Article

Amirreza Kazemikhasragh* and Marianna Vanessa Buoni Pineda Fiscal Space Policies for Sustainable Development and Debt Relief: Empirical Analysis in West African Countries

https://doi.org/10.1515/ngs-2021-0040 Received September 14, 2021; accepted January 6, 2022

Abstract: The uncertainty that the COVID-19 pandemic has brought demonstrates that income redistribution and traditional debt relief mechanisms are insufficient to meet public spending needs, mitigate external debt, and comply with the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which aim to reduce multilateral debt to sustainable levels. Also, West African countries have focused their attention on the long-term fight against poverty and inequality and strengthening their social programs, especially in primary health care and macroeconomic stability. However, for more than a decade, the developing and least developed countries of West Africa have faced rapidly weakening macroeconomic conditions, combining several interrelated crises such as the sharp decline in oil prices, volatile financial markets and tourism disruptions, a global recession, the crisis of climate change, and shortages of food and energy, along with the economic contraction of COVID-19. Data from these countries show that health spending increases economic growth, minimizes infant mortality rates, and reduces debt. Furthermore, increasing government spending efficiency reduces the total debt and improves the health sector, in particular.

Keywords: sustainable development goals, total debt, economic growth, panel VAR analysis

1 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated problems with West African countries' economic growth and high public and external debt. Although, according to Guillén

^{*}Corresponding author: Amirreza Kazemikhasragh, University Program of Studies on Asia and Africa (PUEAA), National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM), Mexico City, Mexico, E-mail: amirkazemi@outlook.com. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9257-2505

Marianna Vanessa Buoni Pineda, Department of Economics, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, E-mail: buonimarianna@gmail.com. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0439-7901

(2020), the scope and duration of the crisis are not precisely known, and it is the most significant economic crisis since the great depression of the 1930s, expressed that year 2020, about 170 countries experienced a drop in their GDP per capita. However, Radelet (2016) shows that democracy had already declined with political crises in African countries before the pandemic. During the pandemic, lower prices of raw materials increased unemployment and poverty. Furthermore, Abasimel and Fufa (2021) show that the fall in commodity prices, such as oil prices, increased the demand for food and fuel imports, affecting trade and the depreciation of the region's currency, exports, and capital flows. In addition, Ameli et al. (2021) show that growing fiscal imbalances and increased risk perception in financial markets. However, the fall in the price of commodities and the high demand for food and fuel imports and the accumulation of depreciation of the region's currencies increased inflation, affected trade, capital flows, exports, unemployment rate, income, and credit. Also, taxes increased the perception of risk in financial markets.

The destabilizing effect of economic shocks due to climate change, energy and food crises and the deficiency in social protection systems in most West African countries has sustained an environment of uncertainty (Nhemachena et al. 2020). As a result, thousands of people flee from high levels of inequality in access to assets and services and services opportunities (Ozili 2020).

This study examines the impact of fiscal policies on sustainable development and debt relief. For this, we consider the total debt, short-term debt, trade openness, economic growth, and wage inequality. We consider the hypothesis that trade openness impacts economic growth, the impact of the Gini coefficient on economic growth and total debt, and the effects that poor health services have on infant mortality on economic growth and debt.

On the other hand, the restructuring and reduction of the debt of several West African countries would imply that the region would have more resources for sound macroeconomic policies. However, the creation of fiscal space will depend on the initial conditions of each country and the strength of its public institutions, as well as the trajectory of the ongoing reforms aimed at improving their performance, being more efficient, competitive, and equitable for taxpayers.

Africa's developing economies are at severe risk and forced to reduce their public spending and implement fiscal reforms as a lack of fiscal space limits their governments' ability to respond to economic instability. As a result, inequalities are expected to worsen as economic activity contracts during the pandemic. That is part of a long-standing pattern. According to Reinhart and Sbrancia (2015), West African governments and institutions have customarily introduced measures such as cuts in interest rates, recapitalization of financial institutions, greater liquidity of banks and companies, fiscal stimulus packages, and the reformulation of enforcement. However, despite these efforts, there have not been substantial changes in the sustainability of public finances. Furthermore, Chancel et al. (2019) and Kubzansky, Cooper, and Barbary (2019) stated that the policies generated uncertainty with implications for the economy in recent decades. In many studies, analyses based on tax returns and household income surveys show discouraging results in reducing inequalities in the region. Namely, Bethune, Rocheteau, and Rupert (2015) studied the relationship between unemployment and debt from 1978 to 2008 and showed a negative correlation between debt and unemployment. Also, according to Padhi and Panda (2021) the unemployment rate reduces economic growth in the long term. Much of the literature confirms that integrated development policies have prioritized the fight against inequality. For example, according to Zebrai Filho (2021) and Ashford et al. (2020), a progressive social and regional redistribution of income leads to a welfare system based on enforceable economic and social rights with a positive impact on high and sustainable economic growth. Likewise, Hunter (2007) and Tridico (2017) confirm in their study of the three decades since 1950 that inequalities in developed economies diminish within a regime of high and stable growth. Girón and Kazemikhasragh (2021) indicate that health spending is an important contributor to this pattern and to the efficiency of public spending. Drentea and Lavrakas (2000) demonstrate a similar association between health and debt.

Specifically, the present study advances the following related hypotheses:

H1. There is a positive relationship between equality and debt reduction in the studied countries.

H2. There is a positive relationship between equality and economic growth in the studied countries.

H3. There is a positive relationship between employment and debt reduction in the studied countries.

H4. There is a positive relationship between employment and economic growth in the studied countries.

H5. There is a negative relationship between health expenditure and debt in the studied countries.

H6. There is a positive relationship between health expenditure and economic growth in the studied countries.

The economic crisis in several West African countries has increased the urgency to address policy issues related to inequality, considering the macroeconomic impacts of fiscal uncertainty and the bias in the projection of fiscal revenues, which could lead to fiscal and monetary policies being stricter but less effective.

2 Data Source and Sample

The data used in this research was extracted from the World Bank database. Annual data was used for 10 West African countries¹ between 2000 and 2020. Total debt during the past decade has almost tripled; this study therefore pays particular attention debt relief (see Mishchenko et al. 2019). It employs the variables used in Onafowora and Owoye (2019) and Bouincha and Karim (2018): inflation, health expenditures, total debt, government expenditures, GDP short-term debt, international trade, Gini ratio and short-term debt. We also look at the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) variables 3, 8, and 10: infant mortality rates, the proportion of people living below 50% of median income, labor force participation, and CPFI fiscal policy rankings. Panel data analysis was used to determine the relationship between debt relief, economic growth and selected variables in 10 West African countries. We have used the CPIA fiscal policy index (CPIA) as an indicator for fiscal policy. The index measures the stability and transparency of fiscal policy in the short and medium-term each year, taking exchange rate and monetary policy policies into account. In addition, the CPIA considers public debt sustainability in the short-term and medium-term. This index provides a ranking between 1 and 6; 6 is the most sustainable rank. For example, in the studied countries and the studied years, the index has varied between 2 and 4.5. In addition, we used total debt data that shows the total repayments and actual interest paid on long-term and short-term debt. Finally, we considered variables from SDGs 3 and 8, namely labor, essential in considering government debt (Burhanudin et al. 2017) as well as infant mortality, the percentage of people living below 50% of the median income, and the GINI. A sample description is provided in Table 1.

3 Variables

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) include 17 objectives. We focused on goals 3, 8 and 10 and the relevant variables to examine whether

¹ Selected West African countries: Benin, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, and Sierra Leone.

Variable	Symbol	Description
GDP growth	GDP	GDP growth (annual %)
Inflation rate	Inflation	Inflation, consumer prices (annual %)
Health expenditure	HEX	Current health expenditure (% of GDP)
Government	GEX	General government expenditure (% of GDP)
expenditure		
Total debt	TD	Total debt service (% of GNI)
Short-term debt	STD	Short-term debt (% of total reserves)
Trade	Trade	Trade (% of GDP)
Mortality rate	MIn	Mortality rate, infant (per 1000 live births)
(infant)		
Living below 50%	LB	The proportion of people living below 50% of median income (%)
Labor participation	LP	Labor force participation rate, total (% of total population ages
		15+) (modelled ILO estimate)
CPIA fiscal policy	CPIA	CPIA fiscal policy rating $(1 = low to 6 = high)$
Gini ratio	GI	Gini index (World Bank estimate)

Table 1: Description of variables and data sources.

sustainability and transparency in fiscal policy will reduce debt and economic growth. First, goal 3 focuses on health indicators; we have a unique index of infant mortality variables with data available in the countries studied. Second, GDP per capita represents SDG 8, and finally, labor participation and fiscal policy represent SDG 10.

In addition to the mentioned above variables, we also used control variables. First, according to a study by Yue (2011), we used the Gini ratio estimated by the World Bank to have a negative impact on economic growth. Using the Gini ratio shows the impact of income inequality on economic growth and long-term debt in the countries studied.²

Second, according to the study by Girón and Kazemikhasragh (2021) and Ying et al. (2021), health costs affect economic growth; hence health costs are one of the variables used in this study. Also, health costs have become an essential element, and since the COVID-19 health care system suppressed health costs, according to Khan et al. (2018), health costs and economic growth have significant effects over time, we considered health care to see the impact of economic growth and debt reduction.

² World Bank defines GINI ratio that measures the extent to which the distribution of income between individuals within an economy deviates from a perfectly equal distribution.

Finally, other variables considered in this study include short-term debt, trade, labor force participation, and government spending on total debt and economic growth. The CPIA of short-term and long-term fiscal policy rates in terms of fiscal policy sustainability is included in order to examine the impact of sustainable fiscal policies on debt reduction and economic growth in the selected countries.

4 Method of Analysis

This study investigates the effects of the above variables on debt reduction and economic growth and reduces gaps of the debt reduction in the studied countries. We use a Panel VAR model (León, Murillo, and Hernández 2019) in which our dependent variables are GDP growth and total debt. We employ Panel VAR with a fixed-effects approach for a broad sample of countries. According to Gabriel and de Santana Ribeiro (2019), the Panel VAR model treats all variables as endogenous. Moreover, Panel VAR with a fixed-effect approach has an advantage over classical VAR models. Panel VAR considers the time-invariant characteristics essential to each unit in our sample. We observe the effect of the variables on debt reduction and economic growth. We use the Panel VAR analysis and test robustness check by country. The proposed model is given as:

$$Y_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,t-1} + \ldots + \beta_p X_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

We employ León, Murillo, and Hernández (2019), Olaoye, Orisadare, and Okorie (2019), and Jacobs et al. (2020) for selection of our variables. According to Jacobs et al. (2020), a panel VAR model is the appropriate econometric technique for the relationship between debt and real growth; we considered GDP and total debt as the dependent variables. So, *Y* represents the dependent variables; we considered GDP and Total-debt, *X* represents the independent variables; including health expenditure, inflation, government expenditure, Total debt, short-term debt, trade, infant mortality rate, the proportion of people living below 50% of median income, labor participation, CPIA rate, and Gini ratio. The symbol ϵ_i represents the error term.

Table 2 shows the summary statistics. In detail, the average GDP growth is 4.3%. The average health expenditure in the studied countries is low, 5.65% of the GDP, government expenditure in the studied countries is more than 10% of the GDP. In the last 20 years, the average inflation in the study countries has

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
GDP	210	4.323	4.676704	-20.6	26.42
Inflation	210	6.763	6.873036	-5.69	34.7
HEX	210	5.652381	3.175441	2.49	20.41
GEX	210	11.99871	4.186507	0.95	20.71
TD	210	1.971986	1.840911	0.1	10.52
STD	210	49.71971	51.87563	0.1	347.99
Trade	210	63.54471	23.14005	20.72	136.97
Min	210	73.9019	21.93449	32.7	139.5
LB	210	13.60429	3.523337	5.7	22.9
LP	210	65.27957	8.859806	44	79.66
CPIA	210	3.523333	0.516223	2	4.5
GI	210	41.73571	4.066044	31.5	49.8

Table 2: Summary statistics.

been set at 6.7%. Regrettably, West African countries' average infant mortality rate in the last 20 years is almost 74, higher than the world and other African countries. Total debt is also high in the studied countries. The average of shortterm debt in the last 20 years is set at 50%. West African countries have a 65% average rate in the last 20 years in labor participation; additionally, 14% of people live below 50% of the median income. Finally, the average Gini coefficient equals 43%.

The correlation of the variables used in this study appears in Table 3. We used the fixed effect panel regression technique to show the relationship between macro variables and total debt and economic growth in the studied countries. The indicators we discuss in this study are economic growth (GDP), total debt (TD), total short-term debt (STD), health expenditure (HEX), government expenditure (GEX), international trade, infant mortality rate (Min), the proportion of people living below 50% of average income (LB), labor participation (LP) inflation, sustainability index in the short and medium-term fiscal policies (CPIA), the Gini ratio (GI) and inflation. There is a positive relationship between HEX, GEX, TD, STD, trade, LP and GDP. On the other hand, there is a negative correlation between the MIn, LB and GI with GDP. Additionally, we consider the correlation between independent variables and total debt (TD). There is a negative relationship between CPIA, MIn and GI with total debt. Inverse, there is a positive relationship between HEX, LB and inflation with TD.

	GDP	Inflation	НЕХ	GEX	Ē	STD	Trade	MIn	ΓB	ΓЪ	CPIA	ß
GDP	1											
Inflation	0.0673	1										
НЕХ	0.0399	-0.0344	1									
GEX	0.0269	-0.144	0.1589	1								
Ð	0.14	-0.0034	-0.0929	-0.1064	1							
STD	0.0471	0.0591	-0.1374	0.0304	0.2362	1						
Trade	0.4007	-0.0192	0.1026	0.0108	0.1403	-0.0141	1					
MIn	-0.0112	-0.0868	-0.371	0.2555	-0.0158	0.1443	0.1485	1				
LB	-0.0068	0.0072	-0.4669	-0.3821	0.3411	0.0581	0.1873	0.2966	1			
ГЪ	0.9873	-0.0718	0.0219	-0.0616	-0.1488	0.0458	0.3718	0.012	0.0267	1		
CPIA	0.0354	-0.0049	-0.3775	-0.2867	-0.2833	0.1978	0.0491	0.2209	0.6167	0.046	1	
GI	-0.0619	0.0464	-0.2149	-0.0595	-0.1766	-0.0316	0.0732	0.055	0.0219	-0.041	-0.084	1

Table 3: Correlation matrix.

5 Results

Table 4 shows the panel regression results of the fixed-effect and the randomeffect. The second column shows the primary GDP growth as the dependent variable. The results of the random-effects model show that the results of the fixed effects are also similar. Thus, although the standard issue of choice between fixed and random effect estimates (Petersen 2004) is resolved by employing the

GDP 0.0148105* 0.0071242 (0.0091331) (0.0076869) Inflation 0.0000974 -0.000305 0.0001844 -0.001753 (0.0002204) (0.000255) (0.0002594) (0.0000282) HEX 0.875012*** 0.0374098 0.2018582* 0.0001134 (0.6535727) (0.086715) (0.4224281) (0.0458171) GEX 0.2031796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.0001992) (0.000248) (0.0002163) (0.000228) TD 0.9265591* -0.0663024 (0.00012807) (0.012199) (0.0114317) (0.000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0012807) Mln -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170033*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LB -1.069959*** -0.0360278*** -0.0025308		Fixed-effect GDP	Fixed-effect Total debt	Random-effect GDP	Random-effect Total debt
GDP 0.0148105* 0.00/1242 (0.0091331) (0.0076869) Inflation 0.0000274 -0.0000305 0.0001844 -0.001753 (0.000224) (0.000255) (0.0002594) (0.0002028) HEX 0.875012*** 0.0374098 0.2018582* 0.0001134 (0.6535727) (0.086715) (0.4224281) (0.0458171) GEX 0.2031796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.0000278) (0.0001992) (0.0000248) (0.0000805 0.0000787*** (0.0001992) (0.00012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.001658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015867 MIn -0.028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4288938** 0.191239) (0.024816574) (0.0484865) LP 0.28233377 (0.0026016) (0.033389) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Inflation 0.0000974 -0.0000305 0.0001844 -0.001753 Inflation (0.0002024) (0.0000255) (0.0000282) (0.0000282) HEX 0.875012*** 0.0374098 0.2018582* 0.0001134 (0.6535727) (0.086715) (0.4224281) (0.0458171) GEX 0.2013796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.0001992) (0.000063*** 0.000805 0.0000787*** STD 0.0001992) (0.0000248) (0.0002163) (0.0001280) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.001958* 0.0443736*** 0.0012807) MIn -0.028259** -0.0360278*** -0.01626109** -0.0170093*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4288938** 0.1919239*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.034884* -0.0036997* 0.2809553*** -0.0059176**	GDP		0.0148105*		0.00/1242
Initiation 0.00009/4 -0.000305 0.0001844 -0.001753 (0.0002224) (0.0000255) (0.0002594) (0.0000282) HEX 0.875012*** 0.0374098 0.2018582* 0.0001134 (0.6535727) (0.086715) (0.4224281) (0.0458171) GEX 0.2031796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.0000228) (0.0001992) (0.0000248) (0.0002163) (0.0000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Mln -0.028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.017093*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.282337 (0.002616) (0.0033389) (0.02187) CPIA 0.34844* -0.0036974* -0.0055176** 0.0025462) GI <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>(0.0091331)</td><td></td><td>(0.0076869)</td></t<>			(0.0091331)		(0.0076869)
(0.0002024) (0.000255) (0.0002594) (0.0000282) HEX 0.875012*** 0.0374098 0.2018582* 0.0001134 (0.6535727) (0.086715) (0.4224281) (0.0458171) GEX 0.2031796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6663024 (0.654188) STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.00002163) (0.0000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Mln -0.028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0022463) (0.0591129) (0.0436574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.002421) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736)	Inflation	0.0000974	-0.0000305	0.0001844	-0.001/53
HEX 0.875012*** 0.0374098 0.2018582* 0.0001134 (0.6535727) (0.086715) (0.4224281) (0.0458171) GEX 0.2031796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.5713744) (0.654188) STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.00002163) (0.0000228) Trade 0.0395777** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Mln -0.028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.023346) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 <td></td> <td>(0.0002024)</td> <td>(0.0000255)</td> <td>(0.0002594)</td> <td>(0.0000282)</td>		(0.0002024)	(0.0000255)	(0.0002594)	(0.0000282)
(0.6535727) (0.086715) (0.4224281) (0.0458171) GEX 0.2031796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.5713744) (0.654188) STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.000805 0.0000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Mln -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.033389) (0.02187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of boservations 210 210 210 210	HEX	0.875012***	0.0374098	0.2018582*	0.0001134
GEX 0.2031796 0.0223274 0.7547776** 0.045656 (0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.5713744) (0.654188) STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.000805 0.0000787*** (0.0001992) (0.000248) (0.0002163) (0.000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Mln -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0426109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2746382) (0.0217885) (0.023546) (0.023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365278* -0.769885*** 0.0274215 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.02		(0.6535727)	(0.086715)	(0.4224281)	(0.0458171)
(0.3209184) (0.040584) (0.3071816) (0.0336459) TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.5713744) (0.654188) STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.000805 0.0000787*** (0.0001992) (0.0000248) (0.0002163) (0.0000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Mln -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.021785) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10	GEX	0.2031796	0.0223274	0.7547776**	0.045656
TD 0.9265591* -0.6063024 (0.5713744) (0.654188) STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.000805 0.00002828) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) Mln -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025108 (0.191561) (0.002442) (0.021785) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 210 Value 0.00 0.00 0.00 210		(0.3209184)	(0.040584)	(0.3071816)	(0.0336459)
(0.5713744) (0.654188) STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.000805 0.0000787*** (0.0001992) (0.0000248) (0.0002163) (0.000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) MIn -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.00731071) (0.0091129) (0.055778) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0036528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210	TD	0.9265591*		-0.6063024	
STD 0.00084 0.00063** 0.000805 0.0000787*** (0.0001992) (0.0000248) (0.0002163) (0.000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) MIn -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.055798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0036528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.		(0.5713744)		(0.654188)	
(0.0001992) (0.000248) (0.002163) (0.000228) Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) MIn -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 210 P value <t< td=""><td>STD</td><td>0.00084</td><td>0.00063**</td><td>0.000805</td><td>0.0000787***</td></t<>	STD	0.00084	0.00063**	0.000805	0.0000787***
Trade 0.0395777*** 0.0019658* 0.0443736*** 0.0015616 (0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) MIn -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337*** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00** 0.00** 0.00** <tr< td=""><td></td><td>(0.0001992)</td><td>(0.0000248)</td><td>(0.0002163)</td><td>(0.0000228)</td></tr<>		(0.0001992)	(0.0000248)	(0.0002163)	(0.0000228)
(0.0092787) (0.0012199) (0.0114317) (0.0012807) MIn -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337*** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.007 0.08 0.08	Trade	0.0395777***	0.0019658*	0.0443736***	0.0015616
MIn -0.0028259** -0.0360278*** -0.0626109** -0.0170093*** (0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337*** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00** 44445 Wald test 0.007 0.08 4445		(0.0092787)	(0.0012199)	(0.0114317)	(0.0012807)
(0.0731071) (0.0091129) (0.0595798) (0.0063626) LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337*** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00 210 210 Wald test 0.007 0.08 With Wald test 0.07	MIn	-0.0028259**	-0.0360278***	-0.0626109**	-0.0170093***
LB -1.069959*** 0.111504** -0.4288938** 0.1919239*** (0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00 Hausman test 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08		(0.0731071)	(0.0091129)	(0.0595798)	(0.0063626)
(0.4022463) (0.05116) (0.4636574) (0.0484865) LP 0.2823337*** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00 210 210 Wald test 0.007 0.08 0.00**	LB	-1.069959***	0.111504**	-0.4288938**	0.1919239***
LP 0.2823337*** -0.0038997* 0.2809553*** -0.0025308 (0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 210 P value 0.000 0.000 Hausman test 0.00** 0.00** Walk test 0.007 0.08		(0.4022463)	(0.05116)	(0.4636574)	(0.0484865)
(0.2823337) (0.0026016) (0.0033389) (0.002187) CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002422) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00 400 Hausman test 0.00** 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08 400	LP	0.2823337***	-0.0038997*	0.2809553***	-0.0025308
CPIA 0.0348844* -0.0009943* 0.0490354** -0.0059176** (0.0191561) (0.002422) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00 400 Hausman test 0.00** 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08 400		(0.2823337)	(0.0026016)	(0.0033389)	(0.002187)
(0.0191561) (0.002442) (0.0217885) (0.0023546) GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00** 40.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08 40.00**	CPIA	0.0348844*	-0.0009943*	0.0490354**	-0.0059176**
GI -0.5850901*** -0.0365528* -0.7696885*** 0.0274415 (0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08		(0.0191561)	(0.002442)	(0.0217885)	(0.0023546)
(0.2746382) (0.034736) (0.3434495) (0.0372021) Number of groups 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210 210 210 210 P value 0.00 0.00** 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08 0.07 0.07	GI	-0.5850901***	-0.0365528*	-0.7696885***	0.0274415
Number of groups 10 10 10 10 10 Number of observations 210		(0.2746382)	(0.034736)	(0.3434495)	(0.0372021)
Number of observations 210	Number of groups	10	10	10	10
P value 0.00 0.00 Hausman test 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08	Number of observations	210	210	210	210
Hausman test 0.00** 0.00** Wald test 0.07 0.08	<i>P</i> value	0.00	0.00		
Wald test 0.07 0.08	Hausman test	0.00**	0.00**		
	Wald test	0.07	0.08		
Wooldridge test 0.35 0.37	Wooldridge test	0.35	0.37		
Mean VIF 9.1 9.15	Mean VIF	9.1	9.15		

Table 4: Panel VAR analysis.

Asterisk (***), (**), and (*) denote statistically significant at 1, 5 and 10% levels.

Hausman test in empirical analyses, the results suggest that a fixed effect is more appropriate. Also, we checked for heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and multicollinearity. The results show no heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation and multicollinearity among the explanatory variables.

The results do show a significant relationship between health expenditure and economic growth; this means that greater health expenditure will lead to economic growth in the future. In addition, the results confirm the results of Somé, Pasali, and Kaboine (2019), who shows a direct and significant relationship between these two variables. The results also show a significant negative relationship between CPIAF and total debt. However, short-term and medium-term stability and transparency of fiscal policies lead to a reduction in total debt. The results correspond to those in Irungu, Chevallier, and Ndiritu (2020) and Makhoba, Kaseeram, and Greyling (2019), which propose sustainable fiscal policy increasing transparency and reducing debt in the long run. In addition, the results show that there is a positive and significant relationship between CPIAF sustainable fiscal policies and GDP growth, so a stable and transparent fiscal policy leads to economic growth.

These results are generally consistent with Mugableh (2019), who emphasizes that fiscal policies and financial instruments lead to economic growth in the long run; with Machinjike and Bonga (2020), who state that achieving financial stability is key, leading to stability and growth; and with Misra, Gupta, and Trivedi (2021), who emphasize that the basis of sustainability and performance appraisal in the field of debt could control government spending by providing transparency to prevent any moral risk and increasing government revenues.

Moreover, the results show that health policies that reduce infant mortality rates lead to economic growth that reduces total debt. According to Robbins (2018), infant health is an important factor that positively affects social and individual well-being. As noted, the implementation of some health policies increases GDP (Ali and Xialing 2017; Zaidi and Saidi 2018). These results show that international trade has a positive relationship with economic growth, and that international trade reduces the total long-term debt, notably in Nigeria. There is a direct and significant relationship between the Gini ratio and total debt because reducing inequality reduces total debt (Akram 2016; Arawatari and Ono 2017; Bajrami 2020; Gunasinghe et al. 2021; Kharusi and Ada 2018; Nor-Eddine and Chkiriba 2019; Shad et al. 2020).

Finally, we perform the robustness check to control country effects (see Table 5). Following Barslund (2007), we use the command "Checkrob" on Stata. The results indicate that the coefficients do not change much, and their impact on GDP and total debt remains the same with minor changes, which appear in sign prediction in Table 5.

country.
heck by
istness c
s of robu
Result
Table 5:

	Fixed-effect	Robustness check	Sign	Fixed-effect	Robustness	Sign
	GDP	by country GDP	prediction	Total debt	check by country Total debt	predictior
GDP				0.0148105*	0.0149604*	+
				(0.0091331)	(0.0090961)	
Inflation	0.0000974	0.0000986	+	-0.0000305	-0.0000296	+
	(0.0002024)	(0.0001924)		(0.0000255)	(0.0000216)	
HEX	0.875012***	0.885163***	+	0.0374098	0.0383069	+
	(0.6535727)	(0.6484324)		(0.086715)	(0.8562911)	
GEX	0.2031796	0.2024659	I	0.0223274	0.0213598	I
	(0.3209184)	(0.3267398)		(0.040584)	(0.0426535)	
Ê	0.9265591*	0.9301535*	+			
	(0.5713744)	(0.5637719)				
STD	0.00084	0.00083	I	0.00063**	0.00067**	+
	(0.0001992)	(0.0002082)		(0.0000248)	(0.0000223)	
Trade	0.0395777***	0.0396132***	+	0.0019658*	0.0019241*	I
	(0.0092787)	(0.0091235)		(0.0012199)	(0.0012063)	
MIn	-0.0028259**	-0.0027168^{**}	+	-0.0360278***	-0.0359532***	+
	(0.0731071)	(0.7304319)		(0.0091129)	(0.0090349)	
LB	-1.069959***	-1.0581235^{***}	+	0.111504**	0.112752**	+
	(0.4022463)	(0.3965981)		(0.05116)	(0.05263)	
LP	0.2823337***	0.2833217***	+	-0.0038997*	-0.0037842*	+
	(0.2823337)	(0.2806529)		(0.0026016)	(0.0025301)	
CPIA	0.0348844*	0.0347269*	I	-0.0009943*	-0.0009813*	+
	(0.0191561)	(0.0190173)		(0.0024428)	(0.0022367)	
GI	-0.5850901^{***}	-0.5763201^{***}	+	-0.0365528*	-0.0361861^{*}	+
	(0.2746382)	(0.2635127)		(0.0347364)	(0.0334991)	

	Fixed-effect	Robustness check bv countrv	Sign prediction	Fixed-effect	Robustness check by country	Sign prediction
	GDP	GDP		Total debt	Total debt	
Robustness check by country		×			×	
Number of groups	10	10		10	10	
Number of observations	210	210		210	210	
<i>P</i> value	0.00	0.00		0.00		
Hausman test	0.00**			0.00**		
		-				

Table 5: (continued)

Asterisk (***), (**), and (*) denote statistically significant at 1, 5 and 10% levels.

6 Conclusion

This study examines the relationship among the variables related to sustainable development goals 3, 8, and 10 – health, inequality in payments, labor force participation – total debt, and economic growth. We considered health-related variables, including health costs and infant mortality. This study confirms hypotheses 5 and 6: there is a direct relationship between leading health expenditures and economic growth and a negative relationship with debt (Girón and Kazemikhasragh 2021). Also, other factors such as health status, which is an essential element, have a positive and significant effect on economic growth. Furthermore, the results show that reducing infant mortality by improving the health system leads to economic growth. On the other hand, this study emphasizes that infant health policies may reduce total debt in the long run.

This study confirms also hypotheses 3 and 4: labor-related policies that increase labor force participation positively impact debt reduction in the long run and lead to greater economic growth, especially during financial and health crises (Bethune, Rocheteau, and Rupert 2015), and greater international trade (exports). Furthermore, confirming hypotheses 1 and 2, according to Zebrai Filho (2021), equality positively impacts economic growth; additionally, equality reduces total debt.

References

- Abasimel, N. A., and H. W. Fufa. 2021. "The Horrors of COVID-19 and the Recent Macroeconomy in Ethiopia." *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*: 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-020-00713-6.
- Akram, N. 2016. "Public Debt and Pro-Poor Economic Growth Evidence from South Asian Countries." *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 29 (1): 746–57.
- Ali, N., and L. Xialing. 2017. "Foreign Direct Investment, International Trade and Economic Growth in Pakistan's Economic Perspective." *American Journal of Economics* 7 (5): 211–15.
- Ameli, N., O. Dessens, M. Winning, J. Cronin, H. Chenet, P. Drummond, and M. Grubb. 2021.
 "Higher Cost of Finance Exacerbates a Climate Investment Trap in Developing Economies." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 1–12.
- Arawatari, R., and T. Ono. 2017. "Inequality and Public Debt: A Positive Analysis." *Review of International Economics* 25 (5): 1155–73.
- Ashford, N. A., R. P. Hall, J. Arango-Quiroga, K. A. Metaxas, and A. L. Showalter. 2020. "Addressing Inequality: The First Step Beyond COVID-19 and Towards Sustainability." *Sustainability* 12 (13): 5404.
- Bajrami, E. 2020. "The Impact of Public Debt on Economic Growth in Albania." *Romanian Economic Journal* 23 (77): 20–7.

Barslund, M. 2007. CHECKROB: Stata Module to Perform Robustness Check of Alternative Specifications. Statistical Software Components S456837. Boston: Department of Economics, Boston College.

- Bethune, Z., G. Rocheteau, and P. Rupert. 2015. "Aggregate Unemployment and Household Unsecured Debt." *Review of Economic Dynamics* 18 (1): 77–100.
- Bouincha, M., and M. Karim. 2018. "Income Inequality and Economic Growth: An Analysis Using a Panel Data." *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 10 (5): 242–53.
- Burhanudin, M. D. A., R. Muda, S. B. S. Nathan, and R. Arshad. 2017. "Real Effects of Government Debt on Sustainable Economic Growth in Malaysia." *Journal of International Studies* 10 (3): 161–72.
- Chancel, L., D. Cogneau, A. Gethin, and A. Myczkowski. 2019. "How Large Are African Inequalities? Towards Distributional National Accounts in Africa, 1990–2017," Working Papers hal-02876986. Paris: HAL.
- Drentea, P., and P. J. Lavrakas. 2000. "Over the Limit: The Association Among Health, Race and Debt." *Social Science and Medicine* 50 (4): 517–29.
- Gabriel, L. F., and L. C. de Santana Ribeiro. 2019. "Economic Growth and Manufacturing: An Analysis Using Panel VAR and Intersectoral Linkages." *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 49: 43–61.
- Girón, A., and A. Kazemikhasragh. 2021. "Gender Equality and Economic Growth in Asia and Africa: Empirical Analysis of Developing and Least Developed Countries." *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00782-1.
- Guillén, A. 2020. "Coronavirus Crisis or a New Stage of the Global Crisis of Capitalism?" *Agrarian South: Journal of Political Economy* 9 (3): 356–67.
- Gunasinghe, C., E. A. Selvanathan, A. Naranpanawa, and J. Forster. 2021. "Rising Income Inequality in OECD Countries: Does Fiscal Policy Sacrifice Economic Growth in Achieving Equity?" *The European Journal of Development Research* 33: 1840–76.
- Hunter, M. 2007. "The Changing Political Economy of Sex in South Africa: The Significance of Unemployment and Inequalities to the Scale of the AIDS Pandemic." *Social Science and Medicine* 64 (3): 689–700.
- Irungu, W. N., J. Chevallier, and S. W. Ndiritu. 2020. "Regime Changes and Fiscal Sustainability in Kenya." *Economic Modelling* 86: 1–9.
- Jacobs, J., K. Ogawa, E. Sterken, and I. Tokutsu. 2020. "Public Debt, Economic Growth and the Real Interest Rate: A Panel VAR Approach to EU and OECD Countries." *Applied Economics* 52 (12): 1377–94.
- Khan, S. A. R., Y. Zhang, M. Anees, H. Golpîra, A. Lahmar, and D. Qianli. 2018. "Green Supply Chain Management, Economic Growth and Environment: A GMM-Based Evidence." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 185: 588–99.
- Kharusi, S. A., and M. S. Ada. 2018. "External Debt and Economic Growth: The Case of Emerging Economy." *Journal of Economic Integration* 33 (1): 1141–57.
- Kubzansky, M., A. Cooper, and V. Barbary. 2019. "Promise and Progress Market-Based Solutions to Poverty in Africa." *Gates Open Research* 3: 965.
- León, J. M. G., J. W. R. Murillo, and E. A. R. Hernández. 2019. *Public Debt and Stability in Economic Growth: Evidence for Latin America* 9 (4): 137–47.
- Machinjike, N., and W. G. Bonga. 2020. "Role of Fiscal Rules and Institutions on Fiscal Sustainability and Growth: Policy Options for Zimbabwe." *Dynamic Research Journals' Journal of Economics and Finance* 5 (2): 26–34.

Makhoba, B. P., I. Kaseeram, and L. Greyling. 2019. "Assessing the Impact of Fiscal Policy on Economic Growth in South Africa." *African Journal of Business and Economic Research* 14 (1): 7–29.

- Mishchenko, S., S. Naumenkova, V. Mishchenko, V. Ivanov, and R. Lysenko. 2019. "Growing Discoordination Between Monetary and Fiscal Policies in Ukraine." *Banks and Bank Systems* 14 (2): 40.
- Misra, S., K. Gupta, and P. Trivedi. 2021. "Sub-National Government Debt Sustainability in India: An Empirical Analysis." *Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies*: 1–23, https://doi.org/10.1080/17520843.2021.1948171.
- Mugableh, M. I. 2019. "Fiscal Policy Tools and Economic Growth in Jordan: Evidence from Time-Series Models." *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 11 (1): 1–7.
- Nhemachena, C., L. Nhamo, G. Matchaya, C. R. Nhemachena, B. Muchara, S. T. Karuaihe, and
 S. Mpandeli. 2020. "Climate Change Impacts on Water and Agriculture Sectors in Southern
 Africa: Threats and Opportunities for Sustainable Development." Water 12 (10): 2673.
- Nor-Eddine, O., and D. Chkiriba. 2019. "External Public Debt and Economic Growth in Morocco: Assessment and Impacts." *International Journal of Economic Sciences* 8 (2): 80–95.
- Olaoye, O. O., M. Orisadare, and U. U. Okorie. 2019. "Government Expenditure and Economic Growth Nexus in ECOWAS Countries: A Panel VAR Approach." *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 36 (3): 204–25.
- Onafowora, O., and O. Owoye. 2019. "Public Debt, Foreign Direct Investment and Economic Growth Dynamics: Empirical Evidence from the Caribbean." *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 14 (5): 769–91.
- Ozili, P. 2020. "COVID-19 in Africa: Socio-Economic Impact, Policy Response and Opportunities." International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, https://doi.org/10.1108/ijssp-05-2020-0171.
- Padhi, B., and S. Panda. 2021. "Employment and Economic Growth Dynamics in Odisha, India." Journal of Public Affairs 21 (2): e2168.
- Petersen, T. 2004. "Analysing Panel Data: Fixed- and Random-Effects Models." In *Handbook of Data Analysis*, 332–45. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Ltd.
- Radelet, S. 2016. "El auge de África ¿se frenó?: el futuro de la región depende de factores que van mucho mas allá de las fluctuaciones de precios de las materias primas." *Finanzas y desarrollo* 53 (2): 6–11.
- Reinhart, C. M., and M. B. Sbrancia. 2015. "The Liquidation of Government Debt." *Economic Policy* 30 (82): 291–333.
- Robbins, R. H. 2018. "An Anthropological Contribution to Rethinking the Relationship Between Money, Debt, and Economic Growth." *Focaal* 2018 (81): 99–120.
- Shad, M. K., F. W. Lai, A. Shamim, and M. McShane. 2020. "The Efficacy of Sustainability Reporting Towards Cost of Debt and Equity Reduction." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27 (18): 22511–22.
- Somé, J., S. Pasali, and M. Kaboine. 2019. "Exploring the Impact of Healthcare on Economic Growth in Africa." *Applied Economics and Finance* 6 (3): 45–57.
- Tridico, P. 2017. Inequality in Financial Capitalism. New York: Routledge.
- Ying, S., D. Leone, A. F. Cicchiello, and A. Kazemikhasragh. 2021. "Industrial Dynamics and Economic Growth in Health-Care Context. Evidence from Selected OECD Countries." *Journal* of Business and Industrial Marketing, https://doi.org/10.1108/jbim-11-2020-0513.
- Yue, H. Y. 2011. "Income Inequality, Economic Growth and Inflation: A Study on Korea." International Journal of Economics and Research 2 (5): 14–21.

- Zaidi, S., and K. Saidi. 2018. "Environmental Pollution, Health Expenditure and Economic Growth in the Sub-Saharan Africa Countries: Panel ARDL Approach." *Sustainable Cities and Society* 41: 833–40.
- Zebrai Filho, S. 2021. "The Political Economy of Inequality in Developing Countries: More Politics than Economics." *Journal of Applied Business and Economics* 23 (1): 79–87.