Online Appendix

Table A1: List of interviews, site visits and participant observations

	GER	IT	UK
Interviews in company case studies			·
Management	4	6	3
Workers' representatives/union officials	7	6	8
Employer associations	2	3	4
Training providers	2	2	3
Experts/consultants		4	
Interview in other companies or at sectoral/nationa	llevel		
Management	6	2	7
Workers' representatives/union officials	13	4	3
Training providers	1	4	3
Policy makers			1
Experts	1		
Total	36	31	33
Visits and participant observation			
Plant visits	3	2	2
Visits to training providers	2	3	3
Observation in union/employers'/ training meetings	1	2	5

Table A2: Operationalisation of Taylorism, cost-cutting and empowerment

HR practices (second-level node)	HR area ⁱ (third-level node)	Taylorism (second-level node)	Cost-cutting (second-level node)	Empowerment (second-level node)
Work teams	Internal flexibility/Employe es' involvement	Teams operate under strict managerial supervision	Staffing practices are 'lean' to avoid buffers	Teams have autonomy and discretion over their work
		The management controls the workforce through hierarchy	Buffers are regarded as 'waste'	Teams have responsibility over their work
Internal flexibility/Employe es' involvement Training	flexibility/Employe	Training is narrow and the management decides its beneficiaries	Training is narrow and focused on specialised profiles	The company invests in broad training; employees can apply for training themseves
	On-the job training is provided so that employees can perform their standardised tasks; management takes unilateral decisions	Training is provided only vis a vis the certainty of short-term returns	Training contributes to employees' understanding of the whole production process, their personal fulfilment and wider employability	
	Internal flexibility	Workers do not horizontally and/or vertically rotate within the team	Workers do not horizontally and/or vertically rotate within the team	Workers horizontally and/or vertically rotate within the team
Horizontal/ vertical rotation		Tasks are standardised and fragmented to ensure easier monitoring	Rotation requires ex-ante training investment with uncertain returns; in the short-term, it might increase errors	Workers can expand their skills and enjoy better workplace ergonomics
	Employees' involvement	Absent	Absent/very limited	Present
Team meetings		<i>Employees are not expected to provide</i> <i>any cognitive input</i>	Management wants to maximise the time for value-added activity at the assembly line	By allocating working time to team meetings, employees are encouraged to provide cognitive input
Evaluation process	Employees' involvement	n.a	The management uses suggestions for eliminating non-value-added activities	Responsibility is shared between unions/workers and management

		Employees are not expected to provide any cognitive input	The management focuses on cutting costs rather than on job quality	Employees participate in decisional processes
Reward for improvement suggestions	Employees' involvement	n.a. Employees are not expected to provide any cognitive input	Rewards are limited to compress costs The management focuses on cutting costs rather than on boosting commitment through financial participation	Company's gains derived from improvement suggestions are shared <i>Employees are encouraged to take</i> <i>responsibility and to commit through</i> <i>financial participation</i>

¹While some HR practices are more unequivocally linked to either internal flexibility or employees' involvement, some are relevant for both, as indicated in the second column of this table. This is consistent with MacDuffie's findings (1995) that the HR bundle of lean systems consist of overlapping and mutually re-enforcing practices.