

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Gut microbiota diversity before allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation as predictor of mortality in children

This is the submitted version (pre peer-review, preprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Gut microbiota diversity before allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation as predictor of mortality in children / Masetti, Riccardo; Leardini, Davide; Muratore, Edoardo; Fabbrini, Marco; D'Amico, Federica; Zama, Daniele; Baccelli, Francesco; Gottardi, Francesca; Belotti, Tamara; Ussowicz, Marek; Fraczkiewicz, Jowita; Cesaro, Simone; Zecca, Marco; Merli, Pietro; Candela, Marco; Pession, Andrea; Locatelli, Franco; Prete, Arcangelo; Brigidi, Patrizia; Turroni, Silvia. - In: BLOOD. - ISSN 0006-4971. -AVENTEBRINJCO. - 142:16(2023), pp. 1-32. [10.1182/blood.2023020026]

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/940726 since: 2023-09-27

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2023020026

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

Proof Central

Please use this PDF proof to check the layout of your article. If you would like any changes to be made to the layout, you can leave instructions in the online proofing interface. First, return to the online proofing interface by clicking "Edit" at the top page, then insert a Comment in the relevant location. Making your changes directly in the online proofing interface is the quickest, easiest way to correct and submit your proof.

Please note that changes made to the article in the online proofing interface will be added to the article before publication, but are not reflected in this PDF proof.

Regular Article

TRANSPLANTATION

Q7

Q1

Q31

Gut microbiota diversity before allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation as a predictor of mortality in children

Riccardo Masetti,^{1,2,*} Davide Leardini,^{1,*} Edoardo Muratore,¹ Marco Fabbrini,^{3,4} Federica D'Amico,³ Daniele Zama,^{2,5} Francesco Baccelli,¹ Francesca Gottardi,¹ Tamara Belotti,¹ Marek Ussowicz,⁶ Jowita Fraczkiewicz,⁶ Simone Cesaro,⁷ Marco Zecca,⁸ Pietro Merli,⁹

^{Q2Q3} Marco Candela,⁴ Andrea Pession,¹⁰ Franco Locatelli,^{9,11} Arcangelo Prete,¹ Patrizia Brigidi,³ and Silvia Turroni⁴

Q4Q5Q6¹ Pediatric Oncology and Hematology "Lalla Seràgnoli," IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ²Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, ³Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, ³Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Microbiomics Unit, and ⁴Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ⁵Pediatric Emergency Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ⁶Department and Elinic of Pediatric Oncology, Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Wroclaw Medical University, Wrocław, Poland; ⁷Department of Mother and Child, Pediatric Hematology Oncology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Italy; ⁸Pediatric Hematology/ Concology, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy; ⁹Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Rome, Italy; ¹⁰Pediatric Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Italy; and ¹¹Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

KEY POINTS

- Higher gut microbiota diversity before transplantation correlates with better overall survival and lower acute GVHD incidence.
- In pediatric patients, higher pretransplant diversity is associated with higher abundance of short-chain fatty acid-producing taxa.

The correlation existing between gut microbiota diversity and survival after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) has so far been studied in adults. Pediatric studies question whether this association applies to children as well. Stool samples from a multicenter cohort of 90 pediatric allo-HSCT recipients were analyzed using 16S ribosomal RNA amplicon sequencing to profile the gut microbiota and estimate diversity with the Shannon index. A global-to-local networking approach was used to characterize the ecological structure of the gut microbiota. Patients were stratified into higher- and lower-diversity groups at 2 time points: before transplantation and at neutrophil engraftment. The higher-diversity group before transplantation exhibited a higher probability of overall survival (88.9 ± 5.7 standard error [SE] vs 62.7 ± 8.2 SE; P = .011) and lower incidence of grade 2 to 4 (20.0 ± 6.0 SE vs 44.4 ± 7.4 SE; P = .017) and grade 3 to 4 acute graft-versus-host disease (aGVHD) (2.2 ± 2.2 SE vs 20.0 ± 6.0 SE; P = .007). No significant difference in relapse-free survival was observed between the 2 groups (80.0 ± 6.0 SE vs 55.4 ± 10.8 SE; P = .091). The higher-diversity group was

characterized by higher relative abundances of potentially health-related microbial families, such as *Ruminococcaceae* and *Oscillospiraceae*. In contrast, the lower-diversity group showed an overabundance of *Enterococcaceae* and *Enterobacteriaceae*. Network analysis detected short-chain fatty acid producers, such as *Blautia, Faecalibacterium, Roseburia,* and *Bacteroides,* as keystones in the higher-diversity group. *Enterococcus, Escherichia-Shigella,* and *Enterobacter* were instead the keystones detected in the lower-diversity group. These results indicate that gut microbiota diversity and composition before transplantation correlate with survival and with the likelihood of developing aGVHD.

Introduction

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is a potentially curative treatment for many pediatric hematologic malignancies and for a variety of nonmalignant diseases.^{1,2} Nevertheless, transplant-related mortality (TRM) due to associated complications, including acute graft-versus-host disease (aGVHD) and infections, limits therapeutic benefits.^{3,4} During allo-HSCT, the patients' gut microbiota (GM) is severely injured because of a combination of factors, including conditioning regimen, antibiotic exposure, and dietary changes.^{5,6} This alteration, the so-called dysbiosis, is characterized by a dramatic decrease in alfa diversity, a shift in beta diversity, a loss of health-associated commensals, and an expansion of potentially pathogenic bacteria.⁷ Recently, data from adult multicenter cohorts have linked a lower alfa diversity at the time of neutrophil engraftment with a higher mortality risk.^{8,9} The relative abundance of specific bacterial taxa was also ^{og}

associated with clinical outcomes, such as Enterococcus expansion, which correlated with higher aGVHD-related mortality,¹⁰ whereas increased amounts of Blautia were associated with reduced aGVHD lethality.¹¹ Moreover, the pretransplant GM profile of patients was shown to differ from that of healthy controls because of prior treatments and the disease itself, and it was significantly correlated with several clinical outcomes.^{9,13-18} Therefore, GM alterations before allo-HSCT may as well be implicated in the genesis of transplant-related complications. In the pediatric allo-HSCT setting, fewer studies have examined the correlation between GM configuration at different time points during transplantation and clinical outcomes,¹⁷⁻²³ and data on the relationship between GM and mortality have not been published yet. The GM of children differs from that of adults, and this accounts for the need for specific pediatric studies on the GM-and-allo-HSCT relationship.²⁴⁻²⁶ Although the burden of allo-HSCT complications, such as aGVHD and TRM, is lower than that observed in adults,²⁷⁻³¹ the risk is still significant, with most fatal events being attributable to organ failure and infections.^{32,33} Furthermore, the long-term impact of complications may be dramatic in children.³⁴ In this scenario, we aimed to evaluate, in a multicenter cohort of pediatric allo-HSCT recipients, the association between GM diversity and clinical outcomes before allo-HSCT and at neutrophil engraftment, particularly focusing on overall survival (OS) rates, incidence of relapse, and aGVHD.

Methods

Patients and specimen collection

Pediatric patients undergoing allo-HSCT for any indication were enrolled in single- and multicenter protocols for stool collection, coordinated by the IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna (Bologna, Italy). The participating centers were the University Hospital of Bologna, Italy; the University Hospital of Pavia, Italy; the Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital of Rome, Italy; the University Hospital of Verona, Italy; and the University Hospital of Wroclaw, Poland. Stool samples were collected following different sampling protocols from 2013 to 2020, as specified in previously published studies, 26,35,36 in which 1 pretransplant sample and several postallo-HSCT samples were collected for each patient. In the analysis of this work, only patients with a stool sample available both before allo-HSCT and at neutrophil engraftment were included, for a total of 90 patients and 180 fecal samples. The protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee CE-AVEC Emilia-Romagna, Italy (reference number 19/2013/U/Tess) and by the institutional review board of each participating center. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants/legal guardians by the treating physicians. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the European data protection regulation.

GM analysis

Details of the methods used for DNA extraction, 16S ribosomal
RNA (rRNA) amplicon sequencing, and data processing were
previously reported.³⁵⁻³⁷ In brief, V3-V4 hypervariable regions
of the 16S rRNA gene were amplified, purified, and sequenced
on an Illumina MiSeq platform using the 2 × 250 bp paired-end
protocol, following the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina,
San Diego, CA). For this work, raw sequencing data were

gathered from public repositories, and sequences were filtered based on the length and assembled using PANDASeq.³⁸ Quality filtering and read binning into amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were achieved by implementing DADA2³⁹ in the QIIME 2 pipeline.⁴⁰ Taxonomic classification of ASVs was performed using the hybrid method implementing VSEARCH and a q2-classifier against the SILVA 138.1 database.^{41,42} Raw ASV count tables were processed to apply correction for any bias caused by the fact that the sequences were generated in different sequencing runs over the years (yet all at the same center in Bologna). Accordingly, we implemented the Com-Bat⁴³ function from the sva R package version 3.46.0⁴⁴ to apply correction for biases relative to the batch covariate of the sequencing run for each group of samples taken from the different studies. All the subsequent analyses made use of the derived bias-adjusted data. Alfa diversity was then estimated with the Shannon index, and beta diversity was determined using the Bray-Curtis distances with the giime diversity module. Samples were categorized into higher- and lowerdiversity groups before allo-HSCT and at neutrophil engraftment separately, based on the median of Shannon diversity values of the overall cohort at the corresponding time point, as recently done in a large-scale multicenter study of adult patients who underwent allo-HSCT.⁹

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

Networking analysis

GM networks were first built separately for pre-allo-HSCT and neutrophil engraftment time points. Correlation networks were based on Spearman correlation tests performed with the "rcorr" function from the Hmisc R package at the genus-level relative abundance table filtered for genera with at least 0.3% relative abundance in at least 5% of samples at the time point under investigation.⁴⁵ Only significant (P < .05) correlations were considered further, and the resulting matrices were used for computing modularity and cohesion values (ie, the ratio of negative to positive cohesions [N:P ratio] and total cohesion [TC]) according to previous studies.^{46,47} Briefly, modularity quantifies the connection between and within modules, measuring whether the modules are more independent (high modularity) or connected, based on the concept that the impact of an external stressor on a node (ie, genus) is likely to affect only the members of its module. Therefore, the higher the modularity, the lower the spread of the stressor effect in the community. TC represents network complexity, considering both positive and negative cohesions, and therefore reflects the global cohesive density of the community. In contrast, the N:P ratio quantifies the extent to which the ecosystem is allowed to exhibit negative interactions; under stress conditions, such interactions are crushed by environmental pressure, and the ecosystem is forced to rely mainly on cooperative interactions.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ Network adjacency structures from correlation data were obtained with the igraph R package version 1.3.4.49 Network modularity was computed using "igraph," and the modules were detected by implementing a statistical mechanics spin-glass model and simulated annealing with the following additional parameters: "update.rule = simple," "implementation = neg," and "spins = 200."⁵⁰ Microbial keystone taxa were detected implementing a brute leave-1-out approach, as previously proposed,^{48,51} removing each taxon at a time, recomputing the network, and evaluating the ones causing the highest reduction of the TC value. Network plots were obtained from a global network, that is, a network computed considering all 180 samples together, and plotted with Cytoscape 3.9.1.⁵² Node size was set proportional to the overabundance of that genus in the considered group (higher vs lower diversity, either pre–allo-HSCT or at neutrophil engraftment) and computed as the average relative abundance of the taxon in that group divided by the average relative abundance of the taxon across the entire data set. Additionally, edge width was plotted proportionally to the corresponding computed Spearman ρ .

Outcomes and statistical analysis

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

The primary end point was OS. Secondary end points were incidence of relapse, relapse-free survival, TRM, cumulative incidence of all grade aGVHD, grade 2 to 4 aGVHD, grade 3 to 4 aGVHD, gut aGVHD, and bloodstream infections (BSIs). TRM was defined as death from causes unrelated to the underlying disease. aGVHD was diagnosed and graded according to Glucksberg criteria.⁵³ Neutrophil engraftment was defined as the first of 3 consecutive days with an absolute neutrophil count \geq 0.5 × 10⁹/L. For the analysis of clinical primary and secondary outcomes, patients were stratified into higher- and lower-diversity groups before allo-HSCT and at neutrophil engraftment, as described earlier. The probability of OS and relapse-free survival was calculated with the Kaplan-Meier method, and groups were compared using log-rank analysis. Relapse, aGVHD, BSI, and TRM were calculated as cumulative incidence, and groups were compared using the Gray test. The association between GM diversity and clinical outcomes was calculated with Cox proportional hazards univariate and multivariate models (adjusted by age, graft source, donor type, intensity of conditioning regimen, center, and disease type). Spearman correlation was used to investigate the association between GM diversity and patient age. Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Fisher exact test were used to compare continuous and categorical clinical and GM features, respectively. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality of the data. Student t test was used to compare the means of normal distributions; otherwise, the Mann-Whitney test was used. Welch analysis of variance test was used to evaluate the difference in means among 3 groups when variances were not homogeneous. Tukey multiple comparison test was used to compare continuous variables for different centers. A compositional analysis with phylogenetic classification at the family and genus level was then performed between the higher- and lower-diversity groups, as well as among those patients who did develop aGVHD and those who did not, using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to assess significant differences in relative taxon abundances. Permutational analysis of variance with pseudo-F ratio (Adonis Permanova from "vegan" R package⁵⁴) was used to test data separation⁵⁴ from Bray-Curtis distance matrices, and the tdistributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) algorithm for dimensionality reduction from the "Rtsne" R package⁵⁵ was used to visualize the distribution of genus-level GM profiles in relation to a given variable. Regarding the analysis of the impact of antibiotics on GM diversity and the relative abundance of the Enterobacteriaceae and Enterococcaceae families, pre-allo-HSCT exposure was considered evaluable if it occurred within 30 days before admission and at least for 3 days at least. Preengraftment exposure was considered evaluable if the antibiotic was administered for at least 3 days before the collection of the stool sample at engraftment. All GM statistical analyses were conducted in R⁵⁶ and graphical representations were produced using the ggplot2 R package, ⁵⁷ whereas survival and cumulative incidence analyses were conducted using SPSS (IBM Corp, 2016. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 24.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) and NCSS (NCSS 12 Statistical Software [2018]). NCSS, LLC. Kaysville, UT). Analyses on the antibiotic exposure were conducted using GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Prism version 9.5.1 for Mac, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). All *P* values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate method; *P* < .05 was considered statistically significant, whereas *P* < .1 was considered a trend.

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

Results

Characteristics of patients

A total of 90 patients from previous studies³⁵⁻³⁷ had a stool sample available both before allo-HSCT and at neutrophil engraftment, for a total of 180 stool samples included in this work. A mean of 26 973 (± 5873 standard deviation) highquality 16S rRNA gene sequences was obtained per sample. The clinical characteristics of the patients included in the study are reported in Table 1. The temporal distribution of the samples for each patient is shown in Figure 1. The median days of sampling were 7.00 ± 0.40 (SE of the mean) before transplant and 19.00 ± 0.92 after HSCT at neutrophil engraftment.

Association between alfa diversity and clinical outcomes

332 To assess the relationship between GM diversity and clinical 333 outcomes, alfa diversity was analyzed both before transplantation and at the time of neutrophil engraftment. GM 334 diversity significantly decreased from before allo-HSCT to 335 336 neutrophil engraftment in the whole cohort (P < .0001) as well 337 as in all participating centers ($P \leq .01$) (supplemental Figure 1, 338 available on the Blood website). Comparison of diversity among 339 centers revealed no differences either before allo-HSCT or at 340 neutrophil engraftment ($P \ge .14$). Additionally, GM diversity 341 correlated significantly with age (Spearman $\rho \ge 0.36$; $P \le .0019$; 342 supplemental Figure 2), being lower in patients \leq 3 years before 343 and after allo-HSCT (P = .002 and P = .013, respectively) 344 (supplemental Figure 3). The median Shannon diversity value of GM before allo-HSCT and at neutrophil engraftment, used to 345 stratify patients into the 2 groups, was 4.04 and 2.86, respec-346 347 tively. supplemental Tables 1 and 2 show the clinical charac-348 teristics of patients in the 2 groups for both time points; no 349 significant differences were found in the variables considered, 350 with the exceptions of the center for groups based on pre-allo-351 HSCT diversity and patient age for groups based on diversity at neutrophil engraftment ($P \leq .011$). Association of clinical vari-352 353 ables with OS did not show significant results ($P \ge .09$; 354 supplemental Table 3). Focusing on the relationship between 355 GM diversity before allo-HSCT and clinical outcomes, the OS 356 significantly differed between groups (P = .011), with patients 357 with higher diversity showing a higher OS than those with less 358 diversity (hazard ratio, 0.29; 95% confidence interval, 0.11-0.80; 359 Figure 2A). This association was confirmed by a multivariate Cox proportional-hazards analysis adjusted for age, graft 360 361 source, donor type, intensity of conditioning regimen, center, 362 the and type of disease (hazard ratio, 0.26; 95% confidence

		3
		4
3	6	5
3	6	6
3	6	7
3	6	8
3	6	9
3	7	0
3	7	1
3	7	2
3		
3	7	4
3	7	5
3	7	6
3	7	7
3	7	8
3	7	9
3	8	0
3	8	1
3	8	2
3	8	3
3	8	4
3	8	5
3		
3		
3	8	8
3		
		0
3		
3		

Q25

Characteristics	Overall (N = 90)	Bologna (N = 50)	Wroclaw (N = 13)	Verona (N = 15)	Rome (N = 5)	Pavia (N = 7)
Age at allo-HSCT, y (± SD)	9.0 ± 5.5	10.0 ± 5.6	7.2 ± 4.1	8.0 ± 5.3	8.8 ± 7.9	8.1 ± 6.3
Male sex, n (%)	53 (59)	29 (58)	11 (85)	6 (40)	1 (20)	6 (86)
Disease, n (%)						
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	33 (37)	19 (38)	5 (38)	6 (40)	3 (60)	0 (0)
Acute myeloid leukemia	19 (21)	14 (28)	0 (0)	2 (13)	1 (20)	2 (29)
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	2 (2)	2 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
MDS or JMML	10 (11)	7 (14)	2 (15)	1 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Nonmalignant disease	26 (29)	8 (16)	6 (49)	6 (40)	1 (20)	5 (71)
Type of donor (%)						
Unrelated	55 (61)	30 (60)	8 (62)	13 (87)	1 (20)	3 (43)
HLA-haploidentical relative	18 (20)	10 (20)	2 (15)	0 (0)	3 (60)	3 (43)
Identical sibling	17 (19)	10 (20)	3 (23)	2 (13)	1 (20)	1 (14)
Stem cell source (%)						
Bone marrow	68 (76)	47 (94)	5 (38)	10 (67)	2 (49)	4 (57)
PBSC	21 (23)	3 (6)	8 (62)	4 (27)	3 (60)	3 (43)
Cord blood	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (7)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Intensity of conditioning (%)						
Ablative	83 (92)	49 (98)	10 (77)	12 (80)	5 (100)	7 (100)
Reduced intensity	7 (8)	1 (2)	3 (23)	3 (20)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Follow-up of survivors, mo						
Median	52.4	55.4	23	39.2	78.5	79.4
Interquartile range	26.3-79.0	24.0-78.5	22.8-24.0	24.0-33.0	78.0-79.0	78.5-80.0


JMML, juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; PBSC, peripheral blood stem cell; SD, standard deviation.

interval, 0.09-0.75; supplemental Table 4). The estimated OS at 52 months after allo-HSCT was 88.9 ± 5.7 (standard error [SE]) and 62.7 ± 8.2 (SE) for the higher- and lower-diversity groups, respectively (Figure 2A). There were no statistically significant differences between the higher- and lower-diversity groups in the cumulative incidence of relapse (13.3 \pm 5.1 [SE] vs 24.4 \pm 6.4 [SE]; P = .1) and relapse-free survival (80.0 ± 6.0 [SE] vs 55.4 \pm 10.8 [SE]; P = .091; supplemental Figure 4). The cumu-lative incidence of grade 2 to 4 aGVHD (20.0 ± 6.0 [SE] vs 44.4 ± 7.4 [SE]; P = .017) as well as the incidence of grade 3 to 4 aGVHD (2.2 ± 2.2 [SE] vs 20.0 ± 6.0 [SE]; P = .007) was signif-icantly lower in the higher-diversity group than in the lower-diversity group, (Figure 2B). Patients with higher diversity showed a trend toward lower gut aGVHD (11.1 ± 4.7 [SE] vs 24.4 \pm 6.4 [SE]; P = .098), whereas no differences were found between the groups in terms of BSI (23.8 \pm 6.6 [SE] vs 20.9 \pm 6.2 [SE]; P = .735) and TRM (8.9 ± 4.2 [SE] vs 15.6 ± 6.2 [SE]; P = .473; supplemental Figure 4). An analysis of aGVHD-related mortality was not performed because of the low number of deaths due to this complication. The results of the univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis for all vari-ables considered are shown in supplemental Table 4. As for GM diversity at neutrophil engraftment, no significant differences in outcomes were found between the higher- and lower-diversity groups in terms of OS, relapse-free survival, grade 2 to 4 and

3 to 4 aGVHD, gut aGVHD, BSI, and TRM (supplemental Figure 5; supplemental Table 5).


GM composition across transplant stages

Differences in pre-allo-HSCT GM composition were visualized using t-SNE plots generated from genus-level relative abundance data. Color coding of the t-SNE projections according to the Shannon index of alfa diversity highlighted a large central cluster of high-diversity samples, with an outer rim consisting mostly of lower-diversity projections (Figure 3A). A significant separation between the higher- and lower-diversity groups was, indeed, found using Adonis Permanova on Bray-Curtis distance matrices (P = .001). Color coding based on patient survival outcome showed a colocalization of lower-diversity samples and fatalities (Figure 3B), with GM configurations tending to separate based on outcome (alive vs dead; P = .052). Pre-allo-HSCT alfa diversity was, indeed, significantly higher in patients who had a favorable survival outcome (Figure 4A). In terms of the most abundant genus (Figure 3C), several of the lowerdiversity samples were characterized by a higher relative abundance of Escherichia-Shigella, Enterococcus, Enterobacter, and Streptococcus. Furthermore, the GM profiles were segregated based on the occurrence of aGVHD (P = .006), especially with grade 3 to 4 aGVHD tending to colocalize with Q11 the lower-diversity samples as well as with the presence of



Q28

Figure 1. Temporal distribution of the samples from the multicenter pediatric allo-HSCT cohort analyzed in this Q26 Q27 study. Each point in the graph represents 1 of 180 fecal samples for the 90 patients included in this study, sampled before transplantation and at neutrophil engraftment. Samples are plotted based on the time relative to allo-HSCT (day 0) on the horizontal axis. The color code is based on alfa diversity as measured by the Shannon index.



Streptococcus and Bacteroides, but not Blautia, as the most abundant taxa (Figure 3D). In addition, it is noteworthy that the results of the univariate Cox proportional hazards analysis confirm the previously reported link between GM composition and aGVHD onset.^{7,35} Finally, pre-allo-HSCT samples from all centers were evenly distributed across the different t-SNE clusters, confirming the absence of transplant center-specific effects (P = .592; Figure 3E).

From a compositional point of view, the higher-diversity group before transplantation was characterized by higher relative abundances of the families Oscillospiraceae, Bacteroidaceae, Rikenellaceae, Ruminococcaceae, Prevotellaceae, Coriobacteriaceae, Christensenellaceae, and Tannerellaceae (P < .01; Figure 4B). Conversely, the lower-diversity group showed an overabundance of Enterococcaceae and Enterobacteriaceae (P < .05). Going down to the genus level, the GM of the higher- ^{Q12}




Figure 2. XX. Kaplan-Meier plot for OS (A) and cumulative incidence plots for grade 2 to 4 and 3 to 4 aGVHD (B) for the higher- and lower-diversity groups before allo-HSCT. 029 CI, confidence interval.




Figure 3. Pretransplant GM and outcomes in pediatric patients undergoing allo-HSCT. The GM composition at the genus level of the 90 patients before transplantation is represented according to the t-SNE algorithm. Each point represents a stool sample from a single patient, and the axes (t-SNE1 and t-SNE2) have arbitrary units. The more similar the samples are in microbiota composition, the closer they appear on the t-SNE plot. Higher-diversity samples (A) congregate in the center and tend to colocalize with favorable survival outcome (B). The outer ring is mostly composed of lower-diversity samples, which show a higher presence of Escherichia-Shigella, Enterococcus, Enterobacter, and Streptococcus when color-coded for the most abundant taxon detected (C). A similar trend can be observed for the onset of aGVHD (aGVHD) (D), especially grade 3 to 4 aGVHD. Samples from all 5 institutions are well distributed across the t-SNE space (E).

diversity group was characterized by higher proportions of Bacteroides, Dorea, Parabacteroides, Alistipes, Collinsella, Coprococcus, Roseburia, Faecalibacterium, Blautia, and members of the Christensenellaceae R-7 group, (Ruminococcus) torques group, and (Eubacterium) coprostanoligenes group (P < .05). In contrast, the lower-diversity group was found to be particularly enriched in Escherichia-Shigella and Enterococcus (P < .05), confirming the t-SNE observations (Figure 4C).

Regarding antibiotic administration before admission, the median antibiotic exposure was significantly longer in the lower-diversity group (P = .028; supplemental Figure 6A). Moreover, in the whole cohort, pre–allo-HSCT antibiotic therapy resulted in a significant reduction in alfa diversity (P = .043; supplemental Figure 6B).

With regard to the analysis performed on samples collected at the time of neutrophil engraftment, significant separation between the higher- and lower-diversity groups was detected using Adonis Permanova on Bray-Curtis distance matrices (P =.013), but neither genus-level, composition-derived t-SNE representations, nor pairwise Adonis testing, nor Wilcoxon tests of raw alfa diversity values revealed any strong association between GM diversity at this time point and OS (supplemental Figures 7 and 8A-B). Nevertheless, several compositional differences at both the family and genus levels were found at neutrophil engraftment, stratifying based on higher vs lower diversity (supplemental Figure 7B-C). In particular, the higherdiversity group showed higher levels of Lachnospiraceae, *Ruminococcaceae*, Eggerthellaceae, Erysipelotrichaceae, Tannerellaceae, Christensenellaceae, and Bacteroidaceae (P < .05)





Figure 4. GM diversity and composition before allo-HSCT. (A) Boxplots showing the distribution of alfa diversity estimated with the Shannon index according to patient outcome (alive vs dead). Significant differences in the GM composition at the family (B) and genus (C) level between the higher- and lower-diversity groups. false discovery rate–corrected Wilcoxon rank-sum tests: ***P < .01; *P < .05.

and a trend toward lower levels of *Enterococcaceae* (P = .05). At the genus level, engraftment samples with higher diversity were enriched in *Lachnoclostridium*, *Subdoligranulum*, *Parabacteroides*, and *Bacteroides* as well as members of the *Christensenellaceae* R-7 group (P < .05). On the contrary, relative abundances of *Enterococcus* tended to be higher in the lower-diversity group (P = .05).

Regarding aGVHD, it was not found to be associated with alfa diversity either before transplantation or at neutrophil engraftment (supplemental Figures 8D and 9). However, higher preallo-HSCT relative abundances of *Blautia* and *Ruminococcus* ($P \leq .05$) appeared to be protective against the subsequent

aGVHD development, in line with the available literature.³⁵ Such taxonomic signatures were more pronounced, specifically, when looking at grade 3 to 4 aGVHD, the occurrence of which was also associated with higher pre–allo-HSCT proportions of *Streptococcus, Actinomyces, Lacticaseibacillus, Rothia*, and members of the (*Ruminococcus*) gnavus group ($P \le .05$). No GM taxonomic signatures related to the onset of grade 1 to 2 or 3 to 4 GVHD were detected at neutrophil engraftment.

Regarding antibiotic administration at the pre-engraftment period of time (ie, from HSCT to engraftment), it was found that patients receiving glycopeptides were enriched with *Enterococcaceae* (P = .004) but not *Enterobacteriaceae*



(P = .391) in the stool samples collected at engraftment (supplemental Figure 6C). In contrast, the relative abundance of Enterococcaceae was higher in patients receiving piperacillintazobactam or meropenem compared with those receiving cefepime or ceftazidime (P = .024; supplemental Figure 6D).

Network analysis

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

Finally, we explored the GM networks of the higher- and lowerdiversity groups before allo-HSCT and at neutrophil engraftment, using a correlation networking approach, as previously reported.⁴⁸ Before allo-HSCT, the GM network of patients belonging to the lower-diversity group showed Enterococcus, Escherichia-Shigella, Enterobacter, and the (Ruminococcus) gnavus group as keystone taxa (see "Methods"), whereas Bacteroides, Blautia, Faecalibacterium, and Roseburia were detected for the higher-diversity group. Overall, we detected 5 modules, which were clearly differently populated in the 2 1000 diversity groups (Figure 5A-B). The lower-diversity group showed 1001 enrichment in network module 2, particularly represented by 1002 Enterococcus, Escherichia-Shigella, Rothia, Enterobacter, Anae-1003 rococcus, and Klebsiella, and module 5, with Pseudomonas, 1004 Anaerobacillus, Bacillus, Proteus, and Acinetobacter. In contrast, 1005 the network layout of the higher-diversity group hardly popu-1006 lated these modules, with the overall configuration particularly 1007 upheld by modules 1, 3, and 4 containing several short-chain 1008 fatty acid (SCFA) producers, as previously outlined (ie, Bacter-1009 oides, Coprococcus, Roseburia, Oscillospira, Faecalibacterium, 1010 Ruminococcus, and Eubacterium spp), as well as many other gut 1011 commensals, with their abundances evenly distributed across 1012 modules and nodes. As for network modularity and cohesion 1013 before transplantation (ie, N:P ratio and TC), a considerable 1014 reduction in all parameters was found in the lower-diversity 1015 group (Figure 5C). At the time of neutrophil engraftment, 1016 several keystones were maintained, namely Bacteroides in the 1017 GM network of patients with higher diversity, and Enterococcus 1018 and Escherichia-Shigella in that of patients with lower diversity 1019 (supplemental Figure 10). Again, the modularity and N:P ratio 1020 were notably reduced in the lower-diversity group, whereas TC 1021 showed similar values between groups. 1022

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, we present the first evidence of an association between pretransplantation lower GM diversity and a poorer outcome in children undergoing allo-HSCT. Moreover, this analysis also involved the largest pediatric cohort studied for GM composition during the allo-HSCT period published to date.

Higher GM diversity before transplantation, but not at the time of neutrophil engraftment, correlated with a better probability of OS and a lower cumulative incidence of grade 2 to 4 and grade 3 to 4 aGVHD. Our data partly differ from those reported in adults, whose diversity both before and after transplantation (ie, at neutrophil engraftment) was significantly related to transplant outcomes.⁹ In particular, Peled et al observed that lower GM diversity at the time of neutrophil engraftment predicted poor OS and correlated with higher risks of TRM and death attributable to aGVHD in 2 independent cohorts.⁹ Higher diversity in the preallo-HSCT period was associated with increased OS and reduced TRM in only 1 cohort. Therefore, the finding that pretransplant GM features may predict allo-HSCT outcomes is consistent between pediatric and adult cohorts. However, our data differ from those of adults on the predictive nature of posttransplant GM composition. This finding may be due to age-related variation in the GM and possibly to the different abilities of the GM to withstand external stressors between adults and children. Children, in general, have significantly lower GM diversity, higher relative abundances of Bacteroides and Bifidobacterium spp, and lower relative abundances of Blautia than adults.^{24,58} Functional properties of the GM also vary based on age, with a predominance of catabolic over biosynthetic pathways in the pediatric period.⁵⁸ In addition, children's GM is more plastic and malleable to modification through environmental factors, undergoing larger shifts when exposed to external stressor.²⁴ Considering these different ecological properties compared with those in adults, we hypothesize that allo-HSCT-induced dysbiosis in the pediatric setting may imply loss of age-related GM signatures, including alfa diversity, with high interpatient variability. We have previously reported that pediatric patients are particularly prone to lose agespecific GM signatures during allo-HSCT, probably in an individual manner,⁷ in line with the so-called Anna Karenina principle, stating that each dysbiotic GM is dysbiotic in its own way.⁵⁹

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

Interestingly, the higher-diversity group before allo-HSCT exhibited favorable GM compositional features, namely higher relative abundances of SCFA producers, such as Blautia, Roseburia, Faecalibacterium, Bacteroides, Coprococcus, and Parabacteroides.⁶⁰⁻⁶⁷ SCFAs have a pivotal trophic effect on the intestinal epithelial barrier, being an energy source for enterocytes and increasing the gene expression of tight-junction proteins and mucins,^{68,69} and play a crucial role in the cross talk between GM and host immune cells.^{70,71} Higher proportions of butyrate-producing species and increased SCFA production were linked, in several cohorts, to a lower incidence and severity of transplant-related complications, mainly aGVHD and viral infections.^{18,72-77} The higher-diversity group also showed increased relative abundances of members of the (Eubacterium) coprostanoligenes group, generally known for its metabolic asset capable of bio-transforming cholesterol into coprostanol, influencing host fat metabolism, which may be a focus in future metagenomic studies.^{78,79} In contrast, the lower-diversity group was characterized by the families Enterobacteriaceae, which includes many facultative aerobic pathobionts, and Enterococcus. Both these taxa were associated with a higher risk of bacteremia and viremia as well as increased aGVHD-related mortality¹⁰ in pediatric allo-HSCT recipients.^{18,80}

The reconstruction of GM networks allowed us to detect significant differences between the higher- and lower-diversity groups in terms of network topology and network properties, which can be linked to potential ecological interactions within GM communities. In particular, the GM of the lower-diversity group before transplantation lacked modularity and stability and showed less competitive interactions (indicated by a lower N:P ratio), thus being more fragile, less resilient, and likely

Figure 5 (continued) showing overabundance ≥1.4 were displayed. Labels were displayed only for genera showing an overabundance of at least 1.5. The 5 different modules detected according to a statistical mechanics spin-glass model and simulated annealing are noted with labels. (B) Values of computed network features (ie, modularity, N:P ratio, and TC)

unable to maintain eubiotic cross talk with the host during and after allo-HSCT. By contrast, the ecosystem found in the higher-diversity GM group was more modular and robust. Moreover, this ecosystem was held up by the presence of SCFA producers as keystone taxa (ie, *Blautia, Bacteroides, Faecalibacterium*, and Roseburia), all of which are well-known components of a healthy child GM.^{81,82}

1115In conclusion, our findings underscore the importance of pre-
transplant GM diversity and compositional structure in influ-
encing allo-HSCT-related clinical outcomes in the pediatric
setting. In particular, our data emphasize the importance of an
overall healthy network, rather than the abundance of specific
families or genera, in preventing complications and unfavorable
outcomes.

1122

1123 Our study has some limitations. First, the different clinical 1124 practices of the participating centers resulted in a heteroge-1125 neous cohort encompassing several diseases, conditioning 1126 regimens, and transplant practices. Second, although it is the 1127 largest pediatric cohort to date, the sample size is still small and 1128 not comparable with what is available for adults. Moreover, the 1129 age range is wide, including both infants and older children, 1130 **Q13** whose GM likely follows different ecological rules. Large-scale 1131 multicenter cohort studies are needed to fully uncover the 1132 clinical significance of the age-related GM configuration in 1133 determining patient outcomes. Furthermore, more frequent 1134 and longer sampling may enable the implementation of addi-1135 tional approaches, such as that proposed by Raman et al,⁸³ to 1136 efficiently detect a so-called ecogroup, that is, an ensemble of 1137 taxa that act as a core microbiota during the whole process, 1138 whose presence and functionality might provide further insights 1139 the dynamics occurring during transplantation. This 1140 ecogroup would most likely include SCFA producers, which 1141 could act not only as markers of a healthy ecosystem but also as 1142 drivers of favorable associations. Unlike in the cohort of Peled 1143 et al,⁹ in our cohort, we were unable to define a significant 1144 relationship with a specific cause of death, probably because of 1145 the relatively low number of events. 1146

1147 Although our study provides novel and important information 1148 regarding GM in pediatric allo-HSCT recipients, many questions 1149 remain unanswered. For instance, our study, like most of the 1150 published ones, characterizes the GM only from a composi-1151 tional point of view. Functional modifications with meta-1152 genomics sequencing and metabolomics should be addressed 1153 along with the clinical implications. Moreover, this information 1154 should be integrated with other GM components, namely fungi 1155 and viruses, whose roles are not fully understood yet. Our study 1156 also defines opportunities for GM-targeted therapeutics in 1157 children, such as nutritional modulation or even fecal microbiota transplantation.⁸⁴ In particular, our results provide infor-1158 1159 mation regarding the best timeframe to act in order to improve 1160 the GM configuration and, in cascade, transplant outcomes, 1161 which was found to be the one before allo-HSCT, unlike the 1162 adult counterpart. Importantly, this increases the possibility of 1163 preventing GM injury starting from the diagnosis of the onco-1164 logical or hematologic disease. Early personalized precision 1165 interventions could therefore be proposed to patients based on 1166 their GM composition. However, their safety and effectiveness 1167 should be specifically addressed in interventional studies in 1168 pediatric allo-HSCT recipients. Furthermore, the lower GM diversity and the higher relative abundance of *Enterococcaceae* observed in pre–allo-HSCT samples of patients receiving antibiotics (especially glycopeptides) suggest that antibiotic-sparing strategies in this key timeframe could contribute to maintaining eubiosis.

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating an association between GM diversity before transplantation and OS in children given allo-HSCT. In addition, we found significant associations between higher pretransplant diversity and the presence of SCFA-producing taxa, as well as a network structure with higher modularity and plasticity. GM modulation during this critical time window related to allo-HSCT could, therefore, possibly influence patients' prognosis.^{66,67}

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio ^{Q14} in Bologna (19431 - 2021.0082) (R.M.), Federide ONLUS association (R.M.), Fondazione Regionale per la Ricerca Biomedica (Plagencell N° CP2_10/2018) (M.Z.), and Italian Ministry of Health (Ricerca Corrente 08069119 and 08045818) (M.Z.).

Authorship

Contribution: R.M., D.Z., and P.B. conceptualized the study; D.L., E.M., and M.F. performed formal analysis, visualized the data, and wrote the original draft; F.B., F.G., T.B., M.U., J.F., S.C., M.Z., P.M., and F.L. provided the resources; R.M., D.Z., F.D., M.C., A. Pession, F.L., A. Prete, and S.T. reviewed and edited the manuscript; R.M., A. Prete, and P.B. supervised the study; and R.M., M.Z., A. Prete, and P.B. acquired the funding.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: R.M., 0000-0002-1264-057X; D.L., 0000-0002-4673-5876; E.M., 0000-0001-6954-3140; M.F., 0000-0003-1431-0643; D.Z., 0000-0002-9895-5942; F.B., 0000-0001-8313-7288; S.C., 0000-0002-8698-9547; M.Z., 0000-0002-8818-1744; P.M., 0000-0001-6426-4046; M.C., 0000-0001-7420-790X; S.T., 0000-0003-2345-9482.

Correspondence: Marco Fabbrini, Department of Medical and Surgical ^{Q15} Sciences, Microbiomics Unit, University of Bologna, Via Massarenti 11, 40138 Bologna, Italy; email: m.fabbrini@unibo.it.

Footnotes

Submitted 27 February 2023; accepted 16 June 2023. https://doi.org/ 10.1182/blood.2023020026.

*R.M. and D.L. contributed equally as joint first authors.

Quality-filtered sequences used in this study are available in the European Nucleotide Archive (project code PRJEB23820,) MG-RAST (accession number 11624), and National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (project code PRJNA592853). ^{Q16}

Data are available on request from the corresponding author, Marco Fabbrini (m.fabbrini@unibo.it).

The online version of this article contains a data supplement.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.

REFERENCES

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244 1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

- 1. Copelan EA, Chojecki A, Lazarus HM, Avalos BR. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: the current renaissance. Blood Rev. 2019;34:34-44.
- 2. Masetti R, Muratore E, Gori D, Prete A, Locatelli F. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for pediatric acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: a meta-analysis. Ann Hematol. 2022;101(11): 2497-2506
- 3. Algeri M, Merli P, Locatelli F, Pagliara D. The role of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in pediatric leukemia. J Clin Med. 2021;10(17):3790.
- 4. Ferrara JLM, Levine JE, Reddy P, Holler E. Graft-versus-host disease. Lancet. 2009; 373(9674):1550-1561.
- 5. Zama D, Biagi E, Masetti R, et al. Gut microbiota and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: where do we stand? Bone Marrow Transplant. 2017;52(1):7-14.
- 6. Zama D, Gori D, Muratore E, et al. Enteral versus parenteral nutrition as nutritional support after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transplant Cell Ther. 2021; 27(2):180.e1-180.e8.
- 7. Masetti R, Zama D, Leardini D, et al. The gut microbiome in pediatric patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2020; 67(12):e28711.
- 8. Taur Y, Jenq RR, Perales M-A, et al. The effects of intestinal tract bacterial diversity on mortality following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Blood. 2014;124(7): 1174-1182.
- 9. Peled JU, Gomes ALC, Devlin SM, et al. Microbiota as predictor of mortality in allogeneic hematopoietic-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(9): 822-834
- 10. Stein-Thoeringer CK, Nichols KB, Lazrak A, et al. Lactose drives Enterococcus expansion to promote graft-versus-host disease. Science. 2019;366(6469):1143-1149.
- 11. Jeng RR, Taur Y, Devlin SM, et al. Intestinal Blautia is associated with reduced death from graft-versus-host disease. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2015;21(8):1373-1383.
- 12. Masetti R, Muratore E, Leardini D, et al. Gut microbiome in pediatric acute leukemia: from predisposition to cure. Blood Adv. 2021: 5(22):4619-4629.
- 13. Montassier E, Al-Ghalith GA, Ward T, et al. Pretreatment gut microbiome predicts chemotherapy-related bloodstream infection. Genome Med. 2016;8(1):49-11.
- 14. Rashidi A, Kaiser T, Holtan SG, Weisdorf DJ, Khoruts A, Staley C. Pre-transplant recovery of microbiome diversity without recovery of the original microbiome. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2019;54(7):1115-1117.
- 15. Liu C, Frank DN, Horch M, et al. Associations between acute gastrointestinal GvHD and the

baseline gut microbiota of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients and donors. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2017; 52(12):1643-1650.

- 16. Doki N, Suyama M, Sasajima S, et al. Clinical impact of pre-transplant gut microbial diversity on outcomes of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Ann Hematol. 2017;96(9):1517-1523.
- 17. Masetti R, Biagi E, Zama D, et al. Early modifications of the gut microbiome in children with hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Sci Rep. 2021;11: 14307-11.
- 18. Vaitkute G, Panic G, Alber DG, et al. Linking gastrointestinal microbiota and metabolome dynamics to clinical outcomes in paediatric haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Microbiome. 2022;10(1):89-17.

3

- 19. Ingham AC, Kielsen K, Mordhorst H, et al. Microbiota long-term dynamics and prediction of acute graft-versus-host disease in pediatric allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Microbiome. 2021;9(1):148.
- 20. Ingham AC, Kielsen K, Cilieborg MS, et al. Specific gut microbiome members are associated with distinct immune markers in pediatric allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Microbiome. 2019;7(1):131.
- 21. D'Amico F, Soverini M, Zama D, et al. Gut resistome plasticity in pediatric patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):5649-7.
- 22. Simms-Waldrip TR, Sunkersett G, Coughlin LA, et al. Antibiotic-induced depletion of anti-inflammatory Clostridia is associated with the development of graftversus-host disease in pediatric stem cell transplantation patients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2017;23(5):820-829.
- 23. Morkis IVC, Vicente BdM, Habigzang M, et al. Should we evaluate intestinal microbiota of pediatric patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation? Bone Marrow Transplant. 2019;55(7):1506-1508.
- 24. Derrien M, Alvarez AS, de Vos WM. The gut microbiota in the first decade of life. Trends Microbiol. 2019;27(12):997-1010.
- 25. Zama D, Bossù G, Leardini D, et al. Insights into the role of intestinal microbiota in hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation. Ther Adv Hematol. 2020;11:2040620719896961.
- 26. Masetti R, D'Amico F, Zama D, et al. Febrile neutropenia duration is associated with the severity of gut microbiota dysbiosis in pediatric allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. Cancers. 2022; 14(8).1932
- 27. Berger M, Lanino E, Cesaro S, et al. Feasibility and outcome of haploidentical hematopoietic stem cell transplantation with post-transplant high-dose cyclophosphamide for children and adolescents with hematologic malignancies: an AIEOP-GITMO retrospective multicenter study. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2016;22(5):902-909.

28.	Zeiser R, Blazar BR. Acute graft-versus-host		1293
	disease - biologic process, prevention, and therapy. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2017;377(22):		1294
	2167-2179.		1295
			1296
29.	Eapen M, Horowitz MM, Klein JP, et al.		1297
	Higher mortality after allogeneic peripheral- blood transplantation compared with bone		1298
	marrow in children and adolescents: the		1299
	Histocompatibility and Alternate Stem Cell		1300
	Source Working Committee of the		1301
	International Bone Marrow Transplant		1302
	Registry. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22(24): 4872-4880.		1303
			1304
30.	Davies SM, Wang D, Wang T, et al.		1305
	Recent decrease in acute graft-versus-host disease in children with leukemia receiving		1306
	unrelated donor bone marrow transplants.		1307
	Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009;15(3):		1308
	360-366.		1309
31.	Dini G, Zecca M, Balduzzi A, et al. No	217	1310
	difference in outcome between children and		1311
	adolescents transplanted for acute		1312
	lymphoblastic leukemia in second remission.		1313
	Blood. 2011;118(25):6683-6690.		1314
32.	Kong SG, Jeong S, Lee S, Jeong JY, Kim DJ,		1315
	Lee HS. Early transplantation-related		1316
	mortality after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with acute		1317
	leukemia. BMC Cancer. 2021;21(1):177-9.		1318
			1319
33.	Mateos MK, O'Brien TA, Oswald C, et al.		1320
	Transplant-related mortality following allogeneic hematopoeitic stem cell		1321
	transplantation for pediatric acute		1322
	lymphoblastic leukemia: 25-year		1323
	retrospective review. Pediatr Blood Cancer.		1324
	2013;60(9):1520-1527.		1325
34.	Jacobsohn DA. Acute graft-versus-host		1326
	disease in children. Bone Marrow Transplant.		1327
	2008;41(2):215-221.		1328
35.	Biagi E, Zama D, Rampelli S, et al. Early gut		1329
	microbiota signature of aGvHD in children		1330
	given allogeneic hematopoietic cell		1331
	transplantation for hematological disorders. BMC Med Genom. 2019;12(1):49.	Q18 , Q21	1332
			1333
36.	Biagi E, Zama D, Nastasi C, et al. Gut		1334
	microbiota trajectory in pediatric patients undergoing hematopoietic SCT. <i>Bone</i>		1335
	Marrow Transplant. 2015;50(7):992-998.		1336
~-			1337
37.	D'Amico F, Biagi E, Rampelli S, et al. Enteral nutrition in pediatric patients undergoing		1338
	hematopoietic SCT promotes the recovery of		1339
	gut microbiome homeostasis. <i>Nutrients</i> .		1340
	2019;11(12):2958.		1341
38	Masella AP, Bartram AK, Truszkowski JM,		1342
	Brown DG, Neufeld JD. PANDAseq: paired-		1343
	end assembler for Illumina sequences. BMC		1344
	Bioinf. 2012;13(4):31.		1345
39.	Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ,		1346
	Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2:		1347
	high-resolution sample inference from		1348
	Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016; 13(7):581-583.		1349
	13(7).301-303.		1350
40.	Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, et al.		1351
	Reproducible, interactive, scalable and		1352
	extensible microbiome data science using OIIME 2 Nat Biotechnol 2019:37(8):		1353

MICROBIAL DIVERSITY PREDICTS MORTALITY IN CHILDREN

QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(8):

852-857.

CLE

	255
1	355 356
1 1	356 357
י 1	358
1	359
1	360
1	360 361 362
1	362
1	363
1	364
1	365
1	366 367
1 1	367
1 1	369 369
י 1	370
י 1	371
1	370 371 372 373 374 375
1	373
1	374
1	375
1	375 376 377 378 379
1	377
1	378
1	379
1 1	380
1 1	382
	383
1	384
1	384 385
1	386
1	387
1	388 389 390
1	389
1	390
1 1	391 392
1	392 393
1 1	
י 1	
1	
1	397
1	
	399
	400
1	401
1	402 403
	403
	404
	405
1	407
1	408
1	409
1	410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

- 41. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ. 2016;4(10):e2584.
- 42. Bokulich NA, Kaehler BD, Rideout JR, et al. Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. Microbiome. 2018;6(1):90.
 - 43. Leek JT, Johnson WE, Parker HS, Jaffe AE, Storey JD. The sva package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments. Bioinformatics. 2012;28(6):882-883.
 - 44. Leek JT, Johnson WE, Parker HS, et al. sva: surrogate variable sanalysis. XX. 2022.
 - 45. Harrell Jr FE. Harrell miscellaneous [R package Hmisc version 4.7-2]. 2022.
 - 46. Hernandez DJ, David AS, Menges ES, Searcy CA, Afkhami ME. Environmental stress destabilizes microbial networks. ISME J. 2021;15(6):1722-1734.
 - 47. Herren CM, McMahon KD. Cohesion: a method for quantifying the connectivity of microbial communities. ISME J. 2017;11(11): 2426-2438
- 48. Fabbrini M, D'Amico F, Leardini D, et al. Levofloxacin prophylaxis and parenteral nutrition have a detrimental effect on intestinal microbial networks in pediatric patients undergoing HSCT. Commun Biol. 2023;6(1):36.
 - 49. igraph network analysis software. XX; XX.
- 50. Traag VA, Bruggeman J. Community detection in networks with positive and negative links. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys. 2009;80(3 pt 2):036115.
- 51. Berry D, Widder S. Deciphering microbial interactions and detecting keystone species with co-occurrence networks. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:219-14.
- 52. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 2003; 13(11):2498-2504.
- 53. Glucksberg H, Storb R, Fefer A, et al. Clinical manifestations of graft-versus-host disease in human recipients of marrow from HL-Amatched sibling donors. Transplantation. 1974;18(4):295-304.
- 54. vegan: community ecology package [R package vegan version 2.6-4]. 2022.
- 55. Krijthe J. Rtsne: T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding using a Barnes-Hut implementation. 2015.
 - 56. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2020.
 - 57. Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag; 2016.
- 58. Radjabzadeh D, Boer CG, Beth SA, et al. Diversity, compositional and functional differences between gut microbiota of children and adults. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1): 1040-13.

- 59. Zaneveld JR, McMinds R, Vega Thurber R. Stress and stability: applying the Anna Karenina principle to animal microbiomes. Nat Microbiol. 2017;2(9):17121.
- 60. Lei Y, Tang L, Liu S, et al. Parabacteroides produces acetate to alleviate heparanaseexacerbated acute pancreatitis through reducing neutrophil infiltration. Microbiome. 2021;9(1):115-18.
- 61. Reichardt N, Duncan SH, Young P, et al. Phylogenetic distribution of three pathways for propionate production within the human gut microbiota. ISME J. 2014;8(6):1323-1335.
- 62. Bornet E, Westermann AJ. The ambivalent role of Bacteroides in enteric infections. Trends Microbiol. 2022;30(2):104-108.
- 63. Yang J, Li Y, Wen Z, Liu W, Meng L, Huang H. Oscillospira - a candidate for the nextgeneration probiotics. Gut Microbes. 2021; 13(1).1987783
- 64. Peterson CT, Perez Santiago J, lablokov SN, Chopra D, Rodionov DA, Peterson SN. Shortchain fatty acids modulate healthy gut microbiota composition and functional potential. Curr Microbiol. 2022;79(5):128-13.

- 65. Zhang M, Zhou L, Wang Y, et al. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii produces butyrate to decrease c-Myc-related metabolism and Th17 differentiation by inhibiting histone deacetylase 3. Int Immunol. 2019;31(8):499-514.
- 66. Nie K, Ma K, Luo W, et al. Roseburia intestinalis: a beneficial gut organism from the discoveries in genus and species. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:757718.
- 67. Liu X, Mao B, Gu J, et al. Blautia—a new functional genus with potential probiotic properties? Gut Microbes. 2021;13(1):1-21.
- 68. Masetti R, Zama D, Leardini D, et al. Microbiome-derived metabolites in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(3): 1197.
- 69. Romick-Rosendale LE, Haslam DB, Lane A, et al. Antibiotic exposure and reduced short chain fatty acid production after hematopoietic stem cell transplant. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2018;24(12): 2418-2424.
- 70. Chang P v, Hao L, Offermanns S, Medzhitov R. The microbial metabolite butyrate regulates intestinal macrophage function via histone deacetylase inhibition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(6): 2247-2252.
- 71. Nastasi C, Candela M, Bonefeld CM, et al. The effect of short-chain fatty acids on human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):16148-10.
- 72. Docampo MD, da Silva MB, Lazrak A, et al. Alloreactive T cells deficient of the shortchain fatty acid receptor GPR109A induce less graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2022; 139(15):2392-2405.
- 73. Meedt E, Hiergeist A, Gessner A, et al. Prolonged suppression of butyrate-

	transplantation-related mortality after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. <i>Clin</i>	14 14	19
	Infect Dis. 2022;74(4):614-621.	142	
74	Burgos da Silva M, Ponce DM, Dai A, et al.	142	
, 4.	Preservation of the fecal microbiome is	142	
	associated with reduced severity of graft-	142	
	versus-host disease. Blood. 2022;140(22):	142	
	2385-2397.	142	25
75.	Mathewson ND, Jenq R, Mathew A V, et al.	142	26
	Gut microbiome-derived metabolites	142	27
	modulate intestinal epithelial cell damage	142	28
	and mitigate graft-versus-host disease. <i>Nat</i>	142	29
	Immunol. 2016;17(5):505-513.	Q22 143	30
76.	Markey KA, Schluter J, Gomes ALC, et al. The	143	31
	microbe-derived short-chain fatty acids	143	32
	butyrate and propionate are associated with	143	33
	protection from chronic GVHD. <i>Blood</i> . 2020; 136(1):130-136.	143	34
		143	35
77.	Haak BW, Littmann ER, Chaubard JL, et al.	143	
	Impact of gut colonization with butyrate-	143	
	producing microbiota on respiratory viral infection following allo-HCT. <i>Blood</i> . 2018;	143	
	131(26):2978-2986.	143	
		14	
/8.	Freier TA, Beitz DC, Li L, Hartman PA. Characterization of Eubacterium	144	
	coprostanoligenes sp. nov., a cholesterol-		
	reducing anaerobe. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1994;	144	
	44(1):137-142.	144	-
70	lusta C. Gárard P. Chalastaral ta	144	
77.	Juste C, Gérard P. Cholesterol-to- coprostanol conversion by the gut	Q23 144	
	microbiota: what we know, suspect, and	Q24	-
	ignore. Microorganisms. 2021;9(9):1881.	144	17
00	Perez P, Patiño J, Estacio M, Pino J, Manzi E,	144	18
00.		144	19
00.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients	144	
00.		144	50
	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11.	145	50 51
	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther</i> . 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-	142 145 145 145	50 51 52
	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of	144 145 145 145	50 51 52 53
	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther</i> . 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-	144 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54
	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the	144 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther</i> . 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> . 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3.	144 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021;	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther</i> . 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> . 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 Nutrients. 2020;	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 50 51
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 Nutrients. 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al.	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 50 51 50 50 50 51 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 50 50 51 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
81.	Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva- Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 52 53 50 54 52 53 54 52 53 54 55 55 56 57 55 56 57 55 56 57 55 56 57 55 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science (1979).</i> 2019; 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 52 53 54 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 57 58 59 50 51 52 53 54 55 55 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science (1979).</i> 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 52 55 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 57 58 59 50 51 57 58 59 50 51 52 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 50 51 55 56 57 58 50 51 55 56 57 58 50 51 55 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 55 56 57 58 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 56 56 56 57 58 59 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritonal modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 50 56 57 58 50 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 57 58 56 56 56 57 56 56 57 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. <i>Front Nutr.</i> 2022;9: 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 55 56 57 58 56 57 58 56 57 58 56 57 58 56 57 58 56 56 57 58 56 56 57 58 56 56 57 58 56 56 57 56 57 56 57 57 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science (1979).</i> 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. <i>Front Nutr.</i> 2022;9: 993668. 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 52 53 54 55 56 75 89 60 52 53 54 55 56 75 89 60 51 23 56 57 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 56 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75
81. 82. 83. 84.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. <i>Front Nutr.</i> 2022;9: 993668. 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 52 55 56 57 58 59 60 52 56 57 58 59 60 52 56 57 58 59 60 52 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83. 84.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science (1979).</i> 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. <i>Front Nutr.</i> 2022;9: 993668. 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 52 55 56 57 58 59 60 52 56 57 58 59 60 52 56 57 58 59 60 52 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
81. 82. 83. 84.	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. <i>Front Nutr.</i> 2022;9: 993668. 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56
81. 82. 83. 84. © 2 Lice Nor (CC non	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. <i>Front Nutr.</i> 2022;9: 993668. 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
81. 82. 83. 84. © 2 Lice Nor (CC non	 Medina D. Bacteremia in pediatric patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. <i>Hematol Transfus Cell Ther.</i> 2020;42(1):5-11. Roswall J, Olsson LM, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. Developmental trajectory of the healthy human gut microbiota during the first 5 years of life. <i>Cell Host Microbe.</i> 2021; 29(5):765-776.e3. Deering KE, Devine A, O'sullivan TA, Lo J, Boyce MC, Christophersen CT. Characterizing the composition of the pediatric gut microbiome: a systematic review. Page 16. 2019;12 <i>Nutrients.</i> 2020; 12(1):16. Raman AS, Gehrig JL, Venkatesh S, et al. A sparse covarying unit that describes healthy and impaired human gut microbiota development. <i>Science</i> (1979). 2019; 365(6449):eaau4735. Muratore E, Leardini D, Baccelli F, Venturelli F, Prete A, Masetti R. Nutritional modulation of the gut microbiome in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. <i>Front Nutr.</i> 2022;9: 993668. 2023 by The American Society of Hematology. med under Creative Commons Attribution-1Commercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, 	144 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 50 52 53 54 55 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57

producing bacteria is associated with acute gastrointestinal graft-vs-host disease and

1417