



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna  
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Continuous dependence on boundary and Soret coefficients in double diffusive bidisperse convection

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Franca Franchi, R.N. (2020). Continuous dependence on boundary and Soret coefficients in double diffusive bidisperse convection. MATHEMATICAL METHODS IN THE APPLIED SCIENCES, 43(15), 8882-8893 [10.1002/mma.6581].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/770057> since: 2020-09-03

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6581>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

**Franchi F, Nibbi R, Straughan B. (2020), Continuous dependence on bound-ary and Soret coefficients in double diffusive bidispersive convection, Mathematical methods in the applied sciences, 43 (15), pp. 8882-8893**

The final published version is available online at <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6581>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

*This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)*

***When citing, please refer to the published version.***

# Continuous dependence on boundary and Soret coefficients in double diffusive bidispersive convection

Franca Franchi\*, Roberta Nibbi<sup>†</sup> and Brian Straughan<sup>‡</sup>

August 20, 2019

## Abstract

We develop a theory for double diffusive convection in a double porosity material which contains Brinkman terms. The Soret effect is included whereby a temperature gradient may directly influence salt concentration. The boundary conditions on the temperature and salt fields are of general type. Continuous dependence is established upon the Soret coefficient and upon the coefficients in the boundary conditions.

## 1 Introduction

Solutions to problems involving flow in double porosity, or bidisperse, materials are proving to be invaluable in modern life. Such theories have applications to many important areas such as chemical engineering, Enterría et al. [1], Huang et al. [2], Ly et al. [3]; in landslides, Borja et al. [4], Scotto di Santolo and Evangelista [5]; in self ignition of stockpiled coal, Hooman & Maas [6]; in land drainage and ensuring stormwater runoff does not pollute,

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, 5 Piazza di Porta S. Donato, 40126 Bologna, Italy

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, 5 Piazza di Porta S. Donato, 40126 Bologna, Italy

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Haws et al. [7], Jensen et al. [8]; in ensuring clean drinking water from an aquifer, Ghasemizadeh et al. [9], Fretwell et al. [10]; and there are many others.

A theory for flow in a bidisperse porous medium was proposed by Nield & Kuznetsov, see e.g. [12, 13], and the references therein, see also Nield[11]. In particular, Nield and Kuznetsov [12] produced a theory based on Brinkman porous media where temperature effects were paramount. Inclusion of temperature(s) is very important since thermal stresses can induce cracking and lead to the production of micro pores, see e.g. Gelet et al. [14], Kim and Hosseini [15], Rees et al. [16]. The theory of Nield and Kuznetsov has macro pores and smaller micro pores which lead to macro porosity,  $\Phi$ , and micro porosity,  $\epsilon$ . They have independent velocity, temperature and pressure fields in both the macro and micro pores, denoted by  $U_i^f, T^f$  and  $p^f$ , and by  $U_i^p, T^p$  and  $p^p$ , where  $f$  denotes macro pores while  $p$  represents the micro pores.

For many real life problems we believe a single temperature may suffice, while retaining independent velocity and pressure fields in the macro and micro pores. This approach has been applied successfully to various problems using Darcy porous media theory by Falsaperla et al. [17], Franchi et al. [18], Gentile and Straughan [19, 20], and by Straughan [22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. In this work we adopt a single temperature but we employ a Brinkman porous medium theory in both the macro and micro pores, in keeping with the original work of Nield and Kuznetsov [12]. It is worth pointing out that there are many situations where Brinkman theory is relevant, see e.g. Barletta et al. [27], Falsaperla et al. [28], Nield [29], and Rees [30].

We actually develop a Brinkman theory for the problem of thermosolutal flow in a bidisperse porous medium, where both salt and temperature field effects are present. In the single porosity case such effects are well known, see e.g. Barletta and Nield [31], Deepika [32], Nield and Kuznetsov [13]. To achieve our goal we employ a Boussinesq approximation in the buoyancy (body force) terms to allow us to include temperature and salt fields in a linear manner. The Boussinesq approximation is discussed at length in fluid mechanics and flows in single porosity media by Barletta [33], and by Nield and Barletta [34].

The analysis in this work is motivated primarily by a paper of Nield and Kuznetsov [13] who study double diffusive convection in a single porosity porous material with general boundary conditions and they discover that in a certain parameter range this problem can become singular. Our goal is to study continuous dependence upon boundary coefficients. Thus, our study

is one of continuous dependence upon the model itself. Hirsch and Smale [35] pose the problem of what effect does changing the model have upon the solutions. In many ways continuous dependence of the solution in changes in the differential equations or boundary conditions is as important as continuous dependence upon the initial data, or stability. In fact, continuous dependence on the model occupies much recent research, see e.g. Celik and Hoang [36], Ciarletta et al.[37], Franchi et al. [18], Gentile and Straughan [21], Harfash [38], Hoang and Thinh [39], Kalantarova and Ugurlu [40], Li *et al.* [41], Liu [42], Liu and Xiao [43], Liu et al [44], Scott [45], Varsakelis and Papalexandris [46], Wang and Su [47].

Thus, we now present a model for double diffusive flow in a bidisperse porous medium where we allow for a Soret effect. The Soret effect is when a temperature gradient induces a change in solute concentration. This is manifest as a cross diffusion term which leads to complications in the analysis. Our goal is to demonstrate continuous dependence of the solution to the model in changes in the coefficients in the boundary conditions and also upon the Soret coefficient.

## 2 Governing equations

The basic equations governing the double diffusive flow in a double porosity material with Brinkman effects are now presented. Let  $T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$  be the temperature, let  $C$  be the concentration of solute,  $U_i^f$  and  $U_i^p$  are the velocities in the macro and micro pores, and  $p^f$  and  $p^p$  are the pressures in the macro and micro pores. The equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mu} \Delta U_i^f - \frac{\mu}{K_f} U_i^f - \zeta(U_i^f - U_i^p) - p_{,i}^f + g_i T - h_i C = 0, \\
& U_{i,i}^f = 0, \\
& \tilde{\mu} \Delta U_i^p - \frac{\mu}{K_p} U_i^p - \zeta(U_i^p - U_i^f) - p_{,i}^p + g_i T - h_i C = 0, \\
& U_{i,i}^p = 0, \\
& (\rho c)_m T_{,t} + (\rho c)_f (U_i^f + U_i^p) T_{,i} = \kappa_m \Delta T, \\
& \epsilon_1 C_{,t} + (U_i^f + U_i^p) C_{,i} = \epsilon_2 \Delta C + S \Delta T.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where f and p refer to macro and micro quantities,  $\tilde{\mu}$  is the Brinkman viscosity coefficient,  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity of the saturating fluid,  $K_f$  and  $K_p$

are permeabilities,  $\zeta$  is an interaction coefficient,  $\rho c$  denotes the product of the density and specific heat at constant pressure with  $f$  denoting the fluid whereas  $m$  denotes a suitably averaged value in the porous medium. The term  $\kappa_m$  is an averaged value of the thermal conductivity,  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  arise from the equation for the solute,  $S$  is the Soret coefficient, and  $g_i$  and  $h_i$  are gravity terms which arise through use of a Boussinesq approximation. The Boussinesq approximation is discussed in detail in [33], Gouin and Ruggeri [?], Gouin et al. [?], Nield and Barletta [34] Rajagopal et al. [?, ?].

Without loss of generality for the problem under consideration here we suppose that

$$|\mathbf{g}| \leq 1, \quad |\mathbf{h}| \leq 1. \quad (2)$$

Throughout the article standard indicial notation is employed together with the Einstein summation convention, and  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator.

Equations (1)<sub>1,2</sub> are the balance of momentum and conservation of mass in the macro pores, while (1)<sub>3,4</sub> are the balance of momentum and conservation of mass in the micro pores, as derived by Nield and Kuznetsov [12]. Equation (1)<sub>5</sub> is the balance of energy for a single temperature, cf. Falsaperla et al. [28], Gentile and Straughan [19], and (1)<sub>6</sub> is the equation governing the solute concentration, see Straughan [23, 25], although we point out only Nield and Kuznetsov [12] employ Brinkman terms, the other writers restrict attention to Darcy theory.

It is convenient to replace  $U_i^f$  and  $U_i^p$  by  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  and to substitute  $\mu/K_f$  and  $\mu/K_p$  by  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$ . We further divide the equation for  $T$  by  $\kappa_m$  and define  $\alpha = (\rho c)_f/\kappa_m$ , and rescale the time so that the term  $T_{,t}$  has coefficient one. In this way, for the purpose of a continuous dependence analysis, the equations for double diffusive flow in a bidisperse porous medium may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}\Delta u_i - \mu u_i - \zeta(u_i - v_i) - p_{,i} + g_i T - h_i C &= 0, \\ u_{i,i} &= 0, \\ \tilde{\mu}\Delta v_i - \gamma v_i - \zeta(v_i - u_i) - q_{,i} + g_i T - h_i C &= 0, \\ v_{i,i} &= 0, \\ T_{,t} + \alpha(u_i + v_i)T_{,i} &= \Delta T, \\ \epsilon_1 C_{,t} + (u_i + v_i)C_{,i} &= \epsilon_2 \Delta C + S \Delta T. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an arbitrary positive number (fixed). Equations (3) hold on a bounded domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$  for time  $t \in (0, \mathcal{T}]$ . On the boundary of  $\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma$ , we

suppose that

$$u_i = 0, \quad v_i = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0, \mathcal{T}], \quad (4)$$

while the temperature and concentration satisfy the general boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = -L(T - T_a), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0, \mathcal{T}], \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial n} = -M(C - C_a), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0, \mathcal{T}], \quad (6)$$

The coefficients  $L$  and  $M$  are given, and  $T_a$  and  $C_a$  are known ambient values. The derivative with respect to  $n$  is the unit outward normal derivative. Conditions (5) and (6) correspond to the boundary conditions employed by Nield and Kuznetsov [13] for a single porosity material.

The initial conditions are

$$T(\mathbf{x}, 0) = T_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad C(\mathbf{x}, 0) = C_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \quad (7)$$

Our goal is to derive continuous dependence results on the Soret coefficient  $S$ , together with dependence on the boundary coefficients  $L$  and  $M$ .

### 3 A priori estimates

In this section we derive a priori estimates on the temperature  $T$ , and on the salt concentration  $C$ , these estimates being essential for continuous dependence.

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the norm and inner product on  $L^2(\Omega)$  and let  $\|\cdot\|_4$  denote the norm on  $L^4(\Omega)$ .

The first estimate proceeds by multiplying (3)<sub>5</sub> by  $T$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ . After using the boundary conditions (4) and (5) and integrating by parts one may arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|T\|^2 + \|\nabla T\|^2 + L \oint_{\Gamma} T(T - T_a) dA = 0.$$

Use the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality on the term involving  $T T_a$  to find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \|T\|^2 + \|\nabla T\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \oint_{\Gamma} T^2 dA \leq \frac{L}{2} \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA.$$

Upon integration of this inequality we obtain our first a priori estimate,

$$\|T\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla T\|^2 ds + L \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T^2 dA ds \leq D_1(t), \quad (8)$$

where  $D_1(t)$  is the data term

$$D_1(t) = \|T_0\|^2 + L \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA ds.$$

Next, we multiply (3)<sub>5</sub> by  $T^3$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . After integration by parts and use of (4) and (5) one finds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{4} \|T\|_4^4 + 3 \int_{\Omega} T^2 T_{,i} T_{,i} dx + L \oint_{\Gamma} T^4 dA = L \oint_{\Gamma} T^3 T_a dA.$$

Employ Young's inequality in the form  $T^3 T_a \leq T^4/2 + 27 T_a^4/32$  to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{4} \|T\|_4^4 + 3 \int_{\Omega} T^2 T_{,i} T_{,i} dx + \frac{L}{2} \oint_{\Gamma} T^4 dA = \frac{27}{32} L \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^4 dA.$$

Upon integration this furnishes the a priori bound

$$\|T\|_4^4 + 12 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} T^2 |\nabla T|^2 dx ds + 2L \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T^4 dA ds \leq D_2(t), \quad (9)$$

where the data term  $D_2(T)$  is given by

$$D_2(t) = \|T_0\|_4^4 + \frac{27L}{8} \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^4 dA ds.$$

To derive the next a priori bound we multiply (3)<sub>6</sub> by  $C$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . After integration by parts and simultaneously employing (4)–(6) one may see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \|C\|^2 + \epsilon_2 \|\nabla C\|^2 + \epsilon_2 M \oint_{\Gamma} C^2 dA = \\ & = \epsilon_2 M \oint_{\Gamma} C C_a dA - S(\nabla C, \nabla T) - SL \oint_{\Gamma} C T dA + SL \oint_{\Gamma} C T_a da. \end{aligned}$$

We now employ the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality on the terms on the right to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|C\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon_2}{2} \|\nabla C\|^2 + \frac{M\epsilon_2}{2} \oint_{\Gamma} C^2 dA \leq \\ & \leq M\epsilon_2 \oint_{\Gamma} C_a^2 dA + \frac{2S^2 L^2}{M\epsilon_2} \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA + \frac{S^2}{2\epsilon_2} \|\nabla T\|^2 + \frac{2S^2 L}{M\epsilon_2} \oint_{\Gamma} T^2 dA. \end{aligned}$$



This inequality is now integrated in time and we add to this a suitable multiple of inequality (8), this multiple we call  $\omega$ . In this way, for a constant  $\omega$  given by

$$\omega = \max \left\{ \frac{S^2}{2\epsilon_2}, \frac{4S^2L}{M\epsilon_2} \right\}$$

we may derive the third a priori estimate

$$\epsilon_1 \|C\|^2 + \epsilon_2 \int_0^t \|\nabla C\|^2 ds + M\epsilon_2 \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} C^2 dA ds \leq D_3(t), \quad (10)$$

where the data term  $D_3$  is given by

$$D_3(t) = 2M\epsilon_2 \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} C_a^2 dA ds + \epsilon_1 \|C_0\|^2 + \frac{4S^2L^2}{M\epsilon_2} \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA ds.$$

The constant  $\omega$  has to be large enough that it ensures the terms in  $\int_0^t \|\nabla T\|^2 ds$  and  $\int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T^2 dA ds$  in (8) dominate the equivalent terms on the right of the inequality which arises.

## 4 Continuous dependence

Denote the boundary-initial problem consisting of equations (3) together with conditions (4)–(7) by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\{u_i^1, v_i^1, p_1, q_1, T_1, C_1\}$  be a solution of  $\mathcal{P}$  with initial data  $T_0, C_0$  and values of the coefficients  $L, M$  and  $S$  being  $L_1, M_1, S_1$ . Let  $\{u_i^2, v_i^2, p_2, q_2, T_2, C_2\}$  be another solution to  $\mathcal{P}$  with the same initial data but now the values for the boundary and Soret coefficients  $L, M$  and  $S$  are  $L_2, M_2, S_2$ . Define the difference variables  $\{\omega_i, r_i, \pi^f, \pi^p, \theta, \phi\}$  and  $l, m, s$  by

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= u_i^1 - u_i^2, & r_i &= v_i^1 - v_i^2, & \pi^f &= p_1 - p_2, \\ \pi^p &= q_1 - q_2, & \theta &= T_1 - T_2, & \phi &= C_1 - C_2, \\ l &= L_1 - L_2, & m &= M_1 - M_2, & s &= S_1 - S_2. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary-initial value problem for the difference solution may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \tilde{\mu}\Delta w_i - \mu w_i - \zeta(w_i - r_i) - \pi_{,i}^f + g_i\theta - h_i\phi, \\
w_{i,i} &= 0, \\
0 &= \tilde{\mu}\Delta r_i - \gamma r_i - \zeta(r_i - w_i) - \pi_{,i}^p + g_i\theta - h_i\phi, \\
r_{i,i} &= 0, \\
\theta_{,t} + \alpha(w_i + r_i)T_{1,i} + \alpha(u_i^2 + v_i^2)\theta_{,i} &= \Delta\theta, \\
\epsilon_1\phi_{,t} + (w_i + r_i)C_{1,i} + (u_i^2 + v_i^2)\phi_{,i} &= \epsilon_2\Delta\phi + s\Delta T_1 + S_2\Delta\theta,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

together with the boundary and initial conditions,

$$\begin{aligned}
w_i &= 0, \quad r_i = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \\
\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial n} &= -L_1\theta - T_2l + T_al, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \\
\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n} &= -M_1\phi - C_2m + C_am, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\theta(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \phi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \tag{13}$$

To establish continuous dependence we multiply (11)<sub>1</sub> by  $w_i$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ , and we then multiply (11)<sub>3</sub> by  $r_i$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . After integration by parts and use of the boundary conditions we may add the results to obtain

$$\tilde{\mu}\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \tilde{\mu}\|\nabla\mathbf{r}\|^2 + \mu\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \gamma\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 + \zeta\|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{r}\|^2 = (g_i\theta, w_i + r_i) - (h_i\phi, w_i + r_i).$$

Next, let  $k = \mu^{-1} + \gamma^{-1}$  and then use the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality on the right together with the bounds on  $g_i$  and  $h_i$  to find

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mu\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \gamma\|\mathbf{r}\|^2) + \zeta\|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{r}\|^2 + \tilde{\mu}(\|\nabla\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{r}\|^2) \leq k(\|\theta\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2) \tag{14}$$

Now, multiply (11)<sub>5</sub> by  $\theta$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . After integration by parts and use of the boundary conditions one may arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|^2 + L_1\oint_{\Gamma}\theta^2dA &= \alpha\int_{\Omega}w_iT_1\theta_{,i}dx \\
+ \alpha\int_{\Omega}r_iT_1\theta_{,i}dx - l\oint_{\Gamma}T_2\theta dA + l\oint_{\Gamma}T_a\theta dA.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We use the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality on the last two terms on the right. To handle the nonlinear terms we use the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality as follows

$$\int_{\Omega} w_i T_1 \theta_{,i} dx \leq \frac{1}{2\xi} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 |T_1|^2 dx + \frac{\xi}{2} \|\nabla \theta\|^2, \quad (16)$$

for  $\xi > 0$  at our disposal. Then use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality followed by the Sobolev inequality  $\|\mathbf{w}\|_4 \leq c_1 \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|$  to see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 |T_1|^2 dx \leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_4^2 \|T_1\|_4^2 \leq c_1^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|^2 \|T_1\|_4^2.$$

Upon using this in (16) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} w_i T_1 \theta_{,i} dx \leq \frac{c_1^2}{2\xi} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|^2 \|T_1\|_4^2 + \frac{\xi}{2} \|\nabla \theta\|^2. \quad (17)$$

A similar inequality is derived for the  $r_i$  term, and then, setting  $\xi = 1/2$ , from (15) we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\theta\|^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|^2 + L_1 \oint_{\Gamma} \theta^2 dA &\leq 2\alpha^2 c_1^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|^2 \|T_1\|_4^2 \\ &+ 2\alpha^2 c_1^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{r}\|^2 \|T_1\|_4^2 + \frac{2}{L_1} l^2 \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA + \frac{2}{L_1} l^2 \oint_{\Gamma} T_2^2 dA. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We now employ (14) in (18), integrate the result, and use (8) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \theta\|^2 ds + L_1 \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} \theta^2 dA ds &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{2\alpha^2 c_1^2 k}{\tilde{\mu}} \int_0^t \|T_1\|_4^2 (\|\theta\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2) ds \\ &+ \frac{2}{L_1} l^2 \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA ds + \frac{2}{L_1 L_2} l^2 D_1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

To proceed we now multiply (11)<sub>6</sub> by  $\phi$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . After

integration by parts and use of the boundary conditions we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\phi\|^2 + \epsilon_2 \|\nabla \phi\|^2 + \epsilon_2 M_1 \oint_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA = - \int_{\Omega} (w_i + r_i) \phi C_{1,i} dx \\
& - s(\nabla \phi, \nabla T_1) - m\epsilon_2 \oint_{\Gamma} C_2 \phi dA + m\epsilon_2 \oint_{\Gamma} C_a \phi dA - L_1 s \oint_{\Gamma} T_1 \phi dA \\
& + L_1 s \oint_{\Gamma} T_a \phi dA - lS_2 \oint_{\Gamma} T_2 \phi dA + lS_2 \oint_{\Gamma} T_a \phi dA \\
& - S_2 L_1 \oint_{\Gamma} \phi \theta dA - S_2(\nabla \phi, \nabla \theta).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The nonlinear terms are handled as follows. Firstly use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$- \int_{\Omega} w_i \phi C_{1,i} dx \leq \|\nabla C_1\| \left( \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 \phi^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \|\nabla C_1\| \|\mathbf{w}\|_3 \|\phi\|_6, \tag{21}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is the norm in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

Now use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for  $\mathbf{w}$ , namely

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^3 dx \leq V^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^6 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $V$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ . Hence, thanks to the Sobolev inequality

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_3 \leq V^{1/6} \|\mathbf{w}\|_6 \leq V^{1/6} c_1 \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|.$$

Now the Sobolev inequality is applied to  $\phi$  in the form

$$\|\phi\|_6 \leq \hat{c}_2 \|\phi\|_{W^{1,2}} = \hat{c}_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

The Poincaré inequality holds for a constant  $\lambda_1$  in the form

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx + \oint_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA.$$

Therefore

$$\|\phi\|_6 \leq c_2 \sqrt{\|\nabla \phi\|^2 + \oint_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA} \tag{22}$$

where  $c_2 = \hat{c}_2 (1 + \lambda_1^{-1})^{1/2}$ .

Next, use (22) in (21) together with the estimate for  $\|\mathbf{w}\|_3$  followed by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} w_i \phi C_{1,i} dx &\leq c_1 c_2 V^{1/6} \|\nabla C_1\| \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\| \sqrt{\|\nabla \phi\|^2 + \int_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2 V^{1/3}}{2\xi} \|\nabla C_1\|^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|^2 + \frac{\xi}{2} \left( \|\nabla \phi\|^2 + \int_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi = \text{????}$ . We now employ (14) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} w_i \phi C_{1,i} dx &\leq \frac{c_1^2 c_2^2 V^{1/3} k}{2 \xi \tilde{\mu}} (\|\theta\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2) \|\nabla C_1\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\xi}{2} \left( \|\nabla \phi\|^2 + \int_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA \right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

A similar inequality is derived for the  $r_i$  term. Then (23) and the analogous inequality for the  $r_i$  term are employed in (20). After use of the arithmetic-geometric inequality together with (8)–(10) we integrate (20) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \int_0^t \|\nabla \phi\|^2 ds + M_1 \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA &\leq \\ \delta \int_0^t (\|\theta\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2) \|\nabla C_1\|^2 ds & \\ + m^2 D_4(t) + l^2 D_5(t) + s^2 D_6(t) & \\ + \frac{S_2 L_1 \gamma_8}{\epsilon_1} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \theta^2 dA ds + \frac{S_2 \gamma_7}{\epsilon_1} \int_0^t \|\nabla \theta\|^2 ds, & \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where  $\delta = 4c_1^2 c_2^2 V^{1/3} k / \epsilon_1 \tilde{\mu}$ , and  $\gamma_7, \gamma_8 > 0$  are at our disposal, and  $D_4$ – $D_6$  are the data terms

$$\begin{aligned} D_4(t) &= \frac{D_3(t)}{M_2 \epsilon_1 \gamma_1} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1 \gamma_2} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} C_a^2 dA ds, \\ D_5(t) &= \frac{S_2}{\epsilon_1 \gamma_5 L_2} D_1(t) + \frac{S_2}{\epsilon_1 \gamma_6} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA ds, \\ D_6(t) &= \frac{D_1(t)}{\epsilon_1 \gamma_3} + \frac{L_1}{\epsilon_1 \gamma_4} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA ds + \frac{D_1(t)}{2\gamma_9 \epsilon_1}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

for  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_5, \gamma_6, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_9 > 0$  to be selected opportunely.

For a constant  $A > 0$  we now form  $A(19) + (24)$ . After a judicious choice of coefficients, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \frac{\epsilon_1 M_1}{14\epsilon_2}, \quad \gamma_3 = \gamma_4 = \frac{\epsilon_1 M_1}{14L_1}, \quad \gamma_5 = \gamma_6 = \frac{\epsilon_1 M_1}{14S_2}, \\ \gamma_7 = \frac{4S_2}{\epsilon_2}, \quad \gamma_8 = \frac{14S_2 L_1}{\epsilon_1 M_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_9 = \frac{\epsilon_2}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \int_0^t \|\nabla\phi\|^2 ds + M_1 \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA ds \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla\theta\|^2 ds + \frac{L_1}{2} \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} \theta^2 dA ds \leq D_4(t)m^2 \\ + D_7(t)l^2 + D_6(t)s^2 + \int_0^t (\|\theta\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2) \chi(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $D_7(t)$  is a data term,

$$D_7(t) = D_5(t) + \frac{2}{L_1 L_2} D_1(t) + \frac{2}{L_1} \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA ds,$$

and where

$$\chi(s) = \delta \|\nabla C_1\|^2 + \frac{\alpha^2 c_1^2 k}{\tilde{\mu}} \|T_1\|_4^2.$$

Observe that the data terms  $D_1, \dots, D_7$ , involve  $\|T_0\|^2, \|C_0\|^2, \|T_0\|_4^4, \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^2 dA ds, \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} T_a^4 dA ds, \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} C_a^2 dA ds$ . Thus since  $t \in [0, \mathcal{T})$  we may replace  $D_4, D_6$  and  $D_7$  by the constants  $\bar{D}_4 = D_4(\mathcal{T}), \bar{D}_6 = D_6(\mathcal{T}), \bar{D}_7 = D_7(\mathcal{T})$ . Then using Gronwall's inequality, see e.g. [48], we derive

$$\|\phi(t)\|^2 + \|\theta(t)\|^2 \leq K(\bar{D}_4 m^2 + \bar{D}_7 l^2 + \bar{D}_6 s^2), \quad (28)$$

where the data constant  $K$  has form

$$K = 1 + \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \chi(t) \exp\left(\int_0^t \chi(s) ds\right) dt.$$

We note that  $\|T_1\|_4^4 \leq D_2(t)$  and  $\int_0^t \|\nabla C_1\|^2 ds \leq D_3(t)$ , and so  $K$  is a data term.

Inequality (28) demonstrates continuous dependence of a solution to  $\mathcal{P}$  upon the boundary parameters  $L$  and  $M$  and upon the Soret coefficient  $S$ . Upon employing eqref25 and (28) one may also obtain a continuous dependence estimate for  $\int_0^t \|\nabla\phi\|^2 ds, \int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} \phi^2 dA ds, \int_0^t \|\nabla\theta\|^2 ds$  and  $\int_0^t \oint_{\Gamma} \theta^2 dA ds$ .

## References

- [1] Enterría, M., Suárez-García, F., Martínez-Alonso, A. and Tascón, J. M.D. 2014 Preparation of hierarchical micro-mesoporous aluminosilicate composites by simple Y zeolite/MCM-48 silica assembly. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, **583**, 60–69. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2013.08.137>)
- [2] Huang, Y. G., Shiota, Y., Wu, M. Y., Su, S. Q., Yao, Z. S., Kang, S., Kanegawa, S., Li, G. L., Wu, S. Q., Kamachi, T., Yoshizawa, K., Ariga, K., Hong, M. C. and Sato, O. 2016 Superior thermoelasticity and shape-memory nanopores in a porous supramolecular organic framework. *Nat. Commun.*, **7**, 11564. (<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11564>)
- [3] Ly, H. B., Droumaguet, B. L., Monchiet, V. and Grande, D. 2015 Facile fabrication of doubly porous polymeric materials with controlled nano- and macro-porosity. *Polymer*, **78**, 13–21. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2015.09.048>)
- [4] Borja, R. L., Liu, X., White, J. A. 2012 Multiphysics hillslope processes triggering landslides. *Acta Geotechnica* **7**, 261–269. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11440-012-0175-6>)
- [5] Scotto di Santolo, A. and Evangelista, A. 2008 Calibration of a rheological model for debris flow hazard mitigation in the Campania region, in book, *Landslides and engineered slopes. From the past to the future*, editors Chen, Z. and Zhang, J. M. and Ho, K. and Wu, F. Q. and Li, Z. K., Taylor and Francis, London, 913–919. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-008-9334-3>)
- [6] Hooman, K. and Maas, U. 2014 Theoretical analysis of coal stockpile self-heating. *Fire Saf. J.*, **67**, 107–112. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.firesaf.2014.05.011>)
- [7] Haws, N. W., Rao, P. S. C., Simunek, J. and Poyer, I. C. 2005 Single-porosity and dual-porosity modeling of water flow and solute transport in subsurface-drained fields using effective field-scale parameters. *J. Hydrol.*, **313**, 257–273. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.03.035>)

- [8] Jensen, M. B., Cederkvist, K., Bjerager, P. and Holm, P. E. 2011 Dual Porosity Filtration for treatment of stormwater runoff: first proof of concept from Copenhagen pilot plant. *Water Sci. Technol.*, **64(7)** 1547–1557. (<https://dx.doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.186>)
- [9] Ghasemizadeh, R., Hellweger, F., Butscher, C., Padilla, I., Vesper, D., Field, M. and Alshawabkeh, A. 2012 Review: groundwater flow and transport modeling of karst aquifers, with particular reference to the North Coast Limestone aquifer system of Puerto Rico. *Hydrogeol. J.* **313**, 1441–1461. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-012-0897-4>)
- [10] Fretwell, B. A., Burgess, W. G., Barker, J. A. and Jefferies, N. L. 2005 Redistribution of contaminants by a fluctuating water table in a micro-porous, double-porosity aquifer: Field observations and model simulations. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* **78**, 27–52 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2005.02.004>)
- [11] Nield, D. A. 2016 A Note on the Modelling of Bidisperse Porous Media, *Trans. Porous Med.* **111**, 517–520- (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-015-0607-5>)
- [12] Nield DA, Kuznetsov AV. 2006. The onset of convection in a bidisperse porous medium. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **49**, 3068-3074. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2006.02.008>)
- [13] Nield DA, Kuznetsov AV. 2016. Do Isoflux Boundary Conditions Inhibit Oscillatory Double-Diffusive Convection? *Transp. Porous Media* **112**, 609–618. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-016-0666-2>)
- [14] Gelet, R., Loret, B., Khalili, N. 2012 Borehole stability analysis in a thermoporoelastic dual-porosity medium. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Mining Sci.* **50**, 65–76. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2011.12.003>)
- [15] Kim, S., Hosseini, S. A. 2015 Hydro - thermo - mechanical analysis during injection of cold fluid into a geologic formation. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Mining Sci.* **77**, 220–236. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2015.04.010>)
- [16] Rees, D., Bassom, A., and Siddheshwar, P. 2008 Local thermal non-equilibrium effects arising from the injection of a hot fluid



- into a porous medium. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **594**, 379–398. (<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112007008890>)
- [17] Falsaperla P., Mulone G. and Straughan B. 2016 Bidisperse-inclined convection. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **472**, 20160480. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0480>)
- [18] Franchi, F., Nibbi, R., Straughan, B. 2017 Continuous dependence on modelling for temperature-dependent bidisperse flow. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **473**, 20170485. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2017.0485>)
- [19] Gentile, M. and Straughan, B. 2017 Bidisperse thermal convection. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **114**, 837–840. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2017.06.095>)
- [20] Gentile, M. and Straughan, B. 2017 Bidisperse vertical convection. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **473**, 20170481. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2017.0481>)
- [21] Gentile, M. and Straughan, B. 2013 Structural stability in resonant penetrative convection in a Forchheimer porous material. *Nonlinear Analysis, Real World Applications* **14**, 397–401.
- [22] Straughan, B. 2018 Horizontally isotropic bidisperse thermal convection. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **474**, 20180018. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2018.0018>)
- [23] Straughan, B. 2018 Bidisperse double diffusive convection. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **126**, 504–508. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2018.05.056>)
- [24] Straughan, B. 2019 Horizontally isotropic double porosity convection. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **475**, 20180672. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2018.0672>)
- [25] Straughan, B. 2019 Effect of inertia on double diffusive bidisperse convection. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **129**, 389–396. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2018.09.090>)
- [26] Straughan, B. 2019 Anisotropic bidisperse convection. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **475**, 20190206.

- [27] Barletta, A., Rossi di Schio, E. and Celli, M. 2011 Instability and Viscous Dissipation in the Horizontal Brinkman Flow through a Porous Medium. *Transp Porous Med* **87**, 105–119 (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-010-9670-0>)
- [28] Falsaperla, P., Giacobbe, A. and Mulone, G. 2019 Inclined convection in a porous Brinkman layer: linear instability and nonlinear stability. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **475**, 20180614. (<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2018.0614>)
- [29] Nield, D. A. 2006 A Note on a Brinkman-Brinkman Forced Convection Problem. *Transp. Porous Med.* **64**, 185–188. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-005-2810-2>)
- [30] Rees, D. A. S. 2002 The onset of Darcy-Brinkman convection in a porous layer: an asymptotic analysis. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **45**, 2213–2220 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310\(01\)00332-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0017-9310(01)00332-5))
- [31] Barletta, A., Nield, D. A. 2011 Thermosolutal convective instability and viscous dissipation effect in a fluid-saturated porous medium. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* **54**, 1641–1648 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2010.11.018>)
- [32] Deepika, N. 2018 Linear and nonlinear stability of double-diffusive convection with the Soret effect. *Transp. Porous Med.* **121**, 93–108. (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-017-0949-2>)
- [33] Barletta, A. 2009 Local energy balance, specific heats and the Oberbeck–Boussinesq approximation. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **52**, 5266–5270. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2009.06.006>)
- [34] Nield, D. A, Barletta, A. 2010 Extended Oberbeck–Boussinesq approximation study of convective instabilities in a porous layer with horizontal flow and bottom heating. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, **53**, 577–585. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2009.10.043>)
- [35] Hirsch, M. W, Smale, S. 1974 *Differential equations, dynamical systems, and linear algebra*. Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. **60** New York-London: Academic Press

- [36] Celik, E, Hoang L. 2017 Maximum estimates for generalized Forchheimer flows in heterogeneous porous media. *J. Differential Equations* **262**, 2158–2195. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.10.043>)
- [37] Ciarletta, M, Straughan, B and Tibullo, V. 2015 Structural stability for a thermal convection model with temperature-dependent solubility. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **22**, 34–43. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2014.07.012>)
- [38] Harfash, A. J. 2014 Structural stability for two convection models in a reacting fluid with magnetic field effect. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **15**, 2441–2465. (doi:10.1007/s00023-013-0307-z)
- [39] Hoang L., Tinh, K. 2019 Global estimates for generalized Forchheimer flows of slightly compressible fluids. *J. d'Analyse Mathématique* **137**, 1–55.
- [40] Kalantarova, J, Ugurlu, D. 2019 Structural stability and stabilization of solutions of the reversible three - component Gray - Scott system. *Math Meth Appl Sci.* **42**, 3687 - 3699. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.5605z>)
- [41] Li, L., Yang, X.G., Li, X.Z., Yan, X.J., Lu, Y.J. 2019 Dynamics and stability of the 3D Brinkman - Forchheimer equation with variable delay. *Asymptotic Analysis* **113**, 167 - 194.
- [42] Liu Y. 2017 Continuous dependence for a thermal convection model with temperature-dependent solubility. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **308**, 18–30. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2017.03.004>)
- [43] Liu, Y, Xiao, S. 2018 Structural stability for the Brinkman fluid interfacing with a Darcy fluid in an unbounded domain. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **42**, 308–333. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2018.01.007>)
- [44] Liu, Y, Xiao, S, and Lin Y. 2018 Continuous dependence for the Brinkman - Forchheimer fluid interfacing with a Darcy fluid in a bounded domain. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation (MATCOM)* **150**, 66–82. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2018.02.009>)
- [45] Scott, N L. 2013 Continuous dependence on boundary reaction terms in a porous medium of Darcy type. *Journal*

*of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **399**, 667–675.  
(<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.10.054>)

- [46] Varsakelis, C, Papalexandris, M V. 2017 On the well-posedness of the Darcy-Brinkman-Forchheimer equations for coupled porous media-clear fluid flow. *Nonlinearity* **30**, 1449-1464. (<https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aa5ecf>)
- [47] Wang, S., Su, X. 2019 The Cauchy problem for the dissipative Boussinesq equation. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Applications* **45**, 116-141.
- [48] Dragomir, S S. *Some Gronwall Type Inequalities and Applications*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria Univ., 2002.