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Table S1. List of NF1 variants identified in leukemic cells of JMML children with clinical diagnosis of NF-1 and children in the JMML-5neg group

| ID | Variant | AA change | VAF (\%) | Total reads | Clinvar | ACMG Annotation | ACMG class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NF01 | c.7035_7040delinsTA | p.(Leu2345Phefs*50) | 44 | 533 | - | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
|  | c.4600C>T | p.(Arg1534*) | 18 | 220 | VCV000220152.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF02 | c.4569del | p.(Ser1524Alafs*50) | 94 | 2490 | - | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NF03 | c.5305C>T | p.(Arg1769*) | 72 | 565 | RCV000220916.1 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF04 | c.910C>T | p.(Arg304*) | 6 | 679 | VCV000187722.3 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF05 | c.6855C>A | p.(Tyr2285*) | 98 | 5346 | RCV000489640.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF06 | c.1277G>A | p.(Trp426*) | 99 | 3063 | - | PVS1, PM2 | PATH |
| NF07 | c. $6642+1 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{C}$ | p.(Glu2214sp*)? | 58 | 657 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RCV000457846.1 / - } \\ & \text { 1G? } \end{aligned}$ | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
|  | c.821T>G | p.(Leu274Arg) | 50 | 1384 | - | PM2, PP3 (REVEL 0.917) | VUS |
| NF08 | c.1756_1759del | p.(Thr586Valfs*18) | 97 | 2276 | VCV000186215.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF09 | c. $4110+1 \mathrm{G}>$ T | p.(Gln1370sp*)? | 98 | 2675 | VCV000582401.2 | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NF10 | c.1657dup | p.(His553Profs*5) | 95 | 1042 | - | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NF11 | c.6772C>T | p.(Arg2258*) | 28 | 282 | RCV000461033.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
|  | c.7189G>C | p.(Gly2397Arg) | 53 | 1890 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RCV000497028.2 } \\ & \text { (G>A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PS1, PS3, PM2, PP3 (REVEL } \\ & 0.739 \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PATH |
|  | c.2737dup | p.(lle913Asnfs*6) | 13 | 7414 | $-$ | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NF12 | c.574C>T | p.(Arg192*) | 32 | 1149 | VCV000040093.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 (LOVD) | PATH |
| NF13 | c.910C>T | p.(Arg304*) | 87 | 918 | VCV000187722.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |


| NF14 | c.4084C>T | p.(Arg1362*) | 44 | 6857 | VCV000000344.3 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c.279_280delinsAA | p.(Cys93*) | 47 | 4999 | - | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NF15 | c.2033dup | p.(lle679Aspfs*21) | 41 | 303 | RCV000204850.7 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
|  | c. $1185+1 \mathrm{G}>$ T | p.(Lys395sp*)? | 50 | 5305 | VCV000219572.2/G>A | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NF16 | c.2446C>T | p.(Arg816*) | 93 | 4100 | VCV000280055.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF17 | c.1381C>T | p.(Arg461*) | 98 | 7106 | RCV000229618.6 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF18 | c.4235G>C | p.(Arg1412Thr) | 48 | 6934 | VCV000523345.2 | PM2, PM6, PP3 (REVEL 0.900), PM5 | LPATH |
|  | c. $877 \mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | p.(Asn293Asp) | 50 | 1408 | - | PM2, BP4 (REVEL 0.119) | VUS |
| NF19 | c.7328_7331dup | p.(Val2445Tyrfs*4) | 96 | 3452 | - | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NF20 | c.7159_7164del | p.(Asn2387_Phe2388del) | 49 | 1175 | - | PS4, PM2, PM4, PM6, PP3, PP5 | PATH |
| NF21 | c.4600C>T | p.(Arg1534*) | 98 | 3202 | VCV000220152.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| NF22 | c.6427G>A | p.(Glu2143Lys) | 99 | 1040 | RCV000530481.1 | PS4_Moderate, PM2, PP3 (REVEL 0.915), PP4 | LPATH |
| NF23 | c.1246C>T | p.(Arg416*) | 54 | 1172 | VCV000404597.3 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
|  | c.2033del | p.(Pro678Argfs*10) | 43 | 542 | RCV000558816.4 | PVS1, PM2, PP6 | PATH |
| NF24 | c.4084C>T | p.(Arg1362*) | 40 | 42 | RCV000000372.6 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
|  | c. $205-2 A>G$ | p.(Arg69sp*)? | 52 | 4018 | RCV000808377.1 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 (LOVD) | LPATH |
| NF25 | c.499_502del | p.(Cys167GInfs*10) | 41 | 671 | VCV000185021.3 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
|  | c. $482 \mathrm{~T}>\mathrm{G}$ | p.(Leu161*) | 53 | 657 | - | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |
| NEG01 | c.339dup | p.(Leu114Alafs*13) | 89 | 2936 | - | PVS1, PM2 | LPATH |


| NEG02 | c.711_723del | p.(Pro238Trpfs*39) | 46 | 1487 | - | PVS1, PM2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEG03 | c.3721C>T | p.(Arg1241*) | p.(lle679Aspfs*21) | 46 | 691 | RCV000204850.7 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 |
| NEG04 | c.2033dup | p.(Ile2003Leufs*6) | 53 | 1737 | RCV000000387.6 | PS3, PM2, PP1, PP3, PP5 |  |
|  | c.6007-5A>G | p.(Thr676Asnfs*24) | 45 | 217 | - | PATH |  |
| NEG05 | c.2024dup | p.(Ile679Aspfs*21) | $33 / 16.67$ | 228 | RCV000204850.7 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 |  |
|  | c.2033dup | p.(Ile679Aspfs*21) | 84 | 400 | RCV000204850.7 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 |  |
| NEG06 | c.2033dup | p.(Arg1276*) | 83 | 4839 | VCV000237556.3 | PVS1, PM2, PP5 |  |
| NEG07 | c.3826C>T | c.2510G>A | p.(Trp837*) | P.(Ala208_Val212del) | 52 | 5807 | - |

NF1 variants, predicted amino acid change, variant allelic frequency, read depth, Clinvar accession, and predicted pathogenicity are indicated in the table.

Table S2. The computational prediction of pathogenicity of NF1 missense variants

| Amino acid <br> change | Coding <br> sequence <br> change | CADD13 | SIFT | Polyphen2 | Mutation <br> Taster | FATHMM | PROVEAN | SpliceAI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| p.Leu274Arg | c.821T>G | 28.2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1.4 | -4.37 | No (0.12) |
| p.Asn293Asp | c.877A>G | 17.52 | 0.769 | 0 | 0.991 | -0.05 | -1.17 | No (0) |
| p.Arg1412Thr | c.4235G>C | 24.4 | 0 | 0.993 | 1 | -2.48 | -4.78 | No (0) |
| p.Glu2143Lys | c.6427G>A | 28.8 | 0.01 | 0.974 | 1 | -1.74 | -3.44 | Donor loss <br> $(0.70)$ |
| p.Gly2397Arg | c.7189G>C | 28.7 | 0.001 | 0.989 | 1 | 1.48 | -6.45 | Donor loss <br> $(0.54)$ |

Five missense NF1 variants identified in the cohort and their pathogenicity as predicted by different algorithms are listed in the table. The variants are not reported in the healthy population (gnomAD, v.2.1.1). The computational tools predicted a deleterious effect on protein function for the four missense variants p.Leu274Arg, p.Asn293Asp, p.Glu2143Lys, and p.Gly2397Arg (pathogenic score values above the threshold are highlighted by red background). The variants c.6427G>A and c.7189G>C affect the last nucleotide of exons 42 and 48 , respectively. Besides causing the predicted amino acid exchange, the proximity of these variants to conserved splice sites may also impair exon splicing, as predicted by the algorithm spliceAl.

Table S3. List of NF1 variants identified in leukemic cells of children with JMML and canonical PTPN11 or NRAS mutations

| ID | Primary variant | NF1 variant | AA change | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VAF } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Total read s | ClinVar | ACMG Annotatio n | ACMG class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PTPN11;c.227A>G; } \\ & \text { p.(Glu76Gly); } \\ & 45.9 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. 1236_1237insA } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | p.(Ser413Asnfs*61 | 24 | 652 | - | PVS1, PM2, PP3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LPAT } \\ & \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PTPN11;c.227A>C; } \\ & \text { p.(Glu76Ala); } \\ & 26.6 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | c.7439A>G | p.(His2480Arg) | 49 | 1175 | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \text { VCV000229064. } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | PM1 | VUS |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -03 \end{aligned}$ | ```PTPN11;c.181G>T; p.(Asp61Tyr); 47.95%``` | c.271dup | p.(Glu91Glyfs*16) | 10 | 9321 | - | PVS1, PM2, PP3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LPAT } \\ & \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -04 \end{aligned}$ | PTPN11;c.227A>C; p.(Glu76Ala); 44.3\% | c.2033dup | p.(lle679Aspfs*21) | 10 | 328 | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \text { VCVO00141513. } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
| PTPN11 | ```PTPN11;c.181G>T; p.(Asp61Tyr); 47.42%``` | c.7784_7785del | p.(Lys2595Serfs*5) | 17 | 3505 | VCV000652439. 1 | PVS1, <br> PM2, PP3, PP5 | PATH |
|  |  | c.1885G>A | p.(Gly629Arg) | 11 | 446 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { VCV000068308. } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PS3, PM1, } \\ & \text { PM2, PP5 } \end{aligned}$ | PATH |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -06 \end{aligned}$ | PTPN11;c.1504T> <br> C; p.(Ser502Pro); $42.61 \%$ | c.574C>T | p.(Arg192*) | 22 | 5270 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VCVO00040093. } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | PVS1, <br> PM2, PP5 <br> (LOVD) | PATH |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11;c.214G>A; } \\ & \text { p.(Ala72Thr);46.71 } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | c.2033dup | p.(Ile679Aspfs*21) | 6 | 431 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VCVO00141513. } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11;c.227A>G; } \\ & \text { p.(Glu76Gly); } \\ & 45.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | c.5671C>T | p.(Gln1891*) | 28 | 2359 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VCV000237577. } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | PVS1, <br> PM2, PP3, <br> PP5 | PATH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11 } \\ & -09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PTPN11;c.179G>T; } \\ & \text { p.(Gly60Val); } \\ & 70.08 \% \end{aligned}$ | c.6852_6855del | p.(Tyr2285Thrfs*5) | 40 | 2937 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VCVO00216866. } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | PVS1, <br> PM2, PP3, PP5 | PATH |
| NRAS01 | NRAS;c.35G>C; <br> p.(Gly12Ala); <br> 50.48\% | c.1802G>A | p.(Arg601GIn) | 52 | 6805 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VCV000404478. } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | PM2 | VUS |
| NRAS-$02$ | NRAS;c.38G>A; p.(Gly13Asp); 47.08\% | c.2033dup | p.(lle679Aspfs*21) | 19 | 401 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VCV000141513. } \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PVS1, PM2, PP5 | PATH |
|  |  | c.7701dup | p.(Lys2568GInfs*9) | 58 | 911 | - | PVS1, <br> PM2, PP3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LPAT } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |
| NRAS- <br> 03 | NRAS;c.38G>A; <br> p.(Gly13Asp); <br> 47.14\% | c. $2065 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | p.(Val689Met) | 39 | 248 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VCV000404577. } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | PM1, PM2 | VUS |

The primary PTPN11 or NRAS variants, NF1 variants, resulting amino acid change, variant allelic frequency, read depth, Clinvar accession, and the predicted pathogenicity of the variants are indicated in the table.

Table S4. Analysis of loss of heterozygosity of the NF1 locus in leukemic cells of children with JMML and clinical diagnosis of NF-1

| ID | SNP Array | Molecular karyotype | Suggested first hit | Suggested second hit | First hit confirmed in fibroblasts / buccal cells | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NF02 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & \text { 17q11.2q25.3(28870 } \\ & 726 \text { _81041938) } 2 \\ & \text { hmz } \end{aligned}$ | Point mutation c.4569del | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |
| NF03 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | ```arr[GRCh37] 17q11.1q25.3(25309 336_81041938)x2 hmz``` | Point mutation c. $5305 \mathrm{C}>$ T | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |
| NF04 | Typical 1.4 Mb NF1 microdeletion (type 1 deletion) in an apparent heterozygous status | arr[GRCh37] <br> 17q11.2(29055565_3 <br> 0395625) x1 | Probably NF1 microdeletion | Possibly point mutation c.910C>T (cave: low VAF in JMML DNA) | Not done / no material | Likely NF-1 related JMML with a heterozygous NF1 microdeletion (type 1) in leukemic cells that may represent a germline mutation. The variant on the second allele remains unclear. The significance of the nonsense mutation remains doubtful, as its VAF is too low for what we expect for a second hit in a JMML sample and was not detectable in the JMML exome. |


| NF05 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & 17 \mathrm{q} 11.2 \mathrm{q} 25.3(26337 \\ & \left.447 \_81041938\right) \times 2 \\ & \mathrm{hmz} \end{aligned}$ | Point mutation c. $6855 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}$ | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (H6), but this was not proven. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NF06 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | ```arr[GRCh37] 17q11.2q25.3(26139 558_81041938)x2 hmz``` | Point mutation c. $1277 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |
| NF08 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | ```arr[GRCh37] 17q11.2q25.3(26400 969_81041938)x2 hmz``` | Point mutation c.1756_1759d el | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |
| NF09 | Type 1 deletion | Published data (Steinemann et al.) | NF1 microdeletion | Point mutation c. $4110+1 G>T$ | Yes: copy number loss chr17:288899 20-30501044; absence of c. $4110+1 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | Biallelic LOF in leukemic cells strongly suggested with a NF1 microdeletion and a likely pathogenic point mutation as the secondary event. The NF1 microdeletion is suggested to be germline. |
| NF10 | Microdeletion encompassing the NF1 locus (atypical 2.5 Mb deletion) in an apparent heterozygous status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & \text { 17q11.2(27944929_3 } \\ & 0458834) \times 1 \end{aligned}$ | Undetermined | Undetermined | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in leukemic cells strongly suggested with a NF1 microdeletion and a likely pathogenic point mutation on the non-deleted allele. Chronology of events undetermined. One of the changes could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |


| NF12 | Typical 1.4 Mb NF1 microdeletion (type 1 deletion) in an apparent heterozygous status; additionally, mosaic trisomy 8 (fraction 2040\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & 17 q 11.2\left(28997791 \_3\right. \\ & 0386515) \times 1, \\ & 8 p 23.3 q 24.3(158048 \\ & \text { 146295771)x2-3 } \end{aligned}$ | NF1 microdeletion | Point mutation c. $574 \mathrm{C}>$ T | Yes; copy number loss chr17:291194 95-30228792; absence of c.574C>T | Biallelic LOF in leukemic cells demonstrated with a NF1 microdeletion and a pathogenic point mutation as the secondary event. The NF1 microdeletion is suggested to be germline. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NF13 | Typical 1.2 Mb NF1 microdeletion (type 2 deletion) in an apparent heterozygous status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & \text { 17q11.2(29103297_3 } \\ & 0294925) \times 1 \end{aligned}$ | NF1 microdeletion | Point mutation c.910C>T | Yes; copy number loss chr17:290960 17-30498238; absence of c.910C>T | Biallelic LOF in leukemic cells demonstrated with a NF1 microdeletion and a pathogenic point mutation as the secondary event. The NF1 microdeletion is suggested to be germline. |
| NF16 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | ```arr[GRCh37] 17q11.2q25.3(28836 600_81041938)x2 hmz``` | Point mutation c. $2446 \mathrm{C}>$ T | UPD 17q | c. $2446 \mathrm{C}>$ T not covered; absence of UPD 17q | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |


| NF17 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & \text { 17q11.2q25.3(26739 } \\ & \text { 139_81041938) } 2 \\ & \text { hmz } \end{aligned}$ | Point mutation c. 1381C>T | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NF19 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | arr[GRCh37] <br> 17q11.2q25.3(27801 <br> 069_81041938)x2 <br> hmz | Point mutation c.7328_7331d up | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells strongly suggested with a likely pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF1), but this was not proven. |
| NF21 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | arr[GRCh37] <br> 17q11.2q25.3(26985 <br> 248_81041938)x2 <br> hmz | Point mutation c. $4600 \mathrm{C}>$ T | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells demonstrated with a pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF-1), but this was not proven. |
| NF22 | UPD of (almost) the whole q arm of chr. 17 | arr[GRCh37] <br> 17q11.1q25.3(25309 336_81041938)x2 hmz | Point mutation c.6427G>A | UPD 17q | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in the leukemic cells strongly suggested with a likely pathogenic point mutation followed by UPD 17q. The point mutation could be germline (clinical diagnosis of NF1), but this was not proven. |

Table S5. Analysis of loss of heterozygosity of the NF1 locus in leukemic cells of children in the JMML-5neg group

| ID | SNP Array | Molecular <br> karyotype | Suggested <br> first hit | Suggested <br> second hit | First hit <br> confirmed in <br> fibroblasts / <br> buccal cells | Interpretation <br> NEG01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Typical 1.4 Mb <br> NF1 <br> microdeletion <br> (type 1 <br> deletion) in an <br> apparent <br> heterozygous <br> status | arr[GRCh37] <br> 17q11.2(2901319 <br> $\left.1 \_30391813\right) \times 1$ | Undetermined | Undetermined | Absence of <br> c.339dup in <br> buccal cells; <br> no clear <br> evidence of <br> the deletion | Biallelic LOF in leukemic cells strongly <br> suggested with a NF1 microdeletion and <br> a likely pathogenic point mutation on the <br> non-deleted allele. Chronology of events <br> undetermined. The point mutation was <br> excluded as a germline event. |
| NEG02 | No LOH | Normal | Unclear | Point mutation <br> c.711_723del <br> (VAF 20\% on <br> genomic NF1 <br> sequencing) | First hit <br> unclear, point <br> mutation <br> c.711_723del <br> absent | Possibly NF1-related JMML with one <br> likely pathogenic NF1 mutation in <br> leukemic cells that probably represents <br> a somatic event. The variant on the <br> other allele remains undetected. |
| NEG05 | No LOH; <br> monosomy 7 <br> mosaic <br> (fraction 50- <br> 70\%) | arr[GRCh37] <br> 7p22.3q36.3(433 <br> 60159119707)x <br> 1-2 | Point mutation <br> c.2024dup | Point mutation <br> c.2033dup | c.2024dup not <br> covered | Two likely pathogenic variants in the <br> leukemic cells, proven to be in trans; the <br> variant showing lower allele frequency is <br> suggested to be the second event. |
| Germline status remained unclear (site |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of variants not covered by germline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| exome, no material left). |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| NEG06 | Microdeletion encompassing the NF1 locus (atypical 1.8 Mb deletion) in an apparent heterozygous status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & \text { 17q11.2(2846724 } \\ & \left.4 \_30243583\right) \times 1 \end{aligned}$ | Undetermined | Undetermined | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in leukemic cells demonstrated with a NF1 microdeletion and a pathogenic point mutation on the non-deleted allele. Chronology of events and germline status remain undetermined. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEG07 | Typical 1.4 Mb NF1 <br> microdeletion <br> (type 1 <br> deletion) in an apparent heterozygous status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arr[GRCh37] } \\ & 17 q 11.2(2901296 \\ & \left.6 \_30369402\right) \times 1 \end{aligned}$ | Undetermined | Undetermined | Not done / no material | Biallelic LOF in leukemic cells demonstrated with a NF1 microdeletion and a pathogenic point mutation on the non-deleted allele. Chronology of events and germline status remain undetermined. |

Figure S1. Evolutionary conservation of missense NF1 variants


A sequence alignment of five NF1 missense variants and flanking regions across 8 species is shown. The functional regions of neurofibromin are color-coded as in Figure 1.

