

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The role of physical exercise in cancer therapy-related CV toxicity

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Mattioli, A.V., Bucciarelli, V., Gallina, S. (2025). The role of physical exercise in cancer therapy-related CV toxicity. *FUTURE CARDIOLOGY*, 21(3), 131-134 [10.1080/14796678.2025.2451530].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/1001192> since: 2026-01-20

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/14796678.2025.2451530>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

The role of physical exercise in cancer therapy-related CV toxicity.

Anna Vittoria Mattioli¹, Valentina Bucciarelli², Sabina Gallina³

- ¹ Department of Quality of Life Sciences, University of Bologna-Alma Mater Studiorum, 40126 Bologna, (Italy).
- ² Cardiovascular Sciences Department-Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria delle Marche, Ancona (Italy)
- ³ Department of Neuroscience, Imaging and Clinical Sciences, “G. d’Annunzio” University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, (Italy)

ORCID:

Mattioli Anna Vittoria: 0000-0003-1487-9530

Valentina Bucciarelli: 0000-0002-7003-6194

Sabina Gallina: 0000-0003-0263-4851

Corresponding author:

Anna Vittoria Mattioli

University of Bologna - Alma Mater Studiorum

Viale Irnerio 48 - Bologna, Italy

Phone: 00390577585377

E-mail: annavittoria.mattioli@unibo.it

Total word count: 1635

Keywords: exercise; cancer therapy-related cardiovascular toxicity; childhood cancer survivors; gender medicine; cardiovascular prevention; sedentarism; cardiovascular risk

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and cancer are among the leading causes of mortality in high-income countries [1].

In recent years, relevant improvements in managing oncologic diseases, including timely diagnosis and treatment, have led to an increase in patient's long-term survival. On the other hand, this prolonged life expectancy also determined an escalation in the prevalence of the comorbidities potentially associated with cancer therapy administration, which from a cardiovascular (CV) standpoint are comprehensively referred with the term *cancer therapy-related cardiovascular toxicity (CTR-CVT)*. According to the latest International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS) consensus statement, CTR-CVT can be classified as: 1) symptomatic or asymptomatic cancer therapy-related cardiovascular dysfunction (CTRCD), 2) immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) myocarditis, 3) asymptomatic or symptomatic vascular toxicity, 4) arterial hypertension, and 5) cardiac arrhythmias [2,3]. The variety and severity of CTR-CVT depends on the type and dosage of the drug administered in a specific patient, as well as the patient's basal CV risk [4]. In 2022, the latest European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines on cardio-oncology defined a baseline CV toxicity risk assessment checklist, based on clinical assessment and laboratory and imaging markers, including: 1) age, sex, and genetics, 2) medical CV risk factors (CVRF) and lifestyle risk factors, 3) previous CVD and cardiotoxic therapies, 4) 12-leads electrocardiogram, transthoracic echocardiography and biomarkers abnormalities (natriuretic peptides, troponin, glycemic and lipid profile, kidney function) [3].

According to the CV toxicity assessment checklist, every patient is classified as low, moderate, high, or very high risk for CTR-CVT (class I indication according to ESC guidelines). Moreover, the Heart Failure Association-International Cardio-Oncology Society (HFA-ICOS) risk score could be a valuable tool to determine the pre-treatment risk of CTR-CVT, even if it needs further validation [2].

Keep in mind that cancer and CVD share many risk factors in common, e.g., aging and an unhealthful lifestyle, including sedentarism, smoking, alcohol consumption, and an unbalanced fat-rich diet [5,6]. Thus, a prevention approach for CTR-CVT, primarily focused on managing lifestyle changes, is mandatory, as stated in the international guidelines [3]. Every CVRF and CVD needs to be managed, and every patient should be informed and supported to develop a healthy lifestyle, as it improves body responsiveness to cancer therapies, reduces the risk of cancer recurrences, and decreases the chronic inflammatory status that is strongly related to both cancer and CVD [5].

Exercise and physical activity are often used interchangeably but represent distinct concepts. Physical activity refers to any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure, encompassing a wide range of activities such as walking, gardening, or household chores. In contrast, exercise is a structured, repetitive, and purposeful form of physical activity specifically aimed at improving or maintaining physical fitness, such as running, weightlifting, or attending a fitness class [7]. Physical exercise (PE) represents a potent, multitargeted, and cost-effective nonpharmacological therapy that can actively counteract anticancer treatment side effects and CVRF, substantially improving cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), mental health, quality of life (QoL) and short and long-term cancer-related and CV outcomes. For instance, regular physical exercise can reduce the risk of cancer recurrences by improving immune function and decreasing chronic inflammation, which is strongly related to both cancer and CVD [8-11].

The model of cardio-oncology rehabilitation, 'CORE,' was introduced in 2019 by the American Cancer Society and the American Heart Association, aiming to identify a multimodality approach that includes tailored PE prescription, nutritional counseling, and CVRF assessment [12]. In this scenario, any condition potentially interfering with or limiting PE eligibility, e.g., cachexia, mobility impairment, fatigue, must be considered. Tailored PE prescription provides a therapeutic, progressive exercise program and the adherence of each patient to their PE program [11, 13]. It is important to note that PE is safe and recommended both during and after anticancer treatment. Every patient should work out for at least 150-300 min of moderate-intensity exercise or 75-150 min per week of vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise. Muscle-strengthening activities, flexibility training, and respiratory muscle training should be included in every PE program, as different PE protocols can determine different synergetic effects on cardiometabolic parameters, CRF, cancer outcomes, and mental health [3,5,12,14]. Ideally, every cancer survivor (CS) should receive a comprehensive assessment of all components of health-related physical fitness (e.g., cardiorespiratory fitness, muscle strength and endurance, body composition, and flexibility), with some considerations focused on the specific patient's health history, especially in the presence of CV or extracardiac symptoms [15]. Moreover, ensuring adherence to PE program is mandatory for improving the benefits and effectiveness of training interventions, especially in the female population, who are often less physically active than the male population for all age groups [5,16].

Women face a range of multifaceted barriers to participating in physical activity (PA) and sports, which can be broadly categorized into three areas: economic and socio-cultural, practical, and knowledge-related barriers. Economic and socio-cultural barriers include

deeply ingrained misconceptions that sports are inherently masculine and exclusive, low self-esteem among women, parental opposition to sports participation, concerns about academic performance, and responsibilities related to family care and housework [17]. Practical barriers encompass poverty, limited financial resources, insufficient leisure time, and a lack of accessible, safe, and suitable facilities [18,19]. Lastly, knowledge-related barriers arise from insufficient awareness of the physical and mental health benefits associated with PA [15].

Potential sex differences in psychosocial correlates of physical activity identified self-efficacy, social support, and motivation as those constructs most researched [17,18,19].

There appears to be a strong connection between being male, possessing higher levels of self-efficacy, and engaging in greater physical activity across the lifespan [17]. Future research in this area would benefit from more detailed examinations of sex-specific differences in barrier-related self-efficacy, as well as identifying which activity-promotion strategies—such as vicarious experiences, verbal encouragement, or mastery experiences—are more commonly utilized to support males, potentially contributing to their higher levels of physical activity [17,19].

Both aerobic (including moderate-intensity continuous exercise or high-intensity interval training) and resistance exercise programs have been shown to be safe, well tolerated in most patients, and effective at preventing and treating CVD, CV risk factors, and CTR-CVT risk. They are frequently combined to increase their effectiveness on physical and mental health. The characteristics of the training sessions, in terms of frequency, duration, and intensity, should progressively increase across the entire program, considering each subject's response to the training, to ensure adequate patient adherence. Appropriate patient supervision strategies (heart rate and respiratory responses monitoring, oxygen saturation, ratings of perceived effort, exercise-related adverse events), personalized on patient's basal health status, should be always considered during exercise training [20,21,22].

Among cancer survivors (CS), childhood cancer survivors (CCS) represent a population at high risk for CTR-CVT, low quality of life (QoL), poor mental health, and frailty, with the cumulative incidence of CV events being inversely correlated with the increase in the reported PE levels. Exercise guidelines for CCS following antineoplastic treatments have been published, but compared to evidence from the literature in adults, cardioprotective properties of PE in CCS have received less attention, with a significant heterogeneity among the available studies. Nevertheless, all reports suggested the benefit of PE in mitigating CTR-CVT risk in CCS [23].

The role of PE in reducing CTR-CVT risk is still under evaluation. The preliminary results are promising, but further studies are needed to identify the best type, intensity, and frequency of exercise and to understand whether, in some types of anticancer therapy, the responses to the beneficial effects of PE are attenuated. The current evidence about the role of PE as a primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention strategy of CTR-CVT is still significantly heterogeneous. Moreover, the role of PE in each of these clinical contexts may be different. In the primary prevention scenario, when CV adaptations to cancer therapy are unavoidable, PE may preserve or avoid severe treatment-induced adverse effects. On the other hand, PE as a secondary prevention strategy may determine improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness in terms of VO₂ peak, but the right amount and type of PE essential to get the best improvement in CTR-CVT risk is currently under analysis. Finally, evidence regarding PE's role in tertiary prevention are scarce and limited to preclinical data, with much of the current knowledge resumed by non-cancer CVD populations [24]. It is important to acknowledge that developing an exercise prescription requires careful consideration of the individual's physical and physiological status at the time of treatment. Research indicates that factors such as prior physical activity levels, current physical and mental capabilities, comorbidities, and the effects of ongoing treatments significantly influence the success of exercise interventions [25,26]. For example, individuals recovering from chronic conditions like cardiovascular disease or cancer may have reduced capacity for high-intensity activity, while those with mental health challenges may benefit from activities that are less structured but still promote engagement and consistency [27,28]. Studies have consistently shown that even low levels of physical activity—such as 10-minute bouts of light to moderate exercise—can yield meaningful health benefits, particularly for individuals transitioning from sedentary lifestyle [29]. However, the specific type, intensity, frequency, and duration of exercise must be carefully personalized. High-intensity regimens may benefit some individuals, enhancing cardiorespiratory fitness and strength, but may be unsuitable or even harmful for others, particularly those with significant limitations or heightened treatment-related fatigue. This variability underscores the importance of tailoring exercise prescriptions to the individual. In practice, this means prioritizing flexibility in design and recognizing that 'any' movement is better than none. Providing detailed guidelines on how to adapt activity levels incrementally and align them with the individual's evolving capabilities and health status would enhance the applicability of exercise interventions across diverse populations [30, 31].

As stated by Campbell et al., in the future, exercise prescription in cardio-oncology should ideally become tailored to the specific characteristics of every patient's cancer, moving toward the same target as precision oncology. This ongoing research presents an exciting opportunity for the healthcare community to contribute to developing personalized exercise interventions in cardio-oncology [15].

Authors disclosure: no conflict of interest

Funding: none

Author contributions: All Authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, all authors have drafted or written the article. They all agreed on the journal to which the article will be submitted, reviewed and agreed on all versions of the article before submission, during revision, the final version accepted for publication, and any significant changes introduced at the proofing stage. Authors agree to take responsibility and be accountable for the contents of the article and to share responsibility to resolve any questions raised about the accuracy or integrity of the published work.

References:

1. The World Bank. World Bank Country and Lending Groups. <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups>
2. Herrmann J, Lenihan D, Armenian S, et al. Defining cardiovascular toxicities of cancer therapies: an International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS) consensus statement. *Eur Heart J* 2022;43:280–299.
3. 2022 ESC Guidelines on cardio-oncology. *Eur Heart J* 2022; 43, 4229–4361
4. Maurer GS, Clayton ZS. Anthracycline chemotherapy, Vascular Dysfunction and Cognitive Impairment: Burgeoning Topics and Future Directions. *Future Cardiology*, 2022; 19(11), 547–566. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fca-2022-0086>
5. Mattioli AV, Moscucci F, Sciomer S, et al. Cardiovascular prevention in women: An update by the Italian Society of Cardiology working group on “Prevention, hypertension and peripheral disease.” *J of Cardiovasc Med*. 2023;24:E147–55.
6. Cocchi C, Coppi F, Farinetti A, et al. Cardiovascular disease prevention and therapy in women with Type 2 diabetes. *Future Cardiol*. 2021;17(3):487-496 doi: 10.2217/fca-2021-0011.
7. Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep*. 1985 Mar-Apr;100(2):126-31. PMID: 3920711; PMCID: PMC1424733
8. Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Snyder C, et al. Exercise interventions on health-related quality of life for people with cancer during active treatment. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012 Aug 15;2012(8):CD008465. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD008465.pub2.

9. D'Ascenzi F, Anselmi F, Fiorentini C, et al. The benefits of exercise in cancer patients and the criteria for exercise prescription in cardio-oncology. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2021;28:725–735
10. Tu H, Wen CP, Tsai SP, et al. Cancer risk associated with chronic diseases and disease markers: Prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2018; 360: k134.
11. Pastore MC, Cavigli L, Olivoni G, et al. Physical exercise in hypertensive heart disease: From the differential diagnosis to the complementary role of exercise. *Int J Cardiol.* 2024;410:132232. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2024.132232
12. Gilchrist SC, Barac A, Ades PA, et al. Cardio-oncology rehabilitation to manage cardiovascular outcomes in cancer patients and survivors: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2019; 139: e997–e1012.
13. Sasso JP, Eves ND, Christensen JF, et al. A framework for prescription in exercise-oncology research. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2015;6.
14. Bucciarelli V, Bianco F, Di Blasio A, et al. Cardiometabolic Profile, Physical Activity, and Quality of Life in Breast Cancer Survivors after Different Physical Exercise Protocols: A 34-Month Follow-Up Study. *J Clin Med.* 2023 Jul 20;12(14):4795. doi: 10.3390/jcm12144795
15. Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, et al. Exercise Guidelines for Cancer Survivors: Consensus Statement from International Multidisciplinary Roundtable. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019 Nov;51(11):2375-2390. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002116.
16. Bucciarelli V, Mattioli AV, Sciomer S, et al. The Impact of Physical Activity and Inactivity on Cardiovascular Risk across Women's Lifespan: An Updated Review. *J Clin Med.* 2023 Jun 28;12(13):4347. doi: 10.3390/jcm12134347.
17. Edwards ES, Sackett SC. Psychosocial Variables Related to Why Women are Less Active than Men and Related Health Implications. *Clin Med Insights Womens Health.* 2016 Jul 4;9(Suppl 1):47-56. doi: 10.4137/CMWH.S34668. PMID: 27398045; PMCID: PMC4933535
18. Coppi F, Bucciarelli V, Solodka K, et al. The Impact of Stress and Social Determinants on Diet in Cardiovascular Prevention in Young Women. *Nutrients.* 2024 Apr 3;16(7):1044. doi: 10.3390/nu16071044. PMID: 38613078; PMCID: PMC11013318.
19. Vogel B, Acevedo M, Appelman Y, et al. The Lancet women and cardiovascular disease Commission: reducing the global burden by 2030. *Lancet.* 2021 Jun 19;397(10292):2385-2438. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00684-X
20. Scott JM, Zabor EC, Schwitzer E, et al. Efficacy of exercise therapy on cardiorespiratory fitness in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36:2297–2305.
21. Gebruers N, Camberlin M, Theunissen F, et al. The effect of training interventions on physical performance, quality of life, and fatigue in patients receiving breast cancer treatment: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer.* 2019;27: 109–122.
22. Izzicupo P, Ghinassi B, D'Amico MA, et al. Effects of ACE I/D polymorphism and aerobic training on the immune-endocrine network and cardiovascular parameters of postmenopausal women *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 2013; 98:10; 4187-4194
23. Bucciarelli V, Bianco F, Bisaccia G et al. Prevention of cardiotoxicity in childhood cancer survivors: In physical exercise, we trust. *Curr Probl Cardiol.* 2024 Sep;49(9):102722. doi:10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2024.102722. Epub 2024 Jun 20.
24. Wilson RL, Christopher CN, Yang EH, et al. Incorporating Exercise Training into Cardio-Oncology Care: Current Evidence and Opportunities. *JACC CardioOncol.* 2023 Oct 17;5(5):553-569. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2023.08.008.
25. Mattioli AV, Selleri V, Zanini G, et al. Physical Activity and Diet in Older Women: A Narrative Review. *J. Clin. Med.* 2023, 12, 81. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12010081>

26. Sáez de Asteasu ML, Martínez-Velilla N, Ramírez-Vélez R, et al. Biological sex as a tailoring variable for exercise prescription in hospitalized older adults. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2024 Nov;28(11):100377. doi: 10.1016/j.jnha.2024.100377. Epub 2024 Sep 27.
27. Neuendorf T, Haase R, Schroeder S, et al. Effects of high-intensity interval training on functional performance and maximal oxygen uptake in comparison with moderate intensity continuous training in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Support Care Cancer*. 2023 Oct 18;31(12):643. doi: 10.1007/s00520-023-08103-9.
28. Mahindru A, Patil P, Agrawal V. Role of Physical Activity on Mental Health and Well-Being: A Review. *Cureus*. 2023 Jan 7;15(1):e33475. doi: 10.7759/cureus.33475.
29. Keadle SK, Conroy DE, Buman MP, et al. Targeting Reductions in Sitting Time to Increase Physical Activity and Improve Health. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2017 Aug;49(8):1572-1582. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001257.
30. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med*. 2020 Dec;54(24):1451-1462. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955.
31. Pelliccia A, Sharma S, Gati S, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. 2020 ESC Guidelines on sports cardiology and exercise in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2021 Jan 1;42(1):17-96. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa605. Erratum in: *Eur Heart J*. 2021 Feb 1;42(5):548-549. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa835.