

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Pagaria, R. "Poincaré Polynomial of Elliptic Arrangements is Not Determined by the Tutte Polynomial." *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 343, no. 6, 2020.

The final published version is available online at:
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2019.111710>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Poincaré polynomial of elliptic arrangements is not determined by the Tutte polynomial

Roberto Pagaria¹

Scuola Normale Superiore
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa
Italy

Abstract

The Poincaré polynomial of the complement of an arrangements in a non compact group G is a specialization of the G -Tutte polynomial associated with the arrangement. In this article we show two unimodular elliptic arrangements (built up from two graphs) with the same Tutte polynomial, having different Betti numbers.

Keywords: Poincaré polynomial, elliptic arrangements, Tutte polynomial.

2010 MSC: 52C35, 05C10

1. Introduction

Let $A \in M(k, n; \mathbb{Z})$ be an integer matrix and let G be a group of the form $H \times (S^1)^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$, where H is a finite abelian group. Each column α of A defines a morphism from G^k to G given by

$$(g_1, \dots, g_k) \mapsto \alpha_1 g_1 + \alpha_2 g_2 + \dots + \alpha_k g_k.$$

We call $H_i \subset G^k$ the kernel of the map defined by the i^{th} -column of A . The *complement* of the *arrangement* A in G is the topological space

$$\mathcal{M}(A; G) = G^k \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i.$$

When $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ we obtain the definition of central *hyperplane arrangements* (with rational equations). If $G = S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$ the arrangement is called *toric*. We are mainly interested in the case $G = S^1 \times S^1 \simeq E$ (an elliptic curve), these arrangements are called *elliptic arrangement*.

There are several combinatorial objects associated with an arrangement: for instance, the poset of layers, the arithmetic matroid ([2, 3]) and the G -Tutte polynomial ([7, 6, 12]). Given a subset S of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we call *layer* any connected component of the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in S} H_i$. The *poset of layers* is the set of all layers ordered by reverse inclusion. The *arithmetic matroid* is the

triple $([n], \text{rk}, m_G)$ associated with toric, hyperplanes or elliptic arrangements, where $\text{rk}(S)$ and $m_G(S)$ are, respectively, the codimension and the number of connected components of $\bigcap_{i \in S} H_i$. The G -Tutte polynomial is a generalization of the arithmetic Tutte polynomial and of the classical Tutte polynomial; it is defined by

$$T_A^G(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} m_G(S) (x-1)^{\text{rk}[n]-\text{rk}(S)} (y-1)^{|S|-\text{rk}(S)}$$

where m_G is the multiplicity function defined in [6, Definition 4.6]; if G is connected, then $m_G(S)$ coincides with the number of connected components of $\bigcap_{i \in S} H_i$.

Recently, a formula for the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{M}(A; G)$ was found by Liu, Tran and Yoshinaga [6] when G is not compact, i.e. $q > 0$. This formula involves the G -characteristic polynomial $\chi_A^G(t)$, which is a specialization of the G -Tutte polynomial:

$$\chi_A^G(t) = (-1)^{\text{rk}[n]} t^{k-\text{rk}[n]} T_A^G(1-t, 0).$$

When G is not compact, the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{M}(A; G)$ is

$$P_{\mathcal{M}(A; G)} = (-t^{p+q-1})^k \chi_A^G \left(-\frac{P_G(t)}{t^{p+q-1}} \right),$$

where $P_G(t) = m_G(\emptyset)(t+1)^p$ is the Poincaré polynomial of the group G . The formula

$$e(\mathcal{M}(A; G)) = (-1)^{(p+q)k} \chi_A^G((-1)^{p+q} e(G))$$

for the Euler characteristic holds for all groups G ($e(G)$ is the Euler characteristic of G), see [1, 6].

We focus on the “smallest” compact group $G = S^1 \times S^1$, the case $G = S^1$ being trivial. From now on, we denote the two-dimensional compact torus $S^1 \times S^1$ by E . In this case, Bibby [1] and Dupont [5] have given a model of the cohomology ring $H^\bullet(M(A; E); \mathbb{Q})$, provided by the second page of the Serre spectral sequence for the inclusion $M(A; E) \hookrightarrow E^k$. As shown in [8], this model is combinatorial, i.e. can be defined from the arithmetic matroid $([n], \text{rk}, m_E)$. Thus the Betti numbers are implicitly encoded in the arithmetic matroid, but there is no explicit formula that allows their calculation. We will show that these Betti numbers are independent from the arithmetic Tutte polynomial, exhibiting an example.

2. The model for cohomology

We recall the model developed by Dupont [5] and Bibby [1] for the cohomology ring in the particular case of graphic elliptic arrangements.

Let $E^{k+1}/E \simeq E^k$ be the quotient of E^{k+1} by the diagonal action of E . Given a finite graph $\mathcal{G} = ([k+1], \mathcal{E})$, undirected and without loops or multiple edges, we can define an arrangement $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ in E^{k+1}/E given by the divisors

$$H_e = H_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\underline{g} \in E^{k+1}/E \mid g_i = g_j\},$$

for each edge $e = (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}$. We fix arbitrarily a spanning forest \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{G} and an orientation of \mathcal{G} . Consider the external algebra Λ over the rationals on the generators $\{\omega_e, x_t, y_t\}_{\substack{e \in \mathcal{E} \\ t \in \mathcal{T}}}$. We set the bi-degree of ω_e to be $(1, 0)$ and the one of x_t and y_t to be $(0, 1)$. For the sake of an easier notation we define for each oriented edge $e = i \rightarrow j$ the element $x_e = \sum_{f \in \gamma(e)} \epsilon(e, f) x_f$, where $\gamma(e)$ is the unique path from i to j in \mathcal{T} and $\epsilon(e, f)$ is 1 if the arc f is oriented as in the path $\gamma(e)$, -1 otherwise. Consider the homogeneous ideal $I \subset \Lambda$ generated by the elements $\omega_e x_e, \omega_e y_e$ and

$$\sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \omega_{e_0} \omega_{e_1} \dots \widehat{\omega}_{e_i} \dots \omega_{e_l},$$

for every cycle $C = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_l)$ of \mathcal{G} . We call $E_2(A_{\mathcal{G}})$ the quotient Λ/I . Finally, we define the differential $d_2: E_2(A_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow E_2(A_{\mathcal{G}})$ on the generators by $d_2(\omega_e) = x_e y_e$ and $d_2(x_e) = d_2(y_e) = 0$. This is well defined since $d_2(I) \subseteq I$. The model $(E_2(A_{\mathcal{G}}), d_2)$ coincides with the second page of the Leray spectral sequence and the cohomology of the second page (i.e. the third one) is the cohomology ring of $\mathcal{M}(A_{\mathcal{G}}; E)$ with rational coefficients. The bi-gradation of the third page corresponds to the bi-gradation given by the mixed Hodge structure (and the total degree). Let $e(a, b)$ be the dimension of the homogeneous subspace of bi-degree (a, b) of the third page. The number $e(a, b)$ coincides with the dimension of the subspace of $H^{a+b}(M(A_{\mathcal{G}}; E))$ of weight $a+2b$ (see [4, 4 pg 81]).

Since the elliptic arrangement $A_{\mathcal{G}}$ is *unimodular*, i.e. every subset of divisors has connected intersection, the G -Tutte polynomial $T_{A_{\mathcal{G}}}^E$ coincides with the classical Tutte polynomial $T_{\mathcal{G}}$ associated with the graph \mathcal{G} . In particular the dimension of $E_2^{a,b}(A_{\mathcal{G}})$ can be easily calculated from

$$\sum_{a,b} \dim E_2^{a,b}(A_{\mathcal{G}}) t^a s^b = T_{\mathcal{G}} \left(1 + \frac{(1+t)^2}{s}, 0 \right) s^k.$$

Thus, the Hodge polynomial evaluated in $(-1, u)$ is

$$\sum_n \left(\sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m e(n-2m, m) \right) u^n = T_{\mathcal{G}} \left(1 - \frac{(1+u)^2}{u^2}, 0 \right) (-u^2)^k,$$

and the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{M}(A_{\mathcal{G}}; E)$ is $(-1)^k T_{\mathcal{G}}(1, 0)$, as shown in [1].

3. The example

Consider the two graphs \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 in fig. 1 and the corresponding graphic elliptic arrangements A_1 and A_2 . These graphs appeared for the first time




Figure 1: The graph \mathcal{G}_1 on the left and \mathcal{G}_2 on the right

in [10]. They share the same Tutte polynomial, which is the following

$$T(x, y) = x^7 + 4x^6 + x^5y + 9x^5 + 6x^4y + 3x^3y^2 + x^2y^3 + 13x^4 + 13x^3y + 7x^2y^2 + 3xy^3 + y^4 + 12x^3 + 15x^2y + 9xy^2 + 3y^3 + 7x^2 + 9xy + 4y^2 + 2x + 2y.$$

Using SAGE [11], we have computed the mixed Hodge numbers of $\mathcal{M}(A_1)$ and of $\mathcal{M}(A_2)$ and reported them in Tables 1 and 2. For this computation we have used the code available here [9]; the calculation of the Hodge number $e(4, 2)$ has taken more than 2 days with a CPU of 2.2GHz and about 32 GB of RAM. Some Hodge numbers have being calculated using the following formula

$$\sum_n \left(\sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m e(n - 2m, m) \right) u^n = 1 + 14u + 80u^2 + 232u^3 + 329u^4 + 122u^5 - 165u^6 - 24u^7 + 164u^8 - 56u^9 - 71u^{10} + 68u^{11} - 26u^{12} + 4u^{13}.$$

The Poincaré polynomials of $\mathcal{M}(A_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(A_2)$ are different:

$$P_{\mathcal{M}(A_1; E)}(t) = 1 + 14t + 82t^2 + 269t^3 + 570t^4 + 820t^5 + 765t^6 + 363t^7,$$

$$P_{\mathcal{M}(A_2; E)}(t) = 1 + 14t + 82t^2 + 270t^3 + 578t^4 + 844t^5 + 785t^6 + 366t^7.$$

The Euler characteristic of $M(A_1; E)$ and of $M(A_2; E)$ are both equal to -48 .

Acknowledgements

The server, used for computations, has been acquired thanks to the support of the University of Pisa, within the call “Bando per il cofinanziamento dell’acquisto di medio/grandi attrezzature scientifiche 2016”.

References

- [1] Christin Bibby. Cohomology of abelian arrangements. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 144(7):3093–3104, 2016.

Table 1: The Hodge numbers of $\mathcal{M}(A_1)$

0	4						
0	6	26					
0	4	45	74				
0	8	54	154	116			
0	6	60	200	259	94		
0	2	29	144	302	224	41	
1	14	80	234	358	260	77	8

In position (i, j) there is the dimension of the subgroup of weight $i + 2j$ in $H^{i+j}(\mathcal{M}(A_1; E))$.

Table 2: The Hodge numbers of $\mathcal{M}(A_2)$

0	4						
0	6	26					
0	6	45	74				
0	10	69	162	116			
0	6	59	202	271	100		
0	2	30	150	301	224	38	
1	14	80	234	359	266	77	8

In position (i, j) there is the dimension of the subgroup of weight $i + 2j$ in $H^{i+j}(\mathcal{M}(A_2; E))$.

- [2] Petter Brändén and Luca Moci. The multivariate arithmetic Tutte polynomial. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(10):5523–5540, 2014.
- [3] Michele D’Adderio and Luca Moci. Arithmetic matroids, the Tutte polynomial and toric arrangements. *Adv. Math.*, 232:335–367, 2013.
- [4] Pierre Deligne. Poids dans la cohomologie des variétés algébriques. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vancouver, B. C., 1974)*, Vol. 1, pages 79–85, 1975.
- [5] Clément Dupont. The Orlik-Solomon model for hypersurface arrangements. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 65(6):2507–2545, 2015.
- [6] Ye Liu, Tan Nhat Tran, and Masahiko Yoshinaga. G -Tutte polynomials and abelian Lie group arrangements. *ArXiv e-prints*, page 32, July 2017.
- [7] Luca Moci. A Tutte polynomial for toric arrangements. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(2):1067–1088, 2012.
- [8] Roberto Pagaria. Configuration spaces of points in an elliptic curve. *ArXiv e-prints*, page 35, May 2018.
- [9] Roberto Pagaria. Graphic elliptic arrangements. http://poisson.phc.dm.unipi.it/~pagaria/Graphic_Elliptic_Arr.txt, 2018.

- [10] Werner Schwärzler. Being Hamiltonian is not a Tutte invariant. *Discrete Math.*, 91(1):87–89, 1991.
- [11] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.2)*, 2018. <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [12] Tan Nhat Tran and Masahiko Yoshinaga. Combinatorics of certain abelian Lie group arrangements and chromatic quasi-polynomials. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 165:258–272, 2019.