

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Seedling root system adaptation to water availability during maize domestication and global expansion

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Yu P., Li C., Li M., He X., Wang D., Li H., et al. (2024). Seedling root system adaptation to water availability during maize domestication and global expansion. NATURE GENETICS, 56(6), 1245-1256 [10.1038/s41588-024-01761-3].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/973534 since: 2024-07-05

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-024-01761-3

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Seedling root system adaptation to water availability during maize domestication and global expansion

- 3 Peng Yu^{1,2,#,*}, Chunhui Li^{3,#}, Meng Li^{4,#}, Xiaoming He^{1,2,#}, Danning Wang^{1,2}, Hongjie Li^{1,2}, Caroline Marcon¹, Yu Li³, Sergio Perez-Limón⁴, Xinping Chen⁵, Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo^{6,7}, Robert Koller⁸, 4 5 Ralf Metzner⁸, Dagmar van Dusschoten⁸, Daniel Pflugfelder⁸, Ljudmilla Borisjuk⁹, Iaroslav Plutenko⁹, 6 Audrey Mahon¹⁰, Marcio F.R. Resende Jr.¹⁰, Silvio Salvi¹¹, Asegidew Akale¹², Mohanned Abdalla¹², 7 Mutez Ali Ahmed¹², Felix Maximilian Bauer¹³, Andrea Schnepf¹³, Guillaume Lobet^{13,14}, Adrien Heymans¹⁴, Kiran Suresh¹⁵, Lukas Schreiber¹⁵, Chloee M. McLaughlin¹⁶, Chunjian Li¹⁷, Manfred 8 Mayer¹⁸, Chris-Carolin Schön¹⁸, Vivian Bernau¹⁹, Nicolaus von Wirén²⁰, Ruairidh J. H. Sawers^{4,*}, 9 Tianyu Wang^{3,*}, Frank Hochholdinger^{1,*} 10 11 12 ¹ Crop Functional Genomics, Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation (INRES), University of Bonn, 53113 Bonn, Germany 13 ² Emmy Noether Group Root Functional Biology, Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation 14 (INRES), University of Bonn, 53113 Bonn, Germany 15 16 ³ State Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Resources and Breeding, Institute of Crop Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 100081 Beijing, China 17 ⁴ Department of Plant Science, Pennsylvania State University, State College, Pennsylvania, USA 18 19 ⁵ College of Resources and Environment, and Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Southwest University 20 (SWU), 400715 Chongqing, P. R. China ⁶ Laboratorio de Biodiversidad y Funcionamiento Ecosistémico. Instituto de Recursos Naturales y 21 22 Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS), CSIC, Av. Reina Mercedes 10, E-41012, Sevilla, Spain ⁷ Unidad Asociada CSIC-UPO (BioFun). Universidad Pablo de Olavide, 41013 Sevilla, Spain 23 ⁸ Institute of Bio- and Geosciences, Plant Sciences (IBG-2), Forschungszentrum Juelich GmbH, 52425 24 25 23 Juelich, Germany ⁹ Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Stadt Seeland, Germany 26 ¹⁰ Horticultural Sciences Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA 27 28 ¹¹ Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna, 40127 Bologna, Italy 29 ¹² Chair of Root-Soil Interactions, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Freising, 30 Germanv 31 ¹³ Institute of Bio-and Geosciences, Agrosphere (IBG-3), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52425 32 Jülich, Germany ¹⁴ Earth and Life Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium 33 34 ¹⁵ Institute of Cellular and Molecular Botany (IZMB), Department of Ecophysiology, University of Bonn. 35 Bonn, Germany ¹⁶ Intercollege Graduate Degree Program in Plant Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, State 36 37 College, Pennsylvania, USA 38 ¹⁷ Key Laboratory of Plant-Soil Interactions, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, National Academy of Agriculture Green Development, Ministry of Education, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 39 40 P. R. China
- 41 ¹⁸ Plant Breeding, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany
- ¹⁹ North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station, USDA-Agriculture Research Service and Iowa State
 University, Ames, Iowa, USA
- ²⁰ Department of Physiology and Cell Biology, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant
 Research (IPK), 06466 Gatersleben, Germany
- 46
- 47
- 48 *#* These authors equally contributed to this work.
- 49 *To whom correspondence should be addressed:
- 50 yupeng@uni-bonn.de
- 51 rjs6686@psu.edu
- 52 wangtianyu@caas.cn
- 53 <u>hochholdinger@uni-bonn.de</u>

54 **One sentence summary**

55 We demonstrated that fewer seminal roots in maize are beneficial under drought and identified genes 56 controlling their environmental variation.

57

58 Abstract

59 The maize root system has been reshaped by indirect selection during global adaptation to new 60 agricultural environments. In this study, we characterized the root systems of >9,000 global maize 61 accessions and its wild-relatives, defining the geographical signature and genomic basis of variation in 62 seminal root number. We demonstrate that seminal root number has increased during maize 63 domestication followed by a decrease to limited water availability in locally adapted varieties. By combining environmental and phenotypic association analyses with linkage mapping, we identified 64 65 genes linking environmental variation and seminal root number. Functional characterization of the transcription factor ZmHb77 and in silico root modelling provides evidence that reshaping root system 66 67 architecture by reducing the number of seminal roots and promoting lateral root density is beneficial for 68 the resilience of maize seedlings to drought .

69 Main Text

70 The spread of crops and expansion of cultivation from their ancestral habitats were accompanied by 71 substantial phenotypic changes driven by a combination of direct farmer selection and environmental 72 adaptation (Meyer and Purugganan 2013). Maize (Zea mays ssp. mays) was initially domesticated in 73 southwest Mexico approx. 9,000 years ago from the wild lowland teosinte Zea mays ssp. parviglumis 74 with subsequent admixture with the highland teosinte Zea mays ssp. Mexicana contributing substantially 75 to modern populations (Hake and Ross-Ibarra 2015; Yang et al. 2023). Following domestication from 76 parviglumis, maize spread to the highlands of Mexico and South America (Ross-Ibarra and Piperno 77 2020; Fig. 1A). Subsequent adaptation to temperate climates allowed the expansion of maize from the 78 tropics to diverse environments around the globe (Navarro et al. 2017; Swarts et al. 2017). Root system 79 function is instrumental in colonizing new habitats (Ma et al. 2018) and acquiring resources, in particular 80 water and nutrients in natural soils of different geographical origin (Eshel and Beeckman 2013). During 81 domestication and diversification, the maize root system has become more complex by acquiring the 82 capacity to form seminal roots, a feature largely absent in the maize progenitor teosinte (Hochholdinger 83 et al. 2018; Lopez-Valdivia et al. 2022). In maize seedlings, the number of seminal roots determines the 84 overall structure of the root system and thereby the depth and soil volume that roots can explore (Yu et 85 al. 2016; Golan et al. 2018; Perkins and Lynch 2021). Seminal roots are formed endogenously in the 86 embryo between 22-40 days after pollination (Hochholdinger et al. 2004). They are beneficial for 87 nitrogen and phosphorus acquisition during maize seedling development (Perkins and Lynch 2021) and 88 can persist and remain functional during the whole life cycle of the maize plant (Hochholdinger et al. 89 2004). Nevertheless, the question of how the maize root system adapted its form and function during 90 domestication and global expansion remains elusive. However, understanding the genetic basis, 91 environmental drivers and the potential adaptive value of seminal root number variation to changing 92 environments is essential to develop crops resilient to future climatic challenges.

93 Results

94 Variation of SRN follows maize domestication

95 We investigated the environmental and genetic factors driving diversity in seminal root number (SRN) 96 in the genus Zea. We quantified SRN in a set of >9,000 Zea accessions representing the worldwide 97 diversity across the major maize cultivating regions in the Americas, Europe, Asia and Africa. Our 98 collection included 173 wild teosinte accessions, 4,868 traditional varieties and 4,049 modern inbred 99 lines. Under controlled conditions, maize varieties produced up to 11 seminal roots in traditional varieties 100 (table S1) and up to 14 seminal roots in modern inbred lines (table S2). Overall, maize varieties formed 101 on average 3.3 seminal roots, while teosinte accessions (Fig.1B and C) did not produce any seminal 102 roots in 23% of the accessions (n = 173) (fig. S1A; table S3). Interestingly, although SRN was low across 103 all teosinte accessions, highland teosinte (Zea mays ssp. mexicana) produced significantly more 104 seminal roots than the lowland teosinte parviglumis (fig. S1B). These data are consistent with the 105 previously advanced hypothesis that seminal root formation in Zea is a domestication trait (Burton et al. 106 2013; Lopez-Valdivia et al. 2022).

107 Recently, it has been suggested that the increase of seed size during domestication was a prerequisite 108 for seminal root formation (Perkins and Lynch 2021). In our study, SRN was only weakly correlated with 109 seed size or the proportion of the embryo to the whole seed area across 2,429 modern inbred lines (fig. 110 S2) and showed no relationship with embryo volume in a panel of diverse US traditional varieties (fig. 111 S3). Thus, it is likely that the formation of seminal roots is independent from the process of seed selection 112 during breeding. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the other factors from the seed may 113 have an effect on the SRN. To further investigate the relationship between seed traits and SRN, we evaluated an additional collection of 663 modern US inbred lines (table S4) and 975 globally distributed 114 115 traditional varieties (table S5). We found that sweet corn, flour corn and popcorn varieties characterized 116 by specific carbohydrate composition formed fewer seminal roots than other varieties in modern inbred 117 lines (fig. S4A) or traditional varieties (fig. S4B). Analysis of near isogenic lines with mutants that alter 118 the composition of the endosperm (sugary1, shrunken2) demonstrated that seminal root formation is 119 independent of the amount of carbohydrates available during seed development (fig. S5). Thus, we hypothesize that the increase in SRN was part of domestication during the global expansion of maize, 120 121 but was independent of seed traits in maize, which have been strongly modified by human selection and breeding. It should be noted that sowing depth might have a potential effect on the variation of SRN, because modern varieties are usually planted closer to the soil surface than teosinte.

124 Geographical and genomic signals of variation in seminal root number

125 To determine whether and how SRN varies with environment, we applied machine learning to investigate the most important climatic and soil factors associated with SRN across 1,484 georeferenced 126 traditional varieties sourced from diverse climatic and soil conditions (table S6). Traditional varieties 127 128 which originated from arid regions had fewer seminal roots compared to those of other origins (fig. S6A). 129 Using Random Forest modelling, we found that mean diurnal temperature range (MDR; Pearson's r = -130 0.36, p < 0.001), temperature seasonality (Pearson's r = -0.29, p < 0.001) and precipitation seasonality 131 (Pearson's r = -0.07, p = 0.010) were the best environmental predictor of SRN followed by soil organic 132 carbon (Pearson's r = 0.11, p < 0.001) and sand content (Pearson's r = -0.16, p < 0.001) (fig. S6B, C). 133 High MDR and precipitation seasonality are important meteorological indicators associated with extreme climate such as deserts. Importantly, we further showed that paleoclimatic levels of precipitation in the 134 135 mid-Holocene (ca. 6000 yrs ago) was a significant predictor of SRN (fig. S6D; Pearson's r = 0.30, $p < 10^{-10}$ 136 0.001), highlighting the importance of rainfall level in the evolutionary patterns of maize. To better understand the relationship between SRN and environment, we combined selected environmental 137 138 variables into a second predictive random forest model. Focusing specifically on Mexican maize, we identified a broad trend of decreasing SRN with increasing latitude (Fig. 2A). We used our trained model 139 140 to predict SRN for an additional panel of 1,781 previously genotyped and georeferenced Mexican 141 varieties (Fig. 2A; Navarro et al. 2017). Using the available genotypes and our predicted SRN values, 142 we performed a genome wide association study (GWAS; Fig. 2B), identifying genomic loci linked to the 143 combinations of environmental variables that themselves described SRN variation in our training set.

144 To phenotypically map SRN in Mexican maize, we generated and evaluated an eight-parent Multi-parent 145 Advanced Generation InterCross (MAGIC) population generated from founders that spanned the 146 previously observed latitudinal cline in SRN (Fig. 2C). Comparison of the results of predicted trait GWAS 147 and MAGIC mapping identified several shared genomic regions, including a locus on chromosome 1 148 linked to the previously described gene rootless concerning crown and seminal roots (rtcs; Fig. 2B; Taramino et al. 2007). The MAGIC population partially breaks down the population structure that can 149 150 confound studies of local adaptation. On this basis, we used the MAGIC families to generate a genome 151 wide predictive model for SRN and then applied this model to the eight founder haplotypes. Interestingly, 152 our model recovered the latitudinal trend in SRN that we have observed in our broader sampling (Fig. 153 2D). This result was robust to the removal of any single chromosome from the model, indicating that 154 effects throughout the genome were contributing to the clinal trend, consistent with persistent directional 155 selection and local adaptation. We examined more closely the region of the genome around rtcs by 156 modelling separate allele effects for each of the eight founders, recovering evidence of an allelic series 157 with effects ranging from positive to negative following the founder source from South to North (Fig. 2E). 158 Thus, our ecological and genomic models suggest SRN variation is likely shaped by indirect selection for adaptation to new environments. 159

160 Northern Flint drive seminal root differentiation

161 Previous population genetic analyses have described the expansion of maize out of Northwestern Mexico and its subsequent adaptation to the dry environment of the Southwestern US (Arizona and New 162 Mexico) (Merrill et al. 2009; da Fonseca et al. 2015). In our study, accessions sampled from the 163 164 Southwestern US had remarkably low SRN (Fig. 3A). In fact, more than 57% (53/92) of Southwestern 165 US accessions completely lacked seminal roots (Fig. 3B; table S2). Such seminal root defective 166 phenotypes from the Southwestern US were more drastic than those of the investigated teosinte lines 167 (fig. S1A; table S1). Interestingly, we observed such low SRN exclusively in the United States, Canada 168 and some European countries (table S1), which associates with a higher share of Northern Flint, a group 169 derived from the US Southwest (Doebley et al. 1986; Rebourg et al. 2003; Hu et al. 2021). Using a 170 maximum-likelihood estimation, we evaluated the effect of Northern Flint germplasm on SRN across our 171 sampling. We found that the proportion of alleles derived from Northern Flint germplasm negatively correlated with SRN in both the US (Fig. 3C) and modern European inbred lines (Fig. 3D). SRN was not 172 173 significantly correlated with proportions of germplasm derived from Tropical highlands, Tropical lowlands 174 or Southern dent (fig. S7). We next genotyped 778 geographically diverse US traditional varieties and 175 confirmed that the proportion of introgressed Northern Flint germplasm correlated negatively with SRN 176 (Fig. 3E). We also evaluated a collection of introgression lines carrying genomic regions of the typical Northern Flint traditional variety Gaspé Flint (Salvi et al. 2011). The introgression lines with higher share
of the Northern Flint genome formed fewer seminal roots than the other panels evaluated (fig. S8).
Overall, these phenotypic and genetic analyses indicate that alleles derived from Northern Flint
germplasm of Southwestern US origin are an important factor determining SRN during the local
adaptation of maize to different environments.

182 Seminal root variation contributes to root functional traits

183 To determine the potential adaptive importance of SRN across different environments, we used in silico 184 root models to determine the impact of SRN in the context of whole root system architecture using 218 representative US maize traditional varieties (table S7). We first evaluated root architectural and 185 morphological traits using a rhizobox system (Osthoff et al. 2019) to parameterize the structural-186 functional model CPlantBox (Zhou et al. 2020). The simulations illustrate that SRN negatively correlates 187 188 with seedling primary root length and lateral root density along the primary root throughout the whole 189 root system (Fig. 4A). We found that variation in SRN impacts seedling vigour by modulating the overall 190 root system conductance, Krs (Fig. 4B). To explore whether changes in SRN will reshape root system 191 architecture under realistic soil conditions, we used magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission 192 tomography (MRI-PET) to compare the maize seminal rootless mutant rtcs to an isogenic wild type line 193 that produced an average of three seminal roots (Fig. 4C). In the absence of seminal roots, the rtcs 194 mutant produced an increased number of lateral roots. Water uptake in young maize has previously been shown to be dominated by lateral roots (Ahmed et al. 2016), suggesting that reducing in SRN to 195 196 favour lateral root production may have an adaptive advantage for seed establishment in water limited 197 conditions. We further characterized a specific Southwestern US traditional variety that we had identified 198 to produce very few seminal roots but an enhanced number of lateral roots (Fig. 1C and S9). Thus, 199 variation in SRN might drive the overall dimension and branching of whole root system, which will 200 potentially determine the plant's capacity to capture water. We next used the CPlantBox realizations for 201 each of the 218 traditional varieties to determine their standard uptake fraction (SUF) and demonstrated 202 that the potentially relative contribution of lateral roots to total root water uptake decreases with 203 increasing SRN (Fig. 4D). Based on these modelling results, variation in SRN might determine the 204 overall absorptive surface by impacting lateral root formation.

205 We selected 66 representative traditional varieties (table S8) from the panel of 218 and experimentally 206 measured transpiration rates in wet soil, finding no significant difference between groups (Fig. S10). We then used a soil-plant hydraulic model and determined that the stress onset limit (i.e., the point at which 207 208 a small increase in transpiration provokes a large drop in leaf water potential at a given soil water 209 potential), occurred at less negative leaf water potential in the traditional varieties with lower SRN (Fig. 4E). Actually, maize traditional varieties with one seminal root require higher water flow rates per unit 210 211 root length than traditional varieties with five seminal roots, which induces a local drop in soil water 212 potential and exhibits an earlier stomatal closure (Abdalla et al. 2022; Cai et al. 2022). This allows 213 sustaining similar transpiration rates. We propose that such adaptive stomatal behaviour leading to lower transpiration is beneficial for seedling maize subjected to water stress. In addition, salt-simulated 214 215 drought conditions tend to increase the lignin accumulation along the tip of the primary root (fig. S11). 216 Interestingly, traditional varieties with less seminal roots tend to respond more dramatically than those 217 with more seminal roots especially under water stress condition (fig. S11), which facilitates root penetration through dry soil (Schneider et al. 2021). Thus, seminal root variation might contribute to the 218 219 optimization of root architectural, hydraulic and physiological changes for improved plant tolerance to 220 limited water availability.

221 ZmHb77 regulates root system architecture and drought resilience

To understand the genetic basis of variation in SRN in inbred maize, we performed GWAS using an association panel of 1,604 diverse modern inbred lines, which are mainly originating from the US, China and Europe and cover the maize heterotic groups used in the US and China (Li et al. 2022). We observed substantial variation in SRN, with values ranging from 0 to 12 with an average of 3 (table S3). We detected a total of 160 associated SNPs (p = 1.0e-05), corresponding to 160 candidate genes underlying SRN (Fig. 5A, table S9). Among these candidate genes, we identified *rtcs*, which is known to regulate SRN in maize (Taramino et al. 2007). We next screened for novel mutants of these candidate 230 insertions in 5 distinct genes that resulted in reduced SRN (fig. S12; table S10). Among those five genes, 231 one gene Zm00001d045398 on chromosome 9 was annotated as Homeobox-transcription factor 77 232 (ZmHb77; Qiu et al. 2022). To further validate the function of ZmHb77 in regulating root development, we generated two independent CRISPR/Cas9 knockout lines (KO#1 and KO#3) (Fig. 5B). Both mutant 233 234 alleles KO#1 and KO#3 conditioned a significant reduction in SRN (Fig. 5C-D) coupled with an increase 235 in lateral root density (Fig. 5E-F), suggesting that this gene plays a role in reshaping seedling root architecture by regulating SRN and lateral root density. Specifically, the haplotype analysis suggested 236 237 that Hap 1 contributes to significant more seminal roots than the other haplotypes (Fig. 5G). We then 238 carried out a soil cultivation box experiment with mutant and wild type plants under well-watered and 239 drought conditions followed by re-watering. The mutants showed a significant advantage regarding 240 growth and photosynthesis rate under both drought and re-watering conditions, although there were no 241 visible differences under well-watered conditions (Fig. 5H-K). Interestingly, mutants with fewer seminal 242 roots but more lateral roots were more tolerant to drought and have a higher survival rate than wild type 243 plants after re-watering, while we observed no differences between mutants and wild type under well-244 watered conditions (Fig. 5H, L). These results support the notion that ZmHb77 controls SRN and that 245 SRN-dependent root architectural traits, in particular lateral root density improve drought tolerance as 246 well as the recovery of drought stress.

247 Natural variation of the *ZmHb77* allele and function

To explore the natural variation of ZmHb77 in association with root architecture and drought tolerance, 248 249 we first aligned our structural-functional model results to georeferenced locations across the US. 250 Interestingly, root system hydraulic conductance showed a general gradient pattern from the Southwest 251 dry area to the temperate region of the US (Fig. 6A), suggesting that root hydraulic conductance might 252 have adapted with water availability. We then extended our drought analysis to the different traditional 253 varieties and verified that Northern Flint varieties (n = 5) with less seminal roots contribute to drought 254 tolerance and resilience (fig. S13A) and showed a significantly higher biomass (fig. S13B) and stomatal 255 conductance (fig. S13C) after re-watering. We next performed the haplotype analysis for ZmHb77 allele 256 in the traditional varieties and identified 41 high-confidence haplotypes (C allele) and the same number of A allele haplotypes (table S11). In particular, C allele haplotypes displayed significantly less seminal 257 258 roots but significantly higher drought tolerance than the A allele haplotypes (Fig. 6B).

259 To further identify potential isogenic lines carrying the ZmHb77 allele and drought tolerance based on 260 Northern Flint sourced varieties, we evaluated the SRN, lateral root density and dry biomass under well-261 watered and drought conditions for the whole Gaspé Flint introgersson library introgressed into B73 (Salvi et al. 2011, 2021). We first demonstrated that GF111 (inbred line developed by repeated selfing 262 263 and selected from Gaspé Flint) had a great advantage with respect to drought tolerance and resilience 264 in comparison to the inbred B73 (Fig. 6C). Next, we explored the whole introgression population (n = 71)and identified that the lines with a higher share of the GF111 genome showed significantly ($R^2 = 0.12$, 265 266 P = 0.0015) less seminal roots, but significantly ($R^2 = 0.38$, P = 7.3e-09) higher lateral root density (Fig. 6D). At the same time these genotypes provided drought tolerance as measured by the drought index 267 of the dry biomass. Specifically, we identified four introgression lines (GF111^{ZmHb77}) with ZmHb77 alleles 268 from GF111 and another four lines (B73^{ZmHb77}) from B73, respectively. The GF111^{ZmHb77} lines formed 269 less seminal roots but a significantly higher lateral root density than the B73^{ZmHb77} lines (Fig. 6D). We 270 271 then performed an RNA sequencing experiment to explore the gene expression pattern in the embryo 272 and root stele tissue. Interestingly, ZmHb77 is in general lowly expressed in the embryo tissue but highly 273 expressed in the root stele, where the lateral roots initiated (Fig. 6E), suggesting that the major function of ZmHb77 is linked with lateral root formation. Based on the specific expression pattern of ZmHb77 274 275 between the GF111^{ZmHb77} and B73^{ZmHb77} lines, ZmHb77 might function in the promotion of seminal root 276 formation but inhibition of lateral root density in maize seedlings (Fig. 6E). In particular, GF111^{ZmHb77} 277 lines displayed a strong drought tolerance as highlighted by a higher photosynthetic rate and stomal 278 conductance (Fig. 6F, fig. S14). Indeed, less inhibition of ZmHb77 on lateral root formation was demonstrated in the GF111^{ZmHb77} lines under drought followed by re-watering (Fig. 6G). Interestingly, 279 280 drought tolerance in maize driven by root architectural changes can be independently validated by the 281 rtcs mutant and its wild type (fig. S15). Finally, we summarized our finding as a schematic model in 282 which ZmHb77 acts as a central modulator contributing to the promotion of seminal root formation but 283 inhibition of lateral root density in maize seedlings. Such root architectural and functional plasticity 284 provides maize seedlings a great potential to balance the external water constraints.

285

286 Discussion

287 Plant root system architecture plays a critical role in the adaptation to environmental constraints (Giehl 288 and von Wirén 2014; Ma et al. 2018). To date, little is known on how the formation and function of root 289 systems evolved in space and time during domestication of agricultural crops. Nonetheless, it has 290 remained unclear to what extent root trait adaptation was required to introduce maize to new 291 environments and what role root traits played in maize domestication. Using the global diversity of the 292 genus Zea, our study demonstrates that SRN varies between domesticated maize traditional varieties 293 and modern inbred lines compared with their wild teosinte progenitors and suggests that variation of 294 SRN might have played an overriding role during the process of maize domestication (Fig. 1: Lopez-295 Valdivia et al. 2022). In traditional maize varieties, the demographically distinct groups sweet corn, flour 296 corn and popcorn sourced from Southwestern US have shown the fewest SRN (fig. S4). Independent 297 lines of evidence indicate that adapted alleles derived from Northern Flint maize contribute to the 298 variation of SRN in both modern inbred lines and traditional varieties (Fig. 3). Subsequent local 299 adaptation of SRN is in line with the maize domestication history, in which Northern Flint originated from 300 the Southwest US desert (Merrill et al. 2009; da Fonseca et al. 2015), and then expanded to the northern 301 US and Europe (Tenaillon and Charcosset 2011). We further applied ecological and genomic models 302 and found a clinal trend in SRN across latitude and climatic factors (Fig. 2A; fig. S9). Recently, such an 303 adaptive signature has been reported in the geographical adaptation of rice to local soil nitrogen 304 availability (Liu et al. 2021). Here, we provide evidence for rtcs, a known determinant of SRN, to 305 associate with variation in SRN along geographical gradients (Fig. 2E), emphasizing the importance of 306 landscape and environmental factors in driving root trait differentiation.

In the near future, climate change will increase the incidence of drought, imposing a major threat to crop 307 308 production (Jägermeyr et al. 2021). Improved adaptive capacity to flash drought is required for crops to 309 mitigate such negative impacts in agricultural systems (Yuan et al. 2023). To tolerate stress and optimize 310 the uptake of water even with a transient drought period, crops need to adapt root properties. We 311 detected enhanced lateral root branching in both traditional varieties (Fig. 1C) and the rtcs mutants when 312 seminal roots were absent (Fig. 4C), as well as recovering a similar result through in silico modelling 313 (Fig. 4A). At the seedling stage, traditional varieties with fewer seminal roots can substantially reduce 314 the carbon cost for the seed, and thus enable formation of highly dense and long lateral roots along the 315 primary root (Fig. 4). Interestingly, we detected a significantly higher accumulation of lignin in the primary 316 root tip of traditional varieties with few or no seminal roots under osmotic stress conditions (fig. S14). Such adaptive behaviour with enhanced lateral root branching in contact to water (Ahmed et al. 2016) 317 318 and primary root lignification for better penetration of hard and dry soil (Schneider et al. 2021) improves 319 plant tolerance to limited water availability, especially for the survival of seedlings after severe drought 320 (Wang et al. 2016). In this context, we identified the transcription factor ZmHb77 that affects overall root 321 architecture by suppressing SRN but increasing lateral root density (Fig. 5C-F). Deletion of ZmHb77 322 ultimately enhances survival of plants after recovery from drought (Fig. 5J, L). Indeed, domesticated 323 wheat and barley have also been reported to form a larger number of seminal roots than their wild 324 relatives (Grando and Ceccarelli, 1995; Golan et al. 2018). Based on the global warming scenario and 325 an increasing incidence of drought, it is necessary to consider reducing the number of seminal roots in 326 favour of lateral root branching for more efficient acquisition of soil water in the modern cultivars. It is 327 important to note that such architectural plasticity will have its major impact during the seedling stage 328 before crown roots become established (Hochholdinger et al. 2018) and sustain water uptake at later 329 developmental stages. Our systemic analyses indicate that SRN is an important driver for the formation 330 and pattern of lateral roots along the primary root (Fig. 6), thereby determining the overall absorptive 331 surface and foraging capacity of crop roots. Variation in SRN alters hydraulic properties and may bear 332 genetic potential to modify root plasticity and deepen our understanding of how plant roots sense and 333 adapt to fluctuating water availability by hydropatterning (Orosa-Puente et al. 2018) or xerobranching (Mehra et al. 2022). Future studies need to address how SRN variation can optimize root development 334 335 and hydraulic architecture for enhanced resilience in cereals (Maurel and Nacry 2015). Our results do 336 not only reveal the past signature of domestication and adaptation of maize roots, but highlight the 337 genetic potential to improve climate resilience in future crops.





339

Main figure 1. Maize evolutionary history resolves global organization of seminal root number 340 341 (SRN). A, Geographical variability of SRN in maize traditional variaties (n = 2424). SRN was determined 342 in globally collected traditional varieties of indicated geographical origin. Domestication and expansion 343 times for maize populations are indicated according to Ross-Ibarra and Piperno 2020. The global map 344 of average annual precipitation between 1991 and 2020 is derived from NOAA Climate.gov. Dot colours 345 from yellow to blue correspond to increasing SRNs. Solid arrows indicate the current evidence for global 346 maize dispersal. Dashed arrows indicate hypothesized dispersal. White dots indicate locations of 347 archaeological sites. B, Seminal root differentiation across the genus Zea including teosinte, traditional 348 varieties and modern inbred lines. Each dot indicates the average SRN of each investigated accession. 349 Number of analyzed seedlings per genotype: modern inbred lines n = 10; traditional varieties n = 20. 350 Boxes span from the first to the third quartile, lines represent the median and whiskers include data 351 within the 1.5x interguartile range of the lower and upper guartiles. Data points outside of whiskers 352 represent outliers. Significant differences among groups are indicated by different letters (ANOVA, 353 Tukey's HSD, p = 0.001). **C**, Reconstruction of root system architecture and initiation sites of seminal 354 roots by non-invasive magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in natural soil. Teosinte: I.A.12 (Ames 21793); 355 Traditional varieties: Navajo tribe (PI 311229); Guatemala 110 (PI 490825); Modern inbred lines: C30 356 (Ames 26815); CML289 (Ames 32336).



357

Main figure 2. Geographical and genomic signatures of SRN variation in Mexico. A, SRN 358 359 decreases along a latitudinal gradient from South to North in Mexico. White dots indicate locations of 360 sampled native maize traditional varieties. Green saturation indicates increasing predicted SRN based on a Random Forest model. The marginal plot depicts the calculated means of observed and predicted 361 SRN over latitude. B, Genomic loci of SRN variation. Miami plot shows GWA of SNPs predicted trait 362 363 values above the x-axis and with measured traits from a MAGIC population below the axis. Alternating 364 colours indicate the ten maize chromosomes. The rtcs gene was labelled accordingly. C, Source of the 365 eight founders of the MAGIC population. Shading on the map corresponds to an ancestry coefficient (K = 5) based on a broader genotyped panel. LOD, logarithm of odds. MAGIC founder traditional varieties 366 367 abbreviations: Gor, Gordo; Mus, Mushito; Pat, Palomero Tolugueno; Tab, Tablonicllo; Jal, Jala; Nal, Nal 368 Tel; Rev, Reventador; Zap, Zap Chico. D, Genome-wide effects in the MAGIC population support a 369 latitudinal dependency of SRN. Dots show predicted SRN for each of the eight founder parents based 370 on a genome wide model generated from the derived MAGIC families. Multiple points for each founder 371 indicate the result of dropping each chromosome in turn from the model. The trend line and correlation 372 are based on the complete model using all ten chromosomes. The grey curve shows the frequency density of the whole population with the vertical line at the mean. E, MAGIC founder allele effects in a 373 374 20 Mb window around rtcs. Polynomial fit of marker effects against source latitude for the eight alleles 375 segregating in the MAGIC population. The vertical dashed line indicates the position of rtcs.





Main figure 3. Variation in seminal root number coincides with proportional origin from Northern 377 378 Flint maize sources. A, Patterns of water availability and seminal root differentiation across the US. 379 The annual average precipitation (1991-2020) map is derived from NOAA Climate.gov. The size of the 380 pie charts indicates the number of sampled traditional varieties accessions while coloured areas denote 381 proportions of SRN classes. B, Violin plots show SRN variation in traditional varieties originating from 382 different geographical regions of the US. The traditional varieties accessions were contributed by 383 NCRPIS and CIMMYT. The geographical information of groups of traditional varieties derives from the 384 narrative information of the US National Plant Germplasm System (https://npgsweb.arsgrin.gov/gringlobal). Sample sizes are highlighted with exact numbers. Different letters indicate 385 significant differences among regional pools (ANOVA, Tukey's HSD, p = 0.001). Boxes span from the 386

387 first to the third quartiles, centre lines represent median values and whiskers extend 1.5x the interquartile 388 range of the lower and upper quartiles. Significant differences among different groups are indicated by 389 different letters (ANOVA, Tukey's HSD, p = 0.001). C-D, Correlation between SRN and the proportion of Northern Flint sources in the US Ames panel (C) and the European collection (D). Estimates of 390 historical sources for individual Ames modern inbred lines and modern European inbred lines are 391 392 extracted from Liu et al. (2003) and Gouesnard et al. (2017). Here, the proportion of Northern Flint 393 sources was correlated with SRN across modern maize inbred lines. The p value denotes the probability 394 at which the correlation coefficient is zero (null hypothesis). SS, stiff-stalk; NSS, non-stiff stalk; TS, 395 tropical/sub-tropical; Mixed, mixture of these different germplasms. E, Correlation between SRN and the 396 proportion of Northern Flint germplasm sources in US traditional varieties. The reference Northern Flint-397 sourced traditional varieties were defined according to Doebley et al. (1986). Scatter plots show 398 combined SRN data of traditional varieties from different geographical origins with best fit (solid line) 399 and 95% confidence interval (grey shading) for linear regression (p = 5.4e-109, n = 778). Different colours of dots correspond to different geographical origin of investigated traditional varieties. 400





402 Main figure 4. Variation in SRN drives overall root architectural and hydraulic properties. A, SRN 403 is negatively correlated with rooting depth of the primary root and lateral root density in different maize 404 traditional varieties accessions grown in a rhizobox system. Scatter plots show combined seminal root 405 data of traditional varieties grown in the rhizobox and linear regression with best fit (solid line) and 95% 406 confidence interval (shaded area) (n = 218). B, Seminal root variation affects overall root hydraulic 407 properties. Root system conductance (Krs) is based on 2D images of root systems grown in the rhizobox 408 and simulated root architecture by structural-functional modelling. C, Seminal root defects of the rtcs 409 mutant cause highly branched lateral roots emerging from the primary root. Reconstruction of root 410 architecture and carbon allocation by MRI combined with PET. Intensity of carbon deposition by 411 radiolabelled ¹¹C is visualized by colour code. Note that when ¹¹C was supplied to leaves for the first 412 time, the first two seminal roots were already formed. As MRI images were taken after the PET images,

413 growing root tips are not at the same position. D, Standard uptake fraction of seminal roots and lateral 414 roots as a function of SRN. For each SRN the average proportion of water uptake per root type is 415 expressed as a ratio relative to overall water uptake. The relative contribution to water uptake is 416 considered separately for the primary root, lateral roots initiated from the primary root, total seminal roots and lateral roots initiated from seminal roots. Note that some of the traditional varieties with lower 417 418 SRN already formed very short crown roots, but their contribution to water uptake is not considered. E, 419 Simulation of transpiration rates of representative traditional varieties (n = 76) from a subset of 218 420 traditional varieties. A maize traditional variety with one seminal root requires larger gradients in soil 421 water potential than a traditional variety with five seminal roots to sustain the same transpiration rate. 422 Hence stress onset limit (SOL) occurs at a lower negative leaf water potential for plants with lower SRN.



424 **Main figure 5. Functional characterization of** *ZmHb77* **controlling root traits and drought** 425 **tolerance. A**, Manhattan plot from GWA mapping of SRN in 1,604 diverse modern inbred lines. The 426 dashed horizontal line represents the suggestive threshold (p = 1.0e-05). The known gene *RTCS* and 427 five novel candidate genes controlling SRN are indicated by arrows. **B**, Sequence of *ZmHb77* and the 428 target sites of mutation by CRISPAR/Cas9. PAM, protospacer-adjacent motif. CRISPR-knockout (KO#1 429 and KO#3) plants of *ZmHb77* display lower SRN (**C**, **D**) but higher lateral root density than the wild type

423

430 (WT) (E, F). Root phenotyping was performed for two-week-old maize plants grown in the paper rolls. 431 SRN was counted and lateral root density was obtained from the number of lateral roots per cm of 432 primary root. At least ten individual plants were examined for root traits. G, Haplotype analysis of maize 433 inbred lines. Comparison of drought tolerance between WT and plants of the two ZmHb77 CRISPR knockout lines grown under well-watered (H), drought (I) and drought followed by re-watering (J). K, 434 435 Photosynthetic rate of mutants and wild type under well-watered and drought conditions. (L) Survival 436 rate of WT and ZmHb77 knockout lines after exposure to drought stress. Wild type and mutant seeds 437 were precultured under well-watered conditions until three-leaf stage, and then either adequately 438 supplied with water or not watered for another twelve days. Drought-stressed plants were re-watered 439 and the survival rate was recorded after seven days. Three biological replicates were performed and 440 each replicate included twelve individual plants. Significant differences between WT and KO lines are indicated by indicated p values (one-sided Student's t-test). ns, not significant. 441

442





444 Main figure 6. Natural variation of the ZmHb77 allele and its contribution to root architecture and drought tolerance in maize seedlings. A, Geographical distribution of root system hydraulic 445 446 conductance. Each data point corresponds to the structural-functional model outcome. B, Haplotype 447 analysis for traditional maize varieties. Boxes span from the first to the third quartile, lines represent the 448 median and whiskers include data within the 1.5x interquartile range of the lower and upper quartiles. Data points outside of whiskers represent outliers. C, Seedling performance of B73 and GF111 (inbred 449 450 line developed by repeated selfing and selected from Gaspé Flint) grown under well-watered, drought 451 and drought followed by re-watering. D, Correlation between drought index and the proportion of 452 introgressed genome from GF111. SRN, seminal root number; LRD, lateral root density; DW, dry weight. E, Tissue specific expression of ZmHb77 in the embryo and root stele between different introgressed 453

lines from B73 (1-4) and GF111 (5-8) donors. SAM, shoot apical meristem; RAM, root apical meristem;
SN, scutellar node; SRP, seminal root primordia. F, Photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance of
different introgression lines from B73 and GF111 donors under well-watered and re-watered conditions,
respectively. G, Expression of *ZmHb77* in the root stele tissue after re-watering among different
introgression lines. H, Working model of a potential function of *ZmHb77* on the formation of seminal
roots and lateral roots in contribution to maize seedling drought tolerance. MSR, more seminal roots;
LSR, less seminal roots.

461 References and notes

- 462 K. Swarts *et al.*, *Science* **357**, 512–515 (2017).
- 463 J. F. Doebley, M. M. Goodman, C. W. Stuber, *Am. J. Bot.* **73**, 64–69 (1986).
- 464 R. R. da Fonseca *et al.*, *Nat. Plants* **1**, 14003 (2015).
- 465 R. S. Meyer, M. D. Purugganan, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **14**, 840–852 (2013).
- 466 S. Hake, J. Ross-Ibarra, *eLife* **4**, p.e05861 (2015).
- 467 J. A. Romero Navarro et al., Nat. Genet. 49, 476–480 (2017).
- 468 G. Taramino *et al.*, *Plant J.* **50**, 649–659 (2007).
- 469 K. Liu, M. Goodman, S. Muse, J. S. Smith, E. D. Buckler, J. F. Doebley, *Genetics* 165, 2117–2128
 470 (2003).
- 471 Y. Hu *et al., Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1227 (2021).
- 472 F. Hochholdinger, P. Yu, C. Marcon, *Trends Plant Sci.* 23, 79–88 (2018).
- 473 C. Rebourg, M. Chastanet, B. Gouesnard, C. Welcker, P. Dubreuil, A. Charcosset, *Theor. Appl.*474 *Genet.* 106, 895–903 (2003).
- 475 W. L. Merrill *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 21019–21026 (2009).
- 476 A. Eshel, T. Beeckman, Eds., *Plant roots: the hidden half*. (CRC press, 2013).
- 477 Z. Ma et al., Nature 555, 94–97 (2018).
- 478 L. Burton, K. M. Brown, J. P. Lynch, *Crop Sci.* 53, 1042–1055 (2013).
- G. Golan, E. Hendel, G. E. Méndez Espitia, N. Schwartz, Z. Peleg, *Plant Cell Environ.* 41, 755–766 (2018).
- 481 C. Perkins, J. P. Lynch, Ann. Bot. 128, 453–468 (2021).
- 482 I. Lopez-Valdivia et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119, p.e2110245119 (2022).
- 483 C. Tötzke *et al.*, *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–10 (2021).
- 484 M. A. Ahmed, M. Zarebanadkouki, A. Kaestner, A. Carminati, *Plant Soil* **398**, 59–77 (2021).
- 485 G. Cai, M. A. Ahmed, M. Abdalla, A. Carminati, *Plant Cell Environ.* 45, 650–663 (2022)
- 486 H. M. Schneider *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, p.e2012087118 (2021).
- 487 C. Li et al., Nat. Plants 8, 750–763 (2022).
- 488 C. Marcon *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* **184**, 620–631 (2020).
- 489 A. Osthoff *et al., BMC Genom.* **20**, 325 (2019).
- 490 P. Yu, C. Gutjahr, C. Li, F. Hochholdinger, *Trends Plant Sci.* **21**, 951–961 (2016).
- 491 X. Qiu et al., Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants 28, 425–437 (2022).
- 492 X. Zhou *et al.*, *in silico Plants*, **2**, diaa001 (2020).
- 493 S. Salvi et al., BMC Plant Biol. 11, 4 (2011).
- 494 J. Jägermeyr *et al., Nat. Food* **2**, 873–885 (2021).
- 495 X. Yuan *et al., Science* **380**, 187–191 (2023).
- 496 Y. Liu, *Nature* **590**, 600–605 (2021).
- 497 S. Grando, S. Ceccarelli, *Euphytica* **86**, 73–80 (1995).
- 498 M. I. Tenaillon, A. Charcosset, C. R. Biologies 334, 221–228 (2011).
- 499 B. Orosa-Puente *et al.*, *Science* **362**, 1407–1410.
- 500 P. Mehra *et al., Science* **378**, 762–768.
- 501 B. Gouesnard *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **130**, 2165–2189 (2017).

- 502 R. F. Giehl, N. von Wirén, *Plant Physiol.* **166**, 509–517 (2014).
- 503 C. Maurel, P. Nacry, *Nat. Plants* **6**, 744–749 (2020).
- 504 J. Ross-Ibarra, D. Piperno, figshare. <u>http://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12781307.v1 (2020)</u>.
- 505 N. Yang *et al. Science* **382**, 1013 (2023).

506 Acknowledgements

507 We thank Jeffrey Ross-Ibarra (University of California-Davis, USA) for reading and comments on the 508 final version of the manuscript. We are grateful to the North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station (NCRPIS, USDA-ARS and Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA) and the International Maize and 509 510 Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) for providing seeds for this work. NCRPIS is part of the USDA-511 ARS National Plant Germplasm System. We thank Alain Charcosset (GQE-Le Moulon, INRAE, Univ. 512 Paris-Sud, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) and Manuel López Luaces (Centro Investigacións Agrarias Mabegondo, Spain) for contributing European maize 513 514 germplasm. We thank Simon Mayer and Steffen Wagner (Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop 515 Plant Research, Gatersleben, Germany) for technical support for NMR and Stefan Ortleb, Laura Kalms, Philipp Hinrichs (Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben, Germany) 516 517 for image segmentation. R.K., D.v.D, R.M. and D.P. acknowledge support from the Helmholtz 518 Association for the Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH and thank Antonia Chlubek and Jonas Bühler for 519 technical support by MRI and PET. We thank Antonia Chlubek, Gregor Huber and Jonas Bühler 520 (Forschungszentrum Juelich GmbH, IBG-2) for technical support by MRI and PET. We thank Selina 521 Siemens, Alexa Brox and Helmut Rehkopf (Crop Functional Genomics, INRES, University of Bonn, 522 Germany) for root phenotyping and DNA extraction. This work is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) grants HO2249/22-1 to F.H. and YU272/1-1 to P.Y., 444755415 523 (Emmy Noether Programme) to P.Y., 403671039 (SPP2089 "Rhizosphere spatiotemporal organisation 524 525 - a key to rhizosphere functions") to F.H. and P.Y., 403641034 (SPP2089 "Rhizosphere spatiotemporal organisation-a key to rhizosphere functions") to A.S., and Bundesministerium für Bildung und 526 Forschung (BMBF) grant 031B195C to F.H. This work was partially funded by the Deutsche 527 528 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy-529 EXC 2070 - 390732324. R.J.H.S. is supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture and Hatch Appropriations under Project number PEN04734 and Accession number 1021929, Consejo 530 531 Nacional de Ciencia Tecnologia (FOINS-2016-01) and National Science Foundation (No. 1546719). 532 T.W. is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 533 2021YFD1200700, No. 2016 YFD0100103, No. 2020YFE0202300) and Innovation Program of Chinese 534 Academy of Agricultural Sciences). The germplasm propagation is funded by the TRA Sustainable 535 Futures (University of Bonn) as part of the Excellence Strategy of the federal and state governments. X.C. is supported by the Innovation Research 2035 Pilot Plan of Southwest University (No. SWU-536 XDZD22001). This work was also supported in part by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural 537 Research Service (USDA-ARS) to V.B. 538

539 540

541 Author contributions:

- 542 Conceptualization: F.H. and P.Y.
- 543 Supervision: F.H. and P.Y.
- 544 Methodology: F.H., P.Y., T.W. and R.J.H.S.
- 545 Investigation: P.Y., C.L., M.L., X.H., D.W., H.L., C.M., H.T., S.P., M.D., R.K., R.M., D. van D., D.P., L.B.,
- 546 I.P., M.F.R.R.J., S.S., A.A., M.A., M.A.A., F.M.B., A.S., G.L., A.H., K.S., L.S., C.M.M.
- 547 Funding acquisition: P.Y., F.H., X.C., T.W., R.J.H.S and A.S.
- 548 Writing original draft: P.Y. and F.H.
- 549 Writing review & editing: All authors.

Competing interests:

551 The authors declare no competing interests.