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# CONVEX SETS EVOLVING <br> BY VOLUME PRESERVING FRACTIONAL MEAN CURVATURE FLOWS 

ELEONORA CINTI, CARLO SINESTRARI, AND ENRICO VALDINOCI


#### Abstract

We consider the volume preserving geometric evolution of the boundary of a set under fractional mean curvature. We show that smooth convex solutions maintain their fractional curvatures bounded for all times, and the long time asymptotics approach round spheres. The proofs are based on apriori estimates on the inner and outer radii of the solutions.


## 1. Introduction

Let $E_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a smooth compact convex set, and let $\mathcal{M}_{0}=\partial E_{0}$. For a fixed $s \in(0,1)$, we consider the evolution of $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ by volume preserving fractional mean curvature flow, that is the family of immersions $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} F(p, t)=\left[-H_{s}(p, t)+h(t)\right] \nu(p, t), & p \in \mathcal{M}_{0}, t \geqslant 0  \tag{1}\\ F(p, 0)=p & p \in \mathcal{M}_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Here $H_{s}(p, t)$ and $\nu(p, t)$ denote respectively the fractional mean curvature of order $s$ and the normal vector of the hypersurface $\mathcal{M}_{t}:=F\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}, t\right)$ at the point $F(p, t)$, while the function $h(t)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{M}_{t}\right|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} H_{s}(x) d \mu \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \mu$ denotes the surface measure on $\mathcal{M}_{t}$. With this choice of $h(t)$, the set $E_{t}$ enclosed by $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ has constant volume. An interesting feature of this flow is that the fractional s-perimeter of $E_{t}$ is decreasing, and the monotonicity is strict unless $E_{t}$ is a sphere.

Fractional (or nonlocal) mean curvature was first defined by Caffarelli, Roquejoffre and Savin in [CRS10]. It arises naturally when performing the first variation of the fractional perimeter, a nonlocal notion of perimeter introduced in the same paper. We will recall the definitions of these quantities in $\S 2$. Minimizers of the fractional perimeter are usually called nonlocal minimal sets, and their boundaries nonlocal minimal surfaces. Fractional perimeter and mean curvature have also found application in other contexts, such as image reconstruction and nonlocal capillarity models, see e.g. [BS15, MV17].

Nonlocal minimal surfaces have attracted the interest of many researchers in the last years. One of the main issues is the study of their regularity and the classification of nonlocal minimal cones: many results have been obtained, see [CRS10, CV13, BFV14, SV13, CSV19, CCS], which exhibit

[^0]interesting analogies and differences with respect to the classical case. Among the important differences, we mention in particular the fact that fractional minimal surfaces can stick at the boundary of (even smooth and convex) domains, and occupy all the domain for small values of the fractional parameter, see [DSV17]: these features are in sharp contrast with the classical case and they reveal the important role of the contributions coming from infinity in the geometric displacements of nonlocal minimal surfaces.

A related topic of investigation consists in the study of sets which are stationary for the fractional perimeter, i.e. sets having vanishing nonlocal mean curvature. This is a weaker notion than minimality, and some examples are helicoids and a nonlocal version of catenoids, see e.g. [DdPW18, CDDP16]. Sets with constant nonlocal mean curvature, such as Delaunay-type surfaces, have been studied in [CFSMW, DdPDV16, CFW18a, CFW18b]. In addition, in [CFSMW, CFMN18] it was proved an analogue of the Alexandrov Theorem in the nonlocal setting, which will be crucial for our purposes: any, regular enough, bounded set having constant fractional mean curvature is necessarily a ball.

Before introducing our results, let us recall some properties of the classical mean curvature flow, where the speed of the hypersurface is given by the usual mean curvature. This flow has been widely studied in the last decades, both for its geometric interest and for its relevance in physical models describing the dynamics of interfaces. The equation satisfied by the immersion is a parabolic PDE, and smooth solutions exist locally; however, they can become singular in finite time due to curvature blowup. For this reason, various notions of weak solutions have been introduced during the years, which allow to continue the evolution after the formation of singularities, see e.g. [CGG91, ES91].

An important feature of classical mean curvature flow is that, roughly speaking, it deforms general hypersurfaces into some canonical profiles, possibly after rescaling near the singularities. Such a behaviour is related to the diffusive character of the flow and is of great interest for geometric applications. The first result on asymptotic convergence was obtained by Huisken in [Hui84] in the $h(t) \equiv 0$ case. He proved that convex hypersurfaces remain smooth up to a finite maximal time at which they shrink to a point, and that they converge to a round sphere after rescaling. Shortly afterwards, in [Hui87], he obtained an analogous result for the volume preserving flow: in this case, the solution exists for all times and converges to a sphere as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. In later years, many researchers have studied the convergence to a sphere for other kinds of geometric flows, with a speed driven by more general functions of the (classical) principal curvatures, see e.g. [AMZ13, AW17]. As a possible application of these results, we point out that the convergence to a sphere along a suitable flow can be used to obtain generalizations or alternative proofs of classical geometric inequalities, such as the isoperimetric inequality, or inequalities in convex analysis like the ones by Minkowski or Alexandrov-Fenchel, see e.g. [McC05, Sch08, GL09, ACW18].

By contrast, the study of fractional mean curvature flow has started only recently and very few results are known. The existence and uniqueness of weak solutions in the viscosity sense for the flow in the $h(t) \equiv 0$ case has been obtained by various authors with different approaches [Imb09, CS10, CMP15]. In particular, in [CS10] Caffarelli and Souganidis proved convergence to motion by fractional mean curvature of a threshold dynamics scheme. After this, in [CNR17], Chambolle, Novaga and Ruffini, have extended the results in [CS10] to the anisotropic case and to the presence of an external driving force (that is $h(t) \neq 0$ ) and have proved that the scheme preserves convexity, and, as a consequence, also the limit geometric evolution is convexity preserving. On the other hand, the existence of smooth solutions has been established only recently by Julin and La Manna [JLM19]. Their main result states the short-time existence of a unique classical solution for both the fractional mean curvature flow and the volume preserving flow, starting from a $C^{1,1}$ initial datum.

Some qualitative properties of smooth solutions have been analyzed in [SV19], while the formation of neckpinch singularities has been studied in [CSV18]. The occurrence of fattening for the fractional mean curvature flow and its generalizations has been studied in [CDNV18].

The aim of this paper is to study the convergence to a sphere of the solutions of the nonlocal flow (1) with convex initial data. This can be regarded as the first attempt to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to fractional flows, in a similar spirit to the above mentioned works in the classical case. Our main results are some apriori estimates on smooth solutions, which give a uniform control on the geometry of the evolving surfaces, and establish that the fractional curvature remains uniformly bounded along the flow. As a consequence, we can show that any smooth solution, satisfying suitable regularity assumptions, exists for all times and converges to a sphere. The method is inspired by the one of [And01, Sin15] in the classical setting and is based on the monotonicity along the flow of the fractional isoperimetric ratio, i.e., the ratio between suitable powers of the fractional perimeter and the enclosed volume. This monotonicity property is peculiar of the volume preserving case, and so the approach used here does not apply when $h(t) \equiv 0$, although we expect that case to exhibit a similar behaviour, at least if $s$ is suitably close to 1 . On the other hand, we include in this paper the treatment of more general flows in the volume preserving setting, with a nonlinear speed of the form $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)$, with $\Phi(\cdot)$ a positive increasing function satisfying suitable structural assumptions.

Let us describe our results in more detail. For this, let us denote by $\underline{\rho_{E}}$ and $\overline{\rho_{E}}$ the inner radius and the outer radius of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\rho_{E}}:=\sup \left\{r>0: \exists x_{o} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{r}\left(x_{o}\right) \subset E\right\}, \quad \overline{\rho_{E}}:=\inf \left\{r>0: \exists x_{o} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, B_{r}\left(x_{o}\right) \supset E\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then our main estimates can be stated as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Let $E_{0}$ be a smooth compact convex set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{0}=\partial E_{0}$. Let $F$ : $\mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $0<T \leqslant+\infty$, be a solution of (1) of class $C^{2, \beta}$ for some $\beta>s$. Then there exist positive constants $0<R_{1} \leqslant R_{2}, 0<K_{1} \leqslant K_{2}$, only depending on $E_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{1} \leqslant \underline{\rho_{E_{t}}} \leqslant \overline{\rho_{E_{t}}} \leqslant R_{2} \\
K_{1} \leqslant H_{s}(p, t) \leqslant K_{2} \quad p \in \mathcal{M}_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T)$.
As mentioned above, in [CNR17] it is proven that the nonlocal mean curvature flow with forcing term $(h(t) \not \equiv 0)$ preserves convexity. As a consequence, we know that solutions of problem (1) starting from a convex initial datum, stay convex for all times.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a series of delicate estimates based on a nonlocal analysis of geometric flavor, which turns out to be significantly different with respect to the classical case.

Let us describe some intermediate steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, which we believe to have an interest on their own. One of these results, Proposition 3.1, shows that a bound on the fractional isoperimetric ratio of a convex set implies a bound on the ratio between the outer and inner radii. A similar result was known in the classical case, but the proof in the nonlocal setting is quite different. Another crucial step of our argument is provided by Proposition 4.2, where we estimate the fractional mean curvature in terms of another nonlocal quantity, which has some formal analogy with the norm of the second fundamental form in the classical case. However, since there is no fractional analogue of the second fundamental form, as shown in [AV14], there is no obvious relation as in the classical case. By suitable estimates of the surface integrals involved, we obtain an inequality which suffices for the purposes of this paper; on the other hand, it would be interesting to investigate further these topics and to derive sharper inequalities in the future.

Theorem 1.1 easily implies that a solution of (1) exists for all times and converges to a sphere as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, provided it satisfies suitable regularity and continuation properties. Roughly speaking,
we need to know that the solution remains smooth and does not develop singularities as long as the fractional curvature is bounded. More precisely, we assume that there exists a smooth solution of (1) satisfying the following property for some $\beta>s$ :
(R) If $H_{s}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right)$ for some $T_{0} \leqslant T$, where $T$ is the maximal time of existence, then the $C^{2, \beta}$-norm of $\mathcal{M}_{t}$, up to translations, is also bounded for $t \in[0, T)$ by a constant only depending on the supremum of $H_{s}$. In addition, either $T_{0}=T=+\infty$, or $T_{0}<T$.

By "up to translations", we mean that $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ is not assumed to remain in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and that the $C^{2, \beta}$ bound applies after possibly composing the flow with a suitable, time dependent, translation (e.g., the one fixing the barycenter). We give below more comments on the possibility of this behaviour. For solutions satisfying (R), the following result holds.

Theorem 1.2. Let $E_{0}$ be a smooth compact convex set of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{0}=\partial E_{0}$. Let $F$ : $\mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $0<T \leqslant+\infty$, be a solution of (1) of class $C^{2, \beta}$ for some $\beta>s$ which satisfies property ( $\mathbf{R}$ ). Then $T=+\infty$, and $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ converges to a round sphere as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ in $C^{2, \beta}$ norm, possibly up to translations.

Regarding assumption (R), we observe that it is a natural analogue of some properties which are well known in the classical case, see e.g. [Hui84, §7-8], and are consequences of the standard parabolic theory. In the fractional setting, the validity of such an assumption is an open problem at the current stage. The only available results in this direction [JLM19] imply, roughly speaking, that the last claim in ( $\mathbf{R}$ ) is true: if the $C^{1, \beta}$ norm of the solution remains bounded, for some $\beta>s$, then the smooth solution exists for all times. On the other hand, the boundedness of the fractional curvature gives directly $C^{1, \beta}$ bounds only for $\beta \leqslant s$. It can be hoped that solutions of the flow enjoy further regularity, in analogy with some regularity studies on elliptic and parabolic nonlocal problems, see e.g. [BFV14, CLD14a, CLD14b]. In this respect, this paper should be regarded as a part of a broader program, which we plan to pursue further it in future work.

As observed above, in Theorem 1.2 the convergence to a sphere is in principle only "up to translations", in the sense that the limit set, which is geometrically a sphere, could keep translating indefinitely. In the classical case, the possibility of the additional translation is ruled out either as a consequence of additional estimates on the convergence rate, see e.g. [BP17], or by maximum principle techniques based on reflection methods, [CG96, McC04, AW17]. We think that it would be interesting to understand whether these methods can be extended to the nonlocal setting.

The paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2, we give some preliminaries and we recall the evolution laws of some geometric quantities associated to $\mathcal{M}_{t}$;
- Section 3 contains our apriori estimates on the inner and outer radii of convex solutions and a lower bound for $H_{s}$;
- Section 4 deals with some integral estimates which allow us to bound the fractional mean curvature with the nonlocal analogue of the norm of the nonlocal second fundamental form;
- In Section 5 we prove our key result which gives an upper bound on the fractional mean curvature;
- In Section 6, we treat the more general case of a flow whose speed is of the form $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)$, proving an upper bound on the fractional mean curvature;
- Finally, in Section 7, we prove convergence to a sphere in both the standard and the general case.


## 2. Preliminaries

Consider a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with boundary $\mathcal{M}:=\partial E$, and let $s \in(0,1)$. Given $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the fractional mean curvature of order $s$ of $E$ (equivalently, of $\mathcal{M}$ ) at $x$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{s}(x)=s(1-s) \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{\tilde{\chi}_{E}(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d y, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\chi}_{E}(y)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } y \in E^{c} \\ -1 & \text { if } y \in E .\end{cases}
$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is smooth, then the fractional mean curvature is well defined at each point and is a regular function. In fact, the following result is known, see $\left[\mathrm{FFM}^{+} 15\right.$, Proposition 6.3] and [CFSMW, Proposition 2.1].
Theorem 2.1. Suppose $\partial E$ is of class $C^{1, \beta}$, with $\beta>s$. Then the right-hand side of (4) is well defined and finite for all $x \in \partial E$ and defines a continuous function on $\partial E$. If in addition $\partial E$ is of class $C^{2, \beta}$, with $\beta>s$, then $H_{s} \in C^{1}(\partial E)$ and its derivative in a tangential direction $v \in T_{x} \mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial H_{s}}{\partial v}(x)=s(1-s)(n+s) \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \tilde{\chi}_{E}(y) \frac{\langle y-x, v\rangle}{|x-y|^{n+s+2}} d y . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the divergence theorem and estimating the boundary terms on $\partial B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ with techniques similar to the proof of [CFSMW, Proposition 2.1], we can prove that, under the hypotheses of the previous theorem, $H_{s}$ and its gradient can be written as boundary integrals on $\mathcal{M}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{s}(x)=2(1-s) \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathcal{M} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{\langle y-x, \nu(y)\rangle}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y),  \tag{6}\\
& \frac{\partial H_{s}}{\partial v}(x)=2 s(1-s) \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathcal{M} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{\nu(y) \cdot v}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) .
\end{align*}
$$

We also recall that the fractional perimeter of $E$, as introduced in [CRS10], is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)=s(1-s) \int_{E} \int_{E^{c}} \frac{d x d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}} .
$$

Then fractional mean curvature arises as the first variation of the fractional perimeter along a deformation of $E$, see (8) later.

We state a general criterion for the convergence of singular integrals on the boundary of a smooth compact set $E$. Suppose that $\partial E$ is of class $C^{1, \beta}$, for some $\beta>s$, and that $f \in C^{2}(\partial E)$. Then, for any given $x \in \partial E$, the quantity

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathcal{M} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{f(y)-f(x)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)
$$

exists and is finite.This can be proved by standard arguments. Roughly speaking, the contribution of the first order approximation of $f(y)-f(x)$ around $x$ cancels by symmetry reasons. The remaining terms are of order $O(|y-x|)^{1+\beta}$, by the smoothness of $\partial E$ and of $f$, and this ensures convergence of the integral. In the following, for simplicity of notation, we will write singular integrals as the ones above as if they were ordinary integrals, with the implicit meaning that they are taken in the principal value sense.

We now recall some notation and general results about geometric evolutions of sets and hypersurfaces. Let us consider a time-dependent family of sets $E_{t}$ evolving smoothly from a given
initial set $E_{0}$. We can consider the corresponding evolution of the boundaries, and study the map $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{0}=\partial E_{0}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{t}:=\partial E_{t}$. Let us denote by $V(p, t):=$ $\left\langle\partial_{t} F(p, t), \nu(p, t)\right\rangle$ the normal component of the speed of our flow.

We first recall the properties of the evolution of the classical geometric quantities associated to the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{M}_{t}$. As in [Hui84], we denote by $g_{i j}$ the components of the metric tensor in a given coordinate system, by $g^{i j}$ its inverse, by $h_{i j}$ the second fundamental form, by $H=h_{i j} g^{i j}$ the mean curvature and by $|A|^{2}=h_{i j} g^{j l} h_{l k} g^{k i}$ the squared norm of the second fundamental form. If $\lambda_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_{n-1}$ denote the principal curvatures at a given point, then $H=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n-1}$, while $|A|^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n-1}^{2}$. We also denote by $\nabla^{\mathcal{M}_{t}}, \Delta^{\mathcal{M}_{t}}$ respectively the tangential gradient and the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined on $\mathcal{M}_{t}$.

We denote by $p, q, \ldots$ the points on $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ and by $x, y, \cdots$ the points on $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ for positive $t$, as well as the general points in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For simplicity of notation, when considering the speed $V$ on $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ for a fixed $t$, we will usually write $V(x)$ with $x \in \mathcal{M}_{t}$ instead of $V(p, t)$, with $x=F(p, t)$. We will use similar conventions for all other quantities defined on the evolving hypersurfaces. We also denote by $d \mu$ the surface measure along $\mathcal{M}_{t}$. In this notation, we recall [HP99, Theorem 3.2 and Lemmata 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6] and we have:

Lemma 2.2. The geometric quantities associated to $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ satisfy the following equations:
(i) $\partial_{t} g_{i j}=2 V h_{i j}, \quad \partial_{t} g^{i j}=-2 V h^{i j}$,
(ii) $\partial_{t} d \mu=V H d \mu$,
(iii) $\partial_{t} \nu=-\nabla^{\mathcal{M}_{t}} V$,
(iv) $\partial_{t} h_{i j}=-\nabla_{i}^{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \nabla_{j}^{\mathcal{M}_{t}} V+h_{i k} g^{k m} h_{m j} V$,
(v) $\partial_{t} H=-\Delta^{\mathcal{M}_{t}} V-|A|^{2} V$,
(vi) $\frac{d}{d t}\left|E_{t}\right|=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} V(x) d \mu, \quad \frac{d}{d t}\left|M_{t}\right|=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} V(x) H(x) d \mu$.

Next we recall the evolution of some nonlocal quantities, see [CRS10], [DdPW18, Appendix B, Proposition B.2] and [SV19, Theorem 14].

Lemma 2.3. (i) The fractional perimeter evolves according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} H_{s}(x) V(x) d \mu \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The fractional mean curvature satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial_{t} H_{s}}{2 s(1-s)}=-\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{V(y)-V(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)-V(x) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(y) \cdot \nu(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that there is a clear analogy between these equations and their classical counterparts. Indeed, as proved in [DdPW18, Appendix A], we have, for a general smooth function $f$ defined on a (fixed) hypersurface $\mathcal{M}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1^{-}} 2 s(1-s) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{f(y)-f(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)=\omega_{n} \Delta^{\mathcal{M}} f(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1^{-}} 2 s(1-s) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{1-\nu(y) \cdot \nu(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)=\omega_{n}|A|^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, we assume that the map $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfies equation (1). This corresponds to the normal speed

$$
V(p, t)=-H_{s}(p, t)+h(t),
$$

with $h(t)$ defined as in (2).
Then Lemma 2.2-(vi) implies that the enclosed volume $E_{t}$ remains constant in time, while by Lemma 2.3-(i) the fractional perimeter decreases according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}\left[-H_{s}(x)+h(t)\right] H_{s}(x) d \mu=-\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}\left[H_{s}(x)-h(t)\right]^{2} d \mu \leqslant 0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude this section recalling the analogue of Alexandrov Theorem in the nonlocal setting.
Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 1.1 in [CFSMW], Theorem 1.1 in [CFMN18]). Let $E$ be a bounded open set of class $C^{1, s}$ and with constant nonlocal mean curvature. Then, $E$ is a ball.

We point out that, by (12) and Theorem 2.4, the monotonicity of $\operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right)$ is strict unless $E_{t}$ is a sphere.

## 3. Bounds on inner and outer radii

Given a bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with nonempty interior and $\omega \in \partial B_{1}$, we denote by $w_{E}(\omega)$ the width of the set $E$ in direction $\omega$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{E}(\omega):=\sup _{x, y \in E}(x-y) \cdot \omega . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $w_{E}$ is the distance between the two hyperplanes orthogonal to $\omega$ touching $E$ from outside. We also set

$$
\underline{w_{E}}:=\inf _{\omega \in \partial B_{1}} w_{E}(\omega) \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{w_{E}}:=\sup _{\omega \in \partial B_{1}} w_{E}(\omega) .
$$

By construction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{w_{E}}=\operatorname{diam}(E) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling the notation in (3), if $E$ is convex, it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\rho_{E}} \geqslant \frac{\underline{w_{E}}}{n+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\rho_{E}} \leqslant \frac{\overline{w_{E}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

see e.g. Lemma 5.4 in [And94].
Using this notation, the following result holds true:
Proposition 3.1. For any bounded, convex set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with nonempty interior, we have that

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \underline{w_{E}} \geqslant c\left(\frac{|E|}{\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \\
& \underline{\rho_{E}} \geqslant c\left(\frac{|E|}{\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}, \\
& \overline{w_{E}} \leqslant C\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}|E|^{1+s-n} s \\
& \overline{\rho_{E}} \leqslant C\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}|E|^{\frac{1+s-n}{s}} \\
\text { and } \quad & \frac{\overline{\rho_{E}}}{\underline{\rho_{E}}} \leqslant C\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{n}{s}}|E|^{\frac{s-n}{s}}
\end{array}
$$

for suitable constants $C>c>0$ only depending on $n, s$.

Proof. First of all, we observe that
it is enough to prove (16),
since, after that, the claims in (17), (18), (19) and (20) would follow. Indeed, if (16) holds true, then (17) follows directly from (15).

Now we prove (18) assuming that (16) (and so (17)) holds true. To this aim, we observe that we can suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{w_{E}} \geqslant 4 \underline{\rho_{E}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, suppose instead that the opposite inequality holds. Then, we use the nonlocal isoperimetric inequality (see [FLS08]) to see that

$$
|E|^{\frac{1}{n}}=|E|^{\frac{(n-s)(n-1)}{n s}}|E|^{\frac{1+s-n}{s}} \leqslant C_{1}\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}|E|^{\frac{1+s-n}{s}},
$$

for some $C_{1}>0$. Accordingly, since $|E|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geqslant\left|B_{\underline{\rho_{E}}}\right|^{\frac{1}{n}}=C_{2} \underline{\rho_{E}}$, for some $C_{2}>0$, we obtain

$$
C_{2} \underline{\rho_{E}} \leqslant C_{1}\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}|E|^{\frac{1+s-n}{s}}
$$

and so, if the opposite inequality holds in (22),

$$
\frac{C_{2} \overline{w_{E}}}{4} \leqslant C_{1}\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}|E|^{\frac{1+s-n}{s}}
$$

which says that (18) is satisfied.
Consequently, we may assume that (22) holds true. Thus, after a translation we may suppose that $B_{\underline{\rho_{E}}} \subseteq E$ and there exists $p \in \bar{E}$ with $|p| \geqslant \frac{\overline{w_{E}}}{2}-\underline{\rho_{E}}$. We stress that, in view of (22),

$$
|p| \geqslant \frac{\overline{w_{E}}}{4}=: \ell
$$

Since $E$ is convex, the convex hull of $p$ with $B_{\underline{\rho_{E}}}$ lies in $\bar{E}$ and therefore $|E| \geqslant \tilde{c}{\underline{\rho_{E}}}^{n-1} \ell$, for some $\tilde{c}>0$. This and (17) imply that

$$
\overline{w_{E}}=4 \ell \leqslant \frac{4|E|}{\tilde{c} \underline{\rho_{E}}}{ }^{n-1} \leqslant \frac{4|E|\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{s}}}{\tilde{c} c^{n-1}|E|^{\frac{n-1}{s}}},
$$

which gives (18), as desired.
Then, from (18) and (15), one obtains (19). Finally, (20) clearly follows from (17) and (19). This completes the proof of (21).

In view of (21), from now on we focus on the proof of (16). To this aim, after a rigid motion, we may suppose that $\underline{w_{E}}$ is realized in the vertical direction, and, more precisely, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \subseteq\left\{x_{n} \in\left[-\underline{w_{E}}, 0\right]\right\} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\pi$ the projection onto $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\{0\}$ and $E^{\prime}:=\pi(E)$. We consider a nonoverlapping tiling of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\{0\}$ by cubes $\left\{Q_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ which have side equal to $\underline{w}_{E} / \sqrt{n-1}$ (hence, their diagonal is equal to $\underline{w}_{E}$. We denote by $\mathbb{N}_{\star}$ the set of indices $i \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $Q_{i}$ intersects $E^{\prime}$. Let also

$$
Q:=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{*}} Q_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad F:=Q \times\left(0, \underline{w_{E}}\right] .
$$

Due to (23), we know that $F$ lies outside $E$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Per}_{s}(E) \\
\geqslant & \iint_{E \times F} \frac{d x d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\
= & \int_{-\underline{w_{E}}}^{0} d x_{n} \int_{Q} d x^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\underline{w_{E}}} d y_{n} \int_{Q} d y^{\prime} \frac{\chi_{E}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\
\geqslant & \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}} \int_{-\underline{w_{E}}}^{0} d x_{n} \int_{Q_{i}} d x^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\frac{w_{E}}{}} d y_{n} \int_{Q_{i}} d y^{\prime} \frac{\chi_{E}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we remark that if $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in Q, x_{n} \in\left[-\underline{w_{E}}, 0\right]$ and $y_{n} \in\left(0, \underline{w_{E}}\right]$, we have that

$$
|x-y|^{2}=\left|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|^{2} \leqslant{\underline{w_{E}}}^{2}+\left(2 \underline{w_{E}}\right)^{2}=5{\underline{w_{E}}}^{2} .
$$

As a consequence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Per}_{s}(E) \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{5^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \underline{w_{E}}}{ }^{n+s} \\
&= \int_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}^{0} \int_{-\underline{w_{E}}}^{0} d x_{n} \int_{Q_{i}} d x^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\underline{w_{E}}} d y_{n} \int_{Q_{i}} d y^{\prime} \chi_{E}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{5^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \underline{w}_{E}^{n+s}}\left(\frac{\underline{w_{E}}}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right)^{n-1} \underline{w_{E}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{*}} \int_{-\underline{w_{E}}}^{0} d x_{n} \int_{Q_{i}} d x^{\prime} \chi_{E}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{5^{\frac{n+s}{2}} \underline{w_{E}}}{ }^{n+s} \\
&\left(\frac{w_{E}}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right)^{n-1} \underline{w_{E}}|E|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (23) once again in the last identity. This estimate plainly implies (16), as desired.

For completeness, we point out an interesting geometric consequence of the estimate in (20) in terms of the nonlocal isoperimetric ratio

$$
\mathcal{I}_{s}(E):=\frac{\left(\operatorname{Per}_{s}(E)\right)^{n}}{|E|^{n-s}} .
$$

Indeed, formula (20) states that if the nonlocal isoperimetric ratio of $E$ is bounded, then so is the ratio between the inner and outer radius of $E$ and, more precisely

$$
\frac{\overline{\rho_{E}}}{\underline{\rho_{E}}} \leqslant C\left(\mathcal{I}_{s}(E)\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} .
$$

In the local case when $s=1$, this formula was already known, see e.g. Proposition 5.1 [And01] or Proposition 2.1 in [Sin15].

As an immediate consequence of the results of this section, we obtain
Corollary 3.2. Let $E_{0}$ be a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0}=\partial E_{0}$. Let $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $0<T \leqslant+\infty$, be a solution of (1). Then there exist positive constants $0<R_{1} \leqslant R_{2}$, only depending on $E_{0}$, such that

$$
R_{1} \leqslant \underline{\rho_{E_{t}}} \leqslant \overline{\rho_{E_{t}}} \leqslant R_{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T) .
$$

In addition, there exists $K_{1}>0$ such that $H_{s}(p, t) \geqslant K_{1}$ for all $(p, t) \in \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T)$.

Proof. As already mentioned in the Introduction, we know that the evolution given by (1) preserves convexity, as established in [CNR17], hence we have that $E_{t}$ is convex for all $0<t<T$.

By definition, we have

$$
\omega_{n} \underline{\rho_{E_{t}}}{ }^{n} \leqslant\left|E_{t}\right| \leqslant \omega_{n}{\overline{\rho_{E_{t}}}}^{n} .
$$

Since $\left|E_{t}\right|$ is constant, this gives an upper bound on $\underline{\rho_{E_{t}}}$ and a lower bound on $\overline{\rho_{E_{t}}}$ in terms of $\left|E_{0}\right|$. On the other hand, since $\operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right)$ is decreasing in time, inequality (20) gives a uniform bound on the ratio $\overline{\rho_{E_{t}}} / \rho_{E_{t}}$. These properties together yield the first assertion.

To prove the lower bound on $H_{s}$, let us consider an arbitrary point $x \in \mathcal{M}_{t}$. Since $E_{t}$ is convex, it is contained in the half-space $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:(y-x) \cdot \nu(x) \leqslant 0\right\}$. Moreover, by definition, the diameter of $E_{t}$ is not greater than $2 \overline{\rho_{E_{t}}}$ which is less than $2 R_{2}$. Therefore, if we introduce the half-balls

$$
B_{+}=\left\{y \in B_{2 R_{2}}(x):(y-x) \cdot \nu(x) \geqslant 0\right\}, \quad B_{-}=\left\{y \in B_{2 R_{2}}(x):(y-x) \cdot \nu(x) \leqslant 0\right\},
$$

we have that $E_{t} \subset B_{-}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{s(1-s)} H_{s}(x) & =\int_{E_{t}^{c}} \frac{d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}}-\int_{E_{t}} \frac{d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\
& \geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{2 R_{2}}(x)} \frac{d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}}+\int_{B_{+}} \frac{d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}}-\int_{B_{-}} \frac{d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{2 R_{2}}(x)} \frac{d y}{|x-y|^{n+s}}=\int_{|z| \geqslant 2 R_{2}} \frac{d z}{|z|^{n+s}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last integral is independent on $x, t$.
The previous result contains the first part of the statement of Theorem 1.1 (the bounds on inner and outer radii and the lower bound for $H_{s}$ ). To conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 it remains to establish the upper bound for the fractional mean curvature, which will be done in Section 5 .

We conclude this section with the following observation. Corollary 3.2 ensures that, at any given time, there exists a ball of radius $R_{1}$ contained in $E_{t}$. However, the center of the ball may be different at different times. We want to show that, by choosing a smaller radius, we can find a ball with fixed center which remains inside $E_{t}$ for a time interval with fixed length.

Lemma 3.3. For any $t_{0} \geqslant 0$, we can find $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
B_{\frac{R_{1}^{2}}{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset E_{t}, \quad \forall t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+t^{*}\right]
$$

where $t^{*}>0$ only depends on $n, s, R_{1}$.
Proof. As in [And01, McC04], we use a comparison argument. Volume preserving curvature flows in general do not satisfy an avoidance principle. However, if $E_{t}$ evolves by (1) and $F_{t}$ evolves by the standard fractional mean curvature flow (corresponding to $h(t) \equiv 0$ ) then an easy maximum principle argument shows that if $F_{t_{0}} \subset E_{t_{0}}$ at a certain time $t_{0}$, then we also have $F_{t} \subset E_{t}$ for all $t \geqslant t_{0}$.

In our case, we can use comparison with a shrinking ball. From the previous corollary, there exists $x_{0}$ such that $B_{R_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset E_{t}$. We set $F_{t_{0}}=B_{R_{1}}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and we denote by $F_{t}$ the evolution of $F_{t_{0}}$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ by standard fractional mean curvature flow, which is a shrinking sphere. We let $t^{*}$ the time such that $F_{t_{0}+t^{*}}=B_{R_{1} / 2}\left(x_{0}\right)$, whose value only depends on $n, s, R_{1}$. Then the comparison argument yields the conclusion.

## 4. Integral surface estimates for convex sets

We collect in this section some estimates on weighted integrals along the boundary of a convex set. We start with a uniform estimate of the weighted surface of a convex set only in dependence of its inner and outer radii.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\beta>1$ and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded, convex set with nonempty interior. Then, there exists a constant $C>0$, depending on $n$, such that, for any $\in \partial E$, we have

$$
\int_{\partial E} \frac{d \mu(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}} \leqslant C \frac{\overline{\rho_{E}}}{\underline{\rho_{E}}}\left[\frac{1}{\beta-1}+\left(\frac{\overline{\rho_{E}}}{\underline{\rho_{E}}}\right)^{n-2}\right](\operatorname{diam}(E))^{\beta-1} .
$$

Proof. We can suppose that $x$ is the origin. By definition, there exists $p \in E$ such that $B_{\underline{\rho_{E}}}(p) \subseteq E$. By convexity, the convex envelope of 0 and $B_{\rho_{E}}(p)$ lies in $\bar{E}$. Up to a rotation, we can assume that $p=(0, \ldots,|p|)$. This easily implies, again by convexity, that $B_{\underline{\rho_{E}} / 2}(0) \cap \partial E$ is the graph of a Lipschitz function $f$, with Lipschitz constant bounded by $2|p| / \underline{\rho_{E}} \leqslant 4 \overline{\rho_{E}} / \underline{\rho_{E}}$.

Let us set $\delta:=\underline{\rho_{E}} / 2$ and $M:=\overline{\rho_{E}} / \underline{\rho_{E}}$. In addition, let us denote by $C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ constants depending only on $n$. We can estimate, using the fact that $\beta>1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\partial E \cap B_{\delta}} \frac{d \mu(y)}{|y|^{n-\beta}} \leqslant \int_{\substack{y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\
\mid y^{\prime} \leqslant \delta}} \frac{\sqrt{1+\left|\nabla f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}}}{\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{n-\beta}} d y^{\prime}  \tag{24}\\
\leqslant C^{\prime} M \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\tau^{n-2}}{\tau^{n-\beta}} d \tau=\frac{C^{\prime} M}{\beta-1} \delta^{\beta-1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The remaining part of the integral satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial E \backslash B_{\delta}} \frac{d \mu(y)}{|y|^{n-\beta}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta^{n-\beta}} \int_{\partial E \backslash B_{\delta}} d \mu(y) \leqslant \frac{\mu(\partial E)}{\delta^{n-\beta}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\partial E) \leqslant \mu\left(B_{\overline{\rho_{E}}}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we know that there exists $q \in E$ such that $B_{\overline{\rho_{E}}}(q) \supseteq E$. Let us denote by $\Pi_{E}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow E$ the projection on the convex set $E$. Then $\Pi_{E}$ maps $\partial B_{\overline{\rho_{E}}}(q)$ onto $\partial E$ and is nonexpansive, from which (26) follows.

As a consequence of (25) and (26), we obtain that

$$
\int_{\partial E \backslash B_{\delta}} \frac{d \mu(y)}{|y|^{n-\beta}} \leqslant \frac{C^{\prime \prime} \bar{\rho}_{E}^{n-1}}{\delta^{n-\beta}}=C^{\prime \prime \prime} M^{n-1} \delta^{\beta-1} .
$$

This and (24) imply the desired result (recall also (14) and (15)).
Now we obtain a bound on the fractional mean curvature in terms of the integral quantity which appears in the last term of (9). In view of (11), one can consider this estimate as the fractional counterpart of the elementary property that the classical mean curvature is bounded by the norm of the second fundamental form. An estimate of this kind is more delicate to obtain in the nonlocal case, since the fractional mean curvature cannot be realized by the average of finitely many directional curvatures, and so methods involving linear algebra cannot be applied, see [AV14]. We give here a proof in the case of convex sets, but it is natural to expect that a similar property should hold in a more general setting.

Proposition 4.2. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex set with $C^{1, \alpha}$ boundary, with $\alpha \in(s, 1)$. Then, there exists $C>0$, depending on $n$ and on the ratio $\overline{\rho_{E}} / \underline{\rho_{E}}$, such that, for every $x \in \partial E$, we have

$$
H_{s}(x) \leqslant C(\operatorname{diam}(E))^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\left((1-s) \int_{\partial E} \frac{1-\nu(y) \cdot \nu(x)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Proof. Given $x \in \partial E$, with exterior normal $\nu(x)$, from the convexity of $E$ we have that $\{p \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ s.t. $\left.(p-x) \cdot \nu(x)>0\right\}$ touches $E$ from outside at $p$. As a consequence, if $y \in \partial E$, we have that $(y-x) \cdot \nu(x) \leqslant 0$ and therefore, recalling (6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2(1-s)} H_{s}(x) & =\int_{\partial E} \frac{(y-x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\partial E} \frac{(y-x) \cdot \nu(x)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\int_{\partial E} \frac{(y-x) \cdot(\nu(y)-\nu(x))}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& \leqslant \int_{\partial E} \frac{(y-x) \cdot(\nu(y)-\nu(x))}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& \leqslant \int_{\partial E} \frac{|\nu(y)-\nu(x)|}{|x-y|^{n+s-1}} d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\partial E} \frac{|\nu(y)-\nu(x)|}{|x-y|^{n+s} \frac{2}{2}} \frac{d \mu(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{n+s-2}{2}} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, exploiting the Hölder's Inequality,

$$
\frac{1}{2(1-s)} H_{s}(x) \leqslant \sqrt{\int_{\partial E} \frac{|\nu(y)-\nu(x)|^{2}}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)} \quad \sqrt{\int_{\partial E} \frac{d \mu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s-2}}} .
$$

Since we have $|\nu(y)-\nu(x)|^{2}=2(1-\nu(y) \cdot \nu(x))$, the desired result follows easily from Lemma 4.1 with $\beta:=2-s>1$.

## 5. Upper bound on the fractional curvature

In this section, we show that the bounds on the inner and outer radii imply that the fractional mean curvature of our solution is bounded from above. This, together with Corollary 3.2, will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

To this purpose, we adapt to the nonlocal setting a technique originally introduced in [Tso85]. We consider the support function on the evolving hypersurface

$$
u(p, t)=\langle F(p, t), \nu(p, t)\rangle .
$$

By Lemma 2.2-(iii) and the representation (7) of the gradient of $H_{s}$, we find that $u$ evolves according to

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u & =\left\langle\partial_{t} F, \nu\right\rangle+\left\langle F, \partial_{t} \nu\right\rangle \\
& =-H_{s}+h+\left\langle F, \nabla^{\mathcal{M}} H_{s}\right\rangle \\
& =-H_{s}+h+2 s(1-s) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 3.3, we know that for any $t_{0}$ there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $B_{R_{1} / 2}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset E_{t}$ for any $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+t^{*}\right]$. For simplicity, we perform our computations in the case $x_{0}=0$. By the
convexity of $E_{t}$, we deduce that $u \geqslant R_{1} / 2$ on $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ for all $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+t^{*}\right]$. We then set $\alpha=R_{1} / 4$ and we consider the function

$$
W=\frac{H_{s}}{u-\alpha} .
$$

Since

$$
\alpha \leqslant u-\alpha \leqslant \operatorname{diam}\left(\mathcal{M}_{t}\right)-\alpha \leqslant 2 \overline{\rho_{E_{t}}}-\alpha,
$$

we deduce from Corollary 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C} \leqslant \frac{W}{H_{s}} \leqslant C \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C$ only depending on the $n, s$ and the initial data.
Let us now analyze the evolution equation satisfied by $W$. By Lemma 2.3-(ii), the fractional mean curvature satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{\partial_{t} H_{s}}{2 s(1-s)}=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{H_{s}(y)-H_{s}(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\left(H_{s}(x)-h(t)\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(y) \cdot \nu(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) .
$$

Recalling (27) and neglecting the positive terms containing $h(t)$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial_{t} W(x, t)}{2 s(1-s)}= & \frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{H_{s}(y)-H_{s}(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\frac{H_{s}(x)-h(t)}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(y) \cdot \nu(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& -\frac{H_{s}(x)}{(u(x)-\alpha)^{2}}\left(\frac{-H_{s}(x)+h(t)}{2 s(1-s)}+\int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right) \\
< & \frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{H_{s}(y)-H_{s}(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\frac{H_{s}(x)}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(y) \cdot \nu(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& -\frac{H_{s}(x)}{(u(x)-\alpha)^{2}}\left(\frac{-H_{s}(x)}{2 s(1-s)}+\int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{H_{s}(y)-H_{s}(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)=\int_{\partial E_{t}}(u(y)-\alpha) \frac{W(y)-W(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+W(x) \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{u(y)-u(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe also

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{u(y)-u(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)=\int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{y \cdot \nu(y)-x \cdot \nu(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& =\int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{(y-x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{\left(x^{T}+u(x) \nu(x)\right) \cdot(\nu(y)-\nu(x))}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2(1-s)} H_{s}(x)+\int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)-u(x) \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

From (30) and (31) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{H_{s}(y)-H_{s}(x)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)-\frac{H_{s}(x)}{(u(x)-\alpha)^{2}} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
= & \frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{(W(y)-W(x))(u(y)-\alpha)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\frac{1}{2(1-s)} W^{2} \\
& -u(x) \frac{W(x)}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We then conclude from (29)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial_{t} W}{2 s(1-s)}< & \frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{(W(y)-W(x))(u(y)-\alpha)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& +\frac{1+s}{2 s(1-s)} W^{2}-\alpha \frac{W(x)}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\partial E_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|y-x|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling the estimate of Proposition 4.2 and (28), we immediately obtain
Corollary 5.1. At any point where the spatial maximum for $W(\cdot, t)$ is attained, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} W<C_{1} W^{2}-C_{2} W^{3} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ only depending on $n, s$ and the initial data.
We are now ready to prove the upper bound on the fractional mean curvature.
Theorem 5.2. Let $E_{0}$ be a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0}=\partial E_{0}$. Let $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $0<T \leqslant+\infty$, be a solution of (1) of class $C^{2, \beta}$ for some $\beta>s$. Then there exists $K_{2}>0$, only depending on $n, s, E_{0}$, such that

$$
H_{s}(p, t) \leqslant K_{2} \quad p \in \mathcal{M}_{0}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T)$.
Proof. Let us take an arbitrary $t_{0} \in[0, T)$. We know from Lemma 3.3 that there exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $B_{R_{1} / 2}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset E_{t}$ for any $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+t^{*}\right]$. In addition, setting $W=H_{s}\left(\left\langle x-x_{0}, \nu\right\rangle-R_{1} / 4\right)^{-1}$, we know that the maximum of $W$ satisfies inequality (33) in this time interval. We need a little care because the point $x_{0}$ depends on $t_{0}$ and therefore the function $W$ is defined differently in different intervals.

Let us set for simplicity $F(w)=C_{1} w^{2}-C_{2} w^{3}$ to denote the right-hand side of (33). We observe that $F(w)<0$ for $w>C_{1} / C_{2}$. Let us denote by $\tilde{w}(t)$ the solution of the equation $\tilde{w}^{\prime}(t)=F(\tilde{w}(t))$ defined for $t>0$ and satisfying $\tilde{w}(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$. It is easily seen that such a function exists and is implicitly defined by the formula

$$
\int_{\tilde{w}(t)}^{+\infty} \frac{d w}{C_{2} w^{3}-C_{1} w^{2}}=t
$$

In addition, $\tilde{w}(t)$ is defined for all $t \in(0,+\infty)$ and decreases monotonically from $+\infty$ to $C_{1} / C_{2}$.
We now treat differently the cases $t_{0}=0$ and $t_{0}>0$. If $t_{0}=0$, using the sign properties of the right-hand side of (33), we obtain

$$
W(p, t) \leqslant \max \left\{\max _{\mathcal{M}_{0}} W, \frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}}\right\}, \quad p \in \mathcal{M}, t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right]
$$

Keeping into account (28), this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{s}(p, t) \leqslant C^{\prime}, \quad p \in \mathcal{M}, t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable constant $C^{\prime}$. If $t_{0}>0$, we observe instead that, again by (33),

$$
W\left(p, t_{0}+\tau\right) \leqslant \tilde{w}(\tau), \quad \tau \in\left[0, t^{*}\right]
$$

In particular, since $\tilde{w}$ is monotone,

$$
W\left(p, t_{0}+\tau\right) \leqslant \tilde{w}\left(t^{*} / 2\right), \quad \tau \in\left[t^{*} / 2, t^{*}\right]
$$

Using (28), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{s}(p, t) \leqslant C^{\prime \prime}, \quad p \in \mathcal{M}, t \in\left[t_{0}+\frac{t^{*}}{2}, t_{0}+t^{*}\right] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $t_{0}$, we conclude from (34)-(35) that $H_{s}(p, t) \leqslant K_{2}:=\max \left\{C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, for all $p, t$.

## 6. The case of a nonlinear speed

In this section we study a generalization of problem (1), in which the velocity is given by a general function of the fractional mean curvature. More precisely, we consider

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} F(p, t)=\left[-\Phi\left(H_{s}(p, t)\right)+\varphi(t)\right] \nu(p, t), & p \in \mathcal{M}_{0}, t \geqslant 0  \tag{36}\\ F(p, 0)=p & p \in \mathcal{M}_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\varphi(t)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{M}_{t}\right|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right) d \mu
$$

We assume that $\Phi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is a $C^{2}$ function, satisfying the following properties:
i) $\lim _{a \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi(a)=+\infty$,
ii) $\Phi^{\prime}(a)>0$ for every $a>0$,
iii) $\lim _{a \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\Phi^{\prime}(a) a^{2}}{\Phi(a)}=+\infty$.

Typical examples are functions of the form $\Phi(a)=a^{p}$ with $p>0$, but hold in many other cases, e.g. $\Phi(a)=e^{a}$ or $\Phi(a)=\ln (a+1)$. Assumption (ii) ensures that $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)$ satisfies the monotonicity assumption (A) in [CMP15], Section 2 (monotonicity with respect to set inclusion). Hence, by Theorem 2.21 in [CMP15], problem (36) is well posed and admits a viscosity solution, at least in the case $\varphi \equiv 0$ considered in that paper. In the case of a general $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)$, the local existence result of smooth solutions is not yet known; there is also no result on the invariance of convexity, since the result in [CNR17] does not apply. In the classical case, convexity is preserved under some additional structural hypotheses on $\Phi$, see [BS18, AW17], and it is likely that similar results hold in the fractional case. We will not address these issues here and we will assume instead apriori the existence of a convex smooth solution.

The aim of this section is to prove that Theorem 1.1 holds also for the more general problem (36). We first have the following lemma. We denote, as before, by $E_{t}$ the set enclosed by $\mathcal{M}_{t}$.

Lemma 6.1. Flow (36) keeps the volume of $E_{t}$ constant and decreases its fractional perimeter $\operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right)$.

Proof. The first part of the statement is an easy consequence of the choice of $\varphi(t)$. The second part follows exactly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [BS18] in the local case.

The uniform bounds on inner and outer radii and the lower bound for $H_{s}$ are obtained exactly as for the $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)=H_{s}$ case (see Section 3), since they just rely on convexity and on the fact that the flow preserves volume and decreases the $s$-perimeter. Hence, we immediately have the following

Proposition 6.2. Let $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $0<T \leqslant+\infty$, be a smooth convex solution of (36). Then there exist positive constants $0<R_{1} \leqslant R_{2}$, only depending on $E_{0}$, such that

$$
R_{1} \leqslant \underline{\rho_{E_{t}}} \leqslant \overline{\rho_{E_{t}}} \leqslant R_{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T) .
$$

In addition, there exists $K_{1}>0$ such that $H_{s}(p, t) \geqslant K_{1}$ for all $(p, t) \in \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T)$.

From the previous proposition, we deduce again that, by choosing a smaller radius, we can find a ball with fixed center which remains inside $E_{t}$ for a time interval with fixed length, that is Lemma 3.3 holds also for solutions of the nonlinear flow (36). The proof of this fact is again by a comparison argument and we refer to Lemma 3.6 in [BS18] for the details.

In order to prove the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for the flow (36), it remains to establish the upper bound on $H_{s}$.
Proposition 6.3. Let $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $0<T \leqslant+\infty$, be a smooth convex solution of (36). We have that, at any time $t \in[0, T)$

$$
\Phi\left(H_{s}\right) \leqslant K_{3}
$$

where $K_{3}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, s$, and $E_{0}$.
Proof. The proof is similar to the one given in Section 5. We show in detail how the argument is adapted to the case of a general speed, for the sake of clarity. We consider again the support function

$$
u(p, t)=\langle F(p, t), \nu(p, t)\rangle .
$$

If now $F$ evolves according to (36), recalling Lemma 2.2-(iii) and the expression for $\nabla^{\mathcal{M}} H_{s}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u & =\left\langle\partial_{t} F, \nu\right\rangle+\left\langle F, \partial_{t} \nu\right\rangle \\
& =-\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)+\varphi(t)+\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right)\left\langle F, \nabla^{\mathcal{M}} H_{s}\right\rangle  \tag{37}\\
& =-\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)+\varphi(t)+2 s(1-s) \Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, using Lemma 2.2-(ii), we have that the fractional mean curvature satisfies
(38) $\frac{\partial_{t} H_{s}(x)}{2 s(1-s)}=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}(y)\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\left(\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)-\varphi(t)\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right.$.

We define, similarly as before, but with the new velocity $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)$,

$$
W=\frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)}{u(x)-\alpha}
$$

where $\alpha$ is chosen in the same way as in Section 5. We have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial_{t} W}{2 s(1-s)}= & \frac{1}{2 s(1-s)}\left[\frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) \partial_{t} H_{s}}{u(x)-\alpha}-\frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}\right) \partial_{t} u}{(u(x)-\alpha)^{2}}\right]  \tag{39}\\
= & \frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{u(x)-\alpha}\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}(y)\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)-\varphi(t)\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right] \\
& -\frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{(u(x)-\alpha)^{2}}\left[\frac{-\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)+\varphi(t)}{2 s(1-s)}+\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}(x)\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right] \\
< & \frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{u(x)-\alpha}\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}(y)\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right] \\
& -\frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{(u(x)-\alpha)^{2}}\left[\frac{-\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{2 s(1-s)}+\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}(x)\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of $W$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}(y)\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
&=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}(u(y)-\alpha) \frac{(W(y)-W(x))}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+W(x) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{u(y)-u(x)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, formula (31) holds unchanged, since it is independent on the velocity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} & \frac{u(y)-u(x)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)  \tag{41}\\
= & \frac{1}{2(1-s)} H_{s}(x)+\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)-u(x) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) .
\end{align*}
$$

We combine now (40) and (41) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{\Phi\left(H_{s}(y)\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& =\frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}(u(y)-\alpha) \frac{W(y)-W(x)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) \\
& \quad+\frac{W(x)}{u(x)-\alpha}\left[\frac{1}{2(1-s)} H_{s}(x)+\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)-u(x) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}(u(y)-\alpha) \frac{W(y)-W(x)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)+\frac{H_{s}(x) \Phi\left(H_{s}(x)\right)}{2(1-s)(u(x)-\alpha)^{2}} \\
& \quad+\frac{W(x)}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{x^{T} \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)-\frac{W(x)}{u(x)-\alpha} u(x) \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, plugging (42) into (39), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial_{t} W}{2 s(1-s)}< & \Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right)\left[\frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{(W(y)-W(x))(u(y)-\alpha)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right]  \tag{43}\\
& \frac{W^{2}}{2 s(1-s)}+\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) W\left[\frac{H_{s}(x)}{2(1-s)(u(x)-\alpha)}-\frac{\alpha}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{1-\nu(x) \cdot \nu(y)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

This inequality is the analogue of estimate (32) in the presence of a nonlinear speed $\Phi$. Again, we use Proposition 4.2 to bound the last term and we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial_{t} W}{2 s(1-s)}< & \Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right)\left[\frac{1}{u(x)-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \frac{(W(y)-W(x))(u(y)-\alpha)}{|x-y|^{n+s}} d \mu(y)\right]  \tag{44}\\
& +C_{1} W^{2}+\frac{W \Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) H_{s}}{(u(x)-\alpha)}\left[C_{2}-C_{3} H_{s}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $\tilde{W}(t)=\sup _{\mathcal{M}_{t}} W(x, t)$, we have

$$
\partial_{t} \tilde{W}(t) \leqslant C_{1} \tilde{W}^{2}+\frac{\tilde{W} \Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) H_{s}}{u-\alpha}\left[C_{2}-C_{3} H_{s}\right],
$$

where $H_{s}=H_{s}(\tilde{x}, t)$ for a suitable $\tilde{x}$ such that $W(\tilde{x}, t)=\tilde{W}(t)$.
We choose now $K>3 C_{2} / C_{3}$, so that $H_{s} \geqslant K$ implies $C_{2}-C_{3} H_{s} \leqslant-\frac{2}{3} C_{3} H_{s}$. Suppose now that there exists $t^{*}$ such that $\tilde{W}\left(t^{*}\right) \geqslant \frac{\Phi(K)}{\alpha}$. Recalling that $u-\alpha \geqslant \alpha$ and using the monotonicity of
$\Phi$, we deduce that $H_{s}\left(x^{*}, t^{*}\right) \geqslant K$ for any $x^{*}$ such that $W\left(x^{*}, t^{*}\right)=\tilde{W}\left(t^{*}\right)$. Hence, at $t=t^{*}$, we have

$$
\partial_{t} \tilde{W} \leqslant C_{1} \tilde{W}^{2}-\frac{2 C_{3} \tilde{W} \Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) H_{s}^{2}}{3(u-\alpha)} \leqslant \tilde{W}^{2}\left[C_{1}-\frac{2 C_{3}}{3} \frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) H_{s}^{2}}{\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)}\right] .
$$

By property iii) of $\Phi$, we can choose $K$ large enough so that if $H_{s} \geqslant K$ we have

$$
C_{1}-\frac{2 C_{3}}{3} \frac{\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right) H_{s}^{2}}{\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)}<-1,
$$

which gives

$$
\partial_{t} \tilde{W} \leqslant-\tilde{W}^{2} .
$$

From this last estimate, the conclusion follows by a comparison argument, exactly as in the proof of Proposition 3.7 in [BS18].

As a consequence of the boundedness of the speed $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)$ and of property i) satisfied by $\Phi$, and recalling Proposition 6.2, we deduce the following
Corollary 6.4. We have that $H_{s}$ is uniformly bounded in $(0, T)$.

## 7. Convergence to a sphere

In this section we prove our convergence result (Theorem 1.2 for the case $\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)=H_{s}$ ), that for the general problem (36) reads as follows
Theorem 7.1. Let $F: \mathcal{M}_{0} \times[0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $0<T \leqslant+\infty$, be a smooth convex solution of (36) of class $C^{2, \beta}$ for some $\beta>s$ which satisfies property $(\mathbf{R})$. Then $T=+\infty$, and $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ converges to a round sphere as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ in $C^{2, \beta}$ norm, possibly up to translations.

We first observe that, by the lower and upper bounds on $H_{s}$, we have that $\Phi^{\prime}\left(H_{s}\right)$ is bounded from above and below by positive constants for every $t \in[0,+\infty)$.

The crucial step in the proof of Theorem 7.1 is the following result.
Proposition 7.2. Under our assumption, we have that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \max _{\mathcal{M}_{t}} \mid \Phi\left(H_{s}(x)-\varphi(t) \mid=0\right.
$$

Proof. The proof follows the one in [BS18], Proposition 4.4. For any $t$, let $\bar{H}_{s}(t)$ be such that $\Phi\left(\bar{H}_{s}(t)\right)=\varphi(t)$. Then, recalling (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right) & =\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} H_{s} \varphi d \mu-\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}} H_{s} \Phi\left(H_{s}\right) d \mu \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}\left(H_{s}-\bar{H}_{s}\right)\left(\Phi\left(\bar{H}_{s}\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)\right) d \mu \\
& =-\int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}\left|H_{s}-\bar{H}_{s}\right|\left|\Phi\left(\bar{H}_{s}\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)\right| d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using the boundedness of $\Phi^{\prime}$, we deduce that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right) \leqslant-\frac{1}{\sup \Phi^{\prime}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}\left|\Phi\left(\bar{H}_{s}\right)-\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)\right|^{2} d \mu=-\frac{1}{\sup \Phi^{\prime}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{t}}\left|\Phi\left(\bar{H}_{s}\right)-\varphi\right|^{2} d \mu .
$$

Suppose now, by contradiction, that there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $\left|\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)-\varphi\right|=\epsilon$ at some point $(\bar{p}, \bar{t})$. By our regularity assumption and using Theorem 2.1, we have that $H_{s}$ is uniformly Lipschitz, therefore there exists a uniform radius $r(\epsilon)>0$ for which

$$
\left|\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)-\varphi\right|>\frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text { in } B((\bar{p}, \bar{t}), r(\epsilon)),
$$

which implies

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right) \leqslant-\eta(\epsilon) \quad \text { for any } t \in[\bar{t}-r(\epsilon), \bar{t}+r(\epsilon)]
$$

for some $\eta>0$. The fact that $\operatorname{Per}_{s}\left(E_{t}\right)>0$ and decreasing in time implies that the above property cannot hold for $\bar{t}$ arbitrarily large. This shows that $\left|\Phi\left(H_{s}\right)-\varphi\right|$ tends to zero uniformly.

We are now ready to give the proof of our convergence result.
Proof of Theorem 7.1. Using our regularity assumption (R) and the uniform bounds for $H_{s}$ of Corollary 6.4 and Theorem 1.1, we deduce that the flow exists for all $t \in[0, \infty)$ and that the hypersurfaces $\mathcal{M}_{t}$, possibly up to translations, are bounded in the $C^{2, \beta}$ norm uniformly in $t$. Hence, the $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ are precompact in $C^{2, \beta^{\prime}}$ for $\beta^{\prime}<\beta$. By Proposition 7.2 and the stability results of [Coz15], we have that any possible subsequential limit as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ has constant fractional curvature. Then Theorem 2.4 ensures that the limit is a ball, with radius uniquely determined by the volume constraint. The uniqueness of the subsequential limit easily implies that the whole family $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ converges to a sphere as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
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