

# ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Necessary condition in a Brezis-Oswald-type problem for mixed local and nonlocal operators

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Biagi S., Mugnai D., Vecchi E. (2022). Necessary condition in a Brezis–Oswald-type problem for mixed local and nonlocal operators. APPLIED MATHEMATICS LETTERS, 132, 1-9 [10.1016/j.aml.2022.108177].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/888027 since: 2022-06-06

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2022.108177

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Biagi, S., Mugnai, D., & Vecchi, E. (2022). Necessary condition in a Brezis–Oswaldtype problem for mixed local and nonlocal operators. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 132

The final published version is available online at <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2022.108177</u>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

### NECESSARY CONDITION IN A BREZIS-OSWALD-TYPE PROBLEM FOR MIXED LOCAL AND NONLOCAL OPERATORS

#### STEFANO BIAGI, DIMITRI MUGNAI, AND EUGENIO VECCHI

ABSTRACT. In this note we complete the study started in [4] providing a full characterization of the existence of a unique positive weak solution of a p-sublinear Dirichlet boundary value problem driven by a mixed local-nonlocal operator.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Moreover, let  $1 and <math>s \in (0, 1)$  be fixed. The aim of this short note is to complete the study started in [4] concerning the optimal solvability of the following *p*-sublinear Dirichlet problem

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{p,s}u = f(x,u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \geqq 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

1

Here,  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s}$  is the mixed local and nonlocal quasilinear operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,s} := -\Delta_p + (-\Delta)_p^s,$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  is the usual *p*-Laplace operator and  $(-\Delta)_p^s$  denotes the so-called *fractional p-Laplacian in*  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which acts on sufficiently regular functions *u* and up to a suitable normalizing constant, as follows:

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u(x) := 2 \operatorname{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} \, dx.$$

As usual, P.V. denotes the Cauchy principal value.

In order to clearly state the main theorem of this note and to review the results obtained in [4], it is worth introducing some assumptions and notation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The authors are members of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) "F. Severi". S.Biagi is partially supported by the INdAM-GNAMPA project *Metodi topologici per problemi al contorno associati a certe classi di equazioni alle derivate parziali*. D.Mugnai supported by the INdAM-GNAMPA Project 2020 "Partial differential equations: problems and models" and by the FFABR "Fondo per il finanziamento delle attività base di ricerca" 2017. E.Vecchi is supported by the INdAM-GNAMPA project *Convergenze variazionali per funzionali e operatori dipendenti da campi vettoriali* 

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A01, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. Operators of mixed order, p-sublinear Dirichlet problems.

The functional setting. To begin with, we fix once and for all the structural assumptions we require on the nonlinearity f:

- (f1)  $f: \Omega \times [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function.
- (f2)  $f(\cdot, t) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  for every  $t \ge 0$ .
- (f3) There exists a constant  $c_p > 0$  such that

$$|f(x,t)| \le c_p(1+t^{p-1})$$
 for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and every  $t \ge 0$ .

- (f4) For a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , the function  $t \mapsto \frac{f(x,t)}{t^{p-1}}$  is strictly decreasing in  $(0,\infty)$ .
- (f5) There exists  $\rho_f > 0$  such that

(1.2) 
$$f(x,t) > 0$$
 for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and every  $0 < t < \rho_f$ .

We observe that all the assumptions above are trivially satisfied in the particular case of power-type linearities  $f(x, u) = u^{\theta}$ , with  $0 \le \theta \le p - 1$ .

**Remark 1.1.** A few remarks on assumptions (f1)-(f5) are in order.

(1) If compared to the Brezis-Oswald's paper [7], our assumptions on the nonlinearity f are more restrictive: indeed, in [7] is required a one-side sublinear growth on f, and the sign assumption (f5) is not needed; thus, we can cover a smaller class of nonlinearities. For instance, the function

$$f(x,t) = f(t) := \begin{cases} \cos(t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \pi/2, \\ -(t - \pi/2)^2 & \text{if } t \ge \pi/2, \end{cases}$$

does not satisfy assumption (f3) (with p = 2), but it satisfies the one-side growth condition

 $f(t) \le 1 + t$  for every  $t \ge 0$ .

Hence, f is an 'admissible' nonlinearity in [7], but not for us.

(2) As pointed out in [4], assumption (f5) and the *two-side* growth condition in assumption (f3) are technical assumption which permit to overcome the lack of *boundary regularity* for  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s}$ , which is instead a crucial tool in [7, 11, 12]. Presently, the regularity for  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s}$  is deeply investigated, see [1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 9, 13, 14] for the case of *weak solutions* and [5] for the case of *viscosity solutions*; however, the *optimal* boundary regularity for  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s}$  in the context of weak solutions and a Hopf-type lemma seem lacking. As it will be clear from the proof of Theorem 1.3, assumptions (f3)-(f5) allows us to set up a suitable truncation/approximation argument which turns out to be a proper substitute of a Hopf-type lemma for  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s}$ .

Owing to assumption (f4), we then introduce the following functions:

$$a_0(x) := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x,t)}{t^{p-1}} \qquad a_\infty(x) := \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{f(x,t)}{t^{p-1}} \qquad \text{(for } x \in \Omega\text{)}.$$

We explicitly observe that, taking into account assumption (f5), the function  $a_0$  is non-negative but possibly unbounded from above in  $\Omega$ , and even infinite in a nonnull subset of  $\Omega$ ; on the other hand, since the two-side growth condition on f in assumption (f3) gives

$$\left|\frac{f(x,t)}{t^{p-1}}\right| \le c_p \frac{1+t^{p-1}}{t^{p-1}} \le 2c_p \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and } t \ge 1,$$

we readily infer that  $a_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Summing up, recalling (f4), we have

- (1)  $\max\{0, a_{\infty}(x)\} \le a_0(x) \le \infty$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ;
- (2)  $a_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega).$

We now introduce the function space

(1.3) 
$$\mathbb{X}_p(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u \equiv 0 \text{ a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \right\}.$$

In view of the regularity assumption on  $\partial\Omega$ , we can identify  $\mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  with the space  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Indeed, denoting with  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}$  the indicator function of  $\Omega$ , we have

(1.4) 
$$u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \iff u \cdot \mathbf{1}_\Omega \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$$

From now on, we shall tacitly identify a function  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with its 'zeroextension'  $\hat{u} := u \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\Omega} \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$ .

By the Poincaré inequality and (1.4), we get that the quantity

$$||u||_{\mathbb{X}_p} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx\right)^{1/p}, \qquad u \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega),$$

endows  $\mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  with a structure of real Banach space, which is actually isometric to  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  is separable and reflexive and  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$ .

The space  $\mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  is the right one where solutions can be found, according to the following definition.

**Definition 1.2.** Let the above assumptions and notations be in force. We say that a function  $u \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  is a *weak solution* of (1.1) if

(1) for every function  $\varphi \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  one has

(1.5) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi \, dx;$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi \, dx;$$

(2)  $u \ge 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $|\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}| > 0$ ,

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure of a measurable set.

The main result. Taking into account all the definitions and notations introduced so far, we are able to state the main result of this note.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Moreover, assume that f satisfies (f1)–(f5).

Then, if a solution  $u \in X_p(\Omega)$  of (1.1) exists, we have

(1.6) 
$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_\infty) > 0.$$

Following the notation in [4], the number  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_\infty)$  in (1.6) is the *smallest* eigenvalue of the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_\infty$  with nonlocal Dirichlet boundary conditions.

More explicitly, taking into account that  $a_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we have

(1.7) 
$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_\infty) := \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1}} \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{p,s}(u) - \int_\Omega a_\infty |u|^p \, dx \right\},$$

where we have introduced the shorthand notation

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p,s}(u) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} \, dx \, dy, \qquad u \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega).$$

**Remark 1.4.** Since  $a_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we know from [4, Prop. 5.1] that the infimum in (1.7) is actually achieved, so that  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_{\infty}) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, there exists a unique non-negative function  $u_0 \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$  and

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_\infty) = \mathcal{Q}_{p,s}(u_0) - \int_\Omega a_\infty u_0^p \, dx.$$

The relevance of Theorem 1.3 becomes clear if we combine this theorem with the main result obtained in [4], which is the following.

**Theorem 1.5** ([4, Thm. 1.2]). Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Assume that f satisfies (f1)–(f5).

Then, the following assertions hold.

- (1) If a solution to (1.1) exists, it is unique, bounded and positive in  $\Omega$ .
- (2) There exists a solution to (1.1) if

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s}-a_0) < 0 < \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s}-a_\infty).$$

Moreover, if a solution to (1.1) exists, then

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s}-a_0)<0$$

(3) In the linear case p = 2, there exists a solution to (1.1) if and only if the following condition is satisfied

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{2,s}-a_0) < 0 < \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{2,s}-a_\infty).$$

Clearly, Theorem 1.5 provides a *complete characterization* for the unique solvability of (1.1) in the linear case p = 2. By combining Theorem 1.5-(1) with our Theorem 1.3, we are able to *close the gap*: indeed, we derive that the condition

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s}-a_0) < 0 < \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s}-a_\infty),$$

is both *necessary and sufficient* for the (unique) solvability of (1.1). This gives an extension of the classical result by Brezis-Oswald [7] and in particular of its extension to the quasilinear case [11].

Remark 1.6. Some remarks concerning Theorem 1.5 are in order.

(1) The positivity property in assertion (1) is a consequence of the *Strong* Maximum Principle for the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,s}u = f(x,u)$$

proved in [4, Thm. 3.1]. As pointed out in [4, Rem. 3.4], this result holds for any nonlinearity f satisfying the following properties:

- (a)  $f(x,0) \ge 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ;
- (b)  $f(x,t) \ge -c_f t^{p-1}$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and every 0 < t < 1;
- (c)  $|f(x,t)| \leq c_p(1+t^{p-1})$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and every  $t \geq 1$ .

In particular, the sign assumption (f5) is not necessary for the strong maximum principle.

(2) As for the case of  $a_{\infty}$ , the number  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_0)$  appearing in Theorem 1.5 indicates the *smallest eigenvalue* of the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_0$  with nonlocal Dirichlet boundary conditions. However, since the map  $a_0$  is non-negative but *possibly unbounded from above or infinite*, we define (see [4, 7])

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_0) := \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1}} \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{p,s}(u) - \int_{\{u \neq 0\}} a_0 \, |u|^p \, dx \right\}.$$

We point out that, in this case, we can have  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_0) = -\infty$ .

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We now turn to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let  $u \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  be a (weak) solution of problem (1.1), according to Definition 1.2. On account of [4, Thm. 4.1], we know that u is globally bounded in  $\Omega$ ; thus, setting  $M := ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + 1 > 1$ , we can define

$$\overline{a}:\Omega\to\mathbb{R},\qquad\overline{a}(x):=\frac{f(x,M)}{M^{p-1}},$$

Owing to assumption (f4), it is readily seen that  $\overline{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ; as a consequence, we know from [4, Prop. 5.1] that the *eigenvalue problem* 

(2.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{p,s}v - \overline{a}(x)|v|^{p-2}v = \lambda|v|^{p-2}v & \text{in }\Omega, \\ v \neq 0 & \text{in }\Omega \\ v = 0 & \text{in }\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

admits a smallest eigenvalue, say  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , whose associated eigenfunctions are globally bounded and do not change sign in  $\Omega$ . We then choose an eigenfunction

$$\psi_0 \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \psi_0 \geqq 0$$

for (2.1) relative to  $\mu$ , and we claim that the following inequality holds.

(2.2) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}} - \overline{a}(x) \right) - \mu \right] (u^p - \psi_0^p) \, dx \ge 0.$$

Taking this claim for granted for a moment, we can complete the proof of the theorem. In fact, since also the function  $\psi_k = k\psi_0$  (with k > 0) is a non-negative and bounded eigenfunction for (2.1) relative to  $\mu$ , from (2.2) we infer that

(2.3) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}} - \overline{a}(x) \right) - \mu \right] (u^p - k^p \psi_0^p) \, dx \ge 0 \qquad \forall \ k > 0.$$

On the other hand, by assumption (f4) and the very definition of  $\overline{a}$ , we have

$$\frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}} - \overline{a}(x) > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus, by combining this last inequality with (2.3) (and taking into account that  $\psi_0 > 0$  in  $\Omega$  by the Strong Maximum Principle [4, Thm. 3.1]), we infer that

(2.4) 
$$\mu > 0.$$

With (2.4) at hand, it now suffices to proceed as in [7]: using again (f4), we readily see that  $\overline{a} > a_{\infty}$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ; this, together with the definition of  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_{\infty})$  and the variational characterization of  $\mu$  (see [4, Eq. (5.2)]), implies that

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{p,s} - a_\infty) \ge \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega) \\ \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1}} \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{p,s}(u) - \int_{\Omega} \overline{a}(x) \, |u|^p \, dx \right\} = \mu > 0,$$

which is exactly what we wanted to prove. Hence, we are left to prove (2.2). To this end, we exploit an *approximation argument* already used in [4] and originally introduced in [6] to study *purely nonlocal problems* at critical growth.

First of all, we arbitrarily fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and we define

$$\varphi_{1,\varepsilon} := r_{1,\varepsilon} - u, \qquad \varphi_{2,\varepsilon} := r_{2,\varepsilon} - \psi_0,$$

where

$$r_{1,\varepsilon} := \frac{\psi_0^p}{(u+\varepsilon)^{p-1}}, \qquad r_{2,\varepsilon} := \frac{u^p}{(\psi_0+\varepsilon)^{p-1}}$$

Taking into account that  $u, \psi_0 \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega), u, \psi_0 \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and that  $u, \psi_0$  are globally bounded in  $\Omega$ , we readily infer that

$$\varphi_{i,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$$
 for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ .

Hence, using  $\varphi_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varphi_{2,\varepsilon}$  as test functions in (1.5) for u and  $\psi_0$ , respectively, and adding the resulting integral identities, we obtain

(2.5)  

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi_{1,\varepsilon} \rangle \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_{0}|^{p-2} \langle \nabla \psi_{0}, \nabla \varphi_{2,\varepsilon} \rangle \, dx \\
+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{J_{p}(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi_{1,\varepsilon}(x) - \varphi_{1,\varepsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{n+ps}} \, dx \, dy \\
+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{J_{p}(\psi_{0}(x) - \psi_{0}(y))(\varphi_{2,\varepsilon}(x) - \varphi_{2,\varepsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{n+ps}} \, dx \, dy \\
= \int_{\Omega} \left( f(x, u)\varphi_{1,\varepsilon} + (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\psi_{0}^{p-1}\varphi_{2,\varepsilon} \right) \, dx,$$

where we have used the notation  $J_p(t) := |t|^{p-2}t$  (for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Now, a direct computation based on the very definition of  $\varphi_{i,\varepsilon}$ , gives

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi_{1,\varepsilon} \rangle \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla \psi_0, \nabla \varphi_{2,\varepsilon} \rangle \, dx$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}_p \Big( \nabla u, \frac{u}{\psi_0 + \varepsilon} \nabla \psi_0 \Big) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}_p \Big( \nabla \psi_0, \frac{\psi_0}{u + \varepsilon} \nabla u \Big) \, dx,$$

where we have set

$$\mathcal{A}_p(v,w) := |v|^p + (p-1)|w|^p - p|w|^{p-2} \langle v,w \rangle \qquad \text{(for } v,w \in \mathbb{R}^n\text{)}.$$

As a consequence, since  $\mathcal{A}_p(v, w) \geq 0$  for every  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (see, e.g., [4, Lem. 4.4]), identity (2.5) boils down to

(2.6)  

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f(x,u)\varphi_{1,\varepsilon} + (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\psi_{0}^{p-1}\varphi_{2,\varepsilon} \right) dx$$

$$\leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{J_{p}(u(x) - u(y))(r_{1,\varepsilon}(x) - r_{1,\varepsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} dx dy$$

$$+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{J_{p}(\psi_{0}(x) - \psi_{0}(y))(r_{2,\varepsilon}(x) - r_{2,\varepsilon}(y))}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} dx dy$$

$$- \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} dx dy - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|\psi_{0}(x) - \psi_{0}(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} dx dy$$

$$=: I_{1,\varepsilon} + I_{2,\varepsilon} - J_{1} - J_{2},$$

We now aim at passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$  in the above (2.6).

To this end, we first remind the following discrete Picone inequality: for every fixed  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  and every  $a, b, c, d \in [0, +\infty)$  with a, b > 0, one has

$$J_p(a-b)\left(\frac{c^p}{a^{p-1}} - \frac{d^p}{b^{p-1}}\right) \le |c-d|^p$$

(for a proof see, e.g., [6, Prop. 2.2]). By using this inequality, we have

(i)  $J_p(u(x) - u(y))(r_{1,\varepsilon}(x) - r_{1,\varepsilon}(y)) \le |\psi_0(x) - \psi_0(y)|^p;$ (ii)  $J_p((\psi_0(x) - \psi_0(y))(r_{2,\varepsilon}(x) - r_{2,\varepsilon}(y)) \le |u(x) - u(y)|^p.$ 

Hence, we can apply the Fatou lemma for the integrals  $I_{1,\varepsilon}, I_{2,\varepsilon}$ , obtaining

$$(2.7) \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \sup \left( I_{1,\varepsilon} + I_{2,\varepsilon} - J_1 - J_2 \right) \\ \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{J_p(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} \left( \frac{\psi_0^p}{u^{p-1}}(x) - \frac{\psi_0^p}{u^{p-1}}(y) \right) dx \, dy \\ + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{J_p(\psi_0(x) - \psi_0(y))}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} \left( \frac{u^p}{\psi_0^{p-1}}(x) - \frac{u^p}{\psi_0^{p-1}}(y) \right) dx \, dy \\ - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} \, dx \, dy - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|\psi_0(x) - \psi_0(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n + ps}} \, dx \, dy \\ =: \kappa(u_1, u_2, p), \end{cases}$$

where  $\kappa(u_1, u_2, p) \in [-\infty, 0]$  again by the discrete Picone inequality (here, to give a meaning to the integrals when x or y are not in  $\Omega$ , we have tacitly set 0/0 = 0).

We now turn our attention to the left hand side of (2.6). Taking into account the very definition of  $\varphi_{i,\varepsilon}$ , we first write

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( f(x,u)\varphi_{1,\varepsilon} + (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\psi_0^{p-1}\varphi_{2,\varepsilon} \right) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Omega} f(x,u) r_{1,\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega} (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\psi_0^{p-1} r_{2,\varepsilon} dx$$
  
- 
$$\int_{\Omega} f(x,u)u dx - \int_{\Omega} (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\psi_0^p dx$$
  
=: 
$$A_{1,\varepsilon} + A_{2,\varepsilon} - B_1 - B_2.$$

Moreover, recalling the value  $\rho_f > 0$  in (1.2), we further split  $A_{1,\varepsilon}$  as

$$A_{1,\varepsilon} = \int_{\{u < \rho_f\}} f(x,u) r_{1,\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\{u \ge \rho_f\}} f(x,u) r_{1,\varepsilon} dx =: A'_{1,\varepsilon} + A''_{1,\varepsilon}.$$

Now, by assumption (f3), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$|f(x,u) r_{1,\varepsilon}| \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{u \ge \rho_f\}} \le c_p \left(1 + \rho_f^{1-p}\right) \psi_0^p \equiv c_{p,f} \psi_0^p;$$

on the other hand, since  $\overline{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\left| (\overline{a}(x) + \mu) \psi_0^{p-1} r_{2,\varepsilon} \right| \le \left| \|a\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \mu \right| u^p \equiv c \, u^p.$$

Thus, we can then apply the Dominated Convergence theorem, obtaining

(2.8) 
$$A_1'' := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} A_{1,\varepsilon}'' = \int_{\{u \ge \rho_f\}} \frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}} \psi_0^p \, dx \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \\ A_2 := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} A_{2,\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega} (\overline{a}(x) + \mu) \, u^p \, dx \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, it remains to study the behavior of  $A'_{1,\varepsilon}$  when  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ .

First of all, using (1.2) and the fact that  $r_{1,\varepsilon}$  is nonnegative and monotone increasing with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , we can apply the Beppo Levi theorem, obtaining

(2.9) 
$$A'_{1} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} A'_{1,\varepsilon} = \int_{\{u_{1} < \rho_{f}\}} \frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}} \psi_{0}^{p} dx \in [0,+\infty].$$

On the other hand, going back to estimate (2.6) and taking into account the very definitions of the integrals  $A'_{1,\varepsilon}, A''_{1,\varepsilon}, A_{2,\varepsilon}, B_i$ , we get

$$0 \leq A_{1,\varepsilon}' \leq \left(I_{1,\varepsilon} + I_{2,\varepsilon} - J_1 - J_2\right) + B_1 + B_2 - A_{1,\varepsilon}'' - A_{2,\varepsilon}.$$

Then, by letting  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$  with the aid of (2.7)–(2.8), we obtain

$$0 \le A_1' \le \kappa(u_1, u_2, p) + B_1 + B_2 - A_1'' - A_2,$$

from which we derive at once that

(2.10) 
$$\kappa(u_1, u_2, p) > -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad A'_1 < +\infty.$$

Gathering (2.8)–(2.9), and taking into account (2.10), we finally have

(2.11)  

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( f(x,u)\varphi_{1,\varepsilon} + (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\psi_0^{p-1}\varphi_{2,\varepsilon} \right) dx \right) \\
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left( A'_{1,\varepsilon} + A''_{1,\varepsilon} + A_{2,\varepsilon} - B_1 - B_2 \right) \\
= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}}\psi_0^p + (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)u^p - f(x,u)u - (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\psi_0^p \right) dx \\
= -\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}} - (\overline{a}(x) + \mu) \right) (u^p - \psi_0^p) dx.$$

With (2.7) and (2.11) at hand, we can easily conclude the proof of the theorem. Indeed, using these cited identities we can let  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$  in (2.6), obtaining

$$-\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{f(x,u)}{u^{p-1}} - (\overline{a}(x) + \mu)\right) (u^p - \psi_0^p) \, dx \le \kappa(u_1, u_2, p) \le 0.$$

This is exactly the claimed (2.2), and the proof is now complete.

**Remark 2.1.** By carefully scrutinizing the proof of Theorem 1.3, it is clear that the regularity of  $\partial\Omega$  plays an effective role only in (1.4). Following [3], it would be also possible to look for solutions in the function space

$$\mathcal{X}_0^{1,p}(\Omega) := C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \subseteq W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

On the other hand, since our techniques do not rely on the regularity up the boundary for  $\mathcal{L}_{p,s}$  nor on an Hopf-type lemma (which are not available, as far as we know), they are also independent of the regularity of  $\partial\Omega$ ; hence, Theorems 1.3-1.5 hold for any bounded open set, by replacing  $\mathbb{X}_p(\Omega)$  with the space  $\mathcal{X}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . In this perspective, our assumptions (f3)-(f5) can be viewed as the price to pay for considering general open sets (differently to case considered in [7]).

We also point out that a related approach could be used for the case of p-sublinear nonlocal problems with Robin nonlocal boundary conditions as considered in [15], once proved that solutions are bounded.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referees for carefully checking the manuscript and for giving valuable improvements.

#### References

- S. BIAGI, S. DIPIERRO, E. VALDINOCI AND E. VECCHI, Mixed local and nonlocal elliptic operators: regularity and maximum principles, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 47(3), (2022), 585–629. 2
- [2] S. BIAGI, S. DIPIERRO, E. VALDINOCI, E. VECCHI, A quantitative Faber-Krahn inequality for some mixed local and nonlocal operators, to appear in J. Anal. Math. 2
- [3] S. BIAGI, S. DIPIERRO, E. VALDINOCI AND E. VECCHI, A Hong-Krahn-Szegö inequality for mixed local and nonlocal operators, Math. Eng. 5(1), (2022), 1–25. 2, 9
- [4] S. BIAGI, D. MUGNAI, E. VECCHI, A Brezis-Oswald approach for mixed local and nonlocal operators, arXiv:2103.11382 (2021) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
- [5] A. BISWAS, M. MODASIYA, A. SEN, Boundary regularity of mixed local-nonlocal operators and its application, arXiv:2204.07389 (2022). 2
- [6] L. BRASCO, M. SQUASSINA, Optimal solvability for a nonlocal problem at critical growth. J. Differential Equations 264 (2018), 2242–2269. 6, 7
- [7] H. BREZIS, L. OSWALD, Remarks on sublinear elliptic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 10 (1986), 55-64. 2, 4, 5, 6, 9
- [8] X. CABRÉ, S. DIPIERRO, E. VALDINOCI, The Bernstein Technique for Integro-Differential Equations, Arch Rational Mech Anal 243 (2022), 1597–1652. 2
- C. DE FILIPPIS, G. MINGIONE, Gradient regularity in mixed local and nonlocal problems, arXiv:2204.06590 (2022). 2
- [10] S. DIPIERRO, E. PROIETTI LIPPI, E. VALDINOCI, Linear theory for a mixed operator with Neumann conditions, arXiv:2006.03850 2
- [11] J.I. DÍAZ, J.E. SAÁ, Existence et unicité de solutions positives pour certaines équations elliptiques quasilinéaires, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 305 (1987), no. 12, 521–524. 2, 4
- [12] G. FRAGNELLI, D. MUGNAI, N. PAPAGEORGIOU, The Brezis-Oswald result for quasilinear Robin problems, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 16 (2016), no. 3, 603–622. 2
- [13] P. GARAIN, J. KINNUNEN, On the regularity theory for mixed local and nonlocal quasilinear elliptic equations, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 2
- [14] P. GARAIN, E. LINDGREN, Higher Hölder regularity for mixed local and nonlocal degenerate elliptic equations, arXiv:2204.13196 (2022). 2
- [15] D. MUGNAI, A. PINAMONTI, E. VECCHI, Towards a Brezis-Oswald-type result for fractional problems with Robin boundary conditions, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020), no. 2. 9

(S. Biagi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA POLITECNICO DI MILANO VIA BONARDI 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY *Email address*: stefano.biagi@polimi.it

(D. Mugnai) DIPARTIMENTO DI ECOLOGIA E BIOLOGIA (DEB) UNIVERSITÀ DELLA TUSCIA LARGO DELL'UNIVERSITÀ, 01100 VITERBO, ITALY *Email address*: dimitri.mugnai@unitus.it

(E. Vecchi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI BOLOGNA PIAZZA DI PORTA SAN DONATO 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY *Email address:* eugenio.vecchi2@unibo.it