$ poor nucleophilicity of indoles 1. A more acidic BINOL-derived phosphoric acid ${ }^{[11]}$ catalyst, such as PA1, proved instead to be useful. Substrate 1 a furnished with promising enantioselectivity and as a single trans-diastereoisomer the desired product 3 a with moderate ( $70 \%$ ) conversion. This result was somewhat unexpected. The reaction should proceed through a nitronate/nitronic acid, formed upon the FC addition. In principle, the PA catalyst should be able to easily "quench" this species through protonation, due to its acidity. ${ }^{[12]}$ Indeed, the presence of a competition between nitro-Michael and "quenching" pathways was revealed by the exclusive formation of the side product $3^{\prime}$ not only in the reaction with indole $1 \mathbf{b}$ featuring a weak ester Michael acceptor, but also with 1 c bearing an $N$-acyl pyrrole, an efficient moiety for nitroMichael reactions (Figure 1 c ). ${ }^{[13]}$ It is worth stressing that only few examples of organocatalytic domino reactions ${ }^{[14]}$ have dealt with this type of sequential process (H-bond-promoted addition of a neutral nucleophile triggering a subsequent transformation), ${ }^{[15]}$ none of which has involved a phosphoric acid as catalyst.
Prior to embarking on the study and optimization of the reaction, we decided to resort to a computational approach to shed some light on the reaction pathway of this unusual phos-
a)

c)


Figure 1. Ergot alkaloid structures and domino reaction of indoles $\mathbf{1}$ with nitroethene $\mathbf{2}$. a) Some naturally occurring and semisynthetic ergot alkaloid derivatives (the 1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indole framework is highlighted); b) Friedel-Crafts (FC)-triggered nitroMichael reaction en route to ergot alkaloids; c) preliminary results: thioureas do not promote the reaction. Phosphoric acids can catalyze the reaction, by two competing pathways (nitro-Michael vs. intermediate "quench").
phoric acid-catalyzed transformation. Previous computational studies of the phosphoric acid-catalyzed FC addition of indoles
to nitroalkenes ${ }^{[16]}$ focused on the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond-forming step, neglecting subsequent H -transfer events (i.e., rearomatization and nitronate protonation) that are crucial in this cascade process, dictating its evolution. Here, several pathways following the first $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation can, in principle, be envisaged. The FC reaction might evolve through a H -relay process releasing the catalyst and a chiral indolenine. Alternatively, catalyst-coordinated nitronic acid intermediates could form upon indole rearomatization or $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ abstraction. Besides, indole rearomatization might occur prior to or after the Michael addition step. These hypotheses were evaluated by DFT calculations using the B3LYP-D functional (see the Supporting Information for details), studying the full catalytic cycle for the reaction between nitroethene 2 and the methyl ketone derivative $\mathbf{1 d}$, chosen as a model of substrates bearing a ketone Michael acceptor. We used the phosphoric acid derived from [ $1,1^{\prime}$-biphenyl]-2,2'diol as a model of BINOL-derived chiral phosphoric acids (Figure 2).
First, two possibilities were found for the initial nucleophilic attack of the indole on electrophile 2. In the first case (TS1) the catalyst coordinates to both the nitro group and the indole $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, whereas in the second (TS1'), it coordinates to the nitro group and the C3-H of the indole. In both cases, protonation of the nitro group occurs concertedly with the expected $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation. ${ }^{[17]}$ The reaction occurring through TS1 is favored by $6.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ over TS1', in line with the previously determined pathway followed in related FC processes. ${ }^{[16]]}$ While $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ abstraction was not productive, a TS for the indole rearomatization through C3-deprotonation (TS2) was located, associated with


Figure 2. Free energy profile for the formation of products $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{d}$ from the reaction between $(E)-4-(1 \mathrm{H}$-indol-4-yl)but-3-en-2-one ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{d}$ ) and nitroethene $\mathbf{2}$. See the Supporting Information for optimized ball-and-stick structures.
an energy barrier of $18.7 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$ relative to the reactants. This step does not occur through a proton-relay process releasing the catalyst, but leads instead to intermediate INT2. AIternatively, we have found that a direct cyclization could occur before rearomatization through TS2'. However, the energy barrier for this possibility is higher than that for the rearomatization ( 23.9 vs. $18.7 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$ ), which makes this option less likely. ${ }^{[18]}$ After the rearomatization, a nitro-Michael addition can occur with the catalyst coordinating to both the nitro group and the carbonyl moiety (TS3). In this step, associated with a low energy barrier ( $10.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), the deprotonation of the nitro group and the protonation of the carbonyl occur concertedly with the trans-selective C-C bond formation. ${ }^{[19]}$ After cyclization through TS3, a keto-enol tautomerization is required to generate final product $\mathbf{3 d}$. We found that the catalyst can also promote this process through TS4, with a low barrier.

As discussed above, the formation of cyclized product 3 is in competition with the formation of side-product $3^{\prime}$. This can be formed if INT2 evolves through a nitronic acid-nitro tautomerization, instead of the cyclization. A transition state (TS3') with an energy barrier of $20.7 \mathrm{kcalmol}^{-1}$ relative to INT2, and involving the catalyst, was identified for this tautomerization. The energy required for this step is likely overestimated by our calculations, ${ }^{[20]}$ with TS3' being the best approximation we have found. Nevertheless, given the exclusive formation of cyclized product $\mathbf{3 d}$, it can be concluded that the energy required for this tautomerization should be higher than about $13 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ relative to INT2. ${ }^{[20]}$

To summarize, the reaction occurs through a nucleophilic attack of the indole 1 on nitroethene 2, followed by a rearomatization occurring before the cyclization and ensuing ketoenol tautomerization. The catalyst is involved in all steps, including the stereodetermining cyclization event, accounting for the enantioenrichment of product 3 a when an enantiopure catalyst was used (Figure 1 c). A rather high energy barrier associated with the nitronic acid-nitro tautomerization step (nitronate "quench") makes the cyclization to the desired products 3 possible and prevailing even with an acidic catalyst, provided that a highly reactive Michael acceptor is employed (i.e., TS3 energy is sufficiently low).

To optimize the catalytic asymmetric version of the reaction, we screened various chiral phosphoric acid catalysts ${ }^{[11]}$ and reaction conditions, using 1 a as substrate in the reaction with nitroethene 2 (see the Supporting Information and Scheme 1). This screening initially identified catalyst PA2 [(R)-TRIP, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%]$, a solvent that is non-coordinating but able to solubilize indole 1 a $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, and ambient temperature as suitable conditions to afford 3 a with very good results. We then tested dehydrating agents as additives, in order to increase the catalyst activity. Activated molecular sieves (3, 4, or $5 \AA$ ) gave scarcely reproducible results, and surprisingly shifted occasionally the reaction pathway towards the open-chain adduct $3^{\prime} \mathbf{a} .^{[21]}$ The obtainment of $3^{\prime} \mathbf{a}$ allowed us to perform a control experiment (see the Supporting Information) confirming the expected ${ }^{[12]}$ and computed (Figure 2) incapability of the catalyst to resume 3'a to $\mathbf{3 a}$. Instead, we found that $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ as drying agent had a beneficial effect, allowing a reduced cata-


Scheme 1. Representative screening results.
Table 1. Scope of the catalytic enantioselective domino reaction. ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$
[a] Conditions: indole $1(0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, PA2 $(0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, nitroethene $2(1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ toluene solution, 0.15 mmol$)$, dry $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(300 \mu \mathrm{~L}), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~h}$, then filtering through a plug of silica gel, solvent evaporation, and analysis by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy; d.r. of products 3 was found to be $>95: 5$ in all cases. [b] After chromatography on silica gel. [c] Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC. [d] In the presence of $4 \AA$ MS instead of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, RT, 24 h . [e] RT, 24 h . [f] 0.225 mmol 2. [g] PA2 ( $0.0075 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), RT.
lyst loading and a lower reaction temperature, leading to increased enantioselectivity.
We then applied these conditions to substrates $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$ bearing different Michael acceptor groups and indole cores. The results (Table 1) show that, besides the simple phenyl derivative 1a (entry 1), other electronically/sterically different aryl acceptors in $\mathbf{1 e - h}$ provided the corresponding products $\mathbf{3 e - h}$ with excellent results (entries $5-8$ ). The ester and $N$-acyl pyrrole derivatives 1 b and 1 c gave exclusively the open-chain adducts $\mathbf{3}^{\prime} \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$, even under the optimized conditions. Molecular sieves provided better yields than $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ in these simple FC reactions (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). ${ }^{[21]}$ The methyl ketone substrate 1 d unfortunately gave a reduced enantioselectivity in the product 3d (Table 1, entry 4). However, the reaction scope is not restricted to Michael acceptors bearing aryl groups. Sub-
strates $\mathbf{1 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 j}$, bearing a tert-butyl and a dimethoxymethyl substituent at the ketone, respectively, afforded the products $3 \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ with excellent results (Table 1, entries 9 and 10), suggesting that it is the bulkiness of the acceptor that is essential to achieving excellent enantiocontrol in this reaction. As expected, the 2 -methylindole derivative $1 \mathbf{k}$ performed very well in the reaction (Table 1, entry 11). In contrast with simpler FC reactions, wherein catalyst coordination to the indole $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ is generally required for enantioselectivity, ${ }^{[16]}$ the computed pathway of this transformation predicts this interaction to be absent in the stereodetermining step (Figure 2, TS3), while being useful for reactivity, as it is related to the RDS (TS1 vs. TS1'). Indeed, the products $3 I$ and $\mathbf{m}$, derived from the $N$-alkyl substrates 11 and $m$, were obtained with excellent enantioselectivities, although slightly modified conditions were required to achieve good yields (Table 1, entries 12 and 13).
Treatment of 3 a with NaOH in MeOH caused equilibration favoring the cis stereoisomer 4a [Eq. (1)]. DFT calculations indicated that this cis isomer ( $4 \mathbf{a}$ ) is more polar than the trans (3a), and thus accounted for 4a being favored in a polar medium such as MeOH . Equilibration occurred through a selective epimerization at the $\alpha$-nitro stereogenic center and not through a retro-nitro-Michael pathway that would have led to racemization. We determined the relative configuration of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $4 \mathbf{a}$ as trans and cis, respectively, by a thorough computational (DFT) and NMR analysis (see the Supporting Information). Their absolute configuration was inferred as shown by comparing the calculated (TD-DFT) with the experimental Electronic Circular Dichroism (ECD) spectra. ${ }^{[22]}$

To increase the synthetic utility of this methodology, we thought to convert one of the ketone groups of compounds 3 into a more versatile ester group. However, all attempts to effect a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation on 3 e failed, presumably due

to the sensitivity of the benzo[cd]indole structure to oxidative conditions. Thus, we investigated other indole substrates featuring masked unsaturated esters/amides ${ }^{[23]}$ as Michael acceptors at the 4-position. After considerable experimentation (see the Supporting Information), we found that an alkylidene malonate could serve as suitable acceptor moiety for the reaction. Using a different catalyst PA3, substrate 1 n bearing this group provided the corresponding product $3 n$ with synthetically useful results (Scheme 2). The malonate ester could be converted in three steps and with a small loss of diastereoenrichment into the previously inaccessible ester-substituted adduct $3 \mathbf{b}$. This compound, in racemic form, is the key intermediate in a reported synthesis of 6,7-secoagroclavine. ${ }^{[8]}$

In summary, indoles 1 bearing a Michael acceptor at the 4position react well with nitroethene 2 in the presence of chiral phosphoric acid catalysts, delivering the corresponding tricyclic

1n

Scheme 2. Reaction with substrate $\mathbf{1 n}$ and elaboration of product $\mathbf{3 n}$.
trans products 3 with very good results. As revealed by DFT calculations, the reaction is a unique example of evolution of a nitronate/nitronic acid intermediate towards a nucleophilic pathway, in favor of the ordinary "quench" of this intermediate through protonation. The benzo[cd]indole products 3 feature a nitro group at a strategic position to serve as ergot alkaloid precursors. Since diastereoisomer equilibration in MeOH favors the cis isomers (4), all four stereoisomers of the tricyclic system can be potentially accessed with this methodology.
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## Selected additional studies in the catalytic asymmetric reactions

## Optimization of the catalytic asymmetric reaction with substrate 1a

Table S1. Screening of chiral phosphoric acid catalysts PA1-PA8 in the reaction between substrate 1a and nitroethene 2 , representative results. ${ }^{[a]}$



PA1: $\mathrm{R}=3,5-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
PA2: $\mathrm{R}=2,4,6-(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}[(R)-\mathrm{TRIP}]$
PA3: $R=$ 4-biphenyl
PA4: $R=H$
PA5: $\mathrm{R}=9$-anthracenyl


PA6: $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ PA7: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}$


PA8

| Entry | Catalyst | time (h) | Conversion (\%) $^{[\mathbf{b ]}}$ | $\boldsymbol{e e} \mathbf{( \% )}^{[\mathbf{c c}]}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PA1 | 48 | 90 | 77 |
| 2 | PA2 | 18 | $>90$ | 94 |
| 3 | PA3 | 48 | 88 | 5 |
| 4 | PA4 | 22 | $>90$ | 59 |
| 5 | PA5 | 22 | $>90$ | 92 |
| 6 | PA6 | 22 | $>90$ | 27 |
| 7 | PA7 | 22 | $>90$ | 93 |
| 8 | PA8 | 22 | $>90$ | 31 |

[a] Conditions: 1a $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst PA1-PA8 $(0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, nitroethene $2(1-1.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene, 0.075 mmol$), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{RT}$, then filtration on a plug of silica gel, evaporation and NMR analysis, showing the presence of a single diastereoisomer in all cases. [b] Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude. [c] Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC.

As shown in Table S1, all BINOL and VAPOL derived phosphoric acid catalysts tested were found to be able to promote the domino reaction between substrate 1a and 2, with the (R)-TRIP catalyst PA2 giving the best result in terms of enantioselectivity. It must be noted however that also the BINOL derived catalysts PA5 and PA7, derived from BINOL and bearing a 9 -anthracenyl and a triphenylsilyl group at 3,3 ' position, respectively, gave very good enantioselectivity in the reaction.

Table S2. Screening of reaction conditions with the (R)-TRIP catalyst PA2, representative results. ${ }^{[a]}$


| Entry | Cat. mol\% | Solvent | Additive | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | t (h) | Conv. (\%) ${ }^{[b]}$ | 3a:3' ${ }^{\text {[b] }}$ | $e e(\%)^{[c]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 18 | 80 | >9:1 | 95 |
| 2 | 10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | $>90$ | >9:1 | 95 |
| 3 | 10 | toluene | - | RT | 60 | 87 | >9:1 | 95 |
| 4 | 10 | THF | - | RT | 48 | $<10$ | - | - |
| 5 | 10 | EtOAc | - | RT | 48 | $<10$ | - | - |
| 6 | 10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | - | RT | 48 | 22 | >9:1 | nd |
| 7 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 48 | 83 | $>9: 1$ | 95 |
| 8 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | 0 | 48 | 59 | $>9: 1$ | 96 |
| 9 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $4 \AA$ MS - powder | 0 | 60 | $>90$ | >9:1 | 96 |
| 10 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $4 \AA$ MS - powder | 0 | 60 | $>90$ | 1:5 | nd |
| 11 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $5 \AA$ MS - powder | 0 | 60 | $>90$ | >9:1 | 84 |
| 12 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $5 \AA$ MS - powder | 0 | 60 | $>90$ | $<1: 9$ | - |
| 13 | 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $3 \AA$ MS - spheres | 0 | 60 | $>90$ | <1:9 | - |
| 14 | 5 | dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | 0 | 60 | 76 | $>9: 1$ | 97 |
| 15 | 5 | dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | 0 | 60 | $>90$ | >9:1 | 97 |
| 16 | 2.5 | dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | 0 | 60 | 70 | >9:1 | 97 |

[a] Conditions: indole derivative 1a $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst PA2, nitroethene $2(1-1.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene, 0.075 $\mathrm{mmol})$, solvent $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$, then filtration on a plug of silica gel, evaporation and NMR analysis, showing the presence of a single diastereoisomer of 3 a in all cases. [b] Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy on the crude mixture. [c] Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis.

Different solvents were initially tested (entries 1-6), showing the necessity of employing noncoordinating solvents such as $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or toluene in the reaction. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was selected for further optimization, since toluene did not efficiently solubilize substrate 1a, and experiments not reported in Table S2 showed that this low solubilizing power resulted in even lower conversions with other substrates 1. Lowering the catalyst loading to $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ worsened the conversion, especially when the reaction was performed at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entries 7,8). Thus, drying agents were tested, in order to increase catalyst activity. Molecular sieves of different pore sizes and shapes, commonly employed in phosphoric acid catalyzed reactions, were first employed (entries 9-13). Although these drying agents did indeed increase the observed conversion, results turned out to be irreproducible, leading in some cases even to the preferential formation of the undesired side-product 3'a. Thus, dry
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ ) without additives was used as solvent, however without giving any substantial improvement (entry 14). It was finally found that a different drying agent ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, pre-dried under vacuum with a heat-gun prior to the reaction) allowed to reach full conversion in the desired product $3 \mathbf{a}$, even at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading, providing a small improvement in the enantiomeric excess of the product $\mathbf{3 a}$ (entry 15). Since a further lowering of the catalyst loading gave insufficient conversion (entry 16), conditions reported in entry 15 were taken as optimal to carry out the catalytic asymmetric domino reaction.

## Control experiment with side-product 3'a

The obtainment of the side-product 3'a in some of the reactions performed in the presence of molecular sieves allowed to perform the following control experiment (Scheme S1), which showed unequivocally that catalyst PA2 is unable to resume 3' to $\mathbf{3}$ (see the article for a relevant discussion).


Scheme S1 Control experiment with a mixture enriched in side-product 3'a.

## Products elaboration: failure of the oxidative conversion of arylketone to ester

We carried out several attempts to unveil an ester function from arylketone 3e. Usual protocols, useful even with complex chiral compounds bearing multiple stereogenic centres, ${ }^{1}$ are based on the Bayer-Villiger oxidation, with m-CPBA as oxidizing agent. However, none of the conditions tested with m-CPBA afforded the desired ester product (Scheme S2). No evidence of the cleavage of the aryl-CO bond was found. We invariably observed either unreacted starting compound $\mathbf{3 e}$, or extensive decomposition resulting in intractable reaction mixtures. Since these conditions are usually compatible with $\gamma$-nitro aryl ketones, ${ }^{1}$ we attributed these failures to the presence of the electron rich benzo[cd]indole core. We set up to protect of the indole NH with an electronwithdrawing group (Boc and Ts), which however proved to be much more challenging than expected, and could not be achieved with satisfactory and reproducible results. Thus, we tested different (milder) oxidative protocols on the unprotected compound 3e, namely Dakin-type oxidations which involve aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ or UHP in basic reaction media (hydroxide bases). These latter protocols did not afford the desired ester product neither. Epimerization at the $\alpha$-nitro stereogenic centre was instead observed, due to the highly basic reaction medium (Scheme S2).


Scheme S2 Attempts of conversion of the arylketone $3 \mathbf{e}$ to an ester under oxidative conditions.

[^1]
## Different unsaturated ester surrogates as Michael acceptors in substrates 1: selected results in the reactions with nitroethene 2 using catalyst PA2

All attempts to convert the ketone moiety of product $\mathbf{3 e}$ into an ester failed, and the reactions with substrate $\mathbf{1 b}$ bearing a methyl ester as the Michael acceptor did not provide the desired product $\mathbf{3 b}$. Thus, to increase the synthetic usefulness of our protocol, we decided to test other ester surrogates (or masked esters) in the reaction. On the other hand, due to poor reactivity of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters, the recourse to moieties able to activate a double bond for a conjugate addition, and then to be converted into a carboxylate ester, is a common practice in catalytic asymmetric synthesis. ${ }^{2}$ To select promising candidates, we explored literature data dealing with FC conjugate addition of indoles, and nitro-Michael reactions. We reasoned that $i$ ) masked esters useful for nitro-Michael reactions would possess the right reactivity to favor the desired product $\mathbf{3}$ vs side-product $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$, and that ii) masked esters useful for FC addition of indoles would guarantee compatibility between the unmasking step and the indole moiety of our products 3 . However, our choice had to be restricted to compounds readily prepared from 4 -formylindole. This synthetic restrain forced us to abandon some otherwise promising candidates, such as acylphosphonates and acylsilanes, and resulted in the candidates shown in Figure S1. Starting from 4-formylindole, the 1 -pyrrole and the 1 methylimidazole derivatives were prepared through Wittig olefinations, whereas the pyridyn-2-yl-$N$-oxide, the $\alpha$-hydroxyketone, the Meldrum's acid and the malonate derivatives through Knoevenagel condensations. DCC-mediated couplings of the amine/amide/alcohol with the carboxylic acid derived from ester substrate 1b upon hydrolysis gave the hexafluoro-iso-propyl ester, the pyrrolidin-2-one, the oxazolidin-2-one and the succinimide substituted acceptors. The same ester $\mathbf{1 b}$ was reduced and then oxidized to give the aldehyde derivative. All these compounds were tested in the reaction using PA2 $(5-10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) as catalyst, as reported in Table S3.


Figure S1 Selected masked unsaturated esters installed at the 4-position of indole.

[^2]Table S3. Screening of different ester surrogates with catalyst PA2, representative results. ${ }^{[a]}$


| Entry | Michael acceptor | Solvent | Additive | $\mathrm{T}$ $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{t} \\ & (\mathbf{h}) \end{aligned}$ | Conv. $(\%)^{[b]}$ | 3:3 ${ }^{\text {[b] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & e e 3 \\ & (\%)^{[\mathrm{cl}]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\underbrace{\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 18 | 50 | <5:95 | - |
| 2 |  | toluene | - | 55 | 20 | 55 | <5:95 | - |
| 3 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | RT | 20 | $>90$ (35) ${ }^{[d]}$ | <5:95 | - |
| 4 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | MS 4 Å | RT | 24 | $>90$ (62) ${ }^{\text {[d] }}$ | <5:95 | - |
| 5 | $\underbrace{\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 18 | 35 | <5:95 | - |
| 6 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | nd | <5:95 | - |
| 7 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | RT | 24 | 49 | <5:95 | - |
| 8 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | MS $4 \AA$ | RT | 24 | $>95$ (94) ${ }^{[d]}$ | <5:95 | - |
| 9 |  | toluene | MS $4 \AA$ | 90 | 60 | >90 | 60:40 ${ }^{\text {[e] }}$ | rac/rac |
| 10 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | 15 | 1:1 | - |
| 11 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | MS $4 \AA$ | RT | 60 | 70 | 1:2 | - |
| 12 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | RT | 72 | $<20$ | >90:10 | nd |
| 13 |  | DCE | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | 50 | 20 | >90 | >90:10 | nd |
| 14 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | 0 | 60 | >95 | >95:5 | 55 |
| 15 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | $<10$ | - | - |
| 16 |  | toluene | MS 4 Å | 60 | 20 | dec. | - | - |
| 17 |  | toluene | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | 60 | 20 | dec. | - | - |


| Entry | Michael acceptor | Solvent | Additive | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | (h) | Conv. $(\%)^{[b]}$ | $3: 3^{\text {,b] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ee } 3 \\ & (\%)^{[c]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | >90 | >95:5 | 12 |
| 19 | $\underbrace{\text { CHO }}_{n}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | dec. | - | - |
| 20 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 72 | $<20$ | <5:95 | - |
| 21 | $\overparen{O} \overbrace{-0}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 72 | $<10$ | - | - |
| 22 | ~ | DCE | - | 55 | 24 | 50 | <5:95 | - |
| 23 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | $<10$ | - | - |
| 24 | ~~ | DCE | - | 55 | 60 | $<10$ | - | - |

[a] Conditions: indole derivative ( 0.05 mmol ), catalyst PA2 (5-10 mol\%), nitroethene $2(1-1.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene, $0.075-1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, solvent $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$, then filtration on a plug of silica gel, evaporation and NMR analysis. [b] Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy on the crude mixture. [c] Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. [d] Yield of isolated product after chromatography on silica gel. [e] Product $\mathbf{3}$ obtained as a diastereomeric mixture (ca 1:1).

As shown in Table S3, an electron-poor hexafluoro-iso-propyl ester (entry 5) was not sufficient to drive the reaction towards the desired product 3, giving results comparable to the methyl ester (entries 1-4). An $N$-acyl pyrrole did not give useful results neither (entries 6-8). With this substrate, it was found that the desired product 3 could be obtained by running the reaction at higher temperatures (entry 9). However, the product was afforded as a diastereomeric mixture and in racemic form. We speculated that thermodynamic equilibration between the open chain sideproduct 3' and tricyclic 3 occurred at these temperatures. Moving to 1-methyl-2-acyl imidazole as the activating moiety for the double bond, this compound was poorly reactive (entries 10-11), possibly due to the strong interactions between the acidic catalyst and the basic imidazole, "quenching" the catalyst and preventing the first step of the reaction (the FC). Even if some reactivity could be gained using molecular sieves as drying agents, nearly equimolar mixtures of product 3 and side-product $3^{\prime}$ ' were invariably obtained. A pyridin- 2 -yl- $N$-oxide ketone was then tested, considering that this ketone moiety can render an ester under (harsh) basic hydroxide
conditions, ${ }^{3}$ thus avoiding the oxidative Baeyer-Villiger process. The low solubility of this substrate prevented the reaction from occurring at RT, however the corresponding product $\mathbf{3}$ could be cleanly obtained by working at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DCE (entries 12-13). It was not possible to determine the ee of this product by the available CSP HPLC. In any case, this substrate was abandoned after some preliminary tests directed at the cleavage of the pyridinyl-ketone bond in the product (hydroxides, high temperatures), led only to decomposition, without giving any evidence of the formation of the desired carboxylic acid. Palomo's $\alpha$-hydroxy ketone showed also very good reactivity and selectivity towards the desired domino product 3 but only poor enantioselectivity (entry 14). Unfortunately, a screening of phosphoric acid catalysts PA1-PA8 failed to improve the enantioselectivity of the reaction with this substrate. Possibly, the hydroxyl proton of this ketone interferes with the H -bond interactions between catalyst and substrates in the stereodetermining nitro-Michael step. Moving to a substrate bearing a highly activated Meldrum's acid derived Michael acceptor installed on the indole, this compound did not react with nitroethene in the presence of catalyst PA2 (entries 15-17). Apparently, the strong electron withdrawing nature of this Michael acceptor completely suppressed the FC reactivity of the indole moiety. By increasing the reaction temperature, decomposition occurred. A substrate 1 bearing a less activated dicarbonyl Michael acceptor, such as an alkylidene malonate, showed instead excellent reactivity and selectivity towards the desired tricyclic product 3 , which was afforded with good results in terms of conversion, but only poor enantioselectivity (entry 18). The enantioselectivity could be dramatically improved by changing the catalyst structure (see next section), and this masked ester turned out to be the substrate of choice. An aldehyde as activating moiety in the Michael acceptor was instead not suitable, since it mainly decomposed in the presence of the acidic phosphoric acid catalyst PA2 (entry 19). Moving to amides and imides as Michael acceptors, not only these substrates proved to be poorly reactive, but they gave mainly the undesired side-product 3 ' when some reactivity could be gained by increasing the reaction temperature (entries 20-24).

[^3]
## Optimization of the catalytic asymmetric reaction with substrate 1 n

Table S4. Screening of chiral phosphoric acid catalysts PA1-PA8 in the reaction between substrate 1n and nitroethene $\mathbf{2}$, representative results. ${ }^{[a]}$



PA1: $\mathrm{R}=3,5-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
PA2: $\mathrm{R}=2,4,6-(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}[(R)-\mathrm{TRIP}]$
PA3: $R=4$-biphenyl
PA4: R = H
PA5: R = 9-anthracenyl


PA6: $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ PA7: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}$


PA8

| Entry | Catalyst | Conversion (\%) $^{[\mathbf{b ]}}$ | $\boldsymbol{e e} \mathbf{( \% )}^{[\mathbf{c c ]}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PA1 | $>90$ | rac |
| 2 | PA2 | $>90$ | 12 |
| 3 | PA3 | $>90$ | 70 |
| 4 | PA4 | $>90$ | nd |
| 5 | PA5 | $>90$ | 23 |
| 6 | PA6 | $>90$ | 10 |
| 7 | PA7 | $>90$ | 7 |
| 8 | PA8 | $>90$ | 10 |

[a] Conditions: 1n ( 0.05 mmol ), catalyst PA1-PA8 ( $0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, nitroethene $2(1-1.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene, 0.10 mmol$), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.20 \mathrm{~mL})$, RT, then filtration on a plug of silica gel, evaporation. [b] Determined by TLC analysis of the crude. [c] Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC.

As shown in Table S4, all phosphoric acid catalysts tested afforded the desired tricyclic adduct $\mathbf{3 n}$. However, only the 3,3'-(4-biphenyl) substituted derivative PA3 gave this product with moderate enantioselectivity (entry 3). This catalyst was thus selected for further optimization (Table S5).

Table S5. Screening of reaction conditions in the reaction of substrate $\mathbf{1 n}$ with nitroethene $\mathbf{2}$ catalyzed by PA3, representative results. ${ }^{[a]}$


| Entry | Solvent | Additive | $\mathbf{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | $\mathbf{t ( h )}$ | Conversion (\%) $^{[\mathbf{b}]}$ | $\boldsymbol{e e}\left(\mathbf{( \% )}{ }^{[\mathbf{c}]}\right.$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | RT | 60 | $>90$ | 70 |
| 2 | toluene | - | RT | 20 | ca 80 | 65 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | 0 | 84 | 63 | 83 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | 0 | 60 | 91 | 83 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | -25 | 60 | 54 | 90 |
| $6{ }^{[\mathrm{d}]}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | 0 | 60 | 70 | 83 |

[a] Conditions: 1n ( 0.05 mmol ), catalyst PA3 ( $0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), nitroethene $2(1-1.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene, $0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry solvent ( 0.15 mL ), then filtration on a plug of silica gel, evaporation and NMR analysis. [b] Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy on the crude mixture. [c] Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. [d] $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading.

Having selected catalyst PA3, some experiments were carried out to improve the result in terms of enantioselectivity. As shown in Table S5, dichloromethane as solvent gave better results than toluene (entries 1,2). The enantioselectivity could be improved to a satisfactory level at the expense of the conversion by cooling the reaction mixture to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 3 ). As in the reactions with the other substrates $\mathbf{1}$ catalyzed by PA2, the employment of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ as a mild drying agent allowed to reach at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ satisfactory results also in terms of conversion (entry 4). Since a further lowering of reaction temperature (entry 5) or of catalyst loading (entry 6) was found to be unpractical, the conditions reported in entry 4 were considered as optimal for this substrate $\mathbf{1 n}$.

## Experimental details and products characterization

General Methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian AS 400 or 600 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm relative to residual solvent signals for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. ${ }^{4}$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were acquired with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ broad band decoupled mode. Chromatographic purifications were performed using 70-230 mesh silica. Mass spectra were recorded on a micromass LCT spectrometer using electrospray (ES) ionisation techniques. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter. The Electronic Circular Dichroism spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-810 spectropolarimeter. The enantiomeric excess (ee) of the products was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC, using a UV detector operating at 254 nm . The absolute and relative configuration of compound $3 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}$ was determined as outlined in the dedicated section. We assume a similar reaction pathway for compounds $\mathbf{3 d} \mathbf{- m}$, leading to the same relative and absolute configuration. Since a different catalyst was used, the absolute configuration of $3 n$ was not assigned, whereas its trans relative configuration was confirmed by comparison with reported ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum after decarboxylation (see below).

Materials. Analytical grade solvents and commercially available reagents were used as received, unless otherwise stated. Dichloromethane for the catalytic reactions was dried by filtration on a plug of basic alumina, and distillation from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to use. 1 H -Indole-4-carbaldehyde was purchased from Apollo Scientific. 2-Methyl-1H-indole-4-carbaldehyde, ${ }^{5}$ 1-methyl and 1-allyl-1 H -indole-4carbaldehydes ${ }^{6}$ were prepared according to the literature. Indole substrates $\mathbf{1 a - i}, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{m}$ were synthesised through Wittig olefination, detailed as follows: the phosphonium salts, unless commercially available, were obtained by refluxing an equimolar mixture of triphenyl phosphine and the appropriate alkyl halides for a few hours in toluene or acetonitrile, and collected by filtration; the phosphorous ylides were then obtained by adding $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the phosphonium salts in a separating funnel containing 2 M aq. NaOH ; after five minutes of vigorous shaking, the organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated affording the ylides. The ylide used in the preparation of substrate $\mathbf{1 c}$ was obtained following a modified literature method. ${ }^{7}$ Finally, the

[^4]Wittig olefination between the indole-4-carbaldehyde and the appropriate phosphorous ylide ${ }^{8}$ was carried out in toluene or a toluene $/ 1,4$-dioxane mixture (8:2), at reflux temperature for $18-48 \mathrm{~h} ;{ }^{9}$ substrates 1 were purified by column chromatography and obtained as pure $E$-isomers in the case of compounds $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{m}$, and with a $96: 4 E / Z$ ratio in the case of $\mathbf{1 b}$. Substrate $\mathbf{1 j}$ was prepared by an aldol condensation with pyruvic aldehyde dimethyl acetal, modifying a reported procedure., ${ }^{9,10}$ Substrate 1n was prepared by a Knoevenagel condensation following a literature protocol. ${ }^{11}$ Nitroethene 2 was obtained and stored as a toluene solution by modifying the reported procedure, ${ }^{12}$ as outlined below. (R)-TRIP catalyst PA2 was prepared from $(R)$-BINOL using reported procedures. ${ }^{13}$ The 3,3'-(4-biphenyl)-substituted (R)-BINOL derived phosphoric acid catalyst PA3 was prepared according to the literature. ${ }^{14}$ Racemic samples of products 3 for HPLC analysis were obtained by using diphenylphosphoric acid as catalyst ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as solvent, at RT for 24-48 h.

Preparation and storage of nitroethane $2 .^{12}$ Phthalic anhydride ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2nitroethanol ( $0.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) are added to a Claisen apparatus, connected to a vacuum pump through a trap, and equipped after the trap with a vacuum control (Mohr clamp) and a vacuum gauge. The system is evacuated to 110 mBar , the trap immersed in a Dewar filled with liquid nitrogen, and the Claisen flask heated to $140-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (pre-heated oil bath). The solid mixture turns into a brown liquid in a few minutes. Heating at this temperature is continued until ca half of the liquid in the Claisen flask is distilled. The heating temperature is then raised to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for about 10 minutes. The oil bath is then removed, the system carefully brought back to ambient pressure, and the cold trap placed under a nitrogen atmosphere and left warming to RT. Then, the yellow liquid collected in the trap is transferred by means of a Pasteur pipette and with the aid of small toluene portions into a vial. This solution is dried on powdered $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and filtered on a short plug of cotton in another vial. The concentration of nitroethane $\mathbf{2}$ in the resulting solution is determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis (integration of nitroethane vs toluene peaks; in the calculation it is assumed that the density of this solution is the same as toluene), if needed adjusted

[^5]to a 1-1.5 M concentration by adding more toluene and checked again by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The solution of known concentration can be stored in a freezer $\left(-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for several months without apparent degradation/changes in concentration, as checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

General procedure for the catalytic asymmetric reaction of indoles $\mathbf{1}$ with nitroethene $\mathbf{2}$. To a Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg})$ is added. This salt is carefully thermally activated under vacuum for 5 minutes and then allowed to cool to RT. After backfilling the Schlenk tube with nitrogen, the indole derivative $\mathbf{1}(0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ is added, followed by the $(R)$ TRIP catalyst PA2 ( $3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0050 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The mixture is cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir for 5 minutes, then nitroethene $2(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{x} \mu \mathrm{L}$ of a $1-1.5 \mathrm{M}$ toluene solution) is added in one portion. The mixture is then stirred at this temperature for 60 h , then filtered through a short plug of silica gel, and the plug washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{x})$. After concentration of the solvents, the residue is analysed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to determine the diastereomeric ratio of the adducts $\mathbf{3}$. Finally, the residue is purified by chromatography on silica gel, affording pure products 3 .

## 1-((4R,5R)-4-Nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)-1-phenylethanone (3a)



Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $95 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH} 80: 20$, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=35.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=30.4 \mathrm{~min}, 97 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta=8.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $7.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.0,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.40 (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=16.2,4.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.33(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta=197.2,136.5,133.7,133.5,129.6,128.8,128.1,124.8,123.6,118.9,116.0,109.5,107.3$, 85.4, 41.9, 36.9, 25.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-107\left(\mathrm{c}=0.586\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=343\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## Methyl 3-(3-(2-nitroethyl)-1H-indol-4-yl)acrylate (3'b)



Following the general procedure but performing the reaction at RT for 24 h in the presence of $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}(45 \mathrm{mg})$ instead of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the title compound was obtained as a pale yellow solid in $62 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed a 96:4 E/Z ratio, corresponding to the $E / Z$ ratio of the starting substrate $\mathbf{1 b} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=$ [signals of the $E$-isomer] $8.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=$ [signals of the $E$-isomer $] 167.4,142.6,137.2,127.6$, $124.9,124.7,122.6,119.3,118.8,113.4,110.3,75.8,51.8,25.7$; ESIMS $=297\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## (E)-3-(3-(2-Nitroethyl)-1H-indol-4-yl)-1-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (3'c)



Following the general procedure but performing the reaction at RT for 20 h in the presence of $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}(45 \mathrm{mg})$ instead of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the title compound was obtained as a yellow solid in $94 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed a single $E$ stereoisomer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=10.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77$ (d, J = $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=163.6,146.2,138.7,128.2,126.8,126.4,122.6,120.9,119.7$, $117.5,115.3,115.2,113.7,110.8,76.4,26.4 ;$ ESIMS $=332\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## 1-((4R,5R)-4-Nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)propan-2-one (3d)



Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as a pale yellow solid in $96 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH} 80: 20$, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254$ $\mathrm{nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=30.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=24.6 \mathrm{~min}, 54 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=8.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-$ $7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 4.39 (br q, J = $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.71 (dd, J = 16.1, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.35 (dd, J = 17.0, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.90 $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.6,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$
$\mathrm{MHz}) \delta=205.7,133.6,129.2,124.7,123.5,118.8,115.7,109.5,107.2,85.5,46.2,36.8,30.4,25.3$;
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-47\left(\mathrm{c}=0.436\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=281\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-((4R,5R)-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)ethanone (3e)

 Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $91 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\right.$ $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was H determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, nhexane $/ \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH} 80: 20$, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=63.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\min }=53.1 \mathrm{~min}, 97 \%$ ee).${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=8.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=195.7,163.8,133.6,130.4,129.6,129.5,124.7,123.4,118.9,115.9,113.8$, $109.5,107.2,85.4,55.5,41.6,37.0,24.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-140\left(\mathrm{c}=0.472\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{ESIMS}=373(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-((4R,5R)-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)ethanone (3f)


Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as white solid in $95 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined H by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane/iPrOH 80:20, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=39.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=35.8 \mathrm{~min}, 97 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=8.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.53(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=196.1,135.2,133.7,132.0,129.6,129.3,128.8,124.7,123.5,119.0,115.9$, 109.6, 107.2, 85.4, 41.6, 36.9, 25.2; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-85\left(\mathrm{c}=0.580\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=421-423(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

## 2-((4R,5R)-4-Nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)-1-(p-tolyl)ethanone (3g)



Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $98 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined H by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ 80:20, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=35.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=30.5 \mathrm{~min}, 98 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta=8.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=196.8$, $144.5,134.1,133.7,130.0,129.4,128.2,124.8,123.5,119.0,116.0,109.5,107.3,85.4,41.8,37.0$, 25.1, 21.7; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-186\left(\mathrm{c}=0.560\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=357\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## 1-(Naphthalen-2-yl)-2-((4R,5R)-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)ethanone (3h)



Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $98 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane/iPrOH 80:20, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=46.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=27.0 \mathrm{~min},>99 \%$ ee).${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=8.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.02-6.90 (m, 2H), 5.27 (br q, J = $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta=197.1,135.8,133.8,133.7,132.4,130.0,129.6,128.8,128.7,127.8,127.0,124.8$, $123.7,123.6,119.0,116.1,110.0,107.3,85.4,42.0,37.0,25.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-110\left(\mathrm{c}=0.793\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ESIMS $=393\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## 3,3-Dimethyl-1-((4R,5R)-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)butan-2-one (3i)



Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $90 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary
phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH} 80: 20$, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=$ $\left.13.5 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=11.3 \mathrm{~min}, 93 \% \mathrm{ee}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=8.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.83(\mathrm{dd}, 18.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=213.0,133.7,129.7$, $124.7,123.5,118.8,116.0,109.4,107.3,85.2,44.3,40.1,36.6,26.4,24.9 ;[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=-93(c=0.500$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=323\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## 1,1-Dimethoxy-3-((4R,5R)-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)propan-2-one (3j)



Following the general procedure, but performing the reaction at RT for 24 h , the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $82 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH} 80: 20$, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=27.5 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=18.8 \mathrm{~min}, 96 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=8.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (br s, 1H), $6.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.1,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=19.3,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=19.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=203.0$, 133.7, 129.2, 124.7, 123.5, 118.8, 115.9, 109.5, 107.4, 104.5, 85.4, 55.2, 55.1, 40.0, 36.1, 25.3; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-36\left(\mathrm{c}=0.39\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=341\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## 2-((4R,5R)-2-Methyl-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)-1-phenylethanone (3k)



Following the general procedure, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $90 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ 80:20, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=33.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=26.1 \mathrm{~min}, 94 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta=8.00-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{brd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=16.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{1H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=197.3,136.6,133.5,133.3,129.2,128.7,128.5,128.1,125.8,122.4,115.8$, 108.7, 103.4, 85.5, 41.8, 36.9, 24.7, 11.6; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-184\left(\mathrm{c}=0.524\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{ESIMS}=357(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

## 2-((4R,5R)-1-Methyl-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)-1-phenylethanone (31)



Following the general procedure but using 2.25 equiv. of nitroethene 2, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $75 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH} 80: 20$, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=46.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=35.9 \mathrm{~min}$, $95 \%$ ee $).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=7.97-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.19-7.12 (m, 2H), 6.88-6.80 (m, 2H), 5.22 (br q, J = $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.63 (br q, J = $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78 (dd, J = 16.5, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.47 (dd, J = 18.1, $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.40(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=197.1,136.5,134.8,133.5$, $129.7,128.7,128.1,125.1,123.6,123.1,115.4,107.8,105.9,85.4,41.9,36.9,32.9,25.0 ;[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-$ $102\left(\mathrm{c}=0.332\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=357\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## 2-((4R,5R)-1-Allyl-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)-1-phenylethanone (3m)



Following the general procedure but using $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)$-TRIP catalyst, 2 equiv. of nitroethene 2 and at RT, the title compound was obtained as a white solid in $70 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak AS column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}$ 80:20, flow 0.75 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=31.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=26.1 \mathrm{~min}, 93 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=7.96$ (bd, $\mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.58(\mathrm{bt}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{bt}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-$ $6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{bq}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.8,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=197.1,136.5,134.2,133.5,133.5$, $129.8,128.7,128.0,125.3,123.1,122.5,117.5,115.5,108.2,106.3,85.4,49.1,41.9,36.9,25.1$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-75\left(\mathrm{c}=0.334\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ ESIMS $=360\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

## Dimethyl 2-(( $\left.4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}\right)$-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)malonate (3n)



Following the general procedure but using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ 3,3'-(4-biphenyl) (R)BINOL derived phosphoric acid catalyst PA3 and 2 equiv. of nitroethene 2, the title compound was obtained as a pale yellow foam in $86 \%$ yield, after chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude mixture showed the presence of a single diastereoisomer. The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak ADH column, $n$-hexane/i-PrOH 90:10, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=41.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=44.1 \mathrm{~min}, 83 \%$ ee $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta=8.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.91 (br d, J = $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.22(\mathrm{br} q, \mathrm{~J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $17.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=167.8,167.5,133.9,125.4,124.5,123.2,119.3,117.8,110.4$, $106.5,83.1,55.8,53.1,52.7,40.2,23.6 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+87\left(\mathrm{c}=0.520\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{ESIMS}=355(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$).

Preparation of compound 4a (1-((4S,5R)-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-5-yl)-1-
 phenylethanone) via base promoted epimerisation. In a vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, compound $3 \mathbf{a}(0.072 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ee) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and the resulting solution cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. A NaOH solution in MeOH ( $268 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a solution prepared dissolving 96 mg NaOH in 1.5 mL of $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 6$ equiv.) was added, the reaction allowed to warm to RT. After 2 h stirring, it was judged by TLC ( $n$-hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3 / 7$ ) that the diastereomeric mixture had reached the equilibrium composition. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added, the phases separated, and the aqueous phase extracted with EtOAc $(3 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organic extracts were dried by filtration on a Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ plug, evaporated and analysed by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectroscopy, which showed a $91: 9$ diastereomeric ratio favouring the cisisomer 4a. The product was purified by chromatography on silica gel ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 35:65), affording an analytically pure sample of the title compound as a white solid accompanied by its diastereomeric mixture with the starting 3a (overall $92 \%$ yield). The enantiomeric excess of the product was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak ADH column, $n$-hexane/iPrOH 80:20, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=23.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}=20.4 \mathrm{~min}, 96 \%$ ee), showing that epimerisation occurred without racemisation, under these conditions. Optical rotation was not measured due to the very small amount of diastereomerically pure compound available. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone $\left.-d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=10.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.49 (br t, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ (br d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (br quint, $\mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.60 (br quint, $\mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=$ $15.9,9.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{br}$ dd, $\mathrm{J}=17.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta=198.7,138.7,135.6,134.6,131.9$, $130.2,129.6,126.9,124.0,121.1,117.0,111.2,108.9,87.0,41.0,38.5,31.1,26.1 ;$ ESIMS $=343$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$.

Preparation of compound 3b (methyl 2-((4R*,5R*)-4-nitro-1,3,4,5-tetrahydrobenzo[cd]indol-
 5-yl)acetate) via hydrolysis, decarboxylation and esterification of $3 n^{15}$ In a vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, compound $3 \mathbf{n}(0.090 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(600 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and cooled to ca $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-acetone bath). An aq. 1 M LiOH solution ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3$ equiv.) was added, and the resulting mixture stirred at the same temperature for 4 h , then at RT for additional 4 h .
EtOAc was then added, followed by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 0.5 M aq. $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$ until $\mathrm{pH}<1$. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic phases were dried by filtration on a short plug of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure in a 50 mL round bottom flask. The thus obtained di-acid was suspended in toluene ( 2 mL ), a magnetic stirring bar was added to the flask, a cooler was applied and the system heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a pre-heated oil bath for 2 h . The mixture was then cooled to RT, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was suspended in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and toluene ( 1 mL ), and a 2 M solution of $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$, CAUTION! Highly toxic and explosive) was added while stirring. The reaction mixture was left stirring at RT for 30 min , then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, affording the title compound as a pale yellow solid in $48 \%$ yield over the three steps, and as a 87:13 diastereomeric mixture favouring the trans-isomer 3b over the cis-isomer. The enantiomeric excess of the trans-product $\mathbf{3 b}$ was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralpak ADH column, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH} 90: 10$, flow $0.75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda 254 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maj }}=30.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {min }}$ $=33.0 \mathrm{~min}, 82 \%$ ee), showing that racemization did not occur under these conditions. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ [signals of the trans-isomer] $=8.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17 (br t, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{d}}=4.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=16.0$, $4.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.6,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 100 MHz ) $\delta$ [signals of the trans-isomer] $=171.5,133.7,128.6,123.6,118.8,115.8,109.7,107.3$, 85.4, 52.0, 37.9, 37.1, 25.4; ESIMS $=297\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic data for this compound are in accordance with literature, ${ }^{8}$ thus substantiating the assignment of the relative configuration of the major diastereoisomer $\mathbf{3 b}$ (and of the parent compound $\mathbf{3 n}$ ) as 4,5-trans.

[^6]
## Conformational analysis and determination of the relative and absolute configuration of compounds 3 a and 4 a

All the attempts to obtain good crystals of the prepared compounds $\mathbf{3}$ were not successful. For this reason the relative and absolute configuration was determined by a combination of conformational analysis and theoretical simulations of chirooptical spectra. Compound 3a was selected as representative compound.


## Relative configuration

The relative stereochemistry of the two stereogenic centres at C-4 and C-5 of compound 3a and of its diastereoisomer 4a was determined by means of NMR spectroscopy. Full assignment of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra was preliminarily achieved by bi-dimensional experiments (COSY, gHSQC and gHMBC), taken in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions for $\mathbf{3 a}$ and acetone- $d_{6}$ for $\mathbf{4 a}$.

## Compound 3a.

The two diastereotopic hydrogens belonging to C-3 were found at $3.45\left({ }^{2} J_{H H}=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{H H}=4.2\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ and $3.73 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{H H}=16.9,{ }^{3} J_{H H}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ whereas the two diastereotopic hydrogens belonging to C-9 at $3.41 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{H H}=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J_{H H}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ were assigned by long-range correlation with the carbonyl signal. The CH signal at 4.59 ppm was assigned to the $\mathrm{H}-5$ hydrogen by long range correlation with the carbonyl signal, and the signal at 5.29 ppm was therefore assigned to $\mathrm{H}-4$. The pattern of the latter is a quartet generated by three very similar ${ }^{3} J_{H H}$ coupling constants (about 5.0 Hz ) with H-5 and with H-3' and H-3". This feature suggests that H-5 should occupies a pseudoequatorial position, where anti-periplanar dihedral angle with other hydrogens are not available. The signal of the $\mathrm{NH}(7.98 \mathrm{ppm})$ was assigned by the lack of correlation in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC spectrum. DPFGSE-NOE experiments ${ }^{16}$ were acquired in order to assign the relative stereochemistry at C-4 and C-5 (Figure S2).

[^7]

Figure S2: DPFGSE-NOE spectra of $3 \mathbf{a}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Bottom: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR control spectrum. Traces a-d: red labels indicate the saturation point. Green labels indicate "control" NOEs, that have to be observed to assure reliable results. Blue labels indicate diagnostic NOEs.

On saturation of the H-4 signal (trace a), NOE enhancements with similar intensity were observed for $\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-3$ " and both the $\mathrm{H}-9$ signals. On saturation of the $\mathrm{H}-5$ signal (trace b), large NOEs were observed on $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-9$ and only a small enhancement was observed for one of the $\mathrm{H}-3$ hydrogens at $3.73 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right)$. The observed NOEs suggested that $\mathrm{H}-5$ occupies a pseudoequatorial position, otherwise a strong NOE should be observed on one of the $\mathrm{H}-3$ signals, due to 13 diaxial relationship (the observation of the small NOE on $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}$ is due to the presence of a second
conformation with smaller population, see below). As a confirmation, the saturation of the signal of $\mathrm{H}-3$ " (in a pseudo-axial position) at 3.41 ppm yields a noticeable NOE enhancement on the H-9 hydrogens (trace d), that occupies a pseudo-axial position on the ring, too. All the NOE data thus agree to assign the $4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}$ relative configuration (i.e. a trans relationship).

## Compound 4a

In the case of $\mathbf{4 a}$ the signal of $\mathrm{H}-4$ was found at 5.21 ppm , while that of $\mathrm{H}-5$ was at 4.50 ppm (both CH signals were assigned by HSQC). The pattern of both signals was a doublet of triplets, with ${ }^{3} J_{H H}$ $=8.6$ and 4.3 Hz for $\mathrm{H}-4$ and 8.5 and 4.3 Hz for $\mathrm{H}-5$. The large coupling constant of $\mathrm{H}-4$ corresponds to a ${ }^{3} J$ with one of the H-3 hydrogens and that of H-5 is with one of the $\mathrm{H}-9$ hydrogens. The H-4/H-5 ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ coupling constant is 4.3 Hz . This small value implies that H-4 occupies a pseudoaxial position, where it can develop a large coupling constant with one of the $\mathrm{H}-3$ hydrogen, the dihedral angle between them being close to $180^{\circ}$. On the other hand, the small ${ }^{3} J$ between $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ suggests that the latter is in the equatorial position, with a $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ dihedral with $\mathrm{H}-4$ close to $60^{\circ}$, thus a cis relationship of the substituents.

As a cross check, NOE spectra were acquired also for compound 4a (Figure S3). On saturation of the H-4 signal a noticeable NOE effect was observed on one of the H-9 hydrogens (H-9', trace a). When H-5 was saturated the NOE was observed on H-3", i.e. the hydrogen that occupies the pseudo-axial position. This NOE is observable only when also $\mathrm{H}-5$ is in a pseudo-axial position. On the other hand, when H-9' was saturated a NOE enhancement was observed for H-3'. Also this NOE can be effective only when the $\mathrm{H}-9$ hydrogens are in a pseudo-axial position (and therefore H 5 in a pseudo-equatorial position). This mismatch clearly indicates that the observed NOEs are the result of averaging between two different conformations of the cycle (see below).


Figure S3: DPFGSE-NOE spectra of $\mathbf{4 a}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in acetone- $d_{6}$ ). Bottom: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR control spectrum. Red labels indicate the saturation point. Green label indicate "control" NOEs, that have to be observed to assure reliable results. Blue labels indicate diagnostic NOEs. The dotted line marks the chemical shift of the H-9", hydrogen.

## Variable Temperature NMR spectra of 4a

The NMR spectrum of $4 \mathbf{a}$ recorded at $+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed some broad signals ( $\mathrm{H}-9^{\prime}$ ), probably due to the ring inversion that is not fast in the NMR timescale. To get information about the conformational rearrangement, a $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ sample of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was cooled to $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On lowering the
temperature all the aliphatic signals (and some aromatic signals as well) broadened, reached the coalescence and split into two sets of signals below $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the ratio of the signals is 64:36 (Figure S4). In particular, the signal of $\mathrm{H}-4$ was split into two signals at 5.12 ppm (major) and 5.50 ppm (minor) and the signal of $\mathrm{H}-5$ was split into signals at 4.74 ppm (major) and 4.36 ppm (minor).


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra ( 600 MHz in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, of the aliphatic region of 4a. Top trace: spectrum recorded at $+25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bottom: spectrum recorded at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showing the presence of two conformers with $64: 36$ ratio. Asterisks in the spectra indicate the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ satellites of the residual signal of the deuterated solvent.

The pattern of the major signal of H-4 is a doublet of triplets with a very large coupling constant $(12.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ with one of the $\mathrm{H}-3$ hydrogens, indicating the trans-diaxial relationship of the two hydrogens. On the contrary, only small coupling constants are observed for the same signal of the
minor conformer, confirming that in the minor conformation the $\mathrm{H}-4$ is in a pseudo-equatorial position. The small $\mathrm{H}-4 / \mathrm{H}-5$ coupling constant $(\approx 4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ confirmed the gauche relationship between the two hydrogens, thus a cis relationship ( $4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}$ relative configuration). The energy barrier involved in the conformational exchange was evaluated at the coalescence temperature of the $\mathrm{H}-5$ signal $\left(-33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ as $11.0 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The $64: 36$ ratio at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to a $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0.22$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Considering $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ invariant with the temperature and applying Boltzmann distribution, the conformational ratio to be considered at ambient temperature is 59:41.

## Variable Temperature NMR spectra of 3a

The same VT-NMR approach was employed for compound 3a. The ring inversion barrier was found to be lower with respect to $\mathbf{4 a}$ and the conformers ratio was more unbalanced. On lowering the temperature below $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a broadening of the lines of $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ was observed, reaching the maximum linewidth at $-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, eventually followed by a sharpening of the same lines. This is the classical behaviour of a conformational exchange within two widely unbalanced conformations. ${ }^{17}$ At $-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a second set of signals was detected, accounting for a $97: 3$ ratio of the two conformations (Figure S5).

The line broadening of the signals due to the very low temperature did not allow for a direct measure of the coupling constants of $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$, but the line of the $\mathrm{H}-4$ signal ( $\approx 13 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at -140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) is too narrow to hide large coupling constants (if a line broadening is applied to the $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum the same signal has a linewidth of 14 Hz ). This implies that in the more populated conformation the $\mathrm{H}-4$ hydrogen occupies a pseudo-equatorial position, where only small coupling constants can be effective with the neighboring hydrogens. The $97: 3$ ratio at $-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponded to a $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0.86 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. If $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is kept constant with the temperature the conformational ratio at ambient temperature is $81: 19$. The presence of a second populated conformation where $\mathrm{H}-5$ is in the axial position well explains the weak NOE observed for H-3' (trace b of Figure S2).
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Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDFCl}_{2}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of the aliphatic region of 3a. Top trace: spectrum recorded at -50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bottom: spectrum recorded at $-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showing the presence of two conformations with 97:3 ratio (see the inset spectrum on the left). Asterisk in the spectra indicates an impurity of the deuterated solvent.

## Conformational analysis of 3a and 4a

Although the rigidity of the heterocyclic core of compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}$ and the VT-NMR data provided very good information, ${ }^{18}$ the conformational degrees of freedom due to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COPh}$ moiety still represents an issue for the conformational analysis step needed to tackle the absolute configuration determination.

As the first stage, we performed a conformational search on compound 3a (trans), with the $4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}$ relative configuration. The whole conformational space was explored by means of Monte Carlo searching together with the MMFF94 molecular mechanics force field as implemented in Titan 1.0.5 (Wavefunction inc.).


All the conformations found by MM search within a $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ window were then optimized using DFT at the M06-2X/6-31++G(d,p) level and at the M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) by including the solvent (acetonitrile) with the PCM model ${ }^{19}$ using the Gaussian 09 suite of programs. ${ }^{20}$ The harmonic vibrational frequencies of each optimized conformation were calculated at the same level to confirm their stability (no imaginary frequencies were observed) and to evaluate the relative energy of each conformation. After DFT minimization, four conformations were found to be enclosed in a $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ window, as reported in Table S6. All of them exhibit the same shape of the six-membered ring, that corresponds to a pseudo boat conformation with the carbon bearing the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ group out of the plane. Two conformations have the CH in a pseudo-axial conformation while the second pair has the CH in the pseudo-equatorial position (i.e. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in the axial position). Within each pair the conformations are different because of the disposition of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COPh}$ moiety (Figure S6). The relative electronic energies and enthalpies suggested that all these conformations should be

[^9]populated. ${ }^{21}$ Whereas the gas-phase calculations gave unreliable results, probably due to the large difference of the large dipole moment of the molecule in the different conformations, the PCM optimization showed a clear preference for one of the equatorial conformations, matching well the experimental observations.

Table S6. Relative energies of the four conformations of 3a evaluated using ZPE-corrected enthalpies and PCM-M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) using acetonitrile as solvent. Theoretical populations were calculated using Boltzmann distribution at $298^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. Experimental ratios of the two pairs were determined by VT-NMR.

| Conformation | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ <br> PCM-M06-2X $/ 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ | Calcd. <br> Populations | Exptl. <br> Populations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eq-1 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | 68 | 81 |
| Eq-2 | 2.29 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{A x - 1}$ | 0.96 | 13 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{A x - 2}$ | 0.78 | 18 |  |



Eq-1


Eq-2

$A x-1$

$A x-2$

Figure S6. 3D view of the four conformations of compound 3a.

In the case of compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ (cis) the MM conformational search found three conformations the were subsequently optimized at the $\mathrm{PCM}-\mathrm{M} 06-2 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level using acetonitrile as the

[^10]solvent (Table S7 and Figure S7).

Table S7. Relative energies of the three conformations of 4a evaluated using ZPE-corrected enthalpies and PCM-M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p) using acetonitrile as solvent. Theoretical populations were calculated using Boltzmann distribution at $298{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. Experimental ratio of the two pair were determined by VT-NMR.

| Conformation | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ <br> PCM-M06-2X $/ 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ | Calcd. <br> Population | Exptl. <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eq-1 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | 41 | 45 |
| Eq-2 | 0.43 | 19 | 55 |
| Ax-1 | 0.02 | 39 | 4 |
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Figure S7. 3D view of the three conformations of compound 4a.

Also in this case the calculations including the solvent (acetonitrile) well agree with the experimental populations. Within the pair in which the $\mathrm{H}-4$ is equatorial the conformations are different because of the different dispositions of the nitro group with respect to the group in position 5. On the contrary, in the axial conformation the nitro group has only one preferred conformation.

## Absolute configuration

Having in hand the relative configuration and suitable experimental data supporting the preferred conformations, the assignment of the absolute configuration was pursued by chirooptical methods.

The determination of the absolute configuration (AC) of chiral molecules using chirooptical techniques like optical rotation (OR), electronic circular dichroism (ECD), and vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) has gained feasibility and reliability because of the development of methods for the prediction of these properties based on density functional theory (DFT) and on its TimeDependent formalism (TD-DFT). ${ }^{22}$ In the present case the theoretical calculation of the electronic circular dichroism spectra (ECD) was selected for the absolute configuration assignment. The electronic excitation energies and rotational strengths have been calculated for the isolated molecule in the gas phase for the four conformations of 3a using TD-DFT with four different methods (functionals), to ascertain whether different computational approaches provide different shapes of the simulated spectra (see Figure S8). ${ }^{23}$ Simulations were performed with the hybrid functionals BH\&HLYP ${ }^{24}$ and M06-2X, ${ }^{25}$ with $\omega$ B97XD that includes empirical dispersion, ${ }^{26}$ and CAM-B3LYP that includes long range correction using the Coulomb Attenuating Method. ${ }^{27}$ The calculations employed the $6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set that proved many times to be sufficiently accurate at a reasonable computational cost. ${ }^{28}$ Rotational strengths were calculated in both length and velocity representation, the resulting values being very similar (RMS differences $<5 \%$ ). For this reason the errors due to basis set incompleteness should be very small. ${ }^{29}$ Although the spectra simulated within the same functional for the four conformations are quite different, they are nevertheless consistent with the simulation of a negative Cotton effect at about 190 nm (Figure S8).

[^11]

Figure S8.TD-DFT simulated spectra calculated for the four conformations of 3a using four different functionals (CAM-B3LYP, BH\&HLYP, M06-2X, $\omega$ B97-XD) and the same $6-311++G(2 d, p)$ basis set. For each conformation the first 60 excited states were calculated, and the spectrum was obtained using a 0.33 eV line width at half height.

The population-weighted spectra to be compared with the experimental spectrum were obtained using the experimental ratio measured by VT-NMR. This accounts for the experimental ratio between the two conformations due to ring inversion, but NMR data do not provide information about the conformational ratio due to the different dispositions of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COPh}$ moiety within each conformational pair. For this reason these ratios were calculated using the relative enthalpies within each pair, keeping constant the overall Eq:Ax ratio as $80: 20$. The final ratio employed for the to generate the conformationally averaged spectrum was 70:10:13:7 (Eq-1:Eq-2:Ax-1:Ax-2). As shown in Figure S9, the simulated spectra match very well the Cotton effects at 270, 230 and 200 nm when the $4 R, 5 R$ absolute configuration was assumed in the calculations.
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Figure S9 Simulations of the experimental ECD spectrum of 3a. For each quarter, the black line correspond to the experimental spectrum (acetonitrile solution, $1.1 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ path length, $\Delta \varepsilon$ in $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and the colored line to the TD-DFT simulation $(6-311++G(2 d, p)$ basis set). The simulated spectra were vertically scaled and red-shifted by $7-14 \mathrm{~nm}$ to get the best match with the experimental spectrum. All the simulations are for the $4 R, 5 R$ absolute configuration.

The same theoretical approach were applied to compound 4a (Figures S10 and S11). In this case the conformational ratio employed in the simulation of the experimental ECD spectrum was that derived from VT-NMR for the Eq:Ax ratio and that suggested by calculations for the Eq-1:Eq-2 ratio. The final population ratio employed was 32:15:53 (Eq-1:Eq-2:Ax-1). Again the simulated spectrum fitted very well the experimental one when the $4 S, 5 R$ absolute configuration is considered. This result confirms that epimerization occurred at C-4.


Figure S10. TD-DFT simulated spectra calculated for the four conformations of $\mathbf{4 a}$ using four different functionals (CAM-B3LYP, BH\&HLYP, M06-2X, $\omega$ B97-XD) and the same $6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. For each conformation the first 60 excited states were calculated, and the spectrum was obtained using a 0.33 eV line width at half height.


Figure S11 Simulations of the experimental ECD spectrum of 4a. For each quarter, the black line correspond to the experimental spectrum (acetonitrile solution, $1.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}, 0.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ path length $\Delta \varepsilon$ in $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the colored line to the TD-DFT simulation $(6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set). The simulated spectra were vertically scaled and red-shifted by $7-14 \mathrm{~nm}$ to get the best match with the experimental spectrum. All the simulations are for the $4 S, 5 R$ absolute configuration.

Additional material: COSY of 4a at $\mathbf{- 8 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :


## Computational studies on the reaction pathway

## Computational methods

All calculations reported in the present mechanistic study were carried out using density functional theory with the B3LYP functional, ${ }^{30}$ as implemented in the Gaussian09 program package. ${ }^{31}$ Geometry optimizations were performed using the $6-31 G(d, p)$ basis set for all atoms. Single-point energy calculations were then performed for each of these optimized structures with the 6$311+G(2 d, 2 p)$ basis set. The stationary points were confirmed as minima (no imaginary frequencies) or transition states (only one imaginary frequency) by analytical frequency calculations at the same theory level as the geometry optimizations. All calculations, including geometry optimizations and frequency calculations, were performed in solvent phase using the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) ${ }^{32}$ method with the UFF radii and with the parameters for dichloromethane. The reported energies are Gibbs free energies, which include zero-point vibrational corrections, thermal and entropy corrections at 298 K , solvation energies and dispersion effects. The latter are calculated using the B3LYP-D3 method of Grimme, ${ }^{33}$ with BJ damping. ${ }^{34}$

## Pathway for the formation of product cis-4

For comparison, we optimized the transition states leading to the formation of the cis stereoisomer of the product, not observed under standard reaction conditions (Figure S12).

Starting from INT2 the cyclization affording the cis product could occur through TS3 $_{\text {cis. }}$. This is 3.6 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy compared to the corresponding transition state (TS3) leading to the formation of the trans diastereoisomer. According to these results the reaction should afford exclusively trans product 3, in agreement with the experiments.

[^12]

Figure S12: Free energy profile for the formation of trans-3 its cis diastereoisomer, starting from INT2.

## Reaction of ester-substituted indole 1b

Experimentally, the reaction on ester-substituted indole $\mathbf{1 b}$ afforded exclusively the open-chain adduct $\mathbf{3}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{b}$. To test whether the suggested mechanism can also account for this fact, we optimized the transition states for the cyclization ( $\mathbf{T S 3}_{\text {ester }}$, analogous to $\mathbf{T S} 3$ ) and for the nitronic acid-nitro tautomerization giving the open-chain product (TS3' ${ }^{\prime}$ ester, analogous to TS3'). The tautomerization has essentially the same energy barrier as when occurring on the ketone-substituted indole (Figure S13). As expected, the nature of the substituent does not affect this barrier, while it influences substantially the barrier for the cyclization. In fact, the cyclization occurring on the ester-substituted intermediate is ca. $8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy compared to the same step occurring on the ketonesubstituted intermediate ( TS3 $_{\text {ester }}$ vs. TS3). This is consistent with the notion that the ester is a weaker Michael acceptor than the ketone. However, the calculations still predict the formation of the cyclized product to be favored, in contrast to the experimental outcome. This inconsistency is probably due to the computed tautomerization TS3', and can have different possible explanations. One possibility is that the computational approach used here leads to an overestimation of the energy of TS3' ester. Another possibility is that the tautomerization leading to the open-chain adduct 3'b occurs through a different mechanism, with the possible involvement of other species present in solution. Several other mechanistic possibilities were tested, including a tautomerization mediated by two or three water molecules, the involvement of one water molecule in the phosphoric acid catalyzed tautomerization, and possible stepwise processes. We found these possibilities to be associated with higher energy barriers. Moreover, due to the inclusion of more species (i.e. water molecules) or the separation of charged species, these results are likely to be associated with higher computational errors.

Since TS3' and TS3' ${ }^{\text {ester }}$ are very similar, it is likely that our calculations give an overestimation of the energy computed for TS3’ also for the ketone derived substrate. Considering the experimental outcome of the reactions and the calculated barriers for TS3 and TS3 ester , a more realistic value for the energy barriers of the tautomerization step lies between 13 and $18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Nevertheless, we feel that the overall computational results give a reliable qualitative picture over the actual reaction pathway.


Figure S13: Selected points in the free energy profile for the reaction occurring on the ester-substituted indole 1b.

## Optimized structures and Cartesian coordinates of stationary points



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
-594.0617100200 a.u.
ZPE: 0.200715 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.160812
a.u.

Dispersion correction: - $30.86 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| C | 2.61315700 | 0.12750800 | -0.00043900 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.29452000 | -0.41827100 | 0.00013300 |
| C | 0.17799500 | 0.46323400 | 0.00160900 |
| C | 0.44262600 | 1.84260700 | 0.00271000 |
| C | 1.74996600 | 2.34956900 | 0.00214300 |
| C | 2.85756100 | 1.50217600 | 0.00050000 |
| C | 2.78903900 | -2.11224600 | -0.00235300 |
| C | 1.44128100 | -1.84591900 | -0.00152600 |
| H | -0.38483500 | 2.54459200 | 0.00463800 |
| H | 1.90131700 | 3.42461700 | 0.00324600 |
| H | 3.86924100 | 1.89625900 | 0.00024200 |
| H | 4.50188100 | -0.85450400 | -0.00197800 |
| H | 3.30787100 | -3.06007300 | -0.00342200 |
| H | 0.65926300 | -2.59129600 | -0.00213200 |
| N | 3.49647000 | -0.93076600 | -0.00173500 |
| C | -1.17282200 | -0.08910700 | 0.00214200 |
| H | -1.22159600 | -1.17536300 | 0.00704700 |
| C | -2.34199200 | 0.59134500 | -0.00296700 |
| H | -2.36869700 | 1.67803400 | -0.00884000 |
| C | -3.67568600 | -0.03168000 | -0.00145500 |
| C | -3.80469900 | -1.54662900 | 0.00628100 |
| H | -3.32387000 | -1.98772500 | -0.87312700 |
| H | -3.32601600 | -1.97861600 | 0.89134600 |
| H | -4.86314300 | -1.80986700 | 0.00632300 |
| O | -4.68154700 | 0.67922400 | -0.00648200 |

## - 2



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy: -283.1960730220 a.u.
ZPE: 0.055071 a.u.

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.027286 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -5.39 kcal/mol

| C | -1.87212600 | 0.05035500 | 0.00046700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -1.86185900 | 1.13409900 | 0.00159700 |
| H | -2.82550100 | -0.46507400 | 0.00036200 |
| C | -0.74140500 | -0.64616400 | -0.00066800 |
| H | -0.63904100 | -1.72226600 | -0.00162500 |
| N | 0.55362600 | 0.02825000 | -0.00016200 |
| O | 1.54588500 | -0.70512100 | 0.00061500 |
| O | 0.59564000 | 1.25891400 | -0.00036500 |

## - Catalyst



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
-1105.3294049100 a.u. ZPE: 0.189888 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.150128 a.u.

Dispersion correction: - $39.72 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| P | 0.07100900 | 2.07302500 | -0.06762700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.64125500 | 3.06559800 | -0.89812000 |
| O | 1.10193100 | 2.64804400 | 1.01513200 |
| H | 1.37892500 | 3.55197900 | 0.80102500 |
| O | -0.78540200 | 1.12972500 | 0.92448900 |
| O | 0.87687200 | 1.01614900 | -0.98984400 |
| C | -1.50562200 | 0.04078100 | 0.41170000 |
| C | -0.82191800 | -1.11201800 | -0.00822300 |
| C | -2.89473700 | 0.11778100 | 0.43253400 |
| C | -1.60624800 | -2.20504800 | -0.41728300 |
| C | -3.64594300 | -0.98331200 | 0.02287400 |
| H | -3.36678100 | 1.03059900 | 0.77929800 |
| C | -2.99830600 | -2.14611600 | -0.40206300 |
| H | -1.10795700 | -3.10405500 | -0.76593100 |
| H | -4.72987100 | -0.93046600 | 0.03573500 |
| H | -3.57653100 | -3.00479000 | -0.72819300 |
| C | 1.46567000 | -0.13428600 | -0.44792900 |
| C | 2.85487800 | -0.21444200 | -0.46425000 |
| C | 0.65805100 | -1.19388700 | -0.00208800 |
| C | 3.47854500 | -1.38193700 | -0.02563100 |
| H | 3.42636000 | 0.63129100 | -0.83102900 |
| C | 1.31529100 | -2.35709900 | 0.43641600 |
| C | 2.70515200 | -2.45469200 | 0.42497800 |
| H | 4.56166300 | -1.45046300 | -0.03597400 |
| H | 0.71949800 | -3.18575500 | 0.80576400 |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & 3.18351600 & -3.36419500 & 0.77423300\end{array}$

## - TS1



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy: $-1,982.5830246800$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.448131 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.380628 a.u.

Dispersion correction: $-89.67 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| P | -2.31467500 | -0.14438700 | 0.25388600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -1.23722600 | 0.72332800 | -0.30241200 |
| O | -1.92181800 | -1.29880400 | 1.22265600 |
| H | -0.89123900 | -1.50397900 | 1.32885700 |
| O | -3.44799500 | 0.62844400 | 1.11798900 |
| O | -3.16429300 | -0.76886200 | -0.98148000 |
| C | -4.38844900 | 1.44611200 | 0.48256400 |
| C | -5.43139400 | 0.86541300 | -0.25948100 |
| C | -4.30559400 | 2.81931800 | 0.69563100 |
| C | -6.40151100 | 1.73452900 | -0.78886200 |
| C | -5.28355200 | 3.65665100 | 0.15967000 |
| H | -3.48523100 | 3.21065800 | 1.28752700 |
| C | -6.33324300 | 3.11116900 | -0.58375500 |
| H | -7.20895600 | 1.31670800 | -1.38202200 |
| H | -5.22347100 | 4.72809600 | 0.32244400 |
| H | -7.09465200 | 3.75717300 | -1.00932200 |
| C | -4.40344500 | -1.38215800 | -0.77537400 |
| C | -4.49195800 | -2.75530800 | -0.98658700 |
| C | -5.52825400 | -0.59998000 | -0.46172000 |
| C | -5.73175300 | -3.38608100 | -0.88847200 |
| H | -3.59246400 | -3.30757400 | -1.23637600 |
| C | -6.76439500 | -1.26335500 | -0.36763700 |
| C | -6.86964300 | -2.63673400 | -0.57882300 |
| H | -5.80593600 | -4.45655100 | -1.05205700 |
| H | -7.64767100 | -0.68804300 | -0.10844000 |
| H | -7.83694100 | -3.12186200 | -0.49438200 |
| C | 2.56811900 | 1.16879600 | -0.56751700 |
| C | 3.71864600 | 0.36013200 | -0.68622700 |
| C | 4.99885100 | 0.93267000 | -0.53124500 |
| C | 5.05106800 | 2.31808100 | -0.28367100 |
| C | 3.89397700 | 3.09591700 | -0.16690200 |
| C | 2.62260300 | 2.53452500 | -0.30384700 |
| C | 1.83299200 | -0.89189400 | -1.04747900 |
| C | 3.24013300 | -1.01426300 | -0.85887000 |
| H | 6.01619100 | 2.80583800 | -0.19816500 |
| H | 3.98821700 | 4.16005800 | 0.02382800 |
| H | 1.72297600 | 3.13418300 | -0.21836100 |
| H | 0.45496000 | 0.62668700 | -0.68258700 |
| H | 1.11287400 | -1.66801100 | -1.25753400 |
| H | 3.80840000 | -1.81229000 | -1.31991900 |
| N | 1.44782900 | 0.35448400 | -0.78872300 |
| C | 6.19667200 | 0.09867200 | -0.64323700 |
| H | 6.03516000 | -0.89261100 | -1.06035400 |
| C | 7.45046600 | 0.43810900 | -0.27646300 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | 7.66928500 | 1.40468400 | 0.17000100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| C | 8.63658400 | -0.43111000 | -0.41947500 |
| C | 8.49095100 | -1.81821500 | -1.01937100 |
| H | 7.79948400 | -2.43044400 | -0.43082700 |
| H | 8.09514000 | -1.76560900 | -2.03901000 |
| H | 9.46965900 | -2.29882600 | -1.03766100 |
| O | 9.73372200 | -0.01824500 | -0.04865200 |
| C | 3.18375800 | -1.78675700 | 1.00867900 |
| H | 2.71826600 | -2.72732300 | 0.73799200 |
| H | 4.26327700 | -1.82663100 | 1.11301200 |
| C | 2.51844600 | -0.97921900 | 1.94877400 |
| H | 2.99709500 | -0.21842300 | 2.54690600 |
| N | 1.16587400 | -1.03540400 | 2.09819000 |
| O | 0.56702700 | -0.31765200 | 2.92567600 |
| O | 0.50050000 | -1.86118500 | 1.34373000 |

## - INT1



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.6019542000$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.450213 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.383843 a.u.

Dispersion correction: $-89.79 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| P | -2.16342500 | -0.22794600 | -0.17632100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -1.30932900 | 0.67897000 | -1.03985500 |
| O | -1.54364200 | -1.37626300 | 0.57660700 |
| H | -0.143333300 | -2.00094600 | 0.63289400 |
| O | -2.99689800 | 0.63533400 | 0.94289300 |
| O | -3.37259900 | -0.73042500 | -1.16673000 |
| C | -3.99396300 | 1.52727400 | 0.56406400 |
| C | -5.23780600 | 1.04316900 | 0.11794900 |
| C | -3.75753700 | 2.89014900 | 0.73515200 |
| C | -6.24184700 | 1.99019700 | -0.14907100 |
| C | -4.77202700 | 3.80764300 | 0.46378300 |
| H | -2.78454700 | 3.21083800 | 1.09206300 |
| C | -6.01776100 | 3.35524000 | 0.02123000 |
| H | -7.20475600 | 1.64272200 | -0.51095000 |
| H | -4.58855400 | 4.86936500 | 0.59708200 |
| H | -6.81074000 | 4.06346700 | -0.19800300 |
| C | -4.54672700 | -1.25991800 | -0.64272800 |
| C | -4.79163400 | -2.62097900 | -0.81546200 |
| C | -5.49382600 | -0.40788100 | -0.04510500 |
| C | -6.00445400 | -3.16401400 | -0.39252900 |
| H | -4.03217700 | -3.23287700 | -1.29052900 |
| C | -6.70703900 | -0.98207100 | 0.37277200 |
| C | -6.96438300 | -2.34121400 | 0.20244300 |
| H | -6.19629100 | -4.22416800 | -0.52634100 |
| H | -7.44716800 | -0.34896600 | 0.85258000 |
| H | -7.90824600 | -2.75783500 | 0.54010800 |
| C | 2.25513700 | 1.17214600 | -0.70084000 |
| C | 3.46226000 | 0.46279700 | -0.72931500 |
| C | 4.64887200 | 1.08829900 | -0.32155400 |
| C | 4.54490200 | 2.43194400 | 0.10542500 |


| C | 3.32631500 | 3.10921600 | 0.13522400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 2.14144000 | 2.48710300 | -0.27482800 |
| C | 1.69505500 | -0.83651300 | -1.50571500 |
| C | 3.15490800 | -0.94577300 | -1.19664900 |
| H | 5.44389600 | 2.96332200 | 0.39810900 |
| H | 3.29954100 | 4.14126100 | 0.46852800 |
| H | 1.18888300 | 3.00461000 | -0.26842200 |
| H | 0.15063000 | 0.54607800 | -1.18244900 |
| H | 1.06577600 | -1.61414000 | -1.91536100 |
| H | 3.70417900 | -1.23318700 | -2.10238300 |
| N | 1.22255600 | 0.32724800 | -1.19173500 |
| C | 5.92951600 | 0.37380400 | -0.36903400 |
| H | 5.94540100 | -0.51636600 | -0.99321300 |
| C | 7.05753200 | 0.72188300 | 0.28097200 |
| H | 7.08962300 | 1.58652200 | 0.93879800 |
| C | 8.34397800 | -0.00663100 | 0.19551500 |
| C | 8.45508500 | -1.24824300 | -0.66902200 |
| H | 7.75297300 | -2.02071200 | -0.33754000 |
| H | 8.22317200 | -1.02143400 | -1.71486700 |
| H | 9.47239000 | -1.63502600 | -0.60151500 |
| O | 9.30848400 | 0.40911800 | 0.83205200 |
| C | 3.40868700 | -2.08813500 | -0.13145600 |
| H | 3.01986900 | -3.01885600 | -0.55330600 |
| H | 4.49030000 | -2.20107000 | -0.03529300 |
| C | 2.85209000 | -1.82743600 | 1.22949500 |
| H | 3.46942200 | -1.49844200 | 2.05353000 |
| N | 1.58246200 | -1.95537300 | 1.52860200 |
| O | 1.02640100 | -1.72852500 | 2.62356400 |
| O | 0.77950400 | -2.42326400 | 0.48883500 |

## - TS2



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.5714623300$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.446663 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.3824 a.u. Dispersion correction: - $94.86 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| P | -1.02984400 | -0.52989000 | -1.64632800 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 0.23722600 | -1.36449500 | -1.44701800 |
| O | -1.19141300 | 0.28582600 | -2.89986600 |
| H | 0.11065300 | 1.12756800 | -3.25712800 |
| O | -2.33905700 | -1.49338900 | -1.52546000 |
| O | -1.14641600 | 0.38395800 | -0.29337500 |
| C | -2.75160000 | -2.02092300 | -0.30141900 |
| C | -3.32671500 | -1.18516900 | 0.67488000 |
| C | -2.66996600 | -3.40106500 | -0.13113700 |
| C | -3.82306100 | -1.80099000 | 1.83691400 |
| C | -3.17051000 | -3.98414300 | 1.03264100 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -2.22807000 | -4.00048700 | -0.91992500 |
| C | -3.74987100 | -3.18093600 | 2.01796200 |
| H | -4.25738200 | -1.17743300 | 2.61235100 |
| H | -3.10787000 | -5.05960600 | 1.16643800 |
| H | -4.13768300 | -3.62647400 | 2.92870300 |
| C | -2.35842500 | 1.02817800 | -0.03365000 |
| C | -2.43807900 | 2.40310300 | -0.23814700 |
| C | -3.42938200 | 0.28192400 | 0.48611800 |
| C | -3.61042700 | 3.07513600 | 0.10930200 |
| H | -1.58318400 | 2.91506200 | -0.66888000 |
| C | -4.59660400 | 0.98612700 | 0.82846000 |
| C | -4.68722000 | 2.36570600 | 0.64866200 |
| H | -3.68287400 | 4.14724700 | -0.04544300 |
| H | -5.44608300 | 0.43513400 | 1.22028500 |
| H | -5.60270900 | 2.88398000 | 0.91654000 |
| C | 3.38075600 | -2.42182700 | 0.27430100 |
| C | 2.94090300 | -1.08210800 | 0.21937400 |
| C | 2.68572400 | -0.41117000 | 1.43703500 |
| C | 2.95251300 | -1.11053900 | 2.62747300 |
| C | 3.40899800 | -2.43449900 | 2.64010200 |
| C | 3.61565700 | -3.12783700 | 1.45147600 |
| C | 3.24482900 | -1.90445900 | -1.90256700 |
| C | 2.78400100 | -0.72995800 | -1.22345100 |
| H | 2.75120400 | -0.61658300 | 3.57211100 |
| H | 3.57825100 | -2.93090100 | 3.58987500 |
| H | 3.93943700 | -4.16293200 | 1.43931500 |
| H | 3.79250400 | -3.81582000 | -1.30688200 |
| H | 3.32462800 | -2.05964500 | -2.97067200 |
| H | 1.49099700 | -0.96135200 | -1.40932000 |
| N | 3.53295600 | -2.87197000 | -1.04516600 |
| C | 2.09297900 | 0.93518800 | 1.50077100 |
| H | 1.31697100 | 1.14849600 | 0.76931600 |
| C | 2.42224900 | 1.86848400 | 2.41554600 |
| H | 3.22383600 | 1.68683100 | 3.12803600 |
| C | 1.79097300 | 3.20162100 | 2.55554700 |
| C | 0.60795600 | 3.57814800 | 1.68621000 |
| H | 0.84932900 | 3.54231900 | 0.61800100 |
| H | -0.22448200 | 2.88545900 | 1.84806200 |
| H | 0.28945000 | 4.58863400 | 1.94604800 |
| O | 2.23271900 | 3.98206800 | 3.39590800 |
| C | 3.19470400 | 0.61681600 | -1.87812500 |
| H | 3.19497000 | 0.46147700 | -2.95888000 |
| H | 4.22761500 | 0.82526700 | -1.58061800 |
| C | 2.38656300 | 1.83233500 | -1.55885300 |
| H | 2.65160900 | 2.49473100 | -0.74736900 |
| O | 1.33623600 | 2.21089500 | -2.23873200 |
|  | 1.05856400 | 1.46148700 | -3.38570800 |

## INT2



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.6173128200$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.450213 a.u.

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.383325 a.u.

Dispersion correction: $-89.03 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

|  | -0.05595200 | -1.87069800 | -0.7893 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7.01313400 | -0.51256900 |  |
|  | 00 | -0. |  |
|  | 5.16162600 | 1.02 | -0. |
|  | 07179200 | 2.06622500 |  |
|  | 7.41244900 | 1.83344500 |  |
|  | 90535900 | . 5 | 0.60247300 |
|  | 08294600 | -2.5314940 |  |
|  | 07043200 | -1.63054700 |  |
|  | 5.71764700 | 3. |  |
|  | 07018000 | 2.67816700 | . 65876900 |
|  | 94 | 0.34826800 | . 86717300 |
|  | 2861100 | -2.30902200 |  |
|  | 05655700 | -3.61159500 |  |
|  | -0.52492 | -0.93877700 |  |
|  | . 24259400 | $-1.8700100$ | 0.4145960 |
|  | 76586900 | 1.30943300 |  |
|  | . 04657500 | . 53 | -0. |
|  | 3.30374900 | 2.43914400 | -1. |
|  | 98347800 | . 22895400 |  |
|  | . 88698500 | 2307 | -1.3027520 |
|  | 0.81506200 | . 72021700 | -0.9 |
|  | , 1277600 | . 72834300 |  |
|  | 76552800 | . 60750800 |  |
|  | -0.14994400 | 2.07540300 |  |
|  | 58296600 | 3.78017000 |  |
|  | 7136300 | -2.03013300 |  |
|  | 68656000 | -3.06192300 | -0.9 |
|  | 29647000 | -1.42339900 |  |
|  | 0188500 | -1.96291800 | 0.61223500 |
|  | 02266800 | -1.95997700 |  |
|  | 46 | -1.95888500 |  |
|  | 419100 | -1.9781690 |  |
|  | 0.94873500 | -1.98336200 | -0. |
|  | -2.27149300 | -0.70587300 |  |
|  | -1.3634270 | -0.33131200 |  |
|  | -1.62004 | -1.54136200 |  |
|  | 2880500 | 0.66127400 | -0.49745 |
|  | -3.57828200 | $-1.35669000$ |  |
|  | 5268 | 7876000 | 0.17143300 |
|  | 806770 | 5122900 |  |
|  | -3.32327100 | 2.74297200 |  |
|  | -5.97510700 | 1.96316300 | 0.92516 |
|  | -4.23434200 | 2622900 |  |
|  | -2.28808700 | . 02193400 |  |
|  | -5.56299500 | 3.23378600 |  |
|  | -7.00369200 | 65546700 |  |
|  | -3.90539500 | 1366300 |  |
|  | -6.27648400 | 3.91333600 |  |
|  | -4.78070600 | -1.44720100 |  |
|  | -5.21613900 | -2.71185400 | -0.25702500 |
|  | -5.54093400 | -0.28831300 | 0.1006 |
|  | -6.45129900 | -2.84499100 | . |
|  | -4.59037500 | -3.57185100 | -0.0447 |
|  | -6.78057600 | -0.45395200 | -0.74 |
|  | -7.23319400 | -1.71275600 | -1.1332 |
|  | -6.79789700 | -3.82789900 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

H $\quad-8.19228800-1.80859500 \quad-1.63224800$

## - TS3



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy: $-1,982.5928126400$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.449875 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.386586 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -96.21 kcal/mol

| H | -0. | -1.76414900 | -1.48955900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -3.85628300 | 2.62818000 | 0.87453900 |
| C | -3.60841900 | 1.31336300 | 0.40166900 |
| C | -2.97136800 | 0.36297600 | 1.23064800 |
| C | -2.56833400 | 0.78505200 | 2.50395800 |
| C | -2.83256100 | 2.09135600 | 2.96005100 |
| C | -3.48288400 | 3.03027200 | 2.16150800 |
| C | -4.53561600 | 2.49535800 | -1.26788100 |
| C | -4.03395700 | 1.25012400 | -0.96284700 |
| H | -2.08765300 | 0.07785100 | 3.17227900 |
| H | -2.52755800 | 2.36851700 | 3.96441100 |
| H | -3.68214100 | 4.03434300 | 2.52330200 |
| H | -4.72305100 | 4.28798600 | -0.14097100 |
| H | -4.94637000 | 2.86880200 | -2.19539100 |
| H | 0.29419500 | -3.18003000 | 1.25620700 |
| N | -4.44600400 | 3.31863300 | -0.16279100 |
| C | -2.81368600 | -1.03536000 | 0.78756700 |
| H | -3.72464000 | $-1.54556300$ | 0.48328700 |
| C | -1.71760900 | -1.80809700 | 1.19602400 |
| H | -0.82731400 | -1.28228400 | 1.52727800 |
| C | -1.62490400 | -3.19695200 | 1.11601500 |
| C | -2.75543000 | -4.15224400 | 0.89182800 |
| H | -3.71749900 | -3.65637300 | 0.78139600 |
|  | -2.55665000 | -4.74691000 | -0.00261000 |
| H | -2.79964200 | -4.83714700 | 1.74563000 |
| O | -0.48076200 | -3.81186500 | 1.34241000 |
| C | -3.85638400 | 0.04109200 | -1.83721800 |
| H | -3.83050400 | 0.33475600 | $-2.89604500$ |
| H | -4.68731500 | -0.66556200 | -1.73761000 |
| C | -2.58044800 | -0.66879100 | $-1.46625100$ |
| H | -1.64948000 | -0.12069000 | -1.41168400 |
| N | -2.44297300 | -1.98446500 | -1.77041400 |
| O | -1.27906300 | -2.55704200 | -1.61335500 |
| O | -3.42461400 | -2.71273700 | -2.04256600 |
| P | 1.66411000 | -1.15588600 | -0.23336300 |
| O | 0.72452200 | -1.16683000 | -1.46812000 |


| O | 1.50790100 | -2.21674700 | 0.80954100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 1.55811800 | 0.28452100 | 0.50957000 |
| O | 3.12215900 | -1.10158200 | -0.93492400 |
| C | 1.94498300 | 1.46331900 | -0.13582700 |
| C | 3.30831900 | 1.72523300 | -0.35514900 |
| C | 0.95186900 | 2.38594200 | -0.45284700 |
| C | 3.63793400 | 2.96942100 | -0.92127300 |
| C | 1.30937900 | 3.61280600 | -1.01112600 |
| H | -0.08303300 | 2.13767800 | -0.24305000 |
| C | 2.65574100 | 3.90297900 | -1.24616000 |
| H | 4.68137600 | 3.19276000 | -1.12029600 |
| H | 0.53932200 | 4.33590400 | -1.26065100 |
| H | 2.94094400 | 4.85303000 | -1.68684700 |
| C | 4.23582800 | -0.62292400 | -0.23539500 |
| C | 5.23337800 | -1.53524000 | 0.09608500 |
| C | 4.36442500 | 0.75350000 | 0.01777600 |
| C | 6.40532900 | -1.08009900 | 0.69935300 |
| H | 5.08349500 | -2.58446200 | -0.13431300 |
| C | 5.55636300 | 1.18229600 | 0.62828200 |
| C | 6.56575000 | 0.28231600 | 0.96422300 |
| H | 7.18649800 | -1.78705100 | 0.96015300 |
| H | 5.67735000 | 2.23724000 | 0.85346600 |
| H | 7.47270100 | 0.64345700 | 1.43871400 |

## - INT3



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.6206251500$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.45501 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.39076 a.u. Dispersion correction: -92.81 kcal/mol

| H | 0.18715900 | -1.49678300 | -1.84348600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -4.22335900 | 2.51657500 | 0.77501400 |
| C | -3.84333300 | 1.24995500 | 0.28213000 |
| C | -3.22006000 | 0.28287100 | 1.07912000 |
| C | -2.98301300 | 0.61693500 | 2.40846300 |
| C | -3.37698500 | 1.88055100 | 2.91110300 |
| C | -3.99508300 | 2.84800500 | 2.11815400 |
| C | -4.73128400 | 2.38731700 | -1.42936600 |
| C | -4.16946200 | 1.17655100 | -1.10347000 |
| H | -2.49519600 | -0.09405200 | 3.06840300 |
| H | -3.18375500 | 2.10708500 | 3.95582000 |
| H | -4.27853700 | 3.81206300 | 2.52921600 |
| H | -5.13896400 | 4.13185200 | -0.27682700 |
| H | -5.11323900 | 2.75693600 | -2.37043800 |
| H | 0.33077500 | -3.03942800 | 1.42783800 |
| N | -4.77193600 | 3.19301400 | -0.29718500 |


| C | -2.88703000 | -1.04737600 | 0.40907500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -3.76637000 | -1.69959800 | 0.47507100 |
| C | -1.68174300 | -1.73328100 | 0.98929700 |
| H | -0.77662600 | -1.13092800 | 1.02074500 |
| C | -1.59426400 | -3.01305300 | 1.40612200 |
| C | -2.71446100 | -4.00452800 | 1.54107800 |
| H | -3.69619500 | -3.56303200 | 1.36994600 |
| H | -2.57390000 | -4.83394700 | 0.83933600 |
| H | -2.69640400 | -4.43077000 | 2.55012000 |
| O | -0.41166700 | -3.56967000 | 1.78777500 |
| C | -3.85893100 | -0.08452200 | -1.85389900 |
| H | -3.58988300 | 0.10428900 | -2.89851100 |
| H | -4.70969700 | -0.77568800 | -1.85601800 |
| C | -2.67503800 | -0.77490200 | -1.13186100 |
| H | -1.75786500 | -0.20261700 | -1.27442200 |
| N | -2.41039100 | -2.10523700 | -1.78575200 |
| O | -1.25755500 | -2.37715700 | -2.15946100 |
| O | -3.34290400 | -2.88743700 | -1.89572800 |
| P | 1.87644100 | -1.13627200 | -0.47249900 |
| O | 1.04104800 | -0.99528800 | -1.80908200 |
| O | 1.62598900 | -2.32620600 | 0.37990200 |
| O | 1.66505200 | 0.20864700 | 0.40220100 |
| O | 3.35802800 | -0.96683400 | -1.08432000 |
| C | 2.05647400 | 1.46459300 | -0.08244100 |
| C | 3.42160200 | 1.78043400 | -0.18337000 |
| C | 1.05539900 | 2.39048200 | -0.35925700 |
| C | 3.74601200 | 3.08725000 | -0.58847400 |
| C | 1.40809200 | 3.67988600 | -0.75621700 |
| H | 0.01796200 | 2.09515000 | -0.24581900 |
| C | 2.75659200 | 4.02664300 | -0.87159600 |
| H | 4.79213500 | 3.35624700 | -0.69499600 |
| H | 0.63250100 | 4.40713200 | -0.97415500 |
| H | 3.03831100 | 5.02623900 | -1.18699700 |
| C | 4.41691000 | -0.54125200 | -0.26797500 |
| C | 5.42059000 | -1.46118400 | 0.01801400 |
| C | 4.48358500 | 0.79939800 | 0.14560600 |
| C | 6.53821500 | -1.04887700 | 0.74294600 |
| H | 5.31883300 | -2.48012500 | -0.33930300 |
| C | 5.62132400 | 1.18442700 | 0.87677200 |
| C | 6.63685400 | 0.27711500 | 1.17176300 |
| H | 7.32481200 | -1.76100100 | 0.97087400 |
| H | 5.69364500 | 2.20854800 | 1.22883600 |
| H | 7.50062900 | 0.60356600 | 1.74213200 |
|  |  |  |  |

## - TS4



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
--1,982.59905156 a.u.
ZPE: 0.449926 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.38640 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -94.06 kcal/mol

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.13989100 | -1.95644400 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.0485520 | -1 |  |
|  | 7.50136100 | 1.49679200 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | -0.23167000 | -2.84215800 |  |
|  | 6.66484500 | 1.29105300 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.13491300 | -0. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.22333600 |  |  |
|  | 1.34246100 | -2. |  |
|  | 2.0916950 | -2.94519300 |  |
|  | 1.969565 |  |  |
|  | 1.71316300 | -3 |  |
|  | 3.16194200 | -3.01671600 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.80038 |  |  |
|  | 3.5249 |  |  |
|  | 4.09091 | 1.87870300 |  |
|  | 2.54 | 14800 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.52706700 | 155657300 |  |
|  | 0.77773800 | 2.48391000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | -1 | -0.78952600 |  |
|  | -0.82150 | -0.24472 |  |
|  | -1.33590 |  |  |
|  | -2.18812800 | 0.35210400 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | -4.29571800 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 253902000 |  |
|  | -2.9981 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | -4.36835500 | 77929800 |  |
|  | -6.0632 |  |  |
|  | 㖪 | 74980 |  |
|  |  | 4.67521000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 49817 | 3292500 |  |
|  | -4.97909 | . 04098800 |  |
|  | 9 | 232800 |  |
|  | -4.56336000 | 3.1771590 |  |
|  | -6.24888100 | -0.11002400 |  |
|  | -6.90928400 | -1.33717500 |  |
|  | -6.81728400 | -3.41173000 | -0.4 |
|  | -6.70991400 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## INT4



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
-1,982.63437263 a.u.
ZPE: 0.454373 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.385955 a.u.

Dispersion correction: - $90.63 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| H | 1.40229300 | 0.64290800 | 1.66249700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 5.66584700 | -1.10610100 | -1.97113100 |
| C | 5.00985200 | -0.36816800 | -0.96246900 |
| C | 3.91218500 | -0.86424100 | -0.24824400 |
| C | 3.47542300 | -2.14367500 | -0.58354300 |
| C | 4.14092300 | -2.89365800 | -1.58392700 |
| C | 5.23590100 | -2.40109900 | -2.29172200 |
| C | 6.62494000 | 0.93771500 | -1.79649100 |
| C | 5.62009700 | 0.91448100 | -0.86001800 |
| H | 2.61577900 | -2.59185800 | -0.09587900 |
| H | 3.77466900 | -3.89031500 | -1.81166500 |
| H | 5.72111600 | -2.99581100 | -3.05933700 |
| H | 7.30516800 | -0.51398100 | -3.19995000 |
| H | 7.32762300 | 1.71619100 | -2.05750300 |
| H | -0.61900700 | -1.61595500 | 0.68059500 |
| N | 6.66006800 | -0.28307500 | -2.46007300 |
| C | 3.33736100 | 0.05966100 | 0.82171100 |
| H | 3.90199100 | -0.08265100 | 1.75147600 |
| C | 1.84021500 | -0.19463700 | 1.10175600 |
| H | 1.27830000 | -0.25879500 | 0.16662800 |
| C | 1.51136200 | -1.40939000 | 1.95018200 |
| C | 2.40083300 | -1.76787600 | 3.11008900 |
| H | 2.62912100 | -0.88117800 | 3.71028000 |
| H | 1.91571000 | -2.52614800 | 3.72483700 |
| H | 3.35428600 | -2.16154700 | 2.73985400 |
| O | 0.49520800 | -2.07231500 | 1.73126500 |
| C | 5.08526600 | 1.88855400 | 0.14521100 |
| H | 5.15862200 | 2.92656200 | -0.19512000 |
| H | 5.62018000 | 1.81933200 | 1.10006000 |
| C | 3.59587500 | 1.54288000 | 0.40838200 |
| H | 2.98014000 | 1.81330500 | -0.45031000 |
| N | 3.10871200 | 2.44419300 | 1.52191100 |
| O | 2.21387000 | 3.24567800 | 1.26402600 |
| O | 3.63903200 | 2.31886200 | 2.62579900 |
| P | -2.13819700 | -0.05007500 | 0.59850400 |
| O | -1.43326800 | 0.83812700 | 1.55127300 |
| O | -1.35424000 | -1.31194800 | 0.05932300 |
| O | -2.60066500 | 0.58408100 | -0.82096200 |
| O | -3.54075800 | -0.55818900 | 1.24292300 |
| C | -3.70351800 | 1.44243200 | -0.88424300 |
| C | -5.00267600 | 0.92089000 | -0.76115900 |
|  |  | -2 |  |


| C | -3.46728500 | 2.78472400 | -1.16782700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -6.07180300 | 1.81706500 | -0.93588600 |
| C | -4.54767600 | 3.64995900 | -1.33758300 |
| H | -2.44337800 | 3.12968300 | -1.26327900 |
| C | -5.85218800 | 3.16311900 | -1.22166900 |
| H | -7.08646400 | 1.44682600 | -0.82782600 |
| H | -4.36906100 | 4.69763100 | -1.55799200 |
| H | -6.69793600 | 3.83192100 | -1.34642900 |
| C | -4.49794700 | -1.22376200 | 0.47147400 |
| C | -4.73752000 | -2.56633100 | 0.75146300 |
| C | -5.24732300 | -0.51421800 | -0.48268800 |
| C | -5.75186800 | -3.23909600 | 0.07094200 |
| H | -4.13502700 | -3.06100100 | 1.50566700 |
| C | -6.26211200 | -1.21865400 | -1.15414500 |
| C | -6.51519500 | -2.56196300 | -0.88354200 |
| H | -5.94233400 | -4.28587300 | 0.28578200 |
| H | -6.84537700 | -0.70136000 | -1.90958000 |
| H | -7.30218200 | -3.08062800 | -1.42191900 |

## - TS1'



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.5719127000$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.44906 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.382495
a.u.

Dispersion correction: -91.48 kcal/mol

| C | -4.68314100 | -1.18803100 | -2.05263100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -3.77344900 | -0.60677100 | -1.14298900 |
| C | -3.99770900 | 0.70735800 | -0.67938300 |
| C | -5.14979300 | 1.36053400 | -1.15955800 |
| C | -6.04609600 | 0.74826200 | -2.04227800 |
| C | -5.82878900 | -0.54772100 | -2.51322900 |
| C | -3.04663200 | -2.69499000 | -1.73114900 |
| C | -2.75630200 | -1.62410300 | -0.83732300 |
| H | -5.33805300 | 2.38551800 | -0.85894700 |
| H | -6.91643300 | 1.30006300 | -2.38207700 |
| H | -6.50925700 | -1.02301500 | -3.21146300 |
| H | -4.64960100 | -3.11810800 | -2.99525400 |
| H | -2.51302300 | -3.62629000 | -1.86204100 |
| H | -1.73083200 | -1.38515800 | -0.56993600 |
| N | -4.19278000 | -2.46175200 | -2.37444700 |
| C | -3.03937100 | 1.36112300 | 0.21953200 |
| H | -2.04744400 | 0.91438400 | 0.25210500 |
| C | -3.29102800 | 2.45890900 | 0.96422600 |
| H | -4.27486300 | 2.92171200 | 0.97441400 |
| C | -2.30977100 | 3.14952300 | 1.82799600 |
| C | -0.90515500 | 2.59949600 | 1.96761500 |
| H | -0.93329700 | 1.62593900 | 2.47019200 |
| H | -0.43450400 | 2.44429500 | 0.99260600 |


| H | -0.30946100 | 3.29612600 | 2.55929400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -2.65745200 | 4.16291300 | 2.43333200 |
| C | -3.24679700 | -2.45439700 | 0.89133900 |
| H | -2.56274800 | -3.29336100 | 0.84017500 |
| H | -4.28589800 | -2.69683700 | 0.69282200 |
| C | -3.02773400 | -1.54255800 | 1.94442600 |
| H | -3.77131800 | -0.86081200 | 2.32851200 |
| N | -1.78180800 | -1.36859300 | 2.46299100 |
| O | -1.56930900 | -0.53834400 | 3.37628300 |
| O | -0.81021000 | -2.08380900 | 1.99080100 |
| P | 1.43453400 | -0.24226200 | 0.35250900 |
| O | 0.17272700 | 0.02802400 | -0.38505900 |
| O | 1.33674100 | -0.80925600 | 1.81070700 |
| H | 0.44634800 | -1.32160400 | 1.98534000 |
| O | 2.41146900 | 1.02958300 | 0.61174100 |
| O | 2.36905100 | -1.23604700 | -0.53735600 |
| C | 3.16002200 | 1.57517900 | -0.43468800 |
| C | 4.28287900 | 0.88668300 | -0.92618100 |
| C | 2.81511800 | 2.84576200 | -0.88814000 |
| C | 5.05363600 | 1.53422300 | -1.90771300 |
| C | 3.59941800 | 3.46426100 | -1.86124700 |
| H | 1.94687400 | 3.33789500 | -0.46340200 |
| C | 4.72103900 | 2.80556900 | -2.37126600 |
| H | 5.91582800 | 1.01815800 | -2.31843500 |
| H | 3.33371300 | 4.45451500 | -2.21762100 |
| H | 5.33261900 | 3.27801400 | -3.13349700 |
| C | 3.70647200 | -1.46208600 | -0.20391200 |
| C | 4.05974500 | -2.72981600 | 0.25103700 |
| C | 4.66218700 | -0.45446400 | -0.42120800 |
| C | 5.40043300 | -3.02122900 | 0.50044300 |
| H | 3.28218500 | -3.47290900 | 0.39178700 |
| C | 6.00569700 | -0.77607000 | -0.15962600 |
| C | 6.37454100 | -2.04108700 | 0.29372800 |
| H | 5.68017300 | -4.00810500 | 0.85555500 |
| H | 6.76317800 | -0.01132800 | -0.30046600 |
| H | 7.41927000 | -2.25860200 | 0.49237500 |
|  |  | 0 |  |

## - INT1'



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy: $-1,982.58463926$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.451584 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.385640 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -92.22 kcal/mol

$$
\begin{array}{lrrr}
\mathrm{C} & -4.05754300 & -1.06972200 & -2.39753100 \\
\mathrm{C} & -3.44286300 & -0.63166000 & -1.21652200 \\
\mathrm{C} & -3.64203500 & 0.69295600 & -0.79387200 \\
\mathrm{C} & -4.51556200 & 1.48210700 & -1.57405500 \\
\mathrm{C} & -5.14558800 & 0.99696000 & -2.72192000
\end{array}
$$

| C | -4.91383100 | -0.30400300 | -3.17533500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -2.79966400 | -2.80779300 | -1.70742000 |
| C | -2.64400500 | -1.78305600 | -0.64728000 |
| H | -4.67204500 | 2.51805500 | -1.29358200 |
| H | -5.79968000 | 1.65146700 | -3.28791700 |
| H | -5.36275100 | -0.68367200 | -4.08607000 |
| H | -3.88743300 | -2.95456800 | -3.44211900 |
| H | -2.36783900 | -3.80069900 | -1.73108300 |
| H | -1.58037600 | -1.52879600 | -0.48585100 |
| N | -3.61243100 | -2.39733700 | -2.63690000 |
| C | -2.90650200 | 1.25524700 | 0.34863100 |
| H | -1.94817500 | 0.78367200 | 0.55641300 |
| C | -3.31207300 | 2.30934600 | 1.08365300 |
| H | -4.27376600 | 2.78640100 | 0.90879300 |
| C | -2.53213900 | 2.92968700 | 2.18120400 |
| C | -1.18318400 | 2.35890900 | 2.56394100 |
| H | -1.29928100 | 1.34890100 | 2.97568500 |
| H | -0.51963000 | 2.27722000 | 1.69740000 |
| H | -0.72592800 | 3.00587500 | 3.31397600 |
| O | -3.00526000 | 3.90045400 | 2.76869400 |
| C | -3.20632800 | -2.41131800 | 0.70879100 |
| H | -2.73188400 | -3.38691800 | 0.82974700 |
| H | -4.28167000 | -2.56490800 | 0.59165500 |
| C | -2.96829000 | -1.57550700 | 1.91548500 |
| H | -3.71937300 | -0.91983100 | 2.33200500 |
| N | -1.82306100 | -1.57697100 | 2.55425500 |
| O | -1.52283600 | -0.88845100 | 3.55989600 |
| O | -0.87218700 | -2.45734100 | 2.07848500 |
| P | 1.31001800 | -0.48668300 | 0.46391800 |
| O | 0.03426100 | -0.24078800 | -0.28697400 |
| O | 1.29205400 | -1.21244100 | 1.79623500 |
| H | 0.02288200 | -1.92501200 | 2.06578700 |
| O | 2.14248300 | 0.90788900 | 0.76320700 |
| O | 2.33558100 | -1.23590700 | -0.59340600 |
| C | 2.74954400 | 1.62002600 | -0.26071000 |
| C | 3.93019400 | 1.13471100 | -0.85638900 |
| C | 2.22241500 | 2.86530200 | -0.60300400 |
| C | 4.56033800 | 1.95325500 | -1.80980500 |
| C | 2.86854400 | 3.65737100 | -1.55153600 |
| H | 1.31871600 | 3.20489900 | -0.10808200 |
| C | 4.04203400 | 3.19971000 | -2.15615800 |
| H | 5.46247200 | 1.59109400 | -2.29359400 |
| H | 2.45616500 | 4.62651600 | -1.81537000 |
| H | 4.54834200 | 3.80760100 | -2.89961800 |
| C | 3.69340200 | -1.31629400 | -0.32240900 |
| C | 4.23377200 | -2.55767500 | 0.01127500 |
| C | 4.50649000 | -0.17850800 | -0.48176700 |
| C | 5.61076900 | -2.68905200 | 0.18843100 |
| H | 3.56628900 | -3.40657700 | 0.11581500 |
| C | 5.89082400 | -0.33859000 | -0.29728500 |
| C | 6.44124900 | -1.57602600 | 0.03220000 |
| H | 6.03126400 | -3.65576300 | 0.44835900 |
| H | 6.53579700 | 0.52895000 | -0.39979800 |
| H | 7.51349700 | -1.66911900 | 0.17443200 |
|  |  |  |  |

- TS2'


B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.56344092$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.447091 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.380891
a.u.

Dispersion correction: - $93.75 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| C | 4.18888600 | -1.84660900 | 1.88392900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3.32140300 | -1.09755200 | 1.08165400 |
| C | 3.36907700 | 0.30287500 | 1.11989600 |
| C | 4.32202500 | 0.88212200 | 1.98483800 |
| C | 5.17327000 | 0.10650000 | 2.77736700 |
| C | 5.12445600 | -1.29141600 | 2.74643600 |
| C | 2.97407900 | -3.34705900 | 0.72194000 |
| C | 2.52870600 | $-2.03238800$ | 0.22603000 |
| H | 4.37353400 | 1.96344100 | 2.06017700 |
| H | 5.87878800 | 0.59862000 | 3.43835800 |
| H | 5.77663800 | $-1.89980600$ | 3.36274100 |
| H | 4.40922000 | -3.99044300 | 2.05158600 |
| H | 2.64743700 | -4.32538700 | 0.39245600 |
| H | 1.43862200 | -1.90110900 | 0.27843600 |
| N | 3.92005300 | -3.21337000 | 1.61702000 |
| C | 2.44796300 | 1.09017800 | 0.30369600 |
| H | 1.46620500 | 0.66033400 | 0.15188700 |
| C | 2.75147400 | 2.30801500 | -0.23190300 |
| H | 3.75949000 | 2.70042500 | -0.14791600 |
| C | 1.86988400 | 3.02549900 | -1.10874100 |
| C | 2.40349600 | 4.20042400 | -1.87742200 |
| H | 3.46060000 | 4.07790100 | -2.11225600 |
| H | 1.82791100 | 4.33336700 | -2.79482600 |
| H | 2.28130500 | 5.10479900 | -1.26725500 |
| O | 0.62687200 | 2.78773300 | -1.25006200 |
| C | 2.85907300 | -1.90202300 | -1.36794000 |
| H | 2.26800300 | -2.69468800 | -1.83629100 |
| H | 3.92172500 | $-2.09615700$ | -1.51587400 |
| C | 2.51786000 | -0.58243100 | -1.91812900 |
| H | -0.00737000 | 2.10287000 | -0.52776600 |
| N | 3.47733700 | 0.27923600 | -2.33006100 |
| O | 3.10710600 | 1.37085700 | -2.88488900 |
| O | 4.71211200 | 0.04369100 | -2.13685700 |
| H | 1.50516000 | -0.29531200 | -2.15520500 |
| P | -1.35837500 | 0.13693200 | 0.04435300 |
| O | -0.41162100 | -0.99880300 | -0.15597100 |
| O | -0.81163900 | 1.56194700 | 0.21412100 |
| O | -2.47789900 | 0.27466700 | -1.14733400 |
| O | -2.28972300 | -0.19439700 | 1.34770500 |
| C | -3.45770900 | -0.70338400 | -1.29519800 |
| C | -4.53226800 | -0.75351300 | -0.38829100 |
| C | -3.38769700 | $-1.54966500$ | -2.39977700 |


| C | -5.54332100 | -1.69772500 | -0.63823500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -4.40587200 | -2.47592100 | -2.62400200 |
| H | -2.54230800 | -1.46183100 | -3.07388500 |
| C | -5.48571900 | -2.54920100 | -1.74021200 |
| H | -6.37552200 | -1.76936000 | 0.05538900 |
| H | -4.35381900 | -3.13642300 | -3.48399700 |
| H | -6.27875700 | -3.27198900 | -1.90482500 |
| C | -3.49760600 | 0.46078800 | 1.57122400 |
| C | -3.58188800 | 1.31998100 | 2.66489600 |
| C | -4.61632200 | 0.17082200 | 0.76831800 |
| C | -4.80281200 | 1.91227000 | 2.98576200 |
| H | -2.69091300 | 1.50187300 | 3.25638100 |
| C | -5.83385000 | 0.78260700 | 1.11498700 |
| C | -5.93175200 | 1.64084000 | 2.20857400 |
| H | -4.86977400 | 2.58184700 | 3.83766000 |
| H | -6.70827500 | 0.58991800 | 0.50101100 |
| H | -6.88467600 | 2.10231500 | 2.44812800 |

- TS3'


B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.5779839900$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.445815 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.378861 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -90.23 kcal/mol

| H | -0.26896800 | -2.82369500 | 0.14599700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 5.55523200 | -1.32728100 | -1.06553900 |
| C | 4.45412700 | -0.55667900 | -0.58283300 |
| C | 4.70707900 | 0.77139500 | -0.13021200 |
| C | 6.02912500 | 1.24032700 | -0.18849900 |
| C | 7.08613200 | 0.45356400 | -0.66904300 |
| C | 6.86516300 | -0.84541500 | -1.11558100 |
| C | 3.71026100 | -2.58286400 | -1.26463100 |
| C | 3.27796700 | -1.38610400 | -0.73308500 |
| H | 6.24718000 | 2.23493700 | 0.18655400 |
| H | 8.09167700 | 0.86232800 | -0.67984800 |
| H | 7.67689600 | -1.46406000 | -1.48575500 |
| H | 5.61361900 | -3.29796300 | -1.86468200 |
| H | 3.13367400 | -3.45853200 | -1.52801500 |
| H | 0.24475700 | -0.68019000 | 1.19817400 |
| N | 5.06702200 | -2.55377000 | -1.45912100 |
| C | 3.64301300 | 1.62053800 | 0.40960000 |
| H | 2.79420500 | 1.10506600 | 0.84677100 |
| C | 3.62608400 | 2.97179400 | 0.42016300 |
| H | 4.42281500 | 3.54756000 | -0.04423100 |


| C | 2.55933000 | 3.79779200 | 1.01613600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.39467400 | 3.13498900 | 1.73297700 |
| H | 0.85390400 | 2.44290300 | 1.07903600 |
| H | 1.74724600 | 2.55831100 | 2.59487200 |
| H | 0.70893800 | 3.90988000 | 2.07792300 |
| O | 2.62656300 | 5.02422200 | 0.93570800 |
| C | 1.83944200 | -1.08707500 | -0.41061000 |
| H | 1.18279700 | -1.67400800 | -1.05522900 |
| H | 1.60354800 | -0.04124600 | -0.62616300 |
| C | 1.46954200 | -1.34432500 | 1.06461800 |
| H | 2.14718300 | -0.92541500 | 1.80573700 |
| N | 1.20423500 | -2.65326800 | 1.44153600 |
| O | 1.57099000 | -3.15866700 | 2.48612700 |
| O | 0.44643400 | -3.42453700 | 0.64517600 |
| P | -1.80153400 | -0.76197000 | 0.18477600 |
| O | -0.80866800 | -0.08859300 | 1.15950300 |
| O | -1.32680100 | -2.05658100 | -0.43438500 |
| O | -2.20037700 | 0.22161000 | -1.03465100 |
| O | -3.17130300 | -0.88224800 | 1.03631600 |
| C | -2.95997800 | 1.37878400 | -0.81093200 |
| C | -4.32717100 | 1.27021300 | -0.50681400 |
| C | -2.33347900 | 2.60762300 | -0.99496200 |
| C | -5.05262500 | 2.46930500 | -0.39226700 |
| C | -3.07934700 | 3.77997500 | -0.87602300 |
| H | -1.27730600 | 2.62899600 | -1.24070900 |
| C | -4.44174300 | 3.70830100 | -0.57478900 |
| H | -6.10694200 | 2.41955200 | -0.13923600 |
| H | -2.59682500 | 4.74178700 | -1.01744900 |
| H | -5.02718500 | 4.61671000 | -0.47451900 |
| C | -4.39844400 | -1.09038600 | 0.38964500 |
| C | -5.02634600 | -2.31837900 | 0.57431300 |
| C | -4.99300200 | -0.04292700 | -0.33341500 |
| C | -6.29197600 | -2.52752700 | 0.02732400 |
| H | -4.52287900 | -3.08602700 | 1.15185400 |
| C | -6.26849200 | -0.28401100 | -0.87389800 |
| C | -6.91279500 | -1.50680800 | -0.69705400 |
| H | -6.78762700 | -3.48293500 | 0.16683400 |
| H | -6.74663900 | 0.49911400 | -1.45374000 |
| H | -7.89520200 | -1.66482400 | -1.13059800 |
|  |  | 0 |  |

## - INT4'



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy: $-1,982.6238272600$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.452367 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.380762 a.u.

Dispersion correction: $-87.41 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 5.27608700 | -2.12243500 | -1.17136600 |
| C | 4.59889300 | -0.96106400 | -0.68691400 |
| C | 5.38199900 | 0.18547400 | -0.35817500 |
| C | 6.77461800 | 0.09108300 | -0.51443800 |
| C | 7.40391200 | -1.06982300 | -0.98458900 |
| C | 6.66201200 | -2.19648800 | -1.32437400 |
| C | 3.08281000 | -2.57102800 | -1.17309700 |
| C | 3.18619100 | -1.27915200 | -0.70214000 |
| H | 7.39135700 | 0.93783500 | -0.23232100 |
| H | 8.48536800 | -1.08961600 | -1.07585300 |
| H | 7.13831000 | -3.10109400 | -1.68984000 |
| H | 4.51015600 | -3.99650900 |  |
| H | 2.19485400 | -3.16522200 | -1.33815500 |
| H | 0.76726600 | 0.11033600 | 1.42124200 |
| N | 4.32517200 | -3.07890700 | $-1.44863200$ |
| C | 4.77408500 | 1.42117400 | 0.13788200 |
| H | 3.79051900 | 1.32567600 | 0.58579900 |
| C | 5.31967000 | 2.65739900 | 0.09003100 |
| H | 6.28234600 | 2.83044200 | -0.38404100 |
| C | 4.69894300 | 3.87766800 | 0.63645200 |
| C | 3.34706200 | 3.79842300 | . 32583500 |
| H | 2.57916700 | 3.41397700 | 0.64607100 |
| H | 3.38470700 | 3.12798500 | 2.19069100 |
| H | 3.06230700 | 4.79748300 | 1.65797100 |
| O | 5.28359100 | 4.95565700 | 0.53016100 |
| C | 1.99146700 | -0.45906200 | -0.30109400 |
| H | 1.10458000 | -0.82095000 | -0.82903900 |
| H | 2.10491100 | 0.59002000 | -0.59162600 |
| C | 1.67989500 | -0.45534800 | 1.21085800 |
| H | 2.51142500 | -0.10204600 | 1.81757600 |
| N | 1.41914000 | $-1.85410300$ | 1.68836400 |
| O | 2.21108500 | $-2.36906100$ | 2.45819500 |
| O | 0.41739100 | -2.44828900 | 1.24974100 |
| P | -2.27718100 | -0.08787100 | 0.48453500 |
| O | -1.40643700 | 0.70890500 | 1.38110900 |
| O | -1.62499600 | $-1.37489500$ | -0.17950300 |
| O | -2.83842600 | 0.61818300 | -0.85679100 |
| O | -3.62265400 | -0.54073300 | 1.26285900 |
| C | -3.89166300 | 1.54038300 | -0.78185200 |
| C | -5.19855700 | 1.08917300 | -0.53247900 |
| C | -3.60452300 | 2.87379000 | -1.05769300 |
| C | -6.22371000 | 2.05083200 | -0.56907900 |
| C | -4.64258600 | 3.80441300 | -1.08920700 |
| H | -2.57761500 | 3.16152300 | -1.25530400 |
| C | -5.95418100 | 3.38990800 | -0.84443000 |
| , | -7.24182300 | 1.73711400 | -0.36129200 |
| H | -4.42549100 | 4.84614700 | $-1.30271000$ |
| H | -6.76630500 | 4.10978200 | -0.86081600 |
| C | -4.69992000 | -1.12231500 | 0.58165800 |
| C | -4.98903900 | $-2.45667600$ | 0.85126100 |
| C | -5.49983500 | -0.33758000 | -0.26571200 |
| C | -6.10987200 | -3.04571100 | 0.26701900 |
| H | -4.34130500 | -3.01018600 | 1.52242300 |
| C | -6.62182400 | -0.95931700 | -0.84179100 |
| C | -6.92691500 | -2.29360100 | -0.58080700 |
| H | -6.34062500 | -4.08601000 | 0.47326700 |
| H | -7.24842900 | -0.38469500 | -1.51653500 |
| H | -7.79711200 | -2.74722900 | -1.04454500 |

## - 3



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
-877.294814288 a.u.
ZPE: 0.262879 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.217794 a.u.

Dispersion correction: $-46.45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| H | -1.78772100 | 1.76767300 | -1.53820500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 2.97233600 | 0.24178500 | 0.12190000 |
| C | 1.64941100 | -0.18866100 | -0.11645800 |
| C | 0.64585600 | 0.66259300 | -0.59428700 |
| C | 1.01400300 | 1.98149400 | -0.84709700 |
| C | 2.34351900 | 2.41447300 | -0.62235100 |
| C | 3.34031700 | 1.56965000 | -0.13579500 |
| C | 2.78667200 | -1.94674400 | 0.67583000 |
| C | 1.54440400 | -1.56724500 | 0.22972300 |
| H | 0.29333100 | 2.70204000 | -1.22198000 |
| H | 2.59283000 | 3.45040500 | -0.83369600 |
| H | 4.34973500 | 1.93157000 | 0.03374900 |
| H | 4.62029500 | -0.87971600 | 0.88069900 |
| H | 3.14502500 | -2.89980400 | 1.03831700 |
| N | 3.65050000 | -0.85901700 | 0.60589400 |
| C | -0.72147500 | 0.02430500 | -0.81869000 |
| H | -0.73443100 | -0.39558600 | -1.83422700 |
| C | -1.89672900 | 1.01585600 | -0.74812200 |
| H | -2.84054500 | 0.50947100 | -0.99192000 |
| C | -2.11258100 | 1.74126500 | 0.57600600 |
| C | -3.10651100 | 2.88386900 | 0.54607900 |
| H | -4.03871400 | 2.58043400 | 0.05807500 |
| H | -3.31019100 | 3.22856800 | 1.56081100 |
| H | -2.69357800 | 3.71259900 | -0.04129200 |
| O | -1.53151400 | 1.42598400 | 1.60213500 |
| C | 0.22823100 | -2.26069700 | 0.04298300 |
| H | 0.05546800 | -3.04099100 | 0.79175700 |
| H | 0.15981600 | -2.73523300 | -0.94324000 |
| C | -0.89077000 | -1.19522500 | 0.14574400 |
| H | -0.99967900 | -0.83762600 | 1.16706900 |
| N | -2.21014200 | -1.86141900 | -0.17042400 |
| O | -2.32807400 | -2.40775800 | -1.26756300 |
| O | -3.09969200 | -1.80710900 | 0.67705200 |
|  |  |  |  |

- 3'


B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
-877.2864919800 a.u.
ZPE: 0.261171 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.213902 a.u.

Dispersion correction: $-43.36 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| C | 3.10729000 | -0.21643900 | 0.00482600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.69529900 | -0.10674300 | 0.19102800 |
| C | 1.09672200 | 1.18097300 | 0.06253000 |
| C | 1.93497400 | 2.26393600 | -0.24532900 |
| C | 3.31848400 | 2.11729600 | -0.42326600 |
| C | 3.92757700 | 0.87297500 | -0.29805300 |
| C | 2.31876700 | -2.26756300 | 0.43797600 |
| C | 1.21374200 | -1.44537800 | 0.46214900 |
| H | 1.50332300 | 3.25726700 | -0.31038200 |
| H | 3.92198500 | 2.99155200 | -0.64651200 |
| H | 4.99877300 | 0.75039000 | -0.42554300 |
| H | 4.38328900 | -1.91571300 | 0.10260700 |
| H | 2.37380700 | -3.33563900 | 0.59594100 |
| N | 3.45010900 | -1.53973000 | 0.16988800 |
| C | -0.33748300 | 1.38986100 | 0.27705700 |
| H | -0.83484400 | 0.66955000 | 0.91970800 |
| C | -1.08228800 | 2.39231800 | -0.24010900 |
| H | -0.64943700 | 3.11839400 | -0.92389600 |
| C | -2.51639500 | 2.60983000 | 0.03249400 |
| C | -3.24998600 | 1.69827900 | 1.00020600 |
| H | -3.18421400 | 0.64844900 | 0.69634200 |
| H | -2.81740700 | 1.77377400 | 2.00389600 |
| H | -4.29803400 | 1.99808200 | 1.03982700 |
| O | -3.10283200 | 3.53825000 | -0.52333200 |
| C | -0.18646300 | -1.95205300 | 0.67871600 |
| H | -0.13768100 | -2.97771500 | 1.05891700 |
| H | -0.72931400 | -1.36883300 | 1.42698900 |
| C | -0.99006500 | -1.95548800 | -0.63218500 |
| H | -0.55918100 | -2.61649400 | -1.38175800 |
| N | -2.39708700 | -2.44132300 | -0.40791200 |
| O | -2.81824900 | -3.34044200 | -1.12946100 |
| O | -3.04747100 | -1.90629600 | 0.49099400 |
| H | -1.09486500 | -0.94860500 | -1.04380800 |

$-\mathbf{T S 3}_{\text {cis }}$


B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.5908302200$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.45020 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.387819 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -94.64 kcal/mol

| P | -1.51299400 | -0.54859200 | -0.35396300 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.86856000 | -1.63066300 | 0.45891700 |
| O | -0.64860800 | 0.28643900 | -1.33547200 |
| H | 0.27320800 | 0.73469300 | -1.01994900 |
| O | -2.65419500 | -1.07928900 | -1.37728900 |
| O | -2.34042700 | 0.41275200 | 0.65583000 |
| C | -3.92037900 | -1.45184300 | -0.91775700 |
| C | -4.82790500 | -0.47260700 | -0.47411100 |
| C | -4.27412800 | -2.79459200 | -1.02074800 |
| C | -6.12147800 | -0.90614400 | -0.13401700 |
| C | -5.56533800 | -3.19435800 | -0.67793100 |
| H | -3.53740300 | -3.50454600 | -1.38098400 |
| C | -6.49059400 | -2.24610300 | -0.23481700 |
| H | -6.83738700 | -0.17547100 | 0.22938800 |
| H | -5.84418600 | -4.24039100 | -0.75693900 |
| H | -7.49597300 | -2.54976800 | 0.03942200 |
| C | -3.22155800 | 1.37004000 | 0.14540400 |
| C | -2.86534100 | 2.71054800 | 0.25799300 |
| C | -4.45832600 | 0.96060400 | -0.37997700 |
| C | -3.76553900 | 3.69189900 | -0.15599300 |
| H | -1.89458900 | 2.95850400 | 0.67391000 |
| C | -5.34457200 | 1.97200600 | -0.79011600 |
| C | -5.00702600 | 3.31982700 | -0.67924300 |
| H | -3.49676200 | 4.74021400 | -0.07207000 |
| H | -6.30191000 | 1.68852500 | -1.21628200 |
| H | -5.70957800 | 4.07876800 | -1.00896100 |
| C | 5.56380700 | -0.59888500 | -1.59581200 |
| C | 4.76632000 | -0.04397600 | -0.55458600 |
| C | 4.11997100 | -0.90069400 | 0.36267500 |
| C | 4.28210700 | -2.28031800 | 0.18671800 |
| C | 5.09611100 | -2.80620300 | -0.83555900 |
| C | 5.75018000 | -1.97718400 | -1.74140100 |
| C | 5.50782400 | 1.63388400 | -1.85472100 |
| C | 4.73790800 | 1.37420300 | -0.74519600 |
| H | 3.79567000 | -2.96071900 | 0.87852100 |
| H | 5.21149300 | -3.88285300 | -0.91556400 |
| H | 6.37000600 | -2.38401300 | -2.53462700 |
|  |  | 0 |  |


| H | 6.58420700 | 0.37449500 | -3.18878300 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 5.73361500 | 2.57570400 | -2.33494300 |
| H | -0.31756200 | -1.48325400 | 1.96515400 |
| N | 6.01698000 | 0.45340700 | -2.35900500 |
| C | 3.30720400 | -0.39945600 | 1.49932400 |
| H | 3.84761600 | 0.04799800 | 2.32815500 |
| C | 2.05201900 | -0.98057500 | 1.72262900 |
| H | 1.60165600 | -1.52601400 | 0.89917200 |
| C | 1.24930100 | -0.78750100 | 2.84417400 |
| C | 1.66401400 | -0.10231200 | 4.10633900 |
| H | 2.74148100 | 0.04272600 | 4.17348600 |
| H | 1.16898000 | 0.87351000 | 4.15560800 |
| H | 1.32434700 | -0.68855000 | 4.96479700 |
| O | 0.01626300 | -1.24603600 | 2.88557400 |
| C | 4.00399600 | 2.36851500 | 0.11232800 |
| H | 3.59194200 | 3.16629000 | -0.51981300 |
| H | 4.70210100 | 2.85718800 | 0.80301500 |
| C | 2.90009700 | 1.80457800 | 0.97180900 |
| H | 2.83720700 | 2.12671100 | 2.00244600 |
| N | 1.66325500 | 1.55792400 | 0.46780300 |
| O | 0.69246700 | 1.38423900 | 1.24880200 |
| O | 1.52218900 | 1.33502000 | -0.80145800 |

## - INT3 $_{\text {cis }}$



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.6179722700$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.454764 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.389985 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -92.03 kcal/mol

| P | -1.66686000 | -0.41561700 | -0.51754100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.96036200 | -1.60601700 | 0.02329000 |
| O | -0.83147200 | 0.61100700 | -1.38374500 |
| H | 0.08448500 | 0.81146100 | -1.05744400 |
| O | -2.85583400 | -0.69180600 | -1.57299900 |
| O | -2.39444400 | 0.37786200 | 0.68823000 |
| C | -4.10223200 | -1.15461600 | -1.12639600 |
| C | -4.96627200 | -0.28646600 | -0.43730500 |
| C | -4.47181500 | -2.44952400 | -1.47704600 |
| C | -6.23913500 | -0.78386100 | -0.10666400 |
| C | -5.74194900 | -2.91382800 | -1.13691300 |
| H | -3.76665500 | -3.06953900 | -2.01983500 |
| C | -6.62593100 | -2.07759300 | -0.45061600 |
| H | -6.92269500 | -0.14449500 | 0.44292100 |
| H | -6.03627600 | -3.92312000 | -1.40639300 |
| H | -7.61373100 | -2.43444000 | -0.17676700 |


| C | -3.30498200 | 1.40965500 | 0.42242100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -2.93203000 | 2.70565000 | 0.76424200 |
| C | -4.57642400 | 1.10090900 | -0.08824800 |
| C | -3.85028500 | 3.74315600 | 0.60544800 |
| H | -1.93513600 | 2.87882700 | 1.15477800 |
| C | -5.47990400 | 2.16774200 | -0.23813100 |
| C | -5.12642300 | 3.47128800 | 0.10523600 |
| H | -3.56811300 | 4.75734600 | 0.86978900 |
| H | -6.46487100 | 1.96541700 | -0.64688200 |
| H | -5.84375100 | 4.27520100 | -0.02616900 |
| C | 5.65024700 | 0.07710800 | -1.70358000 |
| C | 4.85420200 | 0.22748200 | -0.54738100 |
| C | 4.16134600 | -0.83734200 | 0.03646500 |
| C | 4.30310900 | -2.08528500 | -0.56424100 |
| C | 5.11925000 | -2.24543600 | -1.70904800 |
| C | 5.79868900 | -1.18117200 | -2.30275600 |
| C | 5.63858800 | 2.25508400 | -1.08277300 |
| C | 4.85426600 | 1.59907600 | -0.16498800 |
| H | 3.78159500 | -2.94622300 | -0.15656400 |
| H | 5.21081500 | -3.23476400 | -2.14842300 |
| H | 6.40672500 | -1.32810400 | -3.19011600 |
| H | 6.71718300 | 1.57308600 | -2.78892200 |
| H | 5.90518600 | 3.29937800 | -1.16450200 |
| H | -0.14357800 | -2.30988200 | 1.51842600 |
| N | 6.12516500 | 1.33845800 | -2.00733000 |
| C | 3.33408100 | -0.54339300 | 1.28253700 |
| H | 3.95935500 | -0.74760100 | 2.16145700 |
| C | 2.07066900 | -1.36394800 | 1.34518800 |
| H | 1.50719900 | -1.40591600 | 0.41725100 |
| C | 1.52164600 | -1.96368400 | 2.41875700 |
| C | 2.10906700 | -2.08938500 | 3.79397600 |
| H | 3.12812500 | -1.70527500 | 3.85164600 |
| H | 1.49068000 | -1.55181200 | 4.52155400 |
| H | 2.11566700 | -3.14292900 | 4.09480000 |
| O | 0.29954700 | -2.56534900 | 2.35480500 |
| C | 4.11411600 | 2.01079100 | 1.06860300 |
| H | 3.68190000 | 3.01504800 | 1.00031400 |
| H | 4.78416900 | 2.02927300 | 1.93810800 |
| C | 3.00180900 | 0.99233800 | 1.44084300 |
| H | 2.68433200 | 1.16691300 | 2.46739500 |
| N | 1.74229300 | 1.29670300 | 0.66399600 |
| O | 0.76800800 | 1.71478600 | 1.27598800 |
| O | 1.73529100 | 1.06599400 | -0.55753700 |
|  |  |  |  |

## - TS4 ${ }_{\text {cis }}$



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.5951714900$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.449916 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.386415 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -94.66 kcal/mol

P $-1.82864900-0.75508000-0.00342600$
O $-1.47097000-1.87503700 \quad 0.94025900$
O $\quad-0.76639600-0.38150900-1.05731500$
H $\quad 0.36747100 \quad-0.87785100 \quad-0.82164600$
O $\quad-3.16633400-1.01110600 \quad-0.89046600$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { O } & -2.24187100 & 0.51837900 & 0.91712100\end{array}$
C $-4.42912700-1.01512500-0.28981300$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -5.00766000 & 0.19531300 & 0.12839200\end{array}$
C $\quad-5.11089300-2.22682300 \quad-0.21610800$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -6.31941700 & 0.13792000 & 0.63164100\end{array}$
C $\quad-6.41157300-2.25282100 \quad 0.28596000$
H $\quad-4.61879700-3.12865400 \quad-0.56406500$
C $\quad-7.01587000 \quad-1.06649100 \quad 0.70973800$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -6.78577100 & 1.05409500 & 0.98019600\end{array}$
H $\quad-6.94721000 \quad-3.19498000 \quad 0.34572000$
H $\quad-8.02563000 \quad-1.07954600 \quad 1.10770400$
C $\quad-2.93222800 \quad 1.61289300 \quad 0.38958100$
C $\quad-2.26450900 \quad 2.83370500 \quad 0.33678100$
C $\quad-4.28556200 \quad 1.48641000 \quad 0.03047000$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.94550300 & 3.97220500 & -0.09252000\end{array}$
H $\quad-1.22685200 \quad 2.87743700 \quad 0.64943500$
C $\quad-4.94532100 \quad 2.65076700 \quad-0.40119700$
C $\quad-4.28910700 \quad 3.87835700 \quad-0.46385800$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -2.42788100 & 4.92527800 & -0.13571300\end{array}$
H $\quad-5.98524900 \quad 2.57921000-0.70407600$
H $\quad-4.82448200 \quad 4.75834800-0.80610600$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 4.44622300 & 2.56673500 & -0.06458300\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 4.19452600 & 1.19499000 & -0.28215500\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 2.90082700 & 0.67318200 & -0.42610700\end{array}$
C $1.84992500 \quad 1.58260700 \quad-0.36296400$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 2.10248800 & 2.96214800 & -0.15866300\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 3.38561500 & 3.48143500 & -0.00261900\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 6.41263800 & 1.44421500 & -0.07808400\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & 5.43946700 & 0.49894300 & -0.29125200\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & 0.82292700 & 1.25455100 & -0.47312300\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 1.25622600 & 3.64255900 & -0.12218200\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 3.54816100 & 4.54269500 & 0.15837000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 6.31635800 & 3.55103100 & 0.22420600\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 7.48671800 & 1.34678400 & -0.00753500\end{array}$
H $\quad-0.43135600 \quad-2.87269100 \quad 0.35485900$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 5.81619900 & 2.69250900 & 0.05311900\end{array}$
C $2.82325800-0.83049500-0.69749400$
H $\quad 2.95380000 \quad-0.95044400-1.78231300$
C $\quad 1.50021400-1.49431900-0.30789000$
H $\quad 1.20790700-1.31388300 \quad 0.72782300$
C $1.15928600-2.78190900-0.76692000$
C $1.81209900-3.50402600-1.90502500$
H $\quad 2.41399100 \quad-2.84763400 \quad-2.53247400$
H $2.46424500 \quad-4.28655700 \quad-1.49835700$
H $\quad 1.04886400-3.99843100 \quad-2.51001800$
O $0.14372900-3.43974500-0.27217400$
C $\quad 5.43877500-0.98241900-0.52130600$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 6.22558600 & -1.50963200 & 0.02475800\end{array}$
H $\quad 5.57757400-1.22451700-1.58313600$
C $4.06400600-1.57642500-0.11654100$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & 4.04080800 & -2.62401200 & -0.41929000\end{array}$

| N | 4.03187600 | -1.67411500 | 1.40918800 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 4.80404200 | -2.48932700 | 1.91044100 |
| O | 3.27284200 | -0.95559800 | 2.05181000 |

- INT4 $_{\text {cis }}$


B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-1,982.6301404900$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.454262 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.38746 a.u.
Dispersion correction: -91.36 kcal/mol

| P | -2.05307200 | -0.37945900 | -0.47561800 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -1.56110500 | -1.56052900 | 0.44874600 |
| O | -1.13412700 | 0.09685600 | -1.53739800 |
| H | 1.20021500 | -0.62520800 | -1.38433500 |
| O | -3.47823200 | -0.80039700 | -1.13190100 |
| O | -2.48010900 | 0.67993000 | 0.67503500 |
| C | -4.60262900 | -1.05457100 | -0.34080600 |
| C | -5.26204200 | 0.00591900 | 0.30421300 |
| C | -5.08559600 | -2.35974600 | -0.30186900 |
| C | -6.44383500 | -0.30316600 | 1.00060400 |
| C | -6.26169800 | -2.63599400 | 0.39454400 |
| H | -4.54135900 | -3.13700000 | -0.82745300 |
| C | -6.94139700 | -1.60389200 | 1.04640600 |
| H | -6.96429500 | 0.49219200 | 1.52485200 |
| H | -6.64217700 | -3.65200900 | 0.42754400 |
| H | -7.85369200 | -1.81228900 | 1.59636700 |
| C | -3.39243900 | 1.70126300 | 0.38828300 |
| C | -2.91507900 | 3.00853200 | 0.35546400 |
| C | -4.75690200 | 1.39823000 | 0.24183700 |
| C | -3.81132300 | 4.06090900 | 0.17157100 |
| H | -1.85275400 | 3.18366000 | 0.48678600 |
| C | -5.63626800 | 2.47949700 | 0.05594400 |
| C | -5.17461200 | 3.79381400 | 0.02208600 |
| H | -3.44466600 | 5.08214300 | 0.14459500 |
| H | -6.69379900 | 2.27537500 | -0.07921000 |
| H | -5.87732100 | 4.60739200 | -0.12779700 |
| C | 5.21754800 | 2.29940300 | -0.19476800 |
| C | 4.77965200 | 0.95917500 | -0.23644800 |
| C | 3.49938600 | 0.59050500 | -0.66950100 |
| C | 2.65365200 | 1.61863600 | -1.07290300 |
| C | 3.09553400 | 2.96518900 | -1.04415700 |
| C | 4.36732500 | 3.33420100 | -0.61093500 |
| C | 6.86107600 | 0.95336300 | 0.59054800 |
| C | 5.82080000 | 0.11980000 | 0.25936000 |
|  |  | 0 |  |


| H | 1.63980500 | 1.41778700 | -1.40514200 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.40762900 | 3.74091700 | -1.36811200 |
| H | 4.67513700 | 4.37508600 | -0.59385400 |
| H | 7.09080500 | 3.06850900 | 0.47536900 |
| H | 7.83510900 | 0.73501300 | 1.00491900 |
| H | -0.85551400 | -2.15076900 | 0.02813500 |
| N | 6.50244200 | 2.26669800 | 0.30973900 |
| C | 3.22548500 | -0.91368500 | -0.68667600 |
| H | 3.64097900 | -1.28932200 | -1.63309100 |
| C | 1.72830100 | -1.26676200 | -0.66570400 |
| H | 1.28369100 | -1.03688300 | 0.30466300 |
| C | 1.29674700 | -2.66088100 | -1.07205600 |
| C | 2.13021900 | -3.48639600 | -2.01538700 |
| H | 2.35773200 | -2.90792200 | -2.91770900 |
| H | 3.08908300 | -3.75779400 | -1.56158900 |
| H | 1.58938000 | -4.39411800 | -2.28337800 |
| O | 0.20536000 | -3.09431900 | -0.69247600 |
| C | 5.59342900 | -1.36223500 | 0.31637600 |
| H | 6.08436400 | -1.84547700 | 1.16496200 |
| H | 5.96473700 | -1.86160500 | -0.58789300 |
| C | 4.07062400 | -1.65965000 | 0.38302900 |
| H | 3.92820300 | -2.73840900 | 0.29728900 |
| N | 3.60857500 | -1.38388400 | 1.81589600 |
| O | 4.05645600 | -2.13861200 | 2.67645000 |
| O | 2.84449600 | -0.45115400 | 2.04337000 |

## -cis-4



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
-877.2949781220 a.u.
ZPE: 0.262863 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.217635
a.u.

Dispersion correction: -46.08 kcal/mol

| C | -2.93915700 | 0.14650200 | 0.06727400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -1.57429700 | -0.20810700 | 0.01098800 |
| C | -0.60148000 | 0.61744300 | -0.56518700 |
| C | -1.03134000 | 1.82911700 | -1.09778000 |
| C | -2.39911700 | 2.19093300 | -1.03538500 |
| C | -3.37112200 | 1.37202800 | -0.45970300 |
| C | -2.66026900 | -1.90940100 | 0.97799300 |
| C | -1.40785600 | -1.50388200 | 0.58574600 |
| H | -0.31721800 | 2.50641200 | -1.55497200 |
| H | -2.70326300 | 3.14475900 | -1.45714200 |
| H | -4.41340200 | 1.67479800 | -0.43091800 |
| H | -4.57231200 | -0.97497400 | 0.85846800 |
| H | -2.98936000 | -2.82515000 | 1.44846800 |
| N | -3.58301900 | -0.91363800 | 0.67409800 |
| C | 0.83005400 | 0.09977900 | -0.50475900 |


|  | 1.37835700 | 0.41631800 | -1.39670800 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -0.03496100 | -2.11707800 | 0.61527100 |
| H | -0.06023400 | -3.20160700 | 0.46970700 |
| H | 0.47377200 | -1.93805900 | 1.56857000 |
| C | 0.77055300 | -1.46412900 | -0.52717400 |
| H | 0.35616800 | -1.76112600 | -1.49222200 |
| N | 2.18729900 | -1.99465300 | -0.57651400 |
| O | 2.70754800 | -2.39899600 | 0.46318500 |
| O | 2.75404600 | -1.95863700 | -1.66650400 |
| C | 1.57565600 | 0.65985900 | 0.72507300 |
| H | 2.46374800 | 0.05734100 | 0.96366500 |
| H | 0.94932100 | 0.62345100 | 1.62325700 |
| C | 2.08393500 | 2.08455800 | 0.52891700 |
| O | 2.17421900 | 2.59476900 | -0.57625700 |
| C | 2.49123900 | 2.82349200 | 1.78499700 |
| H | 3.02955900 | 3.73691700 | 1.52797500 |
| H | 1.59089700 | 3.08415000 | 2.35460400 |
| H | 3.10647800 | 2.19102500 | 2.43314300 |

## - Methyl (E)-3-(1H-indol-4-yl)acrylate (1b)



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy: -669.3175452740 a.u.
ZPE: 0.206267 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.164432 a.u.

Dispersion correction: $-31.25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| C | -3.00219000 | 0.03531300 | -0.00001400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -1.63352800 | 0.43962000 | -0.00003600 |
| C | -0.61559000 | -0.55362200 | 0.00116700 |
| C | -1.02247800 | -1.89763500 | 0.00255600 |
| C | -2.37582900 | -2.26501100 | 0.00257700 |
| C | -3.38865800 | -1.30644300 | 0.00125100 |
| C | -2.94152200 | 2.28130400 | -0.00243600 |
| C | -1.62929400 | 1.87480300 | -0.00161500 |
| H | -0.27384900 | -2.68330400 | 0.00416100 |
| H | -2.63902100 | -3.31830800 | 0.00381800 |
| H | -4.43600300 | -1.59308400 | 0.00133300 |
| H | -4.77727600 | 1.21071700 | -0.00174800 |
| H | -3.35775300 | 3.27846900 | -0.00365400 |
| H | -0.77237900 | 2.53265400 | -0.00224900 |
| N | -3.76945900 | 1.18056400 | -0.00144700 |
| C | 0.78483800 | -0.14300800 | 0.00118100 |
| H | 0.96109100 | 0.92927300 | 0.00481500 |
| C | 1.87382900 | -0.94178400 | -0.00301600 |
| H | 1.80180900 | -2.02432800 | -0.00754400 |
| C | 3.25930100 | -0.45067200 | -0.00227500 |
| O | 4.23693800 | -1.18548400 | -0.00654800 |
| O | 3.35961900 | 0.90003800 | 0.00349100 |


| C | 4.69721000 | 1.42448400 | 0.00431200 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 4.58862200 | 2.50861700 | 0.00946800 |
| H | 5.24205700 | 1.09328100 | 0.89181400 |
| H | 5.23992000 | 1.10154500 | -0.88753700 |



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
$-2,057.8681330600$ a.u.
ZPE: 0.456375 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.389158
a.u.

Dispersion correction: -95.49 kcal/mol

| H | 0 | -2.09589400 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -3.17102200 | 3.19840700 | 0.46055900 |
| C | -3.18735300 | 1.77758500 | 0.32473100 |
| C | -2.61566600 | 0.99443700 | 1.36705600 |
| C | -2.05677200 | 1.66181700 | 2.46670100 |
| C | -2.06704700 | 3.06202900 | 2.57109000 |
| C | -2.62594000 | 3.85110200 | 1.57002400 |
| C | -4.10669500 | 2.70581600 | -1.51542000 |
| C | -3.78531600 | 1.48836800 | -0.95917700 |
| H | -1.64076600 | 1.07420700 | 3.27919100 |
| H | -1.64086100 | 3.53320600 | 3.45114700 |
| H | -2.63922700 | 4.93413600 | 1.64571400 |
| H | -3.86588600 | 4.71186500 | -0.86293900 |
| H | -4.56883800 | 2.91655300 | -2.46973800 |
| H | 0.68925400 | -2.74191700 | 1.04227800 |
| N | -3.75052100 | 3.72906400 | -0.66942400 |
| C | -2.61322900 | -0.46608900 | 1.29775100 |
| H | -3.46587200 | -0.94312500 | 0.82365600 |
| C | -1.61734700 | -1.26883900 | 1.73354700 |
| H | -0.69100000 | -0.86680700 | 2.12999800 |
| C | -1.63897300 | -2.71456300 | 1.50646100 |
| O | -0.61161400 | -3.40455800 | 1.39438100 |
| C | -4.02840600 | 0.15946800 | $-1.62771100$ |
| H | -4.52008400 | 0.34119900 | -2.59419500 |
| H | -4.73302800 | -0.46263100 | $-1.06236700$ |
| C | -2.77325300 | -0.63796200 | -1.83831000 |
| H | -1.80093600 | -0.18339700 | -1.96632300 |
| N | -2.83388100 | -1.94373900 | -1.83967800 |
| O | -1.64898400 | -2.68564500 | -1.96750700 |
| O | -3.85868800 | -2.65718300 | -1.73592600 |
| P | 1.54441500 | -1.17354900 | -0.29849400 |
| O | 0.42993800 | -1.17448300 | -1.29033900 |
| O | 1.58572400 | -2.31376100 | 0.77190300 |
| O | 1.64200500 | 0.16575400 | 0.60804100 |
| O | 2.95762200 | -1.21056700 | -1.07238600 |
|  | 2.04144100 | . 3 | 0.02200000 |


| C | 3.39161600 | 1.56384800 | -0.31706900 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.08896100 | 2.38006600 | -0.11548800 |
| C | 3.75270900 | 2.82695600 | -0.81833700 |
| C | 1.47865500 | 3.62360200 | -0.61236000 |
| H | 0.06479700 | 2.18159800 | 0.18138900 |
| C | 2.81240700 | 3.84492400 | -0.96513100 |
| H | 4.78442500 | 2.99925600 | -1.10820500 |
| H | 0.74209500 | 4.41317600 | -0.72222400 |
| H | 3.12014900 | 4.80831300 | -1.35922500 |
| C | 4.16066900 | -0.84468200 | -0.45298800 |
| C | 5.11872200 | -1.83946300 | -0.28229900 |
| C | 4.40590500 | 0.49924300 | -0.12354400 |
| C | 6.37059900 | -1.50400200 | 0.23192200 |
| H | 4.87533300 | -2.85762000 | -0.56590100 |
| C | 5.67687500 | 0.80569400 | 0.39495000 |
| C | 6.64875000 | -0.17724000 | 0.56958500 |
| H | 7.12161100 | -2.27575800 | 0.36724700 |
| H | 5.89054500 | 1.83136100 | 0.67850900 |
| H | 7.61860600 | 0.09131300 | 0.97616500 |
| O | -2.85284800 | -3.24064900 | 1.39422400 |
| C | -2.94609100 | -4.59789100 | 0.90020100 |
| H | -3.98545300 | -4.88528800 | 1.04897300 |
| H | -2.69803800 | -4.60091300 | -0.16228700 |
| H | -2.27823700 | -5.25430800 | 1.45830300 |

## $-\mathrm{TS} 3_{\text {ester }}$



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy: -2,057.8357778600 a.u. ZPE: 0.455468 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.391497 a.u.

Dispersion correction: -97.66 kcal/mol

| H | -0.16527300 | -1.62553000 | -1.47182500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -3.68195800 | 3.00156600 | 0.64122000 |
| C | -3.47705900 | 1.64380000 | 0.28718800 |
| C | -2.79469800 | 0.76500400 | 1.15503200 |
| C | -2.30696200 | 1.29846800 | 2.35283500 |
| C | -2.52559900 | 2.64932400 | 2.69538400 |
| C | -3.21686700 | 3.51992400 | 1.85555700 |
| C | -4.51860200 | 2.65845000 | -1.42342800 |
| C | -4.00365900 | 1.44594100 | -1.02774800 |
| H | -1.78911200 | 0.65178500 | 3.05391300 |
| H | -2.14984500 | 3.01603500 | 3.64581400 |
| H | -3.37962800 | 4.55731500 | 2.13156000 |
| H | -4.61367600 | 4.55969100 | -0.47396000 |
| H | -4.99639700 | 2.94141800 | -2.35082600 |
| H | 0.33084200 | -3.04899000 | 1.18828100 |

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & -4.34018200 & 3.59084600 & -0.41750000\end{array}$
C $\quad-2.67841800$-0.67529100 0.81799000
H $\quad-3.61782900$-1.21042500 0.69613100
C $\quad-1.57817100 \quad-1.42987000 \quad 1.26118300$
H $-0.65017700 \quad-0.93357300 \quad 1.51742600$
C $\quad-1.57636500 \quad-2.82602800 \quad 1.25887400$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { O } & -0.50706100 & -3.56759900 & 1.41547600\end{array}$
C $\quad-3.89441800 \quad 0.13986900-1.76017200$
H $\quad-3.915511000 .29733000 \quad-2.84728600$
H -4.73214900 -0.52936600 -1.53378300
C $-2.61067800-0.54630200-1.35627600$
H $-1.68073900 \quad 0.00488000 \quad-1.41212300$
N $-2.47374500-1.87525400 \quad-1.65510100$
O $-1.30957900-2.43410400 \quad-1.50133500$
O $-3.45461900-2.60035700-1.92891100$
P $\quad 1.72859900-1.15033500-0.30911400$
O $\quad 0.73818500-1.05797600-1.50588400$
O $\quad 1.58657600$-2.29508300 $\quad 0.64427500$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { O } & 1.67086800 & 0.22251200 & 0.55139600\end{array}$
O $\quad 3.15286300-1.06153600-1.06421400$
C $\quad 2.04163200 \quad 1.44958200 \quad-0.01231000$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 3.39619000 & 1.71470900 & -0.27534800\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 1.04580300 & 2.40334100 & -0.20124100\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 3.71432400 & 2.99788400 & -0.75438800\end{array}$
C $\quad 1.39275800 \quad 3.66845200 \quad-0.67451100$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{H} & 0.01952300 & 2.14884100 & 0.04118000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 2.72974100 & 3.96378400 & -0.95273900\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { H } & 4.74973300 & 3.22737600 & -0.98564300\end{array}$
H $\quad 0.62138800$ 4.41719300 -0.82418500
H $\quad 3.00578100 \quad 4.94407100 \quad-1.32817400$
C $\quad 4.30309200-0.64393000-0.38123300$
C $5.30376500-1.58702200 \quad-0.16722800$
C $\quad 4.45687200 \quad 0.70782200 \quad-0.03010300$
C $6.50635300-1.18851300 \quad 0.41541400$
H $\quad 5.13219000$-2.61408300 -0.47062600
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 5.67955500 & 1.07882900 & 0.55722900\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 6.69298900 & 0.14808000 & 0.77674100\end{array}$
H $\quad 7.29062600 ~-1.91924400 \quad 0.58536900$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 5.82155800 & 2.11198400 & 0.85807900\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 7.62390300 & 0.46473600 & 1.23629500\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { O } & -2.73032100 & -3.46917100 & 1.16794100\end{array}$
C $\quad-2.73752400 \quad-4.81270000 \quad 0.63381100$
H $\quad-3.75371800 \quad-5.17366800 \quad 0.78364600$
H $\quad-2.50592100 \quad-4.75778300 \quad-0.43277500$
H $\quad-2.02492200 \quad-5.44862700 \quad 1.15806300$

## - TS3' ${ }^{\text {ester }}$



B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) energy:
-2,057.8340608900 a.u.
ZPE: 0.45153 a.u.
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy: 0.383638 a.u.

Dispersion correction: - $91.33 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

| H | -0.25833100 | -2.92586100 | 0.30574000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 5.52439100 | -1.47771200 | -1.10926300 |
| C | 4.42729400 | -0.68118700 | -0.65867500 |
| C | 4.69249500 | 0.65891300 | -0.24708000 |
| C | 6.02134400 | 1.10975400 | -0.30410600 |
| C | 7.07217100 | 0.29768200 | -0.75122300 |
| C | 6.83908100 | -1.01136500 | -1.16336600 |
| C | 3.67278700 | -2.72812800 | -1.26358900 |
| C | 3.24600400 | -1.51101600 | -0.77573700 |
| H | 6.25010900 | 2.11545900 | 0.03295000 |
| H | 8.08231000 | 0.69468100 | -0.76827600 |
| H | 7.64655000 | -1.64899800 | -1.50986100 |
| H | 5.57227400 | -3.47732400 | -1.83414700 |
| H | 3.09124700 | -3.61057400 | -1.49118600 |
| H | 0.24724000 | -0.70084300 | 1.17885800 |
| N | 5.02908600 | -2.71389400 | -1.46112500 |
| C | 3.61903700 | 1.52598900 | 0.23221400 |
| H | 2.68625800 | 1.04217400 | 0.49224300 |
| C | 3.64802900 | 2.86676400 | 0.38868400 |
| H | 4.51378000 | 3.47054100 | 0.13806600 |
| C | 2.50742800 | 3.64292100 | 0.90219900 |
| O | 2.52627300 | 4.85438100 | 1.06372900 |
| C | 1.80761500 | -1.21273100 | -0.44597100 |
| H | 1.15364200 | -1.87002100 | -1.02171900 |
| H | 1.53613000 | -0.19645000 | -0.74510000 |
| C | 1.47909600 | -1.35426300 | 1.05484000 |
| H | 2.16853200 | -0.86737000 | 1.74120400 |
| N | 1.24581700 | -2.63340500 | 1.54068600 |
| O | 1.64778100 | -3.04920600 | 2.61131300 |
| O | 0.47999900 | -3.47580800 | 0.82851500 |
| O | 1.42241100 | 2.88126900 | 1.17696100 |
| C | 0.27207800 | 3.57535900 | 1.68632500 |
| H | -0.06120000 | 4.34094000 | 0.98157600 |
| H | -0.49452900 | 2.81135200 | 1.80880000 |
| H | 0.50004000 | 4.04735600 | 2.64545400 |
| P | -1.81435500 | -0.87654600 | 0.21211900 |
| O | -0.82214200 | -0.13592700 | 1.13692300 |
| O | -1.34786200 | -2.22083700 | -0.29726100 |
| O | -2.19510800 | 0.00693600 | -1.08806900 |
| O | -3.19114200 | -0.91189100 | 1.05955100 |
| C | -2.93325000 | 1.19186400 | -0.96357600 |
| C | -4.30317500 | 1.13315800 | -0.65856200 |
| C | -2.28336400 | 2.38998600 | -1.24444700 |
| C | -5.00732100 | 2.35032400 | -0.64768700 |
| C | -3.00851300 | 3.58111600 | -1.22788300 |
| H | -1.22531100 | 2.37190000 | -1.48220000 |
| C | -4.37336900 | 3.55877300 | -0.92957500 |
| H | -6.06333600 | 2.34045600 | -0.39697900 |
| H | -2.50837700 | 4.51915200 | -1.44677400 |
| H | -4.94279700 | 4.48253700 | -0.90900000 |
| C | -4.41956000 | -1.14699500 | 0.42480100 |
| C | -5.07137900 | -2.34426100 | 0.70458400 |
| C | -4.99266300 | -0.14979700 | -0.38213200 |
| H | -6.33919800 | -2.57331100 | 0.17090300 |
|  | -4.58393500 | -3.07219300 | 1.34396600 |

C $\quad-6.27072600-0.41045100 \quad-0.90735600$
C $-6.93852200-1.60289700 \quad-0.63598600$
H -6.85309900 -3.50502500 0.38478900

H $\quad-6.73238300$ 0.33212200 -1.55043500
H $\quad-7.92236000-1.77702100 \quad-1.05999700$

## Copies of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra



E153.caratterizzazione.protone
Sample: alchino_Si_3Me_13C
File: home/ricci/Spettri/Emilio/B153.caratterizzazione.carbonio.fid
Pulse Sequence: e 2 pul
Solvent: edc13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{c} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: ricci
File: B153.caratterizzazione.carbonio
Mercury-400 $\quad$ "m400"

Relax. delay 2.000 gec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 1.300 gec
Width 24154.6 Hz
448 repetitions
OBSERVB C13, 100.5612178 MHz

| OBSERVE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DECOUPLE | H1, |

DECOUPLE
Power 41 dB
continuously on
WALTZ-16 modulated
data processing
Resol. enhancement -0.0 Hz
FT aize 65536
Total time $4 \mathrm{hr}, 47 \mathrm{~min}, 54 \mathrm{sec}$



P1le: bona/rice1/8pettri/81bone_ncaanin1/8375_cron_carbcoio.fid
Dulse Sequadce: a2pui
Solvent: cdel3
Teap. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
operator: ricei
P1le, 8375_cron_carbonio
Harcury-400BB ${ }^{\prime}$ D 00 .
Relax. delay 1.000 a0c
Dulse 43.9 degrees
Dulse tive 1.300 ase
icq. tive
w1ath 24154.6 BI
Kiath 24154.6 日i
20000 repetitiong

DECOODLE H1, 399.9265566 MHz
Dowar 41 dB
cootiduoualy on
WRLIT-16 nodulated
data mecerssing
Lide broadenidg 0.5 Hz
FT 011265536
FI alie 65536
Total time $13 \mathrm{br}, 38 \mathrm{nid}, 3 \mathrm{acc}$

td Proton parametere
File: home/rice1/Spettri/Simone/s171_H.fid
Pulse Sequence: : 22 pul
Solvent: cde13
Amblent temperature
Operator: ricel
11e: s171 H
Mercury-400BB "m400"
Relax. delay 1.000 eec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 2.733 sec
W1dth 6398.0 repetition
28 repetitions
OBSERVE
H1,
29.
dATA PROCRSSING
pt alze 65536
Total time $4 \mathrm{~min}, 38 \mathrm{sec}$


3'b


Std Carbon experiment
File: home/rice1/Spettri/Simone/s171_C.fid


Std Proton parameters
Sample: 8173
(1e: home/ricci/Spettri/Simone/s173_H.fid
Pulse Sequence: a2pul
Solvent: acetone
Ambient temperatur
Operator: ricel
Mercury-400BB $" m^{m} 40$

Relax. delay 1.000 esec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 2.733 sec
Width 6398.0
64 repetitione
OBSERVE H1, 399.9267101 MHz
DATA PROCBSSING
PT alze 65536
Total time $4 \mathrm{~min}, 38 \mathrm{sec}$



Std Carbon experiment
Sample: F20_13C
File: home/rice1/Spettri/S1mone/B173_C.f1d
Pulse Sequance: s2pu
Solvent: dmbo
Ambient tomperature
Operator: flcol
File: 8173 c
Mercury-40 ${ }^{\text {BB }} \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}} 400 \mathrm{l}$

Pulse 44.0 degrees
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Acq. } \mathrm{time} & 1.300 \mathrm{se} \\ \text { Width } 24154.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\end{array}$
Width 24154.6 Hz
OBSERVE C $3,100.5615922 \mathrm{MHz}$
DECOUPLE $11,399.9284563 \mathrm{MHz}$
Power 41 B
continuouply on
WALTZ-16
WALTZ-16 中odulate
DATA PROCB STING
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
FT alze $65{ }^{3}{ }^{36}$
Total time $39 \mathrm{~min}, 54 \mathrm{sec}$



s150_carbonio
Sample: R304_
File: home/rice1/Spettr1/S1mone Romanin1/s150 carbonio.f1d
Pulse Sequence: s2pul
Solvent: odo13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
operator: rico1
Mercury-400BB "m400"

Relax. delay 1.000 se
Puleo 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 1.300 sec
992 repetitions
OBSERVE C13, 100.5611145 MHz
DECOUPLE H1, 399.9265566 MHz
Powelf 41 dB
continuously on
WALTZ-16 modulate
DATA PROCBSSING
data procrssing
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
PT size 65536
Total time $3 \mathrm{hr}, 24 \mathrm{~min}, 34 \mathrm{sec}$


1600 sta carbon parameters
Sample: 827
P11e: hone/r1cc1/spettr1/S1m1/s27_C.r1d
Pulse sequence: s2pul
Solvent: cacl3
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
operator: r1cc
p1le: 827 C
P11e: 827 C ${ }^{\text {C }}$
IMOVA-600

```
Relax. delay 1.000 sec
    pulse 45.0 degrees
    Acq. t1ne 1.000 se
    w1ath 36199.1 Hz
    2040 repetition
    OBSERVE C13, 150.8016298 M
    OBSERVE C13; 150.8016298 MHz
    MECOUPLE H1,
    Power 39 aB
    cont1nuously on
    WALIZ-16 modula
    L1ne broadening 1.0 Hz
    Line broadening 1.0 Hz
    Sq. sine bell 1.000 se
    ot s1ze 131072
    Total tine 1 hr, 23 min, 43 sec
```



[^13]S25_col_Br_Ph
File: home/ricci/Spettri/simone/S025_col_Br_Ph.fid
Pulse Sequence: 82pul
Solvent: odc13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: ricei
File: S025_col_Br_Ph
Mercury-400 $\mathrm{BB}^{-}{ }^{\mathrm{mm} 400 "}$

Relax. delay 1.000 sec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 2.733 sec
Width 6398.0 Hz
OBSERVE
H1, $399.9246063 ~ M H z$
DATA PROCESSING
FT aize 65536
Total time $4 \mathrm{~min}, 38 \mathrm{gec}$



Std Carbon experiment
Sample: am21A
H1e: home/rice1/Spettri/Simone Romanini/S025_col_Br_Ph-carbonio-17eett13.fid
pulse Sequence: : 2 pul
Solvent: cde13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: ricel
File: S025_col_Br_Ph-carbonio-17ettla
Mercury-400BB ${ }^{-m 400 "} \quad \stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{\circ}$
Relax. delay 1.000 gec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 1.300 se
W1dth 24154.6 Hz
1076 repetitions
OBSBRVE C13, 100.5611173 MI
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { OBSERVE } & \text { C13, } & 100.5611173 \mathrm{MHz} \\ \text { DECOUPLE } & \text { H1, } & 399.9265566 \mathrm{MHz}\end{array}$
DECOUPLE H1,
Power 41 dB
continuously on
WALTZ-16 modula
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
FT alze 65536
Total time $23 \mathrm{hr}, 46 \mathrm{sec}$

華


Std Proton parameters
Sample：TM29rilavato
File：home／ricel／Spettri／Simone／s146＿crom＿protone．fid
Pulse Sequence：${ }^{2} 2 \mathrm{pu}$
Solvent：cde13
Temp． $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{E}$
Operator：ricel


Relax．delay 1.000 eec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq．time 2.733 sec
W1dth 6398.0 Hz
24 repetitions
OBSERVE H1， 399.9245802 MHz
DATA PROCBSSING
FT alze 65536
PT alze 65536
Total time $37 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \mathrm{sec}$



S146＿crom＿carbonio
Sample：R304
File：home／ricei／Spettri／Simone Romanin1／S146＿crom＿carbonio．fid
pulse Sequence：82pu
Solvent：cdc13
Temp． $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator：ricel
F11e：S146＿crom＿carbonic
Mercury－400BB
$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m} 400} \mathrm{l}$

Relax．delay 1.000 sec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq．time 1.300 sec
Width 24154.6 Hz
1120 repetitions
OBSERVE C13， 100.5611145 MHz
DECOUPLE
P1，
Power 41
dB
Power 41 dB
Continuously on
data processing
Line broadening 1.0 Hz
FT size 65536
Total time $3 \mathrm{hr}, 34 \mathrm{~min}, 47 \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7.350 \\
& .77 .035 \\
& 76.720
\end{aligned}
$$

品気告



S32.crom.conc
Sample: alchino_Si_3Me_13C
File: home/ricci/Spettri/Simone/s032.crom.conc.fid


S32.crom.carbonio
File: home/ricci/Spettri/Simone/S032.crom.conc.carbonio.fid
Pulse Sequence: s2pul
Solvent: cdel3
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Pperator: ricci
ile: s032.crom.conc.carbonio
Mercury-400RB "m400"

Relax. delay 1.000 sec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 1.300 sec
Width 24154.6 Hz
BSERVE
DECOUPLE H1, 399.9265566 MHz
Power 41 dB
continuously on
WALTZ-16 modulated
DATA PROCESSING
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
FT size 65536
Total time $3 \mathrm{hr}, 24 \mathrm{~min}, 34 \mathrm{sec}$
$\stackrel{9}{7}$

s155_protone
Pile: home/ricel/Spettri/Simone Romanin1/S155_protone.fid
Pulse Sequence: a2pul
Solvent: cde13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: riect
P1le: S155_protone
Mercury-400BB "m400"

Relax. delay 1.000 sec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 2.733 s
Width 6398.0 Hz
Width 6398.0 Hz
OBSRRVE H1, 399.9245786 MHz
data procrssing
FT alze 65536
Total time $37 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \mathrm{sec}$



S155 carbon1。
File: home/rice1/Spettri/Simone Romanini/s155 carbonio.fid
Pulse Sequence: s2pul
Solvent: edcl3
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
operator: ricel
File: S155_carbonio
Mercury-400BB $\quad$ m400"
Relax. delay 1.000 gec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 1.300 sec
Width 24154.6 Hz
1120 repetitions
OBSERVE C13, 100.5611145 MHz
DECOUPLE H1, 399.9265566 MHz
Power 41 dB
continuously on
WALTZ-16 modulated
data processing
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
PT a1ze 65536
Total time $3 \mathrm{hr}, 24 \mathrm{~min}, 34 \mathrm{sec}$

Std Proton parameters
Pile: home/ricci/Spettri/s227_H.fid
Pulse Sequence: s2pul
Solvent: cdcl3
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: rice
P110: 8227 - ${ }^{\text {H }}$
Mercury-400BB "m400"
Relax. delay 1.000 gec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Aeq. time 2.733 ec
Width 6398.0 Hz
$\begin{aligned} & 36 \text { repetitiong } \\ & \text { OBSERVE } \\ & \text { H1, } 399.9245757 ~ M H z\end{aligned}$
data processing
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
Line broadeni
PT a1ze 65536
Total time $4 \mathrm{~min}, 38 \mathrm{sec}$



Std Carbon experiment
Sanple:
File:
s253_fr1
Pulse Sequence: 52 pul
Solvent: cact 13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{k}$
Mercury-40088 ${ }^{\text {Oper }}$ "m400"
Relax. delay 1.000 sec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acg time 1.300 sec
His
Acg. time
Nidit 24154.6 Hz
1350

Pover 41 dB
cont inuous 1 l on
cont inuous iy on
WALLZ-16 modulated
Line broadoning ois
Li
size 65536
Tistize 65536
Total time $71 \mathrm{hr}, 34 \mathrm{ain}, 56 \mathrm{sec}$

s160
Sample: 8160
1e: home/ricci/Spettri/Simone/s160_H.fid
Pulse Sequence: ${ }^{2} 2$ pul
Solvent: odc13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: ricei
File: s160_H
Mercury-400BB "m400"

Relax. delay 1.000 sec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 2.733 se
Width 6398.0 Hz
36 repetitions
OBSERVE $\quad$ H1, 399.9245827 MH
DATA Procbssing
PT a1ze 65536
Total time 4 min , 38 sec



8160_C
File: home/rice1/Spettri/Simone/s160_C.fid
Pulse Sequence: ${ }^{2} 2$ pul
Solvent: edc13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{x}$
Operator: rice
Mercury-400BB ${ }^{m} \mathrm{~m}^{2000}$
Relax. delay 1.000 gec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 1.300 gec
width 24154.6 Hz
588 repetitions
OBSERVE C13, 100.5611145 MHz
DECOUPLE H1, 399.9265566 MHz
Power 41 dB
continuously on
WALTZ-16 modulate
data procbssing
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
FT size 65536
Total time $55 \mathrm{~min}, 14 \mathrm{sec}$


Std Proton parametere
Sample: e161_a_H
File: home/ricci/Spettri/Simone/e161_a_H.fid
Pulse Sequence: $\mathbf{s}^{2 p u l}$
Solvent: cde13
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
perator: riced
Mercury-400BE "m400"
Relax. delay 1.000 gec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 2.733 se
Width 6398.0 Hz
24 repetitions
24 repetitions
OBSERVE H1, 399.9245796 MHz
data procbssina
Total time $4 \mathrm{~min}, 38 \mathrm{sec}$


3I

Sta Proton parameters
P1le: home/ricoi/spettri/simone Romanini/8176_H2.fid
Pulee Sequence: s2pul
Solvent: odol3
Teamp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~g}$
operator: ricel

Relax. delay 1.000 sec
Pulee 45.0 degrees
Aeq. time 2.733 sec
width 6398.0 Hz
48 repetitions
OBSRRVE H1, 399.9245759 MHz
M
data procrssima
PT e1ze 65536
Total time $4 \mathrm{~min}, 38$ sec



S168_carbonio
Hle: home/ricoi/spettri/Sim1/S168_carbonio.fid
pulse Sequence: s2pul
Solvent: odcl3
Temp. $25.0 \mathrm{C} / 298.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: ricoi
File: S168_carbonio
NOVA-600 " 1600 "

Relax. delay 1.000 sec
Pulse 45.0 degrees
Width $36199 \mathrm{H}^{2}$
Width 36199.1 Hz

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { OBSERVE } \\ \text { DECOUPLE } \\ \mathrm{H}\end{array}, 13,150.8016218 \mathrm{MHz}$
Power 39 dB
WALTZ-16 modul
DATA PROCBSSING
daid procrssing
Line broadening 1.0 Hz
Sq. sine bell 1.000 sec
PT size 131072
Total time $2 \mathrm{hr}, 47 \mathrm{~min}, 25 \mathrm{sec}$

| 220 | 200 | 180 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 20 | 0 ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




Solvent: Acetone
Temp. $30.0 \mathrm{C} / 303.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Operator: lunazzi
File: NACCA-233A-protone30c
INOVA-600
Relax. delay 1.000 sec Pulse 45.0 degrees
Acq. time 2.990 sec
width 9611.9 Hz
$\begin{array}{ll}16 \text { repetitions } \\ \text { OBSERVE } & \text { H1, } 599.7306975 ~ M H z\end{array}$ DATA PROCESSING
FT size 65536
Total time $1 \mathrm{~min}, 11 \mathrm{sec}$
otal time $1 \mathrm{~min}, 11 \mathrm{sec}$


233A Inova600-Triple H1-s2pul-cde13 May 292014
Solvent: Acetone
Temp. $30.0 \mathrm{C} / 303.1 \mathrm{k}$
Operator: lunazzi
pile: 233A-carbonio-lungo
InOVA-60

Relax. delay 4.000 sec
Pulse 51.0 degrees
Acq. time 1.000 sec
Width 36182.7 Hz
16000 repetitions
OBSERVE C13, 150.8021642 MHz
DECOUPLE H1, 599.7337586 MHz
Power 39 dB
continuously on
WALTZ-16 modulat
DATA PROCESSING
data processing
Line broadening 0.
Line broadening 0.5 Hz
Sq. sine bell 1.000 sec
Shifted by -1.000 sec
FT size 131072
Total time $22 \mathrm{hr}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 49 \mathrm{sec}$



Copies of the HPLC traces
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