

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Simulating product-packaging conditions under environmental stresses in a food supply chain cyber-physical twin

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Accorsi R., Bortolini M., Gamberi M., Guidani B., Manzini R., Ronzoni M. (2022). Simulating productpackaging conditions under environmental stresses in a food supply chain cyber-physical twin. JOURNAL OF FOOD ENGINEERING, 320, 110930-110945 [10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2021.110930].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/862702 since: 2024-05-16

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2021.110930

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Simulating product-packaging conditions under environmental stresses in a Food Supply Chain cyber-physical twin

Accorsi Riccardo *,1,2, Bortolini Marco¹, Gamberi Mauro¹, Guidani Beatrice¹, Manzini Riccardo¹, Ronzoni Michele¹

(Surname Name)

¹Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Bologna – Alma Mater Studiorum, Viale Risorgimento, 2, 40136, Bologna, Italy.

²CIRI – AGRO, Interdepartmental Center for Industrial Food Research, Via Quinto Bucci 336, 47521, Cesena (FC), Italy

* Corresponding Author: Prof. Riccardo Accorsi, Ph.D., riccardo.accorsi2@unibo.it, Tel. +39 051 20 93 415

Abstract

Food supply chains (FSCs) enable safe, effective, and sustainable food distribution, linking farm to table. They involve multiple sources and destinations, a broad set of actors and handling modes, variable and unpredictable environmental conditions, potentially decaying food and packaging, affecting quality and consumer satisfaction. New methodologies, approaches, and ready-to-practice solutions to improve the FSC capacity to maintain the food quality and the packaging properties at the final consumer are expected and missing. To address such aspects simultaneously, this paper proposes a novel framework, using simulation, to study food product and packaging conditions under environmental stresses throughout the FSC. The framework includes five layers of study, i.e., the *environmental* layer, the *FSC* layer, the *visibility* layer, the *simulation* layer, and the *functional* layer, linking the field, i.e. the operative physical environment, to a simulation environment, based on a fully equipped and closed-loop controlled physical twin made of a climate-controlled chamber. The cyber-physical twin description is improved by reviewing a collection of case studies we used over the years to validate the framework and explore the functionalities of the physical twin. Case studies deal with different food products and packaging alternatives, demonstrating the flexibility of the proposed framework and physical twin to support the analysis and decision-making in FSC improvement.

Keywords: Food supply chain, Simulation, Environmental stresses, Packaging, Design, Physical twin.

Notations

Set	Index
Configuration	С
Products	i
Samples	j
Shipping	S
Temperature	T
Time	t
Symbol	Description
$\Delta s l_{i,t}$	Percentage decrease of food quality of a generic product <i>i</i> at time <i>t</i>
ΔT	Thermal range
а	Weibull shape factor
a(T)	Temperature-dependent Weibull shape factor
b	Weibull scale factor
<i>e(t)</i>	Error between the current measured and setpoint value at time t
Ea(i)	Reaction activation energy of a specific product <i>i</i>
f(t)	Weibull probability density function
F(t)	Weibull distribution function
F(t,T)	Temperature-dependent Weibull distribution function
k	Boltzmann constant
ki	Integral constant
kp	Proportional constant
kref	Constant growth rate of Pseudomonas spp. at reference temperature
<i>N</i> 0	Pseudomonas initial concentration
Nl	Pseudomonas spp limit concentration
Ns	Number of samples
<i>Q</i> 10	Estimated shelf-life value (Q ₁₀ model)
Q_shelf	Estimated shelf-life value
R	Universal gas constant
Т	Generic-sampled temperature
$T(x_{s,c,i})$	Temperature of sample i in package configuration c of shipment s
<i>T</i> 0	Temperature for the reference shelf-life
TB_s	Thermal benchmark of shipment s
T_load	Conservation temperature of a generic item
$TPA_{s,c,\Delta T}$	Ability of package configuration c to maintain the temperature of content of package configuration in a range of $\pm \Delta T$ from the benchmark, during shipment <i>s</i>

1

Reference temperature for the linear regression
Current measured values at time t
Binary variable: 1 if sample j in shipment s is in package configuration c ; 0 otherwise
Setpoint values at time t
Output power modulation of the actuators

1 1. Introduction

2 Some peculiarities portray the complexity of modern FSCs. Their geographic distribution and the increasing 3 distance food travels from farm to table affect the effective management of such operations (Julien-Javaux et 4 al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019; Riccaboni et al., 2021). These result in time- and energy-consuming processes with 5 quality decay risks for food and its package (Özilgen, 2017). Improvements of these processes are often unaffordable because of the high associated costs, reducing the economic margin on food (Sun and Wang, 6 7 2019). The fragmentation of supply chain parties and the uncountable food enterprises involved contribute to 8 a scarce control of the food storage and transportation operations (Hoffmann et al., 2021; Mannheim et al., 9 1994), and poor visibility of the conditions products experience. Despite adopting traceability solutions (Gallo 10 et al., 2021), supply chain actors cannot wholly avoid disruptions throughout food distribution, whereas the 11 resulting losses and quality decay threaten consumers' trust (Kefalidou et al., 2016; Matzembacher et al., 2018). 12 In such a scenario, climate conditions along the FSCs represent an acute stressor for food quality conservation 13 (Butler, 2012; Zheng et al., 2020; Zimmerer et al., 2019). Critical post-harvest conditions, intensified by unpredictably severe adverse weather events, may affect the quality and shelf-life of food (Aung and Chang, 14 15 2014a, 2014b; Mercier et al., 2017), and require promptly foreseeing incidents, disruptions, and stresses that 16 might occur. Lastly, the increasing consumers' awareness and request for quality, low-packed, and customized 17 food (Jowitt, 1982; Meroni, 2000; Simpson et al., 2004; Vanderroost et al., 2014), compel companies to design 18 tailored affordable product-packaging solutions and distribution strategies able to comply with regulations and 19 standards (e.g., HACCP analyses, ISO 9001:2000), and to guarantee the proper conservation conditions 20 (Hajnal et al., 2004, ISO standard 8402:1994) with little impact to the environment (Davies et al., 2012).

When treated together, these peculiarities of modern FSCs divergently affect decision-making on food products and packaging design (Roy et al., 2008; Cimini and Moresi, 2018). While Internet-of-things (IoT) infrastructures enhance on-field data gathering, FSC complex and broad geography limits investments in distributed traceability architectures that aid monitoring and control systems throughout the supply chain (Thakur and Donnelly, 2010; Verdouw et al., 2016; Accorsi et al., 2017a; Kamble et al., 2019). Such an environment allows few data gateways that measure and acquire the conditions food and packaging experience.

Environmental conditions and the consumers' purchasing behavior shape the FSC geography. Global warming influences climatic regions, rolls the seasonality, and disrupts the favorite conditions for traditional crops. The growers seek to rapidly adapt to the changing environment by selecting new cultivars and varieties (Ahumada et al., 2012; Flores and Villalobos, 2018; Flores et al., 2019). Consequently, the upstream of the FSCs, i.e. the

food production areas, are moving, changing the structure of the supply chains and contributing to broadening

1 the distances. On the other side, consumers' habits increasingly encompass new ingredients belonging to non-2 traditional and non-local diets, enhancing the need for exotic food import (Clune et al., 2017; Cho et al., 2021). Likewise to the growers, retailers impact on the end stage of FSCs frequently asking for new suppliers and 3 4 opening new distribution routes. The interdependencies above break new ground in FSCs, considering food to 5 travel throughout new, unpredictable and hazardous environmental conditions. Such challenges pertain to FSCs' environmental impact as well as food safety guarantees. Externalities relate to energy-intensive food 6 7 distribution operations and cold chain preservation (Dong and Miller, 2021). Food safety is threatened by 8 uncertainties in distribution, such as adverse weather conditions along the route, unscheduled shipping delays, 9 and the proper level of ripeness at the harvesting for long-range deliveries.

10 Food packaging has a central role as an efficiency and safety enhancer, despite the claimed environmental 11 impacts that should be addressed urgently (Pongràcz, 2020). Such issues concern the poor availability of raw 12 materials and the pollution and energy depletion caused by production, transportation, and disposal of packaging and containers. As packaging affects product shelflife (Madhu et al., 2021) designed package can 13 14 prevent food waste and associated impacts, saving up to 50% of GHG emissions (Fresán et al., 2019a). 15 Therefore, predicting the quality of products at the consumer might drive the packaging settings, features, and 16 performance and aid the prototyping phase. Better understanding the role of packaging in conserving food 17 quality at the consumers enables anticipating recalls and complaints. Moreover, consumers' awareness of food 18 packaging's footprint has recently increased (Yokokawa et al., 2021), raising the need for new, less pollutant, 19 and dematerialized design solutions.

These issues underline the need for new product-, packaging- and route-driven monitoring, control, and design systems to provide performing, sustainable, and resilient food packaging solutions. Instead of tackling this lack using an operations management approach (Gómez-Luciano et al., 2018; Shafiee et al., 2021), we aid in designing and prototyping novel food product and packaging solutions within this paper. The several variables involved and the uncertainties in their trends justify the adoption of the IoT paradigm and technology to study the behavior of such a complex system.

26 We propose a novel framework, using simulation, to study food product and packaging conditions under 27 environmental stresses throughout the supply chain. This framework (portrayed in Fig. 1), built upon previous 28 studies and attempts, incorporates the following layers: the *environmental* layer, the *FSC* layer, the *visibility* 29 layer, the *simulation* layer, and the *functional* layer. The *environmental* layer pertains to the exogenous stresses 30 (e.g., hygrothermal) occurring to products and packaging throughout the supply chain and the related FSC 31 layer. We gather such stresses through records acquisition in the *visibility* layer that holds data warehousing 32 and manipulation. Within the *simulation* layer, data feeds two FSC twins: one physical and one digital. The 33 former is a tailored climate-controlled chamber that replicates the stresses across food storage, handling, and 34 distribution operations. The latter virtualizes food operations from the grower to the retailer into a flexible 35 digital scenario and permits tallying economic, quality, and environmental performance and impacts. The 36 digital twin also generates supply chain-driven profiles of stress when traceability records are missing. Here we focus on the interfaces between the twins, leaving the in-depth description of the digital platform to future
outlets. Lastly, the *functional* layer lists the main functionalities of the physical twin concerning potential
integrations between food- and packaging-focused disciplines.

4 Although the literature presents other climate-controlled systems (Tristancho et al., 2012), this framework 5 contributes to the state-of-art with a tailor-designed and -controlled chamber to allow studying, prototyping, 6 and selecting integrated food and packaging solutions that bear extreme and unpredictable environmental 7 stresses. This chamber, namely the physical twin, gathers the stresses from a database fueled by stand-alone 8 sensors, ERPs, web sources, and other generic IoT gateways. It then aids functionalities like packaging 9 hierarchy performance assessment, Accelerated Life Test Analysis (ALTA), and experimental quality decay 10 modeling. While Section 2 benchmarks the proposed framework with previous studies, the remainder of the paper follows the layers' architecture illustrated in Fig. 1. 11



12 13



14 **2. Literature review**

- 15 The framework illustrated in Fig. 1 also leads to a survey of the literature. The scope of our literature review
- 16 is to study the application of monitoring and control technologies as FSC management support. To specify the

conceptual boundaries, we organized research keywords into four main groups. Such keywords are linked with
 the *non-exclusive or* (OR) command, while the groups are linked to each other with the *logic and* (AND)
 command. We used the following keywords {*Food* OR *Cold Chain* OR *Perishable* OR *Vegetable* OR *Fruit*}
 AND {*Traceability* OR *Tracking* OR *Track* OR *Monitoring* OR *Design*} AND {*Packaging* OR *Unit Load* OR
 Pallet} AND {*Temperature* OR *Humidity* OR *Shelf-life* OR *Quality*} and searched on Scopus, ScienceDirect,

6 Compendex EI (Engineering Village) databases.

7 Excluding medicine-focused papers, the conducted review revealed the control of food conservation conditions 8 throughout the supply chain to be an interplay of several disciplines like food and packaging science 9 (Madhusudan et al., 2018), thermal engineering (Zou et al., 2006; Rinaldi et al., 2018), and operations 10 management (Accorsi et al., 2017a). For instance, thermal engineers are interested in minimizing energy 11 consumption from refrigeration, cooling, or pre-processing treatments. Food and packaging engineers study 12 the interaction between the product and the surrounding material regarding the impact of shelf-life and quality 13 decay (Defraeye et al., 2014), while decision scientists attempt to lead disposal choices throughout the 14 distribution operations (Ssennoga et al., 2019). Few exceptions treat these aspects simultaneously (Gwanpua et al., 2015). Undoubtedly, digitalizing FSC processes and virtualizing product features and behaviors (i.e., 15 16 chemical and physical) allow, together with the diffusion of simulation models, new methods for designing 17 and prototyping product-packaging pairs. Some virtualize the cold chain investigating the thermal response of a full pallet of packed fruit using Computational Fluid Dynamics using kinetic rate law models (Wu and 18 19 Defraeye, 2018). Wu et al. (2019) build upon the same virtual cold chain to assess further the carbon footprint 20 of alternative refrigeration processes and packaging. Conte et al. (2015) provide a dashboard of eco-indicators 21 based on resulting food waste to predict the environmental impact of alternative packaging solutions.

Fernando et al. (2020) use simulation to evaluate the performance of two secondary packages for bananas (i.e., corrugated paperboard packaging and Reusable Plastic Containers (RPC) with vacuum tightening) under transport vibration. Göransson et al. (2018a) evaluate the difference between the label and the predicted shelflife resulting from improper cold chain operations. They state the importance of temperature tracking systems to enable dynamic and real-time shelf-life estimation. Göransson et al. (2018b) study the thermal inertia of a full pallet of food in RPCs through a climate-controlled chamber and focus on the layout of sensors.

28 Virtualizing and simulating food processes along the FSC provides insights but needs data (Verdouw et al., 29 2016). To this purpose, scholars support data gathering through architectural frameworks, traceability systems, 30 sensors architectures, and, recently, IoT gateways throughout the supply chain (Storoy et al., 2013). Regattieri 31 et al. (2007) try a traceability system integrating barcodes and RFID to study the supply chain of Parmigiano 32 Reggiano wheels and measure the storage process's performance. Abad et al. (2009) validate an RFID smart 33 tag intended for real-time traceability of a cold food chain. This tag traces light, temperature, and humidity 34 samples stored within a local memory. Qi et al. (2014) use a wireless sensors network (WSN) to feed a decision 35 support system suggesting whether or not to dispose perishable food along the cold chain. Tested upon 36 technical feasibility, WSNs need further affordability assessment, especially in distributed FSCs. Alfian et al.

1 (2017) also use RFID to implement an *e-pedigree* food traceability system to track products locations and 2 environmental conditions along the chain through a WSN and predict missing data. With the development of 3 acquisition technologies, the set of features to gather varies with the supply chain (Hardt et al., 2017) and the 4 purpose like certification or counterfeiting detection (Sun and Wang, 2019).

5 Galimberti et al. (2013) use DNA barcoding to develop a traceability tool and to guarantee food safety along 6 the chain. Shanahan et al. (2009) propose an integrated RFID-EPC traceability system with a centralized 7 database for cattle to identify each animal from farm to slaughter. Nowadays, the increasingly common 8 integration of the IoT paradigm allows for more capillary traceability systems. Blockchain-based databases 9 might provide a repository to such, whereas lack of standardization in data sources represent barriers to tackle 10 (Kim et al., 2019).

11 Table 1 summarizes the state of the art of traceability and virtual or physical simulation of industrial operations 12 in the FSC. A fully integrated framework that does not focus uniquely on traceability systems and architectures 13 or tailored simulation of impact on food products is lacking. Beyond pure acquisition and traceability 14 technologies for FSC, this paper illustrates a cyber-physical twin that studies food products and packaging 15 under environmental stresses. A cyber-physical system embeds computing and control functionalities into 16 physical devices to monitor, control, and coordinate the corresponding physical activities (Shi et al., 2011). 17 The controlled objects belong to the physical world (i.e. the FSC), while data analysis and decision-making 18 activities fall into the cyber world. (Gunes et al., 2014). The data collection of physical objects exploits sensors 19 to feed the cyber system's processing and analysis. Such evaluations return feedbacks to the physical objects 20 for real-time operations regulations and post-analysis design optimization (Tao et al., 2019). In this paper, the 21 physical twin replicates the stresses acquired throughout the supply chain to prototype safety-proof and 22 affordable food product-packaging configurations. It enables studying the impact of extreme weather-23 dependent conditions on product conservation and assessing compliance with safety standards at the consumer 24 (de Boer and Bast, 2018). It is compelling the study of new packaging solutions, such as reusable containers 25 or dematerialized packaging, able to reduce packaging waste and environmental impacts while still 26 guaranteeing product safety (Brennan et al., 2021; Fresán et al., 2019).

27 The novel contribution of this paper lies in the creation and application of a cyber-physical twin to study the 28 behavior of product-packaging configurations, giving visibility to product conditions during handling 29 operations and shedding light on packaging design drivers usually neglected (Surucu-Balci and Tuna, 2021). 30 A precious driver of the packaging design and shipping settings is the awareness of the environmental stresses 31 affecting product quality decay. These stresses can be classified according to the FSC stage involved, e.g., the 32 distribution phase, the handling process, or the magnitude i.e., the weather conditions experienced. The 33 proposed framework could support practitioners in testing an existing product-packaging configuration 34 performance on routes for new markets and commercial destinations. This architecture could also allow 35 decision-makers to predict the quality level of the product at the consumer before future shipments. In the 36 prototyping phase, important levers include understanding how new materials and shapes could affect the

- 1 product quality, analyzing the quality trend during the shipping, and the conditions of the product at the
- 2 consumer stage. Simulating transportation conditions might anticipate consumer feedback, preventing the
- 3 production of inefficient packaging solutions, package and food losses, and customer's complaints.

Author, Year	Visibili	ty and Data Ma	nipulati	ion			Simulatio	n		An	alysis		
	ERP/L-DB	RFID/WiFi	OlM	BC	WS	CS	PT	DT	APH	PPP	ALTA	SM	-
Regattieri et al. (2007)		\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark						\checkmark	-
Shanahan et al. (2009)	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark							
Abad et al., (2009)		\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark					\checkmark	
Tristancho et al. (2012)					\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark			
Galimberti et al. (2013)			\checkmark			\checkmark						\checkmark	
Codron et al. (2014)			\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Qi et al. (2014)		\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	
Conte et al. (2015)	\checkmark					\checkmark				\checkmark		\checkmark	
Alfian et al. (2017)		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark						\checkmark	
Wu & Defraeye (2018)			\checkmark					\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	
Göransson et al. (2018a)	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark						\checkmark	
Göransson et al. (2018b)	\checkmark	\checkmark					\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark	
Kim et al. (2019)		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark							
Wu et al. (2019)			\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	
Fernando et al. (2020)			\checkmark				\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		
This paper	✓	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	✓	✓	✓	\checkmark	✓	~	✓	✓	-

1 Table 1. Systemic literature review (Legend: ERP/L-DB: Enterprise Research Planning/Local DataBase; RFID/WiFi: RFID and WiFi Sensor; OlM: Off-line

2 Monitoring; BC: Blockchain; WS:Web Source; CS: Case-Study; PT: Physical Twin; DT: Digital Twin; APH: Alternative Packaging Hierarchy; PPP: Product-

3 Package Prototyping; ALTA: Accelerated Life Testing Analysis; SM: Shelf-Life Modeling).

- 1 The remainder of the paper focuses on the cyber-physical twin, its hardware and control software, and the main
- 2 functionalities developed, leaving the digital twin's architecture to another outlet.

3 3. Methods and materials

This section illustrates the hardware and software of the physical twin. We arrange the description into layers. We start from the data acquisition of stress records throughout the chain to gain visibility and store a stresses repository. Then, we use simulation to study the impact of weather-dependent stresses on alternative configurations of food products and packaging. The simulation performed by the physical twin provides the load after the stress, at the same state the consumer receives it. This load feeds analyses and tests we explore and explain in the *functional* layer.

10 *3.1. Visibility layer*

With the increasing digitalization of the supply chain operations, different data acquisition gateways are available both at the facilities and at the transportation stage. Because of the low marginality of food, distributed traceability systems are often unaffordable (Young and Hobbs, 2002; Dabbene et al., 2014; Pizzuti and Mirabelli, 2015; Pappa et al., 2018). Therefore, decision-making should rely on alternative data sources heterogeneous in accuracy and format (Bosona and Gebresenbet, 2013; Hsiao and Huang, 2016). This framework is flexible in embracing different information sources, i.e., ERPs, RFID gates, stand-alone sensors, web applications, potentially extended effortlessly.

18 We use Off-line Monitoring (OIM) sensors coupled with a straightforward protocol to track accurately 19 environmental stresses like temperature and relative humidity. The protocol entails (1) locating sensors in the 20 food packaging hierarchy at the producers' facility. The layout of sensors on the load depends on the food item, 21 the materials used for primary and secondary packages, and the shape and configuration of the fully-loaded 22 pallet (Göransson et al., 2018b). We can insert sensors called Hygrochron Temperature/Humidity 23 Logger DS1923F5 iButton by Maxim that are particularly suitable for small crates and cartons. This device 24 can record up to 2'048 temperature and relative humidity samples at a sampling rate to choose within the range 25 of 1 second to 2 hours. Such coverage allows capturing the daily temperature variations for short and long 26 supply chains within a distribution stage of 4-5 months. Once the shipment arrives at the destination, the 27 importer, the last-mile distributor, or the retailer operators gather the sensors (2) and send them back to retrieve 28 the records. Once received, the information of each sensor (e.g., temperature, humidity, and timestamp) and 29 the origin and destination of the shipment, the companies involved, the features of the load (food and 30 packaging), and the container hierarchy are downloaded into a database.

We use GPS (Punto1, 2021) to trace the route of the load throughout the supply chain operations. GPS coordinates have a twofold purpose. They identify the routes traveled by the product and the facilities where it pauses momentarily. When sensors fail, we use such coordinates to query weather web sources and retrieve stresses through transportation. For instance, we use web sources like WordWeatherOnline (World Weather Online, 2021) and PVGIS (PVGIS, 2021) to provide geolocalized temperatures, irradiance, and relative humidity to estimate the environmental stress. This data feeds heat transfer models aimed at assessing the conditions within the container. When either GPS fails or lacks, the digital platform retrieves the path from
 origin to destination through a developed GIS service built upon open-source utilities as OpenStreetMap (Open
 Street Map, 2021) and Itinero (Itinero, 2021). For this purpose, we always integrate data from the companies'
 ERPs and ITs, which provide delivery records, receiving, storage, or shipping logs, transportation flows
 between the facilities (Accorsi et al., 2018a). No limitation exists to acquire information from RFID gateways

- 6 through a tailored interface developed in C#.NET using technology, or distributed data repositories like block
- 7 databases when needed infrastructures are available.

8 *3.1.2 Data repository*

9 Data are stored into a tailored database already used and described in Accorsi et al. (2018). We refer to the *on-*10 *field* data level, which organizes the shipments, the supply chain facilities crossed by the products, the stresses 11 recorded, the features of products and packaging, including the safe conservation thresholds and the package 12 materials, and the container solutions. Table 2 reports the main tables and attributes. This database allows 13 inferring the environmental stresses occurring at specific routes or climatic areas and assessing their impact on 14 new configurations of food products and packaging and distribution strategies.

Table	n. of records	Main features
Node	43	Company, Role, Address, City
Product	43	Code, Category, Company, SafeTempUB, SafeTempLB
Package	16	Type, Level, Shape, Material
Shipment	38	Origin, Destination, Departure Date, Arrival Date, Product
Profiles	56'381	Shipment, Tool, Time, Measured Variable, Value
Analysis	29	Category, Description, Metric, Unit of measure

15 **Table 2**. Physical twin's data repository.

16 *3.2. Simulation layer*

- The FSC physical twin consists of a climate-controlled chamber that simulates the environmental stress of distribution operations on food and packaging configurations. This chamber replicates the hygrothermal profiles, i.e. temperature and relative humidity, acquired, manipulated, and stored at the visibility layer, using a tailored hardware and software infrastructure. We incorporate sensors and actuators into a commercial 1.8 squared meter and 2.0 meter high chamber shown in Fig. 2. The cold room is equipped with two sensors of air temperature and relative humidity that trigger the actuators listed in Table 3.
- 23



- 2 **Fig. 2**. Physical twin: climate-controlled chamber.
- 3

1

Dev	vice	Description	Key technical data
1.	Cooler	Compact cooling unit based on vapor compression	Pnom = 0.9 [kW]
		refrigeration cycle.	Refrigerant: R404A
			Voltage: 230[V] /1~/50[Hz]
			Nominal Absobtion: 0.7[kW]
			Nominal Current: 4.2[A]
			Air flow: 600[m3/h]
2.	Heater	n.2 power electric resistors.	Pnom: 1.0 [kW]
			Voltage: 230[V] /1~/50[Hz]
3.	Dehumidifier	Tailored salt dehumidifier with air-flow recirculation.	Active material: calcium
			chloride
			Pnom: 2.0 [kW]
			Voltage: 230[V] /1~/50[Hz]
4.	Humidifier	Ultrasonic humidifier with filter and water purification	Flow rate: 1.2l/h
		system.	Pnom: 0.08 [W]
			Voltage: 24[V] dc
5.	Fans	n.2 fans for air recirculation and air mix inside the	Pnom: 50 [W]
		chamber.	Voltage: 230[V] /1~/50[Hz]
6.	Thermocouple	Several PT100 devices to acquire temperature and	Range T: [-20;+80] [°C]
		humidity samples	Range RH: [0;100] [RH %]
			Resolution: 0.05 %

4 **Table 3**. Hardware of the physical twin.

5 The target of the control is to minimize the difference, i.e., the error e(t), between the setpoint $x_{SP}(t)$ and the 6 current temperature and humidity values $x_C(t)$ within the chamber (see Eq. (1)). A stress profile results from 7 a collection of records acquired at the visibility layer. Each sample is a setpoint to replicate in the room 8 throughout the entire distribution process. We control the variables with a hybrid closed-loop strategy. This 9 merges the on-off control strategy and a Proportional Integral (PI) control strategy. The former considers the 10 actuators working into two different states, i.e., on and off states. The power modulation is not implemented, 11 and the actuators work at their nominal power. The on-off strategy considers temperature and relative humidity 12 independently and is used to control the cooler.

1 The PI control strategy considers the current error and the integral of previous errors. Eq. (2) expresses the

2 mathematical formulation of PI control strategy, where y(t) is the power modulation of the actuators (i.e.

3 control signal), *ki* and *kp* are the PI constants.

$$e(t) = x_{sp}(t) - x_{c}(t)$$
 (1)

$$y(t) = kp \cdot e(t) + ki \cdot \int e(t) dt$$
⁽²⁾

The right side of Eq. 2 has two addenda: the proportional component and the integral one. The proportional component allows achieving the setpoint (e.g. temperature and relative humidity) even with minor errors but lacks accuracy. The integral part considers the course of the error over time. For each control signal, each contribution is normalized linearly into the interval [0,1] and then scaled according to the power control required input (e.g. 0-10 V range in case of voltage control). PI control avoids the hysteresis of actuators and permits increasing the precision of e(t) but requires accurate tuning of the proportional and integral constants ki and kp. Table 4 summarizes the values of these constants according to different control transitions.

Control Transition		PI Control constant tunin	ng
Actuator (ON)	Actuator Switched	kp	ki
Heater	Humidifier	0.01	0.001
Heater	Dehumidifier	0.01	0.001
Humidifier	Cooler	0.55	0.001
Humidifier	Heater	0.20	0.001
Dehumidifier	Cooler	0.05	0.001
Dehumidifier	Heater	0.10	0.001

11 **Table 4**. Tuning of proportional and integral constants.

The heater, the humidifier, and the salt dehumidifier are PI-controlled actuators. Fig. 3 draws the hybrid control strategy and shows the integration between the control blocks and the chamber. We implement the PI strategy in the first part of the block diagram, where the gap between the setpoint and measured value is evaluated. The error e(t) feeds two methods (*Scale-and-Mapping*) intended for estimating the proportional and integral components, normalized and added together to generate the power modulation signal y(t). This signal powers the actuators carrying out a correction, while the modulated output acquired by the sensors becomes the new input of the PI control.







3

2

Both the control and the GUI (shown in Fig. 4) are developed in LabViewTM. Fig. 4 presents the interface that allows selecting the stress profile and searching through the FSC data stored in the database. Once the data source is selected, the user fills the text-combo boxes to query the database and overview the stress profiles. The interface charts the stress profile, provides details of the product and packaging, the shipment, and the crossed supply chain facilities, and lastly maps the route when GPS coordinates have been recorded.

9 Once the profile is selected, the panel below is intended for controlling the chamber. This interface enables 10 setting some fundamental control parameters like the *Duty Cycle* and the *Setpoint sampling*. The *Duty Cycle* 11 is the time between two consecutive control iterations, whilst the *Setpoint sampling* equalizes the frequency 12 rate used during data acquisition and monitoring. The remaining panel is devoted to running the actuators 13 manually rather than tuning the proportional and integral constants. The interface also shows the simulation's 14 elapsed time corresponding to specific coordinates of the distribution process. Lastly, real-time convergency 15 to the setpoint is charted with the current state of the actuators.



2

1

3 Fig. 4. The control GUI of physical twin (developed in Labview NI).

Ad hoc electric board (see Fig. 2) complies with CE norms (EN 60204-1, EN IEC 61439-2) and allows synchronizing the control software and the hardware. The communication between the software and the hardware intended for data acquisition (input) and transmission (output) is embedded with a chassis provided by National Instrument (i.e. NI CompactDAQ). The electric panel is organized into three blocks. The upper block connects the sensors and devices for modulation and transmission of the input and output signals. The mid-block hosts the relays, the electrical transformers, and a circuit board to manage the NI CompactDAQ. The bottom block powers transmission and safety devices like fuses or motor protection switches.

1 3.3. Functional layer

2 The profiles chased in the simulation phase, using the physical twin, enable the *functional* layer of the proposed 3 framework (Fig. 1) to bridge the simulation system to food- and packaging-focused disciplines. This layer 4 needs and benefits from a-posteriori analyses on the products stressed through the physical twin, enabling a 5 reliable and quantitative assessment of the efficacy and quality of a product-packaging configuration according 6 to a panel of perspectives i.e., categories. *Physical* tests investigate how environmental conditions and FSC's 7 operations affect the product features and the packaging, e.g. product leakage, package damage, product losses. 8 *Chemical* analyses study the composition of the products after stress, checking the presence of toxic substances 9 or the level of undesired chemical compounds, e.g., acidity, oxides presence, etc. Additionally, panels of 10 experts take part to *sensorial* analyses to evaluate the products after stress, e.g. sweetness, bitterness, acidity 11 through tasting, aroma intensity, balance through flavour, etc. Environmental analyses focus on the impact of 12 a particular configuration of the package hierarchy or the distribution strategy adopted, e.g. CO_{2ea} emissions. 13 Lastly, economic analyses compare cost-effective alternatives, while statistical analyses stress variances, 14 fluctuations, and correlation factors. Table 5 shows a non-exhaustive list of feasible KPIs suitable for a-15 posteriori analyses, their acronyms (also used in the following applications in Section 4), and a brief description 16 with units. For the sake of summary, this table includes few metrics tallied at previous studies and of 17 significance for some food/beverage varieties like bottled wine, edible oils, cheese, fresh fruits, chocolate, 18 wheat and bakery products exclusively.

Category	Method	Acronym	Unit
Chemical	Free Acidity (EVOO)	FA	g oleic / 100g ⁻¹
Chemical	Peroxide Value	PV	milliequivalent O2 kg-1 oil
Chemical	Free acidity Value (not EVOO)	FV	mg KOH g ⁻¹ oil
Chemical	Thiobarbituric acid reactant	TBARs	mg malonaldehyde eq. kg ⁻¹ oil
	substance content		
Chemical	Total Phenolic content	TP	mg gallic acid equivalent/100 g
Chemical	Ortho-Diphenols	OD	mg Ortho-Diphenols / 100g
Chemical	Evaluation of the Color	CIELab	L* a* b* chromatic coordinates
Chemical	Turbidity	TD	nephelometric turbidity units
Chemical	Water Content	WC	mg/10g oil
Chemical	UV-spectrophotometric indexes	k232 k270	Absorbance units/day
Economic	Cost US\$/Shipment	\$/Ship	\$
Economic	Costs/Value %	Cost/Value%	%
Economic	Energy Consumption	kWh	kWh
Environmental	CO2eq	CO2eq	kg CO2eq/TEU Shipment
Physical	Leakage	L	N°
Physical	Enclosure Ejection / Cork Jump	EE	N°
Physical	Enclosure / Cap Deformation	ED	N°
Physical	Broken Glass / Bottle Break	BG	N°
Physical	Cap Break (External Layer)	СВ	N°

Category	Method	Acronym	Unit
Sensorial	Sight	Sight	Homogeneity, Color, Shine
Sensorial	Touch	Touch	Fluency, Ductility
Sensorial	Hearing	Hear	Snap
Sensorial	Smell	Smell	Primary aromas, Aroma intensity,
Sensorial	Taste	Taste	Lasting taste, Crunchiness,
Statistical	Statistical Analysis	StatA	
Statistical	Standard Deviation	SD	
Statistical	Analysis of variance	ANOVA	

1 **Table 5**. KPIs for quality assessment at the *functional* layer.

According to the introduced categories and KPIs, *quality* assessment allows concluding about the suitability of a product-packaging configuration and FSC operations and enables studies of alternatives or improvement actions via multiple directions. We outline some of them, seconding the framework.

5 A first direction deals with Alternative Packaging Hierarchy (*APH*). The physical twin helps studying how 6 different packaging solutions act against certain stress conditions. Comparisons can regard the insulation the 7 packaging provides, e.g. containers with or without thermal coating to protect the content, or the impact of a 8 given packaging configuration, e.g. how reefer and thermal coated containers differ in impact and quality 9 preservation. Another application is studying how the product reacts to different packaging materials when 10 subjected to environmental stresses.

To assess the protection ability of a specific packaging configuration, Accorsi et al. (2014) formulate a quantitative performance index based on the temperature experienced by the product, as in Eq. (3).

$$TPA_{s,c,\Delta T} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_s} |T(x_{s,c,j})| \le (|TB_s| - \Delta T)}{Ns}$$
(3)

According to the Product Package Prototyping (*PPP*) direction, the focus is on the design, as new, of the proper package for each product and distribution route. In addition to the mechanical properties, packaging features should also guarantee food characteristics throughout the entire FSC and the quality and taste the consumer expects (Manzini and Accorsi, 2013). The physical twin becomes the aiding tool for prototyping resilient and durable solutions through sensorial, physical, and chemical analyses on the stressed product-packaging configurations.

Validation of shelf-life decay models (*SM*) is a, further, direction opened at the *functional* layer. The physical twin allows validating predictive models for the shelf-life decay comparing the expected status after stress and the simulation results. Different shelf-life estimation models exist in the literature. Some of them focus on predicting the level of a specific agent that irreversibly compromises the product if present over an upper limit. As an example, for fruit and vegetables, *Pseudomonas* spp. is often used as the reference indicator (Raposo, 2017). The shelf-life model is in Eq. (4).

$$Q_shelf = \frac{\log(Nl) - \log(N0)}{kref \cdot e^{-\frac{Ea(l)}{R} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T_load} - \frac{1}{T_ref}\right)}}$$
(4)

- 1 Another well-known general-purpose theory uses the so-called Q_{10} equation to estimate the shelf-life, given
- 2 the current temperature and a reference temperature-shelf-life value, Eq. (5).

$$Q10 = e^{\frac{Ea(i)}{R} \cdot \left(\frac{10}{(T0+10)T0}\right)}$$
(5)

3 The percentage decrease of food quality follows.

$$\Delta s l_{i,T} = \frac{100}{\frac{RT0}{Q10^{[(T-T0/10]}}}$$
(6)

Finally, at the functional layer, a feasible last direction of study deals with ALTA, investigating the parameters
of the failure distribution and the stress-life relationship of product and packaging (Dodson and Schwab, 2006).
The physical twin receives the products, chooses the variable to stress, acts on product and package, and
observes the effects. The stress can be cyclical, e.g. temperature fluctuation between two extremes, or fix, to
represent an extreme upper condition. Typically, the failure distribution of ALTA analysis follows a Weibull
function, as in Eqs. (7) and (8):

$$F(t) = 1 - e^{-\left[\left(\frac{t}{a}\right)^{b}\right]}$$
⁽⁷⁾

$$f(t) = \frac{b}{a^b} (t)^{b-1} \cdot e^{-\left[\left(\frac{t}{a}\right)^b\right]}$$
(8)

10 The Weibull probability function tailored to a fixed stress temperature *T* becomes

$$\begin{cases} a(T) = \frac{1}{kT^n} \\ F(t,T) = e^{-\left[\frac{t}{a(T)}\right]^b} \end{cases}$$
(9)

11 where the scale parameter is a function of the temperature.

12 **4. Proof-of-concept and applications**

We present a collection of case studies used to validate the framework and explore the functionalities of the 13 14 physical twin. Table 6 and Table 7 collect these applications, highlighting the main features, issues, and targets. 15 For each case study, Table 6 describes the *Environmental* and *FSC* layers. This table provides information on 16 the product traced, gives the safe conservation thresholds (Ideal Conservation Conditions) required by the 17 international standards or producers' specifications (*), and reports the rules to comply with along distribution 18 (*Rule*), and the packaging hierarchy layers (*Package*) assessed. Here are also described the spatiotemporal 19 coordinates of the distribution operations, including the traveled distance (Travel), date and place of origin and 20 destination, maximum and minimum latitude, and longitude crossed by the product. Such features characterize 21 the stress profiles in terms of weather and climate conditions crossed by the products. The last fields of the 22 table identify the supply chain stages the product passes through and the transportation modes used. Table 7 results from the bottom layers of the framework in Fig. 1. The *visibility* layer section outlines the device for data acquisition, the number of profile's samples, and the sampling rate. It quantifies the stress intensity through the maximum, minimum, standard deviation and characterizes the overall profile in terms of TPA (TPA_0 [%]) tallied for the control variables. Within Table 7, the *simulation* layer encompasses the controlled variables and the physical twin's functionalities applied to the testbed. The columns grouped under *analysis* describe the type of analysis conducted after stress simulation, and classify the final metrics of quality, safety, and sensorial performance (*KPIs*).

Author, year								Food Supp	ly Chain									
	Product	Package	Id Conser Cond	eal vation itions	Travel	Origin	Destination	Departure Day	Arrival Day	Latitude Min	Latitude Max	Longitude Min	Longitude Max		Stage	S	Transj M	portation lode
			T [°C]	RH [%]										Ship.	Stor.	Hand.	Road	Vessel
Valli et al. 2013	Oil	I IV - SC	15-25	<u>[/•]</u>	Long	Forlì	Taiwan	Sept 29	Nov 17	0.4460	44.0300	9.8972	120.6757	✓		√		√
		IV - TLC IV - RC	23-25*		Long	Verona	Detroit	July 28	Sept 02	35.9898	43.5395	-82.9701	10.2552	✓			✓	~
Accorsi et al. 2014	Wine	IV - SC IV - TLC IV - RC IV - SC	23-25*		Long	Verona	Tokyo	July 25	Sept 12	0.4460	44.1038	9.8171	139.7565	✓			~	~
		IV - TLC IV - RC	8-10*		Long	Verona	Quebec	Gen 30	Feb 29	35.9524	49.4542	-71.1605	10.2552	√			\checkmark	✓
Accorsi et al. 2016	Cheese	Ι	4-8		Medium Medium Medium	Modena Modena Modena	Bari Frosinone Teramo	May 18 May 18 May 22	May 22 May 19 May 24	41.0159 41.7443 42.657	44.6502 44.6502 44.6502	10.9217 10.9217 10.9217	17.0212 13.1378 13.9352	✓				
Ayyad et al. 2017	Oil	IV - TLC IV - SC IV - TLC	15-25		Long	Verona	Los Angeles	June 26	Aug 03	7.2016	45.4362	-118.2345	10.9898	~	~	✓	√	~
	-	IV - ILC IV - SC			Long	Verona	Quebec	Gen 30	Feb 29	35.9524	49.4542	-71.1605	11.0092	✓	√	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Manzini et al. 2017	Oil	Ι	15-25		Long	Forlì	Iran	Sept 9	Sept 11	11.7138	44.2175	10.3026	61.2023	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark
Manzini et al. 2019	Chocolate	Ι	13-18		Medium	Bologna	Messina	Oct 14	Oct 28	38.1783	44.4905	11.3396	15.5646	\checkmark			\checkmark	
	Cherry	IV	0-10	90-95														
Gallo et al. 2021	Peach	IV	0-4	90-95	Short	Melzo (MI)	Orzinuovi (BS)	Feb 5	Feb 5	45.4044	45.4944	9.4157	9.9348	\checkmark			\checkmark	
	Wine	IV- SC,TLC	0-15 8-18	60-90	Long	Verona	Australia	Aug 25	Oct 26	-20.3067	45.4375	10.2985	118.6038	✓			~	✓
	Cous-Cous	I II III	10-20	<75	Medium	Ferrara	Heřmanův Městec	Dec 20	Dec 23	44.5958	49.9518	11.8495	15.6733	✓			~	
	Pasta	II I - RPET	1-20		Long	Napoli	New York	Dec 01	Gen 5	35.9524	40.6932	-84.193	14.2623	✓	✓	√	✓	✓
	Strawberry	WoodPulp I- Cardboard	5-12	90-95	Medium	Caserta	Forlì	May 10	May 11	41.0754	44.2424	12.0217	14.3247	✓	~	✓	~	
	Wine	II III	8-18	60-90	Long	Verona	Thailand	March 12	Apr 29	7.9105	45.4184	11.2576	100.5155	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

IV
Table 6. Case study, FSC features (Legend: SC: Stadard Container; TLC: Thermal Liner Cover container; RC: Referee Container; T: Temperature [°C]; RH%: Relative Humidity [%]).

Author, year							Visibil	ity laye	•						Simulati	ion layer		Analyses
	Product		Тос	bl						Profile					Physical Twin Analysis	Controlled variables	Ex-Post Analysis	KPIs
		Sensors	N.	Sampling Rate [Min]	Duration [days]	N of Sample	Tmin [°C]	Tmax [°C]	SD T	TPA_0% T	RH min [%]	RH max [%]	SD RH%	TPA_0% RH%				
Valli et al. 2013	Oil	OlM	2	120	52	1184	15	56	5.0190	46%					APH	Т	С	FA, FV, PV, k232, k270, OD
		OlM	1 1 1	120 120 120	36	435 435 435	16.5 20 23	53.5 32.5 27	4.4255 1.4771 0.6815	31% 62% 84%					APH, PPP	Т	Stat, Env, Eco	TPA (1,2,3,4°C), CO ₂ eq, CB, \$/Ship, Cost/Value%
Accorsi et al. 2014	Wine	OlM	1 1 1	120 120 120	49	591 591 591	21.5 23 23.5	46 31 31.5	2.3739 1.8118 1.6907	8% 15% 24%					APH, PPP	Т	Stat, Env, Eco	TPA (1,2,3,4°C), CO ₂ eq, CB, \$/Ship, Cost/Value%
		OlM	1 1 1	120 120 120	34	366 366 366	-11.5 -0.5 6.5	19 22.5 11	6.5052 4.6303 1.5518	20% 23% 42%					APH, PPP	Т	Stat, Env, Eco	TPA (1,2,3,4°C), CO ₂ eq, CB, \$/Ship, Cost/Value%
Accorsi et al. 2016	Cheese	OlM	1 1 1	5 5 5	4 1 2	1151 3380 575	-2 2.5 7	16.5 17 14	2.0878 2.901 2.1205	19% 47% 14%					SM	Т	Stat	StatA
Ayyad et al.	Oil	OlM	7	60 60	37 37	6426 1836	23 11.5	29 58	1.1175 4.2645	28% 23%					АРН	T T	C, Sens,	FA, VP, FV, TBARs, TP, CIELab, TD, WC, Smell,
2017		OlM	1 1	120 120	30 30	366 366	6.5 -11.5	11 19	1.5078 6.5823	0% 17%					APH	T T	Stat	Taste, SD, ANOVA
Manzini et al. 2017	Oil	OlM	3	60	3	5745	13	61	5.6994	30%					ALT, PPP	Т	Р	OL,EE,ED,BG
Manzini et al. 2019	Chocolate	OlM	1	10	14	2042	12	28.5	4.6268	25%					EES	Т	S	Sight, Touch, Hear, Smell, Taste
Gallo et al. 2021	Cherry Peach Grape	WS, ERP/L- DB		1 1 1	1	556 556 556	2.4 2.4 2.4	11.5 11.5 11.5	1.1532 1.1532 1.1532	99% 5% 100%					SM SM SM	T T T	Env, Eco	CO2eq, kWh
	Wine	OlM	2 4	60 15	62	2960 1100	6.6 3.3	44.9 6.1	7.6506 0.8704	29% 0%	56%	66%	3.0284	100%	ALT, PPP SM	T T. RH%	P, Stat Stat	EE, ED, BG, CB StatA
	Cous- Cous	OlM	4	15	3	1100	3.5	5.9 10	0.7374	0%	56.20%	64.60%	2.2186	100%	SM	T, RH%	Stat	StatA StatA
	Pasta	OlM	1	60	36	843	-6.5	23.5	5.8877	93%	75.40%	50.00%	2.4175	070	SM	T, RH%	Stat	StatA
	Strawberry	OlM	4 4	2 2	1 1	3000 3000	7 6.6	26 25.6	5.6404 4.4675	74% 80%	37.40% 42.40%	62.70% 59.90%	6.3917 4.4675	0% 0%	APH	T, RH% T, RH%	Stat Stat	StatA StatA
	Wine	OlM	4 3 6	2 20 20	1 48	3000 3525 3525	7.1 8.1 6.1	27.6 39.6 38.6	5.3978 7.628 7.9565	73% 45% 44%	42.60% 35.70% 46.80%	62.00% 61.90% 69.60%	4.8499 7.0843 5.6845	0% 3% 48%	SM	T, RH% T, RH% T, RH%	Stat Stat Stat	StatA StatA StatA

1 20 3525 4.1 36.1 8.1219 42% 39.30% /2.50% 8.1055 56% 1, RH% Stat StatA
--

 Table 7. Case study, visibility, simulation and analyses.

1 The physical twin replicates the stress profiles, thereby supporting several analyses, as outlined by the 2 functional layer of the framework. About post-simulation for SM, we explore it in Gallo et al. (2021) where a 3 WS and a ERP/L-DB estimates temperatures inside a container along transportation without GPS or sensors. 4 Fig. 5 shows a thermal map along the route and how this framework uses it. This profile, in turn, feeds shelf-5 life prediction models, e.g. Eqs. (4) and (5), applied to fresh fruits: cherries, peaches, and grapes. The same profile fuels the chamber loaded with a sample of each product packed within PET trays and a plastic crate as 6 7 for the real distribution process. Post-simulation, shelf-life analyses are carried out on the stressed products to 8 validate prediction models, tune the empirical parameters, or formulate new bespoke models. In a scenario 9 with new products or routes never experienced before, the application of general rules and best-practices would 10 not be as accurate as the results delivered by our framework. It provides indeed quality assessment of the 11 specific product-package solutions under environmental stresses measured or estimated for the specific route. 12 The SM functionality of the cyber-physical twin underlines the FSC's peculiarities relevance on shelf-life 13 prediction models' accuracy, allowing better SC operations control and a finer stress-dependent quality 14 prediction.





16 **Fig. 5.** Modeling and predicting shelf-life decay (SM) through the framework.

17 When assessing the role of packaging in food conservation along the supply chain, the physical twin allows a 18 comparative analysis through the APH functionality. It studies different packaging layers. At the first layer, 19 we evaluate the products wrapped into the primary package. We assess cartons and crates of different materials 20 and shapes at the second layer, while the pallet arrangement is considered at the third layer. An application of 21 such functionality is illustrated in Ayyad et al. (2017), where different shipping containers of three edible oils' 22 typologies (i.e., extra virgin olive oil, grade seed oil, and rice oil) throughout simulated maritime shippings are 23 assessed via chemical analysis. A monitored temperature profile of 35-day and 30-day shipments from Italy to 24 Los Angeles and from Italy to Quebec fuels the cyber-physical twin. Post-simulation chemical analyses are 25 carried out on samples, i.e. acid content, oxidation level, UV-spectrophotometric index, to determine the

26 favorite packaging configuration in preserving the original product's characteristics.

2 A major result derived from these analysis regards the oils' oxidation. Thiobarbituric acid react substance 3 content (TBAR) and peroxide (PV) values are measured before and after the shipping. PV is a product of the 4 primary oxidation of the oil, while TBAR derives from PV and represents a second oxidation product, indicating a higher level of deterioration (Frankel, 1991). Fig. 6 shows the values measured in a no-insulated 5 6 container (dot line) and insulated container (continuous line) before and after the shipping simulation. Both 7 the PV and the TBAR values are higher in the standard container. This analysis indicates that greater 8 degradative reactions occurred in the standard container and quantifies to what extent the oil quality benefits 9 from insulated containers. The accuracy of chemical analysis in defining product quality and the cyber-physical twin system to reproduce the exact conditions experienced by the products allows setting a reliable protocol to 10 11 evaluate packaging hierarchies' performance in specific circumstances.





In long FSCs, the packaging characteristics become more critical since they have to protect the product quality and maintain its organoleptic properties for weeks. In such contexts, the APH functionality can be applied to reproduce the stresses of a route, monitor the quality KPIs trends during the shipping, and understand how different configurations react to the stresses over time. In these terms, APH allows observing the consequences of a product-packaging configuration in terms of its heat transfer properties, humidity absorption properties, and product quality decay, without the need for an actual formulation of such properties.

For instance, a product that frequently undergoes long shipping is the kiwi fruit, produced mainly in northern
Italy and New Zealand and exported worldwide^{1,2}. Two different primary packaging for kiwi fruit have been
tracked during a 44-day shipping from Italy to New York. The temperature outside the packaging was

12

¹ <u>https://www.worldstopexports.com/kiwifruit-exports-by-country/</u>

² Central Intelligence Agency, <u>The World Factbook Field Listing: Exports - Commodities</u>. Accessed on July 25, 2021

recorded, and the same conditions reproduced in the chamber for the two alternative packaging solutions.
Punctual measurement of the Brix degree during the simulation is used as an indicator for fruit ripening. Fig.
Shows in black the temperature trend recorded over time, while in red and blue is shown the evolution of the Brix degree for the two alternatives under the environmental stresses. The observation of the monitored indicators allows correlating the packaging configuration performance with the environmental stresses without analytically modeling the physical, chemical, and microbial processes occurring inside the fruit.



7

8 Fig. 7. Brix degree in different packaging solutions

9 Finally, applications of ALTA via the physical twin are in Manzini et al. (2017). Different packaging and stress 10 functions are studied for edible oil bottles, focusing on the physical KPI category in Table 5. The first and 11 second case studies analyze how constant stresses, coupled with an aluminum or plastic cap, affect glass 12 bottles. The last case study observes the consequences of cyclical stress profiles on bottles with different 13 aluminum caps. The temperature profile for all three cases starts from a one-month shipment from Italy to Iran, 14 with temperatures recorded in two standard containers. During this month, the temperature reached peaks of 15 61°C that are, further, overstressed during ALTA. Fig. 8 exemplifies how this framework can perform both 16 AHP and ALTA studies and feedback re-design suggestions or hints on the different layers of packaging. The 17 use of the cyber-physical twin allows including logistics' issues in the packaging design drivers beyond the 18 marketing, costs, and technical feasibility ones. Performing stress analysis highlights issues concerning 19 product quality decay and packaging failures. Such tests are carried out before the product commercialization 20 when there is no way to determine how the product-packaging matching would bear distribution stresses. Using 21 the proposed cyber-physical system paves the way for studying the effects of logistics on product conservation, 22 preventing consumers and distributors' complaints, and lastly, food losses. Here, an Italian export company 23 was receiving claims from the customers. The foreign oil importer received several containers with damaged 24 goods, resulting from oil leakage through the enclosure. The tracked shipping temperature is used via our

- 1 climate-controlled chamber in the ALTA to compare alternative enclosures under stress conditions and design
- 2 the ideal enclosure structure and packaging hierarchy.



3

4 **Fig. 8.** Performing AHP and ALTA through the framework.

5 5. Discussion

The proposed framework improves FSCs starting from the field, i.e. the environmental and FSC layers, and, 6 7 using simulation, drives prototyping, re-design and actions on food products and packaging, i.e. the *functional* 8 layer. This framework benefits and is strengthened by the spread of cost-effective traceability systems in FSCs 9 (Tsang et al., 2019) to gather information and data to fuel simulations and what-if analyses. From a win-win 10 perspective, the capillary diffusion of the IoT paradigm and technologies throughout the FSC enables the framework to provide key input and reference field data. Industry 4.0 technologies need reference 11 12 methodologies and guidelines for their application, tailored to specific contexts (Kayikci et al., 2020; Bortolini 13 et al., 2018). This framework aids the decision-making of product-package design and distribution strategies, 14 taking into account consumers' expectations in terms of quality, safety standards, new or tentative supply chain 15 routes and itineraries, involving IoT and traceability systems as enabling technologies and methods (Camaréna, 16 2020; Lezoche et al., 2020; Nasurudeen Ahamed and Karthikeyan, 2020).

17 In detail, this physical twin serves as an aiding tool to simulate 'off-line' the conditions experienced by the food 18 product and package under specific stresses and to compare alternative design configurations, matching with 19 the specific environmental conditions of each supply chain itinerary or distribution process (Aramyan et al., 20 2006). Following our framework, we tested and stressed several product-package combinations to predict their 21 behavior, inform the practitioners and the supply chain's actors, and feed redesign actions suitable for the 22 following shipments. The framework hence operated *a-posteriori*. Nevertheless, embedding the cyber-physical 23 twin with real-time sensors of quality and freshness (e.g., biosensor, optical sensors and RGB camera, gas 24 sensors) and coupling simulation to 'on-line' monitoring along the FSC would make real-time control of food 25 distribution operations feasible. The availability of real-time data on products and packaging conditions would

1 enable correlation analyses to predict the expected food quality, acting on the logistic operations and choices 2 simultaneously. Applications are the identification and disposal of corrupted batches, real-time vehicles 3 routing, inventory and stock conditions' detection and improvement. This approach matches with new food 4 products when decision-makers design the FSC strategies and operations from green field together with the 5 monitoring system (Nychas et al., 2016), and it can be tailored to existing FSCs to make changes acting on their critical stages. The climate-controlled chamber might also operate, both 'off-line' and 'on-line', with 6 7 artificial food and package prototypes embedding sensors to track a specific configuration's thermal and 8 vibrational history, enabling the precise simulation of product conditions. An example of such artificial 9 sensored-products, i.e. physical twin, is developed for apples by (Defraeye et al., 2017). They conclude by 10 highlighting the potential of their technology at an industrial scale to study supply chain conditions and leave 11 additional room for the joint development of our frameworks.

12 We build upon the Virtual Cold Chain (VCC) modeling developed by (Wu et al., 2018) through the proposed 13 cyber-physical twin. They indeed explore the optimal cooling and refrigeration strategies during transportation 14 and storage using CFD to predict the quality decay of products at the retailer. The same authors (Wu et al., 15 2019) explore how different package designs respond to cooling and refrigeration air-flow directions and how they perform in energy consumption and environmental impacts. They use the temperature set-point as a 16 17 statement. Conversely, the novel aspect handled in our framework lies in the unpredictable thermal and hygrometrical stresses occurring during the logistics and supply chain operations that affect the conservation 18 19 of the product far from its nominal set points.

Globally, from a holistic perspective, the framework contributes to the triple bottom line concept (Bortolini et al., 2019; Shou et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2021; Pedroso et al., 2021). The consumer-driven (i.e. social), economic, and environmental aspects of FSCs are explored and included within the framework boundaries as the applications presented in Section 4 showcase when targeting the consumers' awareness preserving products' quality and safety (Matzembacher et al., 2018). In conclusion, as a supporting tool in the product-packaging design and FSC enhancement, this framework can be integrated to other decision supporting tools focusing on operations and FSC design and management (Accorsi et al., 2017b; Bortolini et al., 2016).

27 **6. Conclusions and next steps**

FSCs are crucial for the safe distribution of food from the farm to the table. Multiple perspectives make modern FSCs complex systems, e.g. their wide geographical extension, the presence of several players with different viewpoints and interests, etc. Furthermore, strong dependence of quality and safety of food is from uncontrolled variables, function of the environmental conditions experienced by food and food package along the supply chain. The current literature outlined these challenging perspectives of FSCs and still seeks methods and holistic tools to support decisions and new product-, packaging- and route-driven monitoring, control, and design systems to provide performing, sustainable, and resilient food and food packaging solutions.

In this paper, we propose five layers of analysis within a framework able to empower FSCs. We develop and adopt a cyber-physical twin to study and assess food behavior, existing and new packaging solutions under their conditions during handling and transportation operations. The framework includes *environmental* and *FSC* layers to represent the system to investigate, while the *visibility* and *simulation* layers allow the creation of the cyber-physical twin of the FSC to study. The twin is based on a climate-controlled chamber enabling tests along multiple directions, i.e. the *functional* layer dimensions, to keep high standards in quality and safety of food match technical, economic, and environmental targets. At this stage, the proposed framework is limited to the chemical, economic, environmental, physical, and sensorial categories as the quality assessment KPIs. Extensions of the framework to other relevant tests, e.g. microbiological, aesthetic, etc., are possible.

8 Furthermore, the in-depth analysis of the digital twin and artificial food devices is a next step at the current 9 stage and improvements of the chamber features (e.g. a shaker platform to test vibrational stresses) to make 10 the simulation even closer to reality. Notwithstanding the examples of applying this framework to company-11 driven case studies, we believe significant advances are needed to promote it at an industrial scale in the 12 visibility layer. Integrating new ICT systems should enhance further supply chain data monitoring and acquisition both off-line, through RFID or wireless sensors, or online, via smart tags and block chain 13 14 infrastructure. Lastly, an extension of the simulation boundaries including multiple FSC stages and conditions 15 is a, further, next step we leave to forthcoming studies.

16 Acknowledgments

This research significantly benefits from the pioneering studies conducted by Prof. Alejandro Mac Cawley (Mac Cawley, 2014) published under the supervision of beloved Prof. John Bartholdi III. They put together a team of researchers and experts worldwide interested in understanding what happens to wine when stored and transported throughout global supply chains. Prof. Sergio Maturana, Prof. Kike Forradellas, Prof. Simon Dunstall, Dr. Leroy Marquez and Dr. Rodolfo Garcia Flores, Prof. Susan Cholette, Dr. Esbeth Van Dyk, Prof. Renzo Akkerman, Prof. Renè Villalobos and many other colleagues joined the team contributing with their expertise, vision, and enthusiasm. To them goes the authors' gratitude.

Along the whole research project, the authors worked in collaboration with several food companies and logistics providers. They provided case studies, issues to face, and suggestions for the right research question to address. Our warm thanks goes to Giorgio Gori srl (a DHL company) leaded by *The Great* Caponi, Angelo Nino. Others deserve mention: Olitalia Srl, Bia Spa, Allegrini Corte Giara Srl, Antinori, Parmareggio spa, MAJANI 1796 Spa, F.lli De Cecco di Filippo - Fara San Martino Spa.

Lastly, this project also benefits, in many ways, from Engineering students and research fellows who
contributed to gather and manipulate FSC's data and stress profiles, touch base with food companies, joining
the research activities at the Food Supply Chain Center at the University of Bologna. Among them Eng. Luca
Volpe, Eng. Giulia Baruffaldi Ph.D., Eng. Lorenzo Versari, B.Eng. Edoardo Papa, Eng. Niccolò Cattabriga,
Eng. Stefano Soli, Eng. Alberto Fakes, Eng. Giovanni Marinelli, and Eng. Luca Crocetta deserve mention.

1 References

- Abad, E., Palacio, F., Nuin, M., Zárate, A.G. de, Juarros, A., Gómez, J.M., Marco, S., 2009. RFID smart tag
 for traceability and cold chain monitoring of foods: Demonstration in an intercontinental fresh fish
 logistic chain. Journal of Food Engineering 93, 394–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2009.02.004
- Accorsi, R., Bortolini, M., Baruffaldi, G., Pilati, F., Ferrari, E., 2017a. Internet-of-things Paradigm in Food
 Supply Chains Control and Management. Procedia Manufacturing 11, 889–895.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2017.07.192
- Accorsi, R., Cholette, S., Manzini, R., Tufano, A., 2018. A hierarchical data architecture for sustainable food
 supply chain management and planning. Journal of Cleaner Production 203, 1039–1054.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.275
- Accorsi, R., Gallo, A., Manzini, R., 2017b. A climate driven decision-support model for the distribution of
 perishable products. Journal of Cleaner Production 165, 917–929.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.170
- Accorsi, R., Manzini, R., Ferrari, E., 2014. A comparison of shipping containers from technical, economic and
 environmental perspectives. Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment 26, 52–59.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2013.10.009
- Ahumada, O., Rene Villalobos, J., Nicholas Mason, A., 2012. Tactical planning of the production and
 distribution of fresh agricultural products under uncertainty. Agricultural Systems 112, 17–26.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2012.06.002
- Alfian, G., Rhee, J., Ahn, H., Lee, J., Farooq, U., Ijaz, M.F., Syaekhoni, M.A., 2017. Integration of RFID,
 wireless sensor networks, and data mining in an e-pedigree food traceability system. Journal of Food
 Engineering 212, 65–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.05.008
- Aramyan, L., Ondersteijn, C.J.M., KOOTEN, O. van, Oude Lansink, A., 2006. Performance indicators in agri food production chains, in: Quantifying the Agri-Food Supply Chain. Springer Netherlands, pp. 49–66.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4693-6_5
- Aung, M.M., Chang, Y.S., 2014a. Temperature management for the quality assurance of a perishable food
 supply chain. Food Control 40, 198–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.11.016
- Aung, M.M., Chang, Y.S., 2014b. Traceability in a food supply chain: Safety and quality perspectives. Food
 Control 39, 172–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.11.007
- Ayyad, Z., Valli, E., Bendini, A., Accorsi, R., Manzini, R., Bortolini, M., Gamberi, M., Gallina Toschi, T.,
 2017. Simulating international shipments of vegetable oils: Focus on quality changes. Italian Journal of
 Food Science 29, 38–49. https://doi.org/10.14674/1120-1770/ijfs.v483
- Bortolini, M., Faccio, M., Ferrari, E., Gamberi, M., Pilati, F., 2016. Fresh food sustainable distribution: Cost,
 delivery time and carbon footprint three-objective optimization. Journal of Food Engineering 174, 56–
 67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2015.11.014
- Bortolini, M., Galizia, F.G., Gamberi, M., Mora, C., Pilati, F., 2019. Enhancing stock efficiency and
 environmental sustainability goals in direct distribution logistic networks, Int. J. Advanced Operations
 Management.
- Bortolini, M., Galizia, F.G., Mora, C., 2018. Reconfigurable manufacturing systems: Literature review and
 research trend. Journal of Manufacturing Systems 49, 93–106.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2018.09.005
- Bosona, T., Gebresenbet, G., 2013. Food traceability as an integral part of logistics management in food and
 agricultural supply chain. Food Control 33, 32–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.02.004

- Brennan, L., Langley, S., Verghese, K., Lockrey, S., Ryder, M., Francis, C., Phan-Le, N.T., Hill, A., 2021.
 The role of packaging in fighting food waste: A systematised review of consumer perceptions of packaging. Journal of Cleaner Production 281, 125276.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.125276
- Butler, D.R., 2012. The impact of climate change on patterns of zoogeomorphological influence: Examples
 from the Rocky Mountains of the Western U.S.A. Geomorphology 157–158, 183–191.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.10.019
- Camaréna, S., 2020. Artificial intelligence in the design of the transitions to sustainable food systems. Journal
 of Cleaner Production. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122574
- Cho, K., Goldstein, B., Gounaridis, D., Newell, J.P., 2021. Where does your guacamole come from? Detecting
 deforestation associated with the exports of avocados from Mexico to the United States. Journal of
 Environmental Management 278, 111482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111482
- Cimini, A., Moresi, M., 2018. Mitigation measures to minimize the cradle-to-grave beer carbon footprint as
 related to the brewery size and primary packaging materials. Journal of Food Engineering 236, 1–8.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2018.05.001
- Clune, S., Crossin, E., Verghese, K., 2017. Systematic review of greenhouse gas emissions for different fresh
 food categories. Journal of Cleaner Production 140, 766–783.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.082
- Codron, J.M., Adanacioğlu, H., Aubert, M., Bouhsina, Z., El Mekki, A.A., Rousset, S., Tozanli, S., Yercan,
 M., 2014. The role of market forces and food safety institutions in the adoption of sustainable farming
 practices: The case of the fresh tomato export sector in Morocco and Turkey. Food Policy 49, 268–280.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.09.006
- Conte, A., Cappelletti, G.M., Nicoletti, G.M., Russo, C., Del Nobile, M.A., 2015. Environmental implications
 of food loss probability in packaging design. Food Research International 78, 11–17.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.11.015
- Dabbene, F., Gay, P., Tortia, C., 2014. Traceability issues in food supply chain management: A review.
 Biosystems Engineering 120, 65–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2013.09.006
- Davies, G.F., Man, C.M.D., Andrews, S.D., Paurine, A., Hutchins, M.G., Maidment, G.G., 2012. Potential life
 cycle carbon savings with low emissivity packaging for refrigerated food on display. Journal of Food
 Engineering 109, 202–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2011.10.018
- de Boer, A., Bast, A., 2018. Demanding safe foods Safety testing under the novel food regulation
 (2015/2283). Trends in Food Science and Technology 72, 125–133.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.12.013
- 34 Defraeye, T., Lambrecht, R., Delele, M.A., Tsige, A.A., Opara, U.L., Cronjé, P., Verboven, P., Nicolai, B., 35 2014. Forced-convective cooling of citrus fruit: Cooling conditions and energy consumption in relation 36 package design. Journal of Food Engineering 121. 118-127. to 37 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.08.021
- Defraeye, T., Wu, W., Prawiranto, K., Fortunato, G., Kemp, S., Hartmann, S., Cronje, P., Verboven, P.,
 Nicolai, B., 2017. Artificial fruit for monitoring the thermal history of horticultural produce in the cold
 chain. Journal of Food Engineering 215, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.07.012
- Dodson, B., Schwab, Harry., 2006. Accelerated testing : a practitioner's guide to accelerated and reliability
 testing. SAE International.

- Dong, Y., Miller, S.A., 2021. Assessing the lifecycle greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of perishable food
 products delivered by the cold chain in China. Journal of Cleaner Production 303, 126982.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126982
- Fernando, I., Fei, J., Stanley, R., Rouillard, V., 2020. Evaluating packaging performance for bananas under
 simulated vibration. Food Packaging and Shelf Life 23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2019.100428
- Flores, H., Villalobos, J.R., 2018. A modeling framework for the strategic design of local fresh-food systems.
 Agricultural Systems 161, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.12.001
- Flores, H., Villalobos, J.R., Ahumada, O., Uchanski, M., Meneses, C., Sanchez, O., 2019. Use of supply chain
 planning tools for efficiently placing small farmers into high-value, vegetable markets. Computers and
 Electronics in Agriculture 157, 205–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.12.050
- Frankel, E.N., 1991. Review. Recent advances in lipid oxidation. Journal of the Science of Food and
 Agriculture 54, 495–511. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740540402
- Fresán, U., Errendal, S., Craig, W.J., Sabaté, J., 2019a. Does the size matter? A comparative analysis of the
 environmental impact of several packaged foods. Science of the Total Environment 687, 369–379.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.109
- Fresán, U., Errendal, S., Craig, W.J., Sabaté, J., 2019b. Does the size matter? A comparative analysis of the
 environmental impact of several packaged foods. Science of The Total Environment 687, 369–379.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2019.06.109
- Galimberti, A., De Mattia, F., Losa, A., Bruni, I., Federici, S., Casiraghi, M., Martellos, S., Labra, M., 2013.
 DNA barcoding as a new tool for food traceability. Food Research International 50, 55–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2012.09.036
- Gallo, A., Accorsi, R., Goh, A., Hsiao, H., Manzini, R., 2021. A traceability-support system to control safety
 and sustainability indicators in food distribution. Food Control 124, 107866.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.107866
- Gómez-Luciano, C.A., Rondón Domínguez, F.R., González-Andrés, F., Urbano López De Meneses, B., 2018.
 Sustainable supply chain management: Contributions of supplies markets. Journal of Cleaner Production
 184, 311–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.233
- Göransson, M., Jevinger, Nilsson, J., 2018a. Shelf-life variations in pallet unit loads during perishable food
 supply chain distribution. Food Control 84, 552–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.08.027
- Göransson, M., Nilsson, F., Jevinger, 2018b. Temperature performance and food shelf-life accuracy in cold
 food supply chains Insights from multiple field studies. Food Control 86, 332–341.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.10.029
- Gunes, V., Peter, S., Givargis, T., Vahid, F., 2014. A survey on concepts, applications, and challenges in cyber physical systems. KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems 8, 4242–4268.
 https://doi.org/10.3837/tiis.2014.12.001
- Gwanpua, S.G., Verboven, P., Leducq, D., Brown, T., Verlinden, B.E., Bekele, E., Aregawi, W., Evans, J.,
 Foster, A., Duret, S., Hoang, H.M., Van Der Sluis, S., Wissink, E., Hendriksen, L.J.A.M., Taoukis, P.,
 Gogou, E., Stahl, V., El Jabri, M., Le Page, J.F., Claussen, I., Indergård, E., Nicolai, B.M., Alvarez, G.,
 Geeraerd, A.H., 2015. The FRISBEE tool, a software for optimising the trade-off between food quality,
 energy use, and global warming impact of cold chains. Journal of Food Engineering 148, 2–12.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2014.06.021
- Hajnal, É., Kollár, G., Láng-Lázi, M., 2004. IT support and statistics in traceability and product recall at food
 logistics providers. Periodica Polytechnica Chemical Engineering 48, 21–29.

- Hardt, M.J., Flett, K., Howell, C.J., 2017. Current Barriers to Large-scale Interoperability of Traceability
 Technology in the Seafood Sector. Journal of Food Science 82, A3–A12. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13796
- Hoffmann, T.G., Ronzoni, A.F., da Silva, D.L., Bertoli, S.L., de Souza, C.K., 2021. Impact of household
 refrigeration parameters on postharvest quality of fresh food produce. Journal of Food Engineering 306.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2021.110641
- Hsiao, H.I., Huang, K.L., 2016. Time-temperature transparency in the cold chain. Food Control 64, 181–188.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.12.020
- 9 Itinero [WWW Document], 2021. URL https://www.itinero.tech (accessed 7.29.21).
- Jowitt, R., 1982. The scope of food engineering. Journal of Food Engineering 1, 3–16.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0260-8774(82)90009-7
- Julien-Javaux, F., Gérard, C., Campagnoli, M., Zuber, S., 2019. Strategies for the safety management of fresh
 produce from farm to fork. Current Opinion in Food Science 27, 145–152.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2019.01.004
- Kamble, S.S., Gunasekaran, A., Parekh, H., Joshi, S., 2019. Modeling the internet of things adoption barriers
 in food retail supply chains. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 48, 154–168.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.02.020
- Kefalidou, A.A., Nations, U., Affairs, S., 2016. Sustainable energy solutions to ' cold chain ' food supply
 issues 1–5.
- Khan, I.S., Ahmad, M.O., Majava, J., 2021. Industry 4.0 and sustainable development: A systematic mapping
 of triple bottom line, Circular Economy and Sustainable Business Models perspectives. Journal of
 Cleaner Production. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126655
- Kim, M., Hilton, B., Burks, Z., Reyes, J., 2019. Integrating Blockchain, Smart Contract-Tokens, and IoT to
 Design a Food Traceability Solution. 2018 IEEE 9th Annual Information Technology, Electronics and
 Mobile Communication Conference, IEMCON 2018 335–340.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMCON.2018.8615007
- Lezoche, M., Panetto, H., Kacprzyk, J., Hernandez, J.E., Alemany Díaz, M.M.E., 2020. Agri-food 4.0: A
 survey of the Supply Chains and Technologies for the Future Agriculture. Computers in Industry.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103187</u>
- Mac Cawley, A.F., 2014. The international wine supply chain: challenges from bottling to the glass. Ph.D.
 Dissertation. Georgia Institute of Technology. Accessed on 20/6/2021; Available at: https://smartech.gatech.edu/bitstream/handle/1853/52213/MACCAWLEY-DISSERTATION-2014.pdf
- Madhu, B., Mudgal, V.D., Champawat, P.S., 2021. Influence of the packaging material and storage
 temperature on the shelf life of garlic powder. Journal of Food Science and Technology 58, 4333–4343.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-020-04913-5
- Madhusudan, P., Chellukuri, N., Shivakumar, N., 2018. Smart packaging of food for the 21st century A
 review with futuristic trends, their feasibility and economics. Materials Today: Proceedings 5, 21018–
 21022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2018.06.494
- Mannheim, C.H., Liu, J.X., Gilbert, S.G., 1994. Control of water in foods during storage. Journal of Food
 Engineering 22, 509–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/0260-8774(94)90051-5
- Manzini, R., Accorsi, R., 2013. The new conceptual framework for food supply chain assessment. Journal of
 Food Engineering 115, 251–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2012.10.026

- Manzini, R., Accorsi, R., Piana, F., Regattieri, A., 2017. Accelerated life testing for packaging decisions in the
 edible oils distribution. Food Packaging and Shelf Life 12, 114–127.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2017.03.002
- 4 Matzembacher, D.E., Carmo Stangherlin, I. do, Slongo, L.A., Cataldi, R., 2018. An integration of traceability 5 92. elements and their impact consumer's trust. Food Control 420-429. in 6 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.05.014
- Mercier, S., Marcos, B., Uysal, I., 2017. Identification du meilleur emplacement pour mesurer la température
 à l'intérieur d'une palette alimentaire pour la prédiction de sa distribution de température. International
 Journal of Refrigeration 76, 147–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2017.01.025
- Meroni, A., 2000. Active packaging as an opportunity to create package design that reflects the
 communicational, functional and logistical requirements of food products. Packaging Technology and
 Science 13, 243–248. https://doi.org/10.1002/pts.524
- Nasurudeen Ahamed, N., Karthikeyan, P., 2020. A Reinforcement Learning Integrated in Heuristic search
 method for self-driving vehicle using blockchain in supply chain management. International Journal of
 Intelligent Networks 1, 92–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijin.2020.09.001
- Nychas, G.J.E., Panagou, E.Z., Mohareb, F., 2016. Novel approaches for food safety management and
 communication. Current Opinion in Food Science. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2016.06.005
- 18 Open Street Map, 2021.
- Özilgen, M., 2017. Assessment of the energy utilization and carbon dioxide emission reduction potential of
 the microbial fertilizers. A case study on "farm-to-fork" production chain of Turkish desserts and
 confections. Journal of Cleaner Production 165, 564–578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.169
- Pappa, I.C., Iliopoulos, C., Massouras, T., 2018. What determines the acceptance and use of electronic
 traceability systems in agri-food supply chains? Journal of Rural Studies 58, 123–135.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2018.01.001
- Pedroso, C.B., Tate, W.L., Lago da Silva, A., Ribeiro Carpinetti, L.C., 2021. SUPPLIER development
 adoption: A conceptual model for triple bottom line (TBL) outcomes. Journal of Cleaner Production
 127886. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127886
- Pizzuti, T., Mirabelli, G., 2015. The Global Track&Trace System for food: General framework and functioning
 principles. Journal of Food Engineering 159, 16–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2015.03.001
- Pongràcz, E., 2020. THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF PACKAGING, in: Food Packaging. pp. 487–
 516. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420056150-13
- 32 Punto1 [WWW Document], 2021. URL https://www.punto1.net/tkr1-smart/ (accessed 7.29.21).
- 33 PVGIS [WWW Document], 2021. URL https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/pvgis (accessed 7.29.21).
- Qi, L., Xu, M., Fu, Z., Mira, T., Zhang, X., 2014. C2SLDS: A WSN-based perishable food shelf-life prediction
 and LSFO strategy decision support system in cold chain logistics. Food Control 38, 19–29.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.09.023
- 37 Raposo, A., 2017. Food Spoilage by Pseudomonas spp .— An Overview 41–71.
- Regattieri, A., Gamberi, M., Manzini, R., 2007. Traceability of food products: General framework and
 experimental evidence. Journal of Food Engineering 81, 347–356.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.10.032

- 1 Riccaboni, A., Neri, E., Trovarelli, F., Pulselli, R.M., 2021. Sustainability-oriented research and innovation in 2 fork' value Opinion in Food Science 'farm to chains. Current 42, 102 - 112.3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2021.04.006
- Rinaldi, M., Malavasi, M., Cordioli, M., Barbanti, D., 2018. Investigation of influence of container geometry
 and starch concentration on thermal treated in-package food models by means of Computational Fluid
 Dynamics (CFD). Food and Bioproducts Processing 108, 1–11.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2017.12.003
- Roy, P., Nei, D., Okadome, H., Nakamura, N., Orikasa, T., Shiina, T., 2008. Life cycle inventory analysis of
 fresh tomato distribution systems in Japan considering the quality aspect. Journal of Food Engineering
 86, 225–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.09.033
- Shafiee, F., Kazemi, A., Jafarnejad Chaghooshi, A., Sazvar, Z., Amoozad Mahdiraji, H., 2021. A robust multiobjective optimization model for inventory and production management with environmental and social consideration: A real case of dairy industry. Journal of Cleaner Production 294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126230
- Shanahan, C., Kernan, B., Ayalew, G., McDonnell, K., Butler, F., Ward, S., 2009. A framework for beef
 traceability from farm to slaughter using global standards: An Irish perspective. Computers and
 Electronics in Agriculture 66, 62–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2008.12.002
- Shi, J., Wan, J., Yan, H., Suo, H., 2011. A survey of Cyber-Physical Systems, in: International Conference on
 Wireless Communications and Signal Processing (WCSP). p. 1_6.
- Shou, Y., Shao, J., Lai, K. hung, Kang, M., Park, Y., 2019. The impact of sustainability and operations
 orientations on sustainable supply management and the triple bottom line. Journal of Cleaner Production
 240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118280
- Simpson, R.J., Almonacid, S.F., Acevedo, C.A., Cortés, C.A., 2004. Simultaneous heat and mass transfer
 applied to non-respiring foods packed in modified atmosphere. Journal of Food Engineering 61, 279–
 286. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0260-8774(03)00109-2
- Ssennoga, F., Mugurusi, G., Oluka, P.N., 2019. Food insecurity as a supply chain problem. Evidence and
 lessons from the production and supply of bananas in Uganda. Scientific African 3.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2019.e00076
- Storoy, J., Thakur, M., Olsen, P., 2013. The TraceFood Framework Principles and guidelines for
 implementing traceability in food value chains. Journal of Food Engineering 115, 41–48.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2012.09.018
- Sun, S., Wang, X., 2019. Promoting traceability for food supply chain with certification. Journal of Cleaner
 Production 217, 658–665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.296
- Surucu-Balci, E., Tuna, O., 2021. Investigating logistics-related food loss drivers: A study on fresh fruit and
 vegetable supply chain. Journal of Cleaner Production 318, 128561.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2021.128561
- Tao, F., Zhang, M., Nee, A.Y.C., 2019. Digital Twin, Cyber–Physical System, and Internet of Things. Digital
 Twin Driven Smart Manufacturing 243–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817630-6.00012-6
- Thakur, M., Donnelly, K.A.M., 2010. Modeling traceability information in soybean value chains. Journal of
 Food Engineering 99, 98–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2010.02.004
- Tristancho, J., Caicedo, B., Thorel, L., Obregón, N., 2012. Climatic chamber with centrifuge to simulate
 different weather conditions. Geotechnical Testing Journal 35. https://doi.org/10.1520/GTJ103620

- Tsang, Y.P., Choy, K.L., Wu, C.H., Ho, G.T.S., Lam, H.Y., 2019. Blockchain-Driven IoT for Food
 Traceability with an Integrated Consensus Mechanism. IEEE Access 7, 129000–129017.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2940227
- Vanderroost, M., Ragaert, P., Devlieghere, F., de Meulenaer, B., 2014. Intelligent food packaging: The next
 generation. Trends in Food Science and Technology 39, 47–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.06.009
- Verdouw, C.N., Wolfert, J., Beulens, A.J.M., Rialland, A., 2016. Virtualization of food supply chains with the
 internet of things. Journal of Food Engineering 176, 128–136.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2015.11.009
- 9 World Weather Online [WWW Document], 2021. URL https://www.worldweatheronline.com (accessed
 7.29.21).
- Wu, W., Beretta, C., Cronje, P., Hellweg, S., Defraeye, T., 2019. Environmental trade-offs in fresh-fruit cold
 chains by combining virtual cold chains with life cycle assessment. Applied Energy 254, 113586.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113586
- Wu, W., Cronjé, P., Nicolai, B., Verboven, P., Linus Opara, U., Defraeye, T., 2018. Virtual cold chain method
 to model the postharvest temperature history and quality evolution of fresh fruit A case study for citrus
 fruit packed in a single carton. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 144, 199–208.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.11.034
- Wu, W., Defraeye, T., 2018. Identifying heterogeneities in cooling and quality evolution for a pallet of packed
 fresh fruit by using virtual cold chains. Applied Thermal Engineering 133, 407–417.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.11.049
- Yokokawa, N., Amasawa, E., Hirao, M., 2021. Design assessment framework for food packaging integrating
 consumer preferences and environmental impact. Sustainable Production and Consumption 27, 1514–
 1525. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2021.03.027
- Young, L.M., Hobbs, J.E., 2002. Vertical Linkages in Agri-Food Supply Chains: Changing Roles for
 Producers, Commodity Groups, and Government Policy. Review of Agricultural Economics 24, 428–
 441. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9353.00107
- Zheng, J., Wang, W., Ding, Y., Liu, G., Xing, W., Cao, X., Chen, D., 2020. Assessment of climate change
 impact on the water footprint in rice production: Historical simulation and future projections at two
 representative rice cropping sites of China. Science of the Total Environment 709, 136190.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136190
- Zimmerer, K.S., de Haan, S., Jones, A.D., Creed-Kanashiro, H., Tello, M., Carrasco, M., Meza, K., Plasencia
 Amaya, F., Cruz-Garcia, G.S., Tubbeh, R., Jiménez Olivencia, Y., 2019. The biodiversity of food and
 agriculture (Agrobiodiversity) in the anthropocene: Research advances and conceptual framework.
 Anthropocene 25, 100192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ancene.2019.100192
- Zou, Q., Opara, L.U., McKibbin, R., 2006. A CFD modeling system for airflow and heat transfer in ventilated
 packaging for fresh foods:. II. Computational solution, software development, and model testing. Journal
 of Food Engineering 77, 1048–1058. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2005.08.043
- 38