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## Supplementary Background

Insomnia Disorder is the second most common mental health problem, estimated to affect at least 7\% of the population [1, 2]. The disorder is diagnosed when problems falling asleep or maintaining sleep, accompanied by subjectively impaired daytime functioning, occur three times or more each week for at least three months, and cannot be attributed to unfavorable sleeping conditions [3]. Insomnia is a major risk factor for other health problems, notably depression [4], reduces work productivity and increases healthcare consumption, thus imposing a large economic burden on patients and society [5]. The combination of high prevalence and high costs of insomnia makes it important to optimize affordable treatment.

Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBTI) is the only non-pharmacological treatment supported by sufficient empirical evidence and is often preferable to pharmacotherapy because of its long-term effects [3, 6] and cost-effectiveness [7]. Internet-based CBTI (ICBTI) results in comparable improvements [8-11]. Unfortunately, neither face-to-face CBTI nor ICBTI have satisfying results for all individuals $[2,12]$. Meta-analysis shows that it is not that common for ICBTI to improve sleep beyond the cutoff for normal sleep efficiency of $85 \%$ [11], thus leaving ample room for additional improvement.

A less studied type of intervention for insomnia is chronobiological treatment (CT). CT aims to enhance regularly timed input to the circadian system by addressing its interaction with bright light, physical activity or body warming [13]. Although the European Sleep Research Society does recommend light and exercise in the treatment of Insomnia Disorder [3], support for their effectiveness is much smaller than for CBTI [14]. The combination of CT and CBTI has been applied in one study, with promising results [15]. No prior study compared the effectiveness of CT, ICBTI and their combination in treating Insomnia Disorder.

The primary aims of the present study were to evaluate the initial and sustained separate, additive and interaction effects of CT and ICBTI on sleep efficiency in Insomnia Disorder.

## Supplementary Methods

The detailed protocol of this study has been registered (trialregister.nl Trial ID: NTR4010) and published [16].

## Participant Recruitment and Selection

Participants were recruited between November 2013 and August 2016 through the Netherlands Sleep Registry (NSR [17]), social media, newspaper advertisements and the website of Somnio, a company offering ICBTI. Interested people filled out an online screening questionnaire. Possibly eligible participants were contacted by phone to discuss the screening information they provided and to answer their possible questions. Inclusion criteria were: an age between 18 and 70 years and a
diagnosis of Insomnia Disorder according to ICSD3 and DSM-5 [3, 18, 19]. Exclusion criteria were daily use of sleep medication, shift work, a current diagnosis of a psychiatric or neurological disorder, eye disease, cardiovascular disease, and disabilities or restrictions with respect to physical activity. Participants incidentally using prescribed or over-the-counter sleep medication were only included after refraining from use one month prior to and throughout participation. All participants signed a written consent form. Of the 946 individuals who completed the online screening questionnaire, 175 enrolled in the study (see Supplementary Figure 1, CONSORT Diagram of Participant Flow).

## Study Design, Sample Size, Statistical Power, Randomization and Blinding

Design. In a two by four factorial design (figure 1), participants were randomly assigned to ICBTI or not in week 1-4 (factor 1, two conditions) as well as to one of three chronobiological treatments or placebo in week 1-4 (factor 2, four conditions). Those that were not allocated to ICBTI in week 1-4, received it week 6-9, after the post assessment. All interventions and assessments took place at participants' homes. Assessments were conducted at baseline (T0, week 0), following completion of a 4 -week treatment period (T1, week 5) and after completion of the second 4-week period (T2, week 10).

Sample size and estimated statistical power. The sample size required to have sufficient statistical power $(1-\beta=0.80$ at a critical $\alpha=0.05)$ to detect small-to-medium effects were calculated for a mixed effect model that simultaneously estimated all treatment effects using repeated sleep efficiency values of 7 nights before and 7 nights after the intervention period [20]. According to conventions we considered $d=0.2$ a small effect and $d=0.5$ a medium effect. The range implicates that group means differ by 0.2 to 0.5 times the standard deviation. The a priori sample size calculation requires an estimate of the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of repeated sleep efficiency values. At the time we designed the registered protocol including power calculations [16] we could take advantage of available subjective sleep efficiency from the 9-day sleep diaries that we recently assessed through the internet for 27 people suffering from insomnia and found an ICC of 0.2. Sample size calculations [20] indicated that 160 completers would provide, at a significance of alpha $=0.05$, sufficient power (1beta $=0.80$ ) for minimal detectable differences of $d=0.27$ (main effect ICBTI, $\mathrm{n}=80$ vs. $\mathrm{n}=80$ ), $d=0.31$ (main effect of any CT vs. II, $\mathrm{n}=120$ vs. $\mathrm{n}=40$ ), $d=0.31$ (main effect of a specific CT, $\mathrm{n}=40$ vs. $\mathrm{n}=120$ ), $d=0.28$ (interaction effect of ICBTI with any active CT, $\mathrm{n}=60$ vs. $\mathrm{n}=100$ ), and $d=0.41$ (interaction effect of ICBTI with a specific CT, $n=20$ vs. $n=140$ ). Expecting a dropout of about $10 \%$, we initially aimed at staggered entry of 180 participants but stopped recruitment at $\mathrm{n}=175$ due to time limitations.

Randomization and blinding. The first 20 participants were randomized using the sample function in R [21], which generated a random number between 1 and 8 , each referring to one combination in the 2 by 4 design. Subsequent randomization was done using covariate-adaptive randomization [22,23] scripted in R [21]. Covariates were: Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) score, sex, age, use of non-sleep medication and time of year of inclusion. Details on the covariate-adaptive randomization can be
found in the registered trial protocol [16]. Author TM ran the script and noted the allocated treatment group in the trial master file. Participants were only aware of their own assigned condition and blind to whether or not it was an active treatment and to the existence of parallel other conditions. KD was blinded to case information including treatment allocation while processing the data.

## Interventions

## Internet-guided Cognitive Behavior Therapy for Insomnia

Using the Somnio platform [somnio.eu, 24], CBTI with guidance of a therapist was implemented to be online accessed from a computer (not a smartphone). The behavioral and cognitive components included stimulus control, sleep restriction, sleep hygiene and education, relaxation exercises and cognitive restructuring according to the European Guideline for the Treatment of Insomnia [3]. The four-week intervention consisted of four online lessons with information and assignments that were introduced one by one every subsequent week. Within the online platform, the supervising therapist evaluated the sleep diaries, provided feedback, answered questions and adjusted assignments based on progress. The therapist tailored the assignments, for example bedtimes for sleep restriction, utilizing the information from the sleep diary data from the previous week. The therapist estimated to have invested on average 15 minutes for each individual per module, totaling one hour of guidance per participant.

## Chronobiological Treatments

Participants were randomly allocated to one of four interventions, being either Bright Light (BL), Physical Activity (PA), Warm Baths (WB) or the placebo condition of an inactivated ionizer (II) [25]. All interventions were scheduled daily at a set time, attuned to participants' desired bed and wake-up times, and were instructed and verified to be applied exclusively during weeks 1-4. Enrolled participants were informed about their treatment allocation through email a week before baseline. That same week, they were mailed a package including elaborate information on the protocol and the assigned treatments, an actigraph, a treatment device if appropriate and log-in information for the NSR [17], the internet platform through which all questionnaires were online assessed. Participants received the required equipment (bright light, bath thermometer, inactivated ionizer) at the start of week 1 and handed it back in at the end of week 4 for use by others. They were instructed to apply the allocated CT exclusively during weeks 1-4. Compliance to these instructions was monitored daily using a diary kept from week 0 to week 11 .
Bright Light. Participants allocated to the scheduled bright light CT condition were asked to sit facing a goLITE BLU light device (HF3220/01, Philips Consumer Lifestyle, Drachten, The Netherlands) each morning for half an hour, within an hour after a self-selected fixed desired wake-up time. Bright light entrains circadian rhythms [26] and has also been reported to improve sleep quality [27]. The blue LED light device has a peak wavelength of 470 nm (full width half-maximum 25 nm ), and was
instructed to be used at an arms-length distance of 50 cm , positioned on a table at 45 degrees sideways. That use provides an irradiance of $1.0 \mathrm{Watt} / \mathrm{m} 2$ and a photopic illuminance of 100 lux at eye position, which is equivalent to a melanopic illuminance of 770 m -lux [28]. The device specifically targets melatonin-containing retinal ganglion cells in the eyes that project to the biological clock of the brain, and was shown to have a clinical efficacy in seasonal affective disorder that was not different from the use of bright white light treatment of 10000 lux [29].
Physical Activity. Participants allocated to the scheduled Physical Activity CT condition were instructed to walk or bicycle daily for half an hour within the one-hour interval 3 to 2 hours prior to a self-selected fixed desired bedtime. Physical activity ameliorates insomnia complaints [30, 31].
Warm Baths. Participants allocated to the scheduled Warm Baths condition were instructed to take a daily warm bath for half an hour within the same one-hour interval 3 to 2 hours prior to a self-selected fixed desired bedtime. The increase in skin temperature induced by a warm bath at this time of day lasts into the sleep onset period and can promote sleep [32-34]. The timing of the warm baths may require some elaboration. As for the other interventions, warm baths were aimed at boosting the amplitude of physiological circadian rhythms. As we reviewed previously, well-timed whole body warming can effectively boost the amplitude of the diurnal rhythm in core body temperature with favorable effects on sleep [35]. Milder warming protocols utilizing foot baths may not affect the amplitude of the diurnal rhythm in core body temperature [36], although they have also been implemented to promote relaxation and sound sleep (see Haghayegh et al. 2019 [37]).

Because we could previously not confirm that people with insomnia benefitted of any of several foot warming methods that were effective in good sleepers [38] while two research groups did report favorable effects of whole body baths for insomnia, we chose to implement whole body warming. In older females with a specific type of insomnia (only difficulties maintaining sleep) a preliminary report [39] and a full report [32] indicated sleep improvements after a warm bath between 20:00 to 20:30 hr, which was timed 2 to 1.5 hours prior to bedtime and 3 hours prior to lights out at 23:30 hr (see Figure 1, page 895 of Dorsey et al 1999 [32]). In older patients with insomnia and vascular dementia, a warm bath timed 2 to 1.5 hours prior to bedtime likewise improved sleep [40]. On the contrary, and as to be expected, an evening hot bath could not halt the sleep-disturbing effect of apneas in patients with obstructive sleep apnea [41]. No study in insomnia patients compared different times for bathing. To choose the optimal timing we therefore critically reviewed prior studies on the effect of timed hot baths in well-sleeping controls.
Bunnell et al [42] compared how sleep was affected by hot baths taken at different times of the day. As compared to times more distant from lights out, or closer to it, baths ending 4.5 hrs before lights out least elevated core body temperature at bedtime while most pronouncedly reducing nocturnal wakefulness and especially sleep onset latency (by $50 \%$ ), two major problems of ID. In a study by Jordan et al [43], hot baths ending 4 hrs before lights out reduced disturbed sleep and enhanced slow wave sleep and REM sleep. Horne and Reid [44] heated participants until 17:30 hr which was more
than 5 hrs before lights out, and reported an increase in slow wave sleep but a decrease in REM sleep. Using less intense heating, Horne and Shackel [45] concluded that that as the time of the day of heating recedes from nighttime sleep, a larger "dose" of heating is required to produce the same effect. They moreover concluded that half an hour of heating 7-8 h before sleep has little effect, while if administered 2-3 hr before lights out, it enhances slow wave sleep, and finally, that about 2 hr has to elapse before body heating affects SWS. Indeed, a hot bath ending 0.5 hr prior to lights out did not improve nocturnal wakefulness or slow wave sleep in one study [46], although it did suppress nocturnal movements in another study [47]. Based on the careful review of these studies, and because we aimed to boost the circadian amplitude of the core body temperature rather than to interfere with its normal decline that reliably takes place 2 h prior to sleep onset [48], we instructed participants to bathe for half an hour immediately before this decline, i.e. between 3 to 2 hours prior to desired sleep onset.

## Assessments

Diagnosis. To support the diagnosis of Insomnia Disorder [3, 18, 19] and to evaluate past and current health, participants completed an online version of the Duke Structured Interview for Sleep Disorders (DSISD [49]).

Diary. Throughout the protocol, participants kept an extended Dutch version of the Consensus Sleep Diary (CSD [50]). The primary outcome measure was the change in sleep efficiency (SE) as reported in the diary. Sleep efficiency is a common standard outcome measure for insomnia and has the advantage of covering all three of the diagnostically defining complaints about sleep quality: difficulties initiating sleep, difficulties maintaining sleep, and early morning awakening. We did not consider total sleep time, because sleep quality is the primary issue in insomnia, and a reduction of total sleep time may even be beneficial. Sleep efficiency is the most commonly reported primary outcome measure in recent meta-analyses on ICBI [10, 11], followed by the insomnia severity index (ISI), which would have been a viable alternative. In our registered trial protocol [16] we did prefer sleep efficiency, derived from the Consensus Sleep Diary [50], because it has the advantage that it utilizes assessments that are repeated day-after-day, while the insomnia severity index is a single retrospective evaluation of the past two weeks. A review on the accuracy of sleep assessment methods concluded that better accuracy of diaries than questionnaires because diaries record night-to-night variability of good and bad nights and are less sensitive to retrospective memory bias [51]. This advantage has also been noted by the consensus Recommendations for a Standard Research Assessment of Insomnia [52] which phrased it as follows: "sleep diaries may yield a more representative sample of an individual's sleep than 1-time questionnaires".

SE was calculated as the ratio of the subjective total sleep time (TST) relative to time in bed (TIB), expressed as percentage. TIB was defined as the time between lights-off and the moment after final awakening when no further attempt to sleep was made [53]. Secondary outcome measures obtained
from the diary were sleep onset latency (SOL), wake after sleep onset (WASO) which included possible time awake after final awakening still attempting to fall asleep; and TST. The sleep diary was extended with 3 questions to assess the major sleep complaints evaluated by the DSM- 5 to diagnose Insomnia Disorder: difficulty initiating sleep (DIS), difficulty maintaining sleep (DMS) and early morning awakening with inability to return to sleep (EMA). Participants were asked to rate their night on these 3 complaints on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 'very good' to 7 'very bad'.
To assess daytime functioning, we developed a dedicated diary with 18 items that queried all daytime impairments relevant to insomnia according to a British Association for Psychopharmacology consensus paper on ICSD, ICD-10 and DSM nosologies [54]. Participants were asked to rate their day on all 18 daytime impairments on a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 'very bad' to 'very good'. These 18 domains were averaged per day for analyses.

Prior Expectations and Post-intervention Attribution. Prior to the interventions, a dedicated questionnaire was administered to assess expected effectiveness of the allocated treatments on a 7point Likert scale ranging from 'very much deteriorate' to 'very much improve'. Using the same Likert scale answer options, we also asked for expected change in sleep if no treatment would be given, which provides insight in the patients' conception of expected recovery if their insomnia was left untreated. Finally, at T2, after the interventions, a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 'very much deteriorated' to 'very much improved' was used to assess the sleep changes subjectively attributed to the interventions.

Compliance. Compliance for each CT was assessed by a question on timing of compliance, added to the sleep diary. The number of compliant days was used for analysis. Compliance for the ICBTI sleep restriction part was monitored using the time in bed data from the sleep diary. Unlike sleep restriction, other CBTI lessons were not as suitable for daily quantitative assessment of compliance. However, the ICBTI was guided and the supervising clinical psychologist kept close track of whether participants had read the planned lessons and filled out the questions it contained. Participants were immediately reminded if they did not. One might state that adherence to reading the planned lessons and filling out the contained questions was thus guided to be complete. Nights were marked as noncompliant if time in bed was longer than the time set by the ICBTI sleep restriction. Again, the number of compliant nights was used for analysis.

Attrition. Participants were marked as drop-out if they (1) contacted us that they wanted to stop, (2) stopped filling out the diaries or showed no compliance to treatment and did not continue after being prompted to do so.
Actigraphy. During assessment weeks T0, T1 and T2, participants wore a wrist actigraph (Philips Actiwatch Spectrum, Philips Respironics, Murrysville, PA, USA) to estimate sleep. Outcome measures derived from the actigraphy data were SE, SOL, WASO, TST, average sleep bout duration and average wake bout duration.

Questionnaires. A comprehensive set of online questionnaires was assessed at T0, T1 and T2 consisted of: Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) [55], Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes Scale [56], Glasgow Sleep Effort Scale [57], Sleep Locus Of Control scale [58] and Sleep Self-Efficacy Scale [59], Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale [60], Arousal Predisposition Scale [61], Hyper Arousal Scale [62] and Adult ADHD Self Rating Scale [63], Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [64], Positive Affect Negative Affect Scale [65] and Temporal Experience of Pleasure Scale [66], Short Form 36 [67] and Trimbos/iMTA questionnaire for Costs associated with Psychiatric Illness: TiC-P [68]. The data of the TiC-P however contained over $90 \%$ of zeroes, meaning that many participants reported no costs and had no production losses at all during the assessed weeks. Therefore, we were unable to analyze cost-effectiveness.

## Statistical analyses

Immediate post-treatment effects ( T 1 relative to T 0 ) and follow-up effects ( T 2 relative to T 0 ) of ICBTI, CTs and their interactions on the primary outcome measure (SE) were estimated using mixed effect models (R software package 'Ime4' [69]). This approach provides an intention to treat analysis by allowing inclusion of all observations of all participants, irrespective of missingness. Separate analyses first addressed specific effects of each individual CT and subsequently a possible generic effect of any active CT (irrespective of type BL, PA or WB) versus the placebo condition (II). Diary and actigraphy measures were analyzed with a 3-level mixed effect model with days $i$, nested within week $j$, nested within participant $k$. Questionnaire measures were likewise analyzed, but now using 2level instead of 3-level mixed effect models since they were not assessed daily. Group differences in expected and attributed treatment effects and in compliance to treatment were analyzed with ANOVAs and t-tests. Group differences in post-intervention attributed effectiveness of treatment were analyzed with a paired-sample t-test. Group differences in attrition were evaluated with a chisquared test. Treatment conditions were dummy coded with as reference no initial ICBTI (factor 1) and no active CT (i.e. the inactivated ionizer placebo). Analyses on secondary outcomes were considered exploratory and not corrected for multiple comparisons.

## Supplementary Results

## Participants

The mean (SD) age was 51.0 (11.2) years (range 20-70 years) and $79 \%$ was female. Education level was low (secondary education or less) in $36 \%$, average (post-secondary education) in $35 \%$ and university level in $29 \%$. The majority of participants was employed (fulltime $45 \%$, part-time $32 \%$ ) and lived with a partner ( $66 \%$ ). About half ( $54 \%$ ) presented a current comorbid somatic disorder. The mean (SD) duration of Insomnia Disorder was 9.3 (11.2) years. The severity of insomnia (ISI) at
baseline was 16.35 (3.98). The use of the Consensus Sleep Diary allowed us to calculate that the mean (SD) Mid Sleep time [70] at T0 was $3: 31(1: 04) \mathrm{hr}$, indicating that the chronotype of our sample did not deviate from the distribution reported for their age in population-based epidemiological studies [71, 72]. Table 1 provides descriptive statistics.

## Allocation to Interventions

ICBTI in week 1-4 was randomly assigned to 87 participants and was provided simultaneously with randomly assigned BL $(n=21)$, PA $(n=21)$, WB $(n=24)$, or the inactive II placebo condition $(n=21)$. The same chronobiological and placebo conditions were randomly assigned in week $1-4$, but without initial ICBTI, to 88 participants (BL: $n=24$, PA: $n=24$, WB: $n=20$, II: $n=20$ ). These 88 participants were given ICBTI in week 6-9.

## T0-T1 Changes in Sleep Efficiency

Mixed effect models showed a $5.55 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.95-10.15 \%, P=.02$ ) increase in SE between T0 and T1, irrespective of ICBTI or CT condition (eFigure 1). SE increased by an additional 6.69\% (95\% CI: $0.34-13.03 \%, P=.04$ ) in participants that completed ICBTI between T0 and T1. Changes in SE were not affected by any CT or CT by ICBTI interaction (all $P>.15$, Supplementary Table 5). A model that combined the three active CT's into a single 'any CT ' condition did not show main or interaction effects either ( $P>.28$, Table 2). The findings indicate that at T 1 , there was an effect ICBTI but not of any of the three active CTs beyond the CT placebo condition.

## T0-T2 Changes in Sleep Efficiency

At T2 relative to T0, SE had increased by $13.44 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 9.48 - $17.40 \%$ ) in participants that had just received ICBTI from week 6 to 9 , which was significantly more $(P=.04)$ than in participants that had received ICBTI already in week 1 to 4 , where the increase was $6.93 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2.32-11.54 \%$ ). This difference indicates a gradual loss of the initial gain in SE that the latter group had initially reached immediately after completion of ICBTI.

Of particular relevance to maintenance of initial benefits, a noticeable effect of combining ICBTI with CT in week 1-4 appeared only at T2. At T2 relative to T0, SE had increased by $12.89 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $10.06-15.71 \%$ ) in participants that combined ICBTI in week 1 to 4 with any active CT, which was almost twice ( $P=.003$, Table 3 ) the increase seen in participants that had received ICBTI in combination with placebo CT ( $6.90 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2.76-11.04 \%$ ). Figure 2 shows group means across T0 to T 2 within the participants that received ICBTI during week 1-4, either with or without CT. Separate analyses on combining ICBTI with each of the three individual CTs showed highly similar improvements from T0 to T2 (BL: 13.83\%, 95\%CI: $10.05-17.61$; PA: $13.28 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 7.22-$ 19.36; WB: $11.38 \%$, $95 \%$ CI: $6.66-16.10$ ). Supplementary Table 6 shows that whole modelestimated effects are also similar. There was no difference in fit between the model with separate CTs
and the more parsimonious model that analyzed them as a combined 'any $\mathrm{CT}^{\prime}$ condition (likelihoodratio test $\left.\chi^{2}=5.97, d f=8, P=.65\right)$.

## Prior Expectations and Post-intervention Attribution

Expected effects. Participants expected no significant sleep improvement in case they would not receive intervention $(-0.05 \pm 0.77, \mathrm{t}(173)=-0.89, P=.38)$ and significantly more with the placebo or any active CT $(0.97 \pm 0.76, \sim$ slightly improve $, \mathrm{t}(173)=13.02, P<.001)$ and with ICBTI $(1.33 \pm$ $1.02, \sim$ slightly improve, $\mathrm{t}(173)=15.96, P<.001)$. Expectancies did not differ among the placebo or active $\operatorname{CTs}\left(F_{3.170}=0.55, P=.64\right)$, but were overall lower for CT than for ICBTI $(\mathrm{t}(173)=6.19, P<$ .001). Details can be found in Supplementary Table 4.

Post-intervention attribution of sleep changes to treatment. Assessed at T2, the effects attributed to CT interventions did not differ between groups randomized to the inactive or any of the active CT interventions $\left(\mathrm{F}_{3.162}=1.43, P=.23\right)$. Similarly, the effects attributed to ICBTI was not different for participants that received ICBTI in week 1-4 and those that received ICBTI in week 6-9 ( $\mathrm{F}_{1.154}=0.29$, $P=.59$ ). However, participants attributed a stronger effect to ICBTI than to CT (t $(155)=7.59, P<$ .001). Details can be found in Supplementary Table 4.
Compliance. Diaries confirmed adherence to the exclusive use of the allocated CT during the larger part of the days of weeks 1-4. The number of days of compliance differed between $\mathrm{CTs}\left(F_{3,163}=9.77\right.$, $P<.001$ ). Compliance was better to II and BL than to PA and WB (Tukey, all $\mathrm{P}<.01$ ). Paired t-tests showed that compliance to ICBTI was worse than compliance to II $(\mathrm{t}(39)=-5.24, P<.001)$ and BL $(\mathrm{t}(40)=-3.01, P=.004)$ but not different from compliance to $\mathrm{PA}(\mathrm{t}(36)=-0.69, P=.50)$ and WB $(\mathrm{t}(41)=0.90, P=.37)$. Details can be found in Supplementary Table 4.

Attrition. The CONSORT flowchart (Supplementary Figure 1) provides detailed information on the attrition. Interventions and assessments were completed by 167 (95\%) of the participants at T1 and by $156(89 \%)$ at T2 (Figure 1). Attrition was independent of assignment to CT condition $\left(\chi^{2}=7.08, d f\right.$ $=3, P=.07)$ and timing of $\operatorname{ICBTI}\left(\chi^{2}=0.89, d f=1, P=.34\right)$.

## T0-T1 Changes in Secondary Outcome Measures

Supplementary Table 2 shows the estimated effects of time and time by treatment between T0 and T1 for all outcome measures. Supplementary Tables 7 and 8 show group means (SD). A significant time by treatment effect indicated that ICBTI reduced subjectively reported TIB by 31.29 minutes $(95 \%$ CI: -57.23 - -5.34 min., $P=.02$ ) and actigraphically estimated TST by 29.89 minutes ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : -$54.70--5.08 \mathrm{~min} ., P=.02$ ). None of the CTs showed any effect on the actigraphy or diary measures. Secondary outcomes assessed by questionnaires suggested that ICBTI induced improvements on the ISI, DBAS, GSES and PSAS-cognitive subscale, indicating reduced insomnia severity, arousal, dysfunctional thoughts and sleep effort (Supplementary Table 2 and 5).

## T0-T2 Changes in Secondary Outcome Measures

Supplementary Table 3 shows the estimated effects of time and time by treatment outcome measures between T0 and T2. Supplementary Tables 7 and 8 show group means (SD). Effect estimates indicate that the late benefits on sleep efficiency gained by combining ICBTI with any CT in week 1-4 were also seen in subjective SOL, WASO, TST and complaints about early morning awakening and daytime functioning. To facilitate interpretation of the main and interaction effect coefficients, group mean changes were calculated. In participants that initially combined ICBTI with any active CT, SOL decreased by 23.60 minutes ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $-33.94--13.27 \mathrm{~min}$ ), which was significantly more $(P=.04)$ than in those who combined ICBTI in week 1-4 with the placebo CT ( $-0.49 \mathrm{~min} ., 95 \% \mathrm{CI}:-16.42-$ 15.43 min.$)$. In those who combined ICBTI with active CT, WASO decreased by 64.29 minutes ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $-80.06--48.52 \mathrm{~min}$.), which was significantly more $(P=.005$ ) than in those who combined ICBTI with placebo CT ( -12.94 minutes, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $-44.89-19.01 \mathrm{~min}$.). In those who combined ICBTI with active CT, TST increased by 49.87 minutes ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $37.08-62.66 \mathrm{~min}$.), which again was significantly more $(P=.01)$ than in those who combined ICBTI with placebo CT (17.38 minutes, $95 \%$ CI: - $5.28-40.04 \mathrm{~min}$.). None of the actigraphy outcome measures showed significant ICBTI by CT by time interaction effects. The secondary outcome measures that were assessed by questionnaires did not show ICBTI by CT by time interaction effects, although some measures showed strongly significant $(P<.001)$ improvements between T 0 and T 2 for all participants, irrespective of treatment or timing (ISI, DBAS, GSES and Sleep Self-Efficacy Scale (SSES), see Table 3).

## Supplementary Discussion

The present study is the first to evaluate, within a single design, the effects of CT, ICBTI and their interaction on sleep efficiency in Insomnia Disorder. ICBTI improved SE, whereas none of the active CTs did as compared to their placebo control condition. Adding CT to ICBTI did however have benefits that appeared only at follow-up. For participants that only received ICBTI, a part of the initial sleep efficiency improvement was lost during the month following completion of treatment. Those that received ICBTI in combination with any active CT better maintained their initial gain in sleep efficiency and moreover fell asleep more easily, slept longer and had less nocturnal wakefulness. Future studies on combined treatment could extend the follow-up period to evaluate the duration of the benefit.

Since SE indexes the time spent asleep during the time spent in bed, an increase does not necessarily indicate more, or better, sleep. SE increases equally with a mere reduction of TIB without change in time asleep. Immediate effects of ICBTI on SE are indeed at least partly driven by the reduced time in bed demanded by the sleep restriction intervention that is integral part of ICBTI. However, at T2, the participants that had combined ICBTI with CT in week 1-4 experienced more sleep and less wake
compared to those who only received ICBTI in week 1-4, while time in bed did not differ. Supported by additional benefits to subjective SOL, WASO, TST and complaints about early morning awakening and daytime functioning, the findings suggest that the addition of either Bright Light, Physical Activity or Warm Baths solidifies the sleep improvement induced by ICBTI.
It was unexpected that four weeks of stand-alone CT, without ICBTI, did not improve sleep efficiency or any of the other outcomes. Meta-analysis suggests the application of bright light to be moderately efficacious in the treatment of Insomnia Disorder [73]. Meta-analyses also suggested improvement in sleep [74] and insomnia complaints [31] with physical activity, although it might take a longer intervention to reach clinically relevant effects [30]. Slowly developing and delayed effects of chronobiological interventions have been noted before $[27,75]$ and may involve slow adaptation of the neuronal network of the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN [14]), the biological clock of the brain. Such a slow process, however, does not explain why late benefits were only found when CT was combined with ICBTI, not for CT without ICBTI. One possibility is that the presence of disturbed sleep interferes with adaptation of the neuronal network of the $\operatorname{SCN}$ [76, 77]. A hypothetical mechanism could be that the induction of a more consolidated sleep period by ICBTI might promote effectiveness of CT in slowly adapting the neuronal network of the SCN and promoting sound sleep. It should be noted that the fact that circadian disruption can generate insomnia complaints does not imply that it is the key underlying mechanism for the majority of cases with insomnia disorder. The chronotype of our sample did not deviate from the distribution reported for their age in populationbased epidemiological studies [71, 72]. CTs may be more effective in themselves for insomnia complaints with an evident involvement of circadian disruption. Likewise, while ample human and animal studies demonstrated that the timed application of bright light, physical activity and warm baths can support a solid circadian rhythm, each of these three interventions can also have effects that do not involve the biological clock.

Actigraphic sleep estimates were not sensitive enough to pick up the subjectively experienced improvement in sleep efficiency. Whereas actigraphy is commonly used to estimate polysomnographic sleep measures, the estimates are not very accurate in people with Insomnia Disorder [78]. In fact, even the gold standard polysomnographic sleep measures do not reflect the subjective experience of people suffering from insomnia well [79, 80]. The conscious experience of wakefulness during sleep concerns complex interactions in brain activity that are insufficiently captured by polysomnography [81, 82]. Insomnia disorder is therefore diagnosed on subjective complaints, which are recommended for clinical investigations.
Limitations. A few limitations of our study should be mentioned. First, the intervention period of 4 weeks might not be long enough for effects of stand-alone CT to emerge. As mentioned above, some studies investigating chronobiology-based interventions reported slowly developing effects. Second, the strict exclusion of people diagnosed with psychological disorders, may have led to a sample with low baseline scores on secondary outcome measures related to complaints about mood, affect and
quality of life, thus leaving little room for improvement. It remains to be evaluated how our findings generalize to people with other disorders comorbid to Insomnia Disorder. Third, and related, the number of secondary outcome measures was large, and the few significant effects found would not survive adjustment for multiple comparisons. This finding suggests that there is no indication of overall widespread multivariate benefits of the interventions. A fourth limitation of the present study could be that we aimed to evaluate different chronobiological interventions side by side. As detailed in our registered trial protocol [16] the sample sizes were calculated for detecting small to medium effect sizes. The reason for evaluating individual chronobiological interventions next to each other, rather than combining them was based on a previous small uncontrolled open label study [15]. In that study, we did combine the three chronobiological interventions, and learned from participants that it was very taxing. We therefore considered it of both fundamental and clinical value to study the effects of the individual interventions: if effect sizes would differ considerably, future interventions could prioritize the most effective of the three. A direct comparison between the effectiveness of CBTI and the isolated CTs may not be completely fair, because CBTI is a multicomponent treatment. Although the combination of all three CT interventions may be demanding, future studies could investigate whether such a multicomponent CT would have stronger effects. A further limitation of the current study is that we cannot generalize the findings to people with insomnia that use sleep medication. The current study is the first to evaluate whether chronobiological treatment can compare to, and add to, the effects of ICBTI. The most commonly used sleep medications, benzodiazepines and melatonin, both have pronounced effects on the biological clock (for review see [83]), which could mask or interact in unpredictable ways with the nonpharmacological interventions we applied. To maintain a tractable sample size, it therefore seemed appropriate to start with a sample where such unpredictable masking and interaction effects would not increase heterogeneity and dilute effects. Future studies could evaluate added effects of chronobiological treatment in people with insomnia that use sleep medication.

Clinical Relevance. While there is no consensus on what minimal clinically important differences are, we facilitated interpretation by providing whole-sample means and standard deviations at onset and effect sizes $d$ of interventions [84, 85]. With a (post-hoc assessed) overall between-subject standard deviation of 15.00 at onset, a small ( $d=0.2$ ) effect implies a post-treatment group difference in sleep efficiency of $3 \%$, and a medium ( $d=0.5$ ) effect a post-treatment group difference of $7.5 \%$. Whereas our study is not directly comparable because it does not include long-term follow-up, some studies found slight decreases at follow-up of initial gains in sleep efficiency immediately after treatment [6, 86]. A previous meta-analysis reported a decrease in effect size (ES) of sleep-diary reported sleep efficiency benefits of face-to-face CBTI from immediately after treatment ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.86$ ) to 3 -months ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.81$ ) and 12-months ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.54$ ) [87]. Meta-analysis specifically on ICBTI differ in their conclusion. While one found no indication of a decrease in (ES) of sleep efficiency benefits of CBTI from immediately after treatment ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.58$ ) to 1-4-months follow-up (ES=0.57) [10], another
meta-analysis reported that the $7.7 \%$ sleep efficiency benefit immediately following treatment reduced to a $4.4 \%$ benefit at 1-24 months follow-up [11]. Of note however, in these meta-analyses, the improvements in the control groups contribute to the waning group differences over time, which we also found in our study. Still, it has been noted that given the recurrent or persistent nature of insomnia, short-term treatment may not be completely sufficient and maintenance CBT booster sessions might optimize long-term outcomes [6]. In their comprehensive review on insomnia, Morin and Benca concluded that even patients who respond well to short-term therapy can be vulnerable to recurrent episodes of insomnia and that long-term maintenance therapies to prevent or minimize insomnia recurrence need to be developed and assessed [2]. Our motivation to evaluate the possible value of adding chronotherapeutic interventions was based on this need.

The initial sleep efficiency in our sample was $69.4 \%$, which is at the lower range of the pooled baseline estimate reported in a meta-analysis on ICBTI (mean 72, $95 \%$ CI 69-75\%) [11]. The ICBTIinduced improvements in our study were comparable to the pooled estimate for improvement of this meta-analysis (mean 7.2, $95 \%$ CI 5.1-9.3\%) [11]. The meta-analysis shows that it is not that common for ICBTI to increase sleep efficiency to values well above $85 \%$, which is commonly considered as the cutoff for a normal sleep efficiency [53]. A closer look into the studies reported in the metaanalysis revealed that a post-treatment sleep efficiency $>85 \%$ was only found in 2 out of the 9 studies that reported sleep efficiency. In these two studies, the pre-treatment sleep efficiency was relatively high. Across studies, the post-treatment sleep efficiency showed a correlation of $\mathrm{r}=0.56$ with the pretreatment sleep efficiency, and age showed a correlation of $\mathrm{r}=-0.73$ with pre-treatment sleep efficiency [11]. Efficiency is the sleep variable that shows the strongest decline with age, especially from 40 yrs on [88]. Therefore, a post-treatment sleep efficiency $>85 \%$ may be especially hard to attain for older people and people with more severe insomnia.
Conclusion. The sleep efficiency of people suffering from Insomnia Disorder benefits from four weeks of ICBTI. Whereas this benefit would otherwise weaken over time, the addition of CT helps to maintain the effect on sleep efficiency and moreover improves sleep onset latency, total sleep time, nocturnal wakefulness and complaints about early morning awakening and daytime functioning. No benefit could be demonstrated for CT as stand-alone therapy. CT interventions are low in cost and risk, making them a promising addition to consolidate ICBTI effects on sleep in Insomnia Disorder.
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| week 1-4 | Inactive Ionizer | Bright Light | Physical Activity | Warm Baths | Inactive Ionizer <br> + ICBTI | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bright } \\ \text { Light } \\ + \text { ICBTI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Physical Activity <br> + ICBTI | Warm Baths + ICBTI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic week 6-9 | ICBTI | ICBTI | ICBTI | ICBTI | no treatment |  |  |  | All | Statistic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value |
| N | 20 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 175 |  |  |
| Age, mean (SD), y | 51.3 (10.2) | 47.8 (13.8) | 50.8 (11.6) | 53.2 (6.4) | 52.3 (13.6) | 50.4 (9.9) | 50.7 (12.8) | 51.7 (10.0) | 51.0 (11.2) | $\mathrm{F}_{7,167}=0.45$ | . 87 |
| Female, No. (\%) | 15 (75) | 22 (92) | 20 (83) | 16 (80) | 17 (81) | 13 (62) | 17 (81) | 18 (75) | 138 (79) | $\chi^{2}=6.79$ | . 45 |
| Education Level, No. (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{2}=16.14$ | . 30 |
| Secondary education or less | 7 (35) | 9 (38) | 8 (33) | 4 (20) | 9 (43) | 9 (43) | 11 (52) | 6 (25) | 63 (36) |  |  |
| Post-secondary education | 7 (35) | 12 (50) | 8 (33) | 5 (25) | 8 (38) | 6 (29) | 6 (29) | 10 (42) | 62 (35) |  |  |
| University | 6 (30) | 3 (12) | 8 (33) | 11 (55) | 4 (19) | 6 (29) | 4 (19) | 8 (33) | 50 (29) |  |  |
| Occupation, No. (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{2}=12.40$ | . 57 |
| Employed fullime | 7 (35) | 7 (29) | 11 (46) | 12 (60) | 9 (43) | 11 (52) | 8 (38) | 14 (58) | 79 (45) |  |  |
| Employed parttime | 7 (35) | 10 (42) | 8 (33) | 6 (30) | 4 (19) | 7 (33) | 8 (38) | 5 (21) | 55 (32) |  |  |
| Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6 (30) | 7 (29) | 5 (21) | 2 (10) | 8 (38) | 3 (14) | 5 (24) | 5 (21) | 41 (23) |  |  |
| Marital Status, No. (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{2}=5.65$ | . 58 |
| With partner ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 11 (55) | 14 (58) | 16 (67) | 12 (60) | 14 (66) | 13 (62) | 15 (71) | 20 (83) | 115 (66) |  |  |
| Without partner ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 9 (45) | 10 (42) | 8 (33) | 8 (40) | 7 (34) | 8 (38) | 6 (29) | 4 (17) | 60 (34) |  |  |
| Health, No.(\%) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\chi^{2}=16.23$ | . 30 |
| Current Somatic Disorderf | 14 (70) | 10 (41) | 17 (71) | 7 (35) | 15 (71) | 10 (48) | 10 (48) | 12 (50) | 95 (54) |  |  |
| Past Somatic Disorder | 4 (20) | 9 (38) | 3 (12) | 7 (35) | 4 (20) | 8 (40) | 6 (27) | 9 (38) | 50 (29) |  |  |
| Insomnia duration, mean (SD), $\mathrm{y}^{\text {g }}$ | 7.2 (9.7) | 9.9 (14.4) | 12.5 (12.0) | 8.6 (12.4) | 8.0 (8.6) | 9.7 (11.1) | 7.1 (6.9) | 10.6 (12.5) | 9.3 (11.2) | $\mathrm{F}_{7,155}=0.58$ | . 78 |
| ISI, mean (SD) | 16.00 (4.74) | 16.92 (3.20) | 15.13 (4.61) | 16.50 (3.44) | 16.76 (4.31) | 15.81 (3.30) | 16.95 (3.92) | 16.79 (4.23) | 16.35 (3.98) | $\mathrm{F}_{7,167}=0.61$ | . 75 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Significance test results are between group effects from ANOVA's for continues variables ( $F$ statistic) and chisquared tests for categorical variables (c2 statistic). All 8 combinations of the 2 by 4 factorial design are assessed as separate groups.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ including unemployed, homemaker and student
${ }^{-}$married, legal partnership or legal cohabitation
${ }^{d}$ single, divorced, widowed
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ If a participant had both a past and a current disorder, they had to indicate 'current'
 ${ }^{9}$ Insomnia duration, derived from the Duke's Structured Interview for Sleeping Disorders (DSISD), was not correctly filled out by 12 participants

| Outcome measure | T1 - T0 |  |  | ICBTI x T1-T0 |  |  | Any CT x T1-T0 |  |  | ICBTI x Any CT x T1-T0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $P$ Value |
| Sleep Diary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) (Primary) | 5.55 (2.36) | 0.34 | . 02 | 6.69 (3.25) | 0.41 | . 04 | -2.93 (2.69) | 0.18 | . 28 | 3.42 (3.73) | 0.21 | . 36 |
| Time in Bed (min) | -8.08 (9.58) | 0.13 | . 40 | -31.29 (13.24) | 0.52 | . 02 | 10.31 (10.92) | 0.17 | . 35 | 1.99 (15.19) | 0.03 | . 90 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | 0.41 (8.70) | 0.01 | . 96 | -16.71 (12.03) | 0.25 | . 17 | -2.60 (9.93) | 0.04 | . 79 | -6.25 (13.81) | 0.09 | . 65 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -2.54 (16.95) | 0.02 | . 88 | -44.34 (23.43) | 0.37 | . 06 | -5.94 (19.34) | 0.05 | . 76 | -14.64 (26.89) | 0.12 | . 59 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | 16.65 (10.93) | 0.19 | . 13 | 10.19 (15.08) | 0.12 | . 50 | -3.81 (12.47) | 0.04 | . 76 | 17.03 (17.31) | 0.19 | . 33 |
| Average Daytime Functioning | 0.16 (0.16) | 0.26 | . 32 | -0.14 (0.22) | 0.23 | . 52 | -0.10 (0.19) | 0.16 | . 60 | 0.22 (0.26) | 0.36 | . 39 |
| Difficulty Initiating Sleep | -0.22 (0.24) | 0.16 | . 36 | -0.20 (0.34) | 0.14 | . 55 | 0.07 (0.28) | 0.05 | . 80 | -0.05 (0.38) | 0.04 | . 89 |
| Difficulty Maintainting Sleep | -0.70 (0.19) | 0.50 | <. 001 | 0.01 (0.26) | 0.01 | . 96 | 0.16 (0.22) | 0.11 | . 47 | -0.29 (0.30) | 0.21 | . 33 |
| Early Morning Awakening | -0.54 (0.24) | 0.38 | . 03 | 0.11 (0.33) | 0.07 | . 75 | -0.10 (0.27) | 0.07 | . 71 | -0.47 (0.38) | 0.33 | . 22 |
| Actigraphy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) | 0.01 (1.45) | 0.00 | . 99 | 1.56 (2.03) | 0.19 | . 44 | 0.12 (1.69) | 0.02 | . 94 | 0.76 (2.36) | 0.09 | . 75 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | -0.47 (2.76) | 0.03 | . 87 | 0.30 (3.87) | 0.02 | . 94 | 2.66 (3.20) | 0.16 | . 41 | -3.52 (4.48) | 0.21 | . 43 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.21 (5.29) | 0.09 | . 54 | -14.17 (7.42) | 0.40 | . 06 | -6.85 (6.16) | 0.20 | . 27 | 9.25 (8.61) | 0.26 | . 28 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | -4.16 (9.02) | 0.07 | . 65 | -29.89 (12.66) | 0.51 | . 02 | 7.09 (10.51) | 0.12 | . 50 | 4.78 (14.69) | 0.08 | . 75 |
| Average Sleep Bout Duration (min) | -0.36 (9.26) | 0.01 | . 97 | 7.94 (12.97) | 0.22 | . 54 | 15.21 (10.68) | 0.41 | . 16 | -20.40 (14.96) | 0.56 | . 17 |
| Average Wake Bout Duration (min) | -0.01 (0.05) | 0.02 | . 90 | 0.00 (0.07) | 0.01 | . 96 | -0.05 (0.06) | 0.14 | . 38 | -0.01 (0.08) | 0.03 | . 90 |
| Sleep related surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insomnia Severity Index | -1.81 (0.90) | 0.65 | . 05 | -2.93 (1.25) | 1.06 | . 02 | 1.08 (1.02) | 0.39 | . 29 | -1.66 (1.43) | 0.60 | . 25 |
| Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes | -1.92 (1.99) | 0.32 | . 34 | -6.65 (2.74) | 1.11 | . 02 | 0.78 (2.25) | 0.13 | . 73 | -2.83 (3.13) | 0.47 | . 37 |
| Glasgow Sleep Effort Scale | -0.88 (0.46) | -0.64 | . 06 | -1.28 (0.63) | 0.93 | . 04 | 0.69 (0.52) | 0.50 | . 18 | -0.04 (0.72) | 0.03 | . 95 |
| Sleep Locus Of Control | 0.71 (0.93) | 0.25 | . 44 | -0.26 (1.30) | 0.09 | . 84 | -0.51 (1.06) | 0.18 | . 63 | 2.81 (1.48) | 0.98 | . 06 |
| Sleep Self-Efficacy Scale | 1.08 (1.18) | 0.29 | . 36 | 2.10 (1.65) | 0.57 | . 20 | -0.89 (1.35) | 0.24 | . 51 | 0.49 (1.89) | 0.13 | . 80 |
| Hyperarousal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Somatic | 1.15 (0.96) | 0.46 | . 23 | -0.76 (1.29) | 0.30 | . 56 | -0.86 (1.07) | 0.34 | . 42 | -0.18 (1.46) | 0.07 | . 90 |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Cognitive | 0.74 (1.57) | 0.18 | . 64 | -4.84 (2.13) | 1.18 | . 02 | -2.55 (1.76) | 0.62 | . 15 | 4.83 (2.41) | 1.17 | . 05 |
| Arousal Predisposition Scale | -0.99 (1.11) | 0.34 | . 37 | 1.60 (1.50) | 0.55 | . 29 | 0.97 (1.24) | 0.34 | . 44 | -1.80 (1.70) | 0.62 | . 29 |
| Hyper Arousal Scale | -1.29 (1.13) | 0.44 | . 26 | 1.83 (1.53) | 0.62 | . 23 | 1.01 (1.27) | 0.34 | . 43 | -1.93 (1.73) | 0.65 | . 27 |
| Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale | -0.55 (0.81) | 0.26 | . 50 | 0.52 (1.09) | 0.25 | . 63 | 0.23 (0.90) | 0.11 | . 80 | -0.95 (1.24) | 0.45 | . 44 |
| Mood and Affect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anxiety (HADS) | -0.19 (0.52) | 0.12 | . 71 | -1.21 (0.72) | 0.75 | . 10 | 0.48 (0.59) | 0.30 | . 42 | 0.01 (0.83) | 0.00 | . 99 |
| Depression (HADS) | -0.12 (0.59) | 0.07 | . 84 | -1.11 (0.82) | 0.61 | . 18 | 0.02 (0.67) | 0.01 | . 97 | 0.24 (0.94) | 0.13 | . 80 |
| Positive Affect (PANAS) | 1.18 (1.25) | 0.30 | . 35 | 0.28 (1.75) | 0.07 | . 87 | -2.12 (1.43) | 0.55 | . 14 | 2.25 (2.00) | 0.58 | . 26 |
| Negative Affect (PANAS) | -0.07 (0.97) | 0.02 | . 95 | -2.76 (1.36) | 0.92 | . 04 | 0.84 (1.11) | 0.28 | . 45 | 1.07 (1.55) | 0.36 | . 49 |
| Temporal Experience of Pleasure | 3.03 (1.72) | 0.57 | . 08 | -3.19 (2.40) | 0.60 | . 19 | -3.56 (1.96) | 0.67 | . 07 | 5.21 (2.75) | 0.98 | . 06 |
| Quality of Life SF36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Component Summary | -0.18 (1.26) | 0.05 | . 89 | 0.36 (1.78) | 0.09 | . 84 | -0.26 (1.44) | 0.07 | . 86 | 0.09 (2.03) | 0.02 | . 96 |
| Mental Component Summary | 0.72 (1.77) | 0.13 | . 68 | 2.57 (2.50) | 0.47 | . 31 | -1.40 (2.02) | 0.26 | . 49 | 0.97 (2.85) | 0.18 | . 73 |

[^0](HADS) ( $\beta$ (SE) $=2.00(0.93), P=.03$ and SF 36 Physical Component Summary ( $\beta(\mathrm{SE})=3.09(1.52), P=.04)$
Abbreviations: SE, standard error of b; ICBTI, Internet-based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia; CT, Chronobiological Treatment; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; PANAS Positive Affect Negative Affect Scale; SF36, Short Form 36. Effect estimates were obtained from intent-to-treat mixed-effect regression analyses.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Effect size is calculated by dividing the effect estimate (b) by the residual standard deviation of the model
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Wake After Sleep Onset includes the time awake after final awakening, but before Lights On

Table 3. Estimated effects of time and time by treatment interactions on all outcome measures at T2 relative to T0

| Outcome measure | T2 - $\mathrm{TO}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ICBTI first $\times$ T2-T0 |  |  | Any CT x T2-T0 |  |  | ICBTI first x Any CT x T2-T0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta$ (SE) | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value |
| Sleep Diary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) (Primary) | 13.39 (2.26) | 0.85 | <. 001 | -6.48 (3.12) | 0.41 | . 04 | -5.08 (2.61) | 0.32 | . 05 | 11.07 (3.61) | 0.70 | . 003 |
| Time in Bed (min) | -29.88 (8.92) | 0.51 | . 001 | 7.56 (12.35) | 0.13 | . 54 | 5.06 (10.29) | 0.09 | . 62 | 1.76 (14.29) | 0.03 | . 90 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | -18.40 (8.34) | 0.30 | . 03 | 17.89 (11.53) | 0.29 | . 12 | 4.04 (9.63) | 0.07 | . 68 | -27.21 (13.36) | 0.44 | . 04 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -58.53 (14.56) | 0.54 | <. 001 | 45.70 (20.13) | 0.42 | . 02 | 14.53 (16.82) | 0.13 | . 39 | -66.14 (23.33) | 0.61 | . 01 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | 35.34 (11.26) | 0.41 | . 002 | -17.95 (15.56) | 0.21 | . 25 | -14.43 (13.01) | 0.17 | . 27 | 46.92 (18.04) | 0.55 | . 01 |
| Average Daytime Functioning | 0.46 (0.17) | 0.77 | . 01 | -0.49 (0.23) | 0.82 | . 04 | -0.26 (0.19) | 0.44 | . 18 | 0.54 (0.27) | 0.90 | . 05 |
| Difficulty Initiating Sleep | -0.35 (0.22) | 0.25 | . 12 | 0.02 (0.31) | 0.01 | . 96 | 0.03 (0.26) | 0.02 | . 92 | -0.12 (0.36) | 0.09 | . 74 |
| Difficulty Maintaining Sleep | -1.00 (0.24) | 0.72 | <. 001 | 0.28 (0.33) | 0.20 | . 40 | 0.27 (0.27) | 0.19 | . 32 | -0.47 (0.38) | 0.34 | . 21 |
| Early Morning Awakening | -1.05 (0.23) | 0.75 | <. 001 | 0.37 (0.32) | 0.27 | . 24 | 0.23 (0.27) | 0.16 | . 40 | -0.74 (0.37) | 0.53 | . 05 |
| Actigraphy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) | 2.62 (1.47) | 0.34 | . 08 | -0.29 (2.10) | 0.04 | . 89 | -1.73 (1.74) | 0.22 | . 32 | 0.88 (2.45) | 0.11 | . 72 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | -2.73 (2.55) | 0.16 | . 29 | 0.95 (3.66) | 0.05 | . 80 | 4.13 (3.04) | 0.24 | . 18 | 0.37 (4.28) | 0.02 | . 93 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -5.99 (5.45) | 0.18 | . 27 | -8.82 (7.80) | 0.27 | . 26 | 0.91 (6.48) | 0.03 | . 89 | 7.67 (9.13) | 0.23 | . 40 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | -18.87 (9.06) | 0.33 | . 04 | 5.02 (12.98) | 0.09 | . 70 | 1.75 (10.78) | 0.03 | . 87 | -1.91 (15.19) | 0.03 | . 90 |
| Average Sleep Bout Duration (min) | 0.98 (9.89) | 0.03 | . 92 | 14.97 (13.97) | 0.50 | . 28 | -0.27 (11.56) | 0.01 | . 98 | -5.32 (16.22) | 0.18 | . 74 |
| Average Wake Bout Duration (min) | -0.04 (0.06) | 0.10 | . 53 | -0.11 (0.08) | 0.30 | . 18 | -0.01 (0.07) | 0.02 | . 92 | 0.08 (0.10) | 0.23 | . 38 |
| Sleep related surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insomnia Severity Index | -5.06 (1.04) | 1.64 | <. 001 | -0.20 (1.42) | 0.07 | . 89 | -0.52 (1.19) | 0.17 | . 66 | 0.58 (1.63) | 0.19 | . 72 |
| Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes | -13.87 (2.57) | 1.83 | <. 001 | 3.63 (3.51) | 0.48 | . 30 | 2.45 (2.94) | 0.32 | . 41 | -4.11 (4.03) | 0.54 | . 31 |
| Glasgow Sleep Effort Scale | -1.92 (0.51) | 1.27 | <. 001 | -0.67 (0.70) | 0.44 | . 34 | 0.23 (0.58) | 0.15 | . 69 | 0.95 (0.80) | 0.63 | . 24 |
| Sleep Locus Of Control | 3.12 (1.12) | 0.94 | . 01 | -3.04 (1.53) | 0.92 | . 05 | -1.28 (1.28) | 0.39 | . 32 | 3.45 (1.76) | 1.04 | . 05 |
| Sleep Self-Efficacy Scale | 5.23 (1.31) | 1.35 | <. 001 | -0.17 (1.79) | 0.04 | . 93 | -0.82 (1.49) | 0.21 | . 59 | -0.87 (2.06) | 0.22 | . 67 |
| Hyperarousal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Somatic | -0.31 (1.14) | 0.10 | . 79 | 1.19 (1.54) | 0.40 | . 44 | -0.21 (1.29) | 0.07 | . 87 | -1.53 (1.76) | 0.52 | . 38 |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Cognitive | -0.90 (1.38) | 0.25 | . 52 | -0.48 (1.86) | 0.13 | . 80 | -1.69 (1.56) | 0.47 | . 28 | 0.34 (2.13) | 0.10 | . 87 |
| Arousal Predisposition Scale | -2.98 (1.12) | 1.03 | . 01 | 1.85 (1.52) | 0.64 | . 22 | 2.73 (1.27) | 0.94 | . 03 | -2.25 (1.73) | 0.77 | . 20 |
| Hyper Arousal Scale | -0.20 (1.01) | 0.08 | . 85 | -0.36 (1.36) | 0.14 | . 79 | -0.82 (1.14) | 0.32 | . 47 | -0.07 (1.56) | 0.03 | . 97 |
| Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale | -0.32 (0.86) | 0.14 | . 71 | -0.71 (1.16) | 0.32 | . 54 | -0.37 (0.98) | 0.16 | . 71 | 1.19 (1.33) | 0.53 | . 37 |
| Mood and Affect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anxiety (HADS) | -1.29 (0.60) | 0.71 | . 03 | -0.08 (0.84) | 0.04 | . 92 | 0.63 (0.69) | 0.35 | . 37 | -0.20 (0.97) | 0.11 | . 84 |
| Depression (HADS) | -1.20 (0.69) | 0.57 | . 09 | 0.14 (0.97) | 0.07 | . 89 | -0.02 (0.80) | 0.01 | . 98 | 0.08 (1.12) | 0.04 | . 94 |
| Positive Affect (PANAS) | 3.77 (1.45) | 0.86 | . 01 | -3.89 (2.02) | 0.89 | . 06 | -2.62 (1.66) | 0.60 | . 12 | 5.51 (2.33) | 1.26 | . 02 |
| Negative Affect (PANAS) | -1.97 (1.08) | 0.60 | . 07 | -1.48 (1.51) | 0.45 | . 33 | 1.68 (1.24) | 0.51 | . 18 | 0.22 (1.74) | 0.07 | . 90 |
| Temporal Experience of Pleasure | 5.26 (1.77) | 0.98 | . 003 | -4.94 (2.47) | 0.92 | . 05 | -3.84 (2.04) | 0.72 | . 06 | 4.78 (2.85) | 0.89 | . 10 |
| Quality of Life SF36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical component summary | 0.25 (1.24) | 0.07 | . 84 | -1.61 (1.71) | 0.43 | . 35 | -0.14 (1.42) | 0.04 | . 92 | 1.56 (1.97) | 0.41 | . 43 |
| Mental component summary | 3.10 (1.65) | 0.62 | . 06 | 0.67 (2.28) | 0.13 | . 77 | -1.28 (1.90) | 0.26 | . 50 | 1.46 (2.64) | 0.29 | . 58 |

The model intercept and main effects of ICBTI and any CT are not shown. Main effects for ICBTI and any CT were non significant, except for a significantly higher intercept for participants receiving any CT on Depression (HADS) ( $\beta$ (SE) $=1.92$ ( 0.89 ), $P=.03$ and SF 36 Physical Component Summary ( $\beta$ (SE) $=3.09(1.47), P=.04$ )
Abbreviations: SE, standard error of $\beta$; ICBTI, Internet-based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia; CT, Chronobiological Treatment; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; PANAS, Positive Affect Negative Affect Scale; SF36, Short Form 36. Effect estimates were obtained from intent-to-treat mixed-effect regression analyses
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Effect size is calculated by dividing the absolute effect estimate ( $\beta$ ) by the residual standard deviation of the model


| Outcome measure | T1- T0 |  |  | ICBTI x T1-TO |  |  | Bright Light $\times$ T1-T0 |  |  | Physical Activity x T1-T0 |  |  | Warm Baths x T1-T0 |  |  | ICBTI $\times$ Bright Light $\times$ T1-T0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ICBTI x Physical Activity x } \\ & \text { T1-T0 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ICBTI $\times$ Warm Baths x T1-T0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value |
| Sleep Diary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) (Primary) | 5.55 (2.35) | 0.34 | . 02 | 6.68 (3.24) | 0.41 | . 04 | -1.13 (3.20) | 0.07 | . 72 | -4.65 (3.23) | 0.28 | . 15 | -3.18 (3.28) | 0.19 | . 34 | 0.41 (4.51) | 0.02 | . 93 | 5.66 (4.55) | 0.35 | . 22 | 4.28 (4.53) | 0.26 | 35 |
| Time in Bed (min) | -8.03 (9.53) | 0.13 | 40 | -31.35 (13.18) | 0.52 | . 02 | 11.27 (12.98) | 0.19 | . 39 | 16.35 (13.11) | 0.27 | . 21 | 2.83 (13.36) | 0.05 | 83 | 0.04 (18.33) | 0.00 | 1.00 | -8.62 (18.48) | 0.14 | . 64 | 14.74 (18.41) | 0.24 | 42 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | 0.41 (8.67) | 0.01 | . 96 | -16.71 (11.99) | 0.25 | . 17 | -2.97 (11.83) | 0.04 | . 80 | -3.20 (11.94) | 0.05 | . 79 | -1.50 (12.17) | 0.02 | . 90 | 1.77 (16.69) | 0.03 | . 92 | -10.59 (16.81) | 0.16 | . 53 | -9.87 (16.76) | 0.15 | . 56 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -2.54 (16.86) | 0.02 | . 88 | -44.35 (23.31) | 0.37 | . 06 | -17.07 (23.00) | 0.14 | 46 | -7.92 (23.21) | 0.07 | . 73 | 9.10 (23.66) | 0.08 | 70 | 7.79 (32.43) | 0.06 | . 81 | -14.94 (32.68) | 0.12 | . 65 | -37.63 (32.59) | 0.31 | 25 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | 16.66 (10.92) | 0.19 | . 13 | 10.19 (15.06) | 0.12 | . 50 | 8.64 (14.90) | 0.10 | . 56 | -8.67 (15.07) | 0.10 | . 57 | -12.67 (15.29) | 0.14 | .41 | -5.97 (20.99) | 0.07 | . 78 | 22.17 (21.18) | 0.25 | . 30 | 35.34 (21.06) | 0.40 | 09 |
| Average Daytime Functioning | 0.16 (0.16) | 0.26 | . 31 | -0.14 (0.22) | 0.23 | 52 | 0.11 (0.22) | 0.18 | 60 | -0.15 (0.22) | 0.25 | . 48 | -0.27 (0.23) | 0.44 | 23 | -0.09 (0.31) | 0.15 | . 77 | 0.29 (0.31) | 0.47 | . 35 | 0.48 (0.31) | 0.77 | 13 |
| Difficulty Initiating Sleep | -0.22 (0.24) | 0.16 | . 36 | -0.20 (0.33) | 0.14 | . 55 | -0.01 (0.33) | 0.01 | . 97 | 0.23 (0.33) | 0.16 | . 49 | 0.00 (0.34) | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.09 (0.46) | 0.06 | . 85 | -0.30 (0.47) | 0.21 | . 53 | 0.04 (0.47) | 0.03 | 93 |
| Difficulty Maintaining Sleep | -0.70 (0.19) | 0.50 | < 001 | 0.01 (0.26) | 0.01 | . 96 | 0.05 (0.26) | 0.04 | . 84 | 0.33 (0.26) | 0.23 | . 22 | 0.10 (0.27) | 0.07 | . 71 | -0.21 (0.37) | 0.15 | . 56 | -0.52 (0.37) | 0.37 | . 16 | -0.16 (0.37) | 0.11 | 67 |
| Early Morning Awakening | -0.54 (0.24) | 0.38 | . 02 | 0.11 (0.33) | 0.08 | . 75 | -0.37 (0.32) | 0.26 | . 26 | -0.04 (0.33) | 0.03 | . 91 | 0.13 (0.33) | 0.09 | . 70 | -0.18 (0.45) | 0.13 | . 69 | -0.56 (0.46) | 0.40 | . 22 | -0.69 (0.46) | 0.49 | . 14 |
| Actigraphy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) | 0.01 (1.43) | 0.00 | . 99 | 1.56 (2.00) | 0.19 | 44 | -1.15 (2.02) | 0.14 | . 57 | 0.12 (2.08) | 0.02 | . 95 | 1.36 (2.05) | 0.17 | . 51 | 0.47 (2.85) | 0.06 | . 87 | 0.82 (2.89) | 0.10 | . 78 | 0.94 (2.84) | 0.12 | . 74 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | -0.47 (2.70) | 0.03 | . 86 | 0.30 (3.79) | 0.02 | . 94 | 0.93 (3.80) | 0.05 | . 81 | 7.87 (3.91) | 0.47 | . 05 | -0.39 (3.87) | 0.02 | . 92 | 0.06 (5.37) | 0.00 | . 99 | -11.89 (5.43) | 0.70 | . 03 | 0.84 (5.37) | 0.05 | . 88 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.20 (5.20) | 0.09 | . 54 | -14.15 (7.30) | 0.40 | . 05 | -3.10 (7.38) | 0.09 | . 67 | -5.32 (7.63) | 0.15 | . 49 | -11.88 (7.48) | 0.34 | . 11 | 8.90 (10.40) | 0.25 | . 39 | 12.15 (10.55) | 0.35 | . 25 | 6.91 (10.38) | 0.20 | . 51 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | -4.13 (8.96) | 0.07 | . 65 | -29.91 (12.57) | 0.51 | . 02 | 6.86 (12.70) | 0.12 | . 59 | 9.94 (13.13) | 0.17 | . 45 | 5.39 (12.87) | 0.09 | . 68 | -2.82 (17.89) | 0.05 | . 87 | 2.67 (18.16) | 0.05 | . 88 | 13.42 (17.87) | 0.23 | 45 |
| Average Sleep Bout Duration (min) | -0.36 (9.11) | 0.01 | . 97 | 7.94 (12.75) | 0.22 | . 53 | -0.43 (12.69) | 0.01 | . 97 | 25.15 (12.92) | 0.68 | . 05 | 21.04 (13.00) | 0.57 | . 11 | 0.43 (17.94) | 0.01 | . 98 | -28.96 (18.11) | 0.79 | . 11 | -32.35 (18.01) | 0.88 | . 7 |
| Average Wake Bout Duration (min) | -0.01 (0.05) | 0.02 | . 90 | 0.00 (0.07) | 0.01 | . 96 | -0.09 (0.07) | 0.24 | . 23 | 0.02 (0.07) | 0.05 | . 82 | -0.07 (0.07) | 0.21 | 30 | -0.02 (0.10) | 0.05 | 85 | -0.05 (0.10) | 0.15 | . 60 | 0.03 (0.10) | 0.08 | 76 |
| Sleep related surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insomnia Severity Index | -1.81 (0.88) | 0.66 | . 04 | -2.93 (1.23) | 1.07 | . 02 | 1.24 (1.21) | 0.45 | .31 | 1.73 (1.20) | 0.63 | . 15 | 0.21 (1.24) | 0.08 | . 86 | -1.26 (1.71) | 0.46 | . 46 | -3.77 (1.72) | 1.38 | . 03 | 0.00 (1.72) | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes | -1.91 (1.98) | 0.32 | . 34 | -6.65 (2.73) | 1.12 | . 02 | 0.97 (2.69) | 0.16 | . 72 | 1.29 (2.67) | 0.22 | . 63 | 0.06 (2.73) | 0.01 | . 98 | -1.78 (3.76) | 0.30 | . 64 | -5.06 (3.79) | 0.85 | . 18 | -1.70 (3.79) | 0.29 | 65 |
| Glasgow Sleep Effort Scale | -0.88 (0.45) | -0.65 | . 05 | -1.28 (0.62) | 0.94 | . 04 | 0.73 (0.62) | 0.53 | . 24 | 0.28 (0.61) | 0.21 | . 64 | 1.13 (0.63) | 0.83 | . 07 | 0.25 (0.86) | 0.18 | . 77 | -0.06 (0.87) | 0.04 | . 95 | -0.40 (0.87) | 0.29 | 64 |
| Sleep Locus Of Control | 0.71 (0.93) | 0.25 | . 44 | -0.27 (1.29) | 0.09 | . 84 | -0.43 (1.28) | 0.15 | . 74 | -0.69 (1.26) | 0.24 | . 58 | -0.46 (1.30) | 0.16 | . 72 | 2.36 (1.80) | 0.83 | . 19 | 3.05 (1.81) | 1.07 | . 09 | 3.03 (1.81) | 1.06 | . 10 |
| Sleep Self-Efficacy Scale | 1.08 (1.18) | 0.29 | 36 | 2.10 (1.65) | 0.58 | . 20 | -1.31 (1.62) | 0.36 | . 42 | -0.94 (1.61) | 0.26 | . 56 | -0.48 (1.65) | 0.13 | 77 | 1.23 (2.28) | 0.34 | . 59 | 1.22 (2.30) | 0.33 | . 60 | -0.89 (2.30) | 0.24 | 70 |
| Hyperarousal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Somatic | 1.15 (0.95) | 0.46 | . 23 | -0.76 (1.29) | 0.31 | . 56 | -0.50 (1.27) | 0.20 | . 69 | -1.07 (1.27) | 0.43 | . 40 | -0.98 (1.26) | 0.39 | . 44 | -0.35 (1.76) | 0.14 | . 84 | -0.08 (1.78) | 0.03 | . 97 | -0.15 (1.72) | 0.06 | 93 |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Cognitive | 0.74 (1.55) | 0.18 | . 64 | -4.84 (2.10) | 1.19 | . 02 | -1.41 (2.07) | 0.35 | . 50 | -2.56 (2.07) | 0.63 | . 22 | -3.68 (2.06) | 0.90 | . 08 | 4.17 (2.87) | 1.02 | . 15 | 3.56 (2.91) | 0.87 | . 22 | 6.46 (2.82) | 1.58 | . 02 |
| Arousal Predisposition Scale | -0.99 (1.09) | 0.35 | . 36 | 1.60 (1.47) | 0.57 | . 28 | 0.77 (1.45) | 0.27 | . 60 | 2.64 (1.44) | 0.94 | . 07 | -0.45 (1.44) | 0.16 | . 75 | -1.58 (2.00) | 0.56 | . 43 | -2.90 (2.02) | 1.03 | . 15 | -0.84 (1.96) | 0.30 | 67 |
| Hyper Arousal Scale | -1.29 (1.11) | 0.45 | . 25 | 1.83 (1.51) | 0.63 | . 23 | 1.94 (1.49) | 0.67 | . 19 | 1.47 (1.48) | 0.51 | . 32 | -0.32 (1.47) | 0.11 | . 83 | -2.65 (2.05) | 0.92 | . 20 | -3.39 (2.08) | 1.17 | . 11 | -0.02 (2.01) | 0.01 | 99 |
| Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale | -0.54 (0.79) | 0.26 | . 49 | 0.53 (1.07) | 0.26 | . 62 | 1.59 (1.05) | 0.77 | . 13 | -0.24 (1.05) | 0.12 | . 82 | -0.62 (1.05) | 0.30 | . 55 | -2.51 (1.46) | 1.22 | . 09 | -0.52 (1.47) | 0.25 | . 73 | 0.09 (1.43) | 0.04 | 95 |
| Mood and Affect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anxiety (HADS) | -0.19 (0.51) | 0.12 | . 71 | -1.21 (0.71) | 0.76 | . 09 | 0.81 (0.70) | 0.51 | . 25 | 0.37 (0.70) | 0.23 | . 60 | 0.24 (0.71) | 0.15 | . 74 | -0.27 (0.99) | 0.17 | . 79 | -0.70 (1.01) | 0.44 | . 49 | 0.87 (0.99) | 0.55 | 38 |
| Depression (HADS) | -0.12 (0.58) | 0.07 | 84 | -1.11 (0.81) | 0.62 | 17 | 0.45 (0.79) | 0.25 | . 57 | -0.27 (0.80) | 0.15 | . 74 | -0.13 (0.81) | 0.07 | 87 | 0.07 (1.12) | 0.04 | 95 | -0.19 (1.14) | 0.10 | . 87 | 0.75 (1.13) | 0.42 | 51 |
| Positive Affect (PANAS) | 1.18 (1.25) | 0.30 | . 35 | 0.28 (1.75) | 0.07 | . 87 | -1.99 (1.71) | 0.51 | . 25 | -1.98 (1.72) | 0.51 | . 25 | -2.38 (1.75) | 0.61 | . 18 | 2.05 (2.41) | 0.53 | . 40 | 2.87 (2.45) | 0.74 | . 24 | 1.93 (2.43) | 0.50 | 43 |
| Negative Affect (PANAS) | -0.07 (0.96) | 0.02 | 95 | -2.76 (1.34) | 0.93 | . 04 | 1.30 (1.31) | 0.44 | 32 | 1.45 (1.32) | 0.49 | . 28 | -0.28 (1.34) | 0.1 | . 83 | -0.28 (1.85) | 0.10 | . 88 | 0.66 (1.88) | 0.22 | . 73 | 2.90 (1.86) | 0.98 | 12 |
| Temporal Experience of Pleasure | 3.03 (1.70) | 0.58 | . 08 | -3.19 (2.38) | 0.61 | . 18 | -5.58 (2.32) | 1.06 | . 02 | -2.98 (2.34) | 0.57 | . 20 | -1.93 (2.38) | 0.37 | 42 | 6.31 (3.28) | 1.20 | . 06 | 5.98 (3.34) | 1.14 | . 07 | 3.29 (3.30) | 0.62 | 32 |
| Quality of Life SF36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Component Summary | -0.18(1.26) | 0.05 | . 89 | 0.36 (1.77) | 0.09 | . 84 | -0.53 (1.71) | 0.14 | . 76 | -0.65 (1.71) | 0.17 | . 70 | 0.50 (1.76) | 0.13 | . 77 | 0.14 (2.44) | 0.04 | . 96 | 1.08 (2.46) | 0.28 | . 66 | -0.91 (2.47) | 0.23 | . 71 |
| Mental Component Summary | 0.72 (1.74) | 0.13 | . 68 | 2.57 (2.45) | 0.48 | . 30 | -3.46 (2.37) | 0.64 | . 15 | -1.50 (2.37) | 0.28 | . 53 | 0.94 (2.43) | 0.17 | . 70 | 1.90 (3.37) | 0.35 | . 57 | -0.25 (3.41) | 0.05 | . 94 | 0.82 (3.41) | 0.15 | . 81 |
|  $P=.04$ ) and a higher intercept for participants receiving Physical Activity on Depression (HADS) ( $\beta$ (SE) $=2.48(1.11$ ), $P=.03$ ) and SF 36 Physical Component Summary ( $\beta$ (SE) $=3.61$ ( 1.80 ), $P=.05$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | xiety and | Depression S | cale; PANAS, Positiv |  | t Negative Affe | ct Scale; SF36, Short |  | 6. Effect estim | ates were obtained fro |  | nt-to-treat mix | edefeffect regression a |  |  |  |  |  |


| Outcome measure | T2 - T0 |  |  | ICBTI $\times$ T2-T0 |  |  | Bright Light $\times$ T2-T0 |  |  | Physical Activity $\times$ T2-T0 |  |  | Warm Baths $\times$ T2-T0 |  |  | ICBTI x Bright Light x T2-T0 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ICBTI x Physical Activity x } \\ \text { T2-T0 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | ICBTI $\times$ Warm Baths $\times$ T2-T0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value | $\beta$ (SE) | $d^{\text {a }}$ | $P$ Value |
| Sleep Diary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) (Primary) | 13.40 (2.25) | 0.85 | <. 001 | -6.48 (3.11) | 0.41 | . 04 | -4.06 (3.15) | 0.26 | 20 | -6.38 (3.25) | 0.40 | . 05 | -5.10 (3.17) | 0.32 | . 11 | 10.97 (4.37) | 0.69 | . 01 | 12.85 (4.50) | 0.81 | . 005 | 9.42 (4.43) | 0.60 | . 04 |
| Time in Bed (min) | -29.85 (8.83) | 0.51 | . 001 | 7.52 (12.22) | 0.13 | . 54 | 6.76 (12.31) | 0.11 | . 58 | 9.85 (12.69) | 0.17 | 44 | -0.75 (12.44) | 0.01 | . 95 | 6.45 (17.15) | 0.11 | . 71 | -15.50 (17.60) | 0.26 | . 38 | 13.71 (17.38) | 0.23 | 43 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | -18.40 (8.32) | 0.30 | . 03 | 17.88 (11.51) | 0.29 | 12 | 6.09 (11.63) | 0.10 | . 60 | 2.65 (12.01) | 0.04 | . 83 | 3.29 (11.73) | 0.05 | 78 | -27.75 (16.17) | 0.45 | . 09 | -31.18 (16.62) | 0.51 | . 06 | -22.16 (16.39) | 0.36 | 18 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -58.53 (14.47) | 0.54 | < 001 | 45.70 (20.02) | 0.42 | . 02 | 13.27 (20.25) | 0.12 | . 51 | 1.47 (20.92) | 01 | . 94 | 28.38 (20.39) | 0.26 | . 17 | -58.46 (28.13) | 0.54 | . 04 | -56.39 (28.92) | 0.52 | . 05 | -82.30 (28.51) | 0.76 | . 004 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | 35.34 (11.22) | 0.41 | . 002 | -17.95 (15.51) | 0.21 | . 25 | -7.39 (15.69) | 0.09 | . 64 | -16.01 (16.22) | 0.19 | . 33 | -20.68 (15.82) | 0.24 | . 19 | 45.92 (21.80) | 0.53 | . 04 | 42.27 (22.42) | 0.49 | . 06 | 52.35 (22.11) | 0.61 | . 02 |
| Average Daytime Functioning | 0.46 (0.16) | 0.77 | . 01 | -0.49 (0.23) | 0.83 | . 03 | -0.06 (0.23) | 0.10 | . 80 | -0.16 (0.24) | 0.27 | . 49 | -0.56 (0.23) | 0.94 | . 02 | 0.26 (0.32) | 0.43 | . 42 | 0.29 (0.33) | 0.48 | . 39 | 1.05 (0.32) | 1.76 | . 001 |
| Difficulty Initiating Sleep | -0.35 (0.22) | 0.25 | . 11 | 0.02 (0.30) | 0.01 | . 95 | -0.21 (0.31) | 0.16 | . 48 | 0.22 (0.32) | 0.16 | . 49 | 0.10 (0.31) | 0.08 | . 74 | 0.06 (0.43) | 0.05 | . 88 | -0.51 (0.44) | 0.37 | . 25 | 0.07 (0.43) | 0.05 | . 88 |
| Difficulty Maintaining Sleep | -1.00 (0.23) | 0.72 | < 001 | 0.28 (0.32) | 0.20 | . 39 | 0.10 (0.32) | 0.07 | . 77 | 0.14 (0.33) | 0.10 | . 68 | 0.56 (0.33) | 0.40 | . 09 | -0.32 (0.45) | 0.23 | . 48 | -0.41 (0.46) | 0.29 | . 38 | -0.68 (0.46) | 0.49 | . 14 |
| Early Morning Awakening | -1.05 (0.23) | 0.75 | <. 001 | 0.37 (0.32) | 0.27 | . 24 | -0.03 (0.32) | 0.02 | . 92 | 0.14 (0.33) | 0.10 | . 68 | 0.56 (0.32) | 0.40 | . 08 | -0.54 (0.44) | 0.38 | 22 | -0.59 (0.45) | 0.42 | . 19 | -1.06 (0.45) | 0.75 | . 02 |
| Actigraphy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) | 2.62 (1.46) | 0.34 | . 07 | -0.28 (2.08) | 0.04 | . 90 | -1.59 (2.15) | 0.20 | . 46 | -0.45 (2.26) | 0.06 | . 84 | -2.88 (2.14) | 0.37 | . 18 | -0.23 (2.99) | 0.03 | . 94 | -0.41 (3.08) | 0.05 | . 89 | 3.04 (3.00) | 0.39 | 31 |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) | -2.72 (2.55) | 0.16 | . 29 | 0.94 (3.65) | 0.05 | . 80 | 2.84 (3.76) | 0.16 | . 45 | 5.23 (3.96) | 0.30 | . 19 | 4.34 (3.75) | 0.25 | . 25 | 0.73 (5.25) | 0.04 | . 89 | 1.01 (5.40) | 0.06 | . 85 | -0.59 (5.26) | 0.03 | . 91 |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -5.97 (5.45) | 0.18 | . 28 | -8.85 (7.80) | 0.27 | . 26 | 3.69 (8.04) | 0.11 | . 65 | -1.16 (8.49) | 0.04 | . 89 | -0.07 (8.01) | 0.00 | . 99 | 5.38 (11.22) | 0.16 | . 63 | 9.19 (11.55) | 0.28 | . 43 | 8.67 (11.24) | 0.26 | 44 |
| Total Sleep Time (min) | -18.88 (8.96) | 0.33 | . 04 | 5.10 (12.84) | 0.09 | . 69 | 0.26 (13.25) | 0.00 | . 98 | 12.46 (14.01) | 0.22 | . 38 | -4.53 (13.19) | 0.08 | . 73 | -1.53 (18.48) | 0.03 | . 93 | -20.23 (19.04) | 0.36 | . 29 | 13.34 (18.51) | 0.23 | 47 |
| Average Sleep Bout Duration (min) | 0.98 (9.80) | 0.03 | 92 | 14.97 (13.85) | 0.50 | . 28 | 1.72 (14.00) | 0.06 | 90 | -1.61 (14.50) | 0.05 | . 91 | -0.58 (14.22) | 0.02 | . 97 | 7.36 (19.67) | 0.25 | . 71 | -8.72 (20.06) | 0.29 | . 66 | -15.29 (19.81) | 0.51 | . 44 |
| Average Wake Bout Duration (min) | -0.04 (0.06) | 0.10 | 53 | -0.11 (0.08) | 0.30 | 18 | -0.06 (0.09) | 0.16 | . 50 | 0.03 (0.09) | 0.07 | . 78 | 0.02 (0.08) | 0.04 | . 85 | 0.12 (0.12) | 0.34 | 30 | 0.08 (0.12) | 0.2 | . 53 | 0.05 (0.12) | 0.13 | 69 |
| Sleep related surveys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insomnia Severity Index | -5.06 (1.01) | 1.68 | < 001 | -0.20 (1.39) | 0.07 | . 88 | -1.96 (1.39) | 0.65 | . 16 | 0.91 (1.44) | 0.30 | . 53 | -0.39 (1.39) | 0.13 | . 78 | 1.91 (1.93) | 0.64 | . 32 | -2.18(1.97) | 0.72 | . 27 | 1.92 (1.93) | 0.64 | . 32 |
| Dysfunctional Beliefs and Attitudes | -13.88 (2.54) | 1.85 | < 001 | 3.63 (3.46) | 0.49 | . 30 | -0.02 (3.49) | 0.00 | . 99 | 3.37 (3.62) | 0.45 | . 35 | 4.13 (3.47) | 0.55 | . 24 | 0.33 (4.82) | 0.04 | . 94 | -7.75 (4.95) | 1.04 | . 12 | -5.17 (4.83) | 0.69 | 29 |
| Glasgow Sleep Effort Scale | -1.92 (0.50) | 1.29 | <. 001 | -0.67 (0.69) | 0.45 | 33 | -0.01 (0.69) | 0.01 | . 99 | -0.16 (0.72) | 0.11 | . 83 | 0.87 (0.69) | 0.58 | . 21 | 1.23 (0.96) | 0.83 | . 20 | 0.95 (0.98) | 0.64 | . 34 | 0.69 (0.96) | 0.46 | 48 |
| Sleep Locus Of Control | 3.12 (1.12) | 0.95 | . 01 | -3.04 (1.52) | 0.92 | . 05 | -0.54 (1.53) | 0.16 | . 73 | -2.59 (1.59) | 0.79 | . 11 | -0.92 (1.53) | 0.28 | . 55 | 2.50 (2.12) | 0.76 | 24 | 4.84 (2.18) | 1.47 | . 03 | 3.18 (2.13) | 0.97 | 14 |
| Sleep Self-Efficacy Scale | 5.23 (1.26) | 1.40 | < 001 | -0.16 (1.72) | 0.04 | . 93 | 2.27 (1.73) | 0.61 | . 19 | -2.09 (1.79) | 0.56 | . 25 | -2.83 (1.73) | 0.76 | . 10 | -4.06 (2.40) | 1.09 | . 09 | 0.84 (2.46) | 0.22 | . 73 | 0.74 (2.40) | 0.20 | . 76 |
| Hyperarousal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Somatic | -0.30 (1.12) | 0.10 | . 79 | 1.18 (1.52) | 0.40 | . 44 | -1.22 (1.50) | 0.42 | . 41 | 0.73 (1.53) | 0.25 | . 63 | -0.01 (1.57) | 0.00 | 1.00 | -0.22 (2.04) | 0.07 | . 92 | -2.80 (2.16) | 0.95 | . 20 | -1.85 (2.12) | 0.63 | 39 |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Cognitive | -0.90 (1.35) | 0.26 | . 51 | -0.48(1.83) | 0.14 | . 79 | -0.76 (1.80) | 0.22 | . 67 | -0.84 (1.85) | 0.24 | . 65 | -3.74 (1.88) | 1.06 | . 05 | -0.70 (2.46) | 0.20 | . 78 | -1.33 (2.60) | 0.38 | . 61 | 3.19 (2.56) | 0.91 | . 21 |
| Arousal Predisposition Scale | -2.98(1.10) | 1.05 | . 01 | 1.86 (1.48) | 0.65 | . 21 | 2.99 (1.46) | 1.05 | . 04 | 3.50 (1.50) | 1.23 | . 02 | 1.56 (1.53) | 0.55 | . 31 | -1.69 (1.99) | 0.59 | 40 | -2.46 (2.11) | 0.87 | . 24 | -2.45 (2.07) | 0.86 | 24 |
| Hyper Arousal Scale | -0.20 (1.00) | 0.08 | . 84 | -0.36 (1.35) | 0.14 | . 79 | -0.67 (1.33) | 0.26 | . 61 | -0.17 (1.36) | 0.07 | . 90 | -1.72 (1.39) | 0.67 | . 22 | 0.23 (1.81) | 0.09 | . 90 | -0.99 (1.92) | 0.38 | . 61 | 0.58 (1.89) | 0.22 | . 76 |
| Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale | -0.31 (0.85) | 0.14 | . 71 | -0.71 (1.15) | 0.32 | . 54 | 0.24 (1.13) | 0.11 | . 83 | -0.57 (1.16) | 0.26 | . 63 | -0.90 (1.19) | 0.40 | 45 | 0.61 (1.55) | 0.27 | . 70 | 0.62 (1.63) | 0.28 | . 71 | 2.29 (1.61) | 1.03 | 16 |
| Mood and Affect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anxiety (HADS) | -1.29 (0.59) | 0.72 | . 03 | -0.08 (0.83) | 0.05 | . 92 | 0.76 (0.83) | 0.42 | . 36 | 0.37 (0.86) | 0.21 | . 67 | 0.74 (0.82) | 0.41 | . 37 | -0.12 (1.16) | 0.07 | . 92 | -0.75 (1.21) | 0.42 | . 53 | 0.18 (1.16) | 0.10 | . 88 |
| Depression (HADS) | -1.20 (0.69) | 0.58 | . 08 | 0.14 (0.96) | 0.07 | . 89 | 0.03 (0.95) | 0.01 | . 98 | 0.13 (0.99) | 0.06 | . 89 | -0.15 (0.95) | 0.07 | . 88 | 0.32 (1.34) | 0.15 | . 81 | -0.85 (1.40) | 0.41 | . 54 | 0.57 (1.34) | 0.28 | . 67 |
| Positive Affect (PANAS) | 3.77 (1.43) | 0.87 | . 01 | -3.89 (2.00) | 0.90 | . 05 | -1.77 (1.99) | 0.41 | . 37 | -2.21 (2.06) | 0.51 | . 28 | -3.67 (1.98) | 0.85 | . 07 | 3.77 (2.79) | 0.87 | . 18 | 6.74 (2.89) | 1.55 | . 02 | 6.11 (2.79) | 1.41 | . 03 |
| Negative Affect (PANAS) | -1.97 (1.07) | 0.61 | . 07 | -1.48 (1.50) | 0.46 | . 33 | 3.26 (1.49) | 1.01 | . 03 | 0.53 (1.55) | 0.16 | . 73 | 1.17 (1.48) | 0.36 | . 43 | -1.68 (2.09) | 0.52 | . 42 | 1.66 (2.17) | 0.51 | . 45 | 0.83 (2.09) | 0.26 | . 69 |
| Temporal Experience of Pleasure | 5.26 (1.76) | 0.99 | . 003 | -4.94 (2.46) | 0.93 | . 05 | -3.21 (2.44) | 0.60 | . 19 | -3.86 (2.54) | 0.73 | . 13 | -4.36 (2.43) | 0.82 | . 08 | 3.81 (3.42) | 0.72 | . 27 | 6.47 (3.56) | 1.22 | . 07 | 4.18 (3.43) | 0.79 | . 22 |
| Quality of Life SF36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Component Summary | 0.25 (1.23) | 0.07 | . 84 | -1.61 (1.70) | 0.43 | . 34 | 0.16 (1.71) | 0.04 | . 93 | 0.17 (1.77) | 0.05 | . 92 | -0.59 (1.70) | 0.16 | . 73 | 2.10 (2.38) | 0.56 | . 38 | 1.71 (2.44) | 0.46 | . 48 | 0.76 (2.38) | 0.20 | . 75 |
| Mental Component Summary | 3.10 (1.61) | 0.64 | . 06 | 0.67 (2.22) | 0.14 | . 76 | -1.65 (2.24) | 0.34 | 46 | -3.04 (2.33) | 0.62 | . 19 | 0.37 (2.23) | 0.08 | . 87 | -0.73 (3.12) | 0.15 | . 81 | 3.85 (3.20) | 0.79 | . 23 | 1.71 (3.12) | 0.35 | . 59 |


Perapy for Insomnia; CT, Chronobioiogical Treatment; IST, Insomnia Severity Index. Effect estimates were obtained from intent-t-treat mixed-effect regression analyses
${ }^{2}$ Effect size is calculated by dividing the absolute effect estimate ( $\beta$ ) by the residual standard deviation of the model
${ }^{-}$Wake After Sleep Onset includes the time awake after final awakening, but before Lights On

| week 1-4 <br> Variable <br> week 6-9 | Inactive Ionizer |  | Bright Light |  | Physical Activiy |  | Warm Baths |  | Inactive lonizer <br> + ICBTI |  | Bright Light + ICBTI |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Physical Activity } \\ & + \text { ICBTI } \end{aligned}$ |  | Warm Baths + ICBTI |  | n |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ICBTI |  | ICBTI |  | ICBTI |  | ICBTI |  | no treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All |
|  | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) |  | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) |  | Mean (SD) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sleep Diary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sleep Efficiency (\%) - Primary Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 67.77 (15.00) | 24 | 71.10 (11.66) | 24 | 72.29 (12.14) | 20 | 75.09 (11.39) | 21 | 66.98 (11.96) | 21 | 71.28 (10.64) | 21 | 64.32 (24.02) | 24 | 66.42 (17.81) | 175 | 69.41 (15.00) |
| T1 | 19 | 73.79 (13.71) | 22 | 76.99 (10.78) | 22 | 73.01 (13.07) | 20 | 77.32 (13.61) | 21 | 79.32 (9.66) | 21 | 83.15 (7.24) | 20 | 79.16 (18.03) | 22 | 78.58 (17.89) | 167 | 77.68 (13.50) |
| T2 | 19 | 81.60 (11.11) | 20 | 81.34 (9.12) | 17 | 79.50 (8.56) | 20 | 83.21 (8.31) | 21 | 73.95 (14.58) | 21 | 85.11 (5.81) | 19 | 79.10 (17.71) | 19 | 76.08 (19.90) | 156 | 80.01 (12.93) |
| Time in Bed (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 479.24 (47.59) | 24 | 483.75 (37.61) | 24 | 471.06 (48.25) | 20 | 470.20 (33.15) | 21 | 482.22 (48.80) | 21 | 458.11 (42.59) | 21 | 485.58 (52.03) | 24 | 473.98 (39.59) | 175 | 475.57 (43.98) |
| T1 | 19 | 473.31 (45.68) | 22 | 488.07 (57.00) | 22 | 484.63 (63.56) | 20 | 465.28 (39.81) | 21 | 443.10 (39.71) | 21 | 429.75 (41.33) | 20 | 454.43 (40.15) | 22 | 453.66 (42.21) | 167 | 461.66 (50.02) |
| T2 | 19 | 451.80 (44.23) | 20 | 460.50 (35.93) | 17 | 452.12 (43.51) | 20 | 438.84 (46.44) | 21 | 460.14 (40.85) | 21 | 448.93 (31.34) | 19 | 455.85 (38.82) | 19 | 465.52 (39.38) | 156 | 454.19 (40.05) |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 43.45 (36.96) | 24 | 35.61 (27.55) | 24 | 37.03 (48.86) | 20 | 34.60 (33.11) | 21 | 44.50 (27.54) | 21 | 38.88 (34.06) | 21 | 72.68 (78.98) | 24 | 59.88 (104.91) | 175 | 45.82 (56.90) |
| T1 | 19 | 44.21 (48.22) | 22 | 33.90 (25.74) | 22 | 35.54 (39.35) | 20 | 33.50 (35.17) | 21 | 28.20 (26.42) | 21 | 21.46 (15.41) | 20 | 37.73 (35.26) | 22 | 34.32 (66.74) | 167 | 33.47 (39.11) |
| T2 | 19 | 25.45 (27.30) | 20 | 23.36 (19.09) | 17 | 23.87 (23.56) | 20 | 19.25 (17.34) | 21 | 43.85 (41.00) | 21 | 16.70 (11.16) | 19 | 38.46 (41.87) | 19 | 45.56 (95.27) | 156 | 29.55 (42.80) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 129.40 (90.37) | 24 | 111.35 (65.37) | 24 | 123.59 (80.44) | 20 | 89.56 (59.01) | 21 | 116.20 (43.09) | 21 | 108.44 (50.98) | 21 | 157.24 (151.90) | 24 | 165.51 (199.81) | 175 | 125.77 (108.17) |
| T1 | 19 | 126.95 (100.89) | 22 | 85.49 (53.67) | 22 | 114.84 (73.21) | 20 | 97.00 (79.95) | 21 | 69.42 (38.56) | 21 | 51.63 (27.91) | 20 | 73.07 (91.55) | 22 | 97.76 (126.42) | 167 | 89.30 (81.61) |
| T2 | 19 | 71.05 (64.15) | 20 | 62.23 (43.22) | 17 | 71.13 (33.08) | 20 | 60.32 (39.10) | 21 | 103.47 (79.80) | 21 | 50.41 (22.50) | 19 | 75.11 (89.52) | 19 | 114.89 (182.08) | 156 | 75.97 (84.34) |
| Total Sleep Time ( min ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T0 | 20 | 328.09 (79.03) | 24 | 343.75 (60.47) | 24 | 339.76 (55.49) | 20 | 353.11 (52.24) | 21 | 323.55 (59.65) | 21 | 325.77 (56.82) | 21 | 311.72 (113.57) | 24 | 312.85 (87.94) | 175 | 329.82 (73.10) |
| T1 | 19 | 348.27 (64.46) | 22 | 376.82 (55.52) | 22 | 351.83 (82.66) | 20 | 356.64 (58.60) | 21 | 351.17 (47.09) | 21 | 356.49 (38.16) | 20 | 359.62 (91.60) | 22 | 358.64 (90.99) | 167 | 357.63 (67.95) |
| T2 | 19 | 366.80 (45.85) | 20 | 374.92 (45.03) | 17 | 359.46 (53.98) | 20 | 365.91 (54.83) | 21 | 341.29 (82.68) | 21 | 381.65 (38.82) | 19 | 359.32 (80.17) | 19 | 355.86 (96.56) | 156 | 363.25 (64.88) |
| Average Daytime Functioning ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 4.54 (0.75) | 24 | 4.35 (0.50) | 24 | 4.57 (0.85) | 20 | 4.55 (0.75) | 21 | 4.63 (0.75) | 21 | 4.77 (0.72) | 21 | 4.79 (0.67) | 24 | 4.43 (0.92) | 175 | 4.57 (0.75) |
| T1 | 19 | 4.67 (0.90) | 22 | 4.63 (0.75) | 22 | 4.63 (0.86) | 20 | 4.44 (0.74) | 21 | 4.65 (0.78) | 21 | 4.82 (0.84) | 20 | 4.98 (0.79) | 22 | 4.67 (0.86) | 167 | 4.69 (0.81) |
| T2 | 19 | 4.97 (0.77) | 20 | 4.78 (0.84) | 17 | 5.05 (0.84) | 20 | 4.46 (0.74) | 21 | 4.59 (0.81) | 21 | 4.93 (0.81) | 19 | 4.94 (0.73) | 19 | 4.89 (0.98) | 156 | 4.82 (0.82) |
| Difficulty Initiating Sleep ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 3.26 (1.00) | 24 | 3.56 (1.01) | 24 | 3.10 (1.27) | 20 | 3.17 (1.09) | 21 | 3.60 (1.24) | 21 | 3.32 (1.23) | 21 | 3.50 (1.41) | 24 | 3.20 (1.23) | 175 | 3.34 (1.18) |
| T1 | 19 | 3.05 (0.86) | 22 | 3.30 (1.04) | 22 | 3.09 (1.26) | 20 | 2.94 (0.91) | 21 | 3.18 (1.11) | 21 | 2.96 (0.96) | 20 | 2.96 (1.20) | 22 | 2.88 (0.98) | 167 | 3.05 (1.04) |
| T2 | 19 | 2.90 (0.78) | 20 | 2.94 (0.78) | 17 | 2.82 (0.93) | 20 | 2.90 (0.85) | 21 | 3.27 (1.18) | 21 | 2.84 (0.92) | 19 | 2.82 (1.30) | 19 | 3.06 (0.95) | 156 | 2.95 (0.97) |
| Dificulty Maintaining Sleep ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T0 | 20 | 4.96 (0.77) | 24 | 4.77 (1.30) | 24 | 4.97 (0.94) | 20 | 4.81 (0.73) | 21 | 4.85 (0.87) | 21 | 4.83 (1.03) | 21 | 4.49 (1.28) | 24 | 4.70 (1.16) | 175 | 4.80 (1.03) |
| T1 | 19 | 4.20 (0.97) | 22 | 4.06 (1.23) | 22 | 4.52 (1.40) | 20 | 4.22 (0.86) | 21 | 4.17 (0.92) | 21 | 4.01 (0.78) | 20 | 3.62 (1.28) | 22 | 4.05 (1.22) | 167 | 4.11 (1.11) |
| T2 | 19 | 3.90 (0.89) | 20 | 3.90 (1.30) | 17 | 4.01 (1.16) | 20 | 4.36 (0.99) | 21 | 4.13 (1.29) | 21 | 3.88 (0.82) | 19 | 3.53 (1.37) | 19 | 3.93 (1.01) | 156 | 3.96 (1.12) |
| Early Morning Awakening ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 4.58 (0.96) | 24 | 4.66 (1.21) | 24 | 4.46 (1.08) | 20 | 4.46 (0.95) | 21 | 4.37 (1.25) | 21 | 4.60 (1.11) | 21 | 4.49 (1.18) | 24 | 4.72 (1.08) | 175 | 4.55 (1.09) |
| T1 | 19 | 4.05 (1.12) | 22 | 3.68 (1.34) | 22 | 3.81 (1.35) | 20 | 4.05 (1.02) | 21 | 3.94 (1.10) | 21 | 3.61 (0.89) | 20 | 3.42 (1.21) | 22 | 3.84 (1.20) | 167 | 3.80 (1.16) |
| T2 | 19 | 3.52 (0.85) | 20 | 3.56 (0.92) | 17 | 3.36 (0.85) | 20 | 3.94 (1.06) | 21 | 3.70 (1.26) | 21 | 3.35 (1.04) | 19 | 3.34 (1.15) | 19 | 3.62 (1.21) | 156 | 3.55 (1.05) |


| (\%) Actigraphy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 79.57 (5.48) | 23 | 81.04 (8.37) | 21 | 80.40 (5.73) | 18 | 80.87 (4.49) | 20 | 78.10 (8.23) | 21 | 79.87 (6.62) | 21 | 81.79 (7.54) | 22 | 79.90 (5.56) | 166 | 80.21 (6.62) |
| T1 | 19 | 79.42 (8.72) | 19 | 80.00 (8.61) | 19 | 80.86 (6.95) | 20 | 82.30 (4.62) | 21 | 79.81 (8.42) | 21 | 81.70 (7.20) | 18 | 84.93 (6.09) | 22 | 84.10 (3.87) | 159 | 81.65 (7.10) |
| T2 | 19 | 82.14 (7.52) | 17 | 82.41 (8.76) | 14 | 82.59 (7.21) | 18 | 80.38 (8.17) | 20 | 79.02 (10.29) | 19 | 80.54 (8.72) | 18 | 83.39 (5.95) | 18 | 82.62 (4.09) | 143 | 81.56 (7.78) |
| Sleep Onset Latency (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 10.10 (9.65) | 23 | 10.00 (11.78) | 21 | 10.90 (9.29) | 18 | 12.39 (10.28) | 20 | 15.64 (19.63) | 21 | 8.54 (8.67) | 21 | 13.48 (12.08) | 22 | 9.72 (10.22) | 166 | 11.28 (11.82) |
| T1 | 19 | 9.70 (7.11) | 19 | 10.23 (8.67) | 19 | 17.13 (16.43) | 20 | 10.55 (9.75) | 21 | 15.85 (11.98) | 21 | 9.13 (5.13) | 18 | 8.92 (6.26) | 22 | 10.35 (6.89) | 159 | 11.50 (9.88) |
| T2 | 19 | 7.49 (6.62) | 17 | 9.28 (7.61) | 14 | 13.46 (12.98) | 18 | 13.92 (18.02) | 20 | 16.62 (19.26) | 19 | 10.70 (7.64) | 18 | 18.40 (15.93) | 18 | 12.77 (12.77) | 143 | 12.86 (13.65) |
| Wake After Sleep Onset (min) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 69.20 (29.48) | 23 | 64.37 (24.04) | 21 | 64.47 (25.92) | 18 | 64.77 (16.92) | 20 | 78.76 (34.78) | 21 | 71.76 (28.17) | 21 | 60.02 (26.60) | 22 | 71.44 (26.13) | 166 | 68.06 (26.95) |
| T1 | 19 | 73.32 (38.28) | 19 | 67.42 (22.97) | 19 | 60.87 (26.86) | 20 | 56.11 (13.59) | 21 | 67.27 (29.04) | 21 | 61.46 (22.34) | 18 | 53.25 (25.58) | 22 | 53.41 (14.93) | 159 | 61.57 (25.52) |
| T2 | 19 | 63.68 (32.48) | 17 | 63.73 (30.09) | 14 | 57.10 (20.51) | 18 | 59.19 (23.50) | 20 | 69.29 (33.45) | 19 | 64.10 (34.59) | 18 | 51.74 (21.05) | 18 | 62.08 (20.88) | 143 | 61.60 (27.77) |
| Total Sleep Time ( min ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 409.33 (45.87) | 23 | 419.08 (34.19) | 21 | 398.09 (41.49) | 18 | 403.64 (35.82) | 20 | 404.64 (47.01) | 21 | 397.30 (53.88) | 21 | 419.45 (57.10) | 22 | 406.12 (34.25) | 166 | 407.41 (44.21) |
| T1 | 19 | 406.85 (52.08) | 19 | 419.89 (60.23) | 19 | 405.66 (36.72) | 20 | 408.31 (38.19) | 21 | 372.19 (45.01) | 21 | 369.91 (38.28) | 18 | 402.00 (40.12) | 22 | 393.20 (39.82) | 159 | 396.78 (46.49) |
| T2 | 19 | 392.93 (45.60) | 17 | 400.28 (52.36) | 14 | 392.71 (47.87) | 18 | 377.91 (55.46) | 20 | 383.51 (58.98) | 19 | 382.01 (51.62) | 18 | 396.70 (34.91) | 18 | 401.05 (34.40) | 143 | 390.55 (48.02) |
| Average Sleep Bout Duration (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 14.47 (4.79) | 23 | 14.19 (4.90) | 21 | 16.13 (14.23) | 18 | 13.13 (3.02) | 20 | 14.07 (3.75) | 21 | 13.63 (4.36) | 21 | 15.40 (5.55) | 22 | 18.27 (19.51) | 166 | 14.96 (9.48) |
| T1 | 19 | 14.12 (4.68) | 19 | 13.30 (3.90) | 19 | 40.96 (90.91) | 20 | 33.83 (63.01) | 21 | 21.61 (17.88) | 21 | 21.32 (28.47) | 18 | 19.20 (15.39) | 22 | 14.55 (5.52) | 159 | 22.32 (41.09) |
| T2 | 19 | 15.49 (5.61) | 17 | 16.79 (16.52) | 14 | 15.46 (4.78) | 18 | 13.50 (3.32) | 20 | 29.50 (49.16) | 19 | 38.43 (106.24) | 18 | 21.05 (16.94) | 18 | 18.36 (23.41) | 143 | 21.49 (44.40) |
| Average Wake Bout Duration (min) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 1.20 (0.27) | 23 | 1.15 (0.31) | 21 | 1.08 (0.25) | 18 | 1.11 (0.28) | 20 | 1.32 (0.53) | 21 | 1.28 (0.68) | 21 | 1.16 (0.48) | 22 | 1.27 (0.36) | 166 | 1.20 (0.42) |
| T1 | 19 | 1.19 (0.35) | 19 | 1.09 (0.21) | 19 | 1.11 (0.31) | 20 | 1.01 (0.21) | 21 | 1.31 (0.48) | 21 | 1.05 (0.27) | 18 | 1.06 (0.31) | 22 | 1.17 (0.35) | 159 | 1.13 (0.33) |
| T2 | 19 | 1.17 (0.29) | 17 | 1.06 (0.17) | 14 | 1.08 (0.17) | 18 | 1.10 (0.38) | 20 | 1.18 (0.27) | 19 | 1.18 (0.71) | 18 | 1.06 (0.32) | 18 | 1.21 (0.33) | 143 | 1.13 (0.37) |




[^1]
## Table 8. Means and Standard Deviations for Mood, Affect, Arousal and Quality of Life Parameters ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| week 1-4 <br> Peek 6-9 | Inactive Ionizer |  | Bright Light |  | Physical Activiy |  | Warm Baths |  | Inactive lonizer <br> + ICBTI |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bright Light } \\ + \text { ICBTI } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Physical Activity } \\ + \text { ICBTI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Warm Baths + ICBTI |  | n |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ICBTI |  | ICBTI |  | ICBTI |  | ICBTI |  | no treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All <br> Mean (SD) |
|  | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) | n | Mean (SD) |  |  |
| Mood and Affect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anxiety (HADS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 6.60 (3.52) | 24 | 6.79 (3.64) | 23 | 6.61 (3.17) | 20 | 6.05 (1.99) | 21 | 7.43 (3.60) | 21 | 7.00 (3.30) | 19 | 7.47 (2.74) | 24 | 7.38 (3.49) | 172 | 6.92 (3.21) |
| T1 | 19 | 6.47 (3.04) | 22 | 7.50 (3.86) | 22 | 6.73 (4.42) | 20 | 6.10 (2.99) | 20 | 6.20 (3.35) | 21 | 6.14 (3.04) | 19 | 5.89 (2.87) | 22 | 7.18 (3.02) | 165 | 6.55 (3.35) |
| T2 | 18 | 5.17 (2.92) | 19 | 6.37 (3.83) | 16 | 4.69 (1.99) | 20 | 5.50 (3.20) | 19 | 6.16 (4.06) | 20 | 6.10 (2.88) | 17 | 6.06 (3.03) | 19 | 6.63 (2.83) | 148 | 5.86 (3.15) |
| Depression (HADS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т0 | 20 | 3.05 (2.95) | 24 | 4.79 (3.40) | 23 | 5.30 (4.76) | 20 | 4.80 (4.36) | 21 | 4.71 (3.55) | 21 | 4.33 (3.54) | 19 | 4.53 (3.85) | 24 | 4.08 (3.23) | 172 | 4.47 (3.72) |
| T1 | 19 | 3.05 (2.39) | 22 | 5.00 (4.06) | 22 | 4.59 (4.73) | 20 | 4.55 (5.13) | 20 | 3.40 (3.28) | 21 | 3.62 (3.57) | 19 | 2.79 (2.35) | 22 | 3.55 (2.84) | 165 | 3.85 (3.70) |
| T2 | 18 | 1.89 (2.47) | 19 | 3.21 (2.99) | 16 | 2.63 (2.96) | 20 | 3.45 (4.63) | 19 | 3.63 (3.53) | 20 | 3.50 (3.22) | 17 | 2.59 (2.37) | 19 | 3.53 (3.01) | 148 | 3.08 (3.22) |
| Positive Affect (PANAS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 32.90 (7.68) | 24 | 33.42 (6.22) | 23 | 31.35 (8.45) | 20 | 30.95 (7.53) | 21 | 32.43 (7.39) | 21 | 29.86 (5.43) | 20 | 31.25 (5.76) | 24 | 30.79 (7.03) | 173 | 31.63 (6.96) |
| T1 | 19 | 33.79 (5.79) | 22 | 33.09 (7.04) | 22 | 31.41 (7.63) | 20 | 29.75 (7.92) | 20 | 33.70 (5.89) | 21 | 31.38 (5.84) | 19 | 33.79 (6.82) | 22 | 32.41 (6.95) | 165 | 32.39 (6.79) |
| T2 | 18 | 36.50 (6.80) | 19 | 35.63 (5.57) | 16 | 34.56 (7.83) | 20 | 31.05 (7.41) | 19 | 31.84 (5.86) | 20 | 31.85 (6.49) | 17 | 35.47 (6.21) | 19 | 33.79 (6.92) | 148 | 33.75 (6.78) |
| Negative Affect (PANAS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 17.25 (4.84) | 24 | 17.17 (6.32) | 23 | 17.57 (6.83) | 20 | 16.20 (4.67) | 21 | 19.71 (8.65) | 21 | 17.52 (6.07) | 20 | 17.30 (4.77) | 24 | 17.58 (5.84) | 173 | 17.54 (6.10) |
| T1 | 19 | 17.32 (5.50) | 22 | 18.41 (7.37) | 22 | 18.64 (8.12) | 20 | 15.85 (6.42) | 20 | 17.15 (7.06) | 21 | 15.71 (4.88) | 19 | 16.32 (5.47) | 22 | 17.59 (5.59) | 165 | 17.16 (6.37) |
| T2 | 18 | 15.22 (4.83) | 19 | 18.53 (6.87) | 16 | 14.25 (4.51) | 20 | 15.40 (7.34) | 19 | 16.58 (6.95) | 20 | 15.50 (5.85) | 17 | 16.00 (4.91) | 19 | 15.47 (3.69) | 148 | 15.90 (5.79) |
| Temporal Experience of Pleasure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 75.85 (12.33) | 24 | 77.29 (10.62) | 23 | 75.13 (8.92) | 20 | 74.30 (10.83) | 21 | 73.43 (7.77) | 21 | 75.62 (9.68) | 20 | 76.00 (7.67) | 24 | 76.29 (8.17) | 173 | 75.53 (9.47) |
| T1 | 19 | 78.68 (11.04) | 22 | 74.50 (11.75) | 22 | 76.05 (9.14) | 20 | 75.40 (15.26) | 20 | 73.00 (8.52) | 21 | 76.19 (9.82) | 19 | 79.21 (7.12) | 22 | 78.32 (8.40) | 165 | 76.38 (10.37) |
| T2 | 18 | 80.72 (8.66) | 19 | 79.00 (10.86) | 16 | 77.25 (8.93) | 20 | 75.20 (14.83) | 19 | 73.53 (5.91) | 20 | 76.35 (9.23) | 17 | 78.76 (8.15) | 19 | 76.95 (8.58) | 148 | 77.16 (9.79) |
| Hyperarousal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arousal Predisposition Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 16 | 36.56 (5.60) | 22 | 35.95 (6.46) | 20 | 36.85 (6.29) | 18 | 35.11 (4.61) | 20 | 36.10 (7.83) | 20 | 34.40 (6.18) | 19 | 36.42 (5.35) | 21 | 37.38 (7.32) | 156 | 36.10 (6.26) |
| T1 | 15 | 35.60 (5.83) | 17 | 35.76 (5.40) | 19 | 38.47 (6.84) | 18 | 33.67 (5.24) | 16 | 35.94 (9.14) | 17 | 33.88 (5.82) | 15 | 36.33 (6.50) | 20 | 36.95 (5.86) | 137 | 35.87 (6.42) |
| T2 | 14 | 33.64 (7.37) | 17 | 36.00 (5.68) | 16 | 36.13 (6.38) | 14 | 33.71 (6.94) | 16 | 33.56 (6.40) | 19 | 34.47 (5.76) | 13 | 35.69 (6.21) | 17 | 35.65 (7.17) | 126 | 34.88 (6.38) |
| Hyper Arousal Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T0 | 16 | 36.56 (6.57) | 22 | 38.09 (7.46) | 20 | 40.30 (6.95) | 18 | 37.44 (5.01) | 20 | 37.40 (8.09) | 20 | 36.20 (6.68) | 19 | 38.32 (5.61) | 21 | 37.67 (6.99) | 156 | 37.78 (6.72) |
| T1 | 15 | 35.33 (7.78) | 17 | 38.47 (7.80) | 19 | 40.00 (7.57) | 18 | 35.83 (5.52) | 16 | 37.31 (8.38) | 17 | 36.06 (7.13) | 15 | 37.33 (6.06) | 20 | 37.90 (6.62) | 137 | 37.35 (7.11) |
| T2 | 14 | 36.14 (7.30) | 17 | 36.94 (9.34) | 16 | 38.25 (7.43) | 14 | 35.57 (6.85) | 16 | 35.75 (7.30) | 19 | 34.84 (6.09) | 13 | 36.85 (5.47) | 17 | 36.47 (8.06) | 126 | 36.33 (7.22) |
| Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 16 | 9.94 (5.12) | 22 | 10.14 (4.70) | 20 | 10.05 (3.95) | 18 | 11.00 (4.65) | 20 | 9.90 (3.78) | 20 | 9.55 (3.32) | 19 | 9.63 (3.34) | 21 | 9.67 (3.69) | 156 | 9.97 (4.02) |
| T1 | 15 | 9.20 (4.84) | 17 | 11.00 (4.89) | 19 | 9.21 (3.49) | 18 | 9.83 (4.94) | 16 | 9.31 (3.96) | 17 | 8.47 (3.48) | 15 | 8.93 (3.17) | 20 | 9.25 (4.58) | 137 | 9.41 (4.18) |
| T2 | 14 | 9.29 (5.06) | 17 | 9.88 (4.55) | 16 | 8.50 (3.71) | 14 | 10.14 (5.10) | 16 | 8.38 (3.90) | 19 | 9.21 (4.30) | 13 | 8.92 (4.27) | 17 | 9.88 (4.58) | 126 | 9.28 (4.36) |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Somatic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 16 | 16.69 (6.93) | 22 | 15.41 (5.11) | 20 | 15.70 (6.22) | 18 | 14.56 (4.79) | 20 | 16.10 (5.48) | 20 | 15.30 (4.94) | 19 | 15.16 (4.94) | 21 | 14.95 (4.28) | 156 | 15.46 (5.26) |
| T1 | 15 | 17.20 (5.83) | 17 | 15.12 (4.17) | 19 | 14.84 (5.38) | 18 | 14.72 (5.48) | 16 | 15.94 (6.53) | 17 | 14.12 (3.55) | 15 | 14.33 (4.03) | 20 | 14.40 (3.27) | 137 | 15.04 (4.83) |
| T2 | 14 | 15.71 (6.90) | 17 | 13.06 (3.27) | 16 | 14.56 (5.63) | 14 | 14.50 (5.14) | 16 | 16.50 (5.77) | 19 | 14.58 (3.86) | 13 | 14.08 (5.56) | 17 | 14.18 (3.49) | 126 | 14.63 (4.95) |
| Pre-Sleep Arousal Scale - Cognitive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 16 | 20.00 (7.21) | 22 | 21.18 (7.64) | 20 | 23.45 (8.25) | 18 | 21.78 (6.54) | 20 | 24.45 (7.34) | 20 | 21.60 (6.86) | 19 | 21.95 (7.67) | 21 | 23.43 (6.91) | 156 | 22.29 (7.29) |
| T1 | 15 | 21.67 (7.88) | 17 | 19.65 (8.18) | 19 | 21.47 (8.70) | 18 | 18.83 (6.90) | 16 | 20.25 (8.44) | 17 | 19.88 (5.94) | 15 | 18.73 (6.57) | 20 | 22.15 (8.63) | 137 | 20.38 (7.66) |
| T2 | 14 | 19.36 (6.33) | 17 | 18.59 (6.95) | 16 | 20.69 (8.48) | 14 | 17.14 (6.33) | 16 | 22.50 (7.87) | 19 | 18.47 (6.41) | 13 | 17.69 (6.21) | 17 | 21.76 (7.45) | 126 | 19.60 (7.11) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quality of Li | F 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Component Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т0 | 20 | 44.16 (7.65) | 24 | 47.22 (6.13) | 24 | 47.78 (6.15) | 20 | 46.66 (6.12) | 21 | 46.79 (5.95) | 21 | 46.16 (6.50) | 21 | 47.70 (5.67) | 24 | 48.89 (5.85) | 175 | 46.99 (6.27) |
| T1 | 19 | 43.87 (7.41) | 22 | 46.93 (4.85) | 22 | 46.90 (6.99) | 20 | 46.99 (5.84) | 19 | 47.58 (4.38) | 21 | 45.95 (6.61) | 19 | 48.11 (4.83) | 21 | 48.24 (4.98) | 163 | 46.83 (5.86) |
| T2 | 18 | 44.71 (7.10) | 19 | 47.84 (5.16) | 16 | 47.42 (5.12) | 20 | 46.32 (6.34) | 20 | 45.91 (4.87) | 20 | 47.57 (4.56) | 19 | 48.00 (3.24) | 19 | 47.45 (4.54) | 151 | 46.90 (5.21) |
| Mental Component Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| то | 20 | 47.84 (7.35) | 24 | 44.14 (9.85) | 24 | 44.23 (12.57) | 20 | 45.36 (11.82) | 21 | 44.98 (9.16) | 21 | 47.99 (8.88) | 21 | 45.31 (9.90) | 24 | 42.30 (11.28) | 175 | 45.17 (10.24) |
| T1 | 19 | 48.32 (7.35) | 22 | 41.33 (11.84) | 22 | 44.73 (10.80) | 20 | 47.02 (9.04) | 19 | 48.07 (9.40) | 21 | 49.72 (7.80) | 19 | 47.39 (11.85) | 21 | 48.05 (9.62) | 163 | 46.74 (9.99) |
| T2 | 18 | 50.81 (8.05) | 19 | 46.36 (11.46) | 16 | 47.97 (10.08) | 20 | 48.82 (11.25) | 20 | 48.89 (8.58) | 20 | 49.60 (7.69) | 19 | 50.46 (7.21) | 19 | 49.00 (8.43) | 151 | 49.00 (9.09) |

[^2]Figure 1. CONSORT Diagram of Participant Flow


## Figure 2. Secondary Sleep Outcomes.

From left to right, panels show the secondary outcome measures Time in Bed, Total Sleep Time, Sleep Onset Latency and Wake after Sleep Onset. Bars indicate baseline (T0, white), week 5 (T1, light grey) and week 10 (T2, dark gray) for the groups that received ICBTI in week 1-4 (between T0 and T1) either without CT (three bars on the left-hand side in each panel) or with CT (three bars on the right-hand side in each panel).
Numbers in bars indicate the sample size of participants included at in each condition at each time point.
Comparison of the light and dark grey bars shows little effect of adding CT on Total Sleep Time, Sleep Onset Latency and Wake after Sleep Onset immediately after CBTI. Only at follow-up it shows that adding CT has been of value, by promoting maintenance of the initial responses of these variables to ICBTI.
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| Discussion |  |  |  |
| Limitations | 20 | Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses | S14-15 |
| Generalizability | 21 | Generalizability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings | S14-15 |
| Interpretation | 22 | Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence | S15-16 |
| Other information |  |  |  |
| Registration | 23 | Registration number and name of trial registry | S4 |
| Protocol | 24 | Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available | S4 |
| Funding | 25 | Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders | 5 |


[^0]:    The model intercept and main effects of ICBTI and any CT are not shown. Main effects for ICBTI and any CT were non significant, except for a significantly higher intercept for participants receiving any CT on Depression

[^1]:    
    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Raw means (SDs) are presented. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Wake After Sleep Onset includes time awake after final awakening, but before Lights On
    ${ }^{\text {c }} 1=$ very poor, $2=$ poor, $3=$ somewhat poor, $4=$ not good, not poor, $5=$ somewhat good, $6=$ good, $7=$ very good
    ${ }^{d} 1=$ very good, $2=$ good, $3=$ somewhat good, $4=$ not good, not bad, $5=$ somewhat bad, $6=$ bad, $7=$ very bad

[^2]:    Abbreviations: ICBTI, Internet-based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; PANAS, Positive Affect Negative Affect Scale; SF36, Short Form 36.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Raw means (SDs) are presented.

