

Historical Floras: addressing their genesis in order to be viewed from a modern-day perspective. A case study from Northern Italy Buildrini, Fabrizio Alessandivi Alessandro Muzzi Ender, Krebs, Patrik; Conedera, Marco; Pezzi, Giovanna III: RENDICONTI LINCEL SCIENZE FISICHE E NATURALI. - ISSN 2037-4631. - ELETTRONICO 1341 (2023), pp. 143-167. [16] 100 (/sL73.0-622-01116-2]

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Historical Floras: addressing their genesis in order to be viewed from a modern-day perspective. A case study from Northern Italy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/908804 since: 2023-05-17

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-022-01116-2

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Buldrini, F., Alessandrini, A., Muzzi, E. *et al.* Historical Floras: addressing their genesis in order to be viewed from a modern-day perspective. A case study from Northern Italy. *Rend. Fis. Acc. Lincei* 34, 143–167 (2023)

The final published version is available online at <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12210-</u> 022-01116-2

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1 2 3 4 5	Historical Floras: addressing their genesis in order to be viewed from a modern-day perspective. A case study from Northern Italy
6 7 8	Fabrizio Buldrini <sup>1</sup> , Alessandro Alessandrini <sup>2</sup> , Enrico Muzzi <sup>3</sup> , Patrik Krebs <sup>4</sup> , Marco Conedera <sup>4</sup> , Giovanna Pezzi <sup>1</sup>
9 10	<sup>1</sup> BIOME Lab – Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna. Via Irnerio 42, 40126 Bologna, Italy
11 12 13	<sup>2</sup> Independent researcher (ales.alessandrini@gmail.com) <sup>3</sup> Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna. Viale G. Fanin 44, 40127 Bologna, Italy
14 15 16 17	<sup>4</sup> Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL. Insubric Ecosystems Group, a Ramèl 18, CH-6593 Cadenazzo, Switzerland
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ORCID Giovanna Pezzi: 0000-0001-9739-3530 Fabrizio Buldrini: 0000-0002-0376-6760 Enrico Muzzi: 0000-0002-9912-3320 Alessandro Alessandrini: 0000-0003-3633-9957 Marco Conedera: 0000-0003-3980-2142
25 26 27 28	<b>Corresponding author</b> Fabrizio Buldrini (fabrizio.buldrini@unibo.it)
29 30 31 32 33	<b>Key words</b> Historical GIS, retrospective georeferencing, land use changes, historical records, textual written sources, hydro-hygrophylous species, alien species
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Acknowledgements This research was carried out thanks to the <i>Accordo di collaborazione per la sperimentazione di una metodologia di valorizzazione dei dati storici presenti nella Banca-Dati della Flora della Regione Emilia-Romagna</i> (protocol no. IBACN RPI/2020/63) between the former Istituto per i Beni Artistici, Culturali e Naturali della Regione Emilia-Romagna (now Servizio Patrimonio culturale dell'Assessorato alla Cultura e Paesaggio) and the Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna (person in charge G. Pezzi). We wish to thank Riccardo Guarino (Università degli Studi di Palermo) for his help with the evolution of Floras during the centuries from the Renaissance onwards. Prof. Andrea Mary Lord and Lorenzo Tosatti for revising the original text in English. We thank the <i>Accademia delle Scienze dell'Istituto di Bologna</i> for the photograph of Girolamo Cocconi and the Historical Library <i>Antonio Bertoloni</i> (Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna) for the front title of the <i>Flora</i> by Girolamo Cocconi.
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	<ul> <li>Declarations</li> <li>Funding This work was supported by academic institutional funds of the Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna (person in charge G. Pezzi).</li> <li>Conflicts of interest/Competing interests The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest</li> <li>Ethics approval Not applicable</li> <li>Consent to participate Not applicable</li> <li>Consent for publication Not applicable</li> <li>Availability of data and material All the material used for this study is available in the Historical Library</li> <li>Antonio Bertoloni of the Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater</li> </ul>

- 57 Studiorum Università di Bologna, in Google Books (ancient textual sources) and Regione Emilia-Romagna
- 58 (topographic and historical map, Floristic Digital Database of the Emilia-Romagna Region).
- 59 **Code availability** Not applicable
- 60 Authors' contributions Not applicable
- 61

#### 62 Abstract

63 Historical Floras are biodiversity-oriented textual sources, which refer to times when scientific methods were 64 far different compared to the present. They are also geographical documents, as the entire work is referred to 65 a focal region explored by certain collectors and following certain principles, since the taxa recorded are 66 usually accompanied by a description of the locality of the observation. Retrospective georeferencing, as 67 well as taxonomic revision, of the records in historical Floras are however very challenging processes, which 68 are usually not taken into account. As a result, very few global overviews of historical Floras exist to date. In 69 this article we present the analysis of the 7767 floristic records of the late XIX century Flora of the province 70 of Bologna (N-Italy) compiled by Girolamo Cocconi. We processed these records by georeferencing them, 71 whenever possible, to 659 pairs of coordinates and coupling them with the analysis of the collectors involved 72 besides the author, as to provide the spatial and temporal dimension that permits us to further understand the 73 taxonomic information given by the species listed. This allowed us to detect a bias in the exploration of the 74 territory, which depended on accessibility and/or attractivity of the areas for fieldwork and shifted through 75 time as function of the available collaborators who influenced the definition of the floristic pattern of the 76 territory. Finally, we provided a diachronic analysis with the present flora in order to document the most 77 significant land use changes based on selected floristic target groups.

78 79

#### 80 **1 INTRODUCTION**

81 Written sources such as books may represent an important source of information when analysing landscape 82 history and transformation (e.g. Fuchs et al. 2015). This is the case in various European countries, where 83 written sources like exploration travel reports, chorographic atlases or Floras cover a time interval of 84 centuries and prove a true cultural heritage for the area of reference (e.g. Rohl 2011). 85 In this context ancient Floras <sup>1</sup> represent an iconic case of highly valuable cultural and scientific heritage, 86 especially the oldest ones. In Europe, the very first modern Flora is probably the Synopsis Methodica

- 87 Stirpium Britannicarum by John Ray (Online resource 1), which first appeared towards the end of the XVII
- 88 century (Stace 1989), even if the first place is usually given to Linnaeus' Flora Lapponica, published in 1737 89
- (Frodin 2002). But actually, an earlier anticipation was provided by Ray himself with his Catalogus 90 plantarum circa Cantabrigiam nascentium (1660), that is, to our knowledge, the first European urban-
- 91 municipality-countee Flora. Some decades later (e.g. Séguier 1745; Scopoli 1760; Vitman 1773; Buillard

92 1776; Lamarck 1778), especially during the XIX century, several local or national Floras were published

- 93 (e.g. Bertoloni 1833-1854; Rostrup 1860; Wilkomm and Lange 1861-1880). Regarding the Italian situation 94 in particular, at least 33 local Floras were published during the boom of floristic exploration from 1849 to the
- 95 end of the century (Pignatti 1982). Such a flourishing of botanic work was partly favoured by the 96 geographical explorations and the subsequent import of new species from other continents into Europe
- (Peccenini 1994). On the other hand, it was probably also because of the introduction of the dichotomous 97
- 98 keys<sup>2</sup> to identify species, which were extensively used first by Jean-Baptiste de Lamarck in his *Flore*
- 99 Françoise from 1778 (Comelli 1883; Griffing 2011). The advent of the dichotomous keys is probably a
- 100 consequence of the continuous increase in the number of species known by the scholars of the time. They 101 allow a sort of hierarchy to be established among morphological traits of the species and thus to overcome
- 102 the difficulties of the natural system (e.g. Comolli 1834), that considered a multitude of morphological traits,
- 103 all of them equally important (Stace 1989), and of the long and very detailed descriptions of the species dealt
- 104 with, typical of the Floras of 1700. In short, dichotomous keys notably simplified the entire identification
- 105 process, especially for non-professional botanists. Therefore, from mid-1800 onwardsthere was a
- 106 progressive switch from purely descriptive Floras, based on descriptions (e.g. Allioni 1785), to analytical
- 107 Floras, based on identification keys. Such a transition stimulated also an educational use of the Floras
- 108 themselves, which were also published with the aim of encouraging young students and citizens to study (or
- 109 at least to gain interest in) the flora of their territory. Consequently, local and national didactic Floras

<sup>1)</sup> In this article we use «Flora» (with initial capital letter) to indicate the formally published textual documents containing the lists of species (more or less commented and analysed) of a given territory, «flora» (with lowercase initial letter) to address the ensemble of all plant species (i.e. the floristic heritage) of a territory. The same term, in fact, indicates two quite different concepts. See also Berrens (2019) for further information.

<sup>2)</sup> Dichotomous keys, in reality, had been already known for various centuries: they are a system inspired by the principles of Aristotelian logic, permitting to reduce to one or few characters, which are assumed to be sufficient and discriminant, the complexity of living beings, with special regard to their identification and description (cfr. Pignatti and Cipriani 2010; Griffing 2011; Fischer 2020).

- 110 multiplied in the XIX century (e.g. Gillet and Magne 1861; Caruel 1876, 1883; Pochettino 1878; Bonnier
- 111 and De Layens 1883; Baroni 1906).
- 112 Floras usually consist of plant taxa (species and infraspecies) lists recorded in a given area (Fig. 1), which
- 113 include also additional information such as place of observation, habitat characteristics, altitude, frequency,
- 114 phenology (i.e. anthesis period), etc. Normally, they do not only report the species observed during the study
- 115 period, but also those recorded during previous investigations by other authors. Furthermore, Floras are
- 116 much more «biodiversity-oriented» than other written sources and refer to times when scientific philosophy
- 117 was very different compared to the present (Pignatti 1982; Tison and de Foucault 2014). This makes them
- 118 important reference works for studying floristic, taxonomic, distributional, diachronical, biogeographical,
- evolutionary questions, or to locate zones of biological interest spatially due to the presence of rare or
- 120 endangered species (e.g. Funk 1993, 2006; Palmer et al. 1995).
- 121 Classical Flora-related studies concern for example the introduction and colonisation dynamics of a
- neophyte, the variation in frequency or altitudinal range of a species, or the disappearing of certain species
  from a given area (e.g. Van der Veken et al. 2004; Van Calster et al. 2008; Knapp et al. 2010; Chiarucci et al.
- 124 2017).
- 125 From a current scientific perspective, historical Floras also show obvious weak points. Traditional floristic
- 126 research is typically based on an opportunistic approach, which implies potential distortions deriving from
- 127 particular scientific interests of the time, from the characteristics of the classical floristic research and from
- 128 the application of current analysis methods to historical data. This fact is well known when speaking of
- herbarium collections (e.g. Daru et al. 2018), but, to our memory, has never been clearly addressed regarding
- 130 Floras. In addition, most information is available on paper only, a format which is unsuitable for rapid and
- 131 statistical coherent data treatments, as required in today's science.
- 132 A Flora, however, is also a geographical document, since every species is usually accompanied by the
- 133 observation place (e.g. in form of textual locality descriptions) and since the entire work is referred to a
- target region explored with certain criteria by the collectors involved. The information provided by Floras is
- maximized if the floristic data can be georeferenced by interpreting and converting the heterogeneous textual locality descriptions into geographic coordinates (i.e. retrospective georeferencing; see also e.g. Buldrini et
- locality descriptions into geographic coordinates (i.e. retrospective georeferencing; see also e.g. Buldrini etal. 2018, 2019) and applying a spatial measure of uncertainty (e.g. Murphey et al. 2004; Chapman and
- 138 Wieczorek 2020; Seregin and Basov 2021). Consequently, one may analyse the exploration pattern, the
- 139 possible presence of exploration attractors for fieldwork, the relationships among the collectors involved,
- 140 which in turn influence the content of the Flora itself (Fig. 1). Nonetheless, such geographical aspects of the
- 141 Floras are usually ignored, also because the analysis of this information requires long and time-consuming
- 142 georeferencing work based on the locality descriptions, thus the studies about quality and quantity of the
- 143 floristic exploration of a territory are mostly performed following other viewpoints (see e.g. Kier et al. 2005;

Chiarucci et al. 2018, 2021). At present, only very few articles dealing with geographical aspects of floristic
lists exist (e.g. Viciani et al. 2018, 2021).

- 146 In this article, we used the Flora of the province of Bologna, published in 1883 by Girolamo Cocconi (which
- is already inserted in the Floristic Digital Database of Emilia-Romagna), as a study case for tackling thefollowing research questions:
- what are the principal features of this Flora in terms of quality of the records and taxonomic interpretationof the reported species?
- 151 which are the spatial and temporal exploration patterns applied by the authors within the region of interest
- and how did Cocconi include the information by both previous and contemporary collectors?
- 153 which is the potential of an ancient Flora in highlighting past landscape features?
- 154 To this purpose, first we carefully georeferenced all the cited locations in order to facilitate systematic text
- and species record research and analysis. For the analysis of specific past landscape features and their
- evolution, we referred to two target groups of ecologically and/or biogeographically well-characterised
- 157 species (the hydro-hygrophilous and the allochthonous species) and used them as indicators for assessing and
- quantifying the environmental transformations and human impacts that occurred in the area since Cocconi'stime.
- 160 161

# 162 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 163164 **2.1 The author and his Flora**

165 Girolamo Cocconi (Parma, 1824 – Bologna, 1904; Fig. 2) graduated in medicine, veterinary medicine and 166 natural sciences at the University of Parma, where he started to teach veterinary medicine in 1848. He 167 switched over to the University of Bologna in 1871, where he first became full professor of hygiene and 168 veterinary medicine in 1874 and was later elected director for life of Bologna's Scuola di Medicina 169 Veterinaria in 1884. He was well-known for his affiliation to numerous scientific and medical Italian 170 academies, became member of various ministerial commissions for the promotion and development of 171 teaching and for the compilation of the Official Pharmacopoeia, and was finally awarded the title of 172 Commendatore (knight commander) of the Crown of Italy for his scientific merits. Pier Andrea Saccardo 173 honoured his memory by naming a genus of fungi Cocconia (Brazzola 1905; Béguinot 1931). 174 Although teaching was his major commitment at the Veterinary Faculty of the university, he wrote various botanical and mycological works, such as a Flora of the forages of the province of Parma (Cocconi 1856), 175 176 four partial contributions to the Flora of the province of Bologna (Cocconi 1877, 1878, 1879, 1880), followed by several botanical itineraries within the province itself (CAI Bologna 1881) and a complete Flora 177 178 of the provincial territory (Flora della provincia di Bologna here considered – Cocconi 1883 – and thereafter 179 referred to as «the *Flora*»). 180 The *Flora* summarises all his findings which previously appeared in the partial contributions, together with records by different authors, and represents the attempt to complete what the famous botanist Antonio 181 182 Bertoloni senior (1775-1869) and Giuseppe Bertoloni (1804-1878) could not finalise. The latter, in 183 particular, conceived the idea of using the numerous floristic studies he performed to publish a *Flora* 184 escursoria della Provincia di Bologna (excursion Flora of the province of Bologna), but unfortunately, he 185 died before achieving this goal (Cocconi 1877, 1879). It is fundamental to note that Cocconi's floristic 186 investigations additionally led to the creation of a rich herbarium (Cocconi 1880), whose fate is however 187 unknown to date, apart from some samples kept in the Herbarium Paolucci (University of Ancona). 188 This *Flora* is an outstanding case study for many reasons. First, it is one of the very first examples in 189 northern Italy of a post-unification local Flora aiming at promoting a larger botanical culture among young 190 (pre-university) students and common citizens. For this purpose, at the beginning of the work some clear and 191 detailed instructions on how to prepare a herbarium and a dichotomous key for species identification are 192 reported. Second, it describes a territory that already shows profound landscape alterations as in many other 193 parts of Europe, such as land reclamation, forest overexploitation, development of railways, mountain 194 exploration and search for thermal and touristic purposes (Bertoloni 1867; CAI Bologna 1881), even if wide 195 areas quite well preserved from a naturalistic viewpoint still persisted. In contrast to many other Floras, in 196 the introduction the author clearly defines and describes the extent of the studied area, giving the readers a 197 precise picture of an urban, periurban and rural European landscape of the XIX century. Cocconi also 198 referred to records from former floristic investigations and took advantage of several collectors, allowing to 199 understand (at least partially) how single floristic records are passed on from one scholar to another. 200 From a technical viewpoint, the *Flora* is organised according to the system proposed by De Candolle (1818-201 1821), which at that time was the most modern and widely accepted systematic order, and includes not only 202 native or sub-spontaneous species, but also ornamental species and particularly crop taxa important for 203 industry or agriculture in the late 1800s (Cocconi 1883).

# 205 2.2 The study area

204

As specified by Cocconi himself (1883), the territory covered by the *Flora* encompasses the entire area

within the political boundaries of the former province of Bologna (Emilia-Romagna, northern Italy; Fig. 3).

- Nevertheless, the author, like most contemporaneous botanists (e.g. Gibelli and Pirotta 1883, 1884), followed a naturalistic criterion and paid much more attention to natural rather than to administrative borders, which is
- a naturalistic criterion and paid much more attention to natural rather than to administrative borders, which is standard procedure in floristic research (Pignatti 1982). Consequently, the area examined is larger than the
- 211 territory comprised within the administrative borders.
- 212 From a phytogeographic viewpoint, Emilia-Romagna is a transition area between two bioregions (Dinerstein
- et al. 2017): the Adriatic Sea and central Mediterranean mixed forests bioregion (part of the Mediterranean
- subrealm, that covers the Italian peninsula and is characterised by a Mediterranean or oromediterranean
- 215 vegetation) and the Alps and Po basin mixed forests bioregion (part of the Western Eurasia realm, that covers
- 216 Northern Italy and is characterised by a continental vegetation of central European or alpine type).
- 217 The area covered by the *Flora* shares both these characters, given its position at the centre of the region and
- 218 its altitudinal extent from the low plain to the subalpine level. Overall, it has an extension of 4096 km  $^{2}$  and
- ranges from 8 to 1944 m a.s.l. (the peak of the mountain Corno alle Scale). The plain (47% of the area) and
- the hilly-mountainous part (53%) are clearly defined by the Roman roads *via Claudia* and *via Emilia*, along
- which the city of Bologna (at that time still surrounded by fortified walls) is located in the middle of the study area. *Circa* 10% of the studied area is currently under the neighbouring province of Madara

- 223 The plain is an alluvial area generated by the River Po and its current and former tributaries, the River
- 224 Panaro and River Reno, whose substrate is characterised by river sediments of variable granulometry and
- calcareous soils (Regione Emilia-Romagna 2022). In order to make the region habitable and suitable for
- agriculture, large-scale hydraulic interventions on the territory were performed between the late Middle Ages
- and the XVIII century, and others have still been done from 1850 onwards (De La Lande 1769; Bondesan
- 228 1990; Dallai et al. 2014). In the late XIX century, the artificial hydrological drainage network was only
- partially completed (Furlani 2009; Zampighi 2009; Montanari et al. 2022). According to Emilia-Romagna's
- 1853 land use/land cover map derived from the *Carta Topografica Austriaca* (see Table 1), 157 km<sup>-2</sup> of the
   plain were still occupied by wide areas of 1-3 m deep stagnant water (the so-called *valli*). They represented
- the remnants of a wetland *continuum* called *Valle Padusa*, which in the past centuries extended from the
- Adriatic coast to the River Panaro and beyond (Soriani 1834). Besides very few spots of *Quercus robur* and
- 234 *Carpinus betulus*-dominated lowland forests, the vegetation of this part of the study area was characterised
- by ruderal, disturbance-tolerant and segetal species in the cultivated areas, hydro-hygrophilous species, some
- of them abundant at times, in the residual marshes (Cocconi 1878) and paddy fields that sometimes replaced
- parts of the *valli* or the wetlands (Bertoloni 1870; Giacomelli 1987, 1997).
- The hilly and mountainous parts are characterised by marked variations in terms of elevation, geology and
- pedological features. The substrate mainly consists of marl, sandstone, clays and chaotic complexes of
- various rocks incorporated in a clay matrix (i.e. scaly clays, It.: *argille scagliose*) and notable gypsum
- outcrops belonging to the Gessoso-Solfifera Formation of Messinian age especially in the eastern part of
- Bologna (De Waele et al. 2017). Mixed *Quercus* forests belong to the potential natural vegetation up to the
- submontane belt, whereas *Fagus sylvatica* forests dominate the montane belt, followed by *Vaccinium* myrtillus and *V. gaultherioides* heathlands in the suprasylvatic belt (CAI Bologna 1881).
- 245

# 246 2.3 Data pre-treatment

# 247 2.3.1 Floristic data

- The following *Flora*-related information could be extracted as floristic records from Emilia-Romagna's
   Floristic Digital Database (Regione Emilia-Romagna 2021; hereafter DbER):
- 250 taxon, at the level of species or infraspecies (variety, according to the author of the *Flora*),
- description of the locality (whenever present) where the taxon was observed,
- 252 collector (Cocconi in person or another author by his appointment),
- narrative ancillary data (whenever present) such as presence as a cultivated species and frequency (*rara*,
- 254 *frequente*, *volgare*, etc.) as indicated by the author himself.
- 255

# 256 2.3.2 Taxonomic and nomenclatural revision

- 257 Each recorded taxon was checked and revised in the light of current taxonomic interpretation, ecology and 258 distribution in the regional territory. After updating the reported nomenclature following Pignatti et al. (2017-259 2019), taxa that have no longer been observed in Emilia-Romagna since Cocconi's survey and those whose 260 taxonomic interpretation have varied notably have been classified as problematic. For the purposes of the 261 present study, changes in taxonomic interpretation can have two effects: if the herbarium specimen reference 262 is lacking, today it is impossible to fully understand what currently recognised taxon the author had actually 263 observed (e.g. Pignatti and Guarino 2019); on the other hand, the geographical distribution attributed to that 264 taxon can also change, widening or restricting the range and, as a consequence, varying the chorological 265 category. Problematic taxa were thus solved either by assigning them, whenever possible, to the most 266 plausible congeneric or conspecific taxon confirmed in Emilia-Romagna, or discarding them from further 267 processing and analysis. Unfortunately, since the fate of Cocconi's herbarium is currently unknown, a re-268 examination of the original specimens for clarifying any doubts was impossible; some problems were 269 however solved by consulting other XIX century herbaria available (Herbarium Universitatis Bononiensis –
- BOLO, then Herbarium Riva and Herbarium Mattei, both preserved in Herbarium Neapolitanum NAP;
- 271 Bronzo et al. 2012). The knowledge of today's flora of the province of Bologna is quite accurate, thanks to 272 the DbER and to the work performed by many explorers (see also Pezzi et al. 2021), therefore it was nearly
- always possible to solve the uncertain cases by assigning the problematic taxa to the most probable one
- 274 currently ascertained for the regional or provincial flora.
- The complete list of the taxa cited in Cocconi's *Flora* together with their current interpretation is available in the DbER and reported in Online resource 2.
- 277

# 278 **2.3.3** Integrating ecological information and defining specific ecological-biogeographic groups

- For every retained taxon, life form and chorotype were added following Pignatti et al. (2017-2019) and
  Ellenberg's bioindication values were attributed as proposed for the Italian flora by Pignatti et al. (2005),
  Guarino et al. (2012) and Domina et al. (2018).
- 282

283 Secondly, two target ecological-biogeographic groups were defined for the detailed analysis of the landscape 284 evolution: the hydro-hygrophytes and the allochthonous species. The hydro-hygrophytes include all species 285 with Ellenberg's bioindication value for soil humidity  $U \ge 7$  and are further subdivided into the following 286 three ecological categories:

- hygrophilous species: indicators of humid soils (U = 7 and 8);
- palustrine species: indicators of transitory, but frequent, soil submersion (U = 9 and 10);
- aquatic species: indicators of constant soil submersion (U = 11 and 12).

Allochthonous species were defined based on their origin (archaeophytes or neophytes) and further
distinguished according to their present distribution status (casual, naturalised and invasive) as indicated by
the Portale della Flora d'Italia (2021). Cryptogenic species, intended as doubtfully allochthonous ones (*sensu*Carlton 1996 and Celesti-Grapow et al. 2010), were computed together with allochthonous species.

- Thirdly, in view of the analyses, the chorotypes of both ecological-biogeographic groups were framed into
  macro-chorotypes. For the hydro-hygrophilous species, this grouping was made according to Pignatti (1982),
  Poldini (1991), Tomaselli and Gualmini (2000) and Alessandrini et al. (2010):
- 299 300
- 301 Boreal: species of the cold regions of Eurasia and North America;
- 302 Eurasian: species of the temperate and sub-steppe regions of Eurasia;
- 303 European orophytes: species of the mountain ranges of central Europe;
- 304 Southern European orophytes: species of the mountain ranges of southern Europe;
- 305 Mediterranean: species native to the Mediterranean Basin;
- 306 Cosmopolitan: cosmopolitan or sub-cosmopolitan species;
- 307 Exotic: all species which are not native of the Italian territory;
- 308 Endemic: species endemic to the Italian peninsula.
- 309

For the allochthonous species, given the presence of many neophytes, further macro-chorotypes were
 adopted to better illustrate the geographical origin and species ecology, therefore macro-chorotypes were
 defined as follows:

- 313
- Boreal: species of the cold regions of Eurasia and North America;
- 315 Eurasian: species of the temperate and sub-steppe regions of Eurasia;
- 316 Mediterranean: species native to the Mediterranean Basin;
- Palaeotropical: species of the tropical and intertropical regions of Africa and Asia;
- 318 North American: species of the temperate regions of North America;
- Neotropical: species of the tropical and intertropical regions of central and South America;
- 320 South American: species of the temperate regions of South America;
- 321 Pantropical: species native to all tropical regions of the world;
- 322 Cosmopolitan: cosmopolitan or sub-cosmopolitan species.
- 323

330

Fourth, for every taxon featured in the final list, species extinction level (provincial, regional or national) was attributed following Bartolucci et al. (2018) and by means of the authors' expert assessment (AA), based on the floristic records preserved in the DbER. Threat level was evaluated according to the red lists of the European and Italian vascular flora (Bilz et al. 2011; Rossi et al. 2013). The same expert assessment (AA) procedure was applied to assign the current presence in the territory of the species of both target groups , to detect changes in frequency and abundance of the species themselves.

# 331 **2.3.4** *Expliciting the spatial information*

- 332 We first delimited the reference area of the *Flora* by crosschecking the description provided by the author
- with the maps of the Istituto Nazionale di Statistica (<u>https://www.istat.it/it/archivio/231601</u>) of administrative
- divisions available for the years 1881 and 2021. The resulting perimeter roughly corresponds to the province
- of Bologna's boundaries at that time (Fig. 3), but extends to the natural limits represented by the River

- 336 Santerno eastward and the River Panaro westward; eastward it also includes municipalities that became part
- 337 of the province few years later. To allow us to perform specific geographical analyses, the so obtained study
- 338 area was subdivided into three different geomorphological and environmental sectors: the city of Bologna,
- 339 the plain and the hilly-mountainous part.
- 340 Next, text-based locality descriptions of each record (whenever present) were associated by one of the
- 341 authors (GP) to explicit geospatial coordinates with the highest possible precision using a stepwise procedure
- based on the expert knowledge of the area. Locality descriptions appearing highly heterogeneous (in term of
- content and format) were first standardised in full observation and consistency with the original terms. Such
- 344 standardisation also highlighted text strings including more than one toponym, ambiguous toponyms (giving
- 345 multiple possible interpretations), microtoponyms (i.e. locally used toponyms), as well as inconsistent 346 formatting and misspellings. Geolocalisations were solved case by case, choosing the most suitable option
- 347 among the available sources, such as georeferenced toponym databases, historical and current topographic
- 348 maps (Table 1), historical textual sources mainly available in internet (e.g. Google Books), home-grown
- 349 local people and territorial experts.
- 350 The following rules were then applied for the final positioning of the locality point on the maps (see Pezzi et
- al. 2021). Coordinates of linear-shaped elements (roads, rivers, streams, canals) were positioned in the midst
- 352 of the feature. If the record was indicated between two different toponyms or in case the author's further 353 indications were unavailable, an intermediate point between the localities was chosen. For the places where
- 354 there was a parish church, the point was placed on this element, as it can be considered the most stable
- 355 within the area. For toponyms referring to mountains, the point was placed on top of the mountain. The
- 356 records referring to polygonal elements (e.g. the *valli* or paddy fields) took the coordinates of the locality of
- 357 reference. Sometimes it was necessary to give a locality's description to the nearest toponym: this was the
- 358 case when a locality description was made up of more than one toponym, of which one more precise than the
- 359 other (and comprising the former), and it was not possible to assign the locality's description to the more 360 precise toponym. Where the textual sources only indicated the area in which the target locality was
- 361 comprised, the locality itself was matched with the toponym of the whole area.
- Further, a radius was associated to each plan coordinates in order to describe the potential geographic extentof the locality, or to measure the uncertainty linked to the georeferencing procedure.
- 364 For the reconstructed plan coordinates, the distance from the town centre of Bologna, their positioning in the
- 365 three defined geomorphological sectors (Bologna town centre, plain, hills and mountains), and the elevation
- 366 (*z*-coordinate) based on the 5 m grid Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of Emilia-Romagna have been added.
- 367 The quality of the georeferencing process was labelled as follows: locality missing in the record; locality not 368 found; locality referred to the nearest toponym. All the standardised georeferenced localities and related
- 369 ancillary data were integrated back to the original data set.
- The entire georeferencing process was performed in QGIS 2.18 (<u>www.qgis.org</u>), using the spatial reference system UTM32N WGS84.
- 372

# 373 2.3.5 Collectors data

- A careful literature search allowed additional data to be assigned to the collector's characteristics (see Online
   resource 3), such as background and professional skills (i.e. academic scholar, non-academic botanist, local
   enthusiast) and registry records (birth and death).
- 377 In particular, we used registry data as a proxy of the work period of a certain collector and to understand how
- 378 contemporary the records are to the data published in the *Flora*. Furthermore, the number of records was
- 379 assigned to each author whenever they appeared. Through locality georeferencing, we could also examine
- 380 the various collectors' spatial contribution to floristic exploration and detect the areas of higher record
- concentration, i.e. those believed to be more interesting from a floristic viewpoint.

# 383 **2.4 Data analysis**

- 384 Geographical distribution patterns such as the spatial density of the observation places were analysed using
- 385 the Kernel Density tool of ArcGIS Desktop (vers. 10.8.1) with the following parameters set: 40 m of output
- 386 raster cell size; 5000 m of search radius (i.e. the search radius within which to calculate density) and a flat
- earth method (planar). The final map was produced with ArcGIS Pro (vers. 2.6.3). Canonical Analysis (CA)
- 388 was performed to detect the pattern of collectors in comparison to the detected hotspots of floristic 389 exploration.
- 390 Taxa were analysed at species and infraspecies level by grouping them according to their eco-geographical
- 391 characteristics (e.g. stenomediterranean, arctic-alpine), phytogeographic and conservation interest (endemic,
- 392 subendemic and extinct) and agricultural importance (cultivated and segetal). A deeper analysis was

393 performed for the target species (hydro-hygrophilous and alien) with respect to spatial distribution (plain

394 area *versus* hills and mountains), life forms, macro-chorotypes and rarity. Hydro-hygrophytes were also

395 analysed by ecological categories and threat level. This analysis was performed considering the current

396 extent of the Bologna province. When analysing data, if a species is both hydro-hygrophilous and alien, it 397 was considered in both groups.

398 Statistical elaborations were performed in R version 4.0.4 (R Core Team 2020).

## 399 400

#### 401 **3 RESULTS**

# 402

### 403 **3.1 Exploration pattern**

404 Globally, 7767 records (taxon plus toponym) were extracted from the *Flora* (Online resource 2). Among 405 them, 415 lack of a locality description: these records mainly refer to common or very common species, such 406 as the ruderal Stellaria media, Chelidonium majus, Echium vulgare, woody species like Quercus robur or 407 Juniperus communis, widespread segetal species (e.g. Agrostemma githago, Gladiolus italicus) and crop plants in some cases widely cultivated (i.e. Zea mays, Solanum lycopersicum, S. tuberosum). In addition, 8 408 409 records could not be located and 73 were referred to the nearest toponym. The remaining 7344 records could 410 be referred to 659 standardised georeferenced localities (hereafter localities; see Fig. 4). These localities 411 range from a precise (0.1 km radius) to a vaguely defined point (i.e. an extensive area, up to 40.0 km radius). 412 However, 71.2% of the localities have a radius  $\leq$  1.0 km and 92.7% are those with a radius  $\leq$  2.6 km (Fig. 5). 413 Among the localities, 247 are linked to a single record and 16 to more than 100 records (up to 256; see Fig.

- 414 4). Localities lay by 75.9% in the hills and mountains, 18.4% in the plain and 5.7% in the Bologna town. The
- 415 former group of localities is also linked with 79.7% of the floristic records. Some of these localities include
- 416 completely disappeared ecosystems due to changes in land-use occurred during the XX century (i.e. the valli) 417 or destruction during the Second World War.
- 418 The locality density estimated by the Kernel density (Fig. 4) ranged from 0 to 2.7 localities/ $km^2$  (mean value:
- 419 0.1 localities/km<sup>2</sup>) and reveals four hotspots of floristic exploration (Table 2). These areas comprise 63.6% of 420 the georeferenced records, 47.9% of the georeferenced localities, 14 of the 16 localities with at least 100
- 421 floristic records. By contrast, they have a total extension of only 280.1 km<sup>2</sup> (6.8% of the entire study area).
- 422 The richest hotspot in term of records (2108) and localities (173) includes the town of Bologna and its
- 423 surroundings, up to a distance of 15.4 km and an altitudinal range from 30.8 up to the 390.3 m in the hills. It
- 424 is interesting to note that most of the localities linked to Bologna refer to the elements of the city walls (e.g.
- 425 gates) which were demolished in the early XX century. Other hotspots comprise localities at a distance from 426 Bologna between 44.4 and 59.6 km, in the south-western part of the study area. In particular, the localities in
- 427 the area of Porretta Terme have an altitudinal range from 343.2 to 1470 m a.s.l., whilst Mount Corno alle
- 428 Scale contains the localities with the highest altitudes: 52.3% of records are comprised between 1600 and
- 429 1944 m a.s.l. (i.e. from the timberline upwards, into the subalpine level). Finally, the Montese area includes mainly localities within the province of Modena.

## 430 431 About 27% of the records are referred to collectors other than Cocconi. Globally, contributors are 32, even if 432 a discrete number of floristic records was simultaneously attributed to two authors by Cocconi himself (e.g.

- 433 Amilcare and Demetrio Lorenzini, Giuseppe and Domenico Riva, Giuseppe Gibelli and Pietro Romualdo 434 Pirotta; see Online resource 3 and Fig. 6). Collectors were academic scholars, professors or directors of 435 botanical gardens (Gibelli, Pirotta, Cavara, Mattei, Savi, etc.), others were undisputed scientific authorities
- 436 (such as Antonio Bertoloni senior or Caruel – Gibelli and Pirotta 1883) or local collectors like pharmacists
- 437 (Lorenzini, Tassinari), and botany enthusiasts (Breventani, Comelli, Giannitrapani, Saccenti, etc.). However, 438 the major contributors (> 50 records) are principally academic scholars or directors of botanical gardens,
- 439 such as Antonio Bertoloni *senior* (913 records), Giuseppe Bertoloni (355), the Riva brothers (249), Giuseppe 440 Gibelli and Pietro Romualdo Pirotta (98), Teodoro Caruel (69). Further, the Lorenzini brothers (136) and
- 441 Cesare Saccenti (77) should be added as the sole non-academic plant collectors among the major
- 442 contributors. Concerning the timeline, the most ancient records are the 10 by Fulgenzio Vitman and one by
- 443 Ferdinando Bassi (i.e. Caldesia parnassifolia, which was found in the «wetlands of the Boloana Apennines» 444
- Cocconi 1883). All the collectors except four are included in the records of the hotspots. Concerning the 445 Bertoloni, 511 out of 913 records for Antonio *senior* are included in the hotspots, whereas for Giuseppe they
- 446 are 341 out of 355. Furthermore, the records by Antonio *senior* are mainly linked to Bologna (380 records),
- 447 whereas those by Giuseppe to Porretta Terme (316). Vitman, Savi and Caruel in turn are principally linked 448 with Corno alle Scale (Fig. 7). Most of the records are however due to Cocconi and his contemporary
- 449 collectors.

#### 451 3.2 The taxa: general characters and chorological aspects

452 The floristic dataset consists of 1755 species and 305 infraspecies, corresponding to 1699 species and 92 subspecies currently recognised (on which all subsequent numbers and analyses are based). Besides 453

454 tracheophytes, 5 species are algae (genera *Chara* and *Nitella*), which were not considered in the present

- 455 study. As a whole, the taxa occurrence ranges from 1 to 20, with a prevalence (68.5%) of low occurrences ( $\leq$ 456 5). Single occurrences account for 30.0% of the total. The most frequently recorded taxa are *Mentha*
- 457 aquatica (20 occurrences), Orobanche gracilis (19), Geranium nodosum, Trifolium ochroleucum and Viola
- 458 canina (18).

459 Problematic taxa are 60 and may refer to possible Cocconi's mistakes (e.g.Astragalus alpinus, 460 Coristospermum ferulaceum, Minuartia laricifolia), to species no longer observed in Emilia-Romagna (such 461 as *Carex disticha* or *Tephroseris longifolia*; in some cases, they are species not confirmed since a long time,

462 but whose ancient presence can not be excluded *a priori*: see for example Allium carinatum, Cvnoalossum

463 *creticum* and *Equisetum fluviatile*), to species nowadays found only in the Alps or in central-southern Italy

464 (e.g. *Drosera anglica* and *Doronicum orientale*, respectively), or to misinterpretations of the species' past

- 465 names that make it now impossible to clearly identify the current species (case of *Cardamine pratensis*, 466 Ranunculus aquatilis, R. gracilis, Thalictrum flavum, etc.).
- 467 Besides species typical of the mesophilous *Quercus*-dominated or *Fagus sylvatica* forests, which are very
- 468 common to most parts of continental Europe, the *Flora* reports also species belonging to particular 469 chorological groups, such as:
- 470 - stenomediterranean species (< 3%), such as Allium roseum, Asparagus acutifolius, Picnomon acarna,
- 471 Quercus ilex (which at that time grew as a shrub in small groups of individuals, under particular favourable 472 microclimatic conditions);
- 473 - arctic-alpine species (< 1%) living in the most elevated parts of the province, in prairies and heaths (e.g.

474 Anemone narcissiflora, Empetrum hermaphroditum or Gentiana nivalis, which was at that time considered 475 very rare) or rocky environments (e.g. Juncus trifidus, Saxifraga paniculata, Silene acaulis);

476 - species which are endemic to Italy (e.g. *Cirsium bertolonii*, *Globularia incanescens*, *Murbeckiella zanonii*,

477 Ononis masquillierii, Polygala flavescens) or subendemic (e.g. Cardamine chelidonia, Geranium argenteum,

478 Phyteuma scorzonerifolium), globally accounting for about 2% of the flora.

479 Cultivated taxa are 122 (Table 3), although some of them may have occurred as casual aliens. Species which

480 were largely cultivated at Cocconi's time were, for example, *Cannabis sativa* (plain part of the study area),

481 Oryza sativa (lowlands, near the valli), Castanea sativa (cultivated even in some kitchen gardens of the city

- 482 of Bologna), Solanum lycopersicum and S. tuberosum. To these taxa we add Morus alba and M. niara, which 483 were cultivated as fodder crops for silkworms together with *Ulmus minor*, and *Linum usitatissimum* and
- 484 *Olea europaea*, a stenomediterranean species back then cultivated only in scattered and isolated trees.
- 485 Among the segetal species accompanying the cultivations, we mention Adonis aestivalis, Agrostemma 486 *qithaqo*, *Anemone coronaria*, *A. hortensis*, *Consolida regalis*, *Cyanus segetum*, *Legousia speculum-veneris*, 487 Scandix pecten-veneris, Papaver rhoeas, Turgenia latifolia and Vaccaria hispanica.
- 488
- Finally, 40 species recorded in the *Flora* are currently declared as extinct on a provincial, regional or national 489 scale. Most of them are hydro-hygrophytes (Table 4), but the list also includes some species of economic and 490 medicinal importance (e.g. Isatis tinctoria, Trigonella foenum-graecum, Leonurus cardiaca, Styrax
- 491 officinalis), the alien and hydro-hygrophilous *Najas graminea*, which the author reported from the paddy 492 fields with the additional comment «perhaps introduced with the rice cultivation» (Cocconi 1883) and few
- 493 hypsophilous species (Gentiana nivalis, Silene acaulis, Traunsteinera globosa, etc.). 494

## 495 3.3 The hydro-hygrophilous species

496 Hydro-hygrophilous species recorded in the *Flora* are 283 (16.5% of the total species number), subdivided in

497 169 hygrophilous (59.7%), 70 palustrine (24.7%) and 44 aquatic species (15.5%). Of these 283 species, 59

498 (20.8%) lack geographical indication: they were either very common species (Alisma plantago-aquatica,

499 Equisetum telmateia, Juncus effusus, Typha latifolia, etc.) or cultivated species (Allium schoenoprasum,

500 *Orvza sativa*, *Sorahum bicolor*, *Zea mays*), Hydro-hygrophilous species also include 6 alien species (e.g.,

501 Acorus calamus, Najas graminea), 10 cultivated species (Citrullus lanatus, Mentha spicata, Oryza sativa, 502 etc.), 10 ornamental species (Populus canadensis, Salix babylonica, etc.).

- 503 In the plain, the sum of palustrine and aquatic species is nearly equivalent to the number of hygrophilous
- 504 species (48.9 and 51.1%, respectively), whereas in the hills and mountains the hygrophilous prevail (67.7%; 505 Fig. 8a).

- 506 Among the life forms (Fig. 8b), hemicryptophytes clearly dominate in all contexts, but with notable 507 differences between plain (31.6%), hills and mountains (48.8%); similarly, the presence of hydrophytes and
- 508 helophytes greatly varies between the plain (28.9%), the hills and mountains (11.7%).
- 509 Concerning the chorotypes, Eurasian, boreal, cosmopolitan and Mediterranean species are always dominant,
- 510 but Eurasian and cosmopolitan species are more abundant at low altitudes, whereas boreal and hypsophilous
- 511 ones are more abundant in the hills and mountains (Fig. 8c). In particular, boreal species (circumboreal and
- 512 Euro-Siberian) are 19.4% of the chorological spectrum in the plain area and 26.0% in the hills and 513 mountains.
- 514 In the *Flora*, 31 species were indicated as frequent and 4 as rare (*Leucojum aestivum*, *Potamogeton* 515 *perfoliatus*, *Rumex palustris* and *Sonchus palustris*). Among these 31 species, 8 are now rare, for 2 the 516 *current presence* decorrect to be confirmed and 2 formerly are concised are new outingt
- 516 current presence deserves to be confirmed and 3 formerly rare species are now extinct.
- 517 As of today, 63 species (22.3% of the hydro-hygrophilous) are rare, 14 (4.9%) need to be confirmed, 29 518 (10.2%) are extinct. Among the latter, 2 are extinct all over Italy (*Aldrovanda vesiculosa* and *Caldesia*
- 518 (10.2%) are extinct. Among the latter, 2 are extinct all over Italy (Alarovanda vesiculosa and Caldesia 519 parnassifolia), 5 are extinct in Emilia-Romagna (Acorus calamus, Hippuris vulgaris, Limosella aquatica,
- 519 *particulus lingua*, and *Sonchus palustris*, the latter possibly extinct in Italy throughout), whereas the others
- 521 are extinct on a provincial scale only. Of the extinct species, 12 out of 29 (41.4%) were exclusively recorded
- 522 in the plain, 9 (31.0%) were found in the hills and mountains exclusively, the others (27.6%) were present
- 523 throughout the whole territory. The extinct species can be divided into 10 palustrine, 10 aquatic and 9
- 524 hygrophilous (Table 4). In the plain, hills and mountains palustrine and aquatic species dominate: 15 species
- 525 out of 20 in the plain and 11 out of 17 in the hills and mountains. Among them, we find species typical of
- 526 stagnant oligotrophic waters with a peaty substrate (e.g. *Hottonia palustris, Menyanthes trifoliata*), species
- 527 resisting to a remarkable summer heating (e.g. *Aldrovanda vesiculosa*), or species growing on flooded soils
- 528 with a good trophic level (e.g. *Caldesia parnassifolia*, *Hippuris vulgaris*, *Oenanthe aquatica*, *O. fistulosa*) or
- 529 significant nutrient accumulation (*Baldellia ranunculoides*). Various species are also typically found in
- humid oligotrophic grasslands or peat bogs (*Eriophorum angustifolium*), or humid mesotrophic grasslands
   (*Anacamptis palustris, Leucojum aestivum*, etc.).
- 532 Concerning the life forms, 15 species out of 29 are hydrophytes, 6 are hemicryptophytes and 5 geophytes,
- 533 whereas helophytes and therophytes display a marginal presence only (in terms of number of species, but
- 534 surely not as land cover importance). In the plain area, hydrophytes and hemicryptophytes dominate (12 and 535 4 species out of 20, respectively), whereas the most abundant in the hills and mountains are the hydrophytes
- (8 species out of 17), followed by geophytes and hemicryptophytes (4 and 3 species, respectively).
  Concerning the chorotypes, Eurasian, boreal and cosmopolitan species dominate in the entire territory (12,
  10 and 6 species, respectively), but with noteworthy differences in the distribution between the plain
  (Eurasian 45.0%, boreal 30.0%, cosmopolitan 25.0%) and the hills and mountains (boreal 47.0%, Eurasian
- 539 (Eurasian 45.0%, borear 30.0%, cosmopolitan 25.0%) and the nills and mountains (bore 540 35.3%, cosmopolitan 11.8%).

# 542 **3.4 The allochthonous species**

- 543 The allochthonous species are 123 (7.2% of the total species number), divided in 61 archaeophytes (e.g.
- Allium cepa, Malus domestica), 57 neophytes (e.g. Amaranthus spp., Hemerocallis fulva), 4 cryptogenic (e.g.
- 545 *Brassica nigra*), 1 generic allochthonous (*Allium ascalonicum*). Even if archaeophytes and neophytes are
- 546 globally similar in number (Table 5), most of the former correspond to casual and naturalised species, 547 whereas neophytes are largely dominated by invasive ones (87,5%). In the late XIX century, anyway,
- 547 whereas neophytes are largely dominated by invasive ones (87.5%). In the late XIX century, anyway, only 4 548 currently invasive species were frequent, whereas 14 were cited for not more than 5 localities and 1 was even
- 549 said to be rare (Table 6). The most recorded species are, in decreasing order, the neophyte *Datura* 550 *stramonium* and the local allochthonous *Narcissus pseudonarcissus* (12 records each), the archaeophyte
- 551 *Prunus domestica* subsp. *insititia* (11), the neophytes *Amaranthus retroflexus* and *Narcissus incomparabilis*
- (8 records each), the archaeophytes *Hyacinthus orientalis*, *Punica granatum* and *Raphanus sativus* and the
   neophyte *Xanthium spinosum* (7 records each).
- 13 species were found in Bologna, 34 in the plain, 59 in the hills and mountains. Archaeophytes and
- neophytes were always present in similar numbers, apart from Bologna town centre (Table 7). 50 species out
- of 123 (25 archaeophytes, 22 neophytes, 2 cryptogenic, 1 generic allochthonous) lack of a geographical
- indication, because in most cases they were present only under cultivation and did not tend to naturalise.
- 558 67 out of 123 species (50 archaeophytes, 13 neophytes, 3 cryptogenic, 1 generic allochthonous) were
- cultivated for food and 18 (2 archaeophytes, 16 neophytes) for ornament (but the neophyte *Phytolacca*
- 560 *americana* was cultivated for both purposes); 1 was sporadically cultivated as a dyeing plant (*Isatis* 561 *tinctoria*), whereas the remnant 37 (8 archaeophytes, 28 neophytes, 1 cryptogenic) grew spontaneously in the
- 562 territory. Among crop species, 6 (4 archaeophytes, 2 neophytes) were cultivated in the plain only (2 of which

- in Bologna as well), 14 (13 archaeophytes, 1 cryptogenic) were exclusively cultivated in the hills and 563
- 564 mountains (1 of which in Bologna as well), 7 (all of them archaeophytes) were cultivated both in the plain,
- 565 hills and mountains, 2 (all of them archaeophytes) in all three sectors (Bologna, plain, hills and mountains).
- 566 Therefore, globally 15 crop species were cultivated in the plain and 23 in the hills and mountains.
- 567 Life forms are illustrated in Fig. 9a. Therophytes (i.e. annual species, in most cases typical of disturbed soils) are always dominant, followed by phanerophytes, geophytes and hemicryptophytes (in most cases 568 569 ornamental species), with small variations in the percentages among the different sectors. Helophytes and 570
- hydrophytes are confined to the sole plain zone.
- 571 Chorotypes are illustrated in Fig. 9b. Eurasian species are always dominant, making up at least 25% of the
- 572 spectrum; Mediterranean, palaeotropical, cultivated and temperate America species follow, with values
- 573 comprised within 10-20% of the spectrum. The species of the Old World vary between 48.8 and 56.6% in the
- 574 plain, hills and mountains respectively, and are limited to 33.3% within Bologna. The species of the New
- 575 World vary between 23.6 and 29.8% in the plain, hills and mountains respectively, and reach 41.2% within 576 Bologna.
- 577 Concerning the geographical origin of the species, Asian species represent 27.3% of the list, American
- 578 24.0%, European 9.9%, African 5.8%. The remnant species have a wide distribution (pantropical, 579 circumboreal, cosmopolitan), are cultivated, or are still of unknown or uncertain origin.
- 580 Among the 5 allochthonous species that were common at Cocconi's epoch, 3 neophytes are now invasive
- 581 (Amaranthus deflexus, A. hybridus and Erigeron canadensis) and 2 naturalised (the neophyte Digitaria
- 582 ciliaris and the archaeophyte Prunus dulcis). Today, 4 species are rare (the neophytes Narcissus medioluteus
- 583 and *Tulipa raddii*, the archaeophyte *Punica qranatum* and the cryptogenic *Rhus coriaria*) and 6 are extinct
- 584 (e.g. Acorus calamus, Arachis hypoqaea, Trigonella foenum-graecum; see Table 4), whereas in the late XIX 585 century they were cultivated (A. hypogaea, P. granatum and T. foenum-graecum) or somewhere spontaneous
- 586 or sub-spontaneous (N. medioluteus, R. coriaria, etc.).
- 587
- 588

## 589 **4 DISCUSSION** 590

#### 591 4.1 Exploration patterns and quality of the data

- 592 The *Flora* refers to a territory that goes beyond the administrative borders of the Bologna province back in 593 the day. Indeed, the area studied is much more defined on a geographic and naturalistic basis, also 594 considering changes made on the borders that would have become operational in the near future (i.e. some 595 municipalities of the eastern part annexed to the province of Bologna in 1884). The approach including 596 relevant areas for the reference territory, irrespectively of the administrative units, is common also to other 597 Floras of the same historical period (e.g. Gibelli and Pirotta 1883) and is obviously logical even in a modern 598 perspective (Pignatti 1982).
- 599 The resulting floristic records are the product of the joint work by Cocconi and 32 other collectors. When
- 600 looking at the time span of such records, most ancient ones probably date back to the mid of the XVIII
- 601 century. Among them, of particular relevance are the record of *Caldesia parnassifolia* by Ferdinando Bassi,
- 602 who sent Linnaeus the specimen (Managlia and Mossetti 2008; Managlia et al. 2012) and the 10 records by
- 603 Fulgenzio Vitman, which he published in 1773. Among the most important contributors of the *Flora* we have
- 604 Antonio and Giuseppe Bertoloni, with records from the 1820s to the 1870s, which were primarily part of the 605
- Flora Italica (Bertoloni 1833-1854), and the works by Giuseppe Bertoloni such as Iter in Apenninum Bononiensem (Bertoloni 1841) and Vegetazione dei monti di Porretta (Bertoloni 1867). These records by the 606
- 607 Bertoloni, together with the contributions by Bassi and Vitman, might be considered as the *corpus* of
- historical records of the *Flora*. The other collectors are mainly contemporary to the redaction of the *Flora* 608
- 609 and somehow linked to the University of Bologna, either as alumni or as collaborators for Bertoloni and
- 610 Cocconi. Finally, some records originate from the Flora of the bordering provinces of Modena and Reggio
- 611 Emilia (Gibelli and Pirotta 1883, 1884), whose authors Cocconi was probably in touch with, which let us
- 612 suppose similar cultural drivers or even a common genesis of the two works. To sum up, most records of the 613 *Flora* were collected in a period of roughly 60 years, with a peak during the time when Cocconi was a
- 614 professor in Bologna (from 1871 onwards).
- 615 From a geographical standpoint, the exploration effort is unbalanced in favour of the hills and mountains,
- 616 whereas territories lacking a road network tended to be avoided, for the obvious difficulties of travelling in
- 617 these areas (cfr. Mattei 1893; Pezzi et al. 2021). Four exploration hotspots can be recognised. The first
- 618 corresponds to the starting point of the botanical itineraries around Bologna and extends up to the adjacent
- 619 *Colli Bolognesi* (lit. «the hills of Bologna», roughly ranging from 200 to 400 m a.s.l.). As testified by many

620 herbarium samples collected by Ulisse Aldrovandi (e.g. Soldano 2000, 2001, 2002), the *Colli Bolognesi* have

- 621 been known since the Renaissance for their high floristic value. Two other hotspots are situated at a
- 622 cartographic distance of 40-60 km from Bologna (Bertoloni 1867; CAI Bologna 1881): one coincides with
- 623 the area of the thermal baths of Porretta and surrounding mountains, the second with the area of the Corno
- alle Scale massif, both renowned for centuries for their natural and wildlife heritage (cfr. Pezzi et al. 2021).
  The exploration hotspots also correspond to the areas where data reported by various authors have
- 626 accumulated over time (Vitman, Bertoloni, Cocconi), confirming botanists' early and notable interest for the
- 627 biological richness of these sites as well as their medical and cultural importance. Nonetheless, it is due to
- 628 note that the recent inauguration of the railway from Bologna to Pistoia (opened in 1864) allowed much
- 629 better access to the most elevated parts of the province. Therefore, the high exploration intensity of the areas
- 630 of Porretta and Corno alle Scale could be ascribed both to the high interest they generated since the previous 631 centuries and to the great improvement of the travelling conditions.
- 632

# 633 **4.2 The taxa: general characters and chorological aspects**

The floristic dataset consists of 1755 species and 305 varieties, which is in line with similar and coeval
works such as the Flora of the neighbouring territories of Modena and Reggio Emilia (1871 species and 325
varieties; Gibelli and Pirotta 1883, 1884). Among all taxa recorded in the *Flora*, 38 (of which 30 hydrohygrophytes) can be declared currently extinct.

- The taxonomic problems concerning the interpretation in light of the modern knowledge of the species
  reported by Cocconi are of different origins. They may be errors by the authors (*elgentiana pneumonanthe*, *Verbascum samniticum*), species currently interpreted in a different way in comparison to
  what was done in the late 1800s (e.g. *Cardamine pratensis, Ranunculus gracilis, R. aquatilis* or *Thalictrum*flavum, which are today intended in a narrower sense or treated as complex of species), or species for which
- *flavum*, which are today intended in a narrower sense or treated as complex of species), or species for which
   the knowledge of the geographical distribution has varied compared to the author's era, since the floristic
- 644 exploration of the territory was less complete than today (case of *Carex disticha*, *Drosera anglica*,
- 645 *Pimpinella tragium, Saxifraga caesia*, etc., whose presence is not known in Emilia-Romagna today, but in 646 Cocconi's times they were said to grow also in the Apennines: cfr. Cesati et al. 1868-1886; Bartolucci et al.
- 647 2018). The cause of such differences in species interpretation must be searched in the scarcity of reference
- 648 works available at the time and in the identification obtainable through the Floras of the XIX century
- 649 (Bertoloni 1833-1854; Passerini 1844; Parlatore 1848-1896; Cesati et al. 1868-1886). In particular, the latter
- 650 is directly related to the former taxonomic interpretation and knowledge of the geographical distribution,
- 651 since a Flora is always a subjective interpretation of phenomena and is inevitably a product of the scientific 652 mentality of the author and his time (Pignatti 1982). To better understand Cocconi's choices, one should
- 653 identify these species with the instruments (microscopes, Floras, keys, etc.) available in the last decades of
- 654 the XIX century. Anyway, it is not always possible to determine whether we are discussing species which

have meanwhile disappeared or erroneous interpretations in light of the current knowledge, due to the fate of his herbarium being unknown, that prevents any verification on the *exsiccata*.

- 657 The diversified flora, characterised by the contemporaneous presence of stenomediterranean and arctic-
- alpine species, proves the high geographical and floristic interest of the small area investigated, which
- displays different environments and microclimates. Some stenomediterranean species are linked to particular
- 660 micro-topographic conditions or geological formations, such as the gypsum outcrops (Ferrari 1974; De 661 Waele et al. 2017); the arctic-alpine species, instead, are concentrated and exclusive to the montane and
- 661 Waele et al. 2017); the arctic-alpine species, instead, are concentrated and exclusive to the montane and 662 suprasylvatic belt of the Corno alle Scale massif, that is the sole area within the studied territory allowing
- 663 these microthermal species to grow. Endemic and subendemic species are a marginal presence (2% of the
- flora) and nearly all are distributed along the Apennines, probably because the province of Bologna does not
- have geographical barriers that impede the normal dispersal of propagules.
- There is a notable number and selected narrative information on crop taxa, allowing to partially reconstruct the agronomic features of the time. We remember for instance the cultivation of *Castanea sativa* trees in some vegetable gardens within Bologna, the wide extent of the cultivations of *Cannabis sativa* in the plain, whereas one century before it was cultivated also in the hills and mountains (Pezzi et al. 2020), the scattered presence of *Morus* spp. and *Ulmus minor*, which testify a residual but still existing sericulture, and some remnants of *Olea europaea* trees that survived the severe reduction in number caused by the Little Ice Age (Rotondi et al. 2018). On the other hand, new entries such as *Solanum tuberosum* became widespread and
- 673 cultivated in several varieties, after being highly unwelcome from an agronomical viewpoint just a hundred
- 674 of years before (a single record for the entire territory at that time; Pezzi et al. 2020). Among formerly
- 675 cultivated species, we also have to mention those with pharmaceutical uses (e.g. Palma 1964; Gastaldo 676 1987), that were still present as subspontaneous relictual species, such as *Acorus calamus*, *Leonurus*

- 677 *cardiaca* and *Styrax officinalis*. It is further interesting to note how at Cocconi's epoch also the paddies were
- 678 rich in autochthonous hydro-hygrophytes, such as Echinochloa crus-qalli, Eleocharis palustris, Nymphaea
- 679 alba, Nuphar lutea, Potamogeton natans (Bertoloni 1870).
- 680 Finally, the agricultural areas were rich in various segetal species, such as Adonis aestivalis, Agrostemma
- 681 *githago*, *Consolida regalis*, *Cyanus segetum*, which are at present extremely sporadic not only in the territory
- 682 studied, but also in large parts of the southern Po valley (e.g. Ferrari 1980; Piccoli et al. 2014).
- 683 All these data contribute to give a picture of an epoch whose socio-economic, climatic and environmental
- 684 conditions largely differ from those of present days. 685

#### 686 4.3 The hydro-hygrophilous species

- 687 Floristic data indicate a wide presence of permanent and temporary water bodies in the late XIX century,
- 688 especially in the plain, where palustrine and aquatic species were almost 50% of the total list of the hydrohygrophilous species. This is corroborated by the huge number of hydrophytes nearly reaching 25% of the 689 690 list in the plain, but not exceeding 10% at higher altitudes (Fig. 8b).
- 691 The chorological spectrum reveals a considerable presence of microthermal species in the humid areas, both
- 692 in the plain (19.4%) and in the hills and mountains (26.0%): if this pattern is quite normal in mountainous
- 693 territories, it is instead worth noting at lower altitudes, since at present boreal species normally are 10% of
- 694 the spectrum in the Po Plain (Pignatti 1994). These results agree with previous studies performed in the
- 695 south-eastern Po valley (Buldrini et al. 2013a; Montanari et al. 2020), showing that in the low plain wetlands
- 696 and hygrophilous habitats microthermal flora can even be 20% of the total list. Anyway, some of the hydro-697 hygrophilous species recorded by Cocconi in the plain were probably present as glacial relicts (e.g. Hottonia
- 698 *palustris, Menyanthes trifoliata*), since today they are extremely rare at low altitudes and confined only in 699 particular situations, whereas in the late XIX century they were more common, even in other sectors of the
- 700 Po valley (Romani and Alessandrini 2001; Piccoli et al. 2014).
- 701 Among the disappeared species, the most common are aquatic or palustrine, typical of constantly (or at least
- 702 frequently) flooded areas, where the soil always maintains a notable humidity level (Pignatti et al. 2005). 703
- Particularly in the plain, the considerable quantity of palustrine species (28.3% of the total of the hydro-704 hygrophilous species: see Fig. 8a) indicates a large presence of transition habitats between places which are
- 705 constantly or frequently submerged and places only flooded on occasion, as indirectly suggested by Cocconi
- 706 himself, who estimated as widespread species like Eleocharis palustrisQenanthe fistulosa, Rumex
- 707 hydrolapathum, typical of environments with very humid and at times asphyctic soils (Pignatti et al. 2017-
- 708 2019). It is worth noting that, out of 6 species with cosmopolitan distribution, 5 were recorded in the plain
- 709 (e.g. *Hippuris vulgaris*, *Potamogeton perfoliatus*): in the Po valley, the presence of populations of widely 710 distributed species allowed them to maintain a range continuity within the entire Eurasian continent (Dallai
- 711 et al. 2014). Land reclamation, hydraulic regulation and agronomic arrangement of the territory caused the
- 712 progressive disappearance of various species linked to wetlands: the process, probably started during the
- 713 XVIII century, accelerated and intensified especially from the 1920s onwards (De la Lande 1769; Tinarelli
- 714 and Tosetti 1998; Buldrini et al. 2013a).
- 715 The two species extinct on the Italian level concern both the plain (Aldrovanda vesiculosa) and the hills and
- 716 mountains (*Caldesia parnassifolia*). They are palustrine hydrophytes, with a sub-cosmopolitan distribution,
- 717 already extinct in some European countries and threatened at various degrees: A. vesiculosa is extinct in
- 718 Italy, France, Austria and Greece and classified as *endangered* on a global scale (Jury 2009; Beretta et al.
- 719 2012; Rossi et al. 2013); C. parnassifolia is extinct in Italy, but classified as of least concern in Europe
- 720 (Gennai et al. 2012; Rossi et al. 2013), even if its presence is declining everywhere (Dumeige 1995). Both 721 species are listed in Annexes II and IV of the Directive 1992/43 of the European Union and in Annex I of the
- 722 Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bilz et al. 2011). It is interesting
- 723 to remember that A. vesiculosa was found by Cocconi only in one single place: this fact can lead to believe
- 724 that it was already very unusual, given its probably very narrow ecological tolerance (Adamec 1995) and
- 725 since some marshy areas seemed to host mostly common and generalist species (Cocconi 1878). At that time,
- 726 in fact, notable environmental transformations were ongoing, among them the progressive drainage of the
- 727 remnant wetland areas of the lowlands: Cocconi was perfectly aware that he was observing a situation 728
- already compromised if compared to the previous decades (Cocconi 1877).
- Of the 5 extinct species in Emilia-Romagna, 4 were exclusive of the plain. Among them, *Hippuris vulgaris* is 729 730 classified as endangered at the Italian level (Rossi et al. 2013) and is also ascribed to the European red list of
- 731 vascular plants with the status of *least concern*, together with *Ranunculus lingua* (Bilz et al. 2011).
- 732 It is worth mentioning that most of the extinct species were recorded by Cocconi in few places only (Table
- 733 4). This is in contrast to what was reported by Buldrini et al. (2014), according to which various hydro-

734 hygrophytes often were so common to be hardly interesting for the botanists of XIX century. Apparently, all 735 these species were already undergoing a rarefaction process, due to the environment's transformations of that 736 period (cfr. Cocconi 1877; Pezzi et al. 2021): «if we only visit any of these [swampy] areas, we cannot get an 737 adequate concept of the palustrine vegetation of the Province, if all of them have not been studied, each one with its own peculiarities», also because «going through these marshes, I noted an increasing transformation 738 739 of their flora, especially where the drainage works began some years ago; so that certain marshes, that still 740 are in conditions of uncultivated lands, [...] maintain the characters of the aquatic or palustrine vegetation», whereas elsewhere «even if these marshes have a general vegetation character<sup>3</sup>, nonetheless certain species 741 742 prevail in some of them so that to become common, but are rare or completely absent in other areas» 743 (Cocconi 1878). That being the case, in the Po Plain the phase of most serious population decrease for many 744 hydro-hygrophytes began in the second half of XIX century (at least for certain areas), not at the time of the 745 great economic development after the Second World War as supposed for example by Buldrini et al. (2013b, 746 2013c) and Conte et al. (2022). Anyway, we must bear in mind that such presence records probably do not 747 represent the entire patrimony of local populations for the above-mentioned species, therefore our 748 conclusions can be biased by the consideration of a subset only of the real number of populations existing at 749 that time.

# 749

# 751 **4.4 The allochthonous species**

752 The quality of the allochthonous species reported by Cocconi clearly identifies a territory already subject to 753 profound transformations and widespread soil tilling, because of the notable presence of species introduced 754 accidentally or for ornamental purposes, already growing as spontaneous in the territory by the late XIX 755 century (29.8% of the list of the allochthonous species). Many of them, such as Amaranthus deflexus, A. 756 hybridus, Erigeron canadensis, are typical of disturbed or ruderal places and were found by Cocconi in great 757 abundance along country roadsides, within the villages, the fields and uncultivated lands. Some of the 758 species which were spontaneous at his time were formerly (or still here and there) cultivated for ornament. 759 for example Narcissus spp., Syringa vulgaris, Tulipa spp. (Cocconi 1883; Fiori 1894); at the end of the XIX 760 century they were naturalised (e.g. Mattei 1893; Pignatti et al. 2017-2019), even if never frequent in the 761 territory. Anyway, the major part of the allochthonous category is composed of food species (55.4%), many 762 of them cultivated in fields and vegetable gardens (Allium spp., Triticum spp., etc.). Other species, instead, 763 were cultivated at that time, whereas today the cultivation has ceased for many decades: some have in the 764 meantime disappeared from the examined territory (e.g. Atriplex hortensis, Cannabis sativa) or even from 765 Emilia-Romagna (e.g. Atriplex sagittata, Lagenaria siceraria); others are frequent in disturbed places, along 766 ditches, abandoned lands, etc. (e.g. Helianthus tuberosus, Prunus cerasifera; cfr. Pignatti et al. 2017-2019; 767 Portale della Flora d'Italia 2021); others are sporadical, no longer confirmed for the province of Bologna 768 (e.g. Arachis hypoqaea, Trigonella foenum-graecum).

769 Among the 24 species today classified as invasive on the national level (Portale della Flora d'Italia 2021), we 770 also find species already spreading in the territory by the late XIX century, although not yet invasive: we cite 771 for example Ailanthus altissima and Robinia pseudoacacia, that now are among the worst invasive species 772 on the European level (e.g. Sheppard et al. 2006; Kleinbauer et al. 2010), even if they are not listed among 773 the invasive species of EU concern (European Commission 2017). According to Cocconi (1883), A. 774 altissima was common in a single locality of the plain and R. pseudoacacia simply was «cultivated to make 775 hedgerows and as an ornamental tree in many avenues and public promenades, but here and there 776 spontaneous». Among the species today listed as invasive (Portale della Flora d'Italia 2021), only Erigeron 777 canadensis and (to a lesser extent) Parthenocissus guinguefolia were common already in the late XIX 778 century: the others were observed nearly solely in cultivation and the presence records were few (Table 6). In 779 short, at Cocconi's time, nearly all the species today listed as invasive still behaved as casual and only rarely 780 were frequent, probably because of the very diverse environmental and climatic conditions of the territory. In 781 fact, the most frequent species in terms of number of records were Datura stramonium and Narcissus 782 pseudonarcissus (12 records each), the first typical of ruderal areas and disturbed soils (even in the planitial 783 marshes) and the second widely cultivated in the hills as an ornamental plant (Cocconi 1883), followed by 784 Prunus domestica subsp. insititia (11 records), commonly cultivated since a very long time, but also

- *plantarum* (that are the diverse environmental situations where plant species can grow) indicated by Linnaeus (1754).
   Until the mid of the XIX century, the terms «flora» and «vegetation» were indifferently used to address what now we
- 5 would probably call the vascular plant component of the biosphere (Pavillard 1935; Martins and Batalha 2011).

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1 3)</sup> At that time, the concepts of habitat and vegetation were not still clearly developed: what now we would call habitat

<sup>2</sup> was indicated as «growth station» (It. *stazione*, *stazione di crescita*) and usually intended as one the 24 *stationes* 

- 785 spontaneous in places far from human settlements (Pignatti et al. 2017-2019) and quite frequent in hilly areas 786 (Cocconi 1883).
- 787 The distribution of the allochthonous species also reveals a different distribution of the population with
- 788 respect to the present: the allochthonous species found in the hills and mountains are 59, whereas in the plain 789 they are only 34. Hills and mountains, in fact, were much more populated than today (Sereni 1961): not by 790 chance, most of the allochthonous species recorded at the higher altitudes were cultivated for food or for 791 ornamental purposes, whereas the typical species of disturbed places (often discretely thermophilous) were 792 few and not rarely linked to agricultural contexts or road margins (*Amaranthus* spp., *Erigeron annuus*, 793 Sorghum halepense, Symphyotrichum novi-belgii, Xanthium orientale subsp. italicum). Conversely, the 794 planitial territories, even if impacted by agriculture (as is proved by the 12 species out of 34 cultivated for
- 795 alimentary purposes), still preserved fairly natural wetlands (Cocconi 1878), as revealed both by the analysis 796 of the hydro-hygrophilous species and by the presence of few hygrophilous allochthonous species such as
- 797 Acorus calamus, Cyperus glomeratus and Najas graminea, that were found exclusively in the plain. 798 Overall, the scenario that can be outlined is that of a rural territory, with a presence of residual semi-natural
- areas, although in regression, and where human impact was still moderate, even if widespread in the entire 799 800 study area and growing more and more for the progressive economic development of the province of
- 801 Bologna. In fact, about 70% of the life form spectrum is composed of therophytes and phanerophytes (Fig.
- 802 9a), the first ones in many cases cultivated for food (e.g. *Brassica* spp.), the second ones mostly ornamental 803 (e.g. Broussonetia papyrifera); the biggest part of the chorological spectrum is made up of species of the Old
- 804 World despite the notable presence of American ones (Fig. 9b), which reveals traditional agricultural and
- 805 commercial trading still mostly centred on Eurasia, Europe in particular. The few records of species now
- 806 disappeared, such as Acorus calamus, Isatis tinctoria and Leonurus cardiaca, which were subspontaneous in 807 the territory studied in the late XIX century, are one of the last memories of ancient cultivations for
- 808 pharmaceutical or dyeing purposes (e.g. Gastaldo 1987; Pignatti et al. 2017-2019): they can be considered
- 809 relictual species, whose sporadical, declining presence testifies the progressive transition from a traditional
- 810 agricultural landscape to a landscape altered by industrialisation and modern specialised agriculture.
- 811 812

#### 813 **5 CONCLUSIONS**

814 In this study, it has been possible to visualise the spatial pattern of the 659 localities and related 7767 floristic 815 records of a late XIX century traditional floristic investigation in the Bologna province for the first time. This allowed us to interpret the data not only in light of the ecological importance of the reported taxa, but also in 816 817 terms of their spatial distribution. Furthermore, the fact that the *Flora* has been incorporated in the regional 818 floristic database allowed us to precisely illustrate the interpretation problems of past floristic data, especially 819 when considering taxa subject to reclassification and varying interpretation throughout the centuries. In addition. we were able to appreciate the results of a floristic research performed with a similar approach to 820 821 the current one, but based on former knowledge, culture, travelling conditions and scientific philosophy. 822 The quality and the informative value of the traditional floristic research depend on the already existing 823 exploration of the territory, on the number and expertise of the persons involved, on the supervision and 824 compiling skills of the authors in harmonising the data collected. The lack of a systematic surveying design 825 (i.e. regular grid of sample points) and the mobility constraints in particular (a still incomplete railway and 826 road network, no paved roads, etc.) caused a spatial bias towards the most accessible and the most valuable 827 sites from the naturalistic point of view. We can easily understand that at the *Flora*'s time a more accurate 828 exploration of the territory would have required a much longer time period, implying therefore the risk to give the study a partially diachronical dimension and potentially compromising the coherence of the floristic

- 829 830 picture of the territory.
  - 831 In brief, the Flora published by Girolamo Cocconi offers not only a good example of a late XIX century flora
  - 832 of a territory which started to experience an increasing human impact, but also an example of the method
  - 833 used in that period for floristic exploration. Spatialising ancient floristic records is a useful way to understand 834 how and how much of the territory has been botanically explored and to improve the use of these records for
  - 835 geographical and diachronical floristic research, also in light of today's scientific practices.
  - 836 However, it should be noted that the process of parametrising historical textual sources (such as Floras) for
  - 837 analytical and statistical data treatment should still be regarded as *work in progress*. The retrospective
  - 838 georeferencing is an interpretation of a wide format range of locality descriptions, which have to be
  - 839 standardised and transformed into a spatial precisely georeferenced element. Assigning this process to
  - 840 territorial experts notably simplifies the work and assures high quality results. This is also true for the
  - 841 taxonomic aspect of a Flora (i.e. nomenclatural revision and taxa evaluation), which can be best understood

842 only when a reference herbarium exists. Unfortunately, in spite of their precious contents and their increasing

843 availability as online resources, historical Floras are still not widely used in modern research, for the old-

844 fashioned style or foreign language, the need of a taxonomic revision of the reported species and the

- 845 necessary georeferencing of the floristic records, the latter in particular being a long and laborious part of the 846 process of analysis.
- 847 848

# 849 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Adamec L (1995) Ecological requirements and recent European distribution of the aquatic carnivorous plant *Aldrovanda vesiculosa* L. - A review. Folia Geobot Phytotaxon 30:53–61.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02813219</u>

853

857

863

873

Alessandrini A, Delfini L, Ferrari P, Fiandri F, Gualmini M, Lodesani U, Santini C (2010) Flora del
Modenese. Censimento. Analisi. Tutela. Provincia di Modena, Istituto Beni Culturali della Regione EmiliaRomagna. Artestampa srl, Modena

858 Allioni C (1785) Flora Pedemontana sive enumeratio methodica stirpium indigenarum Pedemontii.
859 Excudebat Iohannes Michael Briolus, Augustae Taurinorum
860

Baroni E (1906) Guida botanica d'Italia ossia chiavi analitiche per determinare le piante spontanee che
crescono nella penisola. Licinio Cappelli Editore, Pisa

864 Bartolucci F, Peruzzi L, Galasso G, Albano A, Alessandrini A, Ardenghi NMG et al. (2018) An updated 865 checklist of the vascular flora native Italy. Plant Biosyst 179-303. to 152(2): 866 https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1419996 867

868 Béguinot A (1931) Girolamo Cocconi. Enciclopedia Italiana Treccani. Available at 869 <u>http://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/girolamo-cocconi (Enciclopedia-Italiana)</u>/ 870

Beretta M, Tassara F, Rossi G (2012) Schede per una Lista rossa della Flora vascolare e crittogamica Italiana: *Aldrovanda vesiculosa* L. Inform Bot Ital 44(1): 204–206

874 Berrens D (2019) The Meaning of *Flora*. Humanistica Lovaniensia 68(1):237–249.
 875 <u>https://doi.org/10.30986/2019.237</u>
 876

- 877 Bertoloni A (1833-1854). Flora Italica sistens plantas in Italia et in insulis circumstantibus sponte nascentes.
  878 Bologna, Ex typographaeo Richardi Masii sumptibus auctoris
  879
- 880 Bertoloni G (1841) Iter in Apenninum Bononiensem. Bononiae: ex officina Emygdii ab Ulmo
- 881
  882 Bertoloni G (1867) Vegetazione dei monti di Porretta e dei suoi prodotti vegetali. In: Bertoloni G (ed)
  883 Illustrazione delle terme di Porretta e del suo territorio pubblicata per deliberazione del consiglio provinciale
  884 di Bologna. Regia Tipografia, Bologna, pp 193–332
- Bertoloni G (1870) Delle piante infestanti la coltivazione del riso nel bolognese. Mem Accad Sci Ist Bologna
  10:251–282
- 888

885

Bilz M, Kell SP, Maxted N, Lansdown RV (2011) European Red List of Vascular Plants. Luxembourg:
Publications Office of the European Union.

891

Bondesan M (1990) L'area deltizia padana: caratteri geografici e geomorfologici. In: Bondesan M (ed) Il
Parco del delta del Po: studi ed immagini, vol. II. Spazio Libri Editore, Ferrara, pp 10–48

895 Bonnier G, De Layens G (1883) Nouvelle flore pour la détermination facile des plantes sans mots 896 techniques, avec 2173 figures inédites représentant toutes les espèces vasculaires des environs de Paris, dans 897 un rayon de 100 kilomètres des départements de l'Eure, Eure-et-Loire etc. et des plantes communes dans 898 l'intérieur de la France. Paris, Librairie Générale de l'Enseignement 899 900 Brazzola F (1905) Girolamo Cocconi. Annuario della Regia Università di Bologna – Anno Scolastico 1904-901 905. Premiato Stabilimento Tipografico succ. Monti, Bologna, pp 274–276 902 903 Bronzo E, Santangelo A, Alessandrini A (2012) Raccolte emiliane nell'Erbario di Napoli: le collezioni Mattei 904 e Riva. Inf Bot Ital 44, suppl. 1: 33–48 905 906 Buillard P (1776) Flora Parisiensis, ou, Description et figures des plantes qui croissent aux environs de Paris; 907 avec les différens noms, classes, ordres et genres qui leur conviennent, rangs suivant la méthode sexuelle de 908 M. Linné, leur parties caractéristiques, parts, propriétés, vertus et doses d'usage en médecine, suivant les 909 demonstrations de botanique qui se font au Jardin du Roy. À Paris: Chez Didot jeune 910 911 Buldrini F, Barbieri G, Lim GM, Dallai D (2014) Collections enhancement at the Botanic Garden of 912 Modena: some considerations about the historic herbarium. Atti 109° Congr. Società Botanica Italiana 913 (International Plant Science Conference – from Nature to Technological Exploitations, Florence, 2-5 914 September 2014): 246 915 916 Buldrini F., Conte L, Dallai D, Ferrari C (2013b) Genetic diversity of the rare and endangered meadow violet 917 (*Viola pumila* Chaix) at the southern margin of its range. Plant Biosyst 147(3):563–572. 918 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2012.754383 919 920 Buldrini F, Dallai D, Adorni M, Bona E, Bonali F, Castello M, Costalonga S, Pellegrino G, Picco F, Polani F, 921 Santini C, Selvaggi A, Tasinazzo S, Vidali M, Zanotti E (2013c) Viola elatior Fries. Schede per una Lista 922 rossa della Flora vascolare e crittogamica italiana. Inf Bot Ital 45(1):181–186 923 924 Buldrini F, Dallai D, Torri P (2013a) Can palynology contribute to plant diversity conservation activities? 925 The wetland plants in southern Po Plain as a case study. Ann Bot (Roma) 2013(3):245–254 926 927 Buldrini F, Muzzi E, Pezzi G (2019) Past landscape features may be captured by historical floristic data. A 928 case study in northern Italy. Bot Lett 166(1):93–103. https://doi.org/10.1080/23818107.2018.1563567 929 930 Buldrini F, Ubaldi D, Dallai D, Pezzi G (2018) Flora delle Balze di Verghereto, Monte Fumaiolo e Ripa della 931 Moia. Atti Soc Tosc Sci Nat, Mem Ser B 125:5–15. https://dx.doi.org/10.2424/ASTSN.M.2017.26 932 933 CAI Bologna (1881) Club Alpino Italiano – Sezione di Bologna, «L'Appennino Bolognese. Descrizioni e 934 itinerari». Tipografia Fava e Garagnani, Bologna 935 936 Carlton JT (1996) Biological invasions and cryptogenic species. Ecology 77:1653-1655. 937 https://doi.org/10.2307/2265767 938 939 Caruel T (1876) L'Erborista toscano. Chiave analitica per trovare sollecitamente il nome delle piante che 940 nascono selvatiche in Toscana. Stab. di G. Pellas, Firenze 941 942 Caruel T (1883) L'erborista italiano, chiave analitica per ajutare a trovare sollecitamente il nome delle piante 943 che nascono selvatiche in Italia. T. Nistri E. C., Pisa 944 945 Celesti-Grapow L, Pretto F, Carli E, Blasi C (eds.) (2010) Flora vascolare alloctona e invasiva delle regioni 946 d'Italia. Casa Editrice Università La Sapienza, Roma 947 948 Cesati V, Passerini G, Gibelli G (1868-1886). Compendio della flora italiana. Dott. Francesco Vallardi 949 tipografo editore, Milano 950 951 Chapman AD, Wieczorek JR (2020) Georeferencing Best Practices. GBIF Secretariat, Copenhagen. 952 https://doi.org/10.15468/doc-gg7h-s853

954 Chiarucci A, Di Biase RM, Fattorini L, Marcheselli M, Pisani C (2018) Joining the incompatible: exploiting 955 purposive lists for the sample-based estimation of species richness. Ann Appl Stat 12(3):1679–1699. 956 https://dx.doi.org/10.1214/17-AOAS1126 957 958 Chiarucci A, Fattorini S, Foggi B, Landi S, Lazzaro L, Podani J, Simberloff D (2017) Plant recording across 959 two centuries reveals dramatic changes in species diversity of a Mediterranean archipelago. Scient Rep 7: 960 5415. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05114-5 961 962 Chiarucci A, Buldrini F, Cervellini M, Guarino R, Caccianiga M, Foggi B, Viciani D, Lazzaro L, Casella L, 963 Angelini P, Cerabolini BEL, Pasta S, Enea M, Zannini P (2021) Habitat type and island identity as drivers of 964 community assembly in an archipelago. J Veg Sci 32:e12953. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12953 965 966 Cocconi G (1856) Flora dei foraggi che spontanei o coltivati crescono negli Stati Parmensi esposta secondo 967 il metodo naturale. Tipografia Ferrari, Parma 968 969 Cocconi G (1877) Contributo alla flora della provincia di Bologna. Mem Accad Sci Ist Bologna, ser. III, t 970 VII: 542–567 971 972 Cocconi G (1878) Nuovo contributo alla flora della provincia di Bologna. Mem Accad Sci Ist Bologna, ser. 973 III, t IX: 74–109 974 975 Cocconi G (1879) Terzo contributo alla flora della provincia di Bologna. Mem Accad Sci Ist Bologna, ser. 976 III, t X: 314–352 977 978 Cocconi G (1880) Quarto contributo alla flora della provincia di Bologna. Mem Accad Sci Ist Bologna, ser. 979 IV, t I: 210–233 980 981 Cocconi G (1883) Flora della provincia di Bologna - Vademecum per una facile determinazione delle piante 982 incontrate. Bologna, Nicola Zanichelli 983 984 Comelli GB (1883) La Flora Bolognese. Tipografia di Ranieri Guasti, Prato, pp 1-12 985 986 Comolli G (1834) Flora Comense. Volume I. In Como, Co' Tipi di C. Pietro Ostinelli 987 988 Conte L., Dallai D, Modica A, Pezzi G, Rebecchi C, Buldrini F (2022) A littoral grass growing inland: 989 genetic diversity of *Puccinellia fasciculata* around mud volcanoes in Italy. Plant Biosyst 156(1):203–216. 990 https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2020.1845847 991 992 Dallai D, Buldrini F, Fanti E, Tonelli F, Zampighi C, Conte L, Ferrari C, Managlia A (2014) L'erbario della 993 Bonifica. Un progetto per la conoscenza del territorio e della biodiversità vegetale nei canali della Bonifica 994 Burana (Emilia orientale). Museol Scient Mem 11:188–194 995 996 Daru BH, Park DS, Primack RB, Willis CG, Barrington DS, Whitfield TJS, Seidler TG, Sweeney PW, Foster DR, Ellison AM, Davis CC (2018) Widespread sampling biases in herbaria revealed from large-scale 997 998 digitization. New Phytol 217:939–955. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14855 999 1000 De Candolle AP (1818-1821) Regni vegetabilis systema naturale, sive Ordines, genera et species plantarum 1001 secundum methodi naturalis normas digestarum et descriptarum. Parisiis, sumptibus sociorum Treuttel et 1002 Würtz 1003 1004 De La Lande JJ (1769) Voyage d'un françois en Italie fait dans les années 1765 & 1766. Paris, chez Jean 1005 Desaint, t VII, pp 455–464 1006

1007 1008	De Waele J, Piccini L, Columbu A, Madonia G, Vattano M, Calligaris C, D'Angeli IM, Parise M, Chiesi M, Sivelli M, Vigna B, Zini L, Chiarini V, Sauro F, Drysdale R, Forti P (2017) Evaporite karst in Italy: a review.								
1009	Int J Speleol 46(2):137–168. <u>https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.46.2.2107</u>								
1010	Diportain F. Olson D. Joshi A. Vynno C. Burgoss ND. Wikramanayako F. at al. (2017) An Ecorogian Basad								
1011	Approach to Protecting Half the Terrestrial Realm BioScience 67(6):534–								
1012	545 https://doi.org/10.1093/hiosci/hix014								
1014									
1015	Domina G, Galasso G, Bartolucci F, Guarino R (2018) Ellenberg Indicator Values for the vascular flora alien								
1016	to Italy. Fl Medit 28:53–61. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.7320/FlMedit28.053</u>								
1017									
1018	Dumeige B (1995) <i>Caldesia parnassifolia</i> (L.) Parl. In: Olivier L, Galland J-P, Maurin H (eds) Livre rouge								
1019	de la flore menacée de France. Tome 1: espèces prioritaires. Coll Patr Nat 20: 89.								
1020									
1021	European Commission (2017) Invasive Alien Species of Union concern. European Union, Publications								
1022	Uffice of the European Union, Luxembourg, 34 pp. Available at								
1023	<u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/put/1AS_brochure_species.put</u>								
1024	Ferrari C (1974) La vegetazione delle rupi gessose di Miserazzano e della Croara (Bologna), Notiz Soc								
1026	Fitosociol 8:65–74								
1027									
1028	Ferrari C (1980) Flora e vegetazione dell'Emilia-Romagna. Regione Emilia-Romagna, Bologna								
1029									
1030	Fiori A (1894) I generi <i>Tulipa</i> e <i>Colchicum</i> e specie che li rappresentano nella Flora italiana. Studio critico e								
1031	tassonomico. Parte I – Gen. <i>Tulipa</i> L. Malpighia 8:131–158								
1032									
1033	Fischer E (2020) From Aristotle to Wunderkammer: The Development of Entomology and Insect								
1034	Conections. Honors Projects, 790. Available at <u>https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/nonorsprojects/79</u> 0								
1035	Frodin D (2002) Guide to Standard Floras of the World II ed Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK)								
1037	n 27								
1038	F								
1039	Fuchs R, Verburg PH, Clevers JGPW, Herold M (2015) The potential of old maps and encyclopaedias for								
1040	reconstructing historic European land cover/use change. Appl Geogr 59:43–55.								
1041	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2015.02.013								
1042									
1043	Funk VA (1993) Uses and misuses of floras. Taxon 42:761–772. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/122326</u> 1								
1044									
1045	Funk VA (2006) Floras: a model for biodiversity studies or a thing of the past? Taxon 55(3):581–588.								
1040	<u>Intps://doi.org/10.250//25065655</u>								
1047	Furlani A (2009) Il Consorzio della Bonifica Renana In: Galetti P. Andreolli B (eds) Mulini, canali e								
1040	comunità della pianura bolognese tra Medioevo e Ottocento, CLUEB, Bologna, pp. 491–500								
1050	comunita dena planala bolognese na medioevo e ottocento. Onond, pologna, pp 191 500								
1051	Gastaldo P (1987) Compendio della flora officinale italiana. Piccin Editore, Padova								
1052									
1053	Gennai M, Lastrucci L, Galasso G (2012) Schede per una Lista rossa della Flora vascolare e crittogamica								
1054	Italiana: <i>Caldesia parnassifolia</i> (Bassi) Parl. Inform Bot Ital 44(2):421–424								
1055									
1056	Giacomelli A (1987) Carta delle vocazioni agrarie della pianura bolognese desunta dal Catasto Boncompagni								
105/ 1059	(1/80-86). Lipartimento di Discipline Storiche, Universita di Bologna, Bologna								
1050	Giacomelli A (1997) Ambienti naturali e società umane lungo il corso del Reno. Quadri originari od								
1060	evoluzione storica. Centro Stampa Provincia di Bologna. Bologna								
1061	Sende Stanpa - Sende a Deregna, Deregna								

1062 Gibelli G, Pirotta PR (1883) Flora del Modenese e del Reggiano. Atti Soc Nat Modena, Mem ser III, vol. I: 1063 29-220 1064 1065 Gibelli G, Pirotta PR (1884) I° Supplemento alla Flora del Modenese e del Reggiano. Atti Soc Nat Modena, 1066 Mem ser III, vol. III: 1–30 1067 1068 Gillet M, Magne MJ-H (1861) Nouvelle flore française. Descriptions succinctes et rangées par tableaux 1069 dichotomiques des plantes qui croissent spontanément en France et de celles qu'on y cultive en grand, avec 1070 l'indication de leurs propriétés et de leurs usages en médecine, en hygiène vétérinaire, dans les arts et dans 1071 l'économie domestique. Paris, Garnier Frères Libraires Éditeurs 1072 1073 Griffing LR (2011) Who invented the dichotomous key? Richard Waller's watercolours of the herbs of 1074 Britain. Am J Bot 98(12):1911-1923. https://dx.doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1100188 1075 1076 Guarino R, Domina G, Pignatti S (2012) Ellenberg's Indicator values for the Flora of Italy – first update: 1077 Pteridophyta, Gymnospermae Monocotyledoneae. Medit 22:197-209. and Fl 1078 http://dx.doi.org/10.7320/FlMedit22.197 1079 1080 Jury S (2009) Droseraceae. In: Euro+Med Plantbase - the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant 1081 diversity. http://ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/. Last accessed 27 Jan 2021 1082 1083 Kier G, Mutke J, Dinerstein E, Ricketts TH, Küper W, Kref H, Barthlott W (2005) Global patterns of plant 1084 diversity and floristic knowledge. J Biogeogr 32(7):1107-1116. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-1085 2699.2005.01272.x 1086 1087 Kleinbauer I, Dullinger S, Peterseil J, Essl F (2010) Climate change must drive the invasive tree Robinia 1088 pseudoacacia into nature reserve and endangered habitats. Biol Cons 143(2):382-390. 1089 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.10.024 1090 1091 Knapp S, Kühn I, Stolle J, Klotz S (2010) Changes in the functional composition of a Central European 1092 urban flora over three centuries. Persd Pl Ecol Evol Sys 12(3):235-244. 1093 https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2009.11.001 1094 1095 Lamarck J-BPA (1778) Flore françoise, ou, Description succincte de toutes les plantes qui croissent 1096 naturellement en France: disposées selon une nouvelle méthode d'analyse, & à laquelle on a joint la citation 1097 de leurs vertus les moins équivoques en médecine, & de leur utilité dans les arts. À Paris, de l'Imprimerie 1098 Royale 1099 1100 Linnaeus C (1754) Amoenitates Academicae; seu dissertationes variæ physicæ, medicæ, botanicæ, antehac 1101 seorsim editæ, nunc collectæ et auctæ, cum tabulis ænæis. Sumtu & Literis Direct. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ, 1102 4:64-87 1103 1104 Managlia A, Mossetti U (2008) Ferdinando Bassi, Linnaeus's correspondent from Bologna. The Linnaean 1105 24(3):19-25 1106 1107 Managlia A, Mossetti U, Dröscher A (2012) Seeds of Knowledge. Tractrix: Yearbook for the History of 1108 Science, Medicine, Technology and Mathematics 5:1–10 1109 1110 Martins FR, Batalha MA (2011) Formas de vida, espectro biológico de Raunkiaer e fisionomia da vegetação. 1111 In: Felfili JM, Eisenlohr PV, Fiuza de Melo MMR, Andrade LA, Meira Neto JAA (Eds) Fitossociologia no 1112 Brasil: métodos e estudos de caso. Vol. 1. Editora UFV, Viçosa, pp 44–85 1113 1114 Mattei GE (1893) I Tulipani di Bologna. Studio critico e monografico. Malpighia 7:15–58 1115 1116 Montanari I, Buldrini F, Bolpagni R, Laini A, Dalla Vecchia A, De Bernardini N, Campione L, Castellari I,

Gizzi G, Landi S, Chiarucci A (2020) Role of irrigation canal morphology in driving riparian flora in over-exploited catchments. Comm Ecol 21(2):121-132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42974-020-00024-5 Montanari I, De Bernardini N, Gizzi G, Bolpagni R, Buldrini F, Campione L, Castellari I, Landi S, Spiezia L, Chiarucci A (2022) Flora and plant communities across a complex network of heavily modified water bodies: geographical patterns, land use and hydrochemical drivers in a temperate overexploited floodplain. Landsc Ecol Eng 18(3):367-380. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11355-022-00504-v Murphey PC, Guralnick RP, Glaubitz R, Neufeld D, Ryan JA (2004) Georeferencing of museum collections: A review of problems and automated tools, and the methodology developed by the Mountain and Plains Spatio-Temporal Database Informatics Initiative (Mapstedi). PhyloInformatics 3:1–29 Palma L (1964) Le piante medicinali d'Italia. Botanica, Chimica, Farmacodinamica, Terapia. Società Editrice Internazionale, Torino Palmer MW, Wade GL, Neal P (1995) Professional Biologist: Standards for the writing of floras. BioScience 45(5):339-345. https://doi.org/10.2307/1312495 Parlatore F (1848-1896) Flora Italiana. Tipografia dei successori Le Monnier, Firenze Passerini G (1844) Flora Italiae superioris methodo analytica, praemissa synopsi familiarum phanerogamiae. Mediolano, apud Sanctum Bravetta Pavillard J (1935) Éléments de sociologie végétale. Herman, Paris Peccenini S (1994) Cacciatori di piante. In: Mariotti MG, Barberis G, Minuto L (eds) Piante che scompaiono nel mondo. SAGEP Editrice, Genova, pp 15–17 Pezzi G, Buldrini F, Alessandrini A (2021) Dal libro alla mappa. La *Flora* storica della Provincia di Bologna. Patrimonio Culturale Focus, Regione Emilia-Romagna, Bologna Pezzi G, Donati D, Muzzi E, Conedera M, Krebs P (2020) Using chorographic sources to reconstruct past agro-forestry systems. A methodological approach based on the study case of the northern Apennines. Landsc Res 45:359-376. https://doi.org/10.1080/01426397.2019.1624700 Piccoli F, Pellizzari M, Alessandrini A (2014) Flora del Ferrarese. Istituto per i Beni Artistici, Culturali e Naturali della Regione Emilia-Romagna. Longo Editore, Ravenna Pignatti S (1982) Flora d'Italia. Edagricole, Bologna Pignatti S (1994) Ecologia del paesaggio. UTET, Torino Pignatti S, Cipriani M (2010) The diversity of plants in a text from the seventeenth century. Rend Fis Acc Lincei 21(4):343-350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-010-0105-1 Pignatti S, Guarino R (2019) Prolegomeni al vol. 4. In: Pignatti S, Guarino R, La Rosa M (eds.) Flora d'Italia, II ed. Vol. 4. Edagricole di New Business Media, Bologna, pp 1–18 Pignatti S, Guarino R, La Rosa M (2017-2019) Flora d'Italia, II ed. Edagricole di New Business Media, Bologna Pignatti S, Menegoni P, Pietrosanti S (2005) Bioindicazione attraverso le piante vascolari. Valori di indicazione secondo Ellenberg (Zeigerwerte) per le specie della Flora d'Italia. Braun- Blanquetia 39:1–97 

Pochettino G (1878) Prontuario dello studente di botanica ossia chiavi analitiche per determinare le 1171 1172 principali specie di piante che crescono selvatiche o che vengono coltivate in Italia coll'aggiunta delle 1173 nozioni piú rilevanti intorno a ciascun genere. Tipografia dell'Ospizio di S. Michele a Ripa, Roma 1174 1175 Poldini L (1991) Atlante corologico delle piante vascolari nel Friuli-Venezia Giulia. Inventario floristico 1176 regionale. Arti grafiche friulane, Udine 1177 1178 Portale della Flora d'Italia (2021) Available at http://dryades.units.it/floritaly. Last accessed 29-1-2021 1179 1180 Ray J (1660) Catalogus plantarum circa Cantabrigiam nascentium; In quo exhibentur quotquot hactenus 1181 inventae sunt, quae vel sponte proveniunt, vel in agris seruntur; Unà cum synonymis selectioribus, locis 1182 natalibus & observationibus quibusdam oppido raris. Adjiciuntur in gratiam tyronum, Index Anglo-latinus, 1183 Index locorum, Etymologia nominum, & Explicatio quorundam terminorum. Cantabrigiae: Excudebat, 1184 Joann.[es] Field, Celeberrimae Academiae Typographus, Impensis Gulielmi Nealand, Bibliopolae, [28], 182 1185 + 102 pp. 1186 1187 R Core Team (2020) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation 1188 for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/ 1189 1190 (2021) Flora. Regione Emilia-Romagna Patrimonio culturale: la Available at 1191 https://patrimonioculturale.regione.emilia-romagna.it/aree-tematiche/paesaggi/natura/flora (last accessed 21-1192 4-2021) 1193 1194 Regione Emilia-Romagna (2022) Geologia, suoli e sismica – Carta geologica. Available at 1195 https://ambiente.regione.emilia-romagna.it/it/geologia/cartografia/webgis-banchedati/webgis (last accessed 1196 1-10-2022) 1197 1198 Rohl DJ (2011) The chorographic tradition and seventeenth- and eighteenth-century Scottish antiquaries. J 1199 Art Hist 5:1–18 1200 1201 Romani E, Alessandrini A (2001) Flora Piacentina. Compendio del patrimonio floristico della provincia di Piacenza (Emilia-Romagna). Museo Civico di Storia naturale di Piacenza, Società Piacentina di Scienze 1202 1203 Naturali, p 143 1204 1205 Rossi G, Montagnani C, Gargano D, Peruzzi L, Abeli T, Ravera S et al. (2013) Lista Rossa della Flora 1206 Italiana, 1. Policy Species e altre specie minacciate. Comitato Italiano IUCN e Ministero dell'Ambiente e 1207 della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare 1208 1209 Rostrup E (1860) Vejledning i den danske Flora: En populær Anvisning til at lære at kjende de danske 1210 Planter. P.G. Philipsen forlag, Kjöbenhavn 1211 1212 Rotondi A, Ganino T, Beghè D, Di Virgilio N, Morrone L, Fabbri A, Neri L (2018) Genetic and landscape 1213 characterization of ancient autochthonous olive trees in northern Italy. Plant Biosyst 152(5):1067–1074. 1214 https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1415993 1215 1216 Scopoli GA (1760) Flora Carniolica exhibens plantas Carnioliae indigenas et distributas in classes, genera, 1217 species, varietates, ordine linnaeano. Viennæ, sumptibus Johannis Thomæ Trattner S.C.R. apostolicæque 1218 maj. nec non inclyt. stat. inf. Austriæ typographi et bibliopolæ 1219 1220 Séguier GF (1745) Plantae Veronenses seu Stirpium quæ in agro Veronensi reperiuntur methodica synopsis. 1221 Veronæ: Typis Seminarii 1222 1223 Sereni E (1961) Storia del paesaggio agrario italiano. Editori Laterza, Bari 1224 1225 Seregin AP, Basov YM (2021) Fleroff goes digital: georeferenced records from «Flora des Gouvernements 1226 Wladimir» (Fleroff, 1902). Biodiversity Data Journal 9:e75299. https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.9.e75299

Sheppard AW, Shaw RH, Sforza R (2006) Top 20 environmental weeds for classical biological control in Europe: a review of opportunities, regulations and other barriers to adoption. Weed Res 46:93–117. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.2006.00497.x Soldano A (2000) L'erbario di Ulisse Aldrovandi – Volumi I e II. Atti Ist Ven Sci Lett Arti, Cl Sci Fis Mat Nat, 158, t I: 1-246 Soldano A (2001) L'erbario di Ulisse Aldrovandi – Volumi III e IV. Atti Ist Ven Sci Lett Arti, Cl Sci Fis Mat Nat, 159, t I: 1-215 Soldano A (2002) L'erbario di Ulisse Aldrovandi – Volumi V-VI-VII. Atti Ist Ven Sci Lett Arti, Cl Sci Fis Mat Nat, 160, t I: 1-248 Soriani GA (1834) Supplemento storico sulla origine e progressi della città di Lugo. Lugo per Melandri, p 1 Stace CA (1989) Plant Taxonomy and Biosystematics. Second Edition. Edward Arnold, London Tinarelli R, Tosetti T (1998) Zone umide della pianura bolognese: inventario e aspetti naturalistici e ambientali. Istituto per i beni artistici, culturali e naturali della Regione Emilia-Romagna. Editrice Compositori, Bologna Tison JM, de Foucault B (2014) Flora Gallica. Flore de France. Biotope, Mèze Tomaselli M, Gualmini M (2000) Gli elementi corologici nella flora di altitudine dell'Appennino Tosco Emiliano. Ann Mus Civ Rovereto Sez Archeol Stor Sci Nat 14 (suppl.): 95–112 UN 2015. Central product classification (CPC) version 2.1. New York Van Calster H, Vandenberghe R, Ruysen M, Verheyen K, Hermy M, Decocq G (2008) Unexpectedly high 20th century floristic losses in a rural landscape in northern France. J Ecol 96(5):927–936. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01412.x Van der Veken S, Verheven K, Hermy M (2004) Plant species loss in an urban area (Turnhout, Belgium) from 1880 to 1999 and its environmental determinants. Flora 199:516–523. https://doi.org/10.1078/0367-2530-00180 Viciani D, Geri F, Agostini N, Gonnelli V, Lastrucci L (2018) Role of a geodatabase to assess the distribution of plants of conservation interest in a large protected area: a case study for a major national park in Italy. Plant Biosyst 152(4):631-641. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1308974 Viciani D, Ferretti G, Bernardini A, Raffaelli M, Lastrucci L (2021) An annotated checklist of the vascular flora of the Arezzo administrative province (Tuscany, Italy). Plant Biosyst 155(5):971–982. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2020.1810811 Vitman F (1773) Saggio dell'istoria erbaria delle Alpi di Pistoja, Modena e Lucca, con nuove osservazioni botaniche e mediche. Per le stampe di Lelio Dalla Volpe, Bologna Wilkomm HM, Lange JMC (1861-1880) Prodromus florae Hispaniae, seu synopsis methodica omnium plantarum in Hispania sponte nascentium vel frequentius cultarum. Stuttgartiae, typis et sumptibus librariae E. Schweizerbart Zampighi C (2009) Il Consorzio della Bonifica Reno-Palata. In: Galetti P, Andreolli B (eds) Mulini, canali e comunità della pianura bolognese tra Medioevo e Ottocento. CLUEB, Bologna, pp 1000-1011 

- 1282 **Table captions**
- 1283

**Table 1** List of data sources used for georeferencing the localities of the *Flora* by Girolamo Cocconi (1883)

1286 **Table 2** Exploration hotspots (see also Fig. 3) and related number of records

**Table 3** Crop taxa cited in the *Flora*, divided by hierarchically-based categories of use (see UN 2015). Plant
nomenclature follows Pignatti et al. (2017-2019) for uniformity reasons with the rest of the taxa. Only taxa
indicated by Cocconi as present in cultivation and surely referable to a currently accepted name (*sensu*Pignatti et al. 2017-2019) are reported. In bold: taxa mentioned in the text

**Table 4** List of the extinct species. Number of records, altitudinal belts to which they are related in the *Flora*and current extinction level according to Bilz et al. (2011), Rossi et al. (2013) and Bartolucci et al. (2018) are
also provided. If a species belongs to a target group it is indicated as follows: hydro-hygrophytes: Aqu. =
aquatic, Pal. = palustrine, Hyg. = hygrophilous; allochthonous species: A = archaeophyte, N = neophyte. In
bold, cultivated species (see also Table 3). Problematic species are indicated with (\*)

**Table 5** Distribution of the archaeophytes and neophytes cited in the *Flora* according to their status (casual, naturalised, invasive). Classification follows the Portale della Flora d'Italia (2021)

1301
1302 **Table 6** List of the current invasive alien species. Number of records, altitudinal belts to which they are
1303 related to in the *Flora* and current status according to the Portale della Flora d'Italia (2021) are also
1304 provided. The status at the local scale is based on expert assessment and is referred to the area considered by
1305 Cocconi. In bold, cultivated plants (see also Table 3). Ornamental plants as indicated in the *Flora* are marked
1306 with (\*)

**Table 7** Distribution of the allochthonous species in the three territorial sectors considered. Classificationfollows the Portale della Flora d'Italia (2021)

1310

- 1311 Figure captions
- 1312
- 1313 **Fig. 1** Genesis of a Flora: from the context to the outcome

1314
1315 Fig. 2 Girolamo Cocconi (1824-1904) and the title page of the *Flora*. The images are courtesy of the
1316 photographic collection by *Accademia delle Scienze dell'Istituto di Bologna* (on the left) and Historical
1317 Library *Antonio Bertoloni* - Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna (on the right)

Fig. 3 The spatial cover of the *Flora* by Girolamo Cocconi. The grey line indicates the current border of the province of Bologna. Municipalities added most recently: Tossignano, Fontanelice, Castel del Rio (since 1884), Pieve di Cento (since 1929). Former municipality: Castelfranco Emilia (since 1929). Municipalities that never belonged to the province of Bologna: Montese, Zocca, Guiglia, Nonantola

Fig. 4 Heat map of the localities cited in the *Flora* after the retrospective georeferencing. Red dots indicate
localities with more than 100 records. Yellow ramp shows the results of the Kernel density with higher
transparency for lower values. Grey line indicates the border of the current Bologna province

13271328 Fig. 5 Cumulative curve of the number of localities per each buffer radius1329

**Fig. 6** Collectors reported into the *Flora* ordered by birth-date year. The green band indicates the period from Cocconi's arrival in Bologna University (1871) to the year of the *Flora* publishing (1883). The death-year and the number of records attributed to a contributor are also reported. Importance of the lettering: a) records attributed to Gibelli e Pirotta; b) records attributed to the Lorenzini brothers (Demetrio and Amilcare); c) records attributed to the Riva brothers (Giuseppe and Domenico), which worked together. For further information about the collectors, see Online resource 3

**Fig. 7** Exploration hotspots by collectors other than Cocconi. Bcc = Beccari (3 records), Bld = Baldacci (13), Bnc = Bianconi (1), Brt = Bertoloni A. sr. (511), BrtF = Bertoloni G. (341), BrtN = Bertoloni A. jr. (1), Cgn = Cugini (8), Cml = Comelli (7), Crl = Caruel (31), Cvr = Cavara (21), Frn = Farneti (3), Gbl = Gibelli and Pirotta (25), Gdd = Gaddi (1), Gnn = Giannitrapani (4), Gvn = Giovannini (1), Lrn = Lorenzini brothers (87), Mnl = Minelli (2), Mtt = Mattei (2), Prz = Pirazzoli (3), Pzz = Pizzini (1), Riv = Riva brothers (167), Sav = Savi (1), Scn = Saccenti (15), Vtm = Vitman (3), Zff = Zuffi (5). For the collectors see also Fig. 6 and Online resource 3

Fig. 8 Hydro-hydrophytes grouped into ecological categories (a), life forms (b) and chorotypes (c)
considering the entire study area, the plain and the hills and mountains. The 3 species found in Bologna are
not shown here

1349 **Fig. 9** Allochthonous species grouped into life forms (a) and chorotypes (b), considering the entire study

1350 area, the plain, the town of Bologna and the hills and mountains. Species with uncertain or unknown

1351 chorotype are not shown

# **Online resources**

**Online resource 1** Timeline of the principal changes of the features of Floras from the Renaissance onwards1356

**Online resource 2** List of the species recorded in the Flora of the province of Bologna (Cocconi 1883). For

every taxon, ancient name as written by Cocconi, current taxonomic interpretation according to Pignatti et al.
(2017-2019), eventual explanatory notes and observation localities as reported on the *Flora* are provided.

1360 Critical species are written in bold; in the Taxonomic issues the reasons for treating them as critical are

1361 explained

**Online resource 3** List of the contributors, besides Girolamo Cocconi, to the Flora of the province of1364 Bologna (1883) and related information

1367 Fig. 1 1368

# CONTEXT

# Socio-cultural

Historical period Cultural mainstream Scientific trends (e.g., taxonomic interpretation/s, former floristic investigations)

## Environmental

Geological and ecological specificity

Landscape heterogeneity

Mobility and transport infrastructures (e.g., site features, infrastructural network)



# COLLECTOR

Scientific Background

Scientific Network

Work period (e.g. duration in years, seasonality)

## Personal preferences and skills

(e.g., particular group of species, preferred field work places, habits frequentation)



# SAMPLING APPROACH

**Sampling method** Opportunistic vs systematic

Area selection Extent and limits (administrative vs natural)

**Environmental constraints** 

General and seasonal accessibility (walkability and remoteness)

Floristic

Species detectability (e.g., elusive vs visible, rare vs abundant) Species seasonality (phenology) Species identifiability



## OUTCOME

List of species

(e.g., complete vs incomplete, over-represented vs underrepresented species)

**Exploration pattern** (sampling biases, local hotspots) 1370 Fig. 2 



1374 Fig. 3 



1378 Fig. 4 1379 \_\_\_\_\_











Fig. 8 a) 







b)



1406 Fig. 9 1408 a) 







# 

# 

Source type	Source name	Year	Scale	Available at:
Man	Carta Storica Regionale (o	1050	1.50.000	Geoportale della Regione Emilia-Romagna:
мар	Carta Topografica Austriaca)	1055	1:50.000	https://geoportale.regione.emilia-romagna.it/
	Carta dai dintorni di Poloana	1863	1:10.000	Moka-GIS Regione Emilia-Romagna:
				http://www.mokagis.it/html/applicazioni_mappe.asp
	Carta ICM di primo impianto	1863-1895	1:100.000	Moka-GIS Regione Emilia-Romagna:
		1005-1095		http://www.mokagis.it/html/applicazioni_mappe.asp
	Carta Tacnica Pagionala	2017	1.5 000	Geoportale della Regione Emilia-Romagna:
	Curta Tecnica Regionale		1.5.000	https://geoportale.regione.emilia-romagna.it/
	Carta topografica d'Italia ICM	various	1.25 000	Portale Cartografico Nazionale:
		various	1.23.000	https://www.pcn.minambiente.it/mattm
Toponym lavor	Toponimi d'Italia ICM	2011	1.25 000	Portale Cartografico Nazionale:
1 oponym tayer		2011	1.23.000	https://www.pcn.minambiente.it/mattm
	Database Topografico	2017	1:5.000	Regione Emilia-Romagna:
	Regionale (Toponimi)			https://geonortale regione emilia-romagna it/
1			1	1 https://geoportaie.regione.ennina-ronnagha.it/

# 

Hotspot	Area km²	Record s n	Localitie s n	Locality mean altitude (m a.s.l.)			Locality main distance from Bologna city centre (km)			om	
				min	min mean median max				mean	median	max
Bologna	153. 4	2108	173	30.8	121.7 ± 82.5	91.2	390.3	0.0	4.3 ±3.5	3.3	15.4
Porretta Terme	71.3	1399	83	343. 2	592.7 ± 263.7	503.8	1470.3	44.4	48.8 ±2.1	48.2	53.9
Corno alle Scale	36.8	664	37	811. 9	1331.9 ±363.4	1224.1	1936.0	52.9	56.6 ±1.9	56.7	59.6
Montese	18.7	500	17	509. 4	686.7±118.3	685.1	917.6	37.8	39.9 ±1.2	39.9	41.7

CEREALS (16)	Avena sativa L., Fagopyrum esculentum Moench, Hordeum spp. (H. distichon L., H. hexastichon L., H. vulgare L. s.s.), <b>Oryza sativa L</b> ., Panicum miliaceum L., Secale cereale L., Triticum aestivum L., Triticum aestivum L. subsp. spelta (L.) Thell., Triticum monococcum L. subsp. monococcum L., Triticum turgidum L. subsp. durum (Desf.) Husn., Triticum turgidum L. subsp. turgidum (Desf.) Husn., Triticum turgidum subsp. dicoccon (Schrank ex Schübl.) Thell., Zea mays L.
FRUITS AND NUTS (26)	
Berries	Fragaria moschata Weston Ribes spn (R ruhrum L R uva-crispa L) Ruhus idaeus L
Graned	Vitis vinifera I
Nuts	Arachis hypogaea L., Castanea sativa Mill., Corylus avellana L., Juglans regia L., Pinus pinea L., Prunus dulcis (Mill.) D.A. Webb
Pome fruits and stone fruits	Crataegus azarolus L., Cydonia oblonga Mill., Ficus carica L., Malus domestica Borkh., Mespilus germanica L., Prunus spp. (P. armeniaca L., P. avium L., P. cerasifera Ehrh., P. cerasus L., P. domestica L., P. persica (L.) Batsch), Punica granatum L., Pyrus communis L., Sorbus domestica L., Ziziphus zizyphus (L.) Meikle
VEGETABLES (37)	
Fruit-bearing vegetables	Capsicum annuum L., Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. et Nakai, Cucumis spp. (C. melo L., C. sativus L.), Cucurbita spp. (C. maxima Duchesne, C. moschata Duchesne, C. pepo L.), Solanum spp. (S. lycopersicum L., S. melongena L.)
Leafy or stem vegetables	Apium graveolens L., Asparagus officinalis L., Atriplex spp. (A. hortensis L., A. sagittata Borkh.), Brassica napus L. (including: cultivar napobrassica (L.) Rchb.), Brassica oleracea L. subsp. oleracea (including: convar. botrytis L. cultivar botrytis L., convar. botrytis L. cultivar italica Plenck, convar. capitata L. cultivar capitata L., convar. capitata L. cultivar sabauda L.), Brassica rapa L. subsp. rapa, Cichorium endivia L., Cynara cardunculus L., Cynara cardunculus L. subsp. scolymus (L.) Hayek, Eruca sativa Mill., Foeniculum vulgare Mill., Lactuca spp. (L. sativa L., L. serriola L.), Lepidium sativum L., Spinacia oleracea L., Beta vulgaris L. subsp. vulgaris
Root, bulb or tuberous vegetables	Allium spp. (A. ascalonicum Hort., A. cepa L., A. fistulosum L., A. porrum L., A. sativum L.), Armoracia rusticana P. Gaertn., B. Mey. et Scherb., Beta vulgaris L. subsp. vulgaris, Daucus carota L., Daucus carota L. subsp. maximus (Desf.) Ball, Helianthus tuberosus L., Raphanus sativus L., <b>Solanum tuberosum L.</b>
LEGUMINOUS CROPS (10)	Cicer arietinum L., Lathyrus spp. (L. cicera L., L. sativus L.), Lens culinaris Medik., Lupinus albus L., Phaseolus vulgaris L., Vicia spp. (V. ervilia (L.) Willd., V. faba L.), Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp., Pisum sativum L. subsp. sativum var. sativum
OILSEED CROPS (6)	Brassica napus L. (including cultivar napus), Brassica rapa L. subsp. sylvestris (L.) Janch., Camelina sativa (L.) Crantz, Helianthus annuus L., <b>Olea europaea L.</b> , Raphanus sativus L. var. oleiferus Metzg.
OTHER CROPS (27)	
Grasses and other fodder crops	Morus spp. ( <b>M. alba L., M. nigra L.</b> ), Onobrychis viciifolia Scop., Pisum sativum L. subsp. sativum var. arvense (L.) Gams, Setaria italica (L.) P. Beauv., Trifolium incarnatum L., <b>Trigonella foenum-graecum L., Ulmus minor Mill.</b> , Vicia sativa L.
Medicinal, aromatic, or similar crops	Allium schoenoprasum L., Artemisia absinthium L., Brassica nigra (L.) W.D.J. Koch, Chamaemelum nobile (L.) All., Cochlearia officinalis L., Foeniculum vulgare Mill., Laurus nobilis L., Lavandula angustifolia Mill., Mentha spicata L., Petroselinum crispum (Mill.) Fuss, Salvia sclarea L., Satureja hortensis L., Thymus vulgaris L. subsp. vulgaris
Fibre crops	Cannabis sativa L., Linum usitatissimum L.
Dyeing crops	Isatis tinctoria L.
Other	Bassia scoparia (L.) A.J. Scott, Lagenaria siceraria (Molina) Standl., Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench

# 

	Records (n.)		Targe	et group	Current
Species		Altitudinal belt	Hydro- hygrophytes	Allochthonous	extinction level
Acorus calamus L.	1	plain	Pal.	А	regional
Aldrovanda vesiculosa L.	1	plain	Pal.	-	national
Allium angulosum L.	2	hills and mountains	Hyg.	-	provincial
Anacamptis palustris (Jacq.) R.M.	3	hills and mountains	Hvg.	-	provincial
Bateman, Pridgeon et M.W. Chase	-		58		P
Arachis hypogaea L.	1	plain	-	N	provincial
Baldellia ranunculoides (L.) Parl.	6	plain, hills and mountains	Aqu.	-	provincial
Caldesia parnassifolia (L.) Parl.	1	hills and mountains	Pal.	-	national
<i>Callitriche brutia</i> Petagna subsp.					
hamulata (W.D.J. Kock) Bonnier et	1	hills and mountains	Aqu.	-	provincial
<i>Callitriche staanalis</i> Scop. (*)	1	hills and mountains	Aau		provincial
Carex vesicaria L	1	plain	Pal	_	provincial
Cardamine enneanhyllos (L.) Crantz	1	hills and mountains	-		provincial
Fauisetum fluviatile I. (*)	2	plain hills and mountains	Pal		provincial
Friophorum anaustifolium Honck	2	hills and mountains			provincial
Gentiana nivalis I	1	hills and mountains	-		provincial
Gentiana preumonanthe L (*)	1	hills and mountains	Hyg		provincial
Hinnuris vulgaris I	2	plain	Agu		regional
Hottonia nalustris I	3	plain hills and mountains	Aqu.		provincial
Kali turaidum (Dumort.) Gutermann	2	plain, hills and mountains	Hyg		provincial
Isatis tinctoria I	rare			Δ	provincial
Leonurus cardiaca L	3	hills and mountains	_	A	regional (?)
Leucojum gestivum L	infrequent	plain	Ηνσ	-	provincial
Limosella aquatica L	1	hills and mountains	Hvø		regional
Marsilea auadrifolia L	1	plain	Pal	_	provincial
Menvanthes trifoliata L	2	plain hills and mountains	Hvø	_	provincial
Myriophyllum verticillatum L.	7	plain	Agu.	_	provincial
Naias araminea Delile	1	plain	Aqu	N	provincial
Oenanthe fistulosa L	5	plain	Pal	-	provincial
Oenanthe lachenalii C.C. Gmel.	5	plain	Hvg.	_	provincial
Potamoaeton perfoliatus L	1	plain	Aqu	_	provincial
Potentilla nitida L	1	hills and mountains	-	-	regional
Ranunculus flammula L.	1	hills and mountains	Pal.	_	provincial
Ranunculus linaua L	1	plain	Pal.	-	regional
Rumex hydrolapathum Huds.	common	plain, hills and mountains	Pal.	_	provincial
Saaittaria saaittifolia L	9	plain, hills and mountains	Pal.	_	provincial
Silene acaulis L.	1	hills and mountains	-	-	provincial
Sonchus palustris L	1	plain	Hvg.	-	regional (national?)
Styrax officinalis L	1	hills and mountains		_	regional
Traunsteinera alobosa (L.) Rchh	1	hills and mountains	-	_	provincial
Trigonella foenum-graecum L.	1	Bologna, plain, hills and mountains	-	А	regional (?)
Utricularia vulgaris L.	3	plain, hills and mountains	Aqu.		provincial

# Species correctorArchaeophytesNeophytesCasual2614Naturalised3222Invasive321Total6157

# 

C	Records Congregation and the			Current status		
Species	(n.)	Geographic sector	Туре	national scale	<b>Bologna province</b>	
Acer negundo L. (*)	2	Bologna, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	invasive	
Ailanthus altissima (Mill.) Swingle (*)	4	plain, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	invasive	
Amaranthus albus L.	4	plain, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Amaranthus cruentus L. (*)	2	Bologna, hills and mountains	neophyte invasive		naturalised	
Amaranthus deflexus L.	frequent	—	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Amaranthus hybridus L.	frequent	—	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Amaranthus retroflexus L.	8	plain, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Amaranthus viridis L.	2	hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Bassia scoparia (L.) A.J. Scott	4	plain, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	casual	
Broussonetia papyrifera (L.) Vent. (*)	2	Bologna, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	invasive	
Cyperus glomeratus L.	1	plain	neophyte	invasive	invasive	
Datura stramonium L. 12 pl		plain, hills and mountains	plain, hills and mountains neophyte		casual	
<i>Dysphania ambrosioides</i> (L.) Mosyakin et Clemants	5	plain	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Erigeron annuus (L.) Desf.	rigeron annuus (L.) Desf. 3 plain, hills and mountains		neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Erigeron canadensis L.	very frequent		neophyte	invasive	invasive	
Helianthus tuberosus L.	—	—	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Isatis tinctoria L.	rare	—	archaeophyte	invasive	extinct	
Oryza sativa L.	2	plain, hills and mountains	archaeophyte	invasive	extinct	
Oxalis stricta L. 5 Bologna, plain, hills and mountains		Bologna, plain, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	arthenocissus quinquefolia frequent —		neophyte	invasive	invasive	
Phytolacca americana L. (*)	2	Bologna, plain	neophyte	invasive	naturalised	
Robinia pseudoacacia L. (*)	_		neophyte	invasive	invasive	
Sorahum halenense (L.) Pers. 4 plain, hills and mounta		plain, hills and mountains	archaeophyte invasive in		invasive	
Xanthium spinosum L.	7	plain, hills and mountains	neophyte	invasive	casual	

# 

# 

Spacios cotogomy	Geographic sector						
	Bologna town	Plain	Hills and mountains				
Archaeophytes	4	15	30				
Neophytes	9	19	27				
Other			2				
Total	13	29	59				