

# ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Metal recovery from incineration bottom ash: State-of-the-art and recent developments

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Šyc, M., Simon, F.G., Hykš, J., Braga, R., Biganzoli, L., Costa, G., et al. (2020). Metal recovery from incineration bottom ash: State-of-the-art and recent developments. JOURNAL OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, 393, 122433-122433 [10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122433].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/762085 since: 2024-05-15

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122433

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

*Šyc, Michal; Simon, Franz Georg; Hykš, Jiri; Braga, Roberto; Biganzoli, Laura; Costa, Giulia; Funari, Valerio; Grosso, Mario: Metal recovery from incineration bottom ash: State-of-the-art and recent developments* 

JOURNAL OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS VOL. 393 ISSN 0304-3894 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122433

The final published version is available online at:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122433

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	Metal recovery from incineration bottom ash: state-of-the-art and recent			
2	developments			
3	Authors: Michal Šyc <sup>1</sup> , Franz Georg Simon <sup>2</sup> , Jiri Hyks <sup>3</sup> , Roberto Braga <sup>4</sup> , Laura Biganzoli <sup>5</sup> , Giulia Costa <sup>6</sup> ,			
4	Valerio Funari <sup>4, 7</sup> , Mario Grosso <sup>5</sup>			
5				
6	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals of the CAS, Rozvojová 135, Prague 6, Czech Republic			
7	<sup>2</sup> BAM Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und -prüfung, Unter den Eichen 87, 1205 Berlin,			
8	Germany			
9	<sup>3</sup> Danish Waste Solutions ApS, Agern Allé 3, 2970 Hørsholm, Denmark			
10	<sup>4</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Biologiche Geologiche e Ambientali (BiGeA), Università di Bologna, Piazza di			
11	Porta San Donato 1 – 40126, Bologna, Italy			
12	<sup>5</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering (DICA), Politecnico di Milano, piazza L. da Vinci			
13	32, 20133 Milano, Italy			
14	<sup>6</sup> Laboratory of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering and Computer Science			
15	Engineering (DICII), University of Rome Tor Vergata, via del Politecnico 1, 00133 Rome, Italy			
16	<sup>7</sup> Dipartimento di Biotecnologie, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn (SZN), Villa Comunale – 80121,			
17	Naples, Italy			
18				
19	Corresponding author: Michal Šyc, 00420 220 390 261, syc@icpf.cas.cz			
20				
21	Abstract			
22	Municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) is one of the leading technologies for municipal solid			
23	waste (MSW) treatment in Europe. Incineration bottom ash (IBA) is the main solid residue from			

MSWI, and its annual European production is about 20 million tons. The composition of IBA depends on the composition of the incinerated waste; therefore, it may contain significant amounts of ferrous and non-ferrous (NFe) metals as well as glass that can be recovered. Technologies for NFe metals recovery have emerged in IBA treatment since the 1990s and became common practice in many developed countries. Although the principles and used apparatus are nearly the same in all treatment trains, the differences in technological approaches to recovery of valuable components from IBA – with a special focus on NFe metals recovery – are summarized in this paper.

31

32 Keyword: bottom ash, metal recovery, waste-to-energy, non-ferrous metals, iron scrap

33

# 34 1. Introduction

35 Municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) is one of the leading technologies for municipal solid 36 waste (MSW) treatment in Europe. According to Eurostat data, in 2015, 27% of MSW, i.e., more than 37 80 million tons per year, was treated in MSWI plants. Therefore, Europe's annual production of 38 incineration bottom ash (IBA) is about 20 million tons, as it makes up about 25% of the weight of input MSW (Lamers, 2015). In the EU List of Waste (LoW), IBA is listed as a "mirror entry"; i.e. a 39 40 waste from the same source that might under the LoW be allocated to a hazardous entry (19 01 12) 41 or to a non-hazardous entry (19 01 11) depending on the specific case and on the composition of the 42 waste.

Beside the utilization of waste's energy content, MSWI allows the recovery of various valuable components; hence, MSWI is an integral part of the circular economy concept (Van Caneghem et al., 2019, Brunner and Rechberger, 2015). IBA is a secondary source particularly of ferrous (Fe) and non-ferrous (NFe) metals and glass. Moreover, the residual mineral fraction left after separation of the above-mentioned materials can be used for various applications in the construction industry, e.g., as an aggregate substitute for bound or unbound applications, in cement 49 manufacturing, or, as indicated by recent research, in more sophisticated applications such as 50 manufacturing of ceramics. The legal requirements for the utilization of the mineral part of IBA vary 51 from country to country. This and current practice of waste incineration bottom ash utilisation in 52 Europe was recently reviewed (Blasenbauer et al., 2020). The technologies for metals recovery from 53 IBA have developed significantly during the last decade and have become an important integration to 54 MSWI facilities all over Europe. Glass recovery is also gaining momentum, with some applications 55 starting to appear on the market.

56 The mineral fraction left after the recovery of metals can be utilized in a number of ways 57 which are in different stages of maturity and acceptance by authorities/public and have different 58 potentials for the management of the bulk mass of IBA, different costs and different environmental 59 impacts. A detailed discussion of this topic is outside of the scope of this review, but for the sake of 60 clarity it could be mentioned that, historically, utilization as a landfill cover or material for the 61 construction of roads on the landfill site was the main management option. Over the last 20 years, an 62 increasingly larger portion of the mineral fraction was being utilized in many European countries as 63 unbound construction aggregates; typically as a subbase layer in road constructions thereby 64 replacing natural materials (Blasenbauer et al., 2020). At a somewhat smaller scale, utilization of the mineral fraction as a replacement for natural materials (sand, gravel, cement) in construction 65 materials like mortar, different types of concrete, premanufactured construction products (e.g. 66 67 building blocks), light-weight aggregates, and asphalt was reported elsewhere (Lynn et al., 2018). In 68 some countries, the mineral fraction - or part of it - may be used as a replacement for raw material in cement production or as feedstock for glass, glass-ceramics, and ceramic production. Overall, the 69 70 management options for the mineral fraction originating from metal-separated IBA are affected by 71 applied pre-treatment (e.g. washing, crushing) which is affected by the intended application. In some 72 countries, the fine fraction of IBA may need to be removed, because the presence of this fraction 73 (often enriched with trace elements) may hamper the utilization of the mineral fraction in the 74 construction sector. Naturally, by removing the fine fraction a new waste stream (contaminated with

e.g. trace metals) is generated and needs to be managed properly. On the other hand, in other countries, where IBA is utilized as unbound aggregate in e.g. road constructions, removing the fine fraction may not be necessary and may even be undesirable, since this may negatively affect the particle size distribution of the IBA-gravel, limit its suitability for construction applications and ultimately lead to landfilling of large bulks of IBA (Hyks and Hjelmar, 2018).

- The aim of this paper is to present a comprehensive review of various technological approaches to recovery valuable components from IBA, with a particular interest in NFe metals recovery.
- 83
- 84 2. IBA properties and chemical composition
- 85
- 86 2.1. Physical properties and elemental composition
- 87

95	Table 1.	. IBA elementa	l composition	(Astrup et	al., 2016)
----	----------	----------------	---------------	------------	------------

	Ash-forming elements (mg/kg)	Mino	r and trace elements (mg/kg)
Al	14,000-79,000	As	0.12-190

IBA is gray or dark gray-colored heterogeneous material with the elemental composition given by the composition of the incinerated waste. The main constituents of IBA are typical ash-forming elements (e.g. Si, Al, Ca, and Fe) and a variety of other elements, some of them of environmental concern (see Table 1) that is mostly related to their leaching. As there are many studies on the leaching of elements of potential environmental concern from the mineral fraction of IBA (see e.g. Silva et al., 2019 and references therein), this matter will not be discussed further in this paper.

Са	8,600-170,000	Ва	69-5,700
Fe	3,100-150,000	Cd	0.3-70
К	660-16,000	Cu	190-25,000
Mg	240-26,000	Cr	20-3,400
Mn	7.7-3,200	Мо	2.5-280
Na	2,200-42,000	Ni	7.0-4,300
Ρ	440-10,500	Pb	74-14,000
Si	4,300-308,000	Se	0.05-10
		Sn	2.0-470
		TI	0.008-0.23
		V	16-120
		Zn	10-20,000

96

97 The bulk density of uncompacted IBA is typically 1,200-1,800 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The pH value of fresh IBA is 10.5-98 12.0. The moisture content is related to the type of discharge: wet or dry. Wet discharged IBA has a 99 moisture content of about 18-25%, with the majority of the moisture content allocated to the fine 100 fraction; dry discharged IBA has a moisture content below 1%. The loss of ignition of IBA is usually 1-101 3% (Lynn et al., 2017). All values refer to weight-% unless specified otherwise.

102 IBA contains particles from a few micrometers up to several centimeters in size. Usually, 30103 40% of its particles are smaller than 2 mm and about 20% are larger than 2 cm (Šyc et al., 2018a,
104 Huber et al., 2020). A typical range of particle size distribution is shown in Figure 1.



#### 105

106 Figure 1. Typical particle size distribution of IBA (Šyc et al., 2018a)

107

## 108 2.2. Mineralogy

109 The mineralogical composition of IBA has been extensively investigated over the years, both from a 110 qualitative and, more recently, a quantitative point of view. Mineralogical analyses aim to identify: (i) 111 the main crystalline phases occurring in the bulk material or in specific particle size and/or material 112 fractions (e.g. Fe fraction, glass fraction, etc.) (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010; Chimenos et al., 2003; 113 Eusden et al., 1999); (ii) phases bearing heavy metals (Wei et al., 2011), and (iii) the effects of natural 114 weathering or other treatments on IBA mineralogy (Chimenos et al., 2003; Piantone et al., 2004). Most studies define IBA mineralogy as complex, due to its multi-component, partially 115 amorphous characteristics (Wei et al., 2011). IBA contains solid phases with high melting points that 116 117 were already present in the original waste. These so-called refractories include metals, ceramics, glass fragments, unburned materials, and minerals such as quartz, K-feldspar, plagioclase and biotite.
In addition, IBA contains melt products (glass and mineral phases) formed due to high-temperature
combustion (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010; Eusden et al., 1999; Inkaew et al., 2016). These latter
products, which are considered the main phases that bind heavy metals, include crystallization or
decomposition products such as melilite group minerals (gehlenite and akermanite, in particular),
spinels (such as magnetite and other spinels from the aluminum spinel subgroup), plagioclase
feldspar, (pseudo)wollastonite, metal inclusions, and lime (Eusden et al., 1999; Wei et al., 2011).

As for the mineralogy of dry discharged IBA, Bourtsalas (2015) analyzed the as-received IBA fine fraction (d<1mm) produced by the Swiss MSWI plant in Monthey. The major crystalline phases reported were quartz, calcite, gehlenite, and hematite. These results are in good agreement with the findings of Inkaew et al. (2016) and Yang et al. (2016), who reported quartz, gehlenite, calcite, and lime as the main phases of unquenched IBA sampled from Japanese MSWI plants. Chlorides were reported to be bound mostly to the amorphous glassy fraction of dry-extracted IBA (Yang et al., 2016).

In summary, although waste composition and thermal treatment conditions affect IBA mineralogy, the cooling (or discharge) methods applied (Yang et al., 2016) and weathering reactions (discussed later) also exert a key role in terms of IBA mineralogy and environmental properties. Table 2 reports the main crystalline phases identified in studies carried out on unquenched, freshly quenched, and weathered IBA samples, in which the content of the amorphous glassy fraction ranges from 40 to around 70%.

Water cooling (quenching) leads to several reactions that modify the chemical, physical, and mineralogical properties of IBA. As investigated in depth by Inkaew et al. (2016), quenching causes the dissolution of soluble salts, the oxidation of Fe and NFe metals, and hydration reactions that lead to the precipitation of new phases (i.e., portlandite, ettringite, gypsum, and Cl-containing crystalline phases such as hydrocalumite and/or Friedel's salt). Quench products and melt particles tend to

agglomerate, causing an increase in IBA particle size due to water-bridging, carbonation reactions
occurring in the pore water, and hardening of newly formed C-S-H phases.

145 Furthermore, the phase assemblage that is present after rapid combustion, cooling, and 146 quenching is metastable (far from equilibrium conditions) and therefore highly susceptible to 147 chemical and mineralogical transformations (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010). This process, generally 148 termed weathering, involves a complex series of reactions including hydrolysis/hydration, 149 dissociation/precipitation of salts and hydroxides, carbonation (in which lime or portlandite reacts 150 with gaseous  $CO_2$  via a liquid phase, yielding calcite), the formation of clay-like minerals from the 151 glassy phase such as illite or muscovite, oxidation/reduction, and the sorption and formation of solid 152 solutions (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010; Costa et al., 2007; Eusden et al., 1999; Kirby and Rimstidt, 153 1993; Meima and Comans, 1997; Zevenbergen et al., 1998). In particular, phases such as gypsum, 154 ettringite, calcite, and hydroxides such as ferrihydrite and gibbsite have been indicated as the main 155 weathering products (Chimenos et al., 2003; Meima and Comans, 1997; Piantone et al., 2004; 156 Zevenbergen et al., 1998), as has hydrocalumite (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010). These phases play a 157 key role in the environmental behavior of IBA, since they have been identified as the ones controlling 158 solubility for the release of metals and metalloids (Meima and Comans, 1997). It should be noted 159 that in samples subject to prolonged weathering, some of these phases such as ettringite, 160 hydrocalumite and Friedel's salt may undergo carbonation reactions (Baciocchi et al., 2010; Inkaew 161 et al., 2016), leading to further changes in pH and the leaching of elements of potential 162 environmental concern, including sulfates.

Metals and metalloids of potential concern, such as Pb, Zn, Cu, Mn, and Cr, were detected mainly in non-silicate minerals (Wei et al., 2011); specifically, Cr, Zn, and Mn were found in spinels, but Cu and Pb were reported to be associated with Fe, Sn, and Zn, present as metallic inclusions bound in the silicate glass matrix (Wei et al., 2011). Cu sulfates and Ba sulfates were also detected in fresh and weathered IBA (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010).

The magnetic fraction of IBA was found to contain magnetite, wüstite, and hematite (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010; Kirby and Rimstidt, 1993). The latter study reported specifically that metallic iron was not found. As for the mineralogical composition of specific particle size fractions of IBA, Chimenos et al. (2003) found that in the finest fractions (d<4 mm), gehlenite and albite were the major crystalline phases containing aluminum, while metallic aluminum was the main Al source in the coarser ones.

173

174 Table 2. Main crystalline phases detected in IBA after dry extraction (or before quenching), after

175 quenching, and after weathering

Mineral phase	Chemical formula	Unquenched	Quenched	Weathered
		IBA	IBA	IBA
Quartz	SiO <sub>2</sub>	h, i	b, e ,f, g, i	a, c, d, e, f
Cristobalite	SiO <sub>2</sub>		g	a, g
Gehlenite	$Ca_2Al_2SiO_7$	h, i	b, e, f, g, i	a, e, f, g
Akermanite	$Ca_2MgSi_2O_7$		b, e	a, e
Alkali Feldspars	(K,Na)(Al,Si)3O <sub>8</sub>		b, c (albite),g	g
Plagioclase feldspars	$NaAlSi_3O_8$ -Ca $Al_2Si_2O_8$	e, i	В ,е, і	e
Calcium Pyroxene	Ca(Mg,Fe)Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>		b, g	g
Wollastonite	CaSiO <sub>3</sub>		b, f, g	f, g
Lime	CaO	e, i	b	
Portlandite	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>		c, g	
Magnetite	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	е	e, f, g	a, e, f, g
Hematite	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	h, i	i, f, g	d, f, g
Wüstite	FeO		f, g	f, g

Calcite	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	h, i	e, i, f, g	a, c, d, e, f, g
Goethite	FeO(OH)			d
Corundum	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		е	е
Gibbsite	AI(OH) <sub>3</sub>			d
Anhydrite	CaSO <sub>4</sub>		c, e, g	d, g
Gypsum	CaSO <sub>4</sub> 2H <sub>2</sub> O			a, g
Hydrocalumite	$Ca_2AI(OH)_6CI_{1-x}(OH)_x 3H_2O$		f, i	е
Friedel's salt	$Ca_2AI(OH)_6CI 2H_2O$		i	
Ettringite	Ca6Al <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (OH) <sub>12</sub> 26H <sub>2</sub> O			a, c, d, e

176 References: a) (Zevenbergen et al., 1998); b) (Eusden et al., 1999); c) (Chimenos et al., 2003); d)
177 (Piantone et al., 2004); e) (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010); f) (Wei et al., 2011); g) (Santos et al., 2013);
178 h) (Bourtsalas, 2015); i) (Inkaew et al., 2016)

179

## 180 2.3. Material composition

181 IBA material composition is usually within the following ranges: 5–15% Fe metals, 1–5% NFe metals, 10–30% glass and ceramics, 1–5% unburned organics, and 50–70% minerals. However, IBA is a very 183 heterogeneous material and its composition is determined by the composition of the incinerated 184 waste and the operating conditions (Hyks and Astrup, 2019). The efficiency of various recovery 185 techniques is affected mostly by the particle size of the recoverable material. Therefore, the overall 186 content, the particle size distribution, and the liberation of the recoverable materials are key factors 187 for determining the potential of recovery of valuable materials from IBA.

Fe metals content is usually 5-15%. Muchová (2010) reported that Fe scrap content varied from 8 to 13% of the IBA from the Amsterdam MSWI plant. Wieduwilt et al. (2015) found the average Fe scrap content in IBA from Switzerland to be 9%. Šyc et al. (2018a) reported 6-11% in the IBA from a Czech MSWI plant, whereas in IBA from plants that incinerated mostly MSW, the Fe scrap content was 9-192 11%. Fe scrap generally presents a coarse particle size; more than 80% of the Fe scrap total content is 193 in particles larger than 1 cm.

194 The NFe metals content is usually reported to be 1.0-5.0%. As the most abundant of NFe metals, Al 195 was found to amount to 1–2% of IBA, according to Allegrini et al. (2014), Berkhout et al. (2011) and 196 Biganzoli and Grosso (2013). Allegrini et al. (2014) analyzed material flows in a BA treatment plant 197 and determined the average content of NFe metals in Danish wet discharged IBA to be 2.2%. NFe 198 metal content in 2–8, 8–16, and 16–50 mm BA fractions was nearly the same, i.e., approximately 199 3.1–3.5%. They also reported that approximately 70% of the NFe metals was aluminum. IBA from 200 two Spanish MSWI plants analyzed by Chimenos et al. (1999) contained 2–4% NFe metals, of which 201 90% was aluminum. Muchová (2010) found the average content of NFe metals in IBA from an 202 Amsterdam MSWI plant to be 2.3%; more than 80% of the metals were in free form, i.e., recoverable 203 without IBA crushing. About one-half of the NFe metals were in particles greater than 20 mm. 204 Aluminum was dominant in particles from 6–20 mm (60%); in particles smaller than 2 mm, Cu was 205 the most prevalent (90%). Syc et al. (2018a) found the average NFe metals content in IBA from three 206 Czech MSWI plants to be 1.3-2.8%, about 4/5 of which made up by aluminum and the rest by heavy 207 non-ferrous (HNFe) metals like Cu, brass, etc.. NFe metals were spread equally among all size 208 fractions. (Syc et al., 2018a) The section above confirms the large variability of NFe metal total 209 content in IBA.

Glass recovery has not been widely applied so far to IBA, so very few data about glass content can be found (see Chapter 5). Generally, it can be claimed that the total glass content in IBA varies from 10 to 30%, depending mainly on the effectiveness and intensity of the separate collection system and on local consumer habits. Chimenos et al. (1999) studied IBA material composition from 2 MSWI plants and found glass as the main component of weathered IBA in particles larger than 4 mm, with the glass content greater than 50% in particles larger than 1 mm. Glass content decreased in time with an increase of the effectiveness of the separate collection system. Therefore, 15 years later, del

217 Valle-Zermeño et al. (2017) found lower glass content and claimed the total content of glass in IBA to 218 be ca. 26%. Makari (2014) found an average total glass content in IBA of approximately 20% at the 219 MSWI plant in Bratislava. Šyc et al. (2018a) found total glass content in IBA of 9-23%, and claimed 220 that with increased co-incineration of commercial waste the content of glass decreased as well. Glass 221 particle size distribution is a crucial factor for its recovery. Glass shards were a main component of 222 particles 4-8 mm with a share of over 50% in this fraction; in the fraction 8-16 mm the share of glass 223 was nearly 40% (del Valle-Zermeño et al., 2017). In particles larger than 16 mm, they reported the 224 share of glass of ca. 10%, and in particles 2-4 mm nearly 30%. A slightly different distribution was 225 reported by Syc et al., (2018a), who found the maximum glass content in the 8-15 mm fraction. This 226 result implies a shift of glass particles distribution toward larger particles with maximum contents in 227 the 8-15 mm fraction, in contrast to the findings of del Valle-Zermeño et al. (2017), who reported the 228 maximum amount in 4-8 mm particles.

# 229 3. Metal recovery

#### 230 3.1. Basics of metal recovery

231 Since the 1990s, technologies for NFe metals recovery have emerged in IBA treatment. They are 232 currently common practice in many developed countries. Generally, there are three types of 233 treatment trains:

• dry processing of wet bottom ash,

- wet processing of wet bottom ash,
- dry processing of dry bottom ash.

237

The choice between dry or wet IBA treatment depends first of all on the IBA discharge system. Two different types of discharge systems exist: wet-based and dry-based. A wet extraction system allows the quenching of the hot IBA by contact with water, and the IBA is subsequently transported with a ram discharger or a chain transport system to a bunker (Lamers, 2015). Dry discharge systems are relatively rare in up-to-date MSWI plants; they have several advantages with respect to metal recovery efficiency, but they are technically more complicated than wet extraction systems (Kahle et al., 2015).

245 The majority of metal recovery treatment technologies are dry treatment for wet IBA. Wet treatment 246 for wet IBA has emerged mainly in the Netherlands in the last few years as a result of an initiative 247 focused on achieving the same environmental quality of treated IBA and other construction materials 248 including primary materials (AEB, 2015). Dry methods for dry IBA have been particularly proposed in 249 Switzerland; they can lead to increased metal recovery, but the residual IBA cannot be used without 250 further treatment (i.e., wetting and subsequent ageing) and must be landfilled. Up to now, only one 251 plant based on dry treatment of dry IBA has been built in Switzerland, due to the absence of dry IBA 252 discharge from MSWI plants. NFe metals recovery is usually achieved by using eddy current 253 separators (ECS) with several other apparatus and pretreatment steps; IBA treatment trains can 254 contain sieving, magnetic separation, eddy current separation, crushing, wind sifters, a sensor-based 255 sorting system, hand-picking, etc. Density separation could be part of a treatment plant as well, 256 particularly for recovering fine heavy non-ferrous metals (Syc et al., 2018b; Bunge, 2018).

257 Metal recovery can take place at the site of the MSWI plant. A simple conventional method is usually 258 employed on-site, except at large centralized MSWI plants with a capacity of at least 400 kt of waste 259 per year; for the latter, it can be economically feasible to build a more advanced plant with greater 260 efficiency. From an economic point of view, the greater investment required for advanced IBA 261 treatment plants – primarily due to the introduction of a grinding stage, the presence of multiplied 262 ECS for each size stream and sensor-based sorting systems for stainless steel - is justified by the 263 increase in NFe metals recovery (Kohaupt, 2011). For small incineration plants that cannot afford 264 such an investment, a good option might be to establish a centralized IBA treatment plant serving 265 several incineration plants or to use mobile treatment plants that can be moved around in 266 accordance with a certain schedule. However, such a mobile plant cannot achieve the same 267 efficiency of fitted and optimized on-site plants (Kallesøe, 2017).

## **268** 3.2. Bottom ash treatment approaches

- 269 There are two main approaches to metal recovery treatment trains (Table 3) (Šyc et al., 2018b)
- maximizing the efficiency of metal recovery with no intention to use the mineral fraction in

271 the construction industry, or

• metal recovery with the use of the mineral fraction in the construction industry.

The entire treatment of the IBA is determined by these aims, starting with the discharge system and ending with, e.g., IBA crushing or ageing. For example, for using IBA as a subbase layer for road construction, wet discharge with subsequent ageing for IBA stabilization is necessary and crushing is limited to large oversized particles over 40 mm. On the contrary, if there is no intention to use the mineral fraction of IBA dry discharge has several advantages and increase metal recovery from fine particels. Also crushing of the complete IBA liberates metals agglomerated with minerals.

- 279
- 280 Table 3. IBA treatment train principles

Parameter	IBA mineral fraction to be used as	No intention to use IBA mineral
	subbase layer for construction industry	fraction
Dry discharge	Not applicable, as there is no preceding	Optional, as no sticky fine fraction is
	ageing process	formed
Wet discharge	Necessary to initiate the ageing	Possible, but causes several problems
	reactions	in metal recovery
Ageing	Necessary for IBA stabilization	Optional for decreasing IBA humidity
		in case of wet discharge
Crushing	Optional for large IBA fractions with	Optional for all size fractions for
	particles larger than 40 mm	liberating agglomerated metals and
		increasing recovery efficiency

282 Many bottom ash treatment plants have been built across Europe in recent years. Each plant is 283 nearly unique; however, the principles of pretreatment and separation methods are similar. Recent 284 data show that an average of 63 kg of iron scrap and 17 kg of NFe metals are recovered per ton of 285 raw IBA. A further increase in recovery efficiency can be achieved, e.g., by pre-drying IBA, splitting it 286 into several size fractions, or crushing the coarse fraction to release metals contained in ash 287 agglomerates (Walker, 2010). A correctly designed treatment plant should, in fact, include grinding, 288 sieving, Fe and NFe separators, and possibly other technologies for the recovery of the stainless steel 289 and for the separation of the finest and stickiest particles (by drying or with technologies such as the 290 ADR described in Chapter 3.3.4). Sieving is necessary to obtain different material flows of a selected 291 size, with a magnetic separator and an eddy current separator sequentially located on each stream.

Overall, the recovery rate of NFe metals is essentially determined by the treatment train setup; advanced ones can produce up to 30 kg per ton of raw IBA. The recovery rate increases with the number of apparatus in the treatment train, e.g. 12 ECSs are employed in a treatment train that can recover more than 20 kg of NFe metals per ton of IBA. On the other hand, the increased recovery rate may result in increased energy consumption. The average electricity consumption is 3 kWh per ton of treated IBA, but for some plants up to 15 kWh were reported (European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Bureau (EIPPCB), 2018).

299

#### **300** 3.3. Pretreatment operations

#### **301** *3.3.1.Ageing*

Ageing (or weathering) is a technique used mostly to treat the bulk of IBA before the metal recovery process or the residual mineral fractions before they are disposed of in a landfill or processed for use as a construction material. Ageing improves IBA leaching properties, decreases its water content, and stabilizes the meta-reactive IBA matrix. Ageing occurs naturally during storage before further treatment. The storage usually lasts for 4-12 weeks and is occasionally prolonged up to one year. A 307 substantial decrease in water content takes place during storage of the IBA under atmospheric 308 conditions. For example, a decrease from over 20% by weight to below 5% by weight in 6 weeks 309 during the summer and in 3 months or less during the winter has been reported (Walker, 2010). A 310 low moisture content results in higher metal recovery rates, but can cause problems with dust 311 emissions during treatment. The optimal IBA humidity for metal recovery is 10-15%. On the other 312 hand, ageing affects the metals speciation and leachability in IBA. Numerous studies have assessed 313 the alteration processes occurring during IBA ageing (Meima and Comans, 1997; Polettini and Pomi, 314 2004; Speiser et al., 2000). It is assumed that the most important exothermal reactions causing the 315 temperature increase are the hydration of alkali and alkaline earth oxides, the corrosion of metals, 316 and the carbonation of portlandite  $(Ca(OH)_2)$  to calcite  $(CaCO_3)$  (Sabbas et al., 2003). A detailed 317 description of the reactions proceeding during the ageing process is published elsewhere (Nørgaard 318 et al., 2019).

319 On the one hand, some researchers have shown that a significant portion of aluminum will undergo 320 oxidation reactions with an up to 30% loss of metallic aluminum after ten weeks of storage, and with 321 a release of hydrogen gas (Rem et al., 2004). Aluminum oxidation was reported to take for ca. 3 322 months, presumably because after this period the surface of all Al particles is covered by  $Al_2O_3$ . On 323 the other hand, a recent Swedish study investigated real-time corrosion rates of aluminum 324 electrodes placed in a number of piles of IBA during outdoor ageing and found very low initial 325 corrosion rates (< 0.1 mm/yr) and negligible corrosion rates (< 0.001 mm/yr) even after 3 months of 326 outdoor ageing (Hedenstedt et al., 2016). Iron corrosion by chloride and sulfate ions and 327 transformation to iron hydroxide will take place under a strongly alkaline environment such as that 328 of IBA, as well. Cu and brass particles will not undergo corrosion; hence, their content does not 329 decrease while IBA weathers.

#### **331** *3.3.2.Sieving*

Accurate fractioning of IBA is a crucial step for improving the recovery of metals. Sieving makes it possible to obtain narrow-fractioned material flows that are homogeneous in terms of particle size, thereby optimizing the efficiency of the downstream magnetic separation system, ECS, or other apparatus. The distribution of the elements into different grain size fractions varies, but is not so diverse that certain elements could be recovered or significantly concentrated simply by sieving (Yao et al., 2013, Huber, 2019).

In conventional plants, the IBA is usually sieved in two or three streams, but the number of fractions
can increase in advanced plants and can reach even 6-9 fractions, in order to optimize metal recovery
by using ECS calibrated to the specific material sizes.

341 The type of sieve to be selected in the sorting system depends on the sizing (Kahle et al., 2015):

342

• for oversized items, it is common to install a simple finger sieve or bar sizer;

• drum (trommel) sieves are often used for intermediate size fractioning;

flip flow screens are commonly used for the fine fractioning of wet IBA. A flexible,
 perforated rubber screen oscillates, while the material travels across the screen. The
 shaking ensures that the material is mixed and allows the fine fraction to pass
 through the perforations. Like the flip flow screen is the vibrating screen, which
 vibrates instead of oscillating.

Sieving and transporting wet IBA can generally be performed in open systems, although this is not a completely dust-free operation. Dry sorting systems aim to ensure a water content of 10-12% by weight. In the case of higher water content, difficulties arise when sieving because the IBA can clump. If the water content is lower than 10%, the working environment will become very dusty, requiring fully enclosed operations during the handling of the material (Kahle et al., 2015).

354 Sieving is usually performed on vibrating screens without the addition of water (Bunge, 2018). For 355 wet processing, wet sieving (e.g. wet drums) can also be used, particularly for particles below 4 mm,

as this allows to recover "rinsed" metal particles and reduces stickiness (see also chapter 3.5.2.2)
(Born, 2018a).

358

#### **359** *3.3.3.Crushing*

Crushing is a fundamental step to improve metal recovery, because it allows the liberation of the metal particles trapped inside the mineral conglomerates. It is often employed for particles larger than 40 mm (Bunge, 2018; van de Wouw et al, 2020).

363 IBA size reduction is currently performed only in large centralized treatment plants to improve the 364 recovery efficiency of NFe metals. In this way, the mineral materials sticking to metal lumps are 365 removed and the large mineral conglomerates are crushed or pulverized. This provides access to the 366 small metal particles usually trapped in the mineral conglomerates, thus increasing the recovery 367 efficiency of ECS. Crushing IBA size fractions greater than 40 mm has no detrimental effect on mineral fraction application in the construction industry and is often employed. Crushing smaller 368 369 particles changes the IBA particle size distribution and thus can preclude residual fraction utilization, 370 particularly as an unbound road subbase layer, where natural IBA granulometry is required. For other applications, e.g. for cement or concrete production as is common in Italy, crushing down to 2-4 mm 371 372 is a required pretreatment step. However, these applications are not common, so after metal 373 recovery, crushed IBA is often landfilled. So the decision whether to include crushing in the 374 treatment train should be made with the IBA's final use in mind.

375

#### **376** *3.3.4. Ballistic separation*

Ballistic separation is one of the unique methods to increase NFe metal recovery. A ballistic separator is used in the process called Advanced Dry Recovery (ADR). This process was developed in a cooperation between Inashco company and TU Delft (Berkhout and Rem, 2010); details are specified in patent WO 2009/123452 A1. According to the patent, the ballistic separator mechanically 381 separates the fine particles smaller than about 2 mm, which are associated with the highest moisture 382 content and cause the material to stick, from the coarse and heavy particles, especially particles 2-15 383 mm in size. IBA processed by ADR can be classified by particle size and is accessible for conventional 384 dry separation processes without previous drying or wetting. The particle size distribution shows that 385 the medium and coarse fractions were efficiently freed of fine particles, which lowered the moisture 386 content in these fractions and led to increased NFe metals recovery (De Vries et al., 2009). In 387 practice, ADR is used mainly for particles smaller than 12 mm, because fine particles stuck to coarse 388 ones worsens recovery efficiency, particularly for this size fraction (Sormunen et al., 2017; Sormunen 389 and Kolisoja, 2017).

The Swiss company DHZ, under the trademark supersort<sup>®</sup>fine pss, uses ballistic separator to treat the IBA's fine fraction (< 5 mm). A ballistic separator can throw fine particles with different sizes and densities to different distances. Coarse and dense particles are separated from fine (< 0.5 mm) and light ones. The heavy fraction is then led to ECS, which achieves greater recovery efficiency (Zust, 2018). No humidity adjustment is needed before processing.

Ballistic separators are not a common part of treatment trains, but they are sometimes used asdescribed above.

397

**398** 3.4. Treatment operations

399

## 400 *3.4.1.Magnetic separation*

The principles and limitations of separation in magnetic filed are described in the literature (Martens and Goldmann, 2016). As a standard practice for recovering Fe scrap, only basic magnetic separation is carried out at the sites of most MSWI plants. In the simplest version of the treatment, this is usually done just after the IBA discharge, by means of an overbelt or drum magnets. This method of separation is used only for large pieces of scrap. Multi-step magnetic separation is usually employed for each stream in an advanced treatment plant. Overbelt magnets are used for iron scrap; in a second stage, drum magnets are often used to remove the magnetic fraction (iron oxides and
agglomerates with their content), because the magnetic fraction lowers NFe separation efficiency on
ECSs. This magnetic fraction is often later returned from the treatment train to the IBA's mineral
residue.

- 411
- 412

## 3.4.2.Eddy current separation

413 The principles and limitations of eddy current separators (ECS) are well described in the literature 414 (Smith et al., 2019). The ECS requires a proper calibration, based on the size of the material to be 415 separated. Furthermore, it is a good practice to adopt a different rotation speed of the rotors when 416 the ECS is used on coarse rather than on fine particles: a rotation of 2,000-3,000 rpm (typical of 417 standard ECS) is appropriate only for particles larger than 5 mm. To achieve high recovery efficiency 418 of the NFe metals in the IBA fine fraction (< 5 mm), an advanced ECS with a rotation speed greater 419 than 4,000 rpm is required and/or the number of poles must be increased. High-frequency ECSs and 420 ECSs with an eccentric rotor specifically designed for fine particles have been marketed in recent 421 years and are currently in use in some full-scale IBA treatment plants.

422 Other technologies have been proposed in the scientific literature, but many of them have never 423 been developed full scale. This is the case with wet eddy current separators (WECSs), Magnus ECSs, 424 and backward operating ECSs (Fraunholcz et al., 2002; Settimo et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 1999). A 425 backward operating ECS is a standard separator whose magnetic drum rotates "backwards". Zhang et 426 al. (1999) showed that, if it is difficult to separate small metal particles from the non-metal stream 427 when the magnetic drum rotates in "forward" mode, the yield improves drastically when it rotates in 428 the opposite direction.

The Magnus ECS is based on the "Magnus effect": a spinning particle moving through a fluid experiences a force perpendicular both to its direction of motion and to its axis of rotation (Fraunholcz et al., 2002). This effect can be used to recover small NFe metal particles from the bulk stream (both wet and dry). The Magnus separation process consists of directing a feed stream past a 433 fast-spinning magnet to selectively rotate the NFe metal particles, deflecting them from the stream 434 by the Magnus effect. Since this force derives from the fluid around the particles, it is not necessary to feed the material in a monolayer (Settimo et al., 2004). In a wet ECS, the water makes it possible 435 436 to glue all the particles to the belt surface. For small particles, this adhesive force has the same order 437 of magnitude as gravity. Without the action of the rotor, therefore, virtually all particles would stick 438 to the belt and end up in the non-metal fraction. However, the rotating magnetic field makes the 439 metal particles (whether Fe or NFe) spin, with the effect that the water bonds between these 440 particles and the belt are broken. If the magnetic attraction on the Fe particles is strong enough, 441 these will remain on the surface of the belt, but the NFe metal particles will be liberated at some 442 point and follow the same path as on a traditional ECS. In contrast, the adhesive force is strong 443 enough to keep most of the non-metal particles glued to the belt surface. Since the force necessary 444 to break the adhesive forces is small, poorly conducting metals and HNFe are also recovered (Settimo 445 et al., 2004).

446

447 3.4.3.Sensor-based sorting

The latest developments in IBA processing include the use of sensors for separating metal and glass particles (Bunge, 2018). The most common is magnetic induction separation by electromagnetic sensors that can identify all kinds of metals, including stainless steel, in particles larger than 4 mm. Both the recovery rate and grade can exceed 90%. The sensor is placed under the conveyor belt, where the transported IBA must be spread out in a thin layer. A computer system evaluates the position of the detected metals and operates a set of compressed air nozzles. The selected piece of metal is then ejected from the stream of falling particles at the end of the conveyor belt.

Other types of sensors can be used as well, e.g. X-ray fluorescence for the detection of different metals, optical sensors for transparent materials, or cameras for distinguishing materials by color or shape. Due to the complexity of these systems, to the high demands on computing power, and to the significant costs for compressed air, sensor-based separation is currently suitable for separating

459 materials with greater economic value, such as electronics waste. Currently, they are not a common 460 part of treatment trains, but they are sometimes used; for example, an induction sorting system (ISS) 461 is in operation at the Afatek IBA sorting plant in Copenhagen, Denmark, where stainless steel 462 particles as small as 8 mm are separated (see Chapter 3.5.1.2).

- 463
- 464

## 3.4.4.Separation by density

465 Density separation is one of the emerging technologies for IBA treatment that focus on the fine 466 fraction in which considerable amounts of elemental metals are present, i.e., between 10-20% in the 467 fraction of particles smaller than 2 mm (Bunge, 2018, 2016). Here classical methods such as eddy 468 current separation can exhibit low recovery yields. Chemically bound metals, such as copper, zinc 469 and lead oxides or carbonates, cannot be separated using these methods at all. Density separation is 470 based on the different density of several valuable metals or alloys, such as copper, gold, brass (density over 4,000 kg m<sup>-3</sup> compared to an IBA matrix density usually below 2,700 kg m<sup>-3</sup>). Aluminum 471 cannot be separated using this method because its density (2,700 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) resembles that of the IBA 472 473 matrix.

Holm et al. (2018) performed density separation using a centrifugal concentrator. They achieved an enrichment factor of up to 10, but the yield of valuables ranged only between 10 and 20%. Flotation and density separation are both technologies with wide applications in the treatment of metal ores. Although the copper concentration in IBA is approximately that of today's exploited ores, it seems that these processes cannot be easily adapted for application in the waste sector. Obviously, the presence of reactive substances such as CaO, metal chlorides, sulfates, and substances enabling hydraulic reactions (see above) are detrimental to the success of separation (Simon and Holm, 2017).

481

482 3.5. Examples of treatment trains

484

## 485 3.5.1.Dry treatment of wet IBA

Efficiently recovering metal from the IBA of a MSWI plant equipped with wet discharge is a challenge because of the IBA's sticky character of its hardening that occurs after some time. The water content of the IBA after quenching is around 18-25%, depending on the discharge system's ability to effectively squeeze out the water. As previously discussed in chapter 2.2, the quench process changes the IBA's mineralogical composition, mainly through the exothermal reaction of CaO with water (Inkaew et al., 2016)

492  $CaO + H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2$ 

and the subsequent hardening of lime via its reaction with atmospheric carbon dioxide (carbonation)
during IBA storage (Hollemann et al., 2007)

495 
$$Ca(OH)_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow CaCO_3 + H_2O.$$

Further hydrated products are Friedel's salt and hydrocalumite. These newly formed phases, found mainly in the IBA fine fraction, lead to hardening and cementation and thus to the formation of mineral incrustation on metal and melt particles (Inkaew et al., 2016). Therefore, the main objective of the dry treatment of wet IBA for the recovery of metals is to minimize the detrimental effects of the formation of quench products. Some innovative methods, like ballistic separators, are mentioned above; similarly, the IBA can be aged to decrease its moisture.

502

503

#### 3.5.1.1. Conventional treatment train

A first pilot installation was reported by Schmelzer (1995). In this process, IBA was pretreated by drying and screening into two fractions: 0-4 mm and 4-45 mm. Each fraction underwent magnetic and eddy current separation to recover Fe and NFe metals. The residue of the larger fraction was crushed and fed back to the process. Figure 2 depicts the scheme of the treatment plants. The average output from magnetic separation was 36% by weight; the iron content in this fraction was 509 only 20-30%, due to agglomeration with mineral material. The fraction separated as NFe metals

510 constituted 1.9% by weight of the total IBA (Schmelzer, 1995).

511



513 Figure 2. Scheme of conventional dry treatment of wet IBA (Schmelzer, 1995)

514

512

The simplest treatment trains that were built in the 2000s include sieving into a maximum of two 515 516 fractions that are then treated separately by magnetic and eddy current separators. For the recovery 517 efficiency of these so-called conventional technologies, values of around 80% for Fe metals and 9-518 48% for NFe metals (86% for the coarse fraction >20 mm), calculated in terms as ratio of recovered 519 metals per metals fed into the furnace, can be found in the literature (Grosso et al., 2011; Raven et 520 al., 2013). A problem with these data is the fact that the exact input of metals to the process of 521 municipal solid waste incineration is not known. Further, the concentrate grade, i.e the mass of 522 metal in the concentrate per mass of concentrate, is below 100%. A detailed discussion on terms 523 related to the recovery efficiency can be found elsewhere (Bunge, 2018). These treatment trains are 524 often employed directly in medium- to low-capacity MSWI plants.

525

#### **527** 3.5.1.2. Advanced treatment trains

528 Many efforts to increase the recovery efficiency of conventional treatment trains for both Fe and NFe 529 metals have been driven by the increasing prices of metals, environmental concerns, and, in recent 530 years, the EU strategy for critical elements and the circular economy. Moreover, the mineral product 531 obtained after an efficient separation of metals can be used as construction material with fewer 532 undesirable consequences, such as the swelling caused by the content of metallic elements. In some 533 countries, these changes are mandatory by legislation. For example, in Switzerland, NFe metals must 534 be recovered with state-of-the-art techniques from the fraction of particles larger than 2 mm, so that 535 the remaining content of NFe metals in the residues destined to landfilling is below 1.5% by weight. 536 The Netherlands' Green Deal recently imposed similar approach. These legal acts and economic 537 incentives led to the development and construction of several advanced treatment trains with a high 538 metals recovery efficiency.

539 The Afatek NFe sorting plant is an example of an advanced treatment plant for processing quenched 540 IBA. This plant was built in the greater Copenhagen area in 2015 and commissioned in 2016, following several years of research and pilot-scale testing (Allegrini et al., 2014). Between 2016 and 541 542 2018, the IBA processing method at this facility was further optimized (Nørgaard et al., 2019); the 543 facility currently processes 200,000–250,000 tons of IBA per year (~40% of Danish IBA production) 544 originating from six nearby MSW incinerators. The initial processing step includes 2-3 months of 545 outdoor ageing in piles, necessary to decrease the IBA's moisture content and to improve the material's leaching behavior (Nørgaard et al., 2019). The ageing is followed by the removal of 546 547 magnetic metals just before the material enters the NFe sorting facility. Here, the incoming bulk of the IBA (0-50 mm) is first screened into seven particle size fractions. Six of those seven particle size 548 549 fractions (0.5-1 mm, 1-2 mm, 2-4 mm, 4-9 mm, 9-18 mm, and 18-50 mm) are then treated in 550 dedicated lines, while the <0.5 mm fraction is currently not treated and is passed to the outgoing 551 material stream. All lines are equipped with eddy current separators to remove AI- and NFe-heavy 552 fraction (a mixture of Cu, brass, zinc, lead, and precious metals) while the 9-18 mm and 18-50 mm

lines are also equipped with inductive sorting systems (ISS) that target stainless steel. The outputs
from the NFe sorting plant include stainless steel (9-50 mm), aluminum (0.5-50 mm), and the NFeheavy fraction (0.5-50 mm).

556 To obtain a high NFe metal recovery rate, the system is operated such that the NFe output streams 557 contain some minerals. The mixture is sent for further upgrading to a specialized external facility. 558 According to previous measurements (Kallesøe and Dyhr-Jensen, 2018), the overall recovery rate of 559 NFe metals for 4-50 mm IBA is close to 90%, and for 1-4 mm IBA is around 60-75%. The recovery rate 560 for 0.5-1 mm particles was not measured. Note that these rates are calculated based on the actual 561 amount of metal/product sold on the metal market, and not on the amount of metal sorted out of 562 the IBA, which contains mineral ballast. Finally, it is important to mention that Afatek does not 563 extensively crush the IBA to liberate metals. Although crushing could result in even better recovery 564 rates in some particle size fractions, it would also result in an unfavorable particle size distribution 565 curve of the bulk IBA after metal recovery. This would have a negative impact on the possibilities to 566 use the remaining IBA. All Danish IBA is now used as secondary construction material in underground 567 applications (e.g., subbase in road construction, filler in embankments, noise reduction barriers, etc.).

568



572 Figure 3. Example of an advanced dry treatment train for wet IBA (Kallesøe and Dyhr-Jensen, 2018)

574 Another interesting plant is the one reported by Holm and Simon (2017). This treatment plant is 575 located in Germany and produced high-quality recovered metals by using a crusher to pretreat of the 576 aged IBA and high-speed impact crushers to separate the mineral fraction from NFe metals. Magnets 577 and eddy current separators are used after sieving IBA into three grain size fractions. Whereas the 578 IBA fraction of particles < 2 mm is often excluded from further treatment, in this plant special focus is 579 placed on the fine fraction. The treatment line was completed in 2015 and includes magnetic 580 separation by means of extra-strong magnets, as well as a drying step before the adapted high-speed 581 rotation accelerator. Subsequently, the material is sieved into three different grain sizes, which are 582 then treated individually with different metal recovery devices (Holm et al., 2016; Holm and Simon, 583 2017).

584

585

#### 3.5.2. Wet treatment of wet IBA

586 An alternative to the previous approaches is the use of wet technologies that involve the extensive 587 use of water during some of the process steps or during the whole IBA treatment. Two main 588 approaches to wet treatment that have synergetic effects can be exploited. The first is to use wet 589 separation techniques mainly for metal recovery. This wet treatment process was first developed in 590 the Amsterdam MSWI plant as a pilot plant installed in 2005. The first idea was to combine metal 591 separation technology with a process originally used for soil washing to remove residual organics and 592 fine particles. The objective was to produce sand and granulate fractions for building materials and at 593 the same time to recover as much metals as possible (Rem et al., 2004). During the development of 594 the plant, several wet treatment technologies were developed and tested, such as the wet gravity 595 separator, the wet eddy current separator, and the wet magnetic separator (Muchová et al., 2009). 596 After the conventional recovery of Fe and NFe metal particles larger than 20 mm, the residue was 597 screened into several size fractions in a water stream. Each fraction was then treated individually. 598 The recovery efficiency was 83% for Fe metals and 73% for NFe metals (Muchová and Rem, 2006). 599 The main disadvantage of this system was its great consumption of water and the consequent costs

for water treatment, which is supposedly one of the reasons the plant in Amsterdam was never developed to full scale, but was replaced by ADR (see chapter 3.5.1.2). However, some examples that use full wet treatment can be found (see chapter 3.5.2.1).

603 The second approach aims mainly to enhance environmentals parameters of IBA fine fractions but it 604 leads also to an increase in the efficiency of the recovery of heavy non-ferrous metals from the IBA 605 fine fraction as wet density separators are used for this fraction. This approach is spreading in the 606 Netherlands, also, except Netherland one plant in Germany and another in Italy are in operation. Almost 60% of the total sulfate can be concentrated in the ultra-fine fraction approx. (< 0.25 mm) 607 608 which is separated as a filter cake (Simon and Holm, 2019). To avoid the formation of mineral 609 coatings on metals, the treatment method does not include ageing. Again, the main drawback is 610 water consumption and all related issues, such as water treatment and cleaning. An example of the 611 wet method for IBA improving is described in chapter 3.5.2.2.

612

#### 613 3.5.2.1 An example of wet separation for metals recovery

614 An example of a wet treatment train mainly for metal recovery is reported by G. Stockinger; the 615 Brantner&Co. plant, located on a landfill, has been in operation since 2013 (Boehnke et al., 2015; 616 Stockinger, 2018). Its capacity is nearly 40,000 tons of IBA a year and it treats fresh IBA from one 617 MSWI plant. The treatment starts with a two-step magnetic separation of iron scrap particles larger 618 than 50 mm from those smaller than 50 mm, using an overbelt magnet (see Figure 4). The core 619 equipment is a wet jig that removes fine particles from larger ones and separates IBA by density. 620 Four output streams, separated by density, come from the wet jig. The floating fraction consists of 621 plastics and other unburned materials. The heavy non-ferrous metals fraction with a density greater than 4,000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> remains on the jig bottom and contains stainless steel, copper, brass, and precious 622 623 metals; as metals are washed, they can be led directly to smelting plant. The light fraction has a 624 medium density and contains a mineral matrix of IBA, including aluminum. Fine particles smaller than 625 2 mm are removed from this fraction in a wet jig; aluminum can then be effectively separated with

626 reasonable efficiency in one step by an eddy current separator after dewatering. Al content in the 627 IBA particles larger than 1 mm after treatment is declared to be 0.1-0.5%. The last output is water 628 containing a fraction of particles smaller than 2 mm that must be further treated; solid particles are 629 removed by hydrocyclone and further processed for metal recovery. All the water is then 630 recirculated in the process. Particles smaller than 2 mm are led to the fine slag treatment plant of the 631 Sepro urban mining company (Boehnke et al., 2015), where metal particles as small as 100 µm can be 632 separated. The treatment train here consists of a low-intensity wet drum magnetic separator and 633 Falcon centrifugal gravity concentrators followed by a wet shaking table for final upgrading; this 634 system is based on density separation and therefore efficient for heavy non-ferrous metals, including 635 precious metals.



638 Figure 4 Scheme of the Brantner company wet separation treatment train (wet processing in gray

640

```
641 3.5.2.2 An example of enhancing the recovery potential of the mineral fraction of IBA by wet
```

642 separation

A wet method for improving the leaching behavior of the mineral fraction of IBA has been developed by the Boskalis Company in response to the Netherlands' Green Deal, which sets stricter requirements on IBA leaching to allow its free reuse. The aim of this technology is to separate metals

<sup>639</sup> scale) (Stockinger, 2018)

and then wash out soluble salts and metals from the IBA mineral fraction. Most leachable heavy metals or environmentally hazardous elements are in the fine fraction, so only this fraction undergoes washing treatment. The principle is a modification of the technology used for soil cleaning and remediation. It was installed in 2016 in Alkmaar during the retrofitting of the IBA treatment plant; its annual capacity is nearly 240,000 tons of IBA. The core of the change consisted in substituting dry sieving for a wet drum sieve.

652 Figure 5 shows the scheme of the treatment train. Particles larger than 40 mm are separated from 653 the raw IBA by a bar sizer and led into the crusher. Iron scrap and stainless steel are removed by 654 magnetic separation from the particles larger than 40 mm. Particles smaller than 40 mm are led into 655 the wet drum sieve to remove particles smaller than 4 mm; the remainder is then further sorted into 656 4-8, 8-20, and 20-40 mm fractions by a vibrating screen and a drum sieve. Water consumption is 657 below 0.5 m<sup>3</sup>/t IBA. Particles larger than 20 mm are led to the crusher and back into the input. Two 658 ECSs in series separate NFe metals from the 4-8 and 8-20 mm fractions. Particles smaller than 4 mm 659 are further separated into a sludge fraction of particles smaller than 63 µm and a sand fraction with 660 particles sized 63 µm to 4 mm. Only heavy non-ferrous metals, including precious metals, are 661 separated by density separation from the sand fraction, while light non-ferrous remain. The washed-662 out sand fraction is then mixed with the granulate fraction with particles sized 4-20 mm; this mixture 663 complies with Dutch legislation for aggregates and is used in construction industry. The removal of 664 fine particles increased the efficiency of NFe metals separation by ca. one third – from 2.6 to 3.5% of 665 the input IBA – compared with the output of dry sieving, because coarse metallic particles are more 666 accessible for separation. Moreover, another 0.3% of the HNFe is obtained from the sand fraction.

The total metal recovery, including non-ferrous metals, iron scrap and stainless steel, is 11.85% of the input IBA. The company claimed an Au content of 50 mg/kg and an Ag content of 900 mg/kg in the HNFe particles smaller than 4 mm, and about two-third of the revenues from this fraction come from its precious metals content. NFe metal concentrates from ECSs consist of about half IBA mineral matrix and half NFe metals with the amount of HNFe increasing as the fractions grow smaller (from 672 1/5 for 8-20 mm to 1/3 for 4-8 mm). The production of the applicable fraction (the mixture of 673 granulate and sand) is ca. 181,000 tons. The main drawback of this approach seems to be the 674 production of sludge (ca. 50,000 tons) with a high concentration of heavy metals that have hazardous 675 properties and must be further treated. The mass balance at the Alkmaar plant is shown in Table 4 676 (Born, 2018b, 2018a).

- 677
- Table 4. Annual mass balance at the Alkmaar plant (Born, 2018b, 2018a).

Input IBA (t)	235,866
Output streams	
Sludge (t)	49,745
Sand (t)	99,745
Granulate (t)	81,610
Iron scrap (t)	15,965
Stainless steel (t)	2,590
Unburned material (t)	4,168
NFe (t)	8,321
HNFe ≤ 4 mm (t)	800





682

680

683 A similar approach is used at the New Heros plant in the Netherlands, which treats 650,000 t of IBA 684 per year. After ageing and the separation of the Fe scraps, the IBA is sieved into 9 size classes. The 685 fractions of particles smaller than 10 mm are sent to the ADR process to separate the light and sticky 686 fine mineral particles. Then each size class is sent to an ECS to recover the NFe metals (the ECSs used 687 for the fine fractions have a rotation speed of 4,000 rpm). Downstream from this section, the IBA is 688 ground and sieved again into 6 other size classes. An ECS for each size class is dedicated to recovering NFe metals scraps, and then the stainless steel is separated manually. The mineral fraction with 689 690 particles > 4 mm is washed with water (liquid to solid ratio (L/S) equal to about 4 l/kg) to remove chlorides, sulfates, and metals so that the fraction can be used in free application as required by the 691

Netherlands' new Green Deal legislation. NFe metal particles < 12 mm are further treated to improve</li>
their quality by using densimetric tables to separate the light NFe metals (aluminum) from the heavy
ones, that contain also precious metals.

- 695
- 696

## 3.5.3.Dry treatment of dry extracted IBA

697 Residues from municipal solid waste incineration, such as fly ash, flue gas cleaning products, and IBA, 698 have been the subject of research projects for decades. Early research programs in Canada and the 699 USA were the National Incinerator Testing and Evaluation Program (NITEP) (Sawell and Constable, 700 1993) and the Waste Analysis, Sampling, Testing, and Evaluation (WASTE) program (A. J. Chandler & 701 Ass. Ltd. et al., 1995). During a sampling campaign at the Burnaby Incinerator Facility (Burnaby, 702 British Columbia, Canada), unquenched IBA falling off the grate before the water tank was collected 703 with a special sample thief. So, it was possible to study the characteristics of unquenched IBA. The 704 results of these investigations of the ash from Burnaby were published years later (Eusden et al., 705 1999).

706 No recommendations were made regarding the potential of metals recovery from quenched and 707 unquenched IBA. However, inspired by this work, researchers from the ABB Corporate Research 708 Centre sampled dry IBA with a similar approach at a Swiss waste incineration plant (KVA Turgi, 709 Aargau Canton). The method's obvious advantages for resource recovery from unquenched IBA, i.e., 710 no mineral attachments on glass, ceramics, or slag particles and almost uncorroded metals were 711 recognized and led to the development of what is called the ABB InRec process (Simon and 712 Andersson, 1995). After lab tests on small and large samples, a full-scale dry IBA extraction system 713 was installed at the GEVAG waste incineration plant (Trimmis, Graubünden Canton, Switzerland) and 714 operated in the years 1995 and 1996 for several months using a roller screen consisting of rotating 715 polygonal discs as an integral part of the discharge system for the removal of oversized grains 716 (Selinger and Schmidt, 1997). The basic principle of the InRec process was to remove the fraction of 717 particles smaller than 2 mm, e.g. by a flip-flop screen prior to the recovery of Fe and NFe metals

(Bürgin et al., 1995). Fe and NFe metals were recovered from the IBA fraction of particles > 2mm using a magnet drum and a pilot-scale eddy current separator to generate a reliable sample size of the metal fraction for testing quality and purity. The yields of Fe and NFe metals were 17% and 1.4% by weight, respectively. The purity of the NFe metals fraction was 95% (Simon, 2017). Despite positive results from the operation of the dry ash extraction and treatment system in Trimmis, plant operators displayed no further interest, most probably because recovering secondary resources from waste was still a secondary issue in the 1990s.

725 This started to change with the increasing demand for efficiency in NFe metals recovery and also 726 with the challenge to recover other materials, such as rare earth elements (REE) and precious metals 727 (Morf et al., 2013), so that the replacement of wet IBA discharge from the combustion chamber by 728 dry systems experienced a renaissance. A new full-scale dry IBA extraction system was put in 729 operation in 2009 again at the SATOM plant in Switzerland (Monthey, Wallis Canton). It consists of a 730 ram discharger operated without water and an integrated wind sifter for dust removal (Lamers, 731 2015). The coarse fraction is transported by means of gravity and vibration for further sorting. A 732 second full-scale system with a different design started in 2010 at the KEZO plant (Hinwil, Zurich 733 Canton, Switzerland). The main component is a vibrating conveyor. The inlet of tertiary air is limited 734 to about 10% of the total combustion air by two vertical gates and replaces the same amount of 735 secondary air. Tertiary air also promotes the afterburning of organic components in the ashes and 736 reduces the organic carbon levels to lower than 0.3% by weight (Lamers, 2015). Currently, dry 737 extraction of the IBA has been implemented in five plants in Switzerland and in two plants in Italy.

738

Dry discharge allows greater efficiency in metals recovery because it eases screening into defined particle size fractions and the possible treatment of fine particles. Furthermore, metals are not agglomerated into clusters by sticky, wet fine ash particles and are more easily accessible by technologies such as eddy current separators. Other advantages are savings in water consumption and treatment and thus reduced transport costs, reduction of the organic carbon content, and

improved leaching properties of the resulting IBA. The main drawbacks of this concept are dust formation, i.e., all operations must be performed in closed systems (Böni and Morf, 2018; Quicker et al., 2015), and that the IBA mineral fraction cannot be used in the construction industry without further treatment.

748 At the KEZO MSWI plant in Hinwil (Zurich Canton), a new centralized treatment plant was built to 749 recover metals from all the IBA produced by MSWI plants in the canton of Zurich. The plant was 750 designed to treat 200,000 tons of IBA per year with an investment of 40 million CHF and began 751 operation at the beginning of the year 2017. The plant scheme is shown in Figure 6. After a magnet 752 separates the coarse metal scraps (> 80 mm), the IBA is divided into four streams: particles sized > 80 753 mm, 30-80 mm, 12-30 mm, and < 12 mm. The fraction of particles larger than 80 mm is sent to a 754 handpicking station to recover metals and separate large mineral agglomerates and then is crushed 755 and joins the fraction of particles < 80 mm. The fraction of 30-80 mm particles is sent to a magnetic 756 separator, two stainless steel separators, and an ECS and then is crushed and joins the fraction of 757 particles < 30 mm. The fraction of 12-30 mm particles is sent to a magnetic separator, a glass 758 separator, two stainless steel (SS) separators, and an ECS and then is crushed and joins the fraction of 759 particles smaller than 12 mm. The fine fraction is screened again: the fraction of particles < 0.3 mm is 760 not treated; the fraction of particles 0.3-2 mm is sent to two magnetic separators and two high-761 frequency ECS; and the fraction of particles 0.3-2 mm is sent to a magnetic separator and two high-762 frequency ECS.

The NFe metal mix is then upgraded by separating the light fraction (mainly Al) from the heavy fraction (mainly Cu and precious metals) by means of densimetric tables. The recovery rate is as follows – 10.08% of the IBA was recovered as Fe metals, 4.45% as NFe metals (heavy and light), and 1.07% as glass (Böni, 2013; ZAR, 2011). However, the composition of revenues is very different, because the heavy non-ferrous yield is only 0.52% and accounts for about half of the total revenues (due to its precious metals content), iron scrap about 7%, and light NFe metals account for the rest of

- the revenues. Total revenues are reported as 95 CHF per ton of dry bottom ash. Energy consumption
- is about 16 kWh per ton of bottom ash (Böni and Morf, 2018).





Figure 6. Scheme of the dry bottom ash treatment plant in Hinwil (CH) (Böni and Morf, 2018)

## 3.6. Emerging technologies for recovering metals and metal compounds

Aside from the technologies described above, several attempts to mine metals from bottom ash using unconventional approaches are summarized below. None of them is currently applied at full industrial scale and their application cannot be expected in the near future due to several disadvantages or economical infeasibility. However, a summary of them seems appropriate for this review.

- 781
- 782 3.6.1.Landfill mining

783 The chapters above describe state-of-the-art processes for recovering valuables from IBA after the 784 incineration of municipal solid waste. As mentioned above, in the past IBA used to be disposed of in 785 landfills without any prior material recovery. Reclamation of metals on such landfill sites seems to be 786 feasible if the content of elemental metals in old IBA deposits is high enough for profitable landfill 787 mining projects. Wagner and Raymond report on a case study at an ashfill located near a waste 788 incineration plant in the US state of Maine (Wagner and Raymond, 2015). Between 2011 and 2015, 789 more than 35,000 tons of Fe and NFe metal were recovered and sent to metal recycling companies at 790 a cost of approx. \$158 US per ton of metal. Revenues were a minimum of \$216 US per ton of 791 recovered, so that the operation provided an economic gain. However, it was stated that it is far less 792 costly to recover metals before landfilling.

- 793
- 794

#### 3.6.2.Bioleaching, hydrometallurgy

Hydrometallurgy is the separation of metals from ore minerals using acids or bases. Hydrometallurgical separation is also performed by solvent extraction or by means of solid ion exchangers, ionic liquids, membranes, and other adsorbents. Biohydrometallurgy, i.e., a chemical extraction enhanced by microorganisms, is a widely and increasingly studied branch of hydrometallurgy and is successfully employed in the treatment of mine tailings and in metal recovery

from secondary sources (Hennebel et al., 2015). As a matter of fact, the industrial use of bioleaching already started at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century: the Rio Tinto copper mine in southwestern Spain is considered the first large-scale biohydrometallurgical operation. In recent decades, bio-mediated processes were progressively increased also for the treatment of by-products (e.g., for the recovery of gold from tailings in Nerco Can Mine, Canada (Stefanski and Martin, 1992).

805 Recently, biohydrometallurgical routes have been applied to the treatment of and subsequent metal 806 recovery from waste from electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) (Mäkinen et al., 2015) and a 807 range of alkaline wastes (Lee and Pandey, 2012), including MSWI residues in which, according to 808 bench-scale experiments, base metals like Cu, Al, and Zn are profitably extracted (Funari et al., 2017; 809 Lee and Pandey, 2012; Ramanathan and Ting, 2016). The treatment of IBA using bioleaching via 810 acidophilic bacteria seems a scalable process, but the lack of experimental data on larger scales 811 hinders the breakthrough to full-scale bioprocessing of incineration waste. After earlier experience 812 with MSWI fly ash (Funari et al., 2017), mixed cultures of sulfur- and iron-oxidizing bacteria were 813 tested on pre-acidified IBA collected from different Italian MSWI plants (Funari et al., 2015). The 814 bacteria consortium employed thrived under the starting pH conditions (ca. pH 4) and produced 815 lixiviants capable of reducing the pH (Funari et al., 2019), thus enhancing metal removal. The 816 relatively long time needed to attain low pH values, the need to pretreat an alkaline material, and 817 the lack of pilot plants hamper actual implementation of the bio-assisted leaching of metals from 818 IBA. On the other hand, the possibility to produce consortia of microorganisms that can be tuned to 819 the removal of a specific metal, the low consumption of acid (or base) compared to pure 820 hydrometallurgical routes, and a safer work environment are potential advantages that make 821 bioleaching an intriguing option.

822

Bioprocessing alkaline wastes, however, has other drawbacks. The sulfur content that is converted to sulfuric acid in the IBA, mainly promoted by *At. thiooxidans*, is not enough to change the naturally alkaline IBA pH (pH 10-13) to acidic pH levels allowing metals mobilization. Besides, the imbalance

826 between elemental sulfur addition and microbial growth of sulfur-specific consortia might lead to 827 low leaching rates, due to the passivation effect that typically occurs in metal sulfide bioleaching 828 (Piervandi et al., 2019). Optimal amounts of ferrous iron to sustain an active microbial population of 829 iron oxidizers (like At. ferrooxidans) should be determined, also to enhance synergistic bioleaching 830 effects. In these circumstances, a stepwise inoculation strategy or nutrients addition can regulate the 831 microbial community structure to promote secondary microbial growth to maintain a moderate 832 trade-off between microbial community performance and iron and sulfur metabolism (Feng et al., 833 2015; Panda et al., 2017) in effective bioleaching systems. Finally, IBA treated in this way will have 834 acidic pH and the formation of calcium sulfate is promoted (Funari et al., 2017). If the pH is not 835 neutralized, therefore, such IBA can be considered hazardous material that cannot be used in the 836 construction industry. Thus, bioleaching is currently far from the real industrial practice and much 837 further research has to be done.

838

839

840

#### 3.6.3. Electrodynamic fragmentation

841 Electrodynamic fragmentation to disintegrate ore and rocks to obtain higher yields has been studied 842 since the 1960s. Today, this technique is applied on a technical scale in ore processing (Wang et al., 843 2011). Applying this process to recycle construction and demolition waste and incineration ash was 844 suggested already in the year 2000 (Bluhm et al., 2000). In a research project, IBA was fragmented 845 into metals, smelting products, ceramics, stones and glass (Seifert et al., 2013). A drawback of the 846 technology could be the high consumption of electrical energy (1-3 kWh/ton of mineral material) 847 (Wang et al., 2011) and, as the process takes place under water, electrolytic losses in the course of 848 enriching salts in the process water (Bluhm et al., 2000). This might be the reason why a prototype 849 with a capacity of 3 tons per hour that started operation in 2016 at the SAIDEF plant in Posieux 850 (Fribourg Canton, Switzerland) is fed with washed IBA. The SELFRAG company's investment cost to 851 install electrodynamic fragmentation was 6 million Swiss francs (SAIDEF AG, 2016).

## 4. Metal upgrading and the market situation

854

855 As indicated in previous sections, advanced metal recovery from IBA is a highly specialized process 856 and, as such, there are multiple steps in the process, which can be managed by different companies 857 and/or external contractors other than the MSWI plant that generated the IBA. However, as a great 858 variety of technologies have been applied in IBA processing and metal recovery from IBA worldwide, 859 the metal recovery systems differ significantly among countries and even regions. The decision 860 whether to include external contractors in IBA processing and to what extent (to which final quality) 861 the metal recovery is done depends on many factors, such as the MSWI's throughput (large vs. small MSWI), the type of IBA (quenched IBA vs. dry IBA; chapter 3.5 and 3.5.3), the location of the IBA 862 863 treatment plant (on site, at a landfill, at a specialized IBA processing facility, or at a mobile sorting 864 plant), IBA storage options (space limitations), metal recovery technology (dry vs. wet processing), 865 management options for the mineral part of IBA (landfilling vs. use in road constructions), and, 866 naturally, overall economic feasibility (Bunge, 2018).

In many European countries, metal recovery from IBA can be described as a step-wise system
typically composed of: Fe recovery; Fe upgrading; NFe recovery; and NFe upgrading.

Overall economic feasibility is one of the key factors affecting the recovery of metals from IBA. The economic value of NFe metals is significantly higher than that of Fe metals. The value of metals (including both Fe and NFe) in tonne of "typical" IBA has been estimated at between 60 and 100  $\in$ , of which >85% is allocated to the NFe fraction, while this fraction is estimated to contribute only 10-15% of the weight of the total metal content (Bunge, 2018). Consequently, the recovery and upgrading of NFe has received much greater attention lately.

The Fe upgrading step typically consist of cleaning (e.g. by crushing) the Fe (in IBA from which extraneous material such as slag and rust has already been separated), hand-sorting the more

valuable items (e.g. Fe and Cu parts), and passing the cleaned material through a magnetic separator (or a series of magnetic separators) to concentrate the material stream to a quality suitable for secondary steel production (Allegrini et al., 2014). The fraction of Fe particles smaller than approximately 3-4 mm is still relatively unused, while its composition and quality may vary significantly. Low-quality products (e.g., those having a high proportion of corrosion products) require higher processing costs at the smelters and, therefore, are less profitable than higher-quality products, which, on the other hand, can cost more to produce.

884 While the first couple of steps in metal recovery from IBA can take place at an MSWI plant, at an IBA 885 deposit/monofill (Wagner and Raymond, 2015), and/or at a primary IBA sorting plant (often a 886 centralized facility processing IBA from several MSWI plants or a mobile sorting facility), the last step 887 (i.e., NFe upgrading) often requires a rather specialized set-up that is fine-tuned to process "pre-888 products" or "concentrates" obtained at the MSWI plants and/or the primary IBA sorting plants. Indeed, these "pre-products" or "concentrates" could be (and sometimes are) sold to a third party; 889 890 however, since they often contain adhering mineral material and/or impurities in the form of other 891 metals, their purity is low, which results in a low smelting yield and, in turn, in a low market value. To 892 be accepted directly by smelters/foundries and, consequently, to achieve better market value, the 893 "pre-products" or "concentrates" must be upgraded. In general, the types of "pre-products" or "concentrates" generated during the primary NFe recovery that are then processed at NFe-upgrading 894 895 facilities are: light non-ferrous, LNFe (predominantly aluminum); heavy non-ferrous, HNFe (Cu, brass, 896 stainless steel, Zn, Pb, Au, Ag, and coins); or a mixture of these. Often, the "pre-products" or 897 "concentrates" are produced with different gradation (in different particle size ranges) depending on 898 the set-up of the NFe recovery system. The upper particle size boundary of "pre-products" or 899 "concentrates" is found around 50-80 mm, as larger NFe items are typically removed either by the 900 MSWI plants or at the primary IBA treatment plants. Though the lower particle size boundary of the 901 "pre-products" or "concentrates" may differ based on both their origin and the technology used in 902 the receiving NFe-upgrading facility (i.e., dry vs. wet systems), as a rule of thumb, "pre-products" or 903 "concentrates" generated at full-scale mobile sorting plants have shown larger minimum particle 904 sizes (varying between 2 to 8 mm) than those generated at full-scale stationary sorting plants 905 (varying between 1 to 2 mm). Note that the economic potential of NFe recovery from the 1-2 mm 906 fraction is significant and several companies are developing sorting systems targeting the recovery of 907 HNFe/precious metals from the very fine NFe fraction (Holm and Simon, 2017; Muchová et al., 2009). 908 The actual technological set-up of NFe-upgrading facilities is often proprietary and cannot be 909 described in full detail here. Nevertheless, a "typical" dry-based NFe upgrading (i.e., one without a 910 wash plant) can include drying and mechanical removal of remaining inorganic matrix/dust. The now cleaned and dry "pre-products" or "concentrates" can then be treated by a series of, e.g., ECSs, ISSs, 911 912 X-ray sorting systems, or even hand-sorting to some extent. The result can be clean scrap 913 ("product"), often divided into different material streams (e.g. stainless steel, aluminum, copper, and 914 a mixture of precious metals) of high purity, allowing for direct sales to smelters/foundries (Muchová 915 et al., 2009).

In general, the different "products" (e.g. Al product, Cu product, stainless steel, precious metals mix,
etc.) are sold on the commodity market; their sales price is controlled by the list price at the London
Metal Exchange (LME): LME<sub>price</sub>. However, since the "products" are further treated at the smelters,
the sales price may also depend on a processing costs fee and on an achievable yield (Scanmetals,
2016). For instance, the sales price of an Al product can be determined as indicated in Eq. 1:

921

922

923

Where the LME<sub>price</sub> (e.g. EUR/ton) is controlled by the LME, the processing fee (e.g. EUR/ton) depends on the receiving smelter and the yield (%; less than 100) – in the case of aluminum – is a function of (i) the "product's" particle size gradation (particle size affects the degree of surface oxidation) and (ii) the smelting process. Note that different yields can be achieved for different "products" at different

(Eq. 1)

Sales price = (LME<sub>price</sub> – processing fee) x yield

smelters; nevertheless, the yield typically decreases with decreasing particle size. From the above equation (Eq. 1) it is clear that the producer of the Al product used in this example is interested in selling to a smelter that can reach the highest possible yield and thus to fully use the materials' recycling potential. It is stated that the price that sink/float plants or smelters actually pay for the metal content of the NFe concentrate is only approximately 60% of the LME<sub>price</sub> (Bunge, 2018).

In contrast to Al, which is oxidized during incineration and whose yield is thus affected by the particle size of the product (the smaller the particle size, the greater the surface oxide content), Cu is not oxidized during incineration and its price is determined by the purity of the Cu product, which is sold directly to copper refineries. As with aluminum, the sales price of the Cu product is determined by the LME list price.

The light fraction has a market value of about 600-1,100 euros/t, while the heavy fraction can achieve up to 4,500-7,000 EUR/t, depending on its precious metals content (personal communication, 2017). With these prices, a substantial increase in revenues can be expected with advanced technologies that are able to recover HNFe metals, including precious metals, from fine particles smaller than 2-4 mm. NFe metals' share of total metal revenues from these advanced plants is over 80%, while precious metals have a share of up to 1/3 (Böni and Morf, 2018; Born, 2018b).

944

## 945 5. Glass recovery

Sensor-based separation of glass was demonstrated at a pilot plant built at the MSWI plant in Bratislava, Slovakia. The technology was supplied by an Austrian company, Binder+Co AG, specialized in glass recycling processes. Before the glass sorting, the IBA is pretreated in a process called "cullet sublimation" to remove adherent dust particles and paper labels, which decrease the efficiency of optical recognition. The pretreatment begins with screening on dynamically excited screens to separate oversized material and fine particles smaller than 7 mm. The resulting middle fraction can contain up to 50 percent glass. In the next step, the material is dried in a fluid-bed dryer that

decreases the moisture content to below 1 percent. The dry material is cleaned in a dry-washing process by attrition, followed by cooling and de-dusting. After this stage, an overhead magnet and an eddy current separator separate the metals and finally an optical sensor-based separator sorts out glass. According to information for the year 2013, the amounts of recovered metals were 1,753 tons of magnetic metals and 90 tons of NFe metals from about 124,000 tons of incinerated waste. The amounts of recovered glass have not been reported; the recovery rate of glass can reach 75% (Makari, 2014).

960

# 961 6. Conclusion

962 Incineration bottom ash is a source of valuable components, such as non-ferrous metals and iron 963 scrap, as it contains up to 4% NFe metals and 13% iron scrap. Technologies for their recovery started 964 to emerge in the 1990s and today are common practice in many developed countries. Metal recovery 965 technologies for wet as well as for dry IBA are based mostly on dry-mechanical processes. However, 966 some treatment plants work with a wet method, as well. Metal recovery can take place directly at 967 the MSWI plant or at a centralized IBA treatment plant that receives the material from several 968 different plants. It is necessary to state that a great majority of plants use dry methods for processing 969 wet extracted bottom ash. Regardless of the type of technology, the overall principle is more or less 970 the same, i.e., crushing the oversized fraction, sieving IBA into several narrow size fractions, and 971 applying magnetic separation for ferrous metals, eddy current separation for non-ferrous metals, and 972 sensor-based sorting for stainless steel. The study presented one example of each main approach to 973 metal recovery and outlined their main advantages and drawbacks. However, it is not possible to 974 thoroughly compare the efficiency of different approaches, due to the variability in the content of 975 the metal of the input IBA and the high degree of uncertainty of existing methods for recovery 976 potential determination.

977 The positive impact of metals recovery on the life cycle balance of MSWI has been quantified in a 978 comparsion of different waste management options (Simon and Holm, 2016, Gehrmann et al., 2017). 979 Efficient recovery of metals and other valuable materials from IBA is a prerequisite for municipal 980 solid waste incineration to be an integral part of a sustainable waste management. Burning waste 981 just to avoid landfilling or only to generate heat and power would be incompatible with the concept 982 of circular economy (Korhonen et al., 2018). With advanced separation techniques, also metals with 983 mm-grain size can be recovered from IBA rather than in recycling processes with bulk municipal soild 984 waste. Thus, the recovery of metals from IBA contributes substantially to close the gaps in circular 985 economy (Steger et al., 2019).

986

987

# 988 7. Acknowledgements

The paper was prepared within the project COST Action Mining the European Anthroposphere (MINEA), which is supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology). Michal Šyc would like to thank INTER-COST project LTC17051 and project Strategic Partnership for Environmental Technologies and Energy Production (reg.no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_026/0008413) with the support from the European Union European Structural and Investment Funds "Operational Programme Research, Development and Education" and the Czech Ministry of Education Youth and Sports.

996 Authors' contributions: Michal Šyc and Franz Georg Simon are responsible for the overall 997 composition and the parts that are not mentioned below. Roberto Braga and Valerio Funari prepared 998 the parts about bioleaching. Jiri Hyks prepared the part about metal upgrading and the market, 999 ageing, and the AFATEK plant. Giulia Costa is the author of the part about mineralogy. Mario Grosso 1000 and Laura Biganzoli added Italian experience and details about plants in Italy.

1001

## 1002 8. References

- 1003 A. J. Chandler & Associates Ltd., 1995. The Waste Analysis, Sampling, Testing and Evaluation (WASTE)
- 1004 Program: The Effect of Waste Stream Characteristics on Municipal Solid Waste Incineration -
- 1005 The Fate and Behaviour of Trace Metals: Volume I: summary report of the study conducted at
- 1006 the Burnab. Report EPS 3/HA/10, Environment Canada, Waste Treatment Division, Ottawa,
- 1007 Ontario.
- 1008 AEB, Green Deal bottom ash programme a success, 2015, online:
- 1009 https://www.aebamsterdam.com/about/news/2015/green-deal-bottom-ash-programme-a-
- 1010 <u>success/</u> (Accesed 2020.02.09)
- 1011 Allegrini, E., Maresca, A., Olsson, M.E., Holtze, M.S., Boldrin, A., Astrup, T., 2014. Quantification of
- 1012 the resource recovery potential of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ashes. Waste

1013 Manag. 34, 1627–1636. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.05.003

- 1014 Astrup, T., Muntoni, A., Polettini, A., Pomi, R., Van Gerven, T., Van Zomeren, A., 2016. Treatment and
- 1015 Reuse of Incineration Bottom Ash, Environmental Materials and Waste: Resource Recovery and
- 1016 Pollution Prevention. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803837-6.00024-X
- 1017 Baciocchi, R., Costa, G., Lategano, E., Marini, C., Polettini, A., Pomi, R., Postorino, P., Rocca, S., 2010.
- 1018 Accelerated carbonation of different size fractions of bottom ash from RDF incineration. Waste
- 1019 Manag. 30, 1310–1317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2009.11.027
- 1020 Bayuseno, A.P., Schmahl, W.W., 2010. Understanding the chemical and mineralogical properties of
- the inorganic portion of MSWI bottom ash. Waste Manag. 30, 1509–1520.
- 1022 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.03.010
- 1023 Berkhout, S.P.M., Oudenhoven, B.P.M., Rem, P.C., 2011. Optimizing Non-Ferrous Metal Value from
- 1024 MSWI Bottom Ashes. J. Environ. Prot., Irvine,. Calif. 02, 564–570.
- 1025 https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2011.25065
- 1026 Berkhout, S.P.M., Rem, P.C., 2010. Separation apparatus. Eur. Pat. Off. EP 2 412 452 A1.
- 1027 Blasenbauer, D., Huber, F., Lederer, J., Quina, M. J., Blanc-Biscarat, D., Bogush, A., Bontempi, E.,

- 1028 Blondeau, J., Chimenos, J. M., Dahlbo, H., Fagerqvist, J., Giro-Paloma, J., Hjelmar, O., Hyks, J.,
- 1029 Keaney, J., Lupsea-Toader, M., O'Caollai, C. J., Orupõld, K., Pająk, T., Simon, F.-G., Svecova, L.,
- 1030 Šyc, M., Ulvang, R., Vaajasaari, K., van Caneghem, J., van Zomeren, A., Vasarevičius, S., Wégner,
- 1031 K., Fellner, J., 2020. Legal situation and current practice of waste incineration bottom ash
- 1032 utilisation in Europe. Waste Manage., 102, 868-883. 10.1016/j.wasman.2019.11.031
- 1033 Biganzoli, L., Grosso, M., 2013. Aluminium recovery from waste incineration bottom ash, andits
- 1034 oxidation level. Waste Manag. Res. 31, 954–959. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X13493956
- 1035 Bluhm, H., Frey, W., Giese, H., Hoppé, P., Schultheiß, C., Sträßner, R., 2000. Application of pulsed HV
- 1036 discharges to material fragmentation and recycling. IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul. 7, 625–
- 1037 636. https://doi.org/10.1109/94.879358
- Boehnke, J., Gillis, A., Stockinger, G., Bohle, B., 2015. Wet processing of incinerator bottom ash for
  precious and base metals recovery. Langley, BC, Canada.
- 1040 Böni, D., Morf, L.S., 2018. Thermo-Recycling Efficient Recovery of Valuable Materials from Dry
- 1041 Bottom Ash, in: Holm, O., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of

1042 Waste Incineration Bottom Ash. Thomé-Kozmiensky Verlag GmbH, pp. 25–37.

- 1043 Böni, L., 2013. Accumulation of Recovered Gold From Bottom Ash, How waste management can turn
- 1044 into resource management. Thesis Kantonsschule Zürcher Unterland
- 1045 Born, J.P., 2018a. Mining IBA for Precious Metals. VDI Conf. Met. Miner. Recover. from IBA,
- 1046 Düsseldorf, Novemb. 8th 2018.
- 1047 Born, J.P., 2018b. Mining Incinerator Bottom Ash for heavy Non-Ferrous Metals and Precious Metal,
- 1048 in: Holm, O., Thome-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste
- 1049 Incineration Bottom Ash. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 11–24.
- 1050 Bourtsalas, A., 2015. Processing the Problematic Fine Fraction of Incinerator Bottom Ash into a Raw
- 1051 Material for Manufacturing Ceramics, PhD Thesis, Imperial College, London.
- 1052 Brunner, P. H., Rechberger, H. 2015. Waste to energy key element for sustainable waste
- 1053 management. Waste Manage., 37, 3-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.02.003</u>

- 1054 Bunge, R., 2018. Recovery of Metals from Waste Incineration Bottom Ash, in: Holm, O., Thome-
- 1055 Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash. TK
  1056 Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 63–143.
- 1057 Bunge, R., 2016. Aufbereitung von Abfallverbrennungsaschen Eine Übersicht, in: Thomé-
- 1058 Kozmiensky, K.J. (Ed.), Mineralische Nebenprodukte Und Abfälle. TK-Verlag, Neuruppin, pp.
- 1059 141–161.
- 1060 Bürgin, M., Schmidt, V., Simon, F.G., 1995. Verfahren zur Rückgewinnung von Wertstoffen aus
- 1061 Müllverbrennungsschlacke. Eur. Pat. Off. Pat. Appl. EP 0691160 A1.
- 1062 Chimenos, J.M., Fernández, A.I., Miralles, L., Segarra, M., Espiell, F., 2003. Short-term natural
- 1063 weathering of MSWI bottom ash as a function of particle size. Waste Manag. 23, 887–895.
- 1064 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(03)00074-6
- Chimenos, J.M., Segarra, M., Fernández, M.A., Espiell, F., 1999. Characterization of the bottom ash in
   municipal solid waste incinerator. J. Hazard. Mater. 64, 211–222.
- 1067 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(98)00246-5
- 1068 Costa, G., Baciocchi, R., Pomi, R., Carey, P.J., Polettini, A., Hills, C.D., 2007. Current status and
- 1069 perspectives of accelerated carbonation processes on municipal waste combustion residues.
- 1070 Environ. Monit. Assess. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9704-4
- 1071 De Vries, W., Rem, P.C., Berkhout, S.P.M., 2009. ADR: A new method for dry classification, in:
- 1072 Proceedings of ISWA/APESB World Congress, Lisboa, Portugal. pp. 1–10.
- 1073 del Valle-Zermeño, R., Gómez-Manrique, J., Giro-Paloma, J., Formosa, J., Chimenos, J.M., 2017.
- 1074 Material characterization of the MSWI bottom ash as a function of particle size. Effects of glass
- 1075 recycling over time. Sci. Total Environ. 581–582, 897–905.
- 1076 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.047
- 1077 European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Bureau (EIPPCB), 2018. Best Available
- 1078 Techniques (BAT) Reference Document on Waste Incineration (final draft, December 2018).
- 1079 Joint Research Centre, Sevilla, Spain.

- 1080 Eusden, J.D., Eighmy, T.T., Hockert, K., Holland, E., Marsella, K., 1999. Petrogenesis of municipal solid
- 1081 waste combustion bottom ash. Appl. Geochemistry 14, 1073–1091.
- 1082 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(99)00005-0
- 1083 Feng, S., Yang, H., Wang, W., 2015. Improved chalcopyrite bioleaching by Acidithiobacillus sp. via
- 1084 direct step-wise regulation of microbial community structure. Bioresour. Technol. 192, 75–82.
- 1085 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.055
- 1086 Fraunholcz, N., Rem, P.C., Haeser, P.A.C.M., 2002. Dry Magnus separation. Miner. Eng. 15, 45–51.
- 1087 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0892-6875(01)00198-4
- 1088 Funari, V., Braga, R., Bokhari, S.N.H., Dinelli, E., Meisel, T., 2015. Solid residues from Italian municipal
- solid waste incinerators: A source for "critical" raw materials. Waste Manag. 45, 206–216.
- 1090 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.11.005
- 1091 Funari, V., Gomes, H.I., Cappelletti, M., Fedi, S., Dinelli, E., Rogerson, M., Mayes, W.M., Rovere, M.,
- 1092 2019. Optimization Routes for the Bioleaching of MSWI Fly and Bottom Ashes Using
- 1093 Microorganisms Collected from a Natural System. Waste and Biomass Valorization.
- 1094 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-019-00688-9
- 1095 Funari, V., Mäkinen, J., Salminen, J., Braga, R., Dinelli, E., Revitzer, H., 2017. Metal removal from
- 1096 Municipal Solid Waste Incineration fly ash: A comparison between chemical leaching and
- 1097 bioleaching. Waste Manag. 60, 397–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.07.025
- 1098 Gehrmann, H.-J., Hiebel, M., Simon, F. G. 2017. Methods for the Evaluation of Waste Treatment
- 1099 Processes. Journal of Engineering, 2017, 3567865 (3567861-3567813).
- 1100 doi.org/10.1155/2017/3567865
- 1101 Grosso, M., Biganzoli, L., Rigamonti, L., 2011. A quantitative estimate of potential aluminium
- recovery from incineration bottom ashes. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 55, 1178–1184.
- 1103 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.08.001
- Hedenstedt, A., Hjornhede, A., Ryde, D., Johansson, I., Fedje, K.K., 2016. Korrosion vid lagring av slagg
- 1105 från avfallsförbränning, Rapport 2016:304. Stockholm, Sweden.

- 1106 Hennebel, T., Boon, N., Maes, S., Lenz, M., 2015. Biotechnologies for critical raw material recovery
- from primary and secondary sources: R&D priorities and future perspectives. N. Biotechnol. 32,
- 1108 121–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2013.08.004
- 1109 Hollemann, A.F., Wiberg, E., Wiberg, N., 2007. Lehrbuch der Anorganischen Chemie. 102., Lehrbuch
- der Anorganischen Chemie. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110177701
- 1111 Holm, O., Simon, F.G., 2017. Innovative treatment trains of bottom ash (BA) from municipal solid
- 1112 waste incineration (MSWI) in Germany. Waste Manag. 59, 229–236.
- 1113 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.09.004
- 1114 Holm, O., Simon, F.G., Lübben, S., Gronholz, C., 2016. ATR Aufschluss, Trennung und
- 1115 Rückgewinnung von ressourcenrelevanten Metallen aus Rückständen thermischer Prozesse mit
- 1116 innovativen Verfahren, in: Dürkoop, A., Brandstetter, C.P., Gräbe, G., Rentsch, L. (Eds.),
- 1117 Innovative Technologien Für Ressourceneffizienz Strategische Metalle Und Mineralien.
- 1118 Fraunhofer-Verlag, Stuttgart, pp. 181–195.
- Holm, O., Wollik, E., Johanna Bley, T., 2018. Recovery of copper from small grain size fractions of
- 1120 municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash by means of density separation. Int. J. Sustain.
- 1121 Eng. 11, 250–260. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19397038.2017.1355415</u>
- 1122 Huber, F., Blasenbauer, D., Aschenbrenner, P., Fellner, J., 2019. Chemical composition and
- 1123 leachability of differently sized material fractions of municipal solid waste incineration bottom

ash. Waste Manage., 95, 593-603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.06.047

- Huber, F., Blasenbauer, D., Aschenbrenner, P., Fellner, J., 2020. Complete determination of the
- 1126 material composition of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash. Waste Manag. 102,
- 1127 677–685. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.11.036</u>
- 1128 Hyks, J.; Hjelmar, O., 2018. Utilisation of Incineration Bottom Ash (IBA) from Waste Incineration –
- 1129 Prospects and Limits. In: Holm, O.; Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (eds.): Removal, Treatment and
- 1130 Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash. Thomé-Kozmiensky Verlag GmbH, Neuruppin,
- 1131 2018, pp. 11-23

- 1132 Hyks, J., Astrup, T., 2009. Influence of operational conditions, waste input and ageing on contaminant
- 1133 leaching from waste incinerator bottom ash: A full-scale study. Chemosphere, 76(9), 1178-1184.
- 1134 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.06.040
- 1135 Inkaew, K., Saffarzadeh, A., Shimaoka, T., 2016. Modeling the formation of the quench product in
- 1136 municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) bottom ash. Waste Manag. 52, 159–168.
- 1137 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.03.019
- 1138 Kahle, K., Kamuk, B., Kallesøe, J., Fleck, E., Lamers, F., Jacobsson, L., Sahlén, J., 2015. Bottom Ash from
- 1139 WtE Plants Metal Recovery and Utilization. ISWA Report 2015.
- 1140 Kallesøe, J., Recovery of resources in Bottom Ash 2nd stage, AFATEK Report, November 2017
- 1141 Kallesøe, J., Dyhr-Jensen, S., 2018. Recovery of Resources in Bottom Ash Semi Dry concept, in:
- 1142 Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash. Thomé-Kozmiensky
- 1143 Verlag GmbH, pp. 39–46.
- 1144 Kirby, C.S., Rimstidt, J.D., 1993. Mineralogy and Surface Properties of Municipal Solid Waste Ash.
- 1145 Environ. Sci. Technol. 27, 652–660. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00041a008
- 1146 Kohaupt, U., 2011. Global economics of bottom ash processing, in: Proceeding from the Conference
- 1147 "From Ashes to Metals." Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 1148 Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., Seppälä, J., 2018. Circular Economy: The Concept and its Limitations.
- 1149 Ecological Economics, 143, 37-46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.041
- Lamers, F., 2015. Treatment of Bottom Ashes of Waste-to-Energy Installations State of the Art –, in:
- 1151 Thomé-Kozmiensky, K.J., Thiel, S. (Eds.), Waste Management. TK-Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 271–
- 1152 290.
- 1153 Lee, J.C., Pandey, B.D., 2012. Bio-processing of solid wastes and secondary resources for metal
- 1154 extraction A review. Waste Manag. 32, 3–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.08.010
- 1155 Lynn, C.J., Ghataora, G.S., Dhir, R.K., 2017. Municipal incinerated bottom ash (MIBA) characteristics
- and potential for use in road pavements. Int. J. Pavement Res. Technol. 10, 185–201.
- 1157 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijprt.2016.12.003

- 1158 Makari, C., 2014. Optical Sorting for the Recovery of Glass from WIP Slags, in: Thomé-Kozmiensky,
- 1159 K.J., Thiel, S. (Eds.), Waste Mangement, Volume 4. TK Verlag Karl Thomé-Kozmiensky, pp. 345–
  1160 354.
- 1161 Mäkinen, J., Bachér, J., Kaartinen, T., Wahlström, M., Salminen, J., 2015. The effect of flotation and
- parameters for bioleaching of printed circuit boards. Miner. Eng. 75, 26–31.
- 1163 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2015.01.009</u>
- 1164 Martens, H., Goldmann, D., 2016. Recyclingtechnik, Fachbuch für Lehre und Praxis. Wiesbaden:
- 1165 Springer Vieweg
- 1166 Meima, J.A., Comans, R.N.J., 1997. Geochemical Modeling of Weathering Reactions in Municipal Solid
- 1167 Waste Incinerator Bottom Ash. Environ. Sci. Technol. 31, 1269–1276.
- 1168 https://doi.org/10.1021/es9603158
- 1169 Morf, L.S., Gloor, R., Haag, O., Haupt, M., Skutan, S., Lorenzo, F. Di, Böni, D., 2013. Precious metals
- and rare earth elements in municipal solid waste Sources and fate in a Swiss incineration
- 1171 plant. Waste Manag. 33, 634–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.09.010
- 1172 Muchová, L., 2010. Wet physical separation of MSWI bottom ash. PhD Thesis, TU Delft.
- 1173 Muchová, L., Bakker, E., Rem, P., 2009. Precious metals in municipal solid waste incineration bottom
- ash. Water, Air, Soil Pollut. Focus 9, 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11267-008-9191-9
- 1175 Muchová, L., Rem, P.C., 2006. Metal content and recovery of MSWI bottom ash in Amsterdam. WIT
- 1176 Trans. Ecol. Environ. 92, 211–216. https://doi.org/10.2495/WM060231
- 1177 Nørgaard, K.P., Hyks, J., Mulvad, J.K., Frederiksen, J.O., Hjelmar, O., 2019. Optimizing large-scale
- ageing of municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash prior to the advanced metal recovery:
- 1179 Phase I: Monitoring of temperature, moisture content, and CO2 level. Waste Manag. 85, 95–
- 1180 105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.12.019
- 1181 Panda, S., Akcil, A., Mishra, S., Erust, C., 2017. Synergistic effect of biogenic Fe<sup>3+</sup> coupled to S°
- 1182 oxidation on simultaneous bioleaching of Cu, Co, Zn and As from hazardous Pyrite Ash Waste. J.
- 1183 Hazard. Mater. 325, 59–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.11.050

- 1184 Piantone, P., Bodénan, F., Chatelet-Snidaro, L., 2004. Mineralogical study of secondary mineral
- 1185 phases from weathered MSWI bottom ash: Implications for the modelling and trapping of heavy
- 1186 metals. Appl. Geochemistry 19, 1891–1904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2004.05.006
- 1187 Piervandi, Z., Khodadadi Darban, A., Mousavi, S.M., Abdollahy, M., Asadollahfardi, G., Funari, V.,
- 1188 Dinelli, E., 2019. Minimization of metal sulphides bioleaching from mine wastes into the aquatic
- 1189 environment. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 182, 109443.
- 1190 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2019.109443
- 1191 Polettini, A., Pomi, R., 2004. The leaching behavior of incinerator bottom ash as affected by
- accelerated ageing. J. Hazard. Mater. 113, 209–215.
- 1193 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.06.009
- 1194 Quicker, P., Stockschläder, J., Zayat-Vogel, B., Pretz, T., Garth, A., Koralewska, R., Malek, S.,
- 1195 Gellermann, C., Brämer, T., Gabor, E., 2015. Wertstoffpotenziale von trocken und nass
- 1196 ausgetragenen Abfallverbrennungsaschen, in: Thomé-Kozmiensky, K.J. (Ed.), Mineralische
- 1197 Nebenprodukte Und Abfälle 2, Aschen, Schlacken, Stäube Und Baurestmassen. TK Verlag Karl
- 1198 Thomé-Kozmiensky, pp. 117–135.
- 1199 Ramanathan, T., Ting, Y.P., 2016. Alkaline bioleaching of municipal solid waste incineration fly ash by
- autochthonous extremophiles. Chemosphere 160, 54–61.
- 1201 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.055
- 1202 Raven, R. Von, Koralewska, R., Schönsteiner, M., 2013. Waste-to-Energy as part of urban mining –
- 1203 Recovery of metals from bottom ash, in: 8th ISWA Beacon Conference on Waste-to-Energy,
- 1204 Malmö November 27-28, 2013.
- 1205 Rem, P.C., De Vries, C., Van Kooy, L., Bevilacqua, P., Reuter, M.A., 2004. The Amsterdam pilot on
- 1206 bottom ash. Miner. Eng. 17, 363–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2003.11.009
- 1207 Sabbas, T., Polettini, A., Pomi, R., Astrup, T., Hjelmar, O., Mostbauer, P., Cappai, G., Magel, G.,
- 1208 Salhofer, S., Speiser, C., Heuss-Assbichler, S., Klein, R., Lechner, P., 2003. Management of
- 1209 municipal solid waste incineration residues. Waste Manag. 23, 61–88.

1210 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(02)00161-7

1211 SAIDEF AG, 2016. Annual Report 2016. Posieux, Canton Fribourg, Switzerland.

- 1212 Santos, R.M., Mertens, G., Salman, M., Cizer, Ö., Van Gerven, T., 2013. Comparative study of ageing,
- 1213 heat treatment and accelerated carbonation for stabilization of municipal solid waste
- incineration bottom ash in view of reducing regulated heavy metal/metalloid leaching. J.
- 1215 Environ. Manage. 128, 807–821. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.06.033
- 1216 Sawell, S.E., Constable, T.W., 1993. The National Incinerator Testing and Evaluation Program (NITEP):
- 1217 A Summary of the Characterization and Treatment Studies on Residues from Municipal Solid
- 1218 Waste Incineration. Report EPS 3/UP/8, Environment Canada, Office of Waste Management.
- 1219 Scanmetals, 2016. Personal communication.
- 1220 Schmelzer, G., 1995. Separation of metals from waste incineration residue by application of mineral
- 1221 processing, in: Proceedings of the XIX International Mineral Processing Congress. pp. 137–140.
- 1222 Seifert, S., Thome, V., Karlstetter, C., Maier, M., 2013. Elektrodynamische Fragmentierung von MVA-
- 1223 Schlacken Zerlegung der Schlacken und Abscheidung von Chloriden und Sulfaten, in: Thomé-
- 1224 Kozmiensky, K.J. (Ed.), Asche-Schlacke-Stäube Aus Metallurgie Und Abfallverbrennung. TK
- 1225 Verlag Karl Thomé-Kozmiensky, pp. 353–366.
- 1226 Selinger, A., Schmidt, V., 1997. The ABB dry ash concept: INREC<sup>™</sup>, in: Goumans, J.J.J.M., Senden, G.J.,
- 1227 van der Sloot, H.A. (Eds.), Studies in Environmental Science. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 79–84.
- 1228 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1116(97)80192-6
- 1229 Settimo, F., Bevilacqua, P., Rem, P., 2004. Eddy current separation of fine non-ferrous particles from
- 1230 bulk streams. Phys. Sep. Sci. Eng. 13, 15–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207390410001710726
- 1231 Silva, R. V., de Brito, J., Lynn, C.J., Dhir, R.K., 2019. Environmental impacts of the use of bottom ashes
- 1232 from municipal solid waste incineration: A review. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 140, 23–35.
- 1233 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.09.011
- 1234 Simon, F., Holm, O., 2019. Antimon in Rostaschen aus der Müllverbrennung Auslaugverhalten und
- 1235 Rückschlüsse für die Aufbereitung, in: Thiel, S., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E., Pretz, T., Senk, D.G.,

- 1236 Wotruba, H. (Eds.), Mineralische Nebenprodukte Und Abfälle, Aschen, Schlacken Stäube Und
- 1237 Baurestmassen, Vol. 6. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 148–164.
- Simon, F.G., 2017. (formerly ABB Corporate Research Switzerland), Personal Communication.
- 1239 Simon, F.G., Andersson, K.H., 1995. InRec<sup>™</sup> process for recovering materials from solid waste
- 1240 incineration residues. ABB Rev. 15–20.
- 1241 Simon, F.G., Holm, O., 2017. Exergetic Assessment of Raw Materials Using the Example of Copper.
- 1242 Chemie-Ingenieur-Technik 89, 108–116. https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201600089
- 1243 Smith, Y.R., Nagel, J.R., Rajamani, R.K., 2019. Eddy current separation for recovery of non-ferrous
- 1244 metallic particles: A comprehensive review. Miner. Eng. 133, 149–159.
- 1245 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2018.12.025
- 1246 Sormunen, L.A., Kalliainen, A., Kolisoja, P., Rantsi, R., 2017. Combining Mineral Fractions of
- 1247 Recovered MSWI Bottom Ash: Improvement for Utilization in Civil Engineering Structures.
- 1248 Waste and Biomass Valorization 8, 1467–1478. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-016-9656-4
- Sormunen, L.A., Kolisoja, P., 2017. Construction of an interim storage field using recovered municipal
- solid waste incineration bottom ash: Field performance study. Waste Manag. 64, 107–116.
- 1251 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.03.014
- 1252 Speiser, C., Baumann, T., Niessner, R., 2000. Morphological and chemical characterization of calcium-
- 1253 hydrate phases formed in alteration processes of deposited municipal solid waste incinerator
- 1254 bottom ash. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34, 5030–5037. https://doi.org/10.1021/es990739c
- 1255 Stefanski, M.J., Martin, C.J., 1992. Toxic stabilization and precious metals recovery from by-products,
- 1256 223–224, Open File 2484, Geological Survey of Candada, Project Summaries.
- 1257 Steger, S., Ritthoff, M., Dehoust, G., Bergmann, T., Schüler, D., Kosinka, I., Bulach, W., Krause, P.,
- 1258 Oetjen-Dehne, R., 2019. Ressourcenschonung durch eine stoffstromorientierte
- 1259 Sekundärrohstoffwirtschaft (Saving Resources by a Material Category Oriented Recycling
- 1260 Product Industry), TEXTE 34/2019, Umweltbundesamt (Federal Environmental Agency), Dessau
- 1261 Stockinger, G., 2018. Direct Wet Treatment of Fresh, Wet Removed IBA from Waste Incinerator, in:

- 1262 Holm, O., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste
- 1263 Incineration Bottom Ash. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 47–52.
- 1264 Šyc, M., Krausová, A., Kameníková, P., Šomplák, R., Pavlas, M., Zach, B., Pohořelý, M., Svoboda, K.,
- 1265 Punčochář, M., 2018a. Material analysis of Bottom ash from waste-to-energy plants. Waste
- 1266 Manag. 73, 360–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.10.045
- 1267 Šyc, M., Simon, F.G., Biganzoli, L., Grosso, M., Hyks, J., 2018b. Resource Recovery from Incineration
- 1268 Bottom Ash: Basics, Concepts Principles, in: Holm, O., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal,
- 1269 Treatment and Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash. Thomé-Kozmiensky Verlag GmbH,
- 1270 pp. 1–10.
- 1271 Van Caneghem, J., Van Acker, K., De Greef, J. et al. Clean Techn Environ Policy (2019) 21: 925.
- 1272 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-019-01686-0</u>
- 1273 van de Wouw, P. M. F., Loginova, E., Florea, M. V. A., Brouwers, H. J. H., 2020. Compositional
- 1274 modelling and crushing behaviour of MSWI bottom ash material classes. Waste Manage., 101,
- 1275 268-282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.10.013.
- 1276 Wagner, T.P., Raymond, T., 2015. Landfill mining: Case study of a successful metals recovery project.
- 1277 Waste Manag. 45, 448–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.06.034
- 1278 Walker, B., 2010. Sortierung und Ablagerung von KVA Schlacke, in: Schenk, K. (Ed.), KVA-Rückstände
- in Der Schweiz. Der Rohstoff Mit Mehrwert. Bundesamt für Umwelt, pp. 165–169.
- 1280 Wang, E., Shi, F., Manlapig, E., 2011. Pre-weakening of mineral ores by high voltage pulses. Miner.
- 1281 Eng. 24, 455–462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2010.12.011
- 1282 Wei, Y., Shimaoka, T., Saffarzadeh, A., Takahashi, F., 2011. Mineralogical characterization of
- 1283 municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash with an emphasis on heavy metal-bearing
- 1284 phases. J. Hazard. Mater. 187, 534–543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.070
- 1285 Wieduwilt, M., Müller, R., Luzzatto, M., Brison, A., 2015. Advanced Urban Mining: A Summary of the
- 1286 State of the Art of Metal Recovery out of Dry Bottom Ash, in: Thomé-Kozmiensky, K.J., Thiel, S.
- 1287 (Eds.), Waste Management, Volume 5, Waste-to-Energy. TK Verlag Karl Thomé-Kozmiensky.

- 1288 Yang, S., Saffarzadeh, A., Shimaoka, T., Kawano, T., Kakuta, Y., 2016. The impact of thermal treatment
- 1289 and cooling methods on municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash with an emphasis on Cl.

1290 Environ. Technol. (United Kingdom) 37, 2564–2571.

- 1291 https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2016.1155651
- 1292 Yao, J., Kong, Q., Zhu, H., Long, Y., Shen, D., 2013. Content and fractionation of Cu, Zn and Cd in size
- 1293 fractionated municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash. Ecotox. Environ. Safe., 94(0), 131-

1294 137. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.05.014

- 1295 ZAR, 2011. Thermorecycling, 29, Stiftung Zentrum für Nachhaltige Abfall- und Ressourcennutzung,
   1296 Hinwil, Switzerland.
- 1297 Zevenbergen, C., Van Reeuwijk, L.P., Bradley, J.P., Comans, R.N.J., Schulung, R.D., 1998. Weathering
- 1298 of MSWI bottom ash with emphasis on the glassy constituents. J. Geochemical Explor. 62, 293–
- 1299 298. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(97)00033-2
- 1300 Zhang, S., Forssberg, E., Arvidson, B., Moss, W., 1999. Separation mechanisms and criteria of a
- rotating eddy-current separator operation. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 25, 215–232.
- 1302 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-3449(98)00051-2
- 1303 Zust, I., 2018. The Benefits of an integrated Dry Process for Metal Recovery out of IBA, in: VDI
- 1304 Conference: Metals and Minerals Recovery from IBA, Düsseldorf, November 8th 2018.
- 1305 Dusseldorf.