

### Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

From zero to infinity: Minimum to maximum diversity of the planet by spatio-parametric Rao's quadratic entropy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Rocchini, D., Marcantonio, M., Da Re, D., Bacaro, G., Feoli, E., Foody, G.M., et al. (2021). From zero to infinity: Minimum to maximum diversity of the planet by spatio-parametric Rao's quadratic entropy. GLOBAL ECOLOGY AND BIOGEOGRAPHY, 30(5), 1153-1162 [10.1111/geb.13270].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/818815 since: 2024-05-21

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13270

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Rocchini, Duccio; Marcantonio, Matteo; Da Re, Daniele; Bacaro, Giovanni; Feoli, Enrico; Foody, Giles M.; Furrer, Reinhard; Harrigan, Ryan J.; Kleijn, David; Iannacito, Martina; Lenoir, Jonathan; Lin, Meixi; Malavasi, Marco; Marchetto, Elisa; Meyer, Rachel S.; Moudry, Vítězslav; Schneider, Fabian D.; Šímová, Petra; Thornhill, Andrew H.; Thouverai, Elisa; Vicario, Saverio; Wayne, Robert K.; Ricotta, Carlo: *From zero to infinity: Minimum to maximum diversity of the planet by spatioparametric Rao's quadratic entropy* 

GLOBAL ECOLOGY AND BIOGEOGRAPHY. Vol.30 ISSN 1466-822X

DOI: 10.1111/geb.13270

The final published version is available online at: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.13270</u>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

### <sup>1</sup> From zero to infinity: minimum to maximum diversity

<sup>2</sup> of the planet by spatio-parametric Rao's quadratic

3

4

5

6

### entropy

November 8, 2020

#### Abstract

**Aim:** The majority of work done to gather information on Earth diversity has been 7 carried out by in-situ data, with known issues related to epistemology (e.g., species 8 determination and taxonomy), spatial uncertainty, logistics (time and costs), among 9 others. An alternative way to gather information about spatial ecosystem variability 10 is the use of satellite remote sensing. It works as a powerful tool for attaining rapid 11 and standardized information. Several metrics used to calculate remotely sensed 12 diversity of ecosystems are based on Shannon's Information Theory, namely on the 13 differences in relative abundance of pixel reflectances in a certain area. Additional 14 metrics like the Rao's quadratic entropy allow the use of spectral distance beside 15 abundance, but they are point descriptors of diversity, namely they can account 16 only for a part of the whole diversity continuum. The aim of this paper is thus to 17 generalize the Rao's quadratic entropy by proposing its parameterization for the 18 first time. 19

Innovation: The parametric Rao's quadratic entropy, coded in R, i) allows to represent the whole continuum of potential diversity indices in one formula, and ii) starting from the Rao's quadratic entropy, allows to explicitly make use of distances among pixel reflectance values, together with relative abundances.

- 24 Main conclusions: The proposed unifying measure is an integration between
- abundance- and distance-based algorithms to map the continuum of diversity given
- <sup>26</sup> a satellite image at any spatial scale.
- *Keywords:* biodiversity; ecological informatics; modelling; remote sensing; satellite
   imagery.
- 29

### 30 1 Introduction

Since Alexander von Humboldt (1769-1859), the spatial component of nature has played
a relevant role in natural science. In the development of theoretical and empirical models
in ecology, spatial structure represents a key concept to allow scientists to link ecological
patterns to the generating processes and to the functional networking among organisms
(Borcard and Legendre, 2002).

The majority of the work done to gather information about Earth diversity has been carried out by in-situ data, with known issues related to epistemology (e.g., species determination and taxonomy), spatial uncertainty, logistics (time and costs), among others (Rocchini et al., 2011).

Using satellite remote sensing can at least help attaining rapid and standardized 40 information about Earth diversity (Gillespie, 2005; Rocchini et al., 2005). Furthermore, 41 remote sensing can also be used to monitor some ecosystem functions and parameters 42 such as temperatures, photosynthesis, vegetation biomass production and precipitation 43 Schimel et al., 2019; Zellweger et al., 2019) that can be useful to define the different niches 44 of in-situ species, following first Goodall (1970) ideas, who envisaged future diversity 45 measures as those based on niche theory (Hutchinson, 1959). The free access to remote 46 sensing data (see Zellweger et al., 2019) has opened new ways to study ecosystem diversity 47 and biodiversity issues (Rocchini et al., 2013). The spectral data related to pixels, as 48 operational geographical units, are descriptions of pieces of land that allow us to define a 49 new kind of Earth "diversity", which may complement in-situ biodiversity measurement. 50 Diversity varies with area, thus investigating multiple spatial grains, until wide ex-51 tents, is important to effectively monitor spatial diversity change in space and time 52 (MacArthur et al., 1966). This is especially true in macroecology, where the primary aim 53

is to model large-scale spatial patterns to infer the ecological processes which generated
them, particularly considering the recent effect of global changes worldwide (Hobohm et
al., 2019). In order to determine the horizontal distribution of diversity within a satellite
image (i.e. which areas within the image are more diverse than others), diversity indices
are usually spatially referenced by calculating the index within a moving window.

Several metrics that measure diversity from satellites rely on the Shannon's theory of entropy (Shannon, 1948), with diversity being measured as  $H = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \log p_i$ , where  $p_i$  is the proportion of the *i*-th pixel value (e.g., digital number, DN) found within a moving window containing N pixels. Shannon's H basically summarizes the partition of abundances (*sensu* Whittaker, 1965) by taking into account both relative abundance and richness of DNs (Figure 1).

However, Shannon's entropy is a point descriptor of (remotely sensed) diversity. As such, it shows only one part of the whole potential diversity spectrum at a glance. The use of generalized entropies has been advocated to face such problem. In this case, one single formula represents a parameterized version of a diversity index, thus providing a continuum of potential diversity indices. In the context of the measurement of diversity, the Rényi (1970) parametric entropy

$$H_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^{\alpha} \tag{1}$$

71

with  $0 \le \alpha \le \infty$  represents a powerful tool to account for the continuum of diversity (Figure 1).

One particularly convenient property of  $H_{\alpha}$  is that by varying the parameter  $\alpha$  there is a continuum of possible diversity measures, which differ in their sensitivity to rare and

abundant DNs, becoming increasingly dominated by the most common DNs for increasing 76 values of  $\alpha$ . Note that for  $\alpha \to 1$ ,  $H_1$  equals the Shannon's entropy. A similar formulation 77 was then proposed by Hill (1973) who expressed parametric diversity as the "numbers" 78 equivalent" of Rényi generalized entropy. Appendix S1 provides the original formulation. 79 Rényi (and Hill) parametric functions summarize diversity by taking into account the 80 pixel values of a satellite image and their relative abundances. However, they do not allow 81 to explicitly consider the differences among these values. As an example, two arrays of 82 9 pixels with maximum richness and evenness (i.e. both containing 9 different DNs with 83 relative abundances  $p_i = \frac{1}{9}$  but differing in their values will attain the same Shannon 84 diversity irrespective of the values of the DNs in both arrays. 85

<sup>86</sup> By introducing a distance parameter  $d_{ij}$  among each pair of values *i* and *j*, Rao's <sup>87</sup> quadratic entropy (Rao, 1982)

$$Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} p_i p_j d_{ij} \tag{2}$$

88

explicitly considers the differences among the pixel values in the calculation of diversity (Figure 1). Hence, two different pixels with values [2,3] will attain a lower diversity with respect to two pixels with values [0,100]. For instance, to make an ecological parallel, this is somewhat similar to the phylogenetic distance between two species: the values [2,3] would be equivalent to two sister species closely related on the tree of life while [1,100] would be equivalent to two very distant species on the tree of life.

The aim of this paper is thus to propose, for the first time, a parameterization of Rao's quadratic entropy in order to provide a generalized entropy which accounts for both relative abundances and distances among pixel values. The proposed approach is now part of the rasterdiv R package, a package dedicated to diversity measures of
spatial matrices, increasing its capability to discern among different diversity measures
by a single formula.

### <sup>101</sup> 2 Spatio-parametric Rao's quadratic entropy

Inter-pixel spectral distances are directly related to landscape heterogeneity and they 102 are capable of describing species habitats, starting with a satellite image (Rocchini et al.) 103 2005). A satellite image can be viewed as a matrix of numbers describing Earth reflectance 104 in different dimensions stored as pixels. A sensor per each light wavelength records the 105 reflectance of a certain object in that wavelength which are stored into numbers in a 106 certain range (e.g., digital numbers in 8 bits, ranging from 0 to 255). In general, the 107 higher the variability in the spectral space defined by the pixel reflectance values, the 108 higher the diversity of the ecosystem under study. 109

Consider a window of N pixels moving across the whole image to calculate a diversity index. Let *i* and *j* be two pixels randomly chosen with repetition within the moving window. Let  $d_{ij}$  be a symmetric measure of the (multi)spectral distance between *i* and *j* such that  $d_{ij} = d_{ji}$  and  $d_{ii} = 0$ . Rao's Q (Rao, 1982) is defined as:

$$Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} p_i p_j d_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{1}{N} d_{ij}$$
(3)

114

<sup>115</sup> Therefore, Q measures the expected (i.e. mean) distance between two randomly <sup>116</sup> chosen pixels and  $\frac{1}{N}$  is the probability to extract each pixel. Note that, unlike  $H_{\alpha}$  or <sup>117</sup>  $K_{\alpha}$  the calculation of Rao's quadratic entropy is not limited to single bands but can <sup>118</sup> be extended to multispectral systems of any dimension. For the connection between <sup>119</sup> quadratic entropy and variance, see Rocchini et al.], [2019].

<sup>120</sup> Two parametric versions of quadratic entropy have been proposed by Ricotta and <sup>121</sup> Szeidl (2006) and Leinster and Cobbold (2012). These parametric formulas were aimed <sup>122</sup> at reconciling Rao's Q with parametric entropies. However, they have only been rarely <sup>123</sup> used in practice.

A more direct approach for developing a parametric version of quadratic entropy stems from the work of Guiasu and Guiasu (2011). Let  $\omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{1}{N}$  be the combined probability of selecting pixels *i* and *j* in this order. Guiasu and Guiasu (2011) noted that Rao's *Q* can be expressed as a linear function of the combined probabilities of all pairs of pixels:

$$Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \omega_{ij} d_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{1}{N} d_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N^2} d_{ij}$$
(4)

129

In practice, Rao's Q is the arithmetic mean of the distances  $d_{ij}$  between all pairs of pixels i and j. Hence, in order to implement a parametric version of Rao's Q, it seems natural to substitute the arithmetic mean in Equation 4 with a generalized mean (Hardy et al., 1952):

$$Q_{\alpha} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \omega_{ij} d_{ij}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N^2} d_{ij}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$
(5)

134

This operation connects  $Q_{\alpha}$  with other diversity metrics that are expressed as generalized means, such as Hill's (Hill, 1973) or Jost's (Jost , 2006) numbers (Appendix S1) <sup>137</sup> equivalents (see also Leinster and Cobbold, 2012).

The Rao's Q, viewed as an arithmetic mean, is one of all the possible means in its generalized form  $Q_{\alpha}$ :

(	6)	

140

The mathematical proof that i) for  $\alpha \to 0$   $Q_0$  corresponds to the geometric mean, and ii) for  $\alpha \to \infty$   $Q_{\infty}$  corresponds to the maximum distance between pixel values pairs is provided in Appendix S1.

Each generalized mean always lies between the smallest and largest of its values. Increasing the parameter  $\alpha$  will increase the weight of the highest values of  $d_{ij}$ , thus providing a continuum of potential diversity indices (Figure 1).

#### <sup>147</sup> **3** The algorithm

Starting from a satellite image, a spatial moving window might be used to make the calculation on predefined extents of analysis. The grain (*sensu* Dungan et al., 2002) will be the resolution of the image while the extent of analysis will be the size of the moving

window (Figure 2). The calculation is based on a distance matrix of type:

$$M_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{1}} & d_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}} & d_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{3}} & \cdots & d_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{n}} \\ d_{\lambda_{2},\lambda_{1}} & d_{\lambda_{2},\lambda_{2}} & d_{\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3}} & \cdots & d_{\lambda_{2},\lambda_{n}} \\ d_{\lambda_{3},\lambda_{1}} & d_{\lambda_{3},\lambda_{2}} & d_{\lambda_{3},\lambda_{3}} & \cdots & d_{\lambda_{3},\lambda_{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{\lambda_{n},\lambda_{1}} & d_{\lambda_{n},\lambda_{2}} & d_{\lambda_{n},\lambda_{3}} & \cdots & d_{\lambda_{n},\lambda_{n}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(7)$$

148

among all the potential pairs of pixels inside the moving window. The diagonal terms of the matrix (which equal zero) will have no effect for  $\alpha > 0$  (Equation 6), since they would enter the  $\sum$  term. On the contrary, for  $\alpha \to 0$ , they would enter the  $\prod$  term by nullifying  $Q_0$ .

<sup>153</sup> We coded the proposed parameterization of Rao's quadratic entropy as an R function, <sup>154</sup> implementing the previously developed rasterdiv package (Marcantonio et al. (2020), <sup>155</sup> https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rasterdiv). The calculation of different  $Q_{\alpha}$ <sup>156</sup> by automatically changing the range of potential  $\alpha$  values is done by the function paRao, <sup>157</sup> as:

```
158 > paRao(x, alpha=c(0:4, Inf), method="classic",
```

```
159 dist_m="euclidean", window=9, na.tolerance=0.5, simplify=3,
```

```
160 np=8, cluster.type="SOCK", diag=TRUE)
```

where x is the input dataset which can be a RasterLayer or a matrix class object, alpha is the  $\alpha$  parameter of Equation 5, which can be a single value or a vector of integers. In the example above,  $\alpha$  is a vector of integers ranging from 0 to 4, plus Inf, which in the R language is a reserved word representing positive infinity ( $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ ). The option

3

method decides if paRao is calculated with 1 single layer (classic) or with more than 165 one layer (multidimension). With method="multidimension" then x must be a list of 166 objects. dist\_m is the type of distance considered in the calculation of the index, and can 167 be set to any distance class implemented in the R package proxy, such as "euclidean", 168 "canberra" or "manhattan". Moreover, dist\_m can also be an user-defined matrix of 169 distances. However, if method is set to "classic" (unidimensional paRao) all distance 170 types reduce to the Euclidean distance. The argument window is the side length in cells 171 of the moving window (in this case set to 9), whereas na.tolerance is the proportion 172 (0-1) of NA's cell allowed in a moving window: if the proportion of NA's cells in a moving 173 window exceeds na.tolerance then the value of the moving window central pixel will be 174 NA. The option simplify allows to reduce the number of decimal places to ease the cal-175 culation by reducing the number of numerical categories, i.e., if simplify=3 only the first 176 three digits of data will be considered for the calculation of the index. np is the number 177 of parallel processes used in the calculation. If np>1 then the doParallel package will 178 be called for parallel calculation, and cluster.type will indicate the type of cluster to be 179 opened (default is "SOCK", "MPI" and "FORK" are the alternatives). The diag argument 180 refers to the diagonal term of Equation 7. It will have no effect on the function for  $\alpha > 0$ , 181 while it will nullify the value of  $Q_{\alpha}$  if set to TRUE, as previously explained in Equation 7. 182 183

# <sup>184</sup> 3.1 Global test of the parametric Rao's Q variation over the <sup>185</sup> planet

<sup>186</sup> We applied the algorithm to a Copernicus Proba-V NDVI (Normalized Difference Vege-<sup>187</sup> tation Index) long term average image (June 21st 1999-2017) at 5km grain, also provided in the rasterdiv package as a free Rasterlayer dataset which can be loaded by the function data() (Figure 2). The parametric Rao algorithm can also be applied to multispectral data; in such a case distances are calculated in the multisystem created by the values of the pixels in each axis/band. The moving window passing throughout the whole image will return  $M_{Q_{\alpha}}$  matrices/layers where  $\alpha$  is the value chosen in the R function paRao.

With  $\alpha \to 0$  the  $\prod$  in Equation 6 leads to zeroes throughout the whole map (Figure 194 3). Increasing  $\alpha$  will increase the weight of higher distances among different values until 195 reaching the maximum distance value for  $\alpha \to \infty$ . In this case the maximum turnover is 196 reached and areas with maximum  $\beta$ -diversity will be apparent. In this case, a multitem-197 poral set is used (long term average NDVI from June 21st 1999-2017). Hence, areas with 198 the highest spatial and temporal turnover are enhanced, namely major mountain ridges. 199 We expect that using single frame images would lead to the enhancement of the spatial 200 component of diversity. 201

Since the whole process is based on distances in a spectral space between pairs of pixels 202 in terms of their "spectral characters" or in the "spectral space", it is important to notice 203 some cornerstone aspects on the use of distances from satellite images, especially when 204 comparing different images or the same image in different times. In satellite images, the 205 measure of distances could be impacted by: ii) the use of different sensors with different 206 radiometric resolutions, as an example an 8-bit  $(2^8 = 256 \text{ values})$  with respect to a 16-bit 207  $(2^{16} = 65536 \text{ values})$  image, or ii) the radiometric calibration which has been performed, 208 e.g. with a non-linear transform. Therefore, care should be taken when making use 209 of distances in remote sensing data, explicitly taking into account how the vector of 210 proportions between pixels belonging to some defined classes (e.g., digital numbers, DNs) 211

<sup>212</sup> was obtained.

The complete code of the function can be directly seen in R by typing the paRao function name. Moreover, a complete R coding session, to perform the above described analysis is provided in Appendix S2.

# 3.2 Local case study: the diversity of vegetation greenness and the ecoregions of California

A comparison between in-situ and remotely sensed diversity at worldwide scale might be difficult due to known biases in e.g. sampling effort, taxonomies, spatial uncertainty (Rocchini et al., 2017). Hence, we decided to calculate the Rao's Q index on a NDVI raster layer of California (USA) to be compared with data in the field on native plant species diversity provided in Thornhill et al. (2017) from Baldwin et al. (2017). We chose California as a case study due to its high ecological diversity as well as to the availability of plant species field-data for this region.

In practice, we aimed at visualizing and describing differences in both diversity and 225 structure of vegetation for the state of California, USA. First, an NDVI raster layer 226 was derived from Copernicus Sentinel-2 data (European Space Agency, reference period: 227 January 2017 to July 2018) and processed through Google Earth Engine to filter out 228 cloud cover, select the greenest pixel of the time series and resample at 100 m pixel 229 resolution. Then, the paRao R function was used to derive Rao's Q index, considering 230 both the original formulation of the Rao's Q ( $\alpha = 1$ , Equation 6) and the formulation 231 with  $\alpha \to \infty$  maximuzing  $\beta$ -diversity (Figure 3), with a moving window of 9x9 pixels. 232

A map of plant species richness was derived using the potential distribution range of 5,222 native California vascular plants modelled by Thornhill et al. (2017). Moreover, a vector map reporting the ecoregions of California (level III) was downloaded from the United States Environmental Protection Agency. In Figure 4 we showed NDVI, the Rao's Q indices with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and plant species richness, reporting the boundaries of the different ecoregions for California. This comparison revealed macro-ecological and bio-geographical patterns which can be better interpreted considering the information condensed in the Rao's Q index.

For example, the ecoregion "Coast range" (labelled with 1 in Figure 4) is composed 241 by low mountains covered by highly productive, rain-drenched evergreen forests. As a 242 result, this region showed very high NDVI values but a low Rao's Q index (low vegetation 243 structural diversity) and low to medium plant species richness. The adjacent "Klamath 244 Mountain" ecoregion (2) is instead characterized by highly dissected ridges, foothills, 245 and valleys. This region still showed high NDVI values but higher Rao's values with 246 respect to region 1, which resulted in a high plant species richness. The diverse flora of 247 this region, a mosaic of both northern Californian and Pacific Northwestern conifers and 248 hardwoods, is rich in endemic and relic species. A similar pattern, although caused by 249 opposite factors, was recognizable for the "Central Valley" region of California (3), which 250 is composed of flat, urbanized and intensively farmed plains. The extensive presence of 251 irrigated crops intersected with urbanized areas caused medium to high NDVI values and 252 a very high apparent structural diversity. However, the same factors caused a low native 253 species richness, especially in the drier southern portion of the valley. Finally, very dry 254 and warm broad basins and scattered mountains characterize the "Mohave and Sonora 255 ranges" ecoregions (4) which showed very low NDVI and Rao's Q values (with scattered 256 higher values associated with local topographical variability) and low native plant species 257 richness. 258

Passing from the pure Rao's Q index ( $\alpha$ =1) to its parameterization with  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ helped to increase the discrimination among areas, due to the fact that when  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ the Rao's Q corresponds to the maximum distance ( $\beta$ -diversity) among pixel values in a site. Very similar gradients of the spatial heterogeneity of California (including BIOMOD variables, NDVI, elevation) as well as environmental DNA (eDNA) data are found in Lin et al. (2020).

### <sup>265</sup> 4 Discussion

In this paper, we provided a straightforward solution to: i) account for distances in an Information Theory based metric, and ii) provide a generalized formula in order to avoid point description and account for the continuum of diversity. Diversity can be represented by different dimensions (Nakamura et al., 2020). Considering one single metric to account for the whole continuum of diversity metrics might be a powerful addition to the main framework. On the contrary, fragmenting the concept of diversity when trying to capture single aspects of the whole spectrum could be counterproductive.

The proposed unifying measure succeeded to integrate abundance- and distance-based algorithms over a wide variety of diversity metrics. We demonstrated that such integration is not only theoretical but also applicable to real spatial data, considering several dimensions of diversity at the same time. Being part of the **rasterdiv** R package, the proposed method is expected to ensure high robustness and reproducibility.

Remote sensing is obviously not a panacea for all the organismic based diversities like taxonomic-, functional-, genetic-diversity but it can represent an important exploratory tool to detect diversity hotspots and their changes in space and time at the ecosystem level. First of all, it measures heterogeneity of the environment with indirect links to

the biodiversity of both plant and animal taxa, but also with potential discrepancies 282 with species diversity, as in the presented case study of the native plant species diversity 283 of California. This said, depending on the complexity and the resolution at which the 284 proposed parameterized Rao's Q is applied, it might allow finding new insights on the 285 ecological processes acting in a certain ecosystem to shape its diversity. In this paper, 286 the examples provided were based on a single NDVI layer since i) it is a valuable index 287 of vegetation health and ii) it is freely available in the **rasterdiv** package to reproduce 288 the code proposed in this paper. We are aware that NDVI has very limited capacity 289 to track diversity in some habitats like dense forests, because it is saturated at dense 290 vegetation. From this point of view, imaging spectroscopy offers higher information 291 content, also enabling plant functional trait retrievals (Jetz et al., 2016; Schneider et al., 292 2019) as well as structural traits by LiDAR data (Schneider et al., 2020). The application 293 of the proposed algorithm to future spaceborne imaging spectroscopy is promising. In 294 other words, the algorithm has been thought to be used with multiple layers, like a 295 whole multispectral image or the most meaningful Principal Components (Peres-Neto et 296 al., 2005), or land use classes probabilities derived from fuzzy set theory (Rocchini and 297 Ricotta, 2007; Feoli, 2018). This is even one of the major advantages of the Rao's Q 298 metric which allows considering both abundance and distance among pixel values, thus 299 being applicable to any continuous raster layer, or to any matrix combination, even in a 300 multiple spectral system. 301

<sup>302</sup> Creating a unique "umbrella" under which all of the potential metrics of diversity can <sup>303</sup> be used is highly beneficial for e.g. monitoring the variation in time of biological systems <sup>304</sup> considering two major axes: i) the  $\alpha$  parameter in Equation 5 providing information <sup>305</sup> about the type of diversity at time  $t_0$ , ii) the temporal dimension from time  $t_0$  to time  $t_n$ 

given the same  $\alpha$  parameter. For the future, exploring such temporal dimension would 306 allow gathering information of ecosystem changes in different diversity types at a glance. 307 Moreover, generalized entropy allows us to characterize the dimensionality of diversity 308 (sensu Stevens and Tello, 2014) of different habitats/ecosystems. Those areas with a 309 higher diversity dimensionality, namely a higher variability into the diversity spectrum 310 would need a generalized measure to be fully undertaken. On the contrary, ecosystems 311 with a lower dimensionality would have a lower difference among the different diversity 312 measures with a flat curve of the diversity spectrum (Nakamura et al., 2020). 313

From a functional point of view, when all indices of diversity are highly correlated to each other (low dimensionality), it is expected that the ecological processes underlying diversity are just a few. On the contrary, with a lower correlation among indices (higher dimensionality) there might be a higher number of axes of variation coming out from different processes shaping ecological heterogeneity in space (Stevens and Tello, 2014).

There might be the possibility that a completely random matrix produces a pattern 319 of diversity (Type I error). On the other side, a structured matrix could produce a very 320 low diversity pattern (Type II error, Gotelli (2000)). In both cases, the parametric Rao's 321 Q could allow to determine, thanks to the use of a continuum of diversities, i) why a 322 diversity pattern is still produced even in case of a random matrix, and ii) why a certain 323 landscape shows a very low diversity in a certain point of the whole diversity spectrum. 324 With point descriptors of diversity such inference cannot be done since the investigation 325 is limited to a small window of the entire diversity spectrum, by basically relying on a 326 single final number. In other words, the commonly asked question about what is the 327 index which best describes diversity has no certain answer (Gorelick, 2011). Hence, the 328 use of a trend of diversities will lead to the comprehension of hidden parts of the whole 329

330 diversity dimensionality.

Furthermore, it is expected that the ecological processes shaping diversity should act at defined spatial scales (Borcard and Legendre, 2002). Hence, different diversity types of the whole dimensionality spectrum are expected to show scale dependent patterns, being apparent only at certain scales and not at some others. The use of a continuum allows measuring the different diversity types altogether in a single step. Changing the extent of analysis by different moving windows would then allow to encompass different spatial structures at different scales.

While geographic gradients of diversity over space are complex to catch in their very nature, biodiversity measurement has mainly relied in the past on few formulas which represented an hegemony (Stevens et al., 2013). In this paper, we demonstrated that diversity is actually multifaceted and should be necessarily approached through a generalized approach.

### 343 5 Conclusion

In order to unfold the dimensionality of diversity methods to directly account for several aspects of diversity at a time are needed. From this point of view, generalized entropy undoubtedly represents a powerful approach for mapping the diversity continuum.

Furthermore, it might be profitably used to plot multitemporal trends (see e.g. Dornelas et al., 2014) of diversity metrics and discover previously imperceptible differences when making use of single metrics (Figure 5).

Metrics grounded in Information Theory ensure to make use of relative abundance of pixel values given the same richness in the moving window of analysis. However, distance metrics allow to also account for the relative dispersion in the spectral space of the cloud of pixels in a certain area (Laliberté et al., 2020). The proposed parameterization of the Rao's Q explicitly considers the dispersion of pixel values in a spectral space (and their relative abundance) by allowing catching the whole dimensionality of diversity.

### **556 6 Data availability**

The code and the data used in this paper are based on completely Free and Open Source Software, and they are available at the CRAN repository of the R package rasterdiv: <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rasterdiv</u>.

### **360** References

- Baldwin, B.G., Thornhill, A.H., Freyman, W.A., Ackerly, D.D., Kling, M.M., MoruetaHolme, N., Mishler, B.D. (2017). Species richness and endemism in the native flora of
  California. American Journal of Botany, 104: 1-15.
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P. (2002). All-scale spatial analysis of ecological data by means
  of principal coordinates of neighbour matrices. Ecological Modelling, 153: 51-68.
- <sup>366</sup> Dornelas, M., Gotelli, N.J., McGill, B., Shimadzu, H., Moyes, F., Sievers, C., Magurran,
  <sup>367</sup> A.E. (2014). Assemblage time series reveal biodiversity change but not systematic loss.
  <sup>368</sup> Science, 344: 296-299.
- <sup>369</sup> Evans, M.R., Grimm, V., Johst, K., Knuuttila, T., de Langhe, R., Lessells, C.M., Merz,
- M., O'Malley, M.A., Orzack, S.H., Weisberg, M., Wilkinson, D.J., Wolkenhauer, O.,
- Benton, T.G. (2013). Do simple models lead to generality in ecology? Trends in Ecology
- <sup>372</sup> & Evolution, 28: 578-583.

- Ferrier, S., Manion, G., Elith, J., Richardson, K. (2007). Using generalized dissimilarity
  modelling to analyse and predict patterns of beta diversity in regional biodiversity
  assessment. Diversity and Distributions, 13: 252-264.
- 376 Dungan, J.L., Perry, J.N., Dale, M.R.T., Legendre, P., Citron-Pousty, S., Fortin, M.-J.,
- Jakomulska, A., Miriti, M. and Rosenberg, M.S. (2002). A balanced view of scale in spatial statistical analysis. Ecography, 25: 626-640.
- Gillespie, T.W. (2005). Predicting woody-plant species richness in tropical dry forests: a
  case study from South Florida, USA. Ecological Applications, 15: 27-37.
- Gorelick, R. (2011). Do we have a consistent terminology for species diversity? The fallacy of true diversity. Oecologia, 167: 885-888.
- <sup>383</sup> Null model analysis of species co-occurrence patterns. Ecology, 81: 2606-2621.
- Goodall, D.W. (1970). Statistical ecology, p. 99-124. In Johnston, R.F., ed. Annual review
- of ecology and systematics, Vol. 1. Annual Reviews, Palo Alto, California, USA.
- Guiasu, R.C., Guiasu, S. (2011). The weighted quadratic index of biodiversity for pairs of species: a generalization of Rao's index. Natural Science, 3: 795-801.
- Hardy, G., Littlewood, J.E., Polya, G. (1952). Inequalities. Cambridge University Press,
  Cambridge, UK.
- Hill, M.O. (1973). Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences.
  Ecology, 54: 427-431.
- Hobohm, C., Janisova, M., Steinbauer, M., Landi, S., Field, R., Vanderplank, S.,
  Beierkuhnlein, C., Grytnes, J.-A., Vetaas, R.O., Fidelis, A., de Nascimento, L., Clark,

- <sup>394</sup> V.P., Fernandez-Palacios, J.M., Franklin, S., Guarino, R., Huang, J., Krestov, P., Ma,
- <sup>395</sup> K., Onipchenko, V., Palmer, M.W., Fragomeni Simon, M., Stolz, C., Chiarucci, A.
- (2019). Global endemics-area relationships of vascular plants. Perspectives in Ecology
   and Conservation, 17: 41-49.
- Hutchinson, G. 1959. Homage to Santa Rosalia or why are there so many kinds of animals?
  American Naturalist, 93: 145-159.
- Feoli, E. (2018). Classification of plant communities and fuzzy diversity of vegetation
  systems. Community Ecology, 19: 186-198.
- 402 Jetz, W., Cavender-Bares, J., Pavlick, R., Schimel, D., Davis, F.W., Asner, G.P., Gu-
- ralnick, R., Kattge, J., Latimer, A.M., Moorcroft, P., Schaepman, M.E., Schildhauer,
  M.P., Schneider, F.D., Schrodt, F., Stahl, U., Ustin, S.L. (2016). Monitoring plant
- <sup>405</sup> functional diversity from space. Nature Plants, 2: 16024.
- Johnson, P.C.D., Barry, S.J.E., Ferguson, H.M., Müller, P. (2015). Power analysis for generalized linear mixed models in ecology and evolution. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 6: 133-142.
- 409 Jost, L. (2006). Entropy and diversity. Oikos, 113: 363-375.
- Laliberté, E., Schweiger, A.K., Legendre, P: (2019). Partitioning plant spectral diversity
  into alpha and beta components. Ecology Letters, 23: 370-380.
- Leinster, T., Cobbold, C.A. (2012). Measuring diversity: the importance of species similarity. Ecology, 93: 477-489.
- Leitão, P.J., Schwieder, M., Suess, S., Catry, I., Milton, E.J., Moreira, F., Osborne, P.E.,
- <sup>415</sup> Pinto, M.J., van der Linden, S., Hostert, P. (2015), Mapping beta diversity from space:

416	Sparse	Generalised	Dissimilarity	Modelling	(SGDM)	for	analysing	high-dimensional
417	data. M	ethods in E	cology and Ev	volution, 6:	764-771.			

- 418 Lin, M., Levi Simons, A., Curd, E.E., Harrigan, R.J., Schneider, F.D., Ruiz-Ramos,
- D.V., Gold, Z., Osborne, M.G., Shirazi, S., Schweizer, T.M., Moore, T.N., Fox, E.A.,
- 420 Turba, R., Garcia-Vedrenne, A.E., Helman, S.K., Rutledge, K., Palacios Mejia, M.,
- 421 Munguia Ramos, M.N., Wetzer, R., Pentcheff, D., McTavish, E.J., Dawson, M.N.,
- 422 Shapiro, B., Wayne, R.K., Meyer, R.S. (2020). A biodiversity composition map of Cali-
- fornia derived from environmental DNA metabarcoding and Earth observation. bioRxiv
- 424 2020.06.19.160374. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.19.160374
- <sup>425</sup> MacArthur, R.H., Recher, H., Cody, M. (1966). On the relation between habitat selection
- <sup>426</sup> and species diversity. American Naturalist, 100: 319-327.
- 427 Marcantonio, M., Iannacito, M., Thouverai, E., Da Re, D., Tattoni, C., Bacaro, G.,
- <sup>428</sup> Vicario, S., Rocchini, D. (2020). rasterdiv: Diversity Indices for Numerical Matrices.
- R package version 0.2-0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rasterdiv
- <sup>430</sup> Nakamura, G., Gonçalves, L.O., Duarte, L.d.S. (2020). Revisiting the dimensionality of
  <sup>431</sup> biological diversity. Ecography, 43: 539-548.
- Patil, G.P., Taillie, C. (1982). Diversity as a concept and its measurement. Journal of the
  American Statistical Association, 77: 548-561.
- <sup>434</sup> Peres-Neto, P.R., Jackson, D.A., Somers, K.M. (2005). How many principal components?
- 435 stopping rules for determining the number of non-trivial axes revisited. Computational
- 436 Statistics & Data Analysis, 49: 974-997.

- Rao, C.R. (1982). Diversity and dissimilarity coefficients: a unified approach. Theoretical
  Population Biology, 21: 24-43.
- 439 Rényi, A., 1970. Probability Theory. North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
- Ricotta, C., Szeidl, L. (2006). Towards a unifying approach to diversity measures: bridging the gap between the Shannon entropy and Rao's quadratic index. Theoretical Population Biology, 70: 237-243.
- <sup>443</sup> Rocchini, D., Andreini Butini, S., Chiarucci, A. (2005). Maximizing plant species inventory efficiency by means of remotely sensed spectral distances. Global Ecology and
  <sup>445</sup> Biogeography, 14: 431-437.
- <sup>446</sup> Rocchini, D., Delucchi, L., Bacaro, G., Cavallini, P., Feilhauer, H., Foody, G.M., He,
  <sup>447</sup> K.S., Nagendra, H., Porta, C., Ricotta, C., Schmidtlein, S., Spano, L.D., Wegmann,
  <sup>448</sup> M., Neteler, M. (2013). Calculating landscape diversity with information-theory based
  <sup>449</sup> indices: A GRASS GIS solution. Ecological Informatics, 17: 82-93.
- <sup>450</sup> Rocchini, D., Garzon-Lopez, C.X., Marcantonio, M., Amici, V., Bacaro, G., Bastin, L.,

451

Brummitt, N., Chiarucci, A., Foody, G.M., Hauffe, H.C., He, K.S., Ricotta, C., Rizzoli,

- A., Rosá, R. (2017). Anticipating species distributions: handling sampling effort bias
  under a Bayesian framework. Science of the Total Environment, 584-585, 282-290.
- <sup>454</sup> Rocchini, D., Hortal, J., Lengyel, S., Lobo, J.M., Jiménez-Valverde, A., Ricotta, C.,
  <sup>455</sup> Bacaro, G., Chiarucci, A. (2011). Accounting for uncertainty when mapping species
  <sup>456</sup> distributions: The need for maps of ignorance. Progress in Physical Geography, 35:
  <sup>457</sup> 211-226.
- 458 Rocchini, D., Luque, S., Pettorelli, N., Bastin, L., Doktor, D., Faedi, N., Feilhauer,

- H., Féret, J.-B., Foody, G.M., Gavish, Y., Godinho, S., Kunin, W.E., Lausch, A.,
  Leitão, P.J., Marcantonio, M., Neteler, M., Ricotta, C., Schmidtlein, S., Vihervaara,
  P., Wegmann, M., Nagendra, H. (2018). Measuring β-diversity by remote sensing: a
  challenge for biodiversity monitoring. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 9: 1787-1798.
- <sup>463</sup> Rocchini, D., Marcantonio, M., Da Re, D., Chirici, G., Galluzzi, M., Lenoir, J., Ricotta,
- C., Torresani, M., Ziv, G. (2019). Time-lapsing biodiversity: an open source method
  for measuring diversity changes by remote sensing. Remote Sensing of Environment,
  231: 111192.
- <sup>467</sup> Rocchini, D., Ricotta, C. (2007). Are landscapes as crisp as we may think? Ecological
  <sup>468</sup> Modelling, 204: 535-539.
- Schimel, D., Schneider, F.D., JPL Carbon and Ecosystem Participants (2019). Flux towers in the sky: global ecology from space. New Phytologist, 224: 570-584.
- 471 Schneider, F.D., Ferraz, A., Schimel, D. (2019). Watching Earth's Interconnected Systems
  472 at Work. Eos, 100.
- Schneider, F.D., Ferraz, A., Hancock, S., Duncanson, L.I., Dubayah, R.O., Pavlick, R.P.,
  Schimel, D.S. (2020). Towards mapping the diversity of canopy structure from space
  with GEDI. Environmental Research Letters, 15, 115006.
- <sup>476</sup> Shannon, C.E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. Bell System Technical
  <sup>477</sup> Journal, 27: 379-423, 623-656.
- 478 Stevens, R.D., Tello, J.S. (2014). On the measurement of dimensionality of biodiversity.
- Global Ecology and Biogeography, 23: 1115-1125.

- Stevens, R.D., Tello, J.S., Gavilanez, M.M. (2013). Stronger tests of mechanisms underlying geographic gradients of biodiversity: insights from the dimensionality of biodiversity. PLOS ONE, 8: e56853.
- <sup>483</sup> Thornhill, A.H., Baldwin, B.G., Freyman, W.A., Nosratinia, S., Kling, M.M., Morueta-
- Holme, N., Madsen, T.P., Ackerly, D.D., Mishler, B.D. (2017). Spatial phylogenetics
- <sup>485</sup> of the native California flora. BMC Biology 15: 96.
- Whittaker, R.H. (1965). Dominance and diversity in land plant communities. Science,
  147: 250-260.
- Zellweger, F., De Frenne, P., Lenoir, J., Rocchini, D., Coomes, D. (2019). Advances in
  microclimate ecology arising from remote sensing. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 34:
  327-341.

### 491 Figures



Figure 1: Grounding theory of this paper. Diversity measures can encompass abundancebased as well as abundance-distance-based metrics (yellow and green boxes, respectively). Abundance-distance-based metrics allow multiple layers to be used. The black lines represent the theoretical flow of this paper, with the thickness representing the complexity of each index, starting from Shannon's Information Theory (point descriptor) to Rényi's  $H_{\alpha}$  (generalized entropy), which do not make use of distance. Distance enters the Rao's  $Q_{\alpha}$  comprises the use of distances and the generalized entropy concept. The red arrows represent the properties of the Rao's  $Q_{\alpha}$ : i) it is grounded in Information Theory starting from Shannon's H, ii) it is a generalized entropy like the Rényi  $H_{\alpha}$ , and iii) it makes use of distances like the Rao's  $Q_{\alpha}$ .



Figure 2: Starting from Copernicus Proba-V NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) long term average image (June 21st 1999-2017) at 5km grain, parametric Rao's Q is calculated in a moving window. In this paper NDVI was used as a single layer to calculate distances on one axis, but several layers can be used as well. In this example, three layers (blue, green and red matrices) are shown to calculate distances. The algorithm is based on a moving window passing throughout the whole image, calculating the Rao's  $Q_{\alpha}$  and saving the output in the central pixel. In this example a moving window of 5x5 pixels is passing (red arrow) from one position (orange) to the other (green). The output is a stack of layers each of which represents a different mean of the whole generalized mean spectrum of Equation [5].



Figure 3: Output of the application of the algorithm shown in Figure 2 achieved by applying different  $\alpha$  values: from 0 to 4 until  $\alpha \to \infty$ . The higher the value of the parameter  $\alpha$ , the higher the weight of highest distances among pixel values, until reaching the maximum potential  $\beta$ -diversity (maximum distance) at  $\alpha \to \infty$ .

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 4: Comparison between NDVI, Rao's Q Index, native plant species richness for the ecoregions of California. The NDVI values shown in the top-left box (100 m resolution) were derived from the ESA Copernicus Sentinel-2 dataset then processed with Google Earth Engine and range between -0.26 (red) and 0.99 (green). The Rao's Q index shown in the top-right box was calculated from the NDVI map with alpha=1 and alpha to infinite and a moving window of 9x9 pixels. High values are shown in dark green and represent pixel whose sorrounding NDVI values are more "diverse" than pixel reported in red. The map reporting the potential native plant species richness of California (resolution: 810 m) was derived summing the binary potential distribution range of 5,222 native plant species modelled by Thornhill et al. (2017) and ranges between 134 (red) to 1029 (green) species per pixel (1 km<sup>2</sup>). The ecoregions considered in this paper are overlapped to the NDVI image: 1) Coast range (low mountains covered by highly productive, rain-drenched evergreen forests), 2) Klamath Mountain (highly dissected ridges, foothills, and valleys), 3) Central Valley (flat, urbanized and intensively farmed plains), 4) Mohave and Sonora ranges (very dry and warm broad basins).

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 5: A theoretical example of the power of using generalized entropy for monitoring purposes. Given a landscape at times  $t_0$  (pink) and  $t_n$  (blue), calculating generalized entropy will allow the formation of a graph showing the continuum of Rao's Q values observed over a range of values for  $\alpha$ . The same landscape in different times might show an abrupt change (e.g., a catastrophic event) with an apparent diversity decrease (top). In this case, point descriptors (e.g., single  $\alpha$  values) of diversity may be sufficient to describe this pattern. When the change in diversity is subtle (bottom), using a point descriptor might fail to detect it but it becomes manifest in the continuum of diversities based on generalized entropy. The complete code for reproducing this theoretical example is available in Appendix S3.