Supporting Information

Modulation of the excited states of Ruthenium(II)-perylene dyad to access near-IR luminescence, long-lived perylene triplet state and singlet oxygen photosensitization

Isabele S. Campos[†], Andrea Fermi[§], Barbara Ventura⊥, Carlos A. F. Moraes[†], Gabriel H. Ribeiro[†], Tiago Venâncio[†], Paola Ceroni[§]*, and Rose M. Carlos [†] *

[†] Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, CP 676, CEP 13565-905, São Carlos-SP, Brazil

§ Dipartimento di Chimica Ciamician, Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna, Via Selmi 2, 40126 Bologna, Italy

¹ Institute for Organic Synthesis and Photoreactivity (ISOF), National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Via P. Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

* Corresponding Author

*Email:

rosem@ufscar.br

paola.ceroni@unibo.it

Table of Contents Materials and Methods

1.	General Informations
2.	Synthetic Protocols and Characterization Details
2. te	1. PDI-Py ligand (N,N'-Di(1,10-phenanthroline)-1,7-dipyrrolidinylperylene-3,4:9,10 tracarboxylic acid diimide) S ²
2.	2. RuPDI-Py dyad
3.	Steady State Absorption and Emission Studies in DMSO
4.	Solvatochromism
Sche	me S1. Synthetic route to PDI-Py ligand
Figu	re S1. ESI(+)-MS spectrum of PDI-Py ligand in methanol
Tabl	e S1. ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR chemical shifts (δ) of the PDI-Py ligand in DMSO-d ₆ at 600 MHz
Figu the c	re S2. (A) ¹ H NMR spectrum of PDI-Py ligand in DMSO-d ₆ at 298K, with insertion (B) o leshielding region of the spectrum. Insertion of the chemical structure of PDI Py ligandS8
Figu DM	re S3. Aromatic region in the ¹ H- ¹ H COSY NMR spectrum of the PDI-Py ligand (600 MHz SO-d ₆)
Figu DM	re S4. Aromatic region in the ¹ H- ¹³ C HSQC NMR spectrum of the PDI-Py ligand (600 MHz SO-d ₆)
Figu DM	re S5. Aromatic region in the ¹ H- ¹³ C HMBC NMR spectrum of the PDI-Py ligand (600 MHz SO-d6).
Sche	me S2. Synthetic route to RuPDI-Py dyad
Figu on []	re S6. ESI(+)-MS spectrum of RuPDI-Py dyad in acetonitrile (top) and spectrum expansion $M]^{2+}$ ion, $m/z = 673.1649$ highlighting Ruthenium isotopic distribution (bottom)S11
Tabl	e S2. ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR chemical shifts of the RuPDI-Py dyad in DMSO-d ₆ at 600 MHz. S12
Figu DM	re S8. Aromatic region in the ¹ H- ¹ H COSY NMR spectrum of the RuPDI-Py dyad (600 MHz SO-d ₆)
Figu MH:	re S9. Aromatic region in the ¹ H- ¹³ C HSQC NMR spectrum of the RuPDI-Py dyad (600 z, DMSO-d ₆)

Figure S20. Bleaching of DMA in DMSO upon irradiation at 720 nm in the presence of the RuPDI-Py dyad (A), PDI-Py ligand (B), and DMA control (C) (irradiation time = 30 minutes).
Figure S20. Bleaching of DMA in DMSO upon irradiation at 720 nm in the presence of the
of the RuPDI-Py dyad (A), PDI-Py ligand (B), [Ru(phen) ₃] ²⁺ reference (C), and DMA control (D) (irradiation time = 30 minutes)
Figure S19. Bleaching of DMA (100 μ M) in DMSO upon irradiation at 450 nm in the presence
Figure S18. Spectroelectrochemical analyses for PDI-Py and RuPDI-Py in degassed CH ₃ CN at several applied potentials
Table S3. Halfwave potentials vs. SCE for the analysed compounds in CH ₃ CNS19
V/s. TBAPF6 was used as a supporting electrolyte; ferrocene was added to the same solutions as in internal reference (0.40 V vs. SCE)
Figure S17. Cyclic voltammogram of PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) in CH ₃ CN solutions at 2
Figure S16. Spectral distribution of the amplitudes of the calculated lifetimes from global fitanalysis of the nanosecond transient absorption matrix of the RuPDI-Py dyad excited at 355 nm in DMSO under oxygen-free conditions
nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with $\lambda_{exc} = 355$ nm (B) of the [Ru(phen) ₃] ²⁺ reference in DMSO: spectral evolution and kinetic analysis at selected wavelengths
Figure S15. Femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with $\lambda_{exc} = 450$ nm (A) and
Figure S14. Absorption, emission spectra and emission lifetime decay profiles of PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) in different organic solvents at room temperature (aerated solutions), and in butyronitrile glass at 77 K. The emission lifetimes were measured at $\lambda_{exc} = 640$ nm and $\lambda_{em} = 780$ nm in aerated solutions.
solution. A: λ_{exc} = 420 nm; B: λ_{exc} = 640 nm
Figure S13. Comparison of emission spectra of RuPDI-Py (red) and PDI-Py (green) obtained from optically matched solutions ($abs = 0.1$ at the excitation wavelength) in deaerated DMSO
Figure S12. Time-resolved emission profiles for PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) with $\lambda_{EX} = 640$ nm and $\lambda_{EM} = 780$ nm in deaerated DMSO solution (c = 20 μ M)
Figure S11 – Comparison of the absorption (dashed black-line), emission (solid black line), and excitation (colored line) spectra of the PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) in deaerated DMSO solution ($c = 20 \mu$ M), except from excitation spectra that were registered for solutions with A<0.1 in the investigated spectral range
Figure S10. Aromatic region in the ¹ H- ¹³ C HMBC NMR spectrum of the RuPDI-Py dyad (600 MHz, DMSO-d ₆)

1. General Informations

One- (¹H) and two-dimensional NMR experiments (¹H-¹H COSY, ¹H-¹³C HSQC and ¹H-¹³C HMBC) were measured in a DMSO-d₆ solution using Bruker Advance 600 MHz spectrometer. Mass spectrometric measurements were carried out in the positive mode on an Agilent 6545 qTOF MS mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, EUA) equipped with an electrospray ionization interface. The full-scan MS data were produced across the mass range of 150–1500 Da and 400–800 Da. Samples were prepared by dissolving the PDI-Py ligand in methanol and the RuPDI-Py dyad in acetonitrile (LC-MS grade) and subsequently diluted to concentrations of 10 ppm and 5 ppm. Solutions were introduced into the ESI source via direct infusion through a 250 uL glass syringe. The ESI source conditions were as follows: capillary voltage 3.8 kV, sample cone voltage 700 V, cone gas flow (N₂) 12 L.min⁻¹, desolvation gas flow 10 L.min⁻¹, source temperatures 80 °C and desolvation temperature was set to 320 °C. Mass Hunter Workstation v.B.08.00 software was used for data acquisition and processing.

2. Synthetic Protocols and Characterization Details

2.1. PDI-Py ligand (N,N'-Di(1,10-phenanthroline)-1,7-dipyrrolidinylperylene-3,4:9,10tetracarboxylic acid diimide)

The starting material 1,7-dipyrrolidinylperylene-3,4:9,10-tetracarboxylic acid bisanhydride (1) was prepared according to the literature method.¹ The synthesis of the PDI-Py ligand was adapted from the previously reported methods for the structurally bay-substituted PDI compounds², and it is detailed below.

of 5-amino-1,10-phenanthroline (0.083 А mixture g, 0.424 mmol) and 1,7dipyrrolidinylperylene-3,4:9,10-tetracarboxylic acid bisanhydride (0.1 g, 0.188 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 3.0 ml pyridine and 3.0 g imidazole, and then set to reflux at 120 °C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, slow addition of the reaction mixture into 25 ml of 15% aqueous HCl solution yielded a green precipitate that was collected by gravimetric filtration and washed with 300 mL of water. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using DCM/MeOH (85/15, v/v) as the eluent. The product was obtained as a dark green solid (0.113 g, 68%). MALDI-TOF MS m/z for $C_{56}H_{36}N_8O_2$; calcd: 884.2860; found 885.2954 [M + H]⁺ and 443.1521 [M + 2H]²⁺.¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 9.23 [dd (4.3, 1.6 Hz); 2H; H₂), 9.18 (m; 2H; H₉), 8.58 [(dt (8.1, 1.8 Hz); 2H; H₄], 8.47 - 8.40 (m; 6H; H_c H₇/H_a), 8.22 (s; 2H; H₆), 7.88 [dd (8.1, 4.4 Hz); 2H; H₃]), 7.76 - 7.72 (m; 4H; H_b , H_8), 3.84 - 3.70 (m; 4H; H_{Pv}), 2.80 - 2.73 (m; 4H; H_{Pv}), 1.82 - 2.22 (m; 8H; $H_{Pv'}$) ppm.

2.2. RuPDI-Py dyad

The precursor complex *cis*-[Ru(phen)₂Cl₂] (3) was prepared by dissolving RuCl₃.3H₂O (0.2 g, 0.765 mmol), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.28 g, 1.6 mmol) and LiCl (0.23 g, 5.44 mmol) in 15 mL of DMF, and then set to reflux for 8 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the crude product was precipitated by addition of cold acetone and yielded a purple precipitate that was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with cold water and ethyl ether, and dried under vacuum. The product was obtained as a dark purple solid (0.12 g, 30%).

The RuPDI-Py dyad was prepared by dissolving *cis*-[Ru(phen)₂Cl₂] (0.036 g, 0.067 mmol) and PDI-Py (0.089 g, 0.1 mmol) in 7 mL of DMF, and then set to reflux for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, ammonium hexafluorophosphate NH₄PF₆ (0.022 g, 0.134 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture yielded a green precipitate that was collected by gravimetric filtration and washed with cold water and ethyl ether. The crude product was purified by successive recrystallizations in acetone and ethyl ether. The product was obtained as a green solid (0.063 g, 67%). MALDI-TOF MS *m*/*z* for C₇₃H₅₂N₁₂O₄Ru; calcd: 1346.3278; found 673.1649 [M]²⁺ and 449.1137 [M + H]³⁺. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 9.23 (m; 1H; H₂), 9.18 (m; 1H; H₉), 8.88 – 8.74 (m; 6H; H₂·, H₉·, H₂·/H₉·), 8.64 (m; 1H; H₆·), 8.58 (m; 1H; H₄), 8.50 – 8.35 (m; 9H; H₇, H₅·', H₆·', H_a, H_a·, H_c., H_c·), 8.27 - 8.09 (m; 7H; H₆·H₄·/H₇·, H₄·/H₇·), 7.94 – 7. 65 (m; 10H; H₃, H₈, H₃·', H₈·', H₃·, H₈·, H_b·), 3.87 – 3.71 (m; 4H; H_{Py}), 2.97 - 2.70 (m; 4H; H_{Py}), 2.18 – 1.85 (m; 8H; H_{Py}·) ppm.

3. Steady State Absorption and Emission Studies in DMSO

To investigate a possible energy transfer mechanism from the { $[Ru(phen)_3]^{2+}$ } to the {PDI-Py} unit, the excitation spectrum of the dyad at $\lambda_{EM} = 780$ nm was compared to the absorption spectrum (Figure S11b). The excitation spectrum resembles the absorption profile of PDI-Py rather than that of the dyad. Furthermore, a comparison of the emission spectra of optically matched solutions of the RuPDI-Py dyad and PDI-Py showed that when the {PDI-Py} component is selectively excited at 640 nm, the fluorescence intensity of ¹PDI-Py in the dyad is the same as that of PDI-Py (Figure S13). Meanwhile, using excitation at 420 nm, where the amount of light absorbed by the {PDI-Py} is 60%, the fluorescence yield of ¹PDI-Py in the dyad decreases by 25% compared with that of the PDI-Py reference. These results indicate that when the dyad is excited in the region where only the {PDI-Py} component absorbs light the usual PDI-Py fluorescence is observed, leading to exclude significant interactions between {PDI-Py} and {[Ru(phen)_3]²⁺} moieties in the excited state. On the other hand, when the dyad is excited where both moieties absorb light, the PDI-Py fluorescence is promoted only by the light directly absorbed by the {PDI-Py} moiety and not upon excitation of the Ru(II) complex. Taken together

with the information provided by the excitation spectrum, the latter result shows that an energy transfer process from $\{[Ru(phen)_3]^{2+}\}$ to the ¹PDI-Py state is not operative.

4. Solvatochromism

To confirm the solvatochromic behavior, the absorption and emission of PDI-Py and RuPDI-Py were further measured in various solvents of different polarities at room temperature and in a glass matrix at 77 K (Figure S14). The absorption spectra were almost identical in the examined solvents, showing only a small hyposochromic shift of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ band in THF for both compounds analyzed. On the other hand, the emission peak wavelength showed strong solvent dependence, being blue-shifted upon decreasing polarity. For example, the PDI-Py emission maximum was blue-shifted, with respect to DMSO, to 755 nm (~25 nm) ($\Phi_{fl} = 0.01$ and $\tau_{fl} = 2.80$ ns) in a nonpolar solvent such as dichloromethane (DCM) and to 747 nm (~37 nm) ($\tau_{fl} = 4.80$ ns) in a glass matrix at 77 K, indicating the CT character of the lowest singlet excited state of PDI-Py. The solvatochromic behavior of the {PDI-Py} component was preserved in the RuPDI-Py dyad and it was similar to that observed for the PDI-Py reference, i.e., the absorption spectrum of RuPDI-Py was not significantly influenced by the solvent whereas the fluorescence spectrum was blue-shifted to 765 nm (~22 nm) ($\tau_{fl} = 2.40$ ns) in dichloromethane and to 750 nm (~37 nm) ($\tau_{fl} = 4.84$ ns) in a glass matrix at 77 K.

Scheme, Figures and Tables



Scheme S1. Synthetic route to PDI-Py ligand.



Figure S1. ESI(+)-MS spectrum of PDI-Py ligand in methanol.

Droton	¹ H /ppm	COSY/ppm	¹³ C/ppm	¹ H - ¹³ C
rroton			(via HSQC)	HMBC
2	9.23	7.88	150.1	136.7
3	7.88	8.58; 9.23	123.6	127.1
4	8.58	7.78	136.1	127.1; 145.9; 150.1
6	8.22	-	127.1	132.0; 136.1; 145.9
7, a	8.43	7.75; 7.72	126.2; 131.4	122.0; 145.9; 149.9
8	7.72	8.42; 9.17	123.2	116.9; 131.4; 129.8
9	9.18	7.72	149.9	123.5
b	7.76	8.44	123.5	116.9; 118.5; 129.8
с	8.46	-	120.0	122.0; 163.9
Ру	3.78	-	50.7	-
Ру	2.85	-	50.7	-
Py'	1.80-2.20	-	25.6	-

Table S1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (δ) of the PDI-Py ligand in DMSO-d₆ at 600 MHz.



Figure S2. (A) ¹H NMR spectrum of PDI-Py ligand in DMSO-d₆ at 298K, with insertion (B) of the deshielding region of the spectrum. Insertion of the chemical structure of PDI Py ligand.



Figure S3. Aromatic region in the ¹H-¹H COSY NMR spectrum of the PDI-Py ligand (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆).



Figure S4. Aromatic region in the ¹H-¹³C HSQC NMR spectrum of the PDI-Py ligand (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆).



Figure S5. Aromatic region in the ¹H-¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum of the PDI-Py ligand (600 MHz, DMSO-d6).



Scheme S2. Synthetic route to RuPDI-Py dyad.



Figure S6. ESI(+)-MS spectrum of RuPDI-Py dyad in acetonitrile (top) and spectrum expansion on $[M]^{2+}$ ion, m/z = 673.1649 highlighting Ruthenium isotopic distribution (bottom).

Ducton	¹ H /ppm	COSY/ppm	¹³ C/ppm	${}^{1}H - {}^{13}C$
rroton			(via HSQC)	HMBC
phen-PDI				
2	9.23	7.8	150.6	124.4; 137.2; 146.1
3	7.88	8.57; 9.23	124.3	128.6
4	8.58	7.78	136.1	127.12; 145.9; 150.1
6	8.21		128.4	132.2; 146.1
7	8.42		132.3	
8	7.72		124.3	117.3; 119.1; 130.9
9	9.18	7.72	151.4	124.4; 146.1
phen-PDI/Ru				
2'	8.85	7.80	136.4	128.8; 147.8; 153.2
3', 8'	7.64 - 7.73		124.3	
4', 7'	8.14 - 8.23	7.80	153.5	127.1; 137.2; 147.7
6'	8.66		126.5	128.5; 134.0; 137.3; 147.7
9'	8.78		133.5	128.8; 147.8; 153.2
phen-Ru				
2", 9"	8.82	7.86	137.5	147.8; 153.2
3", 8"	7.86		126.9	130.9; 153.3
4", 7"	8.12	7.86	153.5	127.0; 137.2; 147.7
5", 6"	8.42		128.4	122.7; 130.9; 147.7; 151.1
PDI-Py				
a, a'	8.43 - 8.50	7.65-7.77	126.8	
b, b'	7.65 – 7.77	8.43-8.50	124.3	
c, c'	8.43 - 8.50		120.8	164.4
Ру	3.79		52.8	
Ру	2.85		52.8	
Py'	1.80-2.20		25.5	

Table S2. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the RuPDI-Py dyad in DMSO-d₆ at 600 MHz.



Figure S7. (A) 1H NMR spectrum of RuPDI-Py dyad in DMSO-d6 at 298K, with insertion (B) of the deshielding region of the spectrum. Insertion of the chemical structure of RuPDI-Py dyad.



Figure S8. Aromatic region in the ¹H-¹H COSY NMR spectrum of the RuPDI-Py dyad (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆).



Figure S9. Aromatic region in the ¹H-¹³C HSQC NMR spectrum of the RuPDI-Py dyad (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆).



Figure S10. Aromatic region in the ¹H-¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum of the RuPDI-Py dyad (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆).



Figure S11 – Comparison of the absorption (dashed black-line), emission (solid black line), and excitation (colored line) spectra of the PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) in deaerated DMSO solution ($c = 20 \mu$ M), except from excitation spectra that were registered for solutions with A<0.1 in the investigated spectral range.



Figure S12. Time-resolved emission profiles for PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) with $\lambda_{EX} = 640$ nm and $\lambda_{EM} = 780$ nm in deaerated DMSO solution (c = 20 μ M).



Figure S13. Comparison of emission spectra of RuPDI-Py (red) and PDI-Py (green) obtained from optically matched solutions (abs = 0.1 at the excitation wavelength) in deaerated DMSO solution. A: λ_{exc} = 420 nm; B: λ_{exc} = 640 nm



Figure S14. Absorption, emission spectra and emission lifetime decay profiles of PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) in different organic solvents at room temperature (aerated solutions), and in butyronitrile glass at 77 K. The emission lifetimes were measured at $\lambda_{exc} = 640$ nm and $\lambda_{em} = 780$ nm in aerated solutions.



Figure S15. Femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with $\lambda_{exc} = 450$ nm (A) and nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy with $\lambda_{exc} = 355$ nm (B) of the [Ru(phen)₃]²⁺ reference in DMSO: spectral evolution and kinetic analysis at selected wavelengths.



Figure S16. Spectral distribution of the amplitudes of the calculated lifetimes from global fitanalysis of the nanosecond transient absorption matrix of the RuPDI-Py dyad excited at 355 nm in DMSO under oxygen-free conditions.



Figure S17. Cyclic voltammogram of PDI-Py (A) and RuPDI-Py (B) in CH₃CN solutions at 2 V/s. TBAPF6 was used as a supporting electrolyte; ferrocene was added to the same solutions as in internal reference (0.40 V vs. SCE).

Compound	$E_{\rm red,1}$ / V	$E_{\mathrm{ox},1}$ / V	$E_{\rm ox,2}$ / V	$E_{\mathrm{ox,3}}$ / V
$[Ru(phen)_3]^{2+a}$	- 1.41 <i>p</i>	1.40 ^{<i>p</i>}	-	-
PDI-Py	$-0.70^{\ p}$	0.70 ^{<i>p</i>}	0.81 ^p	-
RuPDI-Py	- 0.76 ^p	0.68	0.80	1.35

Table S3. Halfwave potentials vs. SCE for the analysed compounds in CH₃CN.

^a See Reference XX (Tokel-Takvoryan, N. E.; Hemingway, R. E.; Bard, A. J. Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence. XIII. Electrochemical and Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence Studies of Ruthenium Chelates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973, 95 (20), 6582–6589)
 ^p Peak potentials.





Figure S18. Spectroelectrochemical analyses for PDI-Py and RuPDI-Py in degassed CH₃CN at several applied potentials.



Figure S19. Bleaching of DMA (100 μ M) in DMSO upon irradiation at 450 nm in the presence of the RuPDI-Py dyad (A), PDI-Py ligand (B), [Ru(phen)₃]²⁺ reference (C), and DMA control (D) (irradiation time = 30 minutes).



Figure S20. Bleaching of DMA in DMSO upon irradiation at 720 nm in the presence of the RuPDI-Py dyad (A), PDI-Py ligand (B), and DMA control (C) (irradiation time = 30 minutes).

References

- Würthner, F. Preparation and Characterization of Regioisomerically Pure 1,7-Disubstituted Perylene Bisimide Dyes. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2004, 69 (23), 7933–7939. https://doi.org/10.1021/JO048880D.
- Işık Büyükekşi, S.; Şengül, A.; Erdönmez, S.; Altındal, A.; Orman, E. B.; Özkaya, A. R.
 Spectroscopic, Electrochemical and Photovoltaic Properties of Pt(II) and Pd(II)
 Complexes of a Chelating 1,10-Phenanthroline Appended Perylene Diimide. *Dalton Transactions* 2018, 47 (8), 2549–2560. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7DT04713D.