

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna  
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on head and neck cancer diagnosis: data from a single referral center, South Tyrol, northern Italy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Gazzini, L., Fazio, E., Dallari, V., Accorona, R., Abousiam, M., Nebiaj, A., et al. (2022). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on head and neck cancer diagnosis: data from a single referral center, South Tyrol, northern Italy. EUROPEAN ARCHIVES OF OTO-RHINO-LARYNGOLOGY, 279(6), 3159-3166 [10.1007/s00405-021-07164-y].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/1029885> since: 2025-11-24

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-021-07164-y>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

**Title:** Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on head and neck cancer diagnosis. Data from a single referral center, South Tyrol, northern Italy.

**Authors**

Luca Gazzini<sup>1</sup>; Enrico Fazio<sup>1</sup>; Virginia Dallari<sup>2</sup>; Remo Accorona<sup>3</sup>; Monir Abousiam<sup>1</sup>; Aurel Nebiaj<sup>1</sup>; Giovanni Giorgetti<sup>1</sup>; Ilaria Girolami<sup>4</sup>; Fabio Vittadello<sup>4</sup>; Roberto Magnato<sup>5</sup>; Martin Patscheider<sup>6</sup>; Guido Mazzoleni<sup>4</sup>; Luca Calabrese<sup>1</sup>

**Affiliations**

<sup>1</sup> Division of Otorhinolaryngology, “San Maurizio” Hospital, Bolzano, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Division of Otorhinolaryngology, Department of Surgery, Dentistry, Gynecology, and Pediatrics, University of Verona, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Department of Otorhinolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy

<sup>4</sup> Division of Pathological Anatomy, “San Maurizio” Hospital, Bolzano, Italy

<sup>5</sup> Division of Otorhinolaryngology, "Franz Tappeiner" Hospital, Merano, Italy

<sup>6</sup> Division of Otorhinolaryngology, Bressanone Hospital, Bressanone, Italy

**Type of Article**

Original article

**Corresponding author**

Virginia Dallari

Division of Otorhinolaryngology, Department of Surgery, Dentistry, Gynecology, and Pediatrics, University of Verona, University Hospital of Verona, Piazzale Aristide Stefani, 1, 37126, Verona, Italy

Email: [virginia.dallari@gmail.com](mailto:virginia.dallari@gmail.com)

Work phone: + 39- 0458122330 Fax: + 39- 0458122313

## 1 **Abstract**

### 2 Objective

3 The aim of the study was to evaluate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on new diagnoses of  
4 head and neck cancer (HNC) in South Tyrol, northern Italy in terms of the number of new diagnoses  
5 and worsening disease stage due to diagnostic delay.

### 7 Methods

8 Patients were divided into two groups: the control group with a first diagnosis of HNC in the 10  
9 months before the national lockdown (March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020) and the study group with a first diagnosis of  
10 HNC in the 10 months after lockdown.

### 12 Results

13 A total of 124 patients were included in the study. Before the spread of COVID-19, 79 new diagnoses  
14 of HNCs were registered, while in the period after the lockdown, 45 new cancers cases were  
15 diagnosed and the difference was statistically significant ( $p = 0.01278$ ). Early clinical T-stage results  
16 showed 52 cases in the control group and 21 in the study group, again with a significant difference  
17 ( $p=0.03711$ ). Advanced T-stage results showed 27 cases in the control group and 24 in the study  
18 group.

### 20 Conclusions

21 This study highlights the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on HNCs, showing a statistically  
22 significant difference in the number of diagnoses before and after the lockdown which was related to  
23 the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, and with a relevant decrease in early cT-staged HNCs.

### 25 **Keywords**

26 oncology; head and neck; cancers; COVID-19; staging; diagnostic delay

## 27 **MAIN BODY OF MANUSCRIPT FOR ORIGINAL ARTICLES**

### 28 **Introduction**

29 The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has resulted in thousands of deaths worldwide and has necessitated the  
30 rapid redeployment and mobilization of substantial health care resources [1]. Italy was one of the first  
31 and most affected European countries. Several strategies have been established by the Italian  
32 government to contain the pandemic. Initially, on February 20<sup>th</sup> 2020, local quarantines were imposed  
33 in the most affected areas in the north of the country. Subsequently, stricter lockdown measures were  
34 extended nationally from March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020 [2].

35 Although Italy experienced a reduction in the number of COVID-19-positive cases in the summer of  
36 2020, from the end of October, the country faced a second pandemic wave. In this unprecedented  
37 situation, the main priority of every health center was to contain the spread of the virus. To this end,  
38 the government decided to cut non-emergency health care services [1,3,4], risking the health of  
39 patients with acute diseases (e.g. cardiovascular, trauma) mainly due to the suspension of regional  
40 and hospital emergency care systems. Indeed, oncologic patients requiring time-critical access to  
41 health care services have also been seriously affected as a consequence of the pandemic. Screening,  
42 timing of work-up, and referral to cancer centers are essential for improved prognosis in oncologic  
43 patients [5], including those with head and neck cancers (HNCs).

44 In most HNC cases, early diagnosis represents the boundary between less and more aggressive  
45 surgery, or between resectability and non-resectability of the disease, with a consequent increase in  
46 morbidity and mortality [6].

47 In this study, we analyzed the impact of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on new diagnoses and worsening  
48 of clinical TNM stage at the referral center for HNCs, Division of Otorhinolaryngology, “San  
49 Maurizio” Hospital of Bolzano, where all head and neck oncological cases from the autonomous  
50 province of South Tyrol, in northern Italy, are centralized.

51 South Tyrol represents favorable region to conduct such research both because of higher incidence  
52 of HNC comparing to southern regions of Italy, and that the center represents only certified center  
53 for oncology by decision of the national and local health authorities.

54

## 55 **Materials and Methods**

56 All of the clinical documentation and histological reports from the referral center for HNCs of “San  
57 Maurizio” Hospital, in the period between May 2019 and January 2021 were reviewed. All of the  
58 patients with a first diagnosis of solid neoplasms in the head and neck district were enrolled in the  
59 study.

### 60 *Inclusion criteria:*

- 61 - Patients with a first diagnosis of a head and neck solid neoplasm in the nose and paranasal sinuses,  
62 nasopharynx, oral cavity, oropharynx, larynx, hypopharynx, salivary glands, or neck lymph node  
63 metastases from a cancer of unknown primary (CUP);
- 64 - Patients who were permanent residents in the autonomous province of South Tyrol, Italy.

### 65 *Exclusion criteria:*

- 66 - Patients in follow-up for HNCs;
- 67 - Patients with relapsing HNCs;
- 68 - Patients with thyroid neoplasms;
- 69 - Patients with head and neck localization of hematological neoplasms;
- 70 - Patients with head and neck cutaneous neoplasms;
- 71 - Patients referred to the Division of Otorhinolaryngology of “San Maurizio” Hospital from other  
72 Italian regions or from abroad.

73

74 Depending on the timing of the national lockdown in Italy as a consequence of the SARS-CoV-2  
75 pandemic (March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020), patients who met the inclusion criteria were divided into two groups:

76 the control group included patients with a first diagnosis of HNC in the 10 months before lockdown,  
77 and the study group included patients with a first diagnosis of HNC in the 10 months after lockdown.  
78 Demographic data and tumor characteristics, including specific head and neck subsite and stage  
79 (clinical classification according to the 8<sup>th</sup> edition of the TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors<sup>7,8</sup>)  
80 were collected.

81 The analysis aimed to examine:

82 1) The total number of pre- and post-lockdown diagnoses of HNCs.

83 2) The clinical T-stage of the tumors diagnosed in the pre- and post-lockdown periods.

84 Secondly, we analyzed the number of diagnoses for every head and neck subsite in the two groups.

85

86 *Statistical analysis:*

87 This was performed using R version 3.5.2 software (R Core Team (2018). R: A language and  
88 environment for statistical computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

89 Univariate analysis of the data was conducted using the *t*-test for normally distributed continuous  
90 variables, the Mann–Whitney *U*-test for non-normally distributed continuous variables, and the Chi-  
91 squared test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

92

## 93 **Results**

94 A total of 124 patients who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. In the period from  
95 May 9<sup>th</sup>, 2019 to March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2020, 79 new diagnoses of HNCs were registered, while in the subsequent  
96 period from March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020 to January 9<sup>th</sup>, 2021, 45 new cancer cases were diagnosed.

97 The average rate of new diagnoses in the period before the spread of SARS-CoV-2 was 7 per month,  
98 while in the following period, this decreased to 5 per month, and the difference between the groups  
99 was statistically significant (Mann–Whitney *U*-test  $p = 0.01278$ ) (Fig. 1). The trend of new diagnoses  
100 in relation to the legal restrictions imposed to limit the spread of the pandemic is shown in Figure 2.

101 The average age at diagnosis was comparable in the two groups: 70.3 years in the pre-COVID-19  
102 group and 69.4 years in the post-COVID-19 group ( $p=0.70394$ ).

103 The patients were also divided into two groups based on age with 70 years as the cut-off. In the pre-  
104 COVID-19 period, 33 patients were younger than 70 and 46 were older than 70, while in the post-  
105 COVID-19 period, there were 23 patients younger than 70 and 22 older than 70. The decrease in the  
106 number of diagnoses of HNCs in the post-COVID-19 group older than 70 years was not statistically  
107 significantly different from the number of diagnoses in the equivalent pre-COVID-19 group ( $p =$   
108  $0.314992$ ). Furthermore, analyzing the age at diagnosis compared with the clinical T-stage (cT), in  
109 the control group, there were 25 early cancers and 8 advanced cancers in patients younger than 70  
110 years, and 27 early cancers and 19 advanced cancers in those over 70 years ( $p = 0.114827$ ). In the  
111 same analysis performed in the study group, there were 10 early cancers and 13 advanced cancers in  
112 the younger patient group and 11 early and 11 advanced cancers in the older patient group ( $p =$   
113  $0.661126$ ).

114 A multivariate analysis using a binary logistic regression model was carried out to evaluate the  
115 association between the diagnosis of advanced cancer ( $cT \geq 3$ ), the time of diagnosis (pre- and post-  
116 COVID-19) and the age of the patient at the time of diagnosis. The time of diagnosis was significantly  
117 linked to the diagnosis of advanced cancer ( $OR=2.254$ , 95% CI 1.06–4.79,  $p = 0.282$ ), while the  
118 patient's age was not significantly associated ( $OR=1.018$ , 95% CI 0.99–1.05,  $p = 0.282$ ).

119 Data regarding tumor classification and clinical staging are summarized in Tables I-II and III,  
120 respectively. For patients with an early clinical T-stage ( $cT1-T2$ ) and  $cT0$  (CUP metastases), there  
121 were 52 cases in the control group and 21 in the study group and the difference between these groups  
122 was statistically significant (Chi-squared test  $p=0.03711$ ) (Fig. 3). Regarding CUP metastases there  
123 were 6 cases in the pre-COVID-19 group (1 HPV/p16 related and 5 non-viral related  
124 CUP metastases), whereas no CUP cases were recorded in the post-COVID-19 group.

125 On the other hand, the number of patients with an advanced T-stage (cT3-cT4) remained stable (27  
126 cases in the control group and 24 in the study group) with no significant difference between the two  
127 groups.

128 Comparing early stage tumors (Stage I and II) in the control group with early stage tumors in the  
129 study group, and advanced stage tumors (Stage III and IV) in the control group with advanced stage  
130 tumors in the study group, the difference was not statistically significant (Chi-squared test  $p=0.6968$ ).

131 The incidence of new diagnoses split into anatomic subsites is summarized in Figure 4. Although  
132 there were differences in incidence in subsites pre- and post-COVID-19, none were statistically  
133 significant. The average time to diagnosis (interval between the first signs and diagnosis) was 64  
134 (15-180) days in the pre-COVID-19 group and 73,5 (7-180) days in the post-COVID-19 group,  
135 the difference was not statistically significant (Mann-Whitney U Test  $p=0.18024$ ). The average  
136 time to treatment (interval between diagnosis and treatment) was 28,9 (10-43) days in the pre-  
137 COVID-19 group and 30,4 (18-63) days in the post-COVID-19 group, the difference was not  
138 statistically significant (Mann-Whitney U Test  $p=0.77182$ ).

139

## 140 **Discussion**

141 The SARS-CoV-2 infection started in the Chinese region of Wuhan in late 2019, and has had a  
142 massive impact in Europe and North America. Daily life changed significantly through the imposition  
143 of quarantine and lockdown, and the pandemic had a huge impact on the health systems in many  
144 countries. To face this, health systems worldwide had to be rapidly transformed to deal with the  
145 unprecedented situation. Health workers were at the center of this transformation, and a large portion  
146 were asked to treat COVID-19 patients. This had a knock-on effect on other categories of patients,  
147 resulting in an immediate increase in mortality for emergency cases (e.g. cardiovascular diseases,  
148 traumas), and a severe diagnostic delay for oncologic patients [1,3-6,9,10]. In effect, the number of  
149 outpatient visits and instrumental examinations decreased during the pandemic period due to the need  
150 for social distancing and the delay in non-urgent investigations [11].

151 During the Italian lockdown, essential diagnostic services such as oncologic screening were  
152 substantially reduced not only because of the reassignment of health care personnel but also because  
153 of patients' fear of the hospital environment [4,5]. HNC patients experienced a similar fate [11-15].  
154 In a study on 154 Italian Otorhinolaryngology Units, Mannelli et al. noted a marked reduction of  
155 more than 80% in outpatient and surgical services during the COVID-19 crisis in Italy [16].

156 Surgery is the main course of treatment for most HNCs, and after the outbreak, waiting lists were  
157 inevitably prolonged as a result of reallocation of anesthesiologists and reassignment of intensive care  
158 units (ICUs) into COVID-19 wards for treatment of the most severe COVID 19 cases. As a result,  
159 the delay in diagnosis and treatment could lead to more aggressive surgical procedures, with  
160 increasing morbidity and increased risk of recurrence, or to a tumor shift to a non-resectable disease,  
161 with an associated increase in mortality [6].

162 The main purpose of our study was to analyze the impact of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on new  
163 diagnoses and worsening of clinical T-stage of HNCs in a specific area of northern Italy, the  
164 autonomous province of South Tyrol.

165 HNCs represent about 3% of all malignant tumors in Italy. Approximately 172,000 new cases are  
166 diagnosed each year, and most are observed in the male population (approximately 131,000 cases).  
167 The annual incidence is 18/100,000 inhabitants, similar to that found in the European Union. The  
168 disease is three times more common in the north of the country (34.7/100,000 population) [17].

169 We believe that South Tyrol is a favorable region to conduct the present research. In fact, "San  
170 Maurizio" Hospital of Bolzano is the only certified center for oncology by decision of the national  
171 and local health authority, and all cases are referred to this center. Therefore, all of the data in the  
172 study period was available for analysis.

173 To avoid bias due to the drastic change in patient mobility following the restrictions introduced to  
174 limit the spread of the pandemic, all HNC patients moving to our referral center from other Italian  
175 regions or different countries were excluded from this study. Thyroid cancers, head and neck  
176 hematologic neoplasms, and head and neck skin cancers were excluded from the study as all these

177 diseases could potentially have been diagnosed and treated by different specialists. The data of  
178 greatest interest in our study were both the number of cases reported to our institute in a matching  
179 time frame pre- and post-lockdown, and the T clinical staging, divided into groups: early T-stage (cT0  
180 and cT1-T2) and advanced T-stage (cT3-T4). From our study, we strongly believe that T-stage is the  
181 most important parameter in the context of HNCs. Indeed, this is the most important factor prompting  
182 patients to seek an assessment at emergency rooms. Our study also included cT0 (CUP metastases)  
183 in the early T-stage group as patients with isolated neck swelling often attend surgery after a visit to  
184 the GP and/or assessment by ultrasonography, both first-line services heavily undermined by the  
185 health crisis and the reason why this study also included cT0 (CUP metastases) in the early T-stage  
186 group.

187 Elderly patients were classified as a high-risk group and were discouraged from attending routine  
188 specialist visits during the COVID-19 pandemic. A greater reduction in the number of new diagnoses  
189 for these patients could be hypothesized compared with younger patients. Our data showed a greater  
190 decline in the number of new diagnoses in the study group in patients older than 70 years (46 patients  
191 in the control group and 22 in the study group) compared with the number of new diagnoses in the  
192 younger patients which remained stable (23 in the control group and 22 in the study group), but this  
193 difference was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.314992$ ), due to the limited sample number.

194 Moreover a multivariate analysis using a binary logistic regression model was carried out to evaluate  
195 the association between the diagnosis of advanced cancer ( $cT \geq 3$ ), the time of diagnosis (pre- and  
196 post-COVID-19) and the age of the patient at the time of diagnosis. The time of diagnosis was  
197 significantly linked to the diagnosis of advanced cancer (OR=2.254, 95% CI 1.06–4.79,  $p = 0.282$ ),  
198 while the patient's age was not significantly associated (OR=1.018, 95% CI 0.99–1.05,  $p = 0.282$ ).

199 According to our results, we clearly demonstrate a significant impact on the diagnosis of HNCs at  
200 our referral center during the COVID-19 pandemic. A statistically significant difference was reported  
201 in the total number of new diagnoses of HNCs between the study group and the control group.  
202 Furthermore, the trend of diagnoses strongly correlates with tightening of the legal restrictions

203 introduced to limit the spread of the pandemic. During the first strict lockdown (March to April 2020),  
204 there was a drastic decrease in the number of new HNC cases reported, with a slight increase in the  
205 months immediately after, when the restrictions were eased (Fig. 2). This has occurred despite the  
206 fact that urgent visits proceeded as normal and were unaffected during the whole study period whereas  
207 non-urgent visits were cancelled or delayed. From our perspective, the decrease in diagnoses can  
208 therefore be explained by a lower propensity of patients undergoing a specialist visit because of the  
209 pandemic restrictions, and a lower incidence of accidental findings during routine visits. This data is  
210 consistent with that reported in the literature. Indeed, several authors have highlighted an evident  
211 decrease in the number of hospital visits for myocardial infarction and stroke, related to the peak  
212 periods of COVID-19 pandemic spread [1,3,9,10].

213 Regarding oncologic patients, Mahl and colleagues reported a significant delay in cancer care in up  
214 to 58.1% of cases, due to restrictions in health services during the COVID-19 outbreak in Brazil [18].  
215 Moreover, Dinmohamed and colleagues, analyzing data from the nationwide Netherlands Cancer  
216 Registry between February 24 and April 12, 2020, found a significant decrease in cancer diagnoses  
217 when compared with the period before the COVID-19 outbreak and this was observed at almost all  
218 cancer sites [19]. Similar results were found by London and colleagues in the USA. Depending on  
219 the anatomic subsite, they noted a decrease of between 39.1% and 51.8% of cancer diagnoses in April  
220 2020 compared to the same period in the previous year [20]. These results are consistent with those  
221 reported by Ferrara et al. who compared the number of first pathologic diagnoses of malignancy in  
222 weeks 11 to 20 of 2018, 2019, and 2020 at seven anatomic pathology units serving secondary care  
223 hospitals in northern-central Italy, with an overall reduction in diagnosis of 44.9%; however, high-  
224 grade tumors were only moderately affected (-21.7%) [21].

225 Interestingly, our data also showed the head and neck subsites which were most affected by the  
226 reduction in the number of new diagnoses (such as CUP, salivary gland, oral cavity, and  
227 hypopharyngeal cancers) (Fig. 4). The hypopharynx is one of the least symptomatic sites in the head  
228 and neck, and patients frequently present with advanced stage cancer. Regarding CUP and salivary

229 gland cancers, as stated before, patients often present with only a swelling of the neck or parotid  
230 region. Ultrasound examination is the critical diagnostic tool here, and general practitioners usually  
231 convey this to their patients with long-standing swelling, a further basic Italian health service  
232 compromised by the pandemic. Finally, patients with early stage cancers of the oral cavity frequently  
233 misinterpret tumors as aphthous lesions turning first to a dental service. In our opinion, this  
234 underestimation was the reason for the reported diagnostic decrease in early stage cancers in older  
235 patients with 27 new diagnoses in the control group and 11 in the study group. On the other hand, we  
236 noted a non-significant reduction in the number of new diagnoses of nasal, paranasal sinus,  
237 nasopharyngeal cancers with primary symptoms such as repeated epistaxis, nasal obstruction, cranial  
238 nerve impairment and chronic effusive otitis, and also laryngeal cancers with primary symptoms of  
239 dysphonia with or without dyspnea. These symptoms would promptly induce these individuals to  
240 refer to an emergency department.

241 Interestingly, this study showed a significant reduction in the diagnosis of early T-stage tumors (cT0  
242 + cT1-T2) in the study group compared to the control group. Moreover, the number of advanced T-  
243 stage cancers (cT3-cT4) remained stable (27 in the control group and 24 in the study group). Our  
244 findings are in agreement with a preliminary analysis by Mannelli et al. who observed a drastic  
245 proportional increase in diagnoses of advanced T-stage HNCs between April and May 2020, when  
246 compared with the same period in 2019 (63.5% and 21.8%, respectively) [22]. We believe that clinical  
247 N-stage is less relevant in encouraging patients to refer for treatment, apart from those individuals  
248 with very large adenopathies. This can explain the non-significant difference in terms of cN stage  
249 between the pre- and post-lockdown cases, and, ultimately, in terms of the general clinical stage.

250 The significant reduction in new diagnoses of HNCs related to the COVID-19 outbreak in South  
251 Tyrol and demonstrated in this study could also involve other larger health care regions, although the  
252 literature-specific data are still preliminary. What we should probably expect in the future is an  
253 increase in more advanced cases as a result of the diagnostic delay and an underestimation of the  
254 early HNCs. This will likely result in the need for aggressive surgical procedures, with more extensive

255 use of neoadjuvant and adjuvant treatments, increasing the human, and collaterally, the economic  
256 costs. Finally, some patients may show progression towards non-resectable disease, and as a  
257 consequence, there will be a worsening of prognosis.

258 To improve the identification of early cancer cases that could have been misdiagnosed during the  
259 spread of COVID-19 in Italy, there needs to be more collaboration between central hospital  
260 departments and local health care professionals. Indeed, it could be useful to equip local ENT  
261 specialists and general practitioners with telematic tools so they could introduce and discuss patients  
262 having clinical suspicions of HNC with specialists in referral hospitals, even during the COVID-19  
263 pandemic, to reduce delays in diagnosis and treatment. Consequently, multidisciplinary meetings  
264 involving general practitioners should also be held. Furthermore, it may be useful to introduce online  
265 platforms in clinical practice, specifically for medical use, in which general practitioners and local  
266 ENT specialists could upload clinical, radiological and endoscopic images. Sharing these data with  
267 specialists in referral centers would allow immediate feedback regarding patient status. This practice  
268 might allow early consideration of cases by multidisciplinary oncologic head and neck teams for both  
269 diagnosis and treatment, avoiding delays due to the reduction of specialist visits in second- and third-  
270 level hospitals.

271 Temesgen and colleagues described their experience in managing outpatients from different medical  
272 specialties at the Mayo Clinic during the COVID-19 pandemic [23]. They reported extensive use of  
273 telemedicine platforms to manage patients using virtual meetings with videoconferencing and  
274 telephone communication. This innovation has been positively accepted by health care professionals  
275 and patients. Those authors hypothesized that the availability of telemedicine would encourage  
276 individuals to undergo medical evaluation earlier, thus avoiding delayed diagnosis and treatment [23].  
277 Similar positive results are reported in a study by Fassas et al. on managing head and neck oncologic  
278 outpatients using telemedicine tools [24].

279 Despite these positive experiences, the introduction of these tools and online platforms for telehealth  
280 has encountered some obstacles in Italy as reported in a recent work by Omboni et al. They state that

281 the poor interconnection between telemedicine services operating at different levels, the lack of a real  
282 multidisciplinary approach, and onerous privacy laws can hinder the implementation of effective  
283 telemedicine solutions for patient management [25].

284 The main limitations of our research are the small sample size and the limited geographic area of the  
285 study. Our findings need confirmation on a national scale using multicenter studies.

286

## 287 **Conclusions**

288 The results of our research highlight the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on HNC management in  
289 South Tyrol, with a statistically significant difference in the number of diagnoses before and after  
290 lockdown related to the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. We have also seen a worsening of the  
291 clinical T-stage at diagnosis, with a significant decrease in the diagnosis of early cT-staged HNCs.  
292 We believe that, during the COVID-19 pandemic and with the redeployment of medical staff, many  
293 early stage HNCs were missed or misdiagnosed and we might expect an increase in more advanced  
294 cases in the coming months. To reduce the misdiagnosis of HNCs during the spread of COVID-19,  
295 collaboration between central hospital departments and local health care professionals will have to  
296 increase.

297

## 298 **Declarations**

299

## 300 **Conflict of interest**

301 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. All of the authors have read and approved  
302 the manuscript, and none of them have any financial relationships to disclose.

303

304 **REFERENCES**

- 305 1. Pessoa-Amorim G, Camm CF, Gajendragadkar P, et al. Admission of patients with STEMI since  
306 the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic: a survey by the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart*  
307 *J Qual Care Clin Outcomes*. 2020;6(3):210–216. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjqcco/qcaa046>
- 308 2. De Rosa S, Spaccarotella C, Basso C, et al. Reduction of hospitalizations for myocardial infarction  
309 in Italy in the COVID-19 era. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41(22):2083–2088.  
310 <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa409>
- 311 3. Tejada Meza H, Lambea Gil Á, Sancho Saldaña A, et al. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak in  
312 reperfusion therapies of acute ischaemic stroke in northwest Spain. *Eur J Neurol*. 2020;27(12):2491–  
313 2498. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14467>
- 314 4. Maringe C, Spicer J, Morris M, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cancer deaths due  
315 to delays in diagnosis in England, UK: a national, population-based, modelling study. *Lancet Oncol*.  
316 2020;21(8):1023–1034. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30388-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30388-0)
- 317 5. Jones D, Neal RD, Duffy SRG, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the symptomatic  
318 diagnosis of cancer: the view from primary care. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020;21(6):748–750.  
319 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30242-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30242-4)
- 320 6. Salari A, Jalaefar A, Shirkhoda M. What is the best treatment option for head and neck cancers in  
321 COVID-19 pandemic? A rapid review. *Am J Otolaryngol*. 2020;41(6):102738.  
322 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2020.102738>
- 323 7. Brierley J, Gospodarowicz M, Wittekind C. UICC TNM classification of malignant tumours.  
324 Eighth ed. Chichester: Wiley; 2017.
- 325 8. Amin M, Edge S, Greene F, et al. AJCC cancer staging manual. Eighth ed. New York: Springer;  
326 2017.
- 327 9. Solomon MD, McNulty EJ, Rana JS, et al. The Covid-19 Pandemic and the Incidence of Acute  
328 Myocardial Infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(7):691–693.  
329 <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2015630>

- 330 10. De Filippo O, D'Ascenzo F, Angelini F, et al. Reduced Rate of Hospital Admissions for ACS  
331 during Covid-19 Outbreak in Northern Italy. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(1):88–89.  
332 <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2009166>
- 333 11. Mehanna H, Hardman JC, Shenson JA, et al. Recommendations for head and neck surgical  
334 oncology practice in a setting of acute severe resource constraint during the COVID-19 pandemic: an  
335 international consensus. *Lancet Oncol.* 2020;21(7): e350-e359. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30334-X)  
336 [2045\(20\)30334-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30334-X)
- 337 12. MD Anderson Head and Neck Surgery Treatment Guidelines Consortium; Consortium members,  
338 Maniakas A, et al. Head and neck surgical oncology in the time of a pandemic: Subsite-specific triage  
339 guidelines during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Head Neck.* 2020;42(6):1194–1201.  
340 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26206>
- 341 13. Brody RM, Albergotti WG, Shimunov D, et al. Changes in head and neck oncologic practice  
342 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Head Neck.* 2020;42(7):1448–1453.  
343 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26233>
- 344 14. Topf MC, Shenson JA, Holsinger FC, et al. Framework for prioritizing head and neck surgery  
345 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Head Neck.* 2020;42(6):1159–1167.  
346 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26184>
- 347 15. Kowalski LP, Sanabria A, Ridge JA, et al. COVID-19 pandemic: Effects and evidence-based  
348 recommendations for otolaryngology and head and neck surgery practice. *Head Neck.*  
349 2020;42(6):1259–1267. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26164>
- 350 16. Mannelli G, Ralli M, Bonali M, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on Italian Otolaryngology  
351 Units: a nationwide study. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital.* 2020;40(5):325–331.  
352 <https://doi.org/10.14639/0392-100X-N0832>
- 353 17. AIOM/AIRTUM. I numeri del cancro in Italia. Brescia: Intermedia Editore, 2018.

- 354 18. Mahl C, Melo LRS, Almeida MHA, et al. Delay in head and neck cancer care during the COVID-  
355 19 pandemic and its impact on health outcomes. *Braz Oral Res.* 2020;34:e126. Published 2020 Dec  
356 18. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-3107bor-2020.vol34.0126>
- 357 19. Dinmohamed AG, Visser O, Verhoeven RHA, et al. Fewer cancer diagnoses during the COVID-  
358 19 epidemic in the Netherlands [published correction appears in *Lancet Oncol.* 2020 May 4;:]. *Lancet*  
359 *Oncol.* 2020;21(6):750–751. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30265-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30265-5)
- 360 20. London JW, Fazio-Eynullayeva E, Palchuk MB, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on  
361 Cancer-Related Patient Encounters. *JCO Clin Cancer Inform.* 2020;4:657–665.  
362 <https://doi.org/10.1200/CCI.20.00068>
- 363 21. Ferrara G, De Vincentiis L, Ambrosini-Spaltro A, et al. Cancer Diagnostic Delay in Northern and  
364 Central Italy During the 2020 Lockdown Due to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. *Am J Clin*  
365 *Pathol.* 2021;155(1):64–68. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/aqaa177>
- 366 22. Mannelli G, Santoro R, Bonomo P, et al. Will a tumor pandemic come after the COVID-19  
367 pandemic? Head and Neck cancer perspective. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2020;24(16):8573–8575.  
368 [https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev\\_202008\\_22653](https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202008_22653)
- 369 23. Temesgen ZM, DeSimone DC, Mahmood M, et al. Health Care After the COVID-19 Pandemic  
370 and the Influence of Telemedicine. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(9S):S66-S68.  
371 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.06.052>
- 372 24. Fassas S, Cummings E, Sykes KJ, et al. Telemedicine for head and neck cancer surveillance in  
373 the COVID-19 era: Promise and pitfalls [published online ahead of print, 2021 Mar 4]. *Head Neck.*  
374 2021;10.1002/hed.26659. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26659>
- 375 25. Omboni S. Telemedicine During the COVID-19 in Italy: A Missed Opportunity? *Telemed J E*  
376 *Health.* 2020;26(8):973–975. <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2020.0106>
- 377
- 378

379 **TABLES**

380 **Table I: Clinical tumor classification (cT)**

	<b>Pre-COVID-19</b>	<b>Post-COVID-19</b>
<i>cT0</i>	6 (6.9%)	0 (0%)
<i>cT1</i>	21 (26.6%)	12 (26.6%)
<i>cT2</i>	25 (31.6%)	9 (20%)
<i>cT3</i>	9 (11.4%)	9 (20%)
<i>cT4</i>	18 (23.8%)	15 (33.3%)

381

382 **Table II: Clinical nodal classification (cN)**

	<b>Pre-COVID-19</b>	<b>Post-COVID-19</b>
<i>cN0</i>	43 (54.4%)	29 (64.4%)
<i>cN1</i>	8 (10.1%)	4 (8.8%)
<i>cN2</i>	24 (30.3%)	10 (22.2%)
<i>cN3</i>	4 (5.0%)	2 (4.4%)

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394 **Table III: Clinical stage**

<b>Clinical stage</b>	<b>Pre-COVID-19</b>	<b>Post-COVID-19</b>
<b>I</b>	18 (24.0%)	11 (24.4%)
<b>II</b>	14 (17.7%)	7 (15.5%)
<b>III</b>	9 (11.4%)	6 (13.3%)
<b>IVA</b>	25 (31.6%)	13 (28.8%)
<b>IVB</b>	9 (11.4%)	5 (11.1%)
<b>IVC</b>	4 (5.0%)	3 (6.6%)

395

396

397 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

398

399 **Figure 1: Incidence of new diagnoses of head and neck cancers per month.**

400 **Figure 2: New diagnoses of head and neck cancers and legal restrictions imposed in Italy to**  
401 **limit the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic.**

402 **Figure 3: Incidence of early and advanced head and neck tumors in the control and study**  
403 **groups.**

404 **Figure 4: New diagnoses of head and neck cancers in relation to anatomic subsites.**

405

406

407







