

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Filling the Bearpaw gap: Evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of west-Central Alberta, Canada

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

#### Published Version:

Filling the Bearpaw gap: Evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of west-Central Alberta, Canada / Fanti F.; Bell P.R.; Vavrek M.; Larson D.; Koppelhus E.; Sissons R.L.; Langone A.; Campione N.E.; Sullivan C.. - In: PALAEOGEOGRAPHY PALAEOCLIMATOLOGY PALAEOECOLOGY. - ISSN 0031-0182. - ELETTRONICO. - 592:(2022), pp. 110923.110923-110923.110940. [10.1016/j.palaeo.2022.110923] Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/879403 since: 2023-01-11

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2022.110923

#### Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

## This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Fanti F.; Bell P.R.; Vavrek M.; Larson D.; Koppelhus E.; Sissons R.L.; Langone A.; Campione N.E.; Sullivan C.: Filling the Bearpaw gap: Evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of west-Central Alberta, Canada

PALAEOGEOGRAPHY PALAEOCLIMATOLOGY PALAEOECOLOGY, vol. 592 ISSN 0031-0182

DOI: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2022.110923

The final published version is available online at:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2022.110923

## Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<a href="https://cris.unibo.it/">https://cris.unibo.it/</a>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Filling the Bearpaw Gapgap: evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of west-central Alberta, Canada.  Fanti F. 1°, Bell, P.R. 2, Vavrek, M. 3°, Larson, D. 4°, Koppelhus, E. 5°, Sissons, R. L. 5°, Langone, A. 6°, Campione, N.E. 2°, Sullivan C. 4°.  Fanti F. 1°, Bell, P.R. 2°, Vavrek, M. 3°, Larson, D. 4°, Koppelhus, E. 5°, Sissons, R. L. 5°, Langone, A. 6°, Campione, N.E. 2°, Sullivan C. 4°.  10  11  12  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  18  19  19  19  19  10  10  11  10  10  11  11		
Filling the Bearpaw Gapgap: evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of west-central Alberta, Canada.  Fanti F.1*, Bell, P.R.2, Vavrek, M.3, Larson, D.4, Koppelhus, E.5, Sissons, R. L.5, Langone, A.6, Campione, N.E.2, Sullivan C.4.7  Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it  Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell 23@une.edu.au nicolae.eampion@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  Grande Prairie, T8W 21.5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com  Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbemuseum.bc.ca  Pepartment of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca: robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  **Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; Januone@crystal.unipv.it  Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 380, Canada  **Corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it	1	
Filling the Bearpaw Gapgap: evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of west-central Alberta, Canada.  Fanti F.1*, Bell, P.R.2, Vavrek, M.3, Larson, D.4., Koppelhus, E.5, Sissons, R. L.5, Langone, A.6, Campione, N.E.2, Sullivan C.4.7  Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it  Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell/23@une.edu.au/nicolas.eampione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; mathew@mathewavrek.com  Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbemuseum.bc.ca  Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca/robin.sissons@mail.com/; corwinl@ualberta.ca/robin.sissons@mail.com/; corwinl@ualberta.ca/robin.sissons@ualcom/; corwinl@ualberta.ca/robin.sissons@ualcom/; corwinl@ualbert	2	
Filling the Bearpaw Gapgap: evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of  west-central Alberta, Canada.  Fanti F.1*, Bell, P.R.2, Vavrek, M.3, Larson, D.4., Koppelhus, E.5, Sissons, R. L.5, Langone, A.6, Campione, N.E. 2, Sullivan C.4.7  Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it  Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell 23@une.edu.au; nicolas.eampione@gmail.comn.campion@une.edu.au  Grande Prairie, TSW 2LS, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com  Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia VSW 9W2, Canada; dlarson@rovalbemuseum.bc.ca  Bolognal Comic Corvini @ualberta.ca  Fistituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; Jangone@crystal.unipv.it  Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta TOH 3S0, Canada  **corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it		
distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of  west-central Alberta, Canada.  Fanti F.1*, Bell, P.R.2, Vavrek, M.3, Larson, D4, Koppelhus, E.5, Sissons, R. L.5, Langone, A.6,  Campione, N.E.2, Sullivan C.4.7  Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126  Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo it  Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,  New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas-campione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com  Akoyal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca  Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  Separtment of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  Separtment of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  Separtment of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta T0H 3SO, Canada  Separtment of Biological Sciences descriptions and the separation of the separation		
Fanti F. 1*, Bell, P.R. 2, Vavrek, M. 3, Larson, D <sup>4</sup> ., Koppelhus, E. 5, Sissons, R. L. 5, Langone, A. 6,  Campione, N.E. 2, Sullivan C. 4.7  Langone, A. 6,  Campione, N.E. 2, Sullivan C. 4.7  Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126  Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it  Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,  New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas.eampione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com  Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbemuseum.bc.ca  Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  Stituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it  Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 380, Canada  *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it	4	Filling the Bearpaw Gapgap: evidence for palaeoenvironment-driven taxon
Fanti F. <sup>1*</sup> , Bell, P.R. <sup>2</sup> , Vavrek, M. <sup>3</sup> , Larson, D <sup>4</sup> ., Koppelhus, E. <sup>5</sup> , Sissons, R. L. <sup>5</sup> , Langone, A. <sup>6</sup> ,  Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan C. <sup>4,7</sup> Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan C. <sup>4,7</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126  Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it  Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,  New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell <sup>23</sup> @une edu.au; nicelas-campione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com  Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca  Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  Statituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; Jangone@crystal.unipv.it  Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada  *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it	5	distribution in a diverse, non-marine ecosystem from the late Campanian of
Fanti F.1*, Bell, P.R.2, Vavrek, M.3, Larson, D4, Koppelhus, E.5, Sissons, R. L.5, Langone, A.6,  Campione, N.E.2, Sullivan C.4.7  Campione, N.E.2, Sullivan C.4.7  Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126  Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it  Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,  New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell/23@une.edu.au; nicolas.campion@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbemuseum.bc.ca  Popenrument of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwin/@ualberta.ca  Stitutto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it  Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada  *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	6	west-central Alberta, Canada.
Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan C. <sup>4,7</sup> Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, Volta Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; <u>federico fanti@unibo.it</u> Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; <u>federico fanti@unibo.it</u> Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; <u>federico fanti@unibo.it</u>	7	
Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan C. <sup>4,7</sup> Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, Volta Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; <u>federico fanti@unibo.it</u> Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; <u>federico fanti@unibo.it</u> Campione, N.E. <sup>2</sup> , Sullivan Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; <u>federico fanti@unibo.it</u>	8	Fanti F. <sup>1*</sup> , Bell, P.R. <sup>2</sup> , Vavrek, M. <sup>3</sup> , Larson, D <sup>4</sup> ., Koppelhus, E. <sup>5</sup> , Sissons, R. L. <sup>5</sup> , Langone, A. <sup>6</sup> .
10 11 12 ¹Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 13 Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it 14 ²Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, 15 New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas.campione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au 16 ³Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com 17 ⁴Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbemuseum.be.ca 18 ⁵Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; 19 robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwin1@ualberta.ca 20		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Campione, N.E, Sumvan C. ···
12 ¹Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 13 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it  14 ²Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale, 15 New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas.campione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au 16 ³Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com 17 ⁴Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca 18 ⁵Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; 19 robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwin1@ualberta.ca 20 ⁴Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it 21 ²Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada 22 23 24 25 *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, 26 Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	10	
Bologna, Italy; federico fanti@unibo.it  2 Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,  New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas.campione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  3 Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com  4 Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca  5 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  6 Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; Jangone@crystal.unipv.it  2 Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada  *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	11	
<ul> <li><sup>2</sup> Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,</li> <li>New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas.eampione@gmail.eomncampion@une.edu.au</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com</li> <li><sup>4</sup>Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca</li> <li><sup>5</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwin1@ualberta.ca</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada</li> <li>*corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,</li> <li>Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it</li> </ul>	12	<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126
New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas.eampione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au  3 Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewwavrek.com  4 Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca  5 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwin1@ualberta.ca  6 Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it  2 Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada  *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	13	Bologna, Italy; <u>federico.fanti@unibo.it</u>
<sup>3</sup> Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; matthew@matthewvavrek.com <sup>4</sup> Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca <sup>5</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca; robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca <sup>6</sup> Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it <sup>2</sup> Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	14	<sup>2</sup> Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,
4Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca  5Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca;  robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwin1@ualberta.ca  6Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it  2Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada  *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	15	New South Wales 2351, Australia; pbell23@une.edu.au; nicolas.campione@gmail.comncampion@une.edu.au
<ul> <li>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; ebk@ualberta.ca;</li> <li>robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca</li> <li>GIstituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it</li> <li>Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada</li> <li>*corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,</li> <li>Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it</li> </ul>	16	<sup>3</sup> Grande Prairie, T8W 2L5, Canada; <u>matthew@matthewvavrek.com</u>
robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwinl@ualberta.ca  6 Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it  2 Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada  2 *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	17	<sup>4</sup> Royal BC Museum, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9W2, Canada; <u>dlarson@royalbcmuseum.bc.ca</u>
GIstituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; langone@crystal.unipv.it  Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada  *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,  Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it	18	<sup>5</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E9, Canada; <a href="mailto:ebk@ualberta.ca">ebk@ualberta.ca</a> ;
<ul> <li>Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada</li> <li>*corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,</li> <li>Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it</li> </ul>	19	robin.sissons@gmail.com; corwin1@ualberta.ca
22 23 24 25 *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum, 26 Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it 27	20	<sup>6</sup> Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Consiglio Nazionle delle Ricerche, 27100 Pavia, Italy; <u>langone@crystal.unipv.it</u>
<ul> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25 *corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,</li> <li>26 Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it</li> <li>27</li> </ul>	21	<sup>2</sup> Philip J. Currie Dinosaur Museum, Wembley, Alberta T0H 3S0, Canada
<ul> <li>*corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,</li> <li>Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it</li> </ul>	22	
<ul> <li>*corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,</li> <li>Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it</li> </ul>	23	
Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it  27	24	
27	25	*corresponding author. Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche, Geologiche e Ambientali, Alma Mater Studiorum,
	26	Università di Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy; federico.fanti@unibo.it
28	27	
	28	

#### Abstract

29

30 Patterns of Late Cretaceous terrestrial vertebrate diversity across North America have been interpreted primarily in terms of biogeographic provincialism driven by latitude and/or coastal-31 32 inland habitat gradients. A major difficulty in determining the influence of these two gradients is the existence of some large gaps in the terrestrial fossil record, notably the 'Bearpaw gap' caused by a 33 34 transgression of the inland Bearpaw Seaway during the latter part of the Campanian. In this context, 35 the terrestrial fauna preserved in the Campanian deposits of the Wapiti Formation (west-central Alberta, Canada) provides is unequalled crucial contribution to for addressing the information 36 37 deficit. Deposited at the edge of the palaeo-circumpolar region, Unit 3 of the strictly terrestrial 38 Wapiti Formation (WU3) is coeval with the 'Bearpaw gap', a erucial period when the terrestrial 39 record from better-sampled areas elsewhere in Canada and the U.S.A. gives way to marine 40 sediments. Here we show, based largely on evidence from the recently\_discovered DC (Dinosaur-41 Chelonian) Bonebed locality, that the diverse WU3 vertebrate fauna share similarities with lowland 42 to marginal marine ecosystems in the Oldman and Dinosaur Park formations which were deposited 43 in southern Alberta prior to the Bearpaw gap. shares striking similarities with lowland to marginal marine ecosystems recording the initial phases of the Bearpaw transgression in the older Dinosaur 44 45 Park Formation and with the onset of the Dinosaur Park Formation over the Oldman Formation in 46 southern Alberta. In addition, a major change in faunal composition demarcates the upper boundary 47 of WU3, related to the disappearance of the Bearpaw Sea in Canada. Data presented here help, first 48 and foremost, to bridge an ~1.2-million-year gap in the North American record of Campanian 49 terrestrial vertebrates. Resemblances between the WU3 vertebrate fauna and slightly older 50 assemblages from southern Alberta underline underscore the importance in of determining the 51 spatiotemporal evolution of environmental factors (e.g., coastal proximity). The occurrence of 52 endemic forms and differences in relative taxon abundance may suggest that additional latitude-53 correlated factors, implicating both latitudinal and coastal-inland habitat gradients-also exerted an 54 important influence on in driving the taxonomic composition of Late Cretaceous terrestrial faunas.

56 Keywords: 6 - Microvertebrate; Campanian; Alberta; Bearpaw; Western Interior Seaway; Dinosaur 57 Park Formation; Wapiti Formation 58 59 1. Introduction 60 The Late Cretaceous terrestrial faunas of western North America provide one of the richest 61 availablebest datasets for unravelling potential relationships between fossil vertebrate communities 62 and palaeoenvironmental factors. In southern Alberta, where this record is arguably more 63 comprehensive than almost anywhere else in the world (Currie and Koppelhus, 2005ref), the marine Bearpaw Formation—a northern expression of the epicontinental Western Interior Seaway and 64 equivalent to ~1.5 million years of Campanian time (Hathway, 2016)—stands as a major 65 biochronological gap between the rich terrestrial faunal assemblages of the late Campanian 66 Dinosaur Park Formation (the upper part of the Belly River Group) and late Campanian-67 -Maastrichtian Horseshoe Canyon Formation (hereafter HSCHCHC Formation; the lower part of 68 69 the Edmonton Group). Despite recent advances in understanding patterns of palaeoecological 70 change through time across this interval, including the recognition of spatiotemporally discrete 71 terrestrial vertebrate 'biozones' inferred to have been associated with differing different 72 environmental and climatic conditions (Ryan and Evans, 2005; Larson et al., 2010; Mallon et al., 73 2012; Eberth et al., 2013; Eberth and Kamo, 2020), the break-hiatus in terrestrial deposition 74 represented by the Bearpaw Formation is a major hindrance in tracing evolutionary changes and 75 evaluating possible influences on terrestrial community structure between the Dinosaur Park and Horseshoe Canyon formations. The Bearpaw Formation accumulated diachronously over much of 76 77 the Western Interior Basin (WIB) of Canada and the United States between-from approximately

~7476 and 73 Ma (Rogers et al., 2016; Eberth and Kamo, 2020; Zubalich et al., 2021) and

separates the clastic wedges of the Belly River and Edmonton g-Groups, both renowned for the

information their remarkable fossil records provide on vertebrate evolution and diversity in-from the

55

78 79

80

Cullen and Evans, 2016; Gilbert and Bamforth, 2017). Here we refer to this terrestrial fossil record hiatus in the terrestrial fossil record as the 'Bearpaw gap'. Environmental gradients (e.g., between coastal and more inland environments) have an important influence, alongside latitudinal gradients and many other abiotic factors, on the distribution and diversity of modern faunas (Whittaker 1975; Hillebrand, 2004; Drakare et al., 2006) and therefore must be taken into account considered when interpreting species distribution in the fossil record. For example, (palaeo)latitudinal climatic gradients may explain observed northsouth faunal variations, including instances of endemism, whereas environmental gradients may explain the existence of disparate; yet contemporaneous; ecological communities in strata deposited in different settings. Therefore, bBoth latitudinal and environmental gradients have previously been interpreted represent potentially important influences as important factors driving determinants of on the composition of the faunas that existed in the Late Cretaceousthe faunal composition during the evolution of acrossof faunas existing near the Western Interior Seaway during the Late Cretaceous (e.g., Brinkman 1990; Lehman 1987, 2001; Sampson et al. 2010; Sampson and Loewen 2010; Burgener et al., 2021; though also see Sullivan and Lucas, 2006; Vavrek and Larsson 2010; and Lucas et al., 2016 for critical views). However, the current literature contains few well-constrained studies of the interaction interplay between latitudinal and depositional gradients during the Late Cretaceous andthat show how such interactions may could have shaped the vertebrate communities of the time. Furthermore, the dynamics and ecological consequences of complex, large-scale Earth system events (e.g., major transgressions and regressions), capable of reshaping the geography and environment of entire depositional basins, have been addressed only at a conceptual level (Weishampel and Horner, 1987; Horner et al., 1992; Upchurch et al., 2002; Brinkman, 2003; Bell and Snively, 2008; Butler and Barrett, 2008; Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Mannion et al., 2012, 2014; Lucas et al., 2016; Chiarenza et al., 2019). This dearth of information is primarily due to the lack of

geographic and temporal continuity among fossil-bearing localities, combined with difficulties in

Late Cretaceous (Currie and Koppelhus, 2005; Eberth and Braman, 2012; Eberth et al., 2013;

81

82

83

84

8586

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

tracing time-representative surfaces across multiple non-marineterrestrial sedimentary units (Sullivan and Lucas, 2006; Holland and Loughney, 2021).

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117 118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

The terrestrial fauna preserved in the Upper Cretaceous Wapiti Formation of west-central Alberta, Canada, has emerged as a source of important palaeontological and geological information that contributes significantly to addressing these shortfalls. The Wapiti-formation's clastic wedge extends from the city of Edmonton, Alberta, to easternmost British Columbia, yet virtuallyalmost all fossil localities known to date are in the vicinity of the city of Grande Prairie, where major and minor drainage systems have provided the best exposures of this unit along the banks of waterway bankss of waterways (Fig. 1). As such, fossils collected from the Wapiti Formation are not only geographically isolated within Alberta, but also, in the North American framework, located between the world-renowned localities of southern Alberta (e.g., Currie and Koppelhus, 2005; Eberth et al., 2013; Cullen and Evans, 2016) and the near-palaeopolar deposits of Alaska (e.g. Fiorillo, 2018). The Wapiti Formation fossil record is also distinctive in two key respects. First, it comes exclusively from non-marineterrestrial (albeit potentially near-coastal, see Zubalich et al., 2021) strata representing about 8 million years of Campanian-Maastrichtian time, critically including the interval represented elsewhere in western North America by coastal and marine deposits of the Bearpaw Formation. Second, the Wapiti Formation in the study area around Grande Prairie was deposited at a palaeolatitude close to 60°N (Matthews et al., 2016), so its fossil assemblages are unusual in representings multiple a rare example of a high-latitude Late Cretaceous community, or rather multiple communities that succeeded one another over a period of across the 8 Ma timespan. Over the last decade, an increasing number of papers have described the diverse vertebrate fossil record of the Wapiti Formation, documenting some of the richest Cretaceous fossil sites in North America (Currie et al., 2008; Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Bell et al., 2014; Fanti et al., 2015; Bell and Currie, 2016; Holland et al., 2021), remarkably preserved individual specimens (Nydam et al., 2010; Bell et al., 2013a, 2014; Barbi et al., 2019), and diverse ichnofossil assemblages (Bell et al., 2013b; Fanti et al., 2013; Enriquez et al., 2020, 2021, 2022).

Here we introduce a new, highly informative multitaxic bonebed, referred to as the DC (Dinosaur-Chelonian (DC)) Bonebed, which displays a high taxonomic diversity of well-preserved, and-typically small ( $\leq 10$  cm); plant remains and vertebrate bones and teethremains. The bonebed is situated within Wapiti Formation Unit 3 (sensu Fanti and Catuneanu, 2009, 2010; hereafter WU3), a stratigraphic interval deposited in a lowland, terrestrial environment during the peak transgression of the Bearpaw Formation. We provide new chronostratigraphic and palynological data to further constrain the age of the Wapiti faunal assemblage from the DC Bonebed<sub>25</sub> and discuss its significance in the context of western Canada's Campanian fossil record. Finally, we compare the WU3 vertebrate fauna with other Late Cretaceous assemblages from Alberta and explore the implications for interpreting palaeoenvironmental influences on patterns of faunal evolution in northern Laramidia.

145 Institutional abbreviations: TMP, Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology, Drumheller, Alberta,

Canada; UALVP, University of Alberta Laboratory for Vertebrate Palaeontology, Edmonton,

147 Alberta, Canada.

#### 2. Geographic and Geological Setting

The diachronous and; complex; stratigraphic interval represented by the Bearpaw Formation across the northern U.S. (Montana) and western Canada (Alberta and Saskatchewan) encompasses a time interval period of approximately 3 Ma during the Campanian (~76—73 Ma). In Montana, the onset of transgressive conditions is documented at ~76 Ma in the contemporaneous deposits of the Woodhawk Member of the Judith River Formation, whereas the base of the Bearpaw Formation is dated at 75.2 Ma (Rogers, 1998; Rogers et al., 2016). In Alberta, the Bearpaw Formation represents a reference marine interval that accumulated between ~74.3–73.1 Ma (Eberth and Kamo, 2020; Zubalich et al., 2021; Figs. 1A, 2). It overlies the clastic deposits of the Belly River Group and underlies the Edmonton Group, both renowned for their rich and diverse fossil content/vertebrate

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Non Grassetto

Formattato: Inglese (Stati Uniti)

faunas (Russell and Chamney, 1967; Dodson, 1971, 1990; Currie and Koppelhus, 2005; Larson et al., 2010; Eberth et al., 2013; Cullen and Evans, 2016). The uppermost subunit of the Belly River Group is the Dinosaur Park Formation, whose upper exposures constitute the Lethbridge Coal Zone, which was deposited predominantly in poorly\_drained, swampy environments associated with the onset of the Bearpaw transgression (Eberth, 2005). The overlying Bearpaw Formation consists of lower and upper tongues, bounding the Strathmore Member of the Horseshoe Canyon Formation (Fig. 2). In central Alberta (i.e., Drumheller—Calgary area), the coal-rich, paralic Strathmore Member has been defined solely by subcrop geophysical (well-log) signatures as the stratigraphic interval confined by the Maximum Flooding Surfaces (MFS) of the lower and upper Bearpaw tongues (Eberth and Braman, 2012; Hathway, 2016; Zubalich et al., 2021). No exposures of the Strathmore Member have been documented or sampled at the time of writing, but recent studies suggest that deposits correlative with the Strathmore Member are represented in the WU3 beds exposed in the Grande Prairie region (Fanti and Catuneanu, 2010; Zubalich et al., 2021). Given the fact that the Strathmore Member has been traced using well-logs over much of central and western Alberta (Hathway, 2016; Zubalich et al., 2021), correlative deposits within the Wapiti Formation could provide crucial information in the future about the coastal and terrestrial fauna that existed during the Bearpaw gGap.

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

Immediately overlying the upper Bearpaw tongue, the Drumheller Member, which includes aggrading and prograding paralic and coal-rich deposits, marks the transition into the alluvial deposits of the HSCHC Formation. The Dinosaur Park and HSCHC formations have both been subjects of detailed stratigraphic and palaeontological studies focused on defining dinosaur assemblage zones (Ryan and Evans, 2005; Mallon et al., 2012; Eberth et al., 2013). However, the intervening Bearpaw gap represents a major interruption in the latest Cretaceous terrestrial fossil record, limiting our comprehension of biogeography, evolution, and ecology during a part of the Campanian elearly characterized by dynamic environmental and global change and, accordingly, rapid biological turnover. As this paper focuses primarily on the Alberta geological and

palaeontological records, the Bearpaw gap refers here to deposits overlying the Dinosaur Park Formation and underlying the Drumheller Member of the HCHSCHC Fm (see Rogers et al., 2016 and Zubalich et al., 2021 for discussion). Exposures of paralic and marine sediments from this interval are restricted to more southerly parts of the province and do not occur in any part of the Wapiti Formation. However, integrated subsurface, outcrop and palaeontological data indicate that the fine-grained, non-marineterrestrial deposits of WU3 are correlative with the Bearpaw Formation of central Alberta (Fanti and Catuneanu, 2010; Zubalich et al., 2021). Sedimentological and palaeontological data also indicate that WU3 was deposited in extensive lowland environments located near (i.e., within tens of kilometres of from) the palaeo-shoreline (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009, Fanti and Catuneanu, 2010; Schröder-Adams, 2014; Koppelhus and Fanti, 2019, Chiarenza et al.,

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo

#### 3. Geology and stratigraphic correlation

2019; Zubalich et al., 2021; this study).

Wapiti Formation Unit 3 (sensu Fanti and Catuneanu 2009, 2010) includes fine-grained floodplain deposits, bentonitic palaeosols, lenticular organic-rich mudstones, coal seams, and peat horizons deposited by or associated with high-sinuosity, aggrading channels. This unit and its fossil content have been were primarily discussed by Fanti and Miyashita (2009), who described the WU3 fauna of the Kleskun Hill locality. As-Wapiti Formation Unit 3 described, WU3 is characterized by low-energy environments, fine-grained sediments and high accumulation rates, resulting in a high n increased preservation potential for vertebrate remains (Haubold, 1989; Butler et al., 2010; Fanti and Miyashita, 2009), and in several conference abstracts focusing on The the newly discovered DC Bonebed which is located approximately 10 km southeast of Grande Prairie on the north bank of the Wapiti River (55°3'55"N, 118°41'25"W; Fig. 1), also It lies within pertains to WU3 and is described herein. WU3 is also characterized by low-energy environments, fine grained sediments and high accumulation rates, resulting in an increased preservation potential for vertebrate remains (Haubold, 1989; Butler et al., 2010; Fanti and Miyashita, 2009). The DC Bonebed provides further

insight into the sedimentology, facies analyses, and depositional architecture of WU3. Exposures along the north bank of the Wapiti River near the DC Bonebed consist of tabular mudstone and siltstone interbedded with minor channel sandstones and lenticular coal seams. The DC Bonebed occurs at the base of a single-storey, laterally isolated channel deposit exposed approximately transverse to the palaeo-flow direction (NE-SW; Fig. 3). The deposit contains point bars that are vertically stacked, slightly asymmetric, and succession-dominated, suggesting a low rate of channel migration/avulsion. The preservation of palaeochannel geometries permits discrimination of several stages of point bar aggradation; and recognition of correlative overbank and inner levee surfaces. The point bars are relatively thin, each being no thicker than< 40 cm, and lack fine-grained components but display slightly erosive bases with common clay\_chips and rip-up clasts. The sandstone of the channel deposit is organic-rich and contains rare leaf impressions, conifer cones, and unidentified seeds. Overall, wWe inferterpret that the deposits that include the DC Bonebed as having been laid down by an aggrading fluvial systeman aggrading fluvial system in a distal/backswamp floodplain laid down the deposits that include the DC Bonebed in a distal/backswamp floodplain. Sedimentological observations and facies associations indicate that the sediments accumulated in the vicinity of a low-energy river system. For this study, additional geological sections were measured along 18 km of the Wapiti River and integrated with each other and with those presented previously for Kleskun Hill (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009), Pipestone Creek (Fanti and Currie, 2007; Currie et al., 2008), and other exposures in the Grande Prairie area (Fanti, 2009, Fanti and Catuneanu 2009). The new composite section of WU3 in the Grande Prairie area documents the unit's major lithologies, facies distributions, and taxon occurrences. Mud-dominated facies, frequent bentonitic layers, well-developed coal seams and peat deposits are present, consistent with a water-saturated environment (see also Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Koppelhus and Fanti, 2019).

234235

236

211

212

213214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

3.1 U-Pb dating

Samples were processed from three bentonite beds, respectively located stratigraphically 11 m below (Horizon B Lower bentonite, HBL), 10 m below (Horizon B Top bentonite, HBT), and 25 m above (Highway 40 bentonite, HW40) the DC Bonebed. Zircon grains provided a weighted average age of 75.26±0.8 Ma for the HBL bentonite, 73.5±0.8 Ma for the HBT bentonite, and 72.4±0.7 Ma for the HW40 bentonite (see Suppl. Material). These new chronostratigraphic constraints for WU3 are crucial for correlating the fossil sites discussed in this paper with the wellsampled localities of central and southern Alberta (Fig. 2). Eberth and Kamo (2020) provided a high-precision chronostratigraphy of the Bearpaw and Horseshoe Canyon Formations based on U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS ages. They dated the base of the Bearpaw Formation in southern Alberta to  $74.4 \pm$ 0.1 Ma, and a bentonite situated 5.5 m above the base of the formation to  $74.308 \pm 0.031$  Ma. Similarly, Eberth et al. (2016) provided a  $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$  date of  $74.26 \pm 0.03$  Ma for the basalmost deposits of the Bearpaw Formation in central Alberta. Eberth and Kamo (2020) revised the age of the Dorothy Bentonite, which occurs near the base of the upper Bearpaw tongue in southern Alberta, to  $73.7 \pm 0.1$  Ma. This bentonite was used to mark the top of the Strathmore Member of the HSCHC Formation by Eberth and Braman (2012) and marks the transition from the Pseudoaquilapollenites parallelus \_--- Parviprojectus leucocephalus palynostratigraphic biozone to the Wodehouseia gracile-Mancicorpus glaber biozone (Braman, 2018; Eberth and Kamo, 2020 and below).

256 3.2 Palynology

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247248

249

250

251

252253

254

255

257

258

259

260

261

262

The palynological assemblage from the DC Bonebed includes abundant fern spores and pollen from conifers and angiosperms. Eighteen species of fern spore, including microspores from heterosporous aquatic ferns, are present in the DC Bonebed sample. In some cases, they are still encased in the microsporangia (massulae) and have characteristic anchor-shaped glochidia.

Fragmentary megaspores, including identifiable examples of *Ariadnesporites, Azolla* and *Ghoshispora*, are also present. Bisaccate conifer pollen grains are abundant but do not provide

meaningful chronostratigraphic information, as they represent morphotypes that have with long stratigraphic ranges. Approximately 25 species of angiosperm were identified (see Supplementary Material), many of which are represented by only a few specimens. A few of these species have relatively short stratigraphic ranges (Fig. 4). The angiosperm palynomorphs are mostly of the triprojectate type that is common in the Upper Cretaceous of North America, but their known temporal ranges (Braman 2013, 2018) vary considerably. Accuratipollis glomeratus has the shortest range, centered at about ~75 Ma in southern Alberta (Braman 2018) and falling entirely within the Aquilapollenites configuratus-Mancicorpus tripodiformis palyno-stratigraphic biozone, which extends from 75.5 to 74.5 Ma. However, this zonation is based on samples from southern Alberta, whereas very little is known about the palyno-stratigraphy of the Wapiti Formation. Other angiosperm pollen types from the DC Bonebed have longer ranges that suggest the DC Bonebed assemblage belongs to the Pseudoaquilapollenites parallelus\_—Parviprojectus leucocephalus palyno-stratigraphic biozone, which ranges from 74.5 to 73.7 Ma (Braman 2018; Fig. 4). These results is suggests that the range of Accuratipollis glomeratus might in fact be have a longer range than previously proposed, extending into the Pseudoaquilapollenites parallelus—Parviprojectus leucocephalus biozone.

263

264

265

266

267 268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

With regard to the depositional environment, the identified megaspores and microspores (Aridanesporites, Azolla, Ghoshispora) are characteristic of fresh, quiet water environments (Srivastava and Braman, 2013). Zygospores of presumed freshwater algae (Botryococcus, Lecaniella, Pediastrum and Savitrina) which that occupy similar habitats are also relatively common in the sample. Taken together, the data suggest that shallow, marginal lacustrine settings; or other quiet water environments were prevalent near the channel belt in which the DC Bonebed was deposited (Nagy,1966; Yi 1997; Head 1992; Worobiec 2014).

The palynological evidence therefore corroborates the radiometric data presented above, and reinforcinges the conclusion that WU3 and its non-marineterrestrial vertebrate fauna are time-equivalent to the Bearpaw Formation of southern Alberta, particularly and most likely to

the Strathmore Member (HSCHC Formation) and the upper tongue of the Bearpaw Formation in central Alberta.

Fossil vertebrate localities representing WU3 in the Grande Prairie region include the

#### 4. Vertebrate Palaeontology

\$10

\$12

\$14

Kleskun Hill and DC Bonebed microfossil localities, the Spring Creek lambeosaurine Bonebed (Holland et al., 2021), and, potentially, the well-known Pipestone Creek *Pachyrhinosaurus*Bonebed (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Nydam et al., 2010; Currie et al., 2008; Bell and Currie, 2016; Cocks et al., 2020; this paper). Regarding the age of the latter, Eberth (in Currie et al. 2008) reported an age of 73.27±0.25 Mya, which would place it in the upper part of WU3; however, this age should be regarded as uncertain with caution, as they were it was based on few crystals and proper methodological informationdetails were -waserenot -never-formally published (David A. Eberth *pers. comm. to F.F.*). The Pipestone Creek Bonebed preserves what appear to be the remains of a herd of the centrosaurine ceratopsid dinosaur *Pachyrhinosaurus lakustai* interspersed with much smaller numbers of other fossils (Currie et al. 2008). The depositional setting is consistent with the overlying, high-energy fluvial deposits of Wapiti Unit 4, which is dominated by a mixture of isolated dinosaur skeletons and massive, monospecific bonebeds (e.g., Bell et al., 2014; Fanti et al. 2015), and from which no highly productive microsites are so far known. Therefore, pending more accurate dating of this site, we refer the Pipestone Creek bonebed is here referred to the basalmost deposits of Wapiti Formation Unit 4 (see also Cocks et al., 2020).

A complete full-systematic review of the WU3 vertebrate assemblageDC Bbonebed

assemblage, with justifications for our taxonomic identifications, can be found in the

Supplementary Material, and only the most salient aspects of the assemblage are considered here.

The two-WU3 microsites, namely namely Kleskun Hill and the new DC Bonebed locality, are both highly informative. Kleskun Hill is a well-known has long been known as a source of a of the

remains of a diverse terrestrial and freshwater aquatic fossils (include freshwater aquatic taxa) and to

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo

some extent freshwater palaeocommunity, notably containing including fish, squamates, Cehampsosauruids, large and small theropods, hadrosaurids (including both neonate and adult specimens), ceratopsids, possible pachycephalosaurids, thescelosaurids, and mammals, and a possible pachycephalosaurid (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Nydam et al., 2010; Fox and Scott, 2010). However, the DC Bonebed provides important new complementary information regarding the diversity of the WU3 vertebrate assemblage.

\$15

316

\$17

\$18

319

320

321

\$22

\$23

\$24

\$25

\$26

\$27

\$28

\$29

\$30

331

332

333

334

335

336337

338

339 340 A total of 364 identifiable (below the level of Gnathostomata) vertebrate specimens were recovered from the DC Bonebed during the years 2014—2019 field seasons. Specimens described in this paper were collected from different spots within the accretionalry sequence of an individual channel (Fig. 3). One specimen is a partly articulated skeleton, but the others represent small to medium-sized individual bones and teeth, primarily collected from a restricted, mud-dominated, area. Specimens discussed in this paper range in size from 2.,4 to 288 mm<sub>0</sub>, although 92.5% of all elements have greatest dimensionsmeasure withinbetween 25 and 60 mm in their greatest dimension, and 72% measureare <50 mm: therefore, the DC Bonebed is considered as microfossil-dominated bonebed (gensu Behrensmeyer, 2007; Eberth et al., 2007; gee SI2). Abiotic processes were most likely responsible for the pervasive disarticulation and size-based sorting observed at the DC Bonebed.

Fish account for some 25.8% of the specimens in the sample, whereas the remainder represent are tetrapods, including lissamphibians (0.5% of the total), turtles (17.6%), Champsosaurus (6.6%), squamates (0.5%), eusuchian crocodyliforms (0.8%), dinosaurs (33.5%), and mammals (0.5%). The remaining specimens (14.32%) in the available sample could be referred only tonot be classified beyond indeterminate Archosauria, Reptilia, or Tetrapoda.

Lepisosteid (gar) remains (Fig. 5H\_J) are the most abundant fish elements at the DC Bonebed, with acipenserid (sturgeon) shoulder girdle bones and osteoderms (Fig. 5M) as a distant second. Less abundant still are the distinctive hexagonal teeth (Fig. 5E<sub>2</sub>-F) and centra (Fig. 5C<sub>2</sub>-D) of the rhinobatoid (guitarfish) *Myledaphus bipartitus*. A number of additional osteichthyan skeletal

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo

elements not referable to Lepisosteidae or Acipenseridae are also present, notably including a premaxilla of the aspidorhynchid *Belonostomus* (Fig. 5N\_O), three amiid centra (Fig. 5A, B), a single scale of the Holostean 'A' morphotype known from southern Alberta (Fig. 5K\_L), and a dentary referable to the osteoglossomorph *Coriops* (Fig. 5G).

\$42

\$43

\$46

\$52

\$64

\$65

\$66

Among tetrapods, the only amphibian elements from the DC Bonebed are two vertebrae (Fig. 6H) referable to the scapherpetontid salamander *Hedronchus* (= *Scapherpeton*; *see* Dubois and Frétey, 2019). Turtles are remarkably abundant and diverse at the DC Bonebed, with three major clades represented in the sample (Fig. 6A–E). Nearly half of the turtle elements that can be identified below the level of Testudines pertain to Trionychidae, and many of these specimens are shell fragments referable to *Aspideretoides foveatus* on the basis of their ornamentation. A partial trionychid skull from the bonebed is reminiscent of the large trionychid *Axestemys* from the Upper Cretaceous and Palæocene of western North America (Vitek, 2012; Joyce et al., 2019). Baenid turtle elements are also relatively abundant, and most—including a nearly complete skull—appear referable to *Plesiobaena antiqua*, a species known from the Campanian of Montana and southern Alberta (Brinkman, 2003; Lyson and Joyce, 2009). Chelydridae are represented by several partial shell elements.

Squamates are represented by two large elements, namely a monstersaurian right frontal and an astragalocalcaneum of indeterminate affinities (Fig. 6G). Specimens of the choristodere *Champsosaurus* are relatively common at the site and include isolated cranial and postcranial elements (Fig. 6F) that are indeterminate at the specific level. Crocodyliforms are extremely rare in the Wapiti Formation, although a single osteoderm has been previously reported from the Pipestone Creek Bonebed (Currie et al., 2008). The DC Bonebed sample includes three small eusuchian teeth (Fig. 6I).

Among Oterrestrial the dinosaurian taxa represented in the DC Bonebed, the vast majority of elements are dinosaurian, andmost are from ornithischians specimens, which elements predominate overwhelmingly in the DC Bonebed over theropod ones. Some 12 thescelosaurid

elements, representing at least two individuals, are known from the DC Bonebed (Fig. 7D). Unexpectedly, a partial skeleton of an immature lambeosaurine hadrosaurid (UALVP 57103) was collected from a sandstone (pointbar) deposit almost immediately adjacent, in the upstream direction, to the DC Bonebed proper. The taxonomy of this specimen and its implications will be detailed elsewhere. Indeterminate hadrosaurid material accounts for nearly half of all elements from the bonebed that can be identified below the level of Dinosauria (Fig. 7F, G). The bonebed has yielded a single ankylosaurid tooth (Fig. 7A). Non-avian theropods are represented by four tyrannosaurid teeth (Fig. 7C), several dromaeosaurid bones (Fig. 7E), an ornithomimid metacarpal and pubis (Fig. 7H), a troodontid pedal phalanx (Fig. 7B), and three small caenagnathid elements, including an ilium (Fig. 7I), a pubis, and a pair of fused dentaries that we refer to Caenagnathidae cf. *Chirostenotes*. The only avian specimen is the tarsometatarsus of an undoubtedly aquatic hesperornithiform.

\$72

\$83

\$88

\$89

The only mammalian specimens from the DC Bonebed are a stagodontid marsupial premolar referable to *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni*, and a partial, indeterminate therian left mandible with the tooth crowns broken away.

### 5. Ecological implicationsy and significance of the WU3 vertebrate assemblage

At present, the most ecologically informative single samples of the WU3 vertebrate fauna are is the diverse assemblage from the DC Bonebed. This is supplemented by and the smaller but similarly diverse sample from Kleskun Hill (also WU3; Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Nydam et al., 2010; Fox and Scott, 2010). Given that the WU3 sample corresponds stratigraphically to the Bearpaw gap—being younger than the Belly River assemblages of the Oldman and Dinosaur Park formations but older than the 'Edmontonian' assemblages of the HSCHC Formation (excluding the subsurface Strathmore Member)—comparisons to both the Belly River and Edmontonian southern faunas are essential for understanding patterns of temporal and palaeolatitudinal variation in Alberta's Late Cretaceous terrestrial vertebrate record. Microvertebrate samples are particularly

significant in this regard, because such samples are informative regarding not onlyproviding insights intoon the local (alpha)total diversity of the vertebrates fauna and unique knowledge of but also the relative abundances of specimens of different vertebrate groups. Microvertebrates from the Oldman, Dinosaur Park, and HSCHC formations have received considerable research attention (Brinkman, 1990; Gao and Fox, 1996; Beavan and Russell, 1999; Peng et al., 2001; Larson et al., 2010; Cullen and Evans, 2016; Oreska and Carrano, 2018), so extensive comparisons to the DC Bonebed and Kleskun Hill microsamples are possible.

\$93

394

395

\$96

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408 409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

The Oldman Formation underlies the Dinosaur Park Formation in the Dinosaur Provincial Park area, but upper Oldman strata exposed in the vicinity of Manyberries in southeastern Alberta appear to have been deposited contemporaneously with the Dinosaur Park Formation of Dinosaur Provincial Park, albeit in a more inland environment (Eberth and Hamblin, 1993; Cullen and Evans, 2016; Fanti, pers. obs.). Furthermore, the uppermost part of the Dinosaur Park Formation, called the Lethbridge Coal Zone, shows a strong marine influence and is faunally and lithologically distinct from the main portion of the formation, which is predominantly fluvial in origin (Brinkman, 1990; Beavan and Russell, 1999; Cullen and Evans, 2016). We interpret the voluminous data compiled by Cullen and Evans (2016) as defining three microsamples pertinent to our study: one from the upper Oldman strata in the Manyberries area (UO), one from the main, fluvial component of the Dinosaur Park Formation (DP), and one from the Lethbridge Coal Zone (LC). The three microsamples are referred to here as the UO, DP and LC microsamples, respectively. Similarly, Larson et al. (2010) provided comprehensive data on a microsamples from the Morrin and Tolman members of the HSCHC Formation, which we refer to here as the Morrin-Tolman (MT) microsample, and Fanti and Miyashita (2009) on a microsample from Kleskun Hill. These various samples have undoubtedly been influenced by different sets of taphonomic, environmental and ecological biases. For example, much of the **HSCMT** microsample described by Larson et al. (2010) comes from a bonebed

dominated by the tyrannosaurid Albertosaurus, guaranteeing high abundance of tyrannosaurid teeth.

Nevertheless, comparisons among them are informative when undertaken with due caution.

Formattato: Colore carattere: Blu

Striking faunal differences exist between the contemporaneous DC Bonebed and Kleskun Hill microsamples (Fig. 8A). As both sites are from WU3 and only ~23 km apart, and must reflect an environmental and/or taphonomic discrepancy rather than it seems unlikely that these differences are the results of large-scale biogeographic variation or faunal change over time (Fig. 8A). Instead, the differences probably lie inreflect environmental, taphonomic, and/or sampling discrepancies.

The DC Bonebed sample is proportionally much richer in aquatic to semi-aquatic taxa, namely fish, turtles, crocodyliforms and Cehampsosaurus, and less rich in presumably terrestrial lizards and dinosaurs (Fig. 8B). Taken together, the eomplementary contemporaneous Kleskun Hill and DC

Bonebed assemblages give a broad, if in most respects taxonomically impreciseambiguous, picture of the aquatic and terrestrial taxa that existed in northern Alberta during the late Campanian.

Based on the DC Bonebed, the fish component of the WU3 assemblage was dominated by lepisosteids, acipenserids, and *Myledaphus*, in descending order of abundance. The *Myledaphus* species present, *M. bipartitus*, occurs in the Dinosaur Park Formation (Neuman and Brinkman, 2005) but is distinct in dental ornamentation from the *Myledaphus* teeth reported by Larson et al. (2010) in the HSCHC-Morrin and Tolman members (HSCHC Fm) microsample. Although determining numbers of individuals based solely on isolated scales, bones and/or teeth is problematic (based solely on isolated scales and/or teeth), the prominence of acipenserid specimens within the fish fauna is a highly unusual feature of the DC Bonebed. It is notable that acipenseriforms (considered as a whole) did not outnumber *Myledaphus* (considered together with the similar *Pseudomyledaphus*, which does not occur at the DC Bonebed) at a single one of the dozens of Upper Cretaceous microsites in southern Alberta included in the analysis of Cullen and Evans (2016). Another peculiarity of the the DC Bonebed is the near-total absence from the DC Bonebed of scales attributable to 'Holostean A', which are overwhelmingly abundant in the HSC Morrin – Tolman members of the Horseshoe Canyon Formation microsample and intermediate in abundance between *Myledaphus/Pseudomyledaphus* and lepisosteids in the DP and UO

microsamples. 'Holostean A' is also the most abundant identifiable fish taxon in the small aquatic

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo

vertebrate component of the sample from Kleskun Hill (refs?). Lepisosteids are somewhat less abundant as a proportion of the fish fauna in the DP and UO microsamples than at the DC Bonebed, and are absent from the HSCMT microsample, although they do occur in the HSCMC Formation (Quinney, 2011). *Myledaphus*, by contrast, is almost twice as abundant in the DP microsample as at the DC Bonebed, but rare in the UO microsample and especially the HSCMT microsample. *Myledaphus* is, however, abundant in the marine-influenced LC microsample, accounting for about 45% of all identifiable fish specimens. The LC microsample is also rich in other chondrichthyan material, as well as in identifiable teleost elements. Chondrichthyan taxa other than *Myledaphus* are minimally represented in the DP, HSCMT and UO microsamples, but teleosts are well represented in all three, and in the UO microsamples, as they are at the DC Bonebed. At the Phil's Knob (PK) microsite, situated within the Foremost Formation that underlies the Oldman Formation in the Belly River Group, Lepisosteidae accounts for nearly half of all fish material recovered, and *Pseudomyledaphus* for nearly a third, while Acipenseriformes and 'Holostean A' are absent (Cullen et al., 2016).

61

70

Turtles and Champsosaurus make up a far higher percentage of the DC Bonebed sample, relative to fish, than inof any of the UO, DP, LC and HSCMT southern Alberta-microsamples, whereas lissamphibians make up a much lower percentage (Fig. 8A). The relative abundance of lissamphibians is particularly high in the UO microsample, with lissamphibians accounting for about 71% of aquatic vertebrates at a UO site designed 'BMC' by Cullen and Evans (2016). The strong numerical dominance of Champsosaurus elements over crocodyliform elements at the DC Bonebed is reversed opposite to the conditionat observed in the DP and UO microsamples, despite in both of which crocodyliform specimens are being relatively sparse in general but still more abundant than those of Champsosaurus. The HSCMT microsample contains a fewsome

Champsosaurus elements and but no crocodyliforms material at all, although crocodyliforms are known from other parts of the HSCHC Formation (Wu et al., 1996; Quinney, 2011; Wu and

Brinkman, 2015). The DC Bonebed turtle assemblage resembles the UO and DP microsamples in that baenid elements account for approximately one third of all identifiable turtle specimens, a similarity reinforced by the presence of the baenid Plesiobaena antiqua at the DC Bonebed and in the Dinosaur Park and Oldman formations (Brinkman, 2003). Trionychids are substantially more abundant than baenids at the DC Bonebed in the UO microsample, and especially in the DP microsample. Like Plesiobaena antiqua, the trionychid Aspideretoides foveatus is shared among the DC Bonebed and the Dinosaur Park and Oldman formations (Brinkman, 2003). However, mMaterial referred to Aspideretoides sp., and potentially representing A. foveatus, is known from the lower part of the HSCHC Formation, as part of a relatively diverse turtle assemblage that includes chelydrids but lacks baenids (Brinkman and Eberth, 2006). A trionychid cf. Axestemys from the DC Bonebed is even less informative, given the wide stratigraphic distribution of Axestemys itself (Vitek et al., 2012). However, it should be noted that the DC turtle specimens include the northernmost examples of P. baena, A. foveatus, and any Axestemys-like form. The few turtle elements in the **HSCMT** microsample are all referable to Chelydridae. Chelydrids are relatively uncommon at the DC Bonebed and in the DP microsample, but more abundant in the UO microsample. The DC Bonebed is missing some additional turtle taxa known from southern Alberta, including the nanhsiungchelyid Basilemys, the baenid Boremys, and the large aquatic form Adocus (Brinkman, 2003; Brinkman and Eberth, 2006), but these are comparatively rare taxa whose absence from the DC Bonebed might be an artifact of small sample size. The upper Maastrichtian Scollard Formation notably contains a high diversity of turtles, including but not limited to baenids, trionychids and chelydrids (Brinkman and Eberth, 2006). At Phil's Knobthe PK site, turtles and Champsosaurus make up about the same respective proportions of the aquatic vertebrate sample as at the DC Bonebed, but at Phil's KnobPK crocodyliforms are even more abundant than Champsosaurus, and the most abundant turtle taxon is the helochelydrid Naomichelys.

Combining information from the DC Bonebed and Kleskun Hill provides some valuable

insights into the terrestrial component of the WU3 fauna. The most diagnostic mammal specimen so

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

Commentato [CS1]: Reviewer 2 complained about this, but the Aspideretoides material is reported in older papers that just say it's from "Unit 1", so we don't have any easy way of determining whether it's from the Horsethief Member or the Drumheller Member. We might want to note this in our response letter.

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Non Corsivo

far reported from WU3 is the *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni* tooth from the DC Bonebed. *Eodelphis* browni proper has previously been documented in the Oldman and Dinosaur Park formations (Fox and Naylor, 2006; Scott and Fox, 2015, Brannick and Wilson, 2020), but not in the HSCHC Formation. Two mammal teeth, representing a multituberculate and a pediomyid marsupial, werehave been reported from Kleskun Hill (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Fox and Scott, 2010), but these are less useful in establishing faunal correlations.

497

498

499

500

\$01

502

503

504

505

\$06

\$07

508

509

510

511

512513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

Squamates from Kleskun Hill include the only known specimen of the scincoid Kleskunsaurus grandeprairiensis, and multiple specimens of the chamopsiid Socognathus unicuspis. (Nydam et al., 2010). While Kleskunsaurus grandeprairiensis may be endemieis currently uniqueknown only from to WU3, Socognathus unicuspis is known from occurs in the Dinosaur Park and Oldman Formations, and possibly also infrom the upper Maastrichtian Hell Creek Formation of Montana (Gao and Fox, 1996; Nydam, 2013). A dentary from Kleskun Hill referred to Chamops cf. C. segnis by Sternberg (1951) has the historical distinction of being the first vertebrate fossil from the Wapiti Formation to be formally described, but displays some clear differences from the Lancian taxon C. segnis (Gao and Fox, 1996; Nydam, 2013), and its identification must be considered uncertain pending a re-evaluation of the specimen. The large monstersaur frontal from the DC Bonebed represents the northernmost definitive record of Monstersauria in the Upper Cretaceous of western North America and provides an additional point of faunal similarity to the Oldman and Dinosaur Park formations, both of which have yielded multiple monstersaur taxa (Gao and Fox, 1996; Nydam, 2013). Currie et al. (2008: p. 11) briefly reported "a varanid cf. Palaeosaniwa [sic] vertebra" from the Pipestone Creek Bonebed, which may be a second monstersaur from the Wapiti Formation given that the large lizard Palaeosaniwa canadensis from the Dinosaur Park Formation and the Lance Formation of Wyoming (Estes, 1964; Gao and Fox, 1996; Nydam, 2013) is now considered a monstersaur (Yi and Norell, 2013). The astragalocalcaneum from the DC Bonebed is uninformative but could come from the same taxon as the frontal, judging by its large size.

523 The relative abundance of hadrosaurid bones and teeth at the DC Bonebed is matched in the 524 Kleskun Hill microsample, in which hadrosaurid specimens also make up nearly half of all dinosaur elements identifiable below the level of Dinosauria, but is considerably exceeded in the DP and UO 525 microsamples, in which hadrosaurids account for a large $\underline{\underline{r}}$  majority of identifiable dinosaur \$26 \$27 specimens. At two particularly dinosaur-rich UO sites, designated 'PLS' and 'RDS' by Cullen and \$28 Evans (2016), more than 70% of all dinosaur specimens recovered represent hadrosaurids. \$29 Hadrosaurids are less proportionally abundant in the HSCMT microsample than at the DC Bonebed, \$30 presumably at least to some extent because because the dinosaur component of the former was \$31 collected in large part from an Albertosaurus bonebed (Larson et al., 2010), which and is rich in \$32 tyrannosaurid teeth as a result. However, theropods are even more prevalent as a percentage of the \$33 total fauna at Kleskun Hill than in the HSCMT microsample, despite the provenance of the latter. 534 The rarity of ceratopsid elements from the DC Bonebed is unusual, given the abundance of \$35 ceratopsids in Wapiti Formation Unit 4 (Currie et al., 2008; Fanti et al., 2015). Ceratopsids are 536 represented in the Kleskun Hill microsample, but only by a few teeth, whereas ceratopsid elements \$37 constitute several percent of the identifiable dinosaur elements in the DP, UO and HSCMT 538 microsamples. Still more unusual is the DC Bonebed's extremely high abundance of 539 thescelosaurids. The ratio of thescelosaurid elements to hadrosaurid elements at the DC Bonebed is 540 about 0.29, whereas the ratio of "hypsilophodont" (presumably including thescelosaurid) elements 541 to hadrosaurid elements does not exceed 0.06 at any of the individual Dinosaur Park or upper 542 Oldman localities considered by Cullen and Evans (2016). Thescelosaurids are also poorly \$43 represented in the Kleskun Hill and HSCMT microsamples, but Brown and Druckenmiller (2011) 544 referred to Thescelosaurinae a total of eight teeth from two sites of early Maastrichtian age in the 545 Prince Creek Formation of Alaska. The rarity of ankylosaurid elements at the DC Bonebed is shared \$46 with the Kleskun Hill, UO, and HSCMT microsamples, but ankylosaurid remains are more 547 abundant in the DP microsample, and more abundant at the PLS site than in the UO microsample as \$48 a whole. The absence of identifiable pachycephalosaurid specimens from the DC Bonebed is

consistent with the rarity of this group in general, although a <u>singleone</u> pachycephalosaurid tooth is knownwas interpreted from Kleskun Hill has been referred to Pachycephalosauridae (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009).

\$49

\$50

551

\$52

\$53

554

555

556

557

558

\$59

560

\$61

562 563

\$64

\$65

\$66

567

568

569

\$70

\$71

\$72

\$73

574

The only theropod element from the DC Bonebed that is potentially-identifiable at the specifica low taxonomic level is the small fused pair of dentaries referred to Caenagnathidae cf. Chirostenotes. This bone is similar in some important respects to specimens of Chirostenotes pergracilis from the Dinosaur Park Formation of southern Alberta (Longrich et al., 2013; Funston and Currie, 2020), but is unusual enough in size and morphology that referral to Chirostenotes pergracilis seems unwarranted (see Supplementary Material). The caenagnathid ilium and pubis from the DC Bonebed are less diagnostic but could potentially belong to the same skeleton as the fused dentaries given their small size. Even-Ffinding evidence of even a single individual caenagnathid among the 122 dinosaur bones and teeth available from the DC Bonebed is remarkable, however, given the rarity of caenagnathids at other sites, given that not a singleone caenagnathide bones was foundreported to occur in -tThe DP, Kleskun Hill, HSCMT, and UO microsamples, in fact, have not been reported to contain caenagnathid material at all. While the rarity of caenagnathids in the Alberta Cretaceous record may be due in part to the edentulous condition of this group, given the large contribution of shed tooth crowns to theropod representation at microsites, their unmistakable presence in the DC Bonebed sample is nevertheless striking.

The DC Bonebed is fairly similar to the DP and UO microsamples in containing small numbers of dromaeosaurid and tyrannosaurid elements, although tyrannosaurid teeth are more abundant in the Kleskun Hill microsample and especially in the HSCMT microsamples. Curiously, dromaeosaurids are about twice as abundant, as a percentage of all dinosaurian specimens recovered, at the PLS and RDS sites as they are in the total UO microsample, showing that representation of this group can be highly variable across localities. The large number of tyrannosaurid teeth in the HSCMT microsample again reflects, at least in large part, the fact that much of this sample comes from an Albertosaurus bonebed (Larson et al., 2010). The Kleskun and

HSCMT microsamples also contain rare ornithomimid elements, tallying with the occurrence of only two ornithomimid elements at the DC Bonebed. Similarly, the presence of only a single troodontid phalanx in the DC Bonebed is consistent with the rarity of troodontids in the southern Alberta microsamples, although teeth attributed to Troodon by Fanti and Miyashita (2009) account for about 14% of the dinosaur elements in the microsample from Kleskun Hill. The absence from the DC Bonebed of the widespread but typically rare theropod tooth morphotypes designated Paronychodon and Richardoestesia (both of which have been reported from Kleskun Hill) may be a result of small sample size. Following the reidentification of Dinosaur Park Formation specimens of another tooth-based taxon, Zapsalis, as premaxillary teeth of the velociraptorine Saurornitholestes (Currie and Evans, 2020), the single tooth reported from Kleskun Hill of the Zapsalis-like taxon Paronychodon (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009) probably represents a premaxillary tooth of a Saurornitholestes-like velociraptorine. The hesperornithiform element from the DC Bonebed is an unusual record in that hesperornithiforms are not documented in the southern Alberta microsamples considered in this study, and have not been found at Kleskun Hill. However, a small number of hesperornithiform bones have been collected from the uppermost Dinosaur Park Formation of Saskatchewan, at the marine-influenced Saskatchewan Landing site (Gilbert et al., 2018). As a whole, and At least at face value, the WU3 vertebrate fauna more closely resembles more closely that of the Dinosaur Park Formation, and to a large extent also that of the Oldman Formation, more closely than that of the HSCMT Formation. The WU3 fauna also displays some

\$75

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

\$91

\$92

593

594

595

596

597

\$98

599

600

more closely that of the Dinosaur Park Formation, and to a large extent also that of the Oldman Formation, more closely than that of the HSCMT Formation. The WU3 fauna also displays some unusual features that may reflect high northern palaeolatitude, smaller-scale palaeoenvironmental factors, or perhaps in some cases limited sampling. The strongest faunal links to the Dinosaur Park and Oldman formations are provided by the presence at the DC Bonebed of the turtle *Plesiobaena antiqua*, and the presence at Kleskun Hill of the lizard *Socognathus unicuspis*. Corroborating evidence includes the occurrence at the DC Bonebed of the turtle *Aspideretoides foveatus*, an indeterminate monstersaur, and specimens comparable to the theropod *Chirostenotes pergracilis* and the marsupial *Eodelphis browni*, although these faunal correlations are less individually

persuasive because they are less certain, taxonomically precise, and/or distinctive. Unusual features of the WU3 assemblage, relative to Late Cretaceous faunas from southern Alberta, include the presence of the endemic squamate *Kleskunsaurus grandeprairiensis*, the surprisingly high relative abundance of acipenserids, turtles, *Champsosaurus*, thescelosaurids, *Troodon*, and perhaps lepisosteids and caenagnathids, and the unusual rarity of 'Holostean A' and lissamphibians. The WU3 fauna appears slightly closer to that of the Dinosaur Park Formation than that of the upper Oldman Formation in the relatively high abundance of *Myledaphus* and in containing many more trionychids than chelydrids, but closer to that of the upper Oldman Formation in the low abundance of ankylosaurids. Only twoa-single general characteristics of the WU3 fauna, namely the rarity of crocodyliforms and the high abundance of theropods, represents even an arguable points of distinctive similarity to the vertebrate assemblage of the HSCHC Formation. Moreover, the richness of theropods in the HSCMT microsample of Larson et al. (2010) is clearly due in part to the origin of much of that sample in an *Albertosaurus* bonebed, and is unlikely to be truly representative even of the Morrin and Tolman members of the HSCHC Formation.

One major implication of these findings is that the WU3 vertebrate fauna has a partially Belly River character, containing a number of taxa that existed in southern Alberta prior to the Bearpaw gap but are not represented in the HSCHC Formation. These distinctive survivors, including *Plesiobaena antiqua*, *Socognathus unicuspis*, and possibly *Chirostenotes pergracilis*, *Eodelphis browni* and *Aspideretoides foveatus*, presumably existed throughout Bearpaw times in continental ecosystems that are poorly documented in the Alberta fossil record. At least in most cases, their absence from the HSCHC Formation may reflect evolutionary turnover around the end of the Campanian.

Nevertheless, the WU3 assemblage cannot simply be regarded as a northerly, late-surviving Belly River fauna, given the presence of a number of peculiarities. Only one <u>potentially</u> endemic species, the scincoid *Kleskunsaurus grandeprairiensis*, has so far been documented in WU3 (Nydam et al., 2010), but the WU3 assemblage nevertheless has some unusual features pertaining to

the abundance of certain groups and the rarity of others. Many of these patterns lack any obvious explanation, but the abundance of Myledaphus and the predominance of trionychids over chelydrids may reflect the coastal rather than inland character of the fauna given that they are shared with the Dinosaur Park microsample but not with the upper Oldman microsample. The single hesperornithiform element from the DC Bonebed is another likely indicator of coastal proximity, especially given the presence of hesperornithiforms at Saskatchewan Landing (Gilbert et al., 2018). By contrast, the fact that *Champsosaurus* elements predominate over crocodyliform ones at the DC Bonebed—unlike in both the DP and UO microsamples—may be due to latitude. Champsosaurus is consistently present in the Campanian of southern Alberta and Montana, but absent from contemporaneous faunas in Utah, Texas, and Mexico (Larson et al., 2010). A neochoristodere possibly referable to Champsosaurus is even known from the Turonian—Coniacian deposits on of Axel Heiberg Island in the Canadian Arctic, occurring with turtles, amiids, lepisosteids, and teleosts (Tarduno et al., 1998; Friedman et al., 2003; Vandermark et al., 2007). Crocodylians have previously been documented in Campanian strata from Mexico to southern Alberta (Markwick 1998; Gates et al., 2010; Lucas et al., 2016; Rivera-Sylva et al., 2019), but Amiot et al. (2004) suggested the southern Alberta occurrence was near the northern limit of their range, defined by their inability to tolerate climates in which the mean temperature of the coldest month averaged below 5-10°C. The presence of a small number of crocodyliform elements at the DC Bonebed alongside a much larger quantity of Champsosaurus material is consistent with the seemingly greater ability of Champsosaurus to thrive in high-latitude environments. However, Champsosaurus is also notably abundant in the vertebrate assemblage from Saskatchewan Landing, in which crocodyliforms do not occur (Gilbert et al., 2018). Acipenserids resemble champsosaurids in having a predominantly high-latitude record, with reported Campanian and Maastrichtian occurrences extending from the Northwest Territories to northern New Mexico (Hilton and Grande, 2006; Vavrek et al., 2014). Furthermore, they may have spread into the southern part of this range

only as temperatures decreased towards the end of the Cretaceous (Vavrek et al., 2014).

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634 635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

Accordingly, the numerous acipenserid elements recovered from the DC Bonebed may be another indication of the high-latitude character of the WU3 fauna.

The high abundance and moderately high diversity of turtles in WU3 suggests that they, like *Champsosaurus*, may have been resilient to high-latitude conditions despite being ectotherms.

Brinkman and Eberth (2006) argued based on the Upper Cretaceous Alberta record for a link between turtle diversity and palaeotemperature, warmer conditions being conducive to greater species richness. Consistent with this hypothesis is the fact that the HSCMT microsample, which is from the part of the HSCHC Formation thought to have been deposited under cool conditions (Larson et al., 2010), contains only one turtle taxon. Cool temperatures may also explain why crocodyliform material is absent from the HSCMT microsample while *Champsosaurus* is present, albeit in small numbers. Wapiti Formation Unit 3 may have been laid down in a warmer environment despite the high palaeolatitude, given its greater turtle diversity and the presence of a few crocodyliform elements. The abundance of *Myledaphus* at the DC Bonebed arguably supports this interpretation, as extant rhinobatoids have circumtropical to warm temperate distributions (Wilson et al., 2013).

In the context of Late Cretaceous Albertan vertebrate communities, the WU3 assemblage suggests that evolutionary turnover, palaeolatitude, and proximity to the coastline of the Western Interior Seaway were all important influences on faunal composition (Fig. 9). However, knowledge of the assemblage rests overwhelmingly on specimens recovered from Kleskun Hill and the DC Bonebed, and broader sampling will be needed to build a more complete picture of the vertebrate fauna.

To summarise, the DC Bonebed is the most ecologically informative sample of the WU3

vertebrate fauna and, from the perspective of non-marine fauna, from the entirety of, along with the Kleskun Hill locality, represent the only terrestrial fauna from the Bearpaw gap in western North

America. The complementary and contemporaneous faunas from the DC Bonebed and Kelskun Hill (both WU3) show demonstrable affinities with the Belly River fauna of southern Alberta, including

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: (Predefinito) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formattato:** Rientro: Prima riga: 1,25 cm, Interlinea: doppia

**Formattato:** Tipo di carattere: (Predefinito) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formattato:** Tipo di carattere: (Predefinito) Times New Roman, 12 pt

the shared presence of the baenid *Plesiobaena antiqua*, the trionychid *Aspideretoides foveatus*, and the chamopsiid *Socognathus unicuspis*. The identification of a monstersaur, *Eodelphis* cf. *E. browni* and cf. *Chirostenotes* from the DC Bonebed provides additional potential links with the Belly River fauna. At the same time, the WU3 fauna differs from both the Belly River and Edmonton groups in the unusual abundance of acipenseriforms, near absence of 'Holostean A', and the abundance of turtles and *Champsosaurus*. The scincoid *Kleskunsaurus grandeprairiensis* remains the only taxon potentially endemic to WU3. The implication is that at least some 'typical' Belly River Group taxa (such as *Plesiobaena antiqua*, *Socognathus unicuspis*, and possibly *Chirostenotes pergracilis*. *Eodelphis browni* and *Aspideretoides foveatus*) survived throughout Bearpaw times by following suitable tracking appropriate habitats to the north in the Grande Prairie region. Given the number offits evident peculiarities, however, the WU3 fauna is not simply a northerly, late-surviving Belly River fauna, but one that wasmust have also been influenced also by the localized effects of climate and latitude, as well as by faunal turnover.

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: (Predefinito) Times New Roman, 12 pt

**Formattato:** Tipo di carattere: (Predefinito) Times New Roman, 12 pt

### 6. Palaeoenvironmental implications

Historically considered as representing a single, major marine transgressive event, the Bearpaw Formation in fact comprises two marine tongues divided by the coal-dominated deposits of the Strathmore Member of the HSCHC Formation, and thus documents multiple sea level changes (Eberth and Braman, 2012; Hathway, 2016; Zubalich et al., 2021). Furthermore, the Drumheller Marine Tongue in the upper part of the HSCHC Formation represents an additional, minor incursion of the Western Interior Seaway (Straight and Eberth, 2002). To the north and west of the main areas of Bearpaw deposition in central and southern Alberta, the Bearpaw shale is correlates updip gradually replaced with by time-equivalent coastal and terrestrial deposits that are dominated by coal seams and organic-rich, fine-grained sediments. In west-central Alberta, WU3 includes low-energy, mud-dominated, alluvial lowland deposits, and formed in a depositional setting consistent with the pattern of transgressive-regressive events recorded by the Bearpaw

Formation and Strathmore MbrMember. (Fanti and Catuneanu, 2009, 2010; Zubalich et al., 2021). Measured sections in the Grande Prairie area document frequent coal seams and peat horizons, with widespread oxbow lakes, bogs and marshes (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Fanti and Catuneanu, 2009). The sedimentological evidence for a waterlogged landscape is consistent with the presence in the DC Bonebed palynomorph sample of megaspores and microspores characteristic of quiet freshwater environments, and zygospores apparently belonging to freshwater algae.

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720 721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

The Bearpaw Formation was deposited diachronously across Alberta, owing to a younger onset of paralic-marine conditions toward the north. Recent studies of Bearpaw palaeogeography have documented not only the geographic extent of coal zones at the bottom (Lethbridge Coal Zone) and top (Drumheller Member) of this interval, but also widespread coal-dominated areas to the west of Edmonton, similar to those found near Grande Prairie (Fanti and Miyashita, 2009; Zubalich et al., 2021). Therefore, the geographic extent of the ecological and environmental settings in which coal is typically deposited may represent the key to understanding the composition of vertebrate faunas in west-central Alberta during the during the time of relatively high sea levels that encompasses the Bearpaw Gap (Dawson et al., 1994; Catuneanu et al., 1997, 1999; Eberth and Braman, 2012; Hathway, 2016). The Bearpaw transgressive-regressive cycles resulted in a northward shift and expansion of low-land, waterlogged, low-energy depositional systems (see Zubalich et al., 2021) that reached their maximum geographic extent and sediment accumulation rate during the deposition of the Strathmore Member of the HSCHC Formation. WU3 is similar from a palaeontological perspective to the Dinosaur Park Formation whereas but clearly differs both lithologically and palaeontologically from the overlying deposits of Wapiti Unit 4 and from correlative beds of the upper **HSCHC** Formation in central Alberta, which record terrestrial deposition during progressive falling stages of the Bearpaw Sea (Fanti and Catuneanu, 2010; Eberth and Braman, 2012; Eberth and Kamo, 2020).

Data presented here suggest that suitable habitat for continental vertebrate communities extended, during Bearpaw times, at least about 5° to the north of the Dinosaur Provincial Park area,

and thus to the margin of the inferred Campanian polar regions (60° North palaeolatitude; Fig. 9).

Further investigation of the vertebrate fauna of older deposits in the study area (*i.e.* Wapiti Unit 2, time-equivalent to the Oldman and Dinosaur Park formations of southern Alberta) is needed in

order to determine whether a fauna broadly resembling that of WU3 and the upper Belly River

Group already existed in the region prior to the Bearpaw gap, or whether such a fauna dispersed

into the region during the Bearpaw gap itself. Unquestionably, WU3 is crucial for testing recent

models and hypotheses regarding the impact on vertebrate thanatocoenoses of both spatiotemporal

biases and abiotic factors along the Western Interior Seaway (Lehman, 1987; Gates et al., 2010,

2012; Vavrek and Larsson, 2010; Loewen et al., 2013; Chiarenza et al., 2019). The fossil record

discussed in this study represents the only known major source of evidence regarding continental

vertebrate faunas inhabiting Alberta during this time interval.

In summary, the sedimentology and palynology of the DC Bonebed characterizepoint to a quiet, freshwater environment in the alluvial lowlands of WU3, contemporaneous with deposition of marine shales of the Bearpaw Formation in southern Alberta. The northerly terrestrial depositional environments of WU3, deposited at the edge of the Campanian polar region (60° North palaeolatitude), provided suitable habitat that was colonized by a vertebrate fauna with at least some charactersistics of the Belly River Group assemblage, during at a time when much of southern Alberta was inundated by the Bearpaw Sea. The faunal compositions of parts of the Wapiti Formation that bracket WU3 are, however, still poorly known. and require fFurther exploration will be required in order to examine understand the timing and dispersal broader history of this fauna in the Grande Prairie region.

Conclusions

The Bearpaw Formation in Alberta—a northerly expression of the final major incursions of the Western Interior Seaway—has stood as a substantial ~1.2-million-year-long gap separating the well-studied terrestrial faunas of the Belly River and Edmonton groups. In west-central Alberta, the

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo

continuous terrestrial deposits of the Wapiti Formation, and in particular Unit 3, offer an opportunity to begin filling the 'Bearpaw gapGap'. The diverse WU3 vertebrate fauna documented here from the DC Bonebed, together with previously\_described microvertebrates from Kleskun Hill, shows a distinctive Belly River signature similar to those of the older upper Oldman and Dinosaur Park formations, and demonstrates that a vertebrate community with this basic character existed in west-central Alberta well after the classic Dinosaur Park Formation ecosystem had been extirpated by the Bearpaw transgression. The presence of a probable-possible endemic form (the scincoid *Kleskunsaurus grandeprairiensis*) in the WU3 assemblage, and some unusual abundance patterns relative to southern Belly River faunas (e.g., abundance of *Myledaphus*, acipenserids, *Champsosaurus* and thescelosaurids; rarity of lissamphibians and crocodyliforms), likely reflect some combination of high palaeolatitude and close proximity to the palaeocoastline. These findings imply faunal composition along the margins of the Western Interior Seaway was influenced by a complex, superimposed mosaic of palaeolatitudinal and palaeoenvironmental gradients, in addition to evolutionary turnover.

## Acknowledgements

Grande Prairie Region over the years. We thank Northwest Polytechnic (formerly Grande Prairie Regional College) for logistical support during fieldwork. We thank A. Fiorillo, R. Rogers and two anonymous reviewers for valuable comments on this manuscript. Funding was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Discovery Grant RGPIN-2017-06246), an endowment associated with the Philip J. Currie Professorship at the University of Alberta, and start-up funds awarded to CS by the University of Alberta. We thank S. Hamilton and M. Hudgins for providing photographs of specimens, and many individuals, but particularly A. Murray (UALVP), D. Brinkman, J. Gardner, and C. Scott (all TMP), for help identifying specimens. We acknowledge that the land on which the fossil sites described in this paper are

The authors wish to thank the many volunteers who have helped collect specimens in the

783	situated is Treaty 8 territory, the traditional lands of the Cree, Beaver, Dene Tha' and the Métis		
784	people.		
785			
786			
787	Author Contributions		
788	Conceptualization: FF, CS, MW, NC, PB, RS; Methodology: FF, AL, DL, CS; Investigation: FF,		
789	CS, RLS, DL, EK; Resources: FF, PRB, MV, DL, RLS, NEC, CS; AL; Writing – original draft: FF,		
790	CS, PRB; Writing – Review and Editing: FF, PRB, RLS, NEC, CS; Visualization: FF, RLS;		
791	Funding acquisition: CS, FF, NEC, MV.	_	Commentato [NC2]: Site was found under our DRI grant
792			<b>Commentato [C3R2]:</b> Sounds like the DRI grant should be mentioned in the Acknowledgements.
793			
794			
795			
796			
797			
798			
799			
800			
801			
802			
803			
804			
805			
806			
807			
808			

810 811 812 813 References 814 815 Amiot, R., Lécuyer, C., Buffetaut, E., Fluteau, F., Legendre, S., Martineau, F., 2004. Latitudinal 816 temperature gradient during the Cretaceous Upper Campanian–Middle Maastrichtian: 818O 817 record of continental vertebrates. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 226, 255-272. 818 Barbi, M., Bell, P., Fanti, F., Dynes, J., Kolaceke, A., Buttigieg, J., Coulson, I., Currie, P., 2019. 819 Integumentary structure and composition in an exceptionally well-preserved hadrosaur 820 (Dinosauria: Ornithischia). PeerJ 7, e7875. http://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7875. 821 Beavan, N., Russell, A., 1999. An elasmobranch assemblage from the terrestrial-marine transitional 822 Lethbridge Coal Zone (Dinosaur Park Formation: Upper Campanian), Alberta, Canada. 823 Journal of Palaeontology 73(3), 494-503. 824 Behrensmeyer, A., 2007. Bonebed through time, in: Rogers, R., Eberth, D., Fiorillo, T. (Eds.), 825 Bonebeds. Genesys, Analysis and paleobiological significance. University of Chicago Press. 826 p. 65-102 827 Bell, P., Snively, E., 2008. Polar dinosaurs on parade: a review of dinosaur migration. Alcheringa 828 32, 271-284. 829 Bell, P., Currie, P., 2016. A high-latitude dromaeosaurid, Boreonykus certekorum, gen. et sp. nov. 830 (Theropoda), from the upper Campanian Wapiti Formation, west-central Alberta. Journal of 831 Vertebrate Palaeontology, DOI: 10.1080/02724634.2015.1034359 832 Bell, P., Fanti, F., Acorn, J., Sissons, R., 2013a. Fossil mayfly larvae (Ephemeroptera, cf. 833 Heptageniidae) from the Late Cretaceous Wapiti Formation, Alberta, Canada. Journal of 834 Palaeontology 87(1), 146-149.

809

Formattato: Colore carattere: Blu

335	Bell, P., Fanti, F., Sissons, R., 2013b: A possible pterosaur manus track from the Late Cretaceous of
836	Alberta. Lethaia 46, 274–279.
337	Bell, P., Fanti, F., Currie, P., Arbour, V., 2014. A mummified duck-billed dinosaur with a soft-
838	tissue cock's comb. Current Biology 24, 1-6.
339	Braman, D.R. 2013. Triprojectate pollen occurrence in the Western Canada sedimentary basin and
340	the group's global relationships. Contribution Series Number 1. Royal Tyrrell Museum of
841	Palaeontology Drumheller, Alberta.
342	Braman, D., 2018. Terrestrial palynostratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous (Santonian) to lowermost
343	Palaeocene of southern Alberta, Canada. Palynology 42, 102-147.
344	Brannick, A. L., Wilson, G.P., 2020. New specimens of the late Cretaceous metatherian <i>Eodelphis</i>
345	and the evolution of hard-object feeding in the stagodontidae. Journal of Mammalian
846	Evolution 27 (1), 1–16.
347	Brinkman, D., 1990. Palaeoecology of the Judith River Formation (Campanian) of Dinosaur
848	Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada: evidence from vertebrate microfossil localities.
349	Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 78, 37-54.
350	Brinkman, D., 2003. A review of nonmarine turtles from the Late Cretaceous of Alberta. Canadian
351	Journal of Earth Sciences 40, 557–571.
352	Brinkman D., Eberth, D., 2006. Turtles of the Horseshoe Canyon and Scollard formations—further
353	evidence for a biotic response to Late Cretaceous climate change. Fossil Turtle Research 1,
354	11–18.
355	Brown, C., and Druckenmiller P., 2011. Basal ornithopod (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) teeth from the
356	Prince Creek Formation (early Maastrichtian) of Alaska. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences
357	48, 1342–1354.
358	Burgener, L., Hyland, E., Griffith, E., Mitášová, H., Zanno, L., 2021. An extreme climate gradient-
359	induced ecological regionalization in the Upper Cretaceous Western Interior Basin of North

America. Geological Society of America Bulletin, doi.org/10.1130/B35904.1

860

361	Butler, R., Benson, R., Carrano, M., Mannion, P., Upchurch, P., 2010. Sea level, dinosaur diversity
362	and sampling biases: investigating the 'common cause' hypothesis in the terrestrial realm.
363	Proceedings of the Royal Society B 278, 1165-1170.
364	Butler, R., Barrett, P., 2008. Palaeoenvironmental controls on the distribution of Cretaceous
365	herbivorous dinosaurs. Die Naturwissenschaften 95, 1027-1032.
866	Catuneanu, O., Sweet, A., Miall, A., 1997. Reciprocal architecture of Bearpaw T-R sequences,
367	uppermost Cretaceous, Western Canada Sedimentary Basin. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum
868	Geology 45, 75–94.
869	Catuneanu, O., Sweet, A., Miall, A., 1999. Concept and styles of reciprocal stratigraphies: Western
370	Canada foreland system. Terra Nova 11, 1–8.
371	Chiarenza, A., Mannion, P., Lunt, D., Farnsworth, A., Jones, L., Kellard, S., Allison, P., 2019.
372	Ecological niche modelling does not support climatically-driven dinosaur diversity decline
373	before the Cretaceous/Palaeogene mass extinction. Nature Communications 10.1: 1-14.
374	Cockx, P., McKellar, R., Tappert, R., Vavrek, M., Muehlenbachs, K., 2020. Bonebed amber as a
375	new source of paleontological data: The case of the Pipestone Creek deposit (Upper
876	Cretaceous), Alberta, Canada. Gondwana Research 81, 378-389.
377	Cullen, T., Evans, D., 2016. Palaeoenvironmental drivers of vertebrate community composition in
878	the Belly River Group (Campanian) of Alberta, Canada, with implications for dinosaur
379	biogeography. BMC Ecology 16, 52.
880	Currie, P.J., Koppelhus, E.B. (Eds.), 2005. Dinosaur Provincial Park: a Spectacular Ancient
881	Ecosystem Revealed. Indiana University Press. https://doi.org/10.1086/523131.
382	Currie, P. J., Evans, D., 2020. Cranial anatomy of new specimens of Saurornitholestes langstoni
383	(Dinosauria, Theropoda, Dromaeosauridae) from the dinosaur park formation (Campanian) of
884	Alberta. The Anatomical Record 303, 691-715.
385	Currie, P., Langston, W., Tanke, D., 2008. A new horned dinosaur from an Upper Cretaceous

bonebed in Alberta. NRC Research Press, Ottawa, Ont., 144 pp.

886

887	Dawson, F., Evans, C., Marsh, R., Richardson, R., 1994. Uppermost Cretaceous and Tertiary strata
888	of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin, in: Mossop, G., Shetsen, I. (Eds.)., Geological
889	Atlas of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists
890	and Alberta Research Council, Special Report 4, p. 387-406.
891	Dodson, P., 1971. Sedimentology and taphonomy of the Oldman Formation (Campanian), Dinosaur
892	Provincial Park, Alberta (Canada). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 10,
893	21–74.
894	Dodson, P., 1990. On the status of the ceratopsids Monoclonius and Centrosaurus. In Dinosaur
895	Systematics—approaches and perspectives. Edited by K. Carpenter and P.J. Currie.
896	Cambridge University Press, New York. pp. 231–243
897	Drakare, S., Lennon, J. J., Hillebrand, H., 2006. The imprint of the geographical, evolutionary and
898	ecological context on species-area relationships. Ecology Letters 9 (2), 215-227.
899	Dubois, A., Frétey, T., 2019. The status of the generic nomina <i>Hedronchus</i> Cope, 1877, <i>Hemitrypus</i>
900	Cope, 1877 and Scapherpeton Cope, 1877 and of the related specific nomina (Amphibia,
901	Urodela). Alytes, 37(1-2), 67–72.
902	Eberth, D., 2005. The Geology, in: Currie, P., Koppelhus, E. (Eds.) Dinosaur Provincial Park: a
903	spectacular ancient ecosystem revealed. Indiana University Press, Bloomington and
904	Indianapolis, pp 54–82.
905	Eberth, D., Shannon, M., Noland, B., 2007. A bonebeds database:classification, biases, and patterns
906	of occurrence, in: Rogers, R., Eberth, D., Fiorillo, T. (Eds.), Bonebeds. Genesys, Analysis and
907	paleobiological significance. University of Chicago Press. p. 103-220
908	Eberth, D., Braman, D., 2012. A revised stratigraphy of and depositional history for the Horseshoe
909	Canyon Formation (Upper Cretaceous), southern Alberta plains. Canadian Journal of Earth
910	Sciences 49, 1053–1086.

Eberth, D.A., Hamblin, A.P., 1993. Tectonic, stratigraphic, and sedimentologic significance of a

regional discontinuity in the upper Judith River Group (Belly River wedge) of southern

**9**11

912

Formattato: Colore carattere: Blu

913 Alberta, Saskatchewan, and northern Montana. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 30, 174-914 200. Formattato: Colore carattere: Blu, Inglese (Canada) 915 Eberth, D., Kamo, S., 2020. High-precision U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS dating and chronostratigraphy of 916 the dinosaur-rich Horseshoe Canyon Formation (Upper Cretaceous, Campanian-917 Maastrichtian), Red Deer River valley, Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 918 57, 1220–1237 https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2019-0019 919 Eberth, D., Evans, D., Brinkman, D., Therrien, F., Tanke, D., Russell, L., 2013. Dinosaur 920 biostratigraphy of the Edmonton Group (Upper Cretaceous), Alberta, Canada: evidence for 921 climate influence. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 50, 701-726 dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjes-922 2012-0185 923 Eberth, D.A., Ramezani, J., Roberts, E.M., Bowring, S. 2016. New CA-TIMS U-Pb geochronology 924 from the Belly River Group (Upper Cretaceous) at Dinosaur Provincial Park, Alberta, Canada, 925 and implications for dinosaur biostratigraphy of the Western Interior Basin. Geological 926 Society of America, Annual Meeting (Denver), Abstracts with Programs, 48: doi: 927 10.1130/abs/2016AM-281038. Enriquez, N.J., Campione, N.E., Brougham, T., Fanti, F., White, M.A., Sissons, R.L., Sullivan, C., 928 929 Vavrek, M.J., Bell, P.R., 2020. Exploring possible ontogenetic trajectories in tyrannosaurids 930 using tracks from the Wapiti Formation (upper Campanian) of Alberta, Canada. Journal of 931 Vertebrate Paleontology, 40 (6), p.e1878201. 932 Enriquez, N. J., Campione, N. E., Sullivan, C., Vavrek, M., Sissons, R. L., White, M. A., Bell, P. R. 933 2021. Probable deinonychosaur tracks from the Upper Cretaceous Wapiti Formation (upper 934 Campanian) of Alberta, Canada. Geological Magazine, 158 (6), 1115-1128. Formattato: Italiano (Italia) 935 Enriquez, N.J., Campione, N., White, M., Fanti, F., Sissons, R., Sullivan, C., Vavrek, M., Bell. P.,

2022. The dinosaur tracks of Tyrants Aisle: An Upper Cretaceous ichnofauna from Unit 4 of

the Wapiti Formation (upper Campanian), Alberta, Canada. PLOS ONE 17: e0262824.

936

930	Estes, R., 1904. Possii vertebrates from the Late Cretaceous Lance Formation, eastern wyonning.
939	University of California Publications in the Geological Sciences 49, 1–197.
940	Fanti, F., 2009. Bentonite chemical features as proxy of Late Cretaceous provenance changes: a
941	case study from the Western Interior Basin of Canada. Sedimentary Geology 217, 112-127.
942	Fanti, F., Currie, P., 2007. Unfolding the geological history of the North: new comprehensive
943	survey of the Wapiti Formation, Alberta, Canada. In: Braman, D., Therrien, F., Koppelhus,
944	E., Taylor, W. (Eds.) Dinosaur Park Symposium Short Papers, Abstracts and Program.
945	Special Publication of the Royal Tyrrell Museum, 33–38.
946	Fanti, F., Catuneanu, O., 2009. Stratigraphy of the Upper Cretaceous Wapiti Formation, west-
947	central Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 46, 263-283.
948	Fanti, F., Catuneanu, O., 2010. Fluvial sequence stratigraphy: the Wapiti Formation, west-ce
 949	ntral Alberta, Canada. Journal of Sedimentary Research 80, 320-338.
950	Fanti, F., Miyashita, T. 2009. A high latitude vertebrate fossil assemblage from the Late Cretaceous
951	of west-central Alberta, Canada: evidence for dinosaur nesting and vertebrate latitudinal
952	gradient. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 275, 37-53.
953	Fanti, F., Bell, P., Sissons, R., 2013: A diverse, high-latitude ichnofauna from the Late Cretaceous
954	Wapiti Formation, Alberta, Canada. Cretaceous Research 41, 256-269.
955	Fanti, F., Currie, P.J., Burns, M.E., 2015. Taphonomy, age, and palaeoecological implications of a
956	new Pachyrhinosaurus (Dinosauria: Ceratopsidae) bonebed from the Upper Cretaceous
957	(Campanian) Wapiti Formation of Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 52,
958	250–260. dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2014-0197
959	Fiorillo, A.R., 2018. Alaska Dinosaurs: An Ancient Arctic World. CRC Press, 240 pp.
960	Fox, R., Scott, C., 2010. Comment on "A high latitude vertebrate fossil assemblage from the Late
961	Cretaceous of west-central Alberta, Canada: Evidence for dinosaur nesting and vertebrate
962	latitudinal gradient" By F. Fanti and T. Miyashita. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,

963

Palaeoecology 285, 35-356.

964 Fox, R., Naylor, B., 2006. Stagodontid marsupials from the Late Cretaceous of Canada and their 965 systematic and functional implications. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 51, 12-36. 966 Friedman, M., Tarduno, J. A., Brinkman, D. B., 2003. Fossil fishes from the high Canadian Arctic: 967 further palaeobiological evidence for extreme climatic warmth during the Late Cretaceous 968 (Turonian-Coniacian). Cretaceous Research 24 (6), 615-632. 969 Funston, G., Currie, P., 2020. New material of Chirostenotes pergracilis (Theropoda, 970 Oviraptorosauria) from the Campanian Dinosaur Park Formation of Alberta, Canada. 971 Historical Biology 33, 1671-1685 https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2020.1726908 972 Gao, K., Fox, R., 1998. New choristoderes (Reptilia: Diapsida) from the Upper Cretaceous and 973 Palaeocene, Alberta and Saskatchewan, Canada, and phylogenetic relationships of 974 Choristodera. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 124, 303-353. 975 Gates, T., Sampson, S., Zanno, L., Roberts, E., Eaton, J., Nydam, R., Hutchinson, J., Smith, J., 976 Loewen, M., Getty, M., 2010. Biogeography of terrestrial and freshwater vertebrates from the 977 Late Cretaceous (Campanian) Western Interior of North America. Palaeogeography, 978 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 291, 371-387 979 Gates, T. A., Prieto-Márquez, A., Zanno, L. E., 2012. Mountain building triggered Late Cretaceous 980 North American megaherbivore dinosaur radiation. PLoS ONE 7, e42135. 981 Gilbert, M., Bamforth, E., 2017. Paleoecology of a vertebrate microfossil assemblage from the 982 easternmost Dinosaur Park Formation (Upper Campanian) Saskatchewan, Canada: 983 Reconstructing diversity in a coastal ecosystem. Vertebrate Anatomy Morphology 984 Palaeontology 4, 1-57. 985 Gilbert, M., Bamforth, E., Buatois, L., Renaut, R., 2018. Paleoecology and sedimentology of a 986 vertebrate microfossil assemblage from the easternmost Dinosaur Park Formation (Late 987 Cretaceous, Upper Campanian,) Saskatchewan, Canada: Reconstructing diversity in a coastal ecosystem. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 495, 227-244.

988

Formattato: Spagnolo (Uruguay)

990	Naturalist 163 (2), 192–211.	
991	Hilton, E., Grande, L., 2006. Review of the fossil record of sturgeons, family Acipenseridae	
992	(Actinopterygii: Acipenseriformes), from North America. Journal of Paleontology 80, 672-	
993	683.	
994	Hathway, B., 2016. Regional TR sequence stratigraphy and lithostratigraphy of the Bearpaw	
995	Formation (Upper Campanian), west-central and southwestern Alberta plains. Bulletin of	
996	Canadian Petroleum Geology 64 (3), 449–466.	
997	Haubold, H., 1989. Dinosaurs and fluctuating sea levels during the Mesozoic. Historic Biology 4,	
998	75–106.	
999	Head. M.J., 1992. Zygospores of the Zygnemataceae (Division Chlorophyta) and other freshwater	
1000	algal spores from the Uppermost Pliocene St. Erth Beds of Cornwall, Southwestern England.	
1001	Micropalaeontology 38 (3), 237-260.	
1002	Hillebrand, H., 2004. On the generality of the latitudinal diversity gradient. The American	
1003	Naturalist 163, 192–211.	
1004	Holland, S., Loughney, K., 2021. The stratigraphic palaeobiology of nonmarine systems.	
1005	Cambridge University Press.	
1006	Holland, B., Bell, P.R., Fanti, F., Hamilton, S.M., Larson, D.W., Sissons, R., Sullivan, C., Vavrek,	
1007	M.J., Wang, Y. and Campione, N.E., 2021. Taphonomy and taxonomy of a juvenile	
1008	lambeosaurine (Ornithischia: Hadrosauridae) bonebed from the late Campanian Wapiti	
1009	Formation of northwestern Alberta, Canada. PeerJ, 9, p.e11290.	
1010	Horner, J., Varricchio, D., Goodwin, M., 1992. Marine transgressions and the evolution of	Formattato: Inglese (Stati Uniti)
1011	Cretaceous dinosaurs. Nature 358, 59-61,	Formattato: Inglese (Stati Uniti)
1012	Joyce, W. G., Brinkman, D. B., Lyson, T. R., 2019. A new species of trionychid turtle, Axestemys	
1013	infernalis sp. nov., from the Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) Hell Creek and Lance	

Hillebrand, H., 2004. On the generality of the latitudinal diversity gradient. The American

 $formations\ of\ the\ Northern\ Great\ Plains,\ USA.\ Palaeontologia\ Electronica,\ 22,\ 1–28.$ 

989

1015 Koppelhus, E., Fanti, F., 2019. Rare, non-marine deposits during the deposition of the Bearpaw 1016 Formation: Interpreting the Palaeoenvironment of the DC bonebed (Wapiti Formation) using 1017 palynology and palaeobotany. Canadian Society of Vertebrate Palaeontology, P.J. Currie 1018 Museum, Grande Prairie (Alberta), May 10-13, 2019, 25-26. 1019 Larson, D., Brinkman, D., Bell, P., 2010. Faunal assemblages from the upper Horseshoe Canyon 1020 Formation, an early Maastrichtian cool-climate assemblage from Alberta, with special 1021 reference to the Albertosaurus sarcophagus bonebed. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 47, 1022 1159-1181 1023 Lehman, T., 1987. Late Maastrichtian palaeoenvironments and dinosaur biogeography in the 1024 western interior of North America. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 60, 1025 189-217. Lehman T., 2001. Late Cretaceous dinosaur provinciality. In: Tanke, D.H., Carpenter, K. (Eds.) 1026 1027 Mesozoic vertebrate life. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. pp 310–328. 1028 Lyson, T. R., Joyce, W. G., 2009. A revision of Plesiobaena (Testudines: Baenidae) and an 1029 assessment of baenid ecology across the K/T boundary. Journal of Paleontology 83 (6), 833-1030 853. 1031 Loewen, M., Irmis, R., Sertich, J., Currie, P., Sampson, S., 2013. Tyrant dinosaur evolution tracks 1032 the rise and fall of late Cretaceous oceans. PLoS ONE 8(11), e79420. 1033 Longrich, N., Barnes, K., Clark, S., Millar, L., 2013. Caenagnathidae from the Upper Campanian 1034 Aguja Formation of West Texas, and a Revision of the Caenagnathinae. Bulletin of the 1035 Peabody Museum of Natural History 54 (1), 23-49 http://dx.doi.org/10.3374/014.054.0102 Lucas, S., Sullivan, R., Lichtig, A., Dalman, S., Jasinski, S., 2016. Late Cretaceous dinosaur 1036 1037 biogeography and endemism in the Western Interior Basin, North America: a critical re-1038 evaluation. In: Khosla, A., Lucas, S. (Eds.), Cretaceous period: biotic diversity and

biogeography. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 71, 195–213.

1040 Mallon, J., Evans, D., Ryan, M., Anderson, J., 2012. Megaherbivorous dinosaur turnover in the 1041 Dinosaur Park Formation (upper Campanian) of Alberta, Canada. Palaeogeography, 1042 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 350, 124-138. 1043 Mannion, P., Benson, R., Upchurch, P., Butler, R., Carrano, M., Barrett, P., 2012. A temperate 1044 palaeodiversity peak in Mesozoic dinosaurs and evidence for Late Cretaceous geographical 1045 partitioning. Global Ecology and Biogeography 21, 898-908. 1046 Mannion, P., Upchurch, P., Benson, R., Goswami, A., 2014. The latitudinal biodiversity gradient 1047 through deep time. Trends in Ecology Evolution 29 (1), 42-50. 1048 Markwick, P. J., 1998. Fossil crocodilians as indicators of Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic climates: 1049 implications for using palaeontological data in reconstructing paleoclimate. Palaeogeography, 1050 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 137 (3-4), 205-271. 1051 Matthews, K., Maloney, K., Zahirovic, S., Williams, S., Seton, M., Müller, R., 2016. Global plate 1052 boundary evolution and kinematics since the late Palaeozoic. Global and Planetary Change 1053 146, 226-250. 1054 Nagy, E., 1966. Investigations into the Neogene microplankton of Hungary. Palaeobotanist 15, 38-1055 46. 1056 Neuman, A.G., Brinkman, D.B., 2005. Fishes of the fluvial beds, in: Currie, P.J., Koppelhus, E.B. 1057 (Eds.) Dinosaur Provincial Park: a Spectacular Ancient Ecosystem Revealed. Indiana 1058 University Press, Bloomington and Indianapolis. pp. 167–185. 1059 Nydam, R., 2013. Squamates from the Jurassic and Cretaceous of North America. 1060 Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments 93, 535-565. 10.1007/s12549-013-0129-5 1061 Nydam, R., Caldwell, M., Fanti, F., 2010. Upper Campanian Borioteiioidean lizards from Kleskun 1062 Hill, west-central Alberta (Wapiti Formation). Journal of Vertebrate Palaeontology 30 (4), 1063 1090-1099.

Formattato: Colore carattere: Blu

1064	Oreska, M., Carrano, M., 2018. Palaeocommunity mixing increases with marine transgression in	
1065	Dinosaur Park Formation (Upper Cretaceous) vertebrate microfossil assemblages.	
1066	Palaeobiology 45, 136-153 DOI: 10.1017/pab.2018.36	
1067	Peng, J.H., Russell, A.P., Brinkman, D.B., 2001. Vertebrate Microsite assemblages (exclusive of	Formattato: Colore carattere: Blu
1068	mammals) from the Foremost and Oldman Formations of the Judith River Group	
1069	(Campanian) of Southeastern Alberta: An Illustrated Guide. Provincial Museum of Alberta	
1070	Natural History Occasional Paper no. 25:1-54.	
1071	Quinney, A., 2011. The Upper Cretaceous Horseshoe Canyon Formation: using palaeosols to	
1072	reconstruct ancient environments, climates, and record of climate change in a dinosaur-	
1073	dominated terrestrial ecosystem, Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, University of Calgary, Calgary,	
1074	Alberta, 145 pp.	
1075	Rogers, R., 1998. Sequence analysis of the upper Cretaceous Two Medicine and Judith River	
1076	formations, Montana: nonmarine response to the Claggett and Bearpaw marine cycles. Journal	
1077	of Sedimentary Research 68, 615–631.	
1078	Rogers, R., Kidwell, S., Deino, A., Mitchell, J., Nelson, K., Thole, J., 2016. Age, correlation, and	
1079	lithostratigraphic revision of the Upper Cretaceous (Campanian) Judith River Formation in its	
1080	type area (North-Central Montana), with a comparison of low- and high-accommodation	
1081	alluvial records. The Journal of Geology 124, 99–135	
1082	Russell, L., Chamney, T., 1967. Notes on the biostratigraphy of dinosaurian and microfossil faunas	
1083	in the Edmonton Formation (Cretaceous), Alberta. National Museum of Canada, Natural	Formattato: Spagnolo (Uruguay)
1084	History Papers, 35.	
1085	Rivera-Sylva, H., Carbot-Chanona, G., Vivas-Gonzalez, R., Nava-Rodriguez, L., Cabral-Valdez, F.,	
1086	2019. The first crocodyliforms remains from La Parrita locality, Cerro del Pueblo Formation	
1087	(Campanian), Coahuila, Mexico. Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana 71, 727–739.	
I		

1088	Ryan, M., Evans, D., 2005. Ornithischian Dinosaurs. Pp. 312–348. <i>In</i> Dinosaur Provincial Park: A
1089	Spectacular Ancient Ecosystem Revealed (P. J. Currie and E. B. Koppelhus, eds), Indiana
1090	University Press, Bloomington.
1091	Sampson, S., Loewen, M., 2010. Unraveling a radiation: a review of the diversity, stratigraphic
1092	distribution, biogeography, and evolution of horned dinosaurs (Ornithischia: Ceratopsidae),
1093	in: Ryan, M.J., Chinnery-Allgeier, B., Eberth, D.A. (Eds.) New Perspectives On Horned
1094	Dinosaurs. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. pp 405–427.
1095	Sampson, S., Loewen, M., Farke, A., Roberts, E., Forster, C., Smith, J., Titus, A., 2010. New
1096	horned dinosaurs from Utah provide evidence for intracontinental dinosaur endemism. PLoS
1097	ONE 5(9): e12292. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012292
1098	Schröder-Adams, C., 2014. The Cretaceous Polar and Western Interior seas: palaeoenvironmental
1099	history and palaeoceanographic linkages. Sedimentary Geology 301, 26-40.
1100	Scott, C., Fox, R., 2015. Review of Stagodontidae (Mammalia, Marsupialia) from the Judithian
1101	(Late Cretaceous) Belly River Group of southeastern Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of
1102	Earth Sciences 52, 682–695.
1103	Srivastava, S.K., Braman, D.R., 2013. The palynostratigraphy of the Edmonton Group (Upper
1104	Cretaceous) of Alberta, Canada Palynology 37(1), 1–27.
1105	Sternberg, C., 1951. The lizard Chamops from the Wapiti Formation of northern Alberta:
1106	Polyodontosaurus grandis is not a lizard. Bulletin of the National Museum of Canada 123,
1107	256–258.
1108	Straight, W., Eberth, D., 2002. Testing the utility of vertebrate remains in recognizing patterns in
1109	fluvial deposits: an example from the lower Horseshoe Canyon Formation, Alberta. Palaios
1110	17, 472–490.
1111	Sullivan, R., Lucas, S., 2006. The Kirtlandian land-vertebrate "age" - faunal composition,
1112	Temporal position and biostratigraphic correlation in the nonmarine Upper Cretaceous of

western North America. New Mexico Museum of Natural History Science Bulletin 35, 7–29.

1114	Tarduno, J.A., Brinkman, D.B., Renne, P.R., Cottrell, R.D., Scher, H., Castillo, P., 1998. Evidence
1115	for extreme climatic warmth from Late Cretaceous Arctic vertebrates. Science 282, 2241-
1116	2244.
1117	Upchurch, P. Hunn, C., Norman, D., 2002. An analysis of dinosaurian biogeography: evidence for
1118	the existence of vicariance and dispersal patterns caused by biological events. Proceedings of
1119	the Royal Society of B: Biological Sciences 269, 613-621.
1120	Vandermark, D., Tarduno, J., Brinkman, D., 2007. A fossil champsosaur population from the high
1121	Arctic: Implications for Late Cretaceous palaeotemperatures. Palaeogeography,
1122	Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 248, 49–59.
1123	Vavrek, M., Larsson, H., 2010. Low beta diversity of Maastrichtian dinosaurs of North
1124	America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 8265-8268.
1125	Vavrek, M.J., Murray, A.M., Bell, P.R., 2014. An early Late Cretaceous (Cenomanian) sturgeon
1126	(Acipenseriformes) from the Dunvegan Formation, northwestern Alberta, Canada. Canadian
1127	Journal of Earth Sciences 51 (7), 677–681.
1128	Vitek, N. S., 2012. Giant fossil soft-shelled turtles of North America. Palaeontologia Electronica 15
1129	(1), 13A.
1130	Weishampel, D., Horner, J., 1987. Dinosaurs, habitat bottlenecks, and the St. Mary River
1131	Formation. In Currie, P., Koster, E. (eds.) 4th Symposium of Mesozoic terrestrial ecosystems.
1132	Royal Tyrrell Museum of Paleontology, 224-229.
1133	Whittaker, R. H., 1975. Communities and ecosystems. Communities and ecosystems. Macmillan,
1134	New York. 158 pp.
1135	Wilson, A., Newbrey, M., Brinkman, D., Cook, T., Neuman, A., 2013. Age and growth in
1136	Myledaphus bipartitus, a Late Cretaceous freshwater guitarfish from Alberta, Canada.
1137	Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 50, 930–944

Formattato: Apice

1138 Worobiec, E., 2014. Fossil Zygospores of Zygnemataceae and other microremains of greshwater 1139 algae from two Miocene palaeosinkholes in the Opole region, SW Poland. Acta 1140 Palaeobotanica 54(1), 113-157. 1141 Wu, X-C., Brinkman, D., 2015. A new crocodylian (Eusuchia) from the uppermost Cretaceous of 1142 Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 52, 590-607 1143 Wu, X. C., Brinkman, D. B., Russell, A. P., 1996. A new alligator from the Upper Cretaceous of 1144 Canada and the relationship of early eusuchians. Palaeontology 39, 351–376. 1145 Yi. S., 1997. Zygnematacean zygospores and other freshwater algae from the Upper Cretaceous of the Yellow Sea Basin, southwest coast of Korea. Cretaceous Research 18, 515-544 1146 1147 Yi, H., Norell, M., 2013. New Materials of Estesia mongoliensis (Squamata: Anguimorpha) and the 1148 evolution of venom grooves in lizards. American Museum Novitates 3767, 1–31. Formattato: Inglese (Stati Uniti) 1149 Zubalich, R., Capozzi, R., Fanti, F., Catuneanu, O., 2021. Evolution of the Western Interior Seaway 1150 in west-central Alberta (late Campanian, Canada): Implications for hydrocarbon exploration. 1151 Marine and Petroleum Geology 124, 104779 1152 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104779 1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158 1 59 1160 1161 1162 1163

1164	
1165	
1166	
1167	
1168	
1169	
1170	
1171	Figure Caption
1172	Figure 1: A) Reference map of Alberta (western Canada) showing extent of major stratigraphic
1173	units discussed in this paper. B) Study area located in west-central Alberta, near the city of
1 74	Grande Prairie. C) Location of the Dinosaur-Chelonian <u>BdoneonebedBonebed</u> .
1175	Figure 2: Campanian lithostratigraphy and stratigraphic nomenclature for the study area. Numbers
1176	in circles represent 1, DC Bonebed, 2, Kleskun Hill. Dinosaur Macrofossil Assemblage
1177	Zones are modified from Eberth et al. (2013); Palynostratigraphic Biozones are modified
1178	from Braman, 2018. Red lines indicate dated bentonites from the Wapiti Formation (see
1179	main text).
1180	Figure 3: A) Field photograph of the Dinosaur-Chelonian (DC) Bbonebed area (yellow box);
1181	overall, the DC bonebed occurs at the base of <u>a laterally-isolated channel deposit</u> . B)
1182	Measured stratigraphic sections along the Wapiti River with highlighted dated bentonitic
1183	beds indicated.
1184	Figure 4: Documented stratigraphic ranges (from Braman, 2018) of the various palynomorphs
1185	recovered at the DC Bonebed. Data presented in this study suggest the DC Bonebed
1186	$assemblage \ belongs \ to \ the \ \textit{Pseudoaquilapollenites parallelus-Parviprojectus}$
1187	leucocephalus palyno-stratigraphic biozone, which ranges from 74.5 to 73.7 Ma.
1188	Figure 5: Chondrichthyan and osteichthyan specimens from the DC Bonebed. UALVP 60786

Amphicoelous amiid centrum in A) dorsal and B) anterior views, with paired, elongate depressions for neural arch articulation visible in dorsal view. Deeply amphicoelous Myledaphus bipartitus centrum (UALVP 60802) in C) dorsal and D) anterior views. Myledaphus bipartitus shed crown (UALVP 59115) in E) lateral and F) occlusal views, which characteristic marginal vertical grooves seen in lateral view, and enamel folds (rather than tubercles seen in M. pustulosus) seen in occlusal view. G) Right Coriops dentary fragment (UALVP 60773) in lateral view, exhibiting deep ramus, lateral foramina, and one remaining conical tooth. H) Lepisosteid scale (UALVP 23122) exhibiting typical polygonal shape, enamelled surface, and weakly developed articular peg morphology. I) Anteriorly convex lepisosteid caudal vertebra (UALVP 70744) in right lateral view. Distinctive horizontal ridge, as in extant gar, is present on lateral surface. J) Conical, longitudinally striated lepisosteid tooth (UALVP 23117), with enameloid cap at apex. 'Holostean A' scale (UALVP 60812) in K) external and L) internal views, showing typical peg--and--depression morphology. M) Acipenserid dorsal scute (UALVP 59844) in dorsal view, (anterior to the left); showing characteristic pitted ornamentation. Largely Nearly complete Belonostomus upper mandible fused premaxillae (UALVP 60781) in N) dorsal and O) ventral views, representing the left and right fused premaxillae, exhibiting two rows of closely packed teeooth rows, and delicate ganoine ornamentation on the dorsal surface. Note that each boxed panel has own scale bar. Scale bars 3 cm.

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200 1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

Chondrichthyan and osteichthyan specimens from the DC Bonebed. UALVP 60786

Amiid centrum in A) dorsal and B) anterior views. *Myledaphus bipartitus* centrum (UALVP 60802) in C) dorsal and D) anterior views. *Myledaphus bipartitus* shed crown (UALVP 59115) in E) lateral and F) occlusal view. G) Right *Coriops* dentary fragment (UALVP 60773) in lateral view. H)

Lepisosteid scale (UALVP 23122). I) Lepisosteid caudal vertebra (UALVP 70744) in right lateral view. J) Lepisosteid tooth (UALVP 23117). 'Holostean A' scale (UALVP 60812) in K) external and L) internal views. M) Acipenserid dorsal scute (UALVP 59844) in dorsal view, anterior to the

left. Belonostomus upper mandible (UALVP 60781) in N) dorsal and O) ventral views. Note that
each boxed panel has own scale bar. Scale bars 3 cm.
Figure 6: Amphibia, turtle, squamate, <i>Champsosaurus</i> , and crocodyliform specimens from the DC
Bonebed. A) Plesiobaena antiqua hypoplastron (UALVP 23092) in ventral view. B)
Trionychid right hypoplastron fragment (UALVP 23101), exhibiting typical tryionychid
ornamentation, though not referable to Aspideretoides foveatus. C) Baenidae indet. fFused
hypoplastron and xiphiplastron (UALVP_59133) with D) inset of distinct, closely-pitted
texture in area indicated by white box. E) Chelydrid costal (UALVP 59135), exhibiting deep
sulci and relatively littlesubtle ornamentation. H) Amphicoelous Hedronchus sternbergii
trunk vertebra (UALVP 59831) in right lateral view, exhibiting backswept neural spine. I)
Conical crocodyliform tooth crown (UALVP 59811), with characteristic unserrated carinae
visible running lengthwise from base to apex at the center of this view. F) Champsosaurus
centrum (UALVP 57408) in dorsal view, exhibiting distinct hourglass shaped neural canal
groove. G) Squamate astragalocalcaneum (UALVP 59947) in dorsal view, exhibiting tibial
and fibular facets at top of view. Note specimens inset inthat each boxed panel hass at
differentown scale bar. Scale bars 3 cm. Amphibia, turtle, squamate, Champsosaurus, and
erocodyliform specimens from the DC
Bonebed. A) Plesiobaena antiqua hypoplastron (UALVP 23092) in ventral view. B)
Trionychid right hypoplastron fragment (UALVP 23101). C) Baenidae indet. hypoplastron
and xiphiplastron (UALVP59133) with D) inset of texture indicated by white box. E)
Chelydrid costal (UALVP 59135). H) Hedronchus sternbergii trunk vertebra (UALVP
59831) in right lateral view. I) Crocodyliform tooth crown (UALVP 59811). F)
Champsosaurus centrum (UALVP 57408) in dorsal view. G) Squamate astragalocalcaneum
(UALVP 59947) in dorsal view. Note specimens inset in boxes at different scale. Scale bars
<del>3 cm.</del>

Figure 7: Dinosaur specimens from the DC Bonebed. A) Ankylosaurid tooth crown (UALVP

59116), exhibiting wear facet, constricted root, apicobasal ridges, and weak cingulum. B) Troodontid right pedal phalanx IV-1 in medial view (UALVP 60748), exhibiting depression on the proximoplantar corner (at lower right). C) Tyrannosaurid tooth crown (UALVP 57805). D) Thescelosaurid left quadrate (UALVP 23114) in lateral view, exhibiting distinct notch for the quadrate foramen. E) Dromaeosaurid pedal phalanx (UALVP 59507). Hadrosaurid caudal centrum (UALVP 61239) in F) dorsal and G) left lateral views with typical centrum and neural arch morphology. H) Partial ornithomimid left pubis (UALVP 59798) in medial view, with fragmentary damaged pubic apron visible at left. I) Caenagnathid left ilium (UALVP 60800) in medial view. Az sacral rib facet can be noted seen off-center of near the broken dorsal margin, roughly above the pubic peduncle on the dorsal-most remaining area. Note specimens inset inthat each boxed panel has owns at different scale bar. Scale bars 3 cm. Dinosaur specimens from the DC Bonebed. A) Ankylosaurid tooth crown (UALVP 59116). B) Troodontid right pedal phalanx IV-1 in medial view (UALVP 60748). C) Tyrannosaurid tooth crown (UALVP 57805). D) Thescelosaurid left quadrate (UALVP 23114) in lateral view. E) Dromaeosaurid pedal phalanx (UALVP 59507). Hadrosaurid eaudal centrum (UALVP 61239) in F) dorsal and G) left lateral views. H) Ornithomimid left pubis (UALVP 59798) in medial view. I) Caenagnathid left ilium (UALVP 60800) in medial view. Note specimens inset in boxes at different scale. Scale bars 3 cm. Figure 8: A) Relative abundances at family level of vertebrate groupstaxonomy for various during the sites and stratigraphic units in the Campanian of m-Alberta. Combined relative abundances total 100% for in each individual stratigraphic unit case. B) relative abundances of aquatic and terrestrial taxa. OFm, Oldman Formation; DPFm, Dinosaur Park Formation; LCz, Lethbridge Coal Zone; DCB, Dinosaur-Chelonian Bonebed; KH, Kleskun Hill; HCFm, Horseshoe Canyon Formation. See SI for detailed

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256 1257

1258

1259

1260 1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

accountsabundance data.

Figure 9: Chart showing the documented stratigraphic distribution, in the Campanian of Alberta, for the Campanian of various vertebrate species and clades discussed in this paper (light blue boxes, Dinosaur Park Formation; red boxes, Wapiti Formation; green boxes, Horseshoe Canyon Formation). Pie diagrams represent the diversity of discussed microsite localities in Wapiti Unit 3 (in ascending order: DC bonebed, Kleskun Hill, and Red Willow River). C.z., coal zone

Supplementary material

SI 1: Supplementary data discussing U-Pb dating procedures, and Systematic Palaeontology, of vertebrate fauna from the Dinosaur-Chelonian Bbonebed (Wapiti Formation Unit 3, upper Campanian, Alberta, Canada).

SI 2: Detailed faunal counts for microvertebrate taxa representative of the Dinosaur-Chelonian Bonebed, Oldman, Dinosaur Park (including the Lethbridge Coal Zone), Wapiti Formation (including Dinosaur-Chelonian Bonebed and Kleskun Hill sites), and Horseshoe Canyon

formations.