

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

A review of potential risk factors linked to shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) in wild deer populations and the practices affecting the microbial contamination of wild deer carcasses with enteric bacteria

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Soare, C., McNeilly, T.N., Seguino, A. (2021). A review of potential risk factors linked to shiga toxinproducing Escherichia coli (STEC) in wild deer populations and the practices affecting the microbial contamination of wild deer carcasses with enteric bacteria. FOOD CONTROL, 127, 1-9 [10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108128].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/964767 since: 2024-03-01

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108128

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1	A review of potential risk factors linked to Shiga toxin-producing <i>Escherichia coli</i>
2	(STEC) in wild deer populations and the practices affecting the microbial
3	contamination of wild deer carcasses with enteric bacteria
4	Cristina Soare
5	The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies and Roslin Institute, Edinburgh University,
6	Easter Bush Campus, Roslin, Midlothian, EH25 9RG
7	Tom N McNeilly
8	Moredun Research Institute, Pentlands Science Park, Bush Loan, Penicuik, Midlothian,
9	EH26 0PZ
10	Alessandro Seguino
11	The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies and Roslin Institute, Edinburgh University,
12	Easter Bush Campus, Roslin, Midlothian, EH25 9RG
13	

14 Abstract

15 In modern food industry settings, pathogenic microorganisms such as Shiga-toxin producing Escherichia coli (STEC) cause global public health concerns. Foodborne infections with STEC are 16 common, and sporadically linked to venison consumption. This article reviews the scientific literature 17 on wild deer culled for human consumption, to outline the factors that influence the carriage of STEC 18 19 in the intestines of wild deer species and the practices that lead to venison contamination. It discusses the potential risk factors, from culling on the hill to final packaging, linked to this pathogen. 20 The review found important variables influencing the presence of STEC in deer carcasses and 21 22 venison. Many of these were unrelated to human intervention, being generally linked to the condition 23 of the live animal and the probability of bacterial shedding. Other factors influencing STEC presence 24 related to the management of the environment and dressing hygiene practices, both of which can be 25 optimised to reduce the risk of contamination. We also highlight gaps in the current understanding of 26 the risk related to parts of the wild venison chain that could impact on the microbial quality of food 27 products derived from wild deer carcasses. An industry approach that considers integrating the scientific evidence collated in this review into the traditional knowledge of the hill-to-fork chain would 28 29 assist in adapting the businesses' food safety management system to better mitigate the possibility of 30 STEC contamination in venison products intended for human consumption.

31 Keywords

32 Deer, wild ruminant, venison, food safety, *E. coli*

33 Highlights

- Warm ambient temperatures are associated with higher STEC shedding in wild deer
- High deer population density, exposure to other ruminants increase STEC shedding
- Abdominal wounding and intestinal tears increase the risk of STEC transfer to meat
- Contamination may be more difficult to avoid in larger, male wild deer carcasses
- Contamination from the terminal rectum may have greater food safety implications

39 Abbreviations

- 40 AGHE: approved game-handling establishment
- 41 GI: gastrointestinal
- 42 HACCP: Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points
- 43 STEC: Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli

44 Stx: Shiga toxin

45 1. Introduction

46 Escherichia coli are commensals of the intestinal microbiota of healthy animals (Tenaillon,

47 Skurnik, Picard, & Denamur, 2010). Most of these bacteria are harmless to humans (Kaper,

48 Nataro, & Mobley, 2004); however, some *E. coli* strains, referred as Shiga toxin-producing *E*

- 49 *coli* (STEC), are able to produce one or multiple subtypes of Shiga-like toxins (Stx),
- 50 properties that influence their ability to become hazardous to humans (Buvens et al., 2012).

51 Clinical manifestations vary from mild diarrhoea to haemorrhagic gastrointestinal disease or

52 life-threatening haemolytic uraemic syndrome, particularly in children and older people

53 (Thorpe, 2004; WHO, 2017).

54 The STEC serogroup responsible for most human cases is O157 (Majowicz et al., 2014),

although in recent years, outbreaks associated with non-O157 STEC serogroups have been

56 increasing in North America (Gould et al., 2013). Similar trends were observed in Europe

57 following a large outbreak of *E. coli* O104:H4 (Buchholz et al., 2011), which prompted the

58 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) to review the surveillance systems and their ability

59 to detect non-O157 STEC (EFSA, 2013).

60 The global incidence of STEC infections is estimated to be around 2.5 million acute illnesses

annually (Majowicz et al., 2014), of which around 50% are attributable to food consumption,

62 excluding water, which causes around 10% of illnesses (Hald et al., 2016). Contaminated

63 beef is the major source of STEC transmission globally, except for South Asia, where the

64 meat of small ruminants is the main food source (Hoffmann et al., 2017). Cattle can be

colonised at the recto-anal junction without manifesting clinical disease (Naylor et al., 2003).

66 Small ruminants and deer may also be colonised with STEC (Ferens & Hovde, 2011).

Wild deer can excrete in faeces both O157 (Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2013; García-Sánchez et 67 al., 2007; Synge, 2006) and non-O157 STEC types, all of which are potentially pathogenic 68 69 for humans (Dias, Caetano, Torres, Fonseca, & Mendo, 2019; Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2013; Franklin et al., 2013; Mora et al., 2012; Szczerba-Turek et al., 2020). The implication is that 70 meat of colonised deer could accidentally become contaminated with STEC during slaughter 71 72 or processing. The safety of wild venison, as of other meats, therefore depends, among other factors, on control of visual contamination at harvest and during production (Heuvelink, 73 74 Roessink, Bosboom, & de Boer, 2001).

75 Wild deer meat is increasingly appreciated as an environmentally sustainable source of food (Serrano et al., 2020). While it is highly nutritious (Bureš, Bartoň, Kotrba, & Hakl, 2015), 76 77 occasional contamination of wild venison with STEC has been observed (Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2012; Martin & Beutin, 2011; Miko et al., 2009). A significant risk for acquiring STEC 78 79 infection is consumption of either raw, undercooked or cured/cold meat cuts (Mughini-Gras 80 et al., 2018); thus, consumer habits may play a role. Several foodborne outbreaks have been 81 linked to the handling of raw meat or the consumption of undercooked wild deer cuts 82 contaminated with STEC O157:H7 (Ahn et al., 2009; Rabatsky-Ehr et al., 2002) or STEC non-O157 (Rounds et al., 2012). A more recent outbreak occurred in Scotland, and was 83 linked to the consumption and handling of raw wild venison contaminated with E coli O157 84 85 (Smith-Palmer et al., 2018). Different form previously observed STEC outbreaks, which tended to be smaller and related to individually prepared deer carcasses, the Scottish 86 outbreak was notable, with 12 patients affected, due to the implicated product being 87 commercially produced and widely distributed via the retail chain. 88

The role of wild deer as a reservoir, and the recent outbreaks warrant public health concerns. Wild venison intended for human consumption is the product of hunting activities

91 and of the welfare necessity to control wild deer population density (Ramanzin et al., 2010). While conforming to hygiene requirements, the processing of deer carcasses undergoes 92 93 different procedures than for livestock (Casoli, Duranti, Cambiotti, & Avellini, 2005). The differences in the wild game food chain, along with deer host biological characteristics, 94 create some gaps in the current understanding of the factors that contribute to STEC 95 96 contamination of wild venison. Further to this, the aforementioned Scottish outbreak also identified a lack of knowledge on the microbial risks for wild deer carcasses processed 97 commercially and sold via the retail chain. This prompted a literature review to identify where 98 the highest chance(s) of cross contamination might occur for wild deer carcasses and 99 100 venison thereof. The objective of this scoping review was therefore to identify risk factors 101 that could influence STEC shedding in live deer. A second aim was to evaluate the steps involved in wild deer processing to discuss the variables influencing microbial contamination 102

103 with STEC and other enteric bacteria of carcasses and meat.

104

105 <u>2. Method</u>

106

We searched our institutional electronic library, which is linked to the scientific publication 107 108 databases PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Directory of Open Access Journals, Science Direct and JSTOR. The search terms were: game, deer, wild ruminant*, wild cervid*, 109 110 venison, food handling, processing, hygiene, carcass, contamination, shiga, verotoxin, STEC, VTEC, E. coli. The search strings were constructed with the Boolean operator 'OR' 111 between synonymous terms representing the species, and connected with 'AND' between 112 further key areas of the venison food chain. The first step of the literature review used a 113 short string built with the Boolean operator 'AND' between the synonymous words of the 114 115 species concerned, in combination with one other keyword added to the string with the Boolean operator 'OR'. The selected time interval was from January 2006, which was the 116 117 date of the European Hygiene legislation entering into force, to January 2020. All results were reviewed by title, and where the title indicated the publication was relevant for the 118 search, the abstract was also reviewed. Further review included the bibliographies of 119 120 selected publications. The findings were linked to the following areas of the venison food production chain: (1) the 121

- 122 condition of live deer; (2) hunting practices; (3) transportation and extraction of the
- 123 carcasses; and (4) hygiene practices during further processing of carcases and meat. Each
- 124 of these factors will now be discussed in detail.
- 125

126 **3. Literature review findings**

127 3.1. Live deer

128 It is beyond the scope of this literature review to expand on aspects of the pathophysiology 129 of deer; however, some key traits that might affect the health status of the animals will be 130 discussed, since they could influence STEC colonisation of the intestinal tract and shedding

- 131 of STEC in faeces.
- 132 The ability of deer to shed STEC in faeces is discussed from the perspective of transmission
- rates between animals due to increased environmental faecal contamination, as well as a
- possibility of carcass contamination during the slaughter process via accidental faecal
- contamination, although the latter is likely to be influenced more directly by levels of STEC
- 136 within the intestinal tract.

137 <u>3.1.1 Body condition and co-infection</u>

In cattle, stress or the negative energy balance subsequent to lactation may result in higher 138 139 STEC shedding (Venegas-Vargas et al., 2016). Wild deer, particularly red deer, are known to experience cold stress (Simpson, Webster, Smith, & Simpson, 1978). They enter a period 140 of a metabolic slowdown in winter, mobilising most of the body fat towards the energy 141 production needed to regulate core temperature (Turbill, Ruf, Mang, & Arnold, 2011). This is 142 thought to have a negative impact on the optimal allocation of energy devoted to mount 143 effective immune responses (Houston, McNamara, Barta, & Klasing, 2007), which can 144 predispose animals to infections (Smith, 2007). In support of this concept, it was shown that 145 wild bovids with inadequate diet, particularly reduced protein intake, have higher 146 gastrointestinal (GI) parasite burdens (Ezenwa, 2004). Conversely, extensive research in a 147 wild sheep population has found that animals in good body condition are able to invest in 148 improved immune defence, as reflected by reduced GI parasite burdens and increased 149 levels of circulating natural antibodies (Nussey et al., 2014). 150

Specific research looking at the impact of the host's body condition, health status and 151 immune suppression on STEC infections in deer is lacking. However, in cattle co-infection 152 with Fasciola hepatica, a parasitic trematode with the capacity to modulate the host's 153 immune response, has been linked with increased risk of shedding STEC O157 (Howell et 154 155 al., 2018). All deer species, red deer in particular, are well adapted hosts for Fasciola 156 hepatica throughout mainland Europe (Alasaad et al., 2007; Arias et al., 2012) and the UK (French et al., 2016), with these infections often occurring simultaneously with other helminth 157 infections (Albery et al., 2018; O'Toole et al., 2014). Although red deer may not express 158 overt clinical signs of parasitism, an association has been observed between poorer body 159 160 conditions and relatively low levels of GI parasites (Irvine, Corbishley, Pilkington, & Albon, 2006). 161

While this review could not retrieve evidence from the literature of a direct link between body 162 condition, co-infection and STEC shedding in deer, the cumulative evidence presented here 163 indicates that further research in this area is warranted. In accordance with the European 164 Regulation No. 853/2004, people who hunt wild game for human consumption must acquire 165 sufficient knowledge for an initial examination by undertaking formal training that covers 166 normal as well as abnormal anatomy, physiology, behaviour and pathological changes in 167 wild game due to diseases. The trained hunter plays an important role by carefully selecting 168 for human consumption only deer that display normal anatomy, physiology and behaviour. 169 Therefore, if the health status of deer is a risk factor for STEC shedding, it may already be 170 well controlled by the rejection of weak, emaciated or heavily parasitised animals from the 171 food chain. 172

173 <u>3.1.2 Shared ecosystems</u>

174 Common origin or ruminant interspecies spread of genetically similar *E. coli* O157:H7 have

been initially shown by Sánchez and others (2010). The transmission of STEC between

176 domestic and wild ruminants has further been demonstrated through the isolation of STEC

177 with highly similar genetic profiles from both cattle and deer (Mora et al., 2012; Singh et al.,

178 2015) as well as from sheep and deer (Sánchez et al., 2012).

Interaction between domestic and wild ruminants influences the prevalence of STEC in the
respective species. Faecal shedding of STEC in deer was observed to be significantly higher
in areas with an overabundance of game and domestic large ruminants (Díaz-Sánchez et
al., 2013). Equally, two beef herds showed higher likelihoods of STEC carriage when in
frequent contact with wild deer and other wildlife (Venegas-Vargas et al., 2016), suggesting

184 that circulation of STEC is bidirectional between ruminant species.

STEC can survive from days to months, in various substrates such as faeces, soil and pooling water (Ogden et al., 2002). Drinking contaminated water and foraging in green spaces irrigated with untreated water can thus enable transmission (Franklin et al., 2013). It is apparent from this literature search that both wild deer and domestic ruminants act as reservoir species (Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2013), enabling the maintenance of the bacteria in environments and contributing to horizontal transmission in a shared habitat via grazing territories.

192 <u>3.1.3. Wild deer population density</u>

193 Similar to other species, deer being in close proximity, such as may occur due to crowding at feeding sites, has been suggested to increase horizontal transmission of STEC via the oral 194 ingestion of food contaminated with faeces. This hypothesis is supported by the isolation of 195 identical STEC strains from the tonsils and faeces of both the same and different deer 196 197 sharing feeding spaces (Eggert et al., 2013). STEC occurrence has been linked to a 198 population density of above 15 wild deer per square kilometre (Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2013). Higher STEC intestinal colonisation due to population density pressure is also suggested by 199 Laaksonen and colleagues (2017), who observed lower STEC prevalence in areas with low 200 reindeer population density. These observations are likely to reflect environmental 201 202 contamination with STEC.

203 <u>3.1.4 Seasonality</u>

A positive relationship between STEC shedding and warmer ambient temperature has been observed in deer, similarly to research carried out in cattle (Henry et al., 2017; Oliver, 2014) and sheep (Evans et al., 2011). Higher STEC shedding was observed in the faeces of elk during warm summer months (Franklin et al., 2013) and white-tailed deer (Singh et al., 2015).

209 The association with summer season is thought to be a function of higher shedding rates,

210 likely due to changes to the diet composition (Delgado et al., 2017) and increased pathogen

211 proliferation in the environment at warmer ambient temperatures (Franklin et al., 2013).

212 While these findings offer some understanding of the climate and ecology of deer species in

North America, it cannot assert whether roe and red deer – the main species entering the

European food chain (Schulp, Thuiller, & Verburg, 2014) – follow the same ecology.

215 Research in this area would be beneficial.

216 <u>3.1.5 Species</u>

217 It has been unclear to date whether certain deer species are more likely to shed STEC.

218 Several authors observed no significant differences in the faecal prevalence of STEC

between red and roe deer (Bardiau et al., 2010; Eggert et al., 2013; Obwegeser, Stephan,

- Hofer, & Zweifel, 2012). Other studies have reported a higher prevalence of STEC in red
- deer than roe deer (Dias et al., 2019; Sánchez et al., 2009), although this lack of consistency
- could also be due to the low roe deer sample sizes used. A larger study detected STEC

223 O157 in more rectal swabs from red deer than roe deer (Szczerba-Turek et al., 2020).

Obwegeser and colleagues (2012) observed that red deer isolates were more commonly 224 225 encoding Shiga toxin type 2 (Stx2), which is generally more pathogenic than Stx1 (Buvens et al., 2012). One of the Stx2-positive isolates was also positive for the eae gene, an important 226 virulence factor involved in bacterial attachment to the intestinal epithelium (Brooks et al., 227 2005). Stx2 genes were also found in all four isolates from red deer but only in one of the 228 two isolates from roe deer, although these were subtype *stx2b*, commonly associated with 229 230 mild human disease, and subtype stx2g, which has not been associated with human disease (Dias et al., 2019). Work by Szczerba-Turek and others (2020) found two STEC strains, 231

isolated from one roe and one red deer, that were positive for both *stx2a* and *eae*, a

- virulence profile associated with more severe forms of human disease (FAO, WHO, 2018).
- These findings suggest that both red deer and roe deer carry STEC strains potentially
- pathogenic to humans. The available research data are insufficient to conclude whether one
- of these species carries higher levels or more harmful STEC than the other. Few studies
- report isolates of STEC in the faeces of fallow deer (Sánchez et al., 2009; Szczerba-Turek et
- al., 2020), suggesting that this species carries STEC, but the limited sample sizes do notallow a meaningful comparison with red and roe deer.

240 <u>3.1.6 Sex</u>

Sex was suggested to be a factor affecting the intestinal microbiota of wild-tailed deer due to 241 differences in the movement range and feeding behaviour between males and females. 242 However, the study investigating this relationship showed that there was no significant 243 244 difference in gut content associated with sex (Delgado et al., 2017). By comparing the sexes 245 in red and roe deer, Eggert and colleagues (2013) observed that Stx genes were detected more frequently in *E. coli* isolated from the faecal samples of male red deer (8/10; 80%) than 246 of females (13/20; 65%). In roe deer the Stx gene was detected more frequently in faeces 247 collected from females (9/10; 90%) than males (7/10; 70%), but these sex differences were 248 not significantly different (Eggert et al., 2013). Similarly, other studies have consistently 249 failed to identify any differences in the proportion of Stx-positive faecal samples between 250 male and female deer. Bardiau and colleagues (2010) found no significant difference in the 251 proportion of Stx-positive faecal samples in male (9/58; 15.5%) and female (11/75; 14.7%) 252 deer, irrespective of species, and Díaz-Sánchez and others (2013) also reported no 253 statistical significance in *Stx* carriage between red male deer (50/120; 41.7%) and female 254

- 255 red deer (32/67; 47.8%).
- 256 Cumulatively, these data suggest that there is little evidence that sex influences the 257 shedding of STEC, although most studies used relatively small sample sizes; further
- research in this area is thus required.

259 3.2 Hunting practices

Hunting methods vary, depending on terrain, traditions and the deer species. The driven hunts, particularly those using dog packs, where wild deer are actively pursued, is discussed to increase ante-mortem stress, which may favour the translocation of STEC from the colonised gut to sterile organs and muscles. A similar translocation effect is thought to occur when deer are only wounded initially due to increased pain and stress (Bartels & Bülte, 2011).

In the European community, the same hygiene provisions as for domestic livestock regulate
the culling of wild ungulates, namely Regulation (EC) No 852/2004, on general requirements
for production of food of animal origin and Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 outlining specific
hygiene rules for food of animal origin. There are additional guidelines produced by the
industry on appropriate harvesting methods.

- The small body of research that offers some understanding of the effects of hunting
 operations and primary dressing is synthesised below, highlighting the steps that appear
 more critical concerning the risk of STEC contamination of the deer carcass in field
 conditions.
- 275 <u>3.2.1 Wounding accuracy</u>

- 276 Recommended shooting procedures aim to achieve a rapid death, minimising the suffering
- of deer, which in turn facilitates the easy retrieval of game (Casoli et al., 2005; Laaksonen & Paulsen, 2015).
- Abdominal shots are discouraged (Gill, 2007; Ramanzin et al., 2010) due to welfare concerns and a significant risk of carcass contamination. Shooting to any position posterior
- to the sixth rib will, in most cases, cause visible contamination of the carcass (Urquhart &
- McKendrick, 2006), jeopardising hygiene through the spread of the endogenous gut
- 283 microflora, including potentially pathogenic microorganisms such as STEC (Bartels & Bülte,
- 284 2011).
- 1285 It is generally accepted that the muscles and deep tissues of healthy animals are sterile (Gill 286 & Penney, 1977). It is also thought that the bullet might become a fomite, introducing
- pathogens from the hide (Paulsen, 2011), or from the gastrointestinal tract if the shooting lacerates the intestines (Gill, 2007).
- 289 Deer carcasses with abdominal wounds were shown to have 0.2–0.6 cfu/cm² higher
- 290 Enterobacteriaceae counts than those with no abdominal wounds (Atanassova, Apelt, Reich,
- 291 & Klein, 2008). On assessing the microflora of over 300 wild deer carcasses, Obwegeser
- and colleagues (2012) found Enterobacteriaceae in 90% of samples. The authors suggested
- these results were partly linked to the difficulty of hygienic evisceration in the field, especially
- if the shooting affected the integrity of the gastrointestinal tract. Abdominal shooting was also
- recently shown to be statistically associated with high *E. coli* counts in moose and white-
- tailed deer (Sauvala et al., 2019). As *E. coli* and STEC are part of the Enterobacteriaceae
 family (Paton & Paton, 1998), these observations offer indirect evidence that STEC might
- contaminate deer carcasses via abdominal wounding. In a STEC outbreak linked to venison
- consumption, abdominal shot was thought to be the cause of wild venison contamination
- 300 (Rabatsky-Ehr et al., 2002b), which further supports evidence of STEC risk.
- 301 <u>3.2.2 Evisceration</u>
- 302 For food safety purposes, the evisceration of deer, or gralloching, is carried out at the place
- of cull, with the aim of removing viscera that may cause contamination and deterioration if left within the carcass, particularly if the gastrointestinal tract was damaged, and with the
- 305 scope of lowering the carcass temperature.
- 306 <u>3.2.2.1 Delayed evisceration</u>
- Several publications describe delayed evisceration as an opportunity for increased carcass microbiological contamination (Casoli et al., 2005; Gill, 2007). One of the concerns is that digestive flora will continue to ferment, resulting in a bloated digestive tract and an increased possibility of faecal contamination during evisceration, through inadvertent puncture of the intestinal tract.
- The observations of Atanassova and colleagues (2008) suggest that the evisceration of
- 313 "expertly shot" deer within 90 minutes results in carcasses of superior microbiological quality.
- The European Hygiene Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 requires wild large game to be
- eviscerated "as soon as possible", enabling member countries to establish an appropriate
- timeframe. Other intervals recommended for hygienic evisceration include a maximum of two
- hours after shooting (Deutz & Fötschl, 2014), or no longer than one hour (Laaksonen &
- Paulsen, 2015). The outdoor temperature during the culling process is important since mild
- 319 or warm environments are likely to speed up microbial multiplication, necessitating prompt 320 evisceration.
- 321 One of the concerns around delayed evisceration is that intestinal bacteria may penetrate
- the gut lining and contaminate muscle tissue after a few hours from killing (Ramanzin et al.,

2010). This concern might not be substantiated, as suggested by the study of Gill and
 colleagues (1978), which showed bacteria do not leave the intestinal lumen until there is a

form of tissue disruption or breakdown produced by proteolytic enzymes, which occurs at

326 least 24 hours post-mortem.

327 <u>3.2.2.2 Gastrointestinal perforation</u>

328 Microbiological testing of wild deer carcasses (Avagnina et al., 2012; Obwegeser et al., 2012) suggests that handling practices during harvesting play an important role in 329 determining initial enteric microbial counts, including of *E. coli*, on carcasses, impacting on 330 the safety of meat, a concept also reinforced by Gill (2007), and Ramanzin and colleagues 331 (2010). The microbial contamination was significantly associated with improper evisceration 332 procedures (Avagnina et al., 2012) that lead to faecal contamination (Obwegeser et al., 333 2012). Based on personal observations of multiple gralloching techniques, it can be seen 334 335 that spillage of gastrointestinal contents can also occur, due to hunter-independent reasons, 336 such as distended forestomach with excessive food content or juvenile deer having tissues that tear more easily. 337

In deer, *E. coli* are primarily found in the microbiota of the colon (Li et al., 2014). It is not yet 338 339 known whether STEC O157 in deer, similar to cattle, mainly colonise the mucosal epithelium at the recto-anal junction (Naylor et al., 2003) and whether this is associated with high (>10³) 340 341 CFU/g faeces) shedding of STEC O157, as is seen in cattle (Chase-Topping, Gally, Low, Matthews, & Woolhouse, 2008). However, if colonisation of STEC O157 is similar between 342 deer and cattle, it would be expected that the main risk of contamination came from spillage 343 344 of the colon or rectal contents during gralloching. Thus, great care should be taken to minimise contamination of the carcass from gut contents arising from the lower intestinal 345 346 tract.

To rectify contamination, some hunters are committed to old traditions such as washing
carcasses with water from streams or canals (Avagnina et al., 2012). However, these
practices might lead to further contamination spread on the carcass (Anderson, Marshall, &
Dickson, 1991), or the water can become an additional source of nonvisual contamination, if
polluted with pathogens from local deer or other ruminants (Probert, Miller, & Ledin, 2017).

According to European Hygiene Regulation 853/2004, the carcasses of wild ungulates 352 intended to be marketed for human consumption must be subjected to an initial examination 353 by a person who has undergone training with regards to hygiene techniques applicable to 354 handling, transportation, evisceration as well as training to recognise environmental 355 contamination, and other factors that might affect human health after consumption. To this 356 extent the trained hunter can ascertain through the provision of a 'hunter declaration' which 357 accompanies the carcass of wild ungulate, that it does not present environmental 358 contamination or abnormalities that might affect human health after consumption. Article 5 of 359 Regulation (EC) 852/2004 on the hygiene of foodstuffs requires that food business operators 360 of the AGHEs must identify and control food hazards, including pathogenic bacteria that 361 might originate from faecal contamination, through the implementation of Hazard Analysis 362 and Critical Control System (HACCP). Therefore, any light contamination with 363 364 gastrointestinal contents must be removed by prompt trimming of the contaminated area with a clean knife, making sure that a wide margin of uncontaminated tissues is also removed... 365 The principles of the HACCP dictate that wild deer carcasses extensively contaminated with 366 gastrointestinal contents must be rejected for human consumption (Food Standards Agency, 367 2008). 368

369 **3.3 Extraction and transport along the food chain**

370 Due to the terrain where wild deer thrive, it is not always possible to immediately load the

- eviscerated carcasses into a vehicle. In Europe, smaller roe deer can be dragged into a
- "drag bag", or carried in a "roe sac". Larger, heavier deer are extracted either by manual
- dragging, or, in rare instances, in countries such as Scotland, by loading them onto a pony.

374 <u>3.3.1 The influence of extraction practices</u>

375 To the extent of this literature search, there were no specific risk factors linked to STEC

- 376 contamination during extraction and transport. However, dragging of freshly gralloched
- carcasses could present a risk of contamination with environmental materials such as soil
 and vegetation (Laaksonen & Paulsen, 2015). Consequently, if the environment contains
- 379 ruminant faeces, dragging could result in STEC contamination.
- Stacking of deer carcasses is prohibited by the European Hygiene Regulation 853/2004, given that this practice has an insulating effect, particularly for carcasses in the middle of the heap. Equally, this practice promotes cross-contamination during transport. Vehicles should therefore enable hygienic extraction such that wild deer carcasses do not touch and air can freely circulate to enable cooling (Bekker, Hoffman, & Jooste, 2011).

385 <u>3.3.2 Temperature controls</u>

386 In wild game settings, active chilling begins at the collection centre or approved game-

- handling establishment (AGHE). The time elapsed from hunting to chilling largely depends
- 388 on the remoteness of the hunting place and its proximity to the collection centre or AGHE.
- 389 Hygiene Regulation (EC) No. 853/2004 states: "where climatic conditions so permit, active
- 390 chilling is not necessary". When the deer-hunting season coincides with colder ambient
- temperatures, below the recommended chilling temperature for red meat (7°C), the effect of
 the outdoors is less concerning. In turn, it was observed that during summer months,
- ambient temperatures of 17.8 ± 1.2 °C significantly influenced the growth of
- Enterobacteriaceae on the surface of game carcasses lacking visual contamination (Paulsen & Winkelmayer, 2004). Subsequent cooling of carcasses at near-freezing temperatures (e.g.
- 395 a winkeimayer, 2004). Subsequent cooling of carcasses at near-freezing temperatures (e.g 396 0.4°C) prevented additional bacterial growth for four days, but the counts that accompanied
- the carcasses going into storage persisted (Paulsen, 2011; Paulsen & Winkelmayer, 2004).
- 398 Therefore, a key hygiene factor is establishing a cold chain as soon as practicably possible
- to maintain lower microbiological counts. Once established, an uninterrupted cold chain must
 be kept to prevent bacterial growth (EFSA, 2014).
- 401 Countries such as Austria have developed procedures where chilling should start a
- 402 maximum 12 hours from culling (Paulsen, 2011). In South Africa, the Meat Safety Act No. 40
- requires partially dressed carcasses to undergo chilling within 12 hours of hunting, to a
 temperature not exceeding 7°C.
- Another hygiene risk might arise when new carcasses are brought into the collection centre,
- and warm bodies are hung close to already chilled ones, particularly in facilities with
- insufficient ventilation, as the dampness from the warm body increases the humidity and so
- 408 moisture on the surface of the chilled carcasses. An experimental study has shown that
- fluctuating temperatures and fluctuating water activity result in a growth response translating into an increase in *E. coli* culturable cell numbers (Mellefont, Kocharunchitt, & Ross, 2015).
- 411 An alternative to avoiding moisture on carcasses is to combine a short pre-cooling phase, at
- 412 temperatures of around 10°C, with the use of professional cooling facilities, which enable
- 413 effective ventilation and dehumidification (Paulsen, 2011).
- 414 Cumulatively, this information suggests that contamination of wild game carcasses with
- enteric bacteria is more likely to occur in summer, which is also the season associated with
- increased STEC faecal shedding (Franklin et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2015). Stricter hygiene
- and faster cooling should thus be sought to prevent contamination.

418

419 **3.4 Hygiene practices along the food chain**

420 The procedures applicable to venison differ depending on whether the carcasses are

421 intended for higher-throughput facilities or are sold on the small-throughput, local market,

422 which is exempt from the European Hygiene Regulations, allowing the resulting small

423 quantities of wild deer carcasses and venison to be sold locally without passing through

424 AGHEs (FSA & FSS, 2018). In line with the aims of this review, this section refers to the wild

deer food chain that falls under the European legislation since this represents the wild game

426 meat sold widely for human consumption via large retailers or the export market.

427 <u>3.4.1 Length of time for primary storage of carcasses</u>

The storage of skin-on carcasses is an accepted practice, as part of the maturation and the

429 development of characteristic game flavour (Soriano et al., 2016). The time in storage of

430 unskinned carcasses may vary. While storage intervals of 1–4 days for maturation purposes

have been described (Soriano et al., 2016), up to 20-day intervals have also been observed

432 (Gill, 2007; Laaksonen & Paulsen, 2015). Recently, Sauvala and colleagues (2019) showed

that the storage time (0–9 days) was statistically associated with *E. coli* counts on white

434 tailed deer carcasses.

435 It is generally accepted that the muscle surface remains protected by the skin, if stored

436 hygienically, but it should be considered that STEC are able to withstand chilling (Mann &

437 Brashears, 2006). Evidence from studies of *E. coli* on beef carcasses suggests that the

438 reductions of *E. coli* growth following effective chilling conditions can be temporary and that

439 after around 40 hours, bacterial growth can start to increase as the bacteria adapt to the new

440 environmental conditions (King, Kocharunchitt, Gobius, Bowman, & Ross, 2016; Mellefont et

441 al., 2015). Therefore, it is expected that some STEC will survive during storage, more so if 442 not all the contamination is rectified by generous trimming on arrival and if there are

442 hot all the contamination is rectined by 443 breaches in the cold chain.

444 <u>3.4.2 Skinning</u>

445 Removal of the skin occurs at the AGHE before the carcass undergoes further trimming and final veterinary inspection. Skinning has been discussed repeatedly as an important step in 446 ensuring the hygiene of wild game carcasses (Atanassova et al., 2008; Avagnina et al., 447 2012; Casoli et al., 2005; Gill, 2007; van Schalkwyk, Hoffman, & Laubscher, 2011). Some 448 449 evidence that skinning can result in E. coli contamination of game carcasses comes from the study of Gouws and colleagues (2017). Swabs taken from the incision line immediately after 450 451 evisceration were negative for E. coli but, following skinning, up to 42% of carcasses displayed E. coli contamination, suggesting that improper execution of the skinning can 452

453 result in carcass contamination with *E. coli*.

In cattle, a number of studies have examined the influence of visual cleanliness on carcass

455 microbial load, including Enterobacteriaceae, generic *E. coli* and STEC O157 (Antic et al.,

456 2010; Blagojevic, Antic, Ducic, & Buncic, 2011, 2012). Using a four-category visual

457 cleanliness scoring scheme, based on an adaptation of the UK Clean Livestock Policy,
 458 Blagojevic and colleagues (2012) showed that category 4 (very dirty) cattle presented

458 significantly higher Enterobacteriaceae compared with cleaner categories (1–3), and a

459 significantly higher Enterobacteriaceae compared with cleaner categories (1–3), and a 460 greater proportion of the category 4 carcasses were *E. coli* O157-positive. The observations

461 on slaughtering of cattle suggest that soiling or dry debris on the hide increase the risk of

462 presence of STEC on the carcass (Blagojevic et al., 2012).

Another study exploring the ability of contaminated cattle hides to transfer bacteria to meat *in vivo* found hide-to-meat transmission of generic *E. coli* occurred in 10% of contacts,

465 suggesting the transfer of about 1% of the total *E. coli* population on the hide to the meat466 (Antic et al., 2010).

467 Specific procedures that have been described as influencing the transfer of pathogens from

the hide to the carcass include the pressure with which the hide is pulled during skinning

(Antic et al., 2010). Sudden pressure may produce aerosols that are likely to be taken up by

the freshly exposed, moist meat. A compounding problem is that deer skins are removed

- from cold, set carcasses, which makes the process more forceful compared with working on
- 472 warm carcasses, due to rigor mortis and harder subcutaneous fat as a result of chilling.
- 473 Depending on the hunting season, which might overlap with moulting, skin removal can lead
- 474 more readily to hair contamination (Casoli et al., 2005).

475 <u>3.4.3 Further dressing and processing</u>

In other species, there has been an emphasis on the role of faecal contamination, and zero 476 tolerance has been proposed as a tool in the control of STEC (Heuvelink et al., 2001). 477 Considering the type of dressing that wild venison undergo, it might not be possible to 478 479 achieve the same criteria as for livestock, yet minimal contamination should be aimed for. Microbiological analysis in game carcasses, including roe and red deer, confirms that visible 480 481 faecal contamination on the body cavities was associated with significantly higher (median 0.97 log₁₀ cfu/cm²) counts of Enterobacteriaceae, compared with visually clean body cavities 482 (Paulsen & Winkelmayer, 2004). Similarly, Paulsen (2011) reports that Enterobacteriaceae 483 484 counts on visually contaminated abdominal cavities were an average of 1.6 log₁₀ cfu/cm²

485 higher in roe deer carcasses.

486 Regarding STEC, there is very limited evidence on its prevalence in deer carcasses. STEC O157 was found in the faeces of red deer (4/264, 1.5%); however, no STEC O157 was 487 isolated from the carcasses sampled (Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2013). In contrast, this same 488 489 study found a higher faecal prevalence of non-O157 STEC (89/264, 34%) and a correspondingly higher carcass prevalence of non-O157 STEC (19/271, 7%). The authors 490 concluded that the higher detection rate of non-O157 STEC on carcasses was a result of 491 faecal cross-contamination during carcass processing (Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2013). The 492 mechanisms of meat contamination during processing operations are similar for enteric 493 494 pathogens in all meat animal species (Nørrung & Buncic, 2008). Once operatives' hands, the processing environment or the equipment become contaminated, this can contribute to the 495 spread of enteric pathogens, including STEC, during storage, boning or processing. 496

497 To the authors' knowledge, no studies have assessed in detail the hygiene practices during venison dressing and cutting. Work in Switzerland identified that the processing 498 establishment was associated with Enterobacteriaceae contamination (Obwegeser et al., 499 500 2012). A Finnish study confirmed that Enterobacteriaceae on the carcasses were associated with the smaller facilities having only one room, although this was not statistically significant 501 for *E. coli* (Sauvala et al., 2019). Sauvala and colleagues (2019) also found that male wild 502 deer carcases were significantly more often contaminated with E. coli than female 503 carcasses, which could be a consequence of the more difficult hygiene handling of male 504 505 carcasses, which are typically larger and heavier than females. In cattle, higher E. coli counts have been interpreted as possibly resulting from cross-contamination of meat cuts by 506 meat handlers (Nel, Lues, Buys, & Venter, 2004). Increased handling of the beef product, 507 particularly if gloves are not worn or are made of fabric (Gill & Jones, 2002) may be a 508 509 contamination risk. An additional food safety concern is that naturally occurring pathogens such as *E. coli* can persist on contact surfaces even after routine sanitation, particularly on 510 surfaces that are more difficult to access for debris removal and are less exposed to air 511

512 drying (Yang, Wang, He, & Tran, 2017).

513 **4.** <u>Conclusions</u>

This review highlights a complex journey between the hill source and the consumer's plate, 514 515 where food safety risks may exist at every step. The risk factors for STEC contamination in venison are directly linked to the ability of the live animal to harbour these pathogens and, 516 further, to multifactorial steps related to dressing practices in field conditions, handling of the 517 venison during extraction, chilling and further cutting, as well as to the environment through 518 which wild deer carcasses pass. The available evidence suggests that STEC risk can be 519 520 most efficiently managed through interventions applied at the primary production combined with later optimisation of the dressing and butchering hygiene. To efficiently control 521 foodborne pathogens such as STEC, a robust, continuous hill-to-fork food safety 522 523 management system should be in place. The risk consideration should not stop at the 524 hygiene possibilities along the food chain covered by this work, but should ultimately 525 consider consumers' attitudes and behaviours, which were not included in this review. 526 Although delivering pathogen-free carcasses to AGHEs might not be a realistic target under

527 the wild conditions of deer hunting, there are many factors that could be managed to deliver

- 528 minimally contaminated game to the game processors. The findings in the literature reinforce
- 529 the importance of accurate shooting, prompt evisceration, generous trimming of lightly
- 530 contaminated parts if contamination accidentally occurs, avoidance of excessive handling, 531 strict adherence to the cold chain, fast processing of venison carcasses and clean and dry
- 532 processing environments to control the occurrence of STEC during culling and processing.
- 533 Gaps identified in the literature include the lack of information on the role of extraction 534 practices on the microbiology of the carcasses. Further gaps result from a scarcity of data
- regarding STEC carriage on deer carcasses, which would have offered a better baseline
- 536 understanding of the risks related to handling procedures influencing the STEC
- 537 contamination specifically. The great majority of the studies retrieved assessed the microbial
- 538 condition of deer carcases by looking at other enteric bacteria, and focused more on the
- 539 impact of primary production in field conditions, thus offering less opportunity for discussion
- of the factors linked to good manufacturing practices at collection centres and AGHEs.

541 In cattle, several studies have shown that the condition of the hide influences the condition of 542 the carcass. There are no studies to date, however, reporting the prevalence of STEC on

- 543 deer skins, and fieldwork in this area would be necessary to better understand whether
- skinning processes have a role in contaminating deer carcasses with STEC. Following up
- from these findings, a holistic research study that considers the risk of STEC contamination
- from the primary source, and examines the handling practices along the food chain, from hill to fork, would promote a better understanding of which of the above factors have a
- 548 significant impact on the STEC contamination of wild deer carcasses. These risk factors
- should be considered in local circumstances, as applicable to the ecology and the species of
- 550 deer that are harvested for human consumption. This would assist the research community
- and venison sector to work towards adapting food safety management systems to
- 552 contemporary changes, and improving consumer protection.
- 553

554 **Funding**

555 This work has been funded by Food Standards Scotland and the Scottish Government as

- part of objective three of the project, 'The risk of STEC (Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*)
- 557 contamination in wild venison', grant number CR/2016/26.

558 Acknowledgements

- 559 Many thanks go to Dr. Marianne James of Food Standards Scotland for her scientific
- comments on the draft and for enabling us to undertake this work. The authors would like to
- thank Mr. Richard Playfair for sharing his knowledge of the venison sector. Finally, thanks to
- the independent editor Markus MacGill for reviewing the manuscript for clarity.
- 563
- 564 <u>References</u>
- Ahn, C. K., Russo, A. J., Howell, K. R., Holt, N. J., Sellenriek, P. L., Rothbaum, R. J., ...
 Tarr, P. I. (2009). Deer Sausage: A Newly Identified Vehicle of Transmission of
 Escherichia coli O157:H7. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, *155*(4), 587–589.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.02.051
- Alasaad, S., Huang, C. Q., Li, Q. Y., Granados, J. E., García-Romero, C., Pérez, J. M., &
 Zhu, X. Q. (2007). Characterization of Fasciola samples from different host species and
 geographical localities in Spain by sequences of internal transcribed spacers of rDNA.
 Parasitology Research, *101*(5), 1245–1250. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-007-0628-2
- Albery, G. F., Kenyon, F., Morris, A., Morris, S., Nussey, D. H., & Pemberton, J. M. (2018).
 Seasonality of helminth infection in wild red deer varies between individuals and
 between parasite taxa. *Parasitology*, *145*(11), 1410–1420.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018000185
- Anderson, M. E., Marshall, R. T., & Dickson, J. S. (1991). Estimating depths of bacterial
 penetration into post rigor carcass tissue during washing. *Journal of Food Safety*, *12*(3),
 191–198. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4565.1991.tb00078.x
- Antic, D., Blagojevic, B., Ducic, M., Nastasijevic, I., Mitrovic, R., & Buncic, S. (2010).
 Distribution of microflora on cattle hides and its transmission to meat via direct contact.
 Food Control, *21*(7), 1025–1029. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2009.12.022
- Arias, M. S., Martínez-Carrasco, C., León-Vizcaíno, L., Paz-Silva, A., Díez-Baños, P.,
 Morrondo, P., & Alonso, F. (2012). Detection of Antibodies In Wild Ruminants To
 Evaluate Exposure To Liver Trematodes. *Journal of Parasitology*, *98*(4), 754–759.
 https://doi.org/10.1645/ge-2804.1
- Atanassova, V., Apelt, J., Reich, F., & Klein, G. (2008). Microbiological quality of freshly shot
 game in Germany. *Meat Science*, *78*(4), 414–419.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.07.004
- Avagnina, A., Nucera, D., Grassi, M. A., Ferroglio, E., Dalmasso, A., & Civera, T. (2012).
 The microbiological conditions of carcasses from large game animals in Italy. *MESC*,
 91, 266–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.01.025
- Bardiau, M., Grégoire, F., Muylaert, A., Nahayo, A., Duprez, J.-N., Mainil, J., & Linden, A.
 (2010). Enteropathogenic (EPEC), enterohaemorragic (EHEC) and verotoxigenic
 (VTEC) Escherichia coli in wild cervids. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *109*(6), 2214–
 2222. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04855.x
- Bartels A, C., & Bülte M. (2011). Verotoxigenic Escherichia Coli (VTEC) in wild ruminants in
 Germany. In A. S. F. J. . Paulsen Peter., Bauer A., Vodnansky M., Winkelmeier R.
 (Ed.), *Game Meat Hygiene in Focus- microbiology, Epidemiology, Risk analysis and quality assurance* (pp. 107–110). Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- Bekker, J. L., Hoffman, L. C., & Jooste, P. J. (2011). Essential food safety management
 points in the supply chain of game meat in South Africa. In *Game meat hygiene in focus*(pp. 39–65). https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-723-3_2

- Blagojevic, B., Antic, D., Ducic, M., & Buncic, S. (2011). Ratio between carcass-and skin microflora as an abattoir process hygiene indicator. *Food Control*, 22(2), 186–190.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2010.06.017
- Blagojevic, B., Antic, D., Ducic, M., & Buncic, S. (2012). Visual cleanliness scores of cattle at
 slaughter and microbial loads on the hides and the carcases. *Veterinary Record*,
 (170(22)), 563. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.100477
- Brooks, J. T., Sowers, E. G., Wells, J. G., Greene, K. D., Griffin, P. M., Hoekstra, R. M., &
 Strockbine, N. A. (2005). Non-O157 Shiga Toxin–Producing *Escherichia coli* Infections
 in the United States, 1983–2002. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *192*(8), 1422–
 1429. https://doi.org/10.1086/466536
- Browning, L., Hawkins, G., Allison, L., & Bruce, R. (2016). National Outbreak of Escherichia
 coli O157 Phage Type 32 in Scotland. Retrieved from
 http://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/resourcedocument.aspx?id=2987
- Buchholz, U., Bernard, H., Werber, D., Böhmer, M. M., Remschmidt, C., Wilking, H., ...
 Kühne, M. (2011). German outbreak of Escherichia coli O104:H4 associated with
 sprouts. New England Journal of Medicine, 365(19), 1763–1770.
 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1106482
- Bureš, D., Bartoň, L., Kotrba, R., & Hakl, J. (2015). Quality attributes and composition of
 meat from red deer (Cervus elaphus), fallow deer (Dama dama) and Aberdeen Angus
 and Holstein cattle (Bos taurus). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *95*(11),
 2299–2306. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6950
- Buvens, G., De Gheldre, Y., Dediste, A., De Moreau, A. I., Mascart, G., Simon, A., ...
 Piérard, D. (2012). Incidence and virulence determinants of verocytotoxin-producing
 Escherichia coli infections in the Brussels-Capital Region, Belgium, in 2008-2010. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *50*(4), 1336–1345. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.0531711
- Caprioli, A., Morabito, S., Brugère, H., & Oswald, E. (2005). Enterohaemorrhagic
 Escherichia coli: emerging issues on virulence and modes of transmission. *Veterinary Research*, *36*(3), 289–311. https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2005002
- Casoli, C., Duranti, E., Cambiotti, F., & Avellini, P. (2005). Wild ungulate slaughtering and
 meat inspection. In *Veterinary research communications*.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11259-005-0028-y
- Chase-Topping, M., Gally, D., Low, C., Matthews, L., & Woolhouse, M. (2008). Supershedding and the link between human infection and livestock carriage of Escherichia
 coli O157. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *6*(12), 904–912.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2029
- Delgado, M. L., Singh, P., Funk, J. A., Moore, J. A., Cannell, E. M., Kanesfsky, J., ...
- 641Scribner, K. T. (2017). Intestinal Microbial Community Dynamics of White-Tailed Deer642(Odocoileus virginianus) in an Agroecosystem. *Microbial Ecology*, 74(2), 496–506.
- 643 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-017-0961-7
- 644 Deutz, A., & Fötschl, H. (2014). 18. Game meat hygiene under Alpine conditions. In P. P., B.
 645 A., & S. F.J.M. (Eds.), *Trends in game meat hygiene* (pp. 211–222).
 646 https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-238-2_18
- Dias, D., Caetano, T., Torres, R. T., Fonseca, C., & Mendo, S. (2019). Shiga toxin-producing
 Escherichia coli in wild ungulates. *Science of the Total Environment*, 651, 203–209.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.162

- Díaz-Sánchez, S., Sánchez, S., Herrera-León, S., Porrero, C., Blanco, J., Dahbi, G., ...
 Vidal, D. (2013). Prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp.
 and Campylobacter spp. in large game animals intended for consumption: Relationship
 with management practices and livestock influence. *Veterinary Microbiology*, *163*(3–4),
 274–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.12.026
- Díaz-Sánchez, S., Sánchez, S., Sánchez, M., Herrera-León, S., Hanning, I., & Vidal, D.
 (2012). Detection and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in
 game meat and ready-to-eat meat products. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,
 160(2), 179–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJFOODMICRO.2012.09.016
- EFSA. (2013). Scientific Opinion on VTEC-seropathotype and scientific criteria regarding
 pathogenicity assessment. *EFSA Journal*, *11*(4), 3138.
 https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3138
- EFSA. (2014). Scientific Opinion on the public health risks related to the maintenance of the
 cold chain during storage and transport of meat. Part 2 (minced meat from all species).
 EFSA Journal, *12*(7), 3783. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3783
- Eggert, M., Stüber, E., Heurich, M., Fredriksson-Ahomaa, M., Burgos, Y., Beutin, L., &
 Märtlbauer, E. (2013). Detection and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing
 Escherichia coli in faeces and lymphatic tissue of free-ranging deer. *Source: Epidemiology and Infection*, 141(2), 251–259.
- 669 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268812000246
- Evans, J., Knight, H., McKendrick, I. J., Stevenson, H., Varo Barbudo, A., Gunn, G. J., &
 Low, J. C. (2011). Prevalence of Escherichia coli O157 : H7 and serogroups O26,
 O103, O111 and O145 in sheep presented for slaughter in Scotland. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 60(5), 653–660. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.028415-0
- Ezenwa, V. O. (2004). Interactions among host diet, nutritional status and gastrointestinal
 parasite infection in wild bovids. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *34(4)*, 535–342.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2003.11.012
- FAO, W. (2018). Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) and food: attribution,
 characterization, and monitoring. Microbiological Risk Assessment Series 31 Report:
 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) and food: attribution, characterisation,
 and monitoring.
- Ferens, W. A., & Hovde, C. J. (2011). *Escherichia coli* O157:H7: Animal Reservoir and
 Sources of Human Infection. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 8(4), 465–487.
 https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0673
- Food Standards Agency. (2008). HACCP guidance for those producing wild game meat for
 human consumption either at an approved game handling establishment or under
 exemption allowed by the food hygiene regulations HACCP. Retrieved from
 https://www.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/multimedia/pdfs/gamehaccpplan.pdf
- Frank, E., Bonke, R., Drees, N., Heurich, M., Märtlbauer, E., & Gareis, M. (2019). Shiga
 toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) shedding in a wild roe deer population. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.108479
- Franklin, A. B., VerCauteren, K. C., Maguire, H., Cichon, M. K., Fischer, J. W., Lavelle, M. J.,
 Scallan, E. (2013). Wild ungulates as disseminators of Shiga toxin-producing
 Escherichia coli in urban areas. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(12).
- 694 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0081512
- French, A. S., Zadoks, R. N., Skuce, P. J., Mitchell, G., Gordon-Gibbs, D. K., Craine, A., ...
 Taggart, M. A. (2016). Prevalence of Liver Fluke (Fasciola hepatica) in Wild Red Deer

- 697 (Cervus elaphus): Coproantigen ELISA Is a Practicable Alternative to Faecal Egg
 698 Counting for Surveillance in Remote Populations. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(9), e0162420.
 699 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162420</u>
- FSA, & FSS. (2018). The Wild Game Guide. Retrieved from
 https://www.foodstandards.gov.scot/downloads/The_Wild_Game_Guide_1.pdf
- García-Sánchez, A., Sánchez, S., Rubio, R., Pereira, G., Alonso, J. M., Hermoso de
 Mendoza, J., & Rey, J. (2007). Presence of Shiga toxin-producing E. coli O157:H7 in a
 survey of wild artiodactyls. *Veterinary Microbiology*, *121*(3–4), 373–377.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.12.012
- Gill, C. O. (2007). Microbiological conditions of meats from large game animals and birds.
 Meat Science, 77(2), 149–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.03.007
- Gill, C. O., & Jones, T. (2002). Effects of wearing knitted or rubber gloves on the transfer of
 Escherichia cohi between hands and meat. *Journal of Food Protection*, 65(6), 1045–
 1048. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12092720
- Gill, C. O., & Penney, N. (1977). Penetration of bacteria into meat. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 33(6), 1284–1286. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/406846
- Gill, C. O., Penney, N., & Nottingham, P. M. (1978). Tissue sterility in uneviscerated
 carcasses. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *36*(2), 356–359. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29564
- Gould, L. H., Mody, R. K., Ong, K. L., Clogher, P., Cronquist, A. B., Garman, K. N., ... Griffin,
 P. M. (2013). Increased recognition of Non-O157 shiga toxin-producing escherichia coli
 infections in the United States during 2000-2010: Epidemiologic features and
 comparison with E. coli O157 infections. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, *10*(5),
 453–460. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1401
- Gouws, P. A., Shange, N., & Hoffman, L. C. (2017). 14. Microbial quality of springbok (
 Antidorcas marsupialis) meat in relation to harvesting and production process. In
 Game meat hygiene (pp. 223–228). https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-840-7_14
- Hald, T., Aspinall, W., Devleesschauwer, B., Cooke, R., Corrigan, T., Havelaar, A. H., ...
 Hoffmann, S. (2016). World Health Organization Estimates of the Relative Contributions
 of Food to the Burden of Disease Due to Selected Foodborne Hazards: A Structured
 Expert Elicitation. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(1), e0145839.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145839
- Henry, M. K., Tongue, S. C., Evans, J., Webster, C., Mckendrick, I. J., Morgan, M., ...
 Chase-Topping, M. E. (2017). British Escherichia coli O157 in Cattle Study (BECS) to
 determine the prevalence of E. coli O157 in herds with cattle destined for the food chain
 Citation for published version: British Escherichia coli O157 in Cattle Study (BECS): to
 determine the preval. *Epidemiology and Infection*, *145*(15), 3168–3179.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268817002151
- Heuvelink, A. E., Roessink, G. L., Bosboom, K., & de Boer, E. (2001). Zero-tolerance for
 faecal contamination of carcasses as a tool in the control of O157 VTEC infections. In *International journal of food microbiology* (Vol. 66). Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11407542
- Hoffmann, S., Devleesschauwer, B., Aspinall, W., Cooke, R., Corrigan, T., Havelaar, A., ...
 Hald, T. (2017). Attribution of global foodborne disease to specific foods: Findings from
 a World Health Organization structured expert elicitation. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(9), e0183641.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183641

- Houston, A. I., McNamara, J. M., Barta, Z., & Klasing, K. C. (2007). The effect of energy
 reserves and food availability on optimal immune defence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 274(1627), 2835–2842.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.0934
- Howell, A. K., Tongue, S. C., Currie, C., Evans, J., Williams, D. J. L., & Mcneilly, T. N.
 (2018). Co-infection with Fasciola hepatica may increase the risk of Escherichia coli
 0157 shedding in British cattle destined for the food chain.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.12.007
- Irvine, R. J., Corbishley, H., Pilkington, J. G., & Albon, S. D. (2006). Low-level parasitic worm
 burdens may reduce body condition in free-ranging red deer (Cervus elaphus).
 Parasitology, *133*(4), 465–475. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182006000606
- Kaper, J. B., Nataro, J. P., & Mobley, H. L. T. (2004). Pathogenic Escherichia coli. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 2(2), 123–140. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro818
- King, T., Kocharunchitt, C., Gobius, K., Bowman, J. P., & Ross, T. (2016). Physiological
 Response of Escherichia coli O157:H7 Sakai to Dynamic Changes in Temperature and
 Water Activity as Experienced during Carcass Chilling. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics : MCP*, *15*(11), 3331–3347. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.063065
- Laaksonen, S., Oksanen, A., Julmi, J., Zweifel, C., Fredriksson-Ahomaa, M., & Stephan, R.
 (2017). Presence of foodborne pathogens, extended-spectrum β-lactamase -producing
 Enterobacteriaceae, and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in slaughtered
 reindeer in northern Finland and Norway. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, *59*(1).
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13028-016-0272-x
- Laaksonen, S., & Paulsen, P. (2015). 4. Hunting hygiene. In *Hunting hygiene* (pp. 217–278).
 https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-800-1_4
- Li, Z., Zhang, Z., Xu, C., Zhao, J., Liu, H., Fan, Z., ... Li, G. (2014). Bacteria and
 methanogens differ along the gastrointestinal tract of Chinese roe deer (Capreolus
 pygargus). *PLoS ONE*, 9(12). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114513
- Majowicz, S. E., Scallan, E., Jones-Bitton, A., Sargeant, J. M., Stapleton, J., Angulo, F. J., ...
 Kirk, M. D. (2014). Global Incidence of Human Shiga Toxin–Producing *Escherichia coli*Infections and Deaths: A Systematic Review and Knowledge Synthesis. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, *11*(6), 447–455. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2013.1704
- Mann, J. E., & Brashears, M. M. (2006). Validation of time and temperature values as critical
 limits for the control of Escherichia coli O157:H7 during the production of fresh ground
 beef. *Journal of Food Protection*, 69(8), 1978–1982. Retrieved from
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16924927
- Martin, A., & Beutin, L. (2011). Characteristics of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli from
 meat and milk products of different origins and association with food producing animals
 as main contamination sources. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *146*(1), 99–
 104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.01.041
- Mellefont, L. A., Kocharunchitt, C., & Ross, T. (2015). Combined effect of chilling and
 desiccation on survival of Escherichia coli suggests a transient loss of culturability.
 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 208, 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.04.024
- Miko, A., Pries, K., Haby, S., Steege, K., Albrecht, N., Krause, G., & Beutin, L. (2009).
 Assessment of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli isolates from wildlife meat as potential pathogens for humans. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *75*(20),
 6462–6470. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00904-09

- Mora, A., López, C., Dhabi, G., López-Beceiro, A. M., Fidalgo, L. E., Díaz, E. A., ... Blanco,
 J. (2012). Seropathotypes, Phylogroups, Stx subtypes, and intimin types of wildlifecarried, shiga toxin-producing escherichia coli strains with the same characteristics as
 human-pathogenic isolates. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *78*(8), 2578–
 2585. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.07520-11
- Mughini-Gras, L., van Pelt, W., van der Voort, M., Heck, M., Friesema, I., & Franz, E. (2018).
 Attribution of human infections with Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) to
 livestock sources and identification of source-specific risk factors, The Netherlands
 (2010-2014). Zoonoses and Public Health, 65(1), e8–e22.
- 800 https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12403
- Naylor, S. W., Low, J. C., Besser, T. E., Mahajan, A., Gunn, G. J., Pearce, M. C., ... Gally,
 D. L. (2003). Lymphoid follicle-dense mucosa at the terminal rectum is the principal site
 of colonization of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:H7 in the bovine host. *Infection and Immunity*, 71(3), 1505–1512. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.3.15051512.2003
- Nel, S., Lues, J. F., Buys, E., & Venter, P. (2004). The personal and general hygiene
 practices in the deboning room of a high throughput red meat abattoir. *Food Control*,
 15(7), 571–578. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2003.09.004
- Nørrung, B., & Buncic, S. (2008). Microbial safety of meat in the European Union. *Meat Science*, 78(1–2), 14–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.07.032
- Nussey, D. H., Watt, K. A., Clark, A., Pilkington, J. G., Pemberton, J. M., Graham, A. L., &
 McNeilly, T. N. (2014). Multivariate immune defences and fitness in the wild: Complex
 but ecologically important associations among plasma antibodies, health and survival. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281(1779).
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2931
- O'Toole, A., Browne, J. A., Hogan, S., Bassière, T., DeWaal, T., Mulcahy, G., & Zintl, A.
 (2014). Identity of rumen fluke in deer. *Parasitology Research*, *113*(11), 4097–4103.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-014-4078-3
- Obwegeser, T., Stephan, R., Hofer, E., & Zweifel, C. (2012). Shedding of foodborne
 pathogens and microbial carcass contamination of hunted wild ruminants. *Veterinary Microbiology*, *159*(1–2), 149–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VETMIC.2012.03.031
- Ogden, I. D., Hepburn, N. F., MacRae, M., Strachan, N. J. C., Fenlon, D. R., Rusbridge, S.
 M., & Pennington, T. H. (2002). Long-term survival of Escherichia coli O157 on pasture
 following an outbreak associated with sheep at a scout camp. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, *34*(2), 100–104. Retrieved from
- 826 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11849503
- Oliver, D. M. (2014). Seasonal and within-herd variability of *E. coli* concentrations in fresh
 dairy faeces. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, *59*(1), 86–92.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12248
- Paton, J. C., & Paton, A. W. (1998). Pathogenesis and diagnosis of Shiga toxin-producing
 Escherichia coli infections. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *11*(3), 450–479. Retrieved
 from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9665978
- Paulsen, P. (2011). Hygiene and microbiology of meat from wild game: an Austrian view. In
 Game meat hygiene in focus (pp. 19–37). https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-723-3_1
- Paulsen, P., & Winkelmayer, R. (2004). Seasonal variation in the microbial contamination of
 game carcasses in an Austrian hunting area. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*,
 50(3), 157–159. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-004-0054-z

- Probert, W. S., Miller, G. M., & Ledin, K. E. (2017, July 1). Contaminated stream water as
 source for Escherichia coli O157 illness in children. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, Vol.
 23, pp. 1216–1218. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2307.170226
- Rabatsky-Ehr, T., Dingman, D., Marcus, R., Howard, R., Kinney, A., & Mshar, P. (2002a).
 Deer meat as the source for a sporadic case of Escherichia coli O157:H7 infection, Connecticut. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 8(5), 525–527.
- 844 https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0805.010373
- Rabatsky-Ehr, T., Dingman, D., Marcus, R., Howard, R., Kinney, A., & Mshar, P. (2002b).
 Deer Meat as the Source for a Sporadic Case of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Infection,
 Connecticut1. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *8*(5), 525–527.
 https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0805.010373
- Ramanzin, M., Amici, A., Casoli, L. E., Paola Lupi, G., Marsico, S. M., Oliviero, O., ...
- Marinucci, M. T. (2010). Italian journal of animal science : journal and official organ of
 the Scientific Association of Animal Production (A.S.P.A.). In *Italian Journal Of Animal Science* (Vol. 9). Retrieved from https://discovered.ed.ac.uk/primo-
- explore/fulldisplay?docid=TN_wos000284230800009&context=PC&vid=44UOE_VU2&I ang=en_US&search_scope=default_scope&adaptor=primo_central_multiple_fe&tab=d
- efault tab&query=any,contains,Meat from wild ungulates: ensuring quality
- Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 of the European parliament and of the council of 29 April 2004
 on the hygiene of foodstuffs. , https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/euexit/https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02004R085220090420 §.
- Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April
 2004 laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin. ,
 https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/eu-exit/https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02004R0853-20190726 §.
- Rounds, J. M., Rigdon, C. E., Muhl, L. J., Forstner, M., Danzeisen, G. T., Koziol, B. S., ...
 Smith, K. E. (2012). Non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli associated with
 venison. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *18*(2), 279–282.
 https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1802.110855
- Sánchez, S., Beristain, X., Martínez, R., García, A., Martín, C., Vidal, D., ... Herrera-León, S.
 (2012). Subtilase cytotoxin encoding genes are present in human, sheep and deer
 intimin-negative, Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O128:H2. *Veterinary Microbiology*, *159*(3–4), 531–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.04.036
- Sánchez, S., García-Sánchez, A., Martínez, R., Blanco, J., Blanco, J. E., Blanco, M., ... Rey,
 J. (2009). Detection and characterisation of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli
 other than Escherichia coli O157:H7 in wild ruminants. *Veterinary Journal*, *180*(3), 384–
 388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2008.01.011
- Sánchez, S., Martínez, R., Rey, J., García, A., Blanco, J., Blanco, M., ... Alonso, J. M.
 (2010). Pheno-genotypic characterisation of Escherichia coli O157:H7 isolates from
 domestic and wild ruminants. *Veterinary Microbiology*, *142*(3–4), 445–449.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.10.009
- Sauvala, M., Laaksonen, S., Laukkanen-Ninios, R., Jalava, K., Stephan, R., & Fredriksson Ahomaa, M. (2019). Microbial contamination of moose (Alces alces) and white-tailed
 deer (Odocoileus virginianus) carcasses harvested by hunters. *Food Microbiology*, *78*,
 82–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2018.09.011
- Schulp, C. J. E., Thuiller, W., & Verburg, P. H. (2014). Analysis Wild food in Europe: A
 synthesis of knowledge and data of terrestrial wild food as an ecosystem service.

- 886 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.06.018
- Serrano, M. P., Maggiolino, A., Landete-Castillejos, T., Pateiro, M., Barbería, J. P., Fierro,
 Y., ... Lorenzo, J. M. (2020). Quality of main types of hunted red deer meat obtained in
 Spain compared to farmed venison from New Zealand. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1).
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69071-2
- Simpson, A., Webster, A. J., Smith, J. S., & Simpson, C. (1978). Energy and nitrogen
 metabolism of red deer (Cervus elaphus) in cold environments; a comparison with
 cattle and sheep. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology*, *60*(3),
 251–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(78)90243-8
- Singh, P., Sha, Q., Lacher, D. W., Del Valle, J., Mosci, R. E., Moore, J. A., ... Manning, S. D.
 (2015). Characterization of enteropathogenic and Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia
 coli in cattle and deer in a shared agroecosystem. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 5, 29. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2015.00029
- Smith-Palmer, A., Hawkins, G., Browning, L., Allison, L., Hanson, M., Bruce, R., ... Horne, J.
 (2018). Outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157 Phage Type 32 linked to the consumption of
 venison products. *Epidemiology and Infection*, *146*(15), 1922–1927.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268818001784
- Smith, V. (2007). Host resource supplies influence the dynamics and outcome of infectious
 disease. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *47*(2), 310–316.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icm006
- Soriano, A., Montoro, V., Vicente, J., Sánchez-Migallón, B. F., Benítez, S., Utrilla, M. C., &
 García Ruiz, A. (2016). Influence of evisceration time and carcass ageing conditions on
 wild venison quality. Preliminary study. *MESC*, *114*, 130–136.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.12.021
- Synge, B. (2006). Epidemiological studies of Verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli
 infections in animals in Scotland (Edinburgh University). https://doi.org/103-104
- Szczerba-Turek, A., Siemionek, J., Socha, P., Bancerz-Kisiel, A., Platt-Samoraj, A.,
 Lipczynska-Ilczuk, K., & Szweda, W. (2020). Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli
 isolates from red deer (Cervus elaphus), roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) and fallow
 deer (Dama dama) in Poland. *Food Microbiology*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103352
- Tenaillon, O., Skurnik, D., Picard, B., & Denamur, E. (2010). The population genetics of
 commensal Escherichia coli. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *8*(3), 207–217.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2298
- Thorpe, C. M. (2004). Shiga Toxin--Producing Escherichia coli Infection. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *38*(9), 1298–1303. https://doi.org/10.1086/383473
- Turbill, C., Ruf, T., Mang, T., & Arnold, W. (2011). Regulation of heart rate and rumen
 temperature in red deer: effects of season and food intake. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *214*(6), 963–970. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.052282
- Urquhart, K. A., & McKendrick, I. J. (2006). Prevalence of "head shooting" and the
 characteristics of the wounds in culled wild Scottish red deer. *The Veterinary Record*,
 159(3), 75–79. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16844818
- van Schalkwyk, D. L., Hoffman, L. C., & Laubscher, L. A. (2011). Game harvesting
 procedures and their effect on meat quality: the Africa experience. In *Game meat hygiene in focus* (pp. 67–92). https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-723-3_3
- 931 Venegas-Vargas, C., Henderson, S., Khare, A., Mosci, R. E., Lehnert, J. D., Singh, P., ...

- Manning, S. D. (2016). Factors Associated with Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli
- 933 Shedding by Dairy and Beef Cattle. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82(16),
- 934 5049–5056. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00829-16
- WHO. (2017). WHO | E. coli. Retrieved November 21, 2017, from WHO website:
 http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs125/en/
- 937 Yang, X., Wang, H., He, A., & Tran, F. (2017). Microbial efficacy and impact on the
- population of Escherichia coli of a routine sanitation process for the fabrication facility of
 a beef packing plant. *Food Control*, *71*, 353–357.
- 940 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2016.07.016

941