

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Psychosocial experiences of postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic. A UK-wide study of prevalence rates and risk factors for clinically relevant depression and anxiety

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Victoria Fallon, Siân M. Davies, Sergio A. Silverio, Leanne Jackson, Leonardo De Pascalis, Joanne A. Harrold (2021). Psychosocial experiences of postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic. A UK-wide study of prevalence rates and risk factors for clinically relevant depression and anxiety. JOURNAL OF PSYCHIATRIC RESEARCH, 136, 157-166 [10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.01.048].

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/795006> since: 2021-02-04

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.01.048>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Psychosocial experiences of postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic. A UK-wide study of prevalence rates and risk factors for clinically relevant depression and anxiety.

Victoria Fallon,*¹ Siân M. Davies,^{1,2} Sergio A. Silverio,^{1,3,4} Leanne Jackson,¹ Leonardo De Pascalis,¹ & Joanne A. Harrold ¹

¹ Department of Psychology, Institute of Population Health, University of Liverpool, Eleanor Rathbone Building, Bedford Street South, Liverpool, Merseyside, L69 7ZA, United Kingdom

² Division of Psychology and Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, The University of Manchester, Jean McFarlane Building, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PY, United Kingdom

³ Department of Women & Children's Health, School of Life Course Sciences, King's College London, St. Thomas' Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, Lambeth, London, SE1 7EH, United Kingdom

⁴ Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Institute for Women's Health, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, Medical School Building, Huntley Street, Bloomsbury, London, WC1E 6AU, United Kingdom

* Corresponding Author: Victoria Fallon – V.Fallon@liverpool.ac.uk

Declarations:

***Availability of Data:** All data are part of the common dataset for The PRenancy And Motherhood during COVID-19 Study [The PRAM Study]. Applications to use these data in research are to be made formally by writing to the study's Chief Investigator: Dr. V. Fallon, University of Liverpool, V.Fallon@liverpool.ac.uk. All subsequent publications must state affiliation to The PRAM Study.*

***Acknowledgements:** Sergio A. Silverio (King's College London) is supported by the National Institute for Health Research Applied Research Collaboration South London [NIHR ARC South London] at King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.*

1 Psychosocial experiences of postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic. A UK-wide
2 study of prevalence rates and risk factors for clinically relevant depression and anxiety.

3 **Abstract**

4 *Background*

5 When the vulnerabilities of the postnatal period are combined with the impact of the COVID-
6 19 pandemic, psychosocial outcomes are likely to be affected. Specifically, we aim to: a)
7 explore the psychosocial experiences of women in the early postnatal period; b) describe
8 prevalence rates of clinically-relevant maternal anxiety and depression; and c) explore whether
9 psychosocial change occurring as a result of COVID-19 is predictive of clinically-relevant
10 maternal anxiety and depression.

11 *Methods*

12 A sample of UK mothers (N=614) with infants aged between birth and twelve weeks were
13 recruited via convenience sampling. A cross-sectional survey design was utilised which
14 comprised demographics, COVID-19 specific questions, and a battery of validated
15 psychosocial measures, including the EPDS and STAI-S which were used to collect prevalence
16 rates of clinically relevant depression and anxiety respectively. Data collection coincided with
17 the UK government's initial mandated "lockdown" restrictions and the introduction of social
18 distancing measures in 2020.

19 *Findings*

20 Descriptive findings from the overall sample indicate that a high percentage of mothers self-
21 reported psychological and social changes as a result of the introduction of social distancing
22 measures. For women who reported the presence of psychosocial change, these changes were
23 perceived negatively. Whilst seventy women (11.4%) reported a current clinical diagnosis of

24 depression, two hundred and sixty-four women (43%) reported a score of ≥ 13 on the EPDS,
25 indicating clinically relevant depression. Whilst one hundred and thirteen women (18.4%)
26 reported a current clinical diagnosis of anxiety, three hundred and seventy-three women (61%)
27 reported a score of ≥ 40 on STAI-S, indicating clinically relevant anxiety. After accounting for
28 current clinical diagnoses of depression or anxiety, and demographic factors known to
29 influence mental health, only perceived psychological change occurring as a result of the
30 introduction of social distancing measures predicted unique variance in the risk of clinically
31 relevant maternal depression (30%) and anxiety (33%).

32 *Interpretation*

33 To our knowledge, this is the first national study to examine the psychosocial experiences of
34 postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK. Prevalence rates of clinically
35 relevant maternal depression and anxiety were extremely high when compared to both self-
36 reported current diagnoses of depression and anxiety, and pre-pandemic prevalence studies.
37 Perceived psychological changes occurring as a result of the introduction of social distancing
38 measures predicted unique variance in the risk for clinically relevant maternal depression and
39 anxiety. This study provides vital information for clinicians, funders, policy makers, and
40 researchers to inform the immediate next steps in perinatal care, policy, and research during
41 COVID-19 and future health crises.

42 *Funding*

43 No funding was received for this study.

44

45 **Introduction**

46 As of 23rd March 2020, UK government restrictions were introduced to reduce the spread of
47 the Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) or COVID-19. Key measures included requiring people to
48 stay at home, widespread closure of businesses and venues, and prohibition of all gatherings
49 of more than two people in public.¹ The COVID-19 pandemic poses health risks to the whole
50 population, but clinical risks for perinatal populations have so far only been classified in terms
51 of the physical impact of getting the infection.² However, clinical risks are likely to extend
52 beyond this given the effect of lockdown measures on psychological state and social
53 interaction. Whilst most empirical studies are concerned with the impact of COVID-19
54 infection on direct pregnancy outcomes and vertical transmission,³ very few have considered
55 the immediate risks of the pandemic on psychological and social experiences in the early
56 postnatal period, and no published data from the UK is currently available.

57 The early postnatal period is already a period of heightened vulnerability to poor
58 psychosocial outcomes. Emmanuel and St. John's concept analysis of 25 studies states that
59 becoming a mother encompasses several psychosocial challenges which are consistent with
60 other, more recent, empirical research.⁴ These include taking on a new maternal identity; body
61 changes and functioning; increased demands and challenges; and navigating new social roles,
62 including relationships with partners, healthcare professionals, and wider family.^{5,6} Maternal
63 mental health is particularly important to consider, given that anxiety and depression are known
64 to be more prevalent around childbearing age.⁷ It is estimated that as many as one in five
65 women in a high-income country will develop a mental health related concern following the
66 birth of their infant.⁸ Similarly, suicide is the leading cause of death in mothers of young
67 infants.^{9,10} The impact of poor maternal mental health is associated with short- and long-term
68 risks for the affected mothers' overall health, functioning, quality of life, and social

69 engagement. Maternal distress has also been consistently linked to a range of adverse
70 developmental, somatic, and psychological outcomes in the infant.¹¹⁻¹³

71 When the vulnerabilities of the postnatal period are combined with the impact of the
72 COVID-19 pandemic, psychosocial outcomes are likely to be affected further.¹⁴ Key
73 psychosocial stressors include an inconsistent organisational response to COVID-19 in
74 postnatal care and reduced in-person access to health and support services;¹⁵ reduced social
75 support from wider family and friends;¹⁶ absence of birth partners and visitors after birth,¹¹ and
76 restrictions to mother-infant contact and infant feeding care.¹⁷ A recent review of the
77 psychological impact of quarantine found adverse psychological effects including post-
78 traumatic stress symptoms, confusion, and anger.¹⁸ Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic is
79 anticipated to decrease access to mental health services and psychological or pharmacological
80 treatment, which is likely to impact further on mental health.¹⁹

81 To date, only two empirical studies have been published specifically examining the
82 psychological impact of COVID-19 on mothers.^{19,20} A non-concurrent case-control study of
83 mothers who gave birth in a COVID-19 ‘hotspot’ area in North Eastern Italy found that the
84 COVID-19 study group (n=91) had significantly higher mean postnatal depression scores
85 compared with a control group outside of the pandemic.²⁰ Another Canadian cross-sectional
86 survey study of mothers of children from birth to eight years old (N=642) found clinically
87 relevant depression and anxiety was indicated in 44% and 30% of mothers during quarantine
88 measures, respectively.¹⁹ However, neither of these studies asked questions to examine
89 whether, and how much, self-reported psychosocial outcomes have changed as a direct result
90 of COVID-19. This means the psychological states reported by participants cannot be directly
91 attributed to the pandemic.

92 This rapid-response cross-sectional online survey study aims to explore the
93 psychosocial experiences of UK women in the early postnatal period (birth to twelve weeks
94 postpartum) during initial government “lockdown” restrictions in the COVID-19 pandemic.
95 Data was collected between 16th April and 15th May 2020 which coincided with the UK
96 government’s mandated guidance. Specifically, we aim to: a) describe prevalence rates of
97 clinically-relevant maternal anxiety and depression; and b) explore whether psychosocial
98 change occurring as a result of COVID-19 is predictive of clinically-relevant maternal anxiety
99 and depression.

100 **Method**

101 *Participants and recruitment*

102 A sample of UK mothers with infants aged between birth and twelve weeks were recruited via
103 convenience sampling to complete an on-line survey. Participants were recruited through social
104 media platforms (e.g. Twitter, Facebook, Instagram) via an advertisement (not paid or targeted)
105 providing a link to the Qualtrics survey platform. Participant inclusion criteria were: Over 18
106 years of age, UK-resident, English-speaking, and with a baby of 0-3 months. All data were
107 collected from participants between 16th April and 15th May 2020 which coincided with the
108 UK government’s initial mandated “lockdown” restrictions and the introduction of social
109 distancing measures.¹

110 *Design and Procedure*

111 A cross-sectional survey design was used. Prior to participation, an electronic information
112 sheet and consent form were provided with a tick-box to confirm consent. At the end of the
113 survey, participants were provided with a full electronic debrief with signposting to relevant
114 support information, and were entered into a £25 prize draw.

115 *The Survey*

116 A screening question was first asked to ascertain whether the participant was mother to a baby
117 aged between birth and twelve weeks. Maternal-related demographic questions were asked at
118 the beginning of the survey and specific questions were also asked on the incidence of COVID-
119 19 in the mother and any family members (Table 1). This was followed by infant-related
120 demographic questions (Table 2).

121 *Validated psychological measures*

122 Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS)²¹

123 The EPDS is a 10-item self-report questionnaire administered to screen for depressive
124 symptoms in the postnatal period. It is the most widely used screening scale for postnatal
125 depression. Higher scores indicate higher levels of depression. A clinical cut-off score of ≥ 13
126 identifies scores consistent with major depressive disorder, although the self-report measure
127 does not replace a clinical diagnosis.²¹ In the current study, the scale had excellent reliability
128 (McDonald's $\omega = 0.90$).

129 State Trait Anxiety Inventory – State Scale (STAI-S)²²

130 The STAI-S is a self-report measure designed to capture levels of general anxiety. It contains
131 20 items to measure situational (state) anxiety. Higher scores indicate higher levels of anxiety.
132 A cut-off score of ≥ 40 on the STAI administered early in the postpartum period is
133 recommended to detect clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety.²³ Reliability for the measure
134 was excellent (McDonald's $\omega = 0.96$).

135 Postpartum Specific Anxiety Scale - Crisis Research Short Form (PSAS-RSF-C)²⁴

136 The PSAS²⁵ is a 51-item validated measure of postpartum specific anxiety designed to capture
137 the frequency of maternal and infant focused anxieties experienced during the past
138 week. Higher scores indicate higher levels of anxiety. For the purposes of this study, the top

139 three factor loading items from each factor of the original measure were used as a 12-item short
140 form to minimise participant burden. The scale had good reliability (McDonald's $\omega = 0.83$).

141 Parenting Sense of Competence Scale (PSOC)²⁶

142 The PSOC is a commonly used measure of parental self efficacy, with 7-items and 2-
143 subscales. Each item is rated on a 6-point Likert scale scored as 1 = "Strongly Disagree" and 6
144 = "Strongly Agree". A higher score indicates a higher parenting sense of competency.
145 Reliability in the current study was good (McDonald's $\omega = 0.89$).

146 *Validated social measures*

147 Relationship Questionnaire (RQ)²⁷

148 The RQ is comprised of 12-items on a four-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 ("Never") to 4
149 ("Always"). This questionnaire assesses both the positive and negative dimensions of partner
150 relationships. The higher the RQ total score, the better the couple relationship, as assessed by
151 the participant. The scale had good reliability (McDonald's $\omega = 0.89$).

152 Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS)²⁸

153 The MSPSS is a brief questionnaire designed to measure perceptions of informal support from
154 three sources: family, friends, and a significant other. The scale is comprised of a total of 12-
155 items, with 4-items for each subscale. Higher scores indicate higher levels of social support.
156 Reliability in the current study was excellent (McDonald's $\omega = 0.93$).

157 The Short Assessment of Patient Satisfaction (SAPS)²⁹

158 The SAPS is a short, reliable, and valid 7-item scale which can be used to assess patient
159 satisfaction with their care. It assesses the core domains of patient satisfaction which include
160 provision of care , explanation of treatment results, clinician engagement and care, participation

161 in medical decision making, and satisfaction with hospital/clinic care. Reliability in the current
162 study was good (McDonald's $\omega = 0.88$).

163 *Mother to Infant Bonding Scale (MIBS)*³⁰

164 The MIBS was designed with the intention of screening the general postpartum population. It
165 is a brief, 8-item measure of mother-infant bond with established criterion and construct
166 validity. Higher scores indicate worse mother-infant bonding. Reliability in the current study
167 was good (McDonald's $\omega = 0.79$).

168 *COVID-19 specific items*

169 At the end of each validated measure, two COVID-19-specific items were asked. The first
170 asked "Have your feelings of [psychological or social variable] changed since the introduction
171 of social distancing measures?" with "Yes", "No", and "Prefer Not To Say" response
172 options. For those that indicated "Yes" to the first question, a second question was displayed
173 which asked: "Please state how much this has changed since the introduction of social
174 distancing measures" on a 10-point Likert-Scale with zero as neutral from "I feel much less"
175 [psychological or social variable] "I feel much more" [psychological or social variable]".

176

177 *Statistical analyses*

178 Descriptive analyses for the demographic, psychological, social, and COVID-19-specific
179 measures were conducted (Table 1, 2 and 3). Means were then compared to data published by
180 members of the authorship team from research conducted before the COVID-19 pandemic,
181 which used matched recruitment methods and had similar sample characteristics.³¹ *The study
182 selected was conducted in 2016, recruited postpartum mothers of infants between birth and six
183 months (N=800) online and administered the EPDS and STAI-S alongside a battery of*

184 measures.³¹ Independent two-sample t-tests were conducted to examine whether the current
185 sample had significantly different depression (EPDS) and anxiety (STAI-S) means to the
186 selected pre-pandemic study.³¹ Descriptive analyses were then conducted to identify
187 prevalence rates of depression (EPDS) and anxiety (STAI-S). Depressive and anxious
188 symptoms above and below cut-off scores on each measure were recoded into dichotomous
189 measures indicating clinically relevant levels. The prevalence of clinically relevant depression
190 and anxiety was then compared to meta-analytic prevalence reviews of postpartum depression
191 and anxiety.^{32,33} Bivariate correlations were conducted to identify relationships between
192 variables to inform inferential analyses. Binomial hierarchical logistic regression models were
193 then built to examine whether a change (yes/no) in psychosocial experiences as a result of the
194 introduction of social distancing measures affected risk for clinically relevant, maternal
195 depression and anxiety. Self-reported, current, clinical diagnoses of anxiety and depression
196 were controlled for in Block 1 of the regression; socio-demographic predictors were included
197 in Block 2; and psychosocial changes occurring as a result of the introduction of social
198 distancing measures were added in Block 3.

199 **Results**

200 *Participants*

201 Mothers with infants aged between birth and twelve weeks (N=614) consented to take part in
202 the survey, with a 100% of those who consented, completing the survey. Maternal age ranged
203 between 18 and 46 years (M = 30.88, SD = 5.06) and infant age ranged between birth and
204 twelve weeks (M = 7.00, SD = 3.64). Women were predominantly white (96%), married (57%),
205 university educated (61%), and professionals (42%). Forty-two women believed they had
206 COVID-19 symptoms (7%), with one of these women having been tested. Additionally, 107
207 women believed a family member had COVID-19 (17%), with ten of these women reporting

208 their family member had been tested. Finally, 200 women reported that their birth experience
209 had been affected by the introduction of social distancing measures (33%).

210

211 **<Insert Table 1 and 2>**

212

213 *Psychosocial experiences during COVID-19*

214 Descriptive statistics for the psychological measures (EPDS; STAI-S; PSAS-RSF-C; PSOC)
215 and social measures (RQ; MSSPS; SAPS; MIBS) can be found in Table 3. There was a
216 significant difference in the EPDS scores in the current study (M= 11.56, SD= 5.90) compared
217 to the EPDS scores in the pre-pandemic study selected (M= 9.13, SD= 5.72); $t(1393) = 7.77$
218 $p < .001$. There was also a significant difference in the STAI-S scores in the current study (M=
219 45.26, SD= 13.69) compared to the STAI-S scores in the pre-pandemic study selected (M=
220 37.69, SD= 13.45) ; $t(1296) = 10.04$, $p < .001$.

221 *COVID-19 specific changes in psychosocial experiences*

222 Participants reported whether a change in psychological state had occurred as a direct
223 result of social distancing measures; 376 (62%) of women indicated their feelings of depression
224 had changed; 535 (87%) of women reported their feelings of anxiety had changed; 388 (63%)
225 of women indicated their feelings of motherhood-related anxiety had changed and 297 (48%)
226 of women felt their feelings towards parenting competence had changed. Of those who
227 indicated change occurred, it was felt their levels of depression (M = 2.67; SD = 1.79), anxiety
228 (M=2.31; SD = 1.97), and motherhood-related anxiety (M = 2.88; SD = 1.78) had increased;
229 whilst reporting feeling less confident in their parenting skills (M= 2.05; 1.90). Women then
230 reported whether a change in their social environment had occurred as a direct result of ‘social
231 distancing’; 262 (45%) reported a change in their relationship with their partner; 341 (56%)
232 reported a change in social support; 229 (38%) reported a change in satisfaction towards

233 healthcare; and 118 (19%) reported a change in how they felt towards their baby. Of those
234 who indicated change occurred, it was reported their relationship with their partner (M= 1.13;
235 SD = 2.36), levels of social support (M= 3.36; SD = 2.06), satisfaction towards their healthcare
236 (M = 2.17; SD = 2.48), and feelings towards their baby (M = 1.70; SD = 2.31), had all changed
237 negatively as a result of social distancing measures (see Figures 1 and 2).

238

239 **<Insert Table 3 and Figures 1 and 2>**

240

241 *Prevalence of Maternal Depression*

242 Seventy women (11.4%) reported a current clinical diagnosis of depression, although
243 two hundred and sixty-four women (43%) reported a score of ≥ 13 on the EPDS which indicates
244 clinically relevant depression (see Figure 3). Mean EPDS scores for those who reported a
245 score of ≥ 13 were M = 17.15 (SD = 3.45). Mean scores for those who did not meet the clinical
246 cut-off were M = 7.33 (SD = 3.25). Prevalence of clinically relevant maternal depression in
247 the current study compared to pre-pandemic population prevalence rates can be seen in Figure
248 4.³²

249

250 *Prevalence of Maternal Anxiety*

251 One hundred and thirteen women (18.4%) reported a current clinical diagnosis of
252 anxiety, although three hundred and seventy-three women (61%) reported a score of ≥ 40 on
253 STAI-S indicating clinically relevant anxiety (See Figure 3). Mean STAI-S scores for those
254 who reported a score of ≥ 40 were M = 54.25 (SD = 8.98). Mean scores for those who did not
255 meet the clinical cut- off were M = 33.31 (SD = 5.80). Prevalence of clinically relevant
256 maternal anxiety in the current study compared to pre-pandemic population prevalence rates
257 can be seen in Figure 4.³³

258

259

<Insert Figure 3 and 4>

260

261 *Hierarchical binary logistic regression examining sociodemographic factors and psychosocial*
262 *change as a result of the introduction of social distancing measures as risk factors for clinically*
263 *relevant maternal depression.*

264 The final regression model significantly predicted clinically relevant depression (EPDS scores
265 ≥ 13), correctly identifying 76.1% of cases: Cox and Snell $R^2 = .32$, Nagelkerke $R^2 = .43$, $p <$
266 $.001$. Presence of a current clinical diagnosis of depression and anxiety in step 1 explained
267 approximately 8% (Cox and Snell) and 10% (Nagelkerke) of the variance in risk of clinically
268 relevant depression. Socio-demographic predictors in step 2 (**maternal age; occupation;**
269 **education; and percentage of formula milk used**) explained an additional 1% (Cox and Snell)
270 and 3% (Nagelkerke) of the variance. Only increased use of formula milk was significantly
271 associated with risk of clinically relevant depression (AOR: 1.08; 95% CI: 1.01 - 1.16). In step
272 3, the psychosocial change variables explained an additional 23% (Cox and Snell) and 30%
273 (Nagelkerke) of the variance. Presence of change in feelings of depression (AOR: 0.15; 95%
274 CI: 0.09 - 0.26), motherhood specific anxiety (AOR: 0.43; 95% CI: 0.25 - 0.73), and parenting
275 competence (AOR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.32 - 0.81) as a result of the introduction of social distancing
276 measures, were all significantly associated with risk of clinically relevant depression.

277

278 *Hierarchical binary logistic regression examining sociodemographic factors and psychosocial*
279 *change as a result of COVID-19 'lockdown' as risk factors for clinically relevant maternal*
280 *anxiety.*

281 The final regression model significantly predicted clinically relevant anxiety (STAI scores \geq
282 40), correctly identifying 77.7% of cases: Cox and Snell $R^2 = .33$, Nagelkerke $R^2 = .44$, $p <$
283 $.001$. Presence of a current clinical diagnosis of depression and anxiety in step 1 explained
284 approximately 7% (Cox and Snell) and 9% (Nagelkerke) of the variance in risk of clinically
285 relevant anxiety. Sociodemographic predictors in step 2 (maternal age; occupation; education;
286 and infant age) explained an additional 2% (Cox and Snell) and 2% (Nagelkerke) of the
287 variance. Only older infant age was significantly associated with risk of clinically relevant
288 anxiety (AOR: 1.05; 95% CI: 1.01 - 1.11). In step 3, the psychosocial change variables
289 explained an additional 24% (Cox and Snell) and 33% (Nagelkerke) of the variance. Presence
290 of change in feelings of depression (AOR: 0.18; 95% CI: 0.11 - 0.27), anxiety (AOR: 0.32 95%
291 CI: 0.15 - 0.68), motherhood specific anxiety (AOR: 0.49; 95% CI: 0.30 - 0.81), and parenting
292 competence (AOR: 0.59; 95% CI: 0.37 - 0.95) as a result of the introduction of social distancing
293 measures were all significantly associated with risk of clinically relevant anxiety.

294 <Insert Table 4>

295

296 Discussion

297 This study first aimed to explore the psychosocial experiences of UK women in the early
298 postnatal period (birth to twelve weeks postpartum) during initial government ‘lockdown’
299 restrictions in the COVID-19 pandemic. Descriptive findings from the overall sample indicated
300 a high percentage of mothers self-reported psychological and social changes as a result of the
301 introduction of social distancing measures. Notably, the proportion of change in state anxiety
302 was particularly high (87%) which likely reflects widespread situational concern about the
303 immediate COVID-19 pandemic and associated social distancing measures. A recent editorial
304 by WHO Director General, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus stated: “*fear from the virus is*
305 *spreading even faster than the virus itself*” (p1).³⁴ Common state anxieties specific to early

306 motherhood may be around increased fear of the potential risk of infection or vertical
307 transmission, restrictions in access to routine reproductive and maternity care, or separation
308 from families and caregivers and wider networks of support.^{34, 35}

309 For women who reported presence of psychosocial change, these changes were
310 perceived negatively. In particular, women felt much less socially supported. Informal support
311 from partner, family, and friends is highly influential to women's experiences of early
312 motherhood.³⁶ During the pandemic, social support was severely limited due to the restrictions
313 that have been put into place to reduce the risk of transmission of COVID-19.⁷ A recent review
314 of reviews demonstrated significant associations between social isolation, loneliness and
315 poorer mental health outcomes, such as depression.³⁶

316 The second aim of this study was to describe prevalence rates of clinically-relevant
317 maternal depression and anxiety. In this study, 43% of participants exceeded the cut-off for
318 clinically relevant depression and 61% exceeded the cut-off for clinically relevant anxiety.
319 When compared to those who disclosed a current, clinical diagnosis of depression (11.4%) or
320 anxiety (18.4%), there is a large proportion of women who meet clinically relevant criteria but
321 who have not received a formal diagnosis indicating a large prevalence-diagnosis gap.
322 Similarly, when compared to the prevalence of clinically relevant depression and anxiety using
323 the same measures in pre-pandemic cohorts (16%³² and 14.6%³³ respectively), the rates within
324 our study during the pandemic were far higher. Furthermore, decreased access to diagnosis and
325 psychological or pharmacological treatment during the pandemic is likely to further exacerbate
326 poor mental health.¹⁹ It is well established that poor maternal mental health is associated with
327 numerous detrimental outcomes for mother and infant.⁹⁻¹³ Together, these findings indicate an
328 acute public health issue which requires urgent attention and intervention to improve the mental
329 health of this population and associated outcomes. This reinforces the requirement for

330 continued, comprehensive long-term monitoring of maternal mental health and maternal and
331 infant psychosocial outcomes following the pandemic.³⁸

332 The final aim of this study was to explore whether psychosocial change occurring as a
333 result of the introduction of social distancing measures was predictive of clinically-relevant
334 maternal depression and anxiety. After accounting for current clinical diagnoses of depression
335 or anxiety, and demographic factors known to influence mental health, only perceived
336 psychological change occurring as a result of the introduction of social distancing measures
337 predicted unique variance in the risk of clinically relevant maternal depression (30%) and
338 anxiety (33%). Interestingly, perceived social changes occurring as a result of the introduction
339 of social distancing measures were not associated with increased risk. This suggests that it is
340 perceived psychological changes occurring as a result of the pandemic which have acted as
341 major stressors on maternal mental health and corroborates global work in this area.^{19,20} We
342 should therefore focus efforts on improving and maintaining access to perinatal mental health
343 care services during this, and similar crises.³⁸

344 Due to the rapid development of COVID-19, this study was cross sectional in nature
345 and all comparisons to pre-pandemic data were obtained using already published cohorts,
346 therefore precluding causality. Longitudinal research is essential in understanding the longer-
347 term impact of the pandemic on maternal mental health and how this may affect maternal and
348 infant outcomes. Another limitation of this study is its usage of an online convenience sample
349 which, although adequately powered, lacked sampling control. As such, women were
350 predominantly white, married, primiparous, educated to a tertiary level, and in a professional
351 occupation. **This may affect comparability of prevalence with the pre-pandemic meta-analytic**
352 **reviews selected.** With the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus having a disproportionate effect on
353 Black, Asian, and Minority Ethnic [BAME] communities, as well as those living with social
354 complexity and/or deprivation,³⁹ it is vital to replicate this study in ethnically and socio-

355 economically diverse populations. Finally, it is acknowledged that a proportion of data (14%)
356 were collected very shortly after birth (i.e. zero – two weeks postpartum). As a consequence,
357 some of these data may be influenced by factors such as transitory ‘baby blues’,
358 negative/challenging birth experiences, or natural adaption to the challenges of new
359 motherhood.

360

361 **Conclusions and Implications**

362 This study provides a nationwide snapshot of psychosocial experiences in early motherhood
363 during the COVID-19 pandemic in the UK and offers valuable, first insights into how
364 psychosocial experiences have changed in relation to the introduction of social distancing
365 measures. To date, this study is the only one to report the prevalence rates of clinically relevant
366 maternal depression and anxiety in the UK during the pandemic. Furthermore, we offer unique
367 insight into the predictors of clinically relevant maternal mental health, whilst accounting for
368 pre-existing mental health diagnoses and sociodemographic confounders. This study provides
369 vital information for clinicians, funders, policy makers, and researchers, to inform the
370 immediate next steps in perinatal research, policy, and care during this, and future health crises.
371 For policy makers and clinicians tasked with the provision and delivery of postnatal care, we
372 echo previous calls for “proactive, multidisciplinary, integrated”¹¹ approaches. For funders
373 and researchers, there is a need for longitudinal research to address the acute and longer-term
374 consequences of the pandemic on maternal mental health. From there, development and
375 evaluation of psychosocial interventions to target poor mental health outcomes at different
376 stages of the pandemic are required.⁴⁰ These must be developed with in-built flexibility to
377 enhance applicability to future health crises. With consideration to our results we recommend
378 that during the COVID-19 pandemic and future health crises, mental and physical health in
379 postnatal populations is provided parity of esteem.

380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404

References

1. GOV.UK. Staying at home and away from others (social distancing). <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/full-guidance-on-staying-at-home-and-away-from-others>. Published March 23, 2020. Accessed July 5, 2020.
2. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Coronavirus (COVID-19) infection in pregnancy. <https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/2020-07->

- 405 24-coronavirus-covid-19-infection-in-pregnancy.pdf. Updated Friday 24 July, 2020.
406 Accessed, August 10, 2020.
- 407 3. Lu D, Sang L, Du S, Li T, Chang Y, Yang XA. Asymptomatic COVID-19 infection in
408 late pregnancy indicated no vertical transmission. *J Med Virol.* 2020; 1-5.
- 409 4. Emmanuel E, St John W. Maternal distress: concept analysis. *J Adv Nurs.*
410 2010;66(9):2104-2115. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05371.x
- 411 5. Clout D, Brown R. Sociodemographic, pregnancy, obstetric, and postnatal predictors
412 of postpartum stress, anxiety and depression in new mothers. *J Affect Disord.*
413 2015;188:60-67. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015.08.054
- 414 6. Feinberg ME, Jones DE, McDaniel BT, Liu S, Almeida D. New Fathers' and Mothers'
415 Daily Stressors and Resources Influence Parent Adjustment and Family Relationships.
416 *Monogr Soc Res Child Dev.* 2019;84(1): 18-34
- 417 7. Baxter A, Vos T, Scott K, Ferrari A, Whiteford H. The global burden of anxiety
418 disorders in 2010. *Psychol Med.* 2014;44(11):2363-2374.
419 doi:10.1017/s0033291713003243
- 420 8. Russell, K., Ashley, A., Chan, G., Gibson, S. and Jones, R., 2017. Maternal mental
421 health—women’s voices. Rcocg.org.uk.
422 [https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/patients/information/maternalmental](https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/patients/information/maternalmental-healthwomens-voices.pdf)
423 [-healthwomens-voices.pdf](https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/patients/information/maternalmental-healthwomens-voices.pdf) Published 2020. Accessed July 23, 2020.
- 424 9. Knight M, Bunch K, Tuffnell D, Shakespeare J, Kotnis R, Kenyon S, Kurinczuk JJ
425 (Eds.) on behalf of MBRRACE-UK. Saving Lives, Improving Mothers’ Care - Lessons
426 learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into
427 Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2015-17. Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology
428 Unit, University of Oxford 2019.

- 429 10. Rahman A, Surkan P, Cayetano C, Rwagatare P, Dickson K. Grand Challenges:
430 Integrating Maternal Mental Health into Maternal and Child Health Programmes. *PLoS*
431 *Med.* 2013;10(5):e1001442. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001442
- 432 11. Thapa SB, Mainali A, Schwank SE, Acharya G. Maternal mental health in the time of
433 the COVID- 19 pandemic. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2020;99:817–818.
- 434 12. Lonstein J. Regulation of anxiety during the postpartum period. *Front*
435 *Neuroendocrinol.* 2007;28(2-3):115-141. doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2007.05.002
- 436 13. Glasheen C, Richardson G, Fabio A. A systematic review of the effects of postnatal
437 maternal anxiety on children. *Arch Womens Ment Health.* 2009;13(1):61-74.
438 doi:10.1007/s00737-009-0109-y
- 439 14. Matvienko-Sikar K, Meedya S, Ravaldi C. Perinatal mental health during the COVID-
440 19 pandemic. *Women and Birth.* 2020;33(4):309-310.
441 doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2020.04.006
- 442 15. Horsch A, Lalor J, Downe S. Moral and mental health challenges faced by maternity
443 staff during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research,*
444 *Practice, and Policy.* 2020;12(S1):S141-S142. doi:10.1037/tra0000629
- 445 16. McKinley G. We need each other: Social supports during COVID 19. *Social*
446 *Anthropology.* 2020. doi:10.1111/1469-8676.12828
- 447 17. Rcpch.ac.uk. 2020. [online] Available at:
448 <[https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2020-](https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2020-06/optimising_mother_baby_contact_and_infant_feeding_in_a_pandemic_version_2_final_24th_june_2020.pdf)
449 [06/optimising_mother_baby_contact_and_infant_feeding_in_a_pandemic_version_2](https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2020-06/optimising_mother_baby_contact_and_infant_feeding_in_a_pandemic_version_2_final_24th_june_2020.pdf)
450 [_final_24th_june_2020.pdf](https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2020-06/optimising_mother_baby_contact_and_infant_feeding_in_a_pandemic_version_2_final_24th_june_2020.pdf)> [Accessed 13 August 2020].
- 451 18. Brooks S, Webster R, Smith L et al. The Psychological Impact of Quarantine and How
452 to Reduce It: Rapid Review of the Evidence. *The Lancet.* 2020.
453 doi:10.2139/ssrn.3532534

- 454 19. Davenport M, Meyer S, Meah V, Strynadka M, Khurana R. Moms Are Not OK:
455 COVID-19 and Maternal Mental Health. *Frontiers in Global Women's Health*. 2020;1.
456 doi:10.3389/fgwh.2020.00001
- 457 20. Zanardo V, Manghina V, Giliberti L, Vettore M, Severino L, Straface G. Psychological
458 impact of COVID - 19 quarantine measures in northeastern Italy on mothers in the
459 immediate postpartum period. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*.
460 2020;150(2):184-188. doi:10.1002/ijgo.13249
- 461 21. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS).
462 *Br Journal Psychiat*. 1987;150.
- 463 22. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene R. E. Manual for the state-trait anxiety
464 inventory. 1970.
- 465 23. Dennis C, Coghlan M, Vigod S. Can we identify mothers at-risk for postpartum anxiety
466 in the immediate postpartum period using the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory?. *J Affect*
467 *Disord*. 2013;150(3):1217-1220. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2013.05.04
- 468 24. Silverio SA, Davies SM, et al., A 12-item research short form of the Postpartum
469 Specific Anxiety Scale for use in rapid response research during global crises: A
470 validation study with provision of five translations. 2020: Under Review *Arch Womens*
471 *Ment Health*.
- 472 25. Fallon V, Halford J, Bennett K, Harrold J. The Postpartum Specific Anxiety Scale:
473 development and preliminary validation. *Arch Womens Ment Health*.
474 2016;19(6):1079-1090. doi:10.1007/s00737-016-0658-9
- 475 26. Gibaud-Wallston J, Wandersmann LP. Development and utility of the Parenting Sense
476 of Competence Scale. *John F. Kennedy Center for Research on Education and Human*
477 *Development*, 1978.

- 478 27. Figueiredo B, Field T, Diego M, Hernandez - Reif M, Deeds O, Ascencio A. Partner
479 relationships during the transition to parenthood. *J Reprod Infant Psychol.*
480 2008;26(2):99-107. doi:10.1080/02646830701873057
- 481 28. Zimet GD, Dahlem NW, Zimet SG, Farley GK. The Multidimensional Scale of
482 Perceived Social Support. *J Pers Assess.* 1988; 52(1):30-41
- 483 29. Hawthorne G, Sansoni J, Hayes L, Marosszeky N, Sansoni E. Measuring patient
484 satisfaction with health care treatment using the Short Assessment of Patient
485 Satisfaction measure delivered superior and robust satisfaction estimates. *J Clin*
486 *Epidemiol.* 2014;67(5):527-537. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.12.010
- 487 30. Taylor A, Atkins R, Kumar R, Adams D, Glover V. A new Mother-to-Infant Bonding
488 Scale: links with early maternal mood. *Archives of Women's Mental Health.*
489 2005;8(1):45-51. doi:10.1007/s00737-005-0074-z
- 490 31. Fallon V, Silverio S, Halford J, Bennett K, Harrold J. Postpartum-specific anxiety and
491 maternal bonding: Further evidence to support the use of childbearing specific mood
492 tools. *J Reprod Infant Psychol.* 2019:1-11. doi:10.1080/02646838.2019.1680960
- 493 32. Hahn-Holbrook J, Cornwell-Hinrichs T, Anaya I. Economic and Health Predictors of
494 National Postpartum Depression Prevalence: A Systematic Review, Meta-analysis,
495 and Meta-Regression of 291 Studies from 56 Countries. *Front Psychiatry.* 2018;8.
496 doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00248
- 497 33. Dennis CL, Falah-Hassani K, & Shiri R. Prevalence of antenatal and postnatal anxiety:
498 systematic review and meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry,* 2017; 210(5),
499 315-323.
- 500 34. Adhanom Ghebreyesus T. Addressing mental health needs: an integral part of
501 COVID- 19 response. *World Psychiat.* 2020;19(2):129-30.

- 502 35. Diamond R, Brown K, Miranda J. Impact of COVID-19 on the Perinatal Period
503 Through a Biopsychosocial Systemic Framework. *Contemp Fam Ther.*
504 2020;42(3):205-216. doi:10.1007/s10591-020-09544-8
- 505 36. Leigh-Hunt N, Bagguley D, Bash K et al. An overview of systematic reviews on the
506 public health consequences of social isolation and loneliness. *Public Health.*
507 2017;152:157-171. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2017.07.03
- 508 37. The Lancet Psychiatry. Isolation and inclusion. *The Lancet Psychiatry.* 2020;7(5):371.
509 doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30156-5
- 510 38. Caparros-Gonzalez R, Alderdice F. The COVID-19 pandemic and perinatal mental
511 health. *J Reprod Infant Psychol.* 2020;38(3):223-225.
512 doi:10.1080/02646838.2020.1786910
- 513 39. El-Khatib Z, Jacobs GB, Ikomey GM, Neogi U. The disproportionate effect of
514 COVID-19 mortality on ethnic minorities: Genetics or health inequalities?
515 *EClinicalMedicine.* 2020;23.
- 516 40. Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, et al. Multidisciplinary research priorities for
517 the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. *Lancet*
518 *Psychiatry.* 2020;7(6):547-560. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30168-1
- 519
- 520




Figure 1: Percentage of women who felt their psychological state and social relationships had been affected by the introduction of social distancing measures




Figure 2: Level of psychological and social change occurring as a result of the introduction of social distancing measures




Figure 3: Percentage of mothers scoring above the clinical cut-off on the EPDS (13 and above) and the STAI (40 and above)




Figure 4: Prevalence of clinically relevant depression and anxiety compared to pre-pandemic prevalence [meta-analytic reviews](#) *†

*Depression prevalence (EPDS) compared to a meta-analytic review of 16 studies (N= 49,446) examining national postpartum depression prevalence in the UK (EPDS; prevalence estimate used = 16%, Hahn-Holbrook, Cornwell-Hinrichs & Anaya, 2018)

†Anxiety prevalence (predominately STAI-S) compared to a meta-analytic review of 34 studies from high-income countries (N=143,134; 4 UK studies) (STAI-S; prevalence estimate used = 13.7% Dennis, Falah-Hassani, & Shiri, 2017).

Table 1: Maternal and COVID-19 Characteristics (N= 614)

Maternal Characteristic	Value	Current Diagnosis of Depression (N/%)	
Maternal age (mean years ± SD)	30.9 (5.1)	Yes	70 (11.4)
Ethnicity (N/%)		No	542 (88.3)
White	589 (95.9)	Prefer not to say	2 (0.3)
Pakistani	2 (0.3)	Current Diagnosis of PTSD (N/%)	
Black African	2 (0.3)	Yes	24 (3.9)
Chinese	1 (0.2)	No	586 (95.4)
Indian	5 (0.8)	Prefer not to say	4 (0.7)
Other	13 (2.1)		
Prefer not to say	2 (0.3)		
Marital Status (N/%)		COVID-19 Characteristic	
Married	350 (57.0)	Value	
Co-habiting	231 (37.6)	Suspected COVID (N%)	
Single	30 (4.9)	Yes	42 (2.4)
Separated/Divorced/Widowed	3 (0.6)	No	572 (97.6)
Occupation (N/%)		Tested for COVID (N%)	
Managers, Directors and Senior Officials	55 (9.0)	Yes	1 (2.4)
Professionals	258 (42.0)	No	41 (97.6)
Associate Professionals and Technical	16 (2.6)	Family member suspected COVID (N%)	
Administrative and Secretarial	62 (10.1)	Yes	107 (17.4)
Skilled Trade	18 (2.9)	No	507 (82.6)
Caring, Leisure and Other Service	78 (12.7)	Family member tested for COVID (N%)	
Sales and Customer Service	57 (9.3)	Yes	10 (9.3)
Process, Plant and Machine Operatives	1 (0.2)	No	97 (90.7)
Elementary	7 (1.1)	Birth experience affected by COVID (N%)	
Not in Paid Occupation	62 (10.1)	Yes	200 (32.6)
Education Attainment (N/%)		No	489 (67.4)
Postgraduate education	150 (24.4)		
Undergraduate education	248 (40.4)		
A-Levels or college equivalent	132 (21.5)		
GCSEs or secondary school equivalent	66 (10.7)		
No qualifications	5 (0.8)		
Other qualification	13 (2.1)		
Living Status (N/%)			
Own property	397 (64.7)		
Rent privately	130 (21.2)		
Rent from local authority	53 (8.6)		
Live with parents	28 (4.6)		
Other	6 (1.0)		
Household Size (inc. participant) (N/%)			
2 people	29 (4.7)		
3 people	262 (42.7)		
4 people	225 (36.6)		
5 people	67 (10.9)		
6 or more people	31 (5.0)		
Current Diagnosis of Anxiety (N/%)			
Yes	113 (18.4)		
No	499 (81.3)		
Prefer not to say	2 (0.3)		

Table 2: Infant characteristics (N= 614)

Infant Characteristic	Value
Infant age (mean weeks \pm SD)	7.0 (3.6)
Birth order (N/%)	
1 st	299 (38.6)
2 nd	237 (8.5)
3 rd	52 (2.4)
4 th	15 (2.5)
5 th and after	2 (0.3)
Timing of birth (N/%)	
Premature (<37 weeks)	45 (7.4)
Early Term (>37<39 weeks)	119 (19.4)
Full Term (>39<41 weeks)	320 (52.1)
Late Term (>41<42 weeks)	127 (20.7)
Post Term (>42 weeks)	3 (0.5)
Multiple birth (N/%)	
Yes	7 (1.1)
No	607 (98.9)
Mode of delivery (N/%)	
Vaginal (without medical intervention)	316 (51.5)
Elective caesarean section	113 (18.4)
Emergency caesarean section	112 (18.2)
Vaginal birth (assisted delivery)	73 (11.9)
Feeding initiation after birth (N/%)	
Exclusively breastfeeding (100%)	424 (69.1)
Predominantly breastmilk (over 80%) with a little formula milk (20%)	56 (9.1)
Mainly breastmilk (50-80%) with some formula milk	10 (1.6)
A combination of both breastmilk (50%) and formula milk (50%)	30 (4.9)
Mainly formula milk (50-80%) with some breastmilk	9 (1.5)
Predominantly formula milk (over 80%) with a little breastmilk (20%)	17 (2.9)
Exclusively formula feeding (100%)	68 (11.1)
Current feeding method (N/%)	
Exclusively breastfeeding (100%)	340 (55.4)
Predominantly breastmilk (over 80%) with a little formula milk (20%)	61 (9.9)
Mainly breastmilk (50-80%) with some formula milk	19 (3.81)
A combination of both breastmilk (50%) and formula milk (50%)	15 (2.4)
Mainly formula milk (50-80%) with some breastmilk	20 (3.3)
Predominantly formula milk (over 80%) with a little breastmilk (20%)	12 (2.0)
Exclusively formula feeding (100%)	147 (23.9)

Table 3: Descriptive statistics, statistical comparisons of means with pre-pandemic studies, and COVID-19 specific change

Psychological Variable	Current Study Mean (SD)	Study comparison mean/SD	Independent two sample t-test and p value
EPDS	11.56 (5.90)	9.13 (5.72) Fallon, Halford, Harrold, & Bennett (2019)	7.77; $p < .001$
STAI-S	45.26 (13.69)	37.70 (± 13.45) Fallon, Silverio, Halford, Bennett & Harrold (2019)	10.04, $p < .001$
PSAS-S	24.79 (6.19)		
PSOC	69.72 (12.13)		
Social Variable			
RQ	36.07 (5.81)		
MSPSS	67.91 (13.36)		
MIBS	3.52 (3.77)		
SAPS	19.44 (5.71)		
COVID-19 specific change	(N/% change occurred = (yes)	How was change experienced (mean/SD; -5 positive change to +5 negative change)	
EPDS	376 (62)	2.67 (1.79)	
STAI	535 (87)	2.31 (1.97)	
PSAS	388 (63)	2.88 (1.78)	
PSOC	297 (49)	2.05 (1.90)	
RQ	262 (45)	1.13 (2.36)	
MSPSS	341 (56)	3.36 (2.06)	
MIBS	118 (19)	1.70 (2.31)	
SAPS	229 (37)	2.17 (2.48)	

Table 4 Hierarchical Logistic Regression examining sociodemographic factors and psychosocial change as a result of the introduction of social distancing measures as risk factors for clinically relevant maternal depression and anxiety.

Clinically relevant depression¹									
Variables	B(SE)	Step 1		B(SE)	Step 2		B(SE)	Step 3	
		OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI
<i>Step 1</i>									
Current diagnosis of depression (yes/no)	-1.24 (.37)	0.29	0.14-0.60	-1.19 (.38)	0.30	0.15-0.63	-1.04 (.44)	0.35	0.15-0.83
Current diagnosis of anxiety (yes/no)	-0.82 (.27)	0.44	0.26-0.75	-0.73 (.28)	0.48	0.28-0.84	-0.45 (.33)	0.63	0.33-1.22
<i>Step 2</i>									
Maternal age				.00 (.02)	1.00	0.96-1.04	-.01 (.02)	0.99	0.95-1.04
Occupation				.03 (.04)	1.03	0.95-1.11	.04 (.05)	1.04	0.95-1.14
Education				.11 (.10)	1.16	0.92-1.35	.07 (.11)	1.08	0.86-1.33
% of formula milk used				.08 (.04)	1.08	1.01-1.16	.11 (.04)	1.12	1.03-1.21
<i>Step 3*</i>									
Change in depression (absent/present)							-1.87 (.26)	0.15	0.09-0.26
Change in anxiety (absent/present)							.20 (.42)	1.22	0.53-2.82
Change in postpartum specific anxiety (absent/present)							-0.84 (.27)	0.43	0.25-0.73
Change in parenting competence (absent/present)							-0.67 (.23)	0.51	0.32-0.81
Change in relationship quality (absent/present)							-0.36 (.22)	0.70	0.45-1.08
Change in social support (absent/present)							-0.11 (.24)	0.90	0.56-1.43

Change in satisfaction with care (absent/present)								-0.23 (.23)	0.70	0.46-1.08
Change in mother to infant bonding (absent/present)								-0.30 (.28)	0.74	0.43-1.28

Clinically relevant anxiety²

Step 1

Current diagnosis of anxiety (yes/no)	-1.17 (.32)	0.31	0.14-0.60	-0.11 (.33)	0.34	0.18-0.64	-0.84 (.39)	0.43	0.20-0.93
Current diagnosis of depression (yes/no)	-0.87 (.44)	0.41	0.17-0.97	-0.80 (.45)	0.45	0.19-1.07	-0.64 (.54)	0.53	0.18-1.52

Step 2

Maternal age (years)				-0.01 (.02)	0.99	0.95-1.03	-0.02 (.02)	0.98	0.93-1.03
Occupation				.02 (.04)	1.02	0.95-1.10	.05 (.05)	1.05	0.96-1.16
Education				.12 (.10)	1.13	0.93-1.36	.09 (.12)	1.09	0.87-1.37
Infant age (in weeks)				.05 (.03)	1.05	1.00-1.10	.04 (.03)	1.04	0.98-1.11

Step 3*

Change in anxiety (absent/present)								-1.16 (.39)	0.32	0.15-0.68
Change in depression (absent/present)								-1.74 (.23)	0.18	0.11-0.27
Change in postpartum specific anxiety (absent/present)								-0.70 (.25)	0.49	0.30-0.81
Change in parenting competence (absent/present)								-0.52 (.24)	0.59	0.37-0.95
Change in relationship quality (absent/present)								-0.13 (.23)	0.88	0.56-1.39
Change in social support (absent/present)								-0.04 (.24)	0.96	0.60-1.52

Change in satisfaction with care (absent/present)	-0.38 (.24)	0.69	0.43-1.09
Change in mother to infant bonding (absent/present)	.13 (.31)	1.14	0.62-2.08

^{1.} *Note for depression analyses.* R^2 (block 3) = .32 (Cox & Snell); .43 (Nagelkerke). Step 1 block $\chi^2 = 44.80$, $df = 2$, $p < .001$. Step 2 block $\chi^2 = 9.33$, $df = 4$, $p = .05$. Step 3 block $\chi^2 = 159.78$, $df = 8$, $p < .001$. SE = Standard Error. CI = confidence interval. Significant ($p < .05$) odds ratios (OR) are indicated in bold. Current diagnosis coded as 1=yes and 2=no; Presence of change coded as 0=absent and 1=present.

^{2.} *Note for anxiety analyses.* R^2 (block 3) = .33 (Cox & Snell); .43 (Nagelkerke). Step 1 block $\chi^2 = 38.66$, $df = 2$, $p < .001$. Step 2 block $\chi^2 = 8.31$, $df = 4$, $p = .08$. Step 3 block $\chi^2 = 174.64$, $df = 8$, $p < .001$. SE = Standard Error. CI = confidence interval. Significant ($p < .05$) odds ratios (OR) are indicated in bold. Current diagnosis coded as 1=yes and 2=no; Presence of change coded as 0=absent and 1=present.

Psychosocial experiences of postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic. A UK-wide study of prevalence rates and risk factors for clinically relevant depression and anxiety.

Conflicts of Interest: *No conflicts of interest or competing interests have been declared by any author.*

Declarations: *Sergio A. Silverio (King's College London) is supported by the National Institute for Health Research Applied Research Collaboration South London [NIHR ARC South London] at King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.*

Psychosocial experiences of postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic. A UK-wide study of prevalence rates and risk factors for clinically relevant depression and anxiety.

Author Contribution:

Conceptualization: Victoria Fallon

Study Design: All authors

Data Collection: Leanne Jackson, Siân M. Davies

Data Analysis: Victoria Fallon, Siân M. Davies, Leonardo de Pascalis, Leanne Jackson

Data Interpretation: All authors

Writing: Victoria Fallon (lead)

All authors critically revised the manuscript and agreed to its publication.

Ethics: *Ethical approvals were sought and granted from the University of Liverpool Research Ethics Committee [ref:- IPHS/7630].*

Funding: *This study received no funding.*

Availability of Data: *All data is part of the common dataset for The PRegnancy And Motherhood during COVID-19 Study [The PRAM Study]. Applications to use these data in research are to be made formally by writing to the study's Chief Investigator: Dr. V. Fallon, University of Liverpool, V.Fallon@liverpool.ac.uk. All subsequent publications must state affiliation to The PRAM Study.*