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Figure S1. Normalized absorption spectra of a) PS:PtOEP 10 wt\% film developed by spin-coating of a toluene solution, b) thermally evaporated PtOEP film. Selected spectral ranges of (a) and (b) that present the normalized Q-band absorption spectra of c) PS:PtOEP $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ film developed by spin-coating of a toluene solution and d) thermally evaporated PtOEP film.


Figure S2. Device fill factor (FF) as a function of the excitation laser power for the PtOEP-based photodiode. In all cases, FF was determined based on Eq. 1 when the corresponding photocurrent $J-V$ curves of Figure 4 a in the main manuscript were used.

$$
F F=\frac{P_{M P}}{V_{o c} \times I_{S C}} \quad \text { Eq. } 1
$$

In Equation 1, $V_{O C}$ and $I_{s c}$ correspond to the open-circuit voltage and the short-circuit current device parameters, whereas $P_{M P}$ represents the maximum power of the PtOEP-only device with $P_{M P}=V_{M P} \times I_{M P} ; V_{M P}$ and $I_{M P}$ correspond to the photovoltage and photocurrent where the maximum power is obtained.


Figure S3. Dark J-V curves of a hole-only PtOEP device with the ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/Au configuration. The solid line is fit to the data according to Eq. 2

$$
J(V)=\frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} \mu_{0} \frac{V^{2}}{L^{3}} e^{0.89 \beta \sqrt{\frac{V}{L}}}
$$

Eq. 2

Equation 2 corresponds to a modified Mott-Gurney equation, by considering the Poole-Frenkel effect [1], where $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon$ correspond to the vacuum permittivity and the material dielectric constant respectively, $\mu_{0}$ is the zero-field hole mobility, V corresponds to the applied voltage and L corresponds to the active layer thickness.


Figure S4. Fluence dependent EQE values of the PtOEP-only photodetector, as obtained after 532 nm cw-laser photoexcitation at three different operative conditions: at short-circuit (filled squares), at -0.5 V reverse bias (semi-filled squares) and at -1 V reverse bias (open squares)
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