

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Plastic waste management: a comprehensive analysis of the current status to set up an after-use plastic strategy in Emilia-Romagna Region (Italy)

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/751928 since: 2020-03-16

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08155-y

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Foschi, E., D'Addato, F., & Bonoli, A. (2021). Plastic waste management: a comprehensive analysis of the current status to set up an after-use plastic strategy in Emilia-Romagna Region (Italy). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, 24328-24341.

The final published version is available online at:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08155-y

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Plastic Waste Management: a comprehensive analysis of the current status

to set up an after-use plastics strategy in Emilia Romagna Region (Italy)

3 Eleonora Foschi¹, Filippo D'Addato¹, Alessandra Bonoli¹

4 ¹ Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering, University of Bologna, via Terracini 28 -

- 5 40131 Bologna, BO, Italy.
- 6 Corresponding author: <u>Eleonora.foschi3@unibo.it</u>, + 39 346 24 04 541.
- 7 ABSTRACT

8 The evidence of the impact of the mismanagement of plastic goods in the environment has captured the attention of 9 scientists, policy makers and manufacturers. Urgent measures, regarding a combination of preventing plastic use and 10 massively improving waste management, have been acclaimed by different stakeholders with the common goal to make 11 a more resilient and competitive plastic industry. European Commission has pledged itself publishing the first EU-wide policy framework on plastics. The new recycling targets and calculation method put under pressure the current waste 12 13 management system (WMS), characterized by fragmentation in responsibilities and underperforming cost-benefit 14 balance. In addition, the public-private governance and the increasing number in waste consortia and platforms contribute 15 to make the waste streams traceability challenging. The following study, resulting from a collaboration between the 16 University of Bologna (UNIBO), the Emilia Romagna Region (ERR) and the Regional Agency for Prevention, 17 Environment and Energy (ARPAE), investigates the current panorama of plastic waste recycling system in ERR (Italy) 18 with the aim to find out to what extent the current performance fulfils the future scenario established by the European 19 Commission. The market of Secondary Plastics (SPs) has been investigated as well. The secondary resources, that are no 20 longer waste, are not registered and monitored by official data collection scheme. Data extrapolated from official waste 21 databases are integrated with results coming from individual questionnaire submitted to local recyclers. The identification 22 of the main polymeric streams and therefore, the exploitation of economic potential represent the preliminary actions to 23 strategically plan an after-use plastic economy whose main goal is having all recyclable and/or recycled plastic packaging 26 by 2030.

27 KEYWORDS

28 Emilia Romagna region, plastic waste, secondary plastics, recycling, circular economy, waste management system.

29 INTRODUCTION

30 Plastics, and in particular plastic waste, are nowadays under the microscope of the whole world. The problem of marine 31 plastic pollution has become so clear as to compromise the biodiversity but also the food chain and consequently, the 32 animal welfare and the human healthcare (Thompson 2015). The evidence of the impact of the mismanagement of plastic 33 goods in the environment has captured the attention of scientists, policy makers and manufacturers (Jambeck et al. 2015; 34 Rochman 2016). The increasing production and consumption rates, the over packaging, the Chinese and Indian waste 35 import ban, the lack of adequate infrastructure about waste management system (WMS) and the low consumer and 36 producer awareness are some of the challenges to deal with (Paletta et al. 2019). Urgent measures, regarding to a 37 combination of preventing plastic use and massively improving waste management performance have been acclaimed by 38 different stakeholders with the common goal to make a more resilient and competitive plastic industry (Ellen Mac Arthur 39 Foundation 2017). Plastics manufacturers and recyclers have responded through the engagement in partnerships, alliances 40 and joint ventures (Foschi et al. 2018). European Commission has pledged itself publishing the first EU-wide policy 41 framework on plastics (European Commission 2018a), reinforcing existing directive on waste and introducing specific 42 policy on Single-Use-Plastics (SUPs). In fact, legal obligations about the management of municipal waste are laid down 43 in the Waste Framework Directive (WFD), including 50% of household waste preparing for re-use/recycling target, to be 44 achieved by 2020 (European Parliament and of the Council 2008). The Directive was recently revised by the Circular 45 economy package to introduce more ambitious targets, including those for plastic packaging waste (PPW), based on 46 reaching 55%, 60% and 65% recycling rates respectively by 2025, 2030 and 2035 (European Parliament and of the 47 Council Directive 2018a; b). Additional purposes have also established within the Strategy for Plastics in a circular 48 economy, where the Commission has set new ambitious goal by having 100% recyclable and/or reusable plastic packaging 49 by 2030 (European Commission 2018b). Considering that the European recycling rate is estimated at 32,5% and the

50 market of Secondary Plastics (SPs) accounts for 8% in 2018 (PlasticsEurope 2019), targets seems really ambitious 51 (European Commission 2018c). It is even more urgent in countries where the recycling rate is far below the European 52 average (Dahlbo et al. 2018). European Member States (MSs), regions and cities are invited to incorporate driving forces 53 in policy agenda to facilitate the achievement of targets in one side and strengthen the market of recycled plastics in 54 another. The high amount of plastic waste generated every year provides a vast field of actions to shift the demand from 55 virgin plastics - that today accounts for 51,2 Mt (PlasticsEurope 2019) to recycled ones. However, the current industrial 56 recycling infrastructure is not up to the main challenge on plastics waste valorization. The increasing complexity in 57 products design and the lack of transparency on the material composition struggle to guarantee a high quality of SPs 58 (Pivnenko et al. 2016; Hahladakis et al. 2018a; b; Halden 2010). The monitoring of existing recycling performance 59 supports the obstacles identification and the future scenario planning. This assumption is in line with the following study, 60 resulting from a collaboration between University of Bologna (UNIBO), Emilia Romagna Region (ERR) and Regional Agency for Prevention, Environment and Energy (ARPAE). It investigates the current panorama of plastic waste recycling 61 62 system in ERR (Italy) with the aim to find out to what extent the current performance fulfils the future scenario established 63 by the European Commission. The analysis includes both waste and SPs in order to define the benchmark and detect the 64 opportunities to strategically plan how to increment the amount of plastic waste to turn up into SPs. Finally, 65 recommendations to deliver a well-functioning integrated and sustainable plastic WMS in accordance with the circular 66 economy principles, have been proposed.

67

68 1. PLASTIC WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

69 Each EU MS has its own waste management system in accordance to the national law. In addition, waste is sorted and 70 collected in different ways across the regions (Dahlbo 2018). The Italian WMS proves to be complex and heterogeneous. 71 Municipal (waste from households and similar, also called post-consumer) and special (waste from industrial/commercial 72 activities, also called pre-consumer) waste are managed in different ways: while special waste are handled by independent 73 consortia or private companies, municipal waste are regulated by the national waste consortia. Municipal waste are 74 generally packaging waste whose governance is characterized by a well-defined administration. In fact, packaging, 75 including plastic packaging, are handled by official waste scheme as pushed by the statutory producer responsibility 76 regime (OECD 2001; Hahladakis et al. 2018). Specifically speaking, municipalities entrust the waste management to 77 Collective System or Consortia dealing with the cost coverage of post-consumer waste separate collection, sorting, 78 recycling and eventually, disposal. As a result of the application of the Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) principle 79 - where producers and importers are responsible for the waste they generate and Sharing Responsibility - where 80 stakeholders collaborate to pursue the waste hierarchy, the National Consortium for the Collection and Recycling of 81 Plastic packages (COREPLA) runs the financial costs about the EoL of municipal PPW. In particular, the full costs 82 generally include:

- 83 Collection, transport and treatment costs for separately and non-separately collected waste
- 84 Costs for public information and awareness raising
- 85 Costs aimed to promote waste prevention actions
- **86** Costs for litter prevention and management (Watkins et al. 2017)

87 In case of Italian governance, the COREPLA's financial structure is based on the overall cost for waste management 88 minus the revenues coming from the sales of recovered material. In particular, municipalities entrust the waste 89 management to COREPLA that is regulated by a specific national agreement stipulated (every five years) between ANCI 90 (National Municipalities Association) and CONAI (National Packaging Consortium). Additionally, companies 91 manufacturing plastics for packaging and packaging goods are forced to pay the so-called ANCI-CONAI contribution 92 (CAC). As shown in the Figure 1 (Fig.1), CAC is a compulsory contribution which serves as a form of financing letting 93 CONAI (and in this case, COREPLA) to support separate waste collection and packaging waste recycling operations 94 (CONAI 2017). That system allows to allocate the responsibilities for the correct environmental management of 95 packaging and packaging waste produced and used by more than 57 million citizens (CONAI 2017).





Fig. 1 - COREPLA's financial scheme

- 98 From the operational point of view, COREPLA basically manages the sorting of PPW for polymer (Polypropylene (PP),
- 99 Low- and high-density Polyethylene (LD and HDPE), Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET)) and colour (transparent, white,
- 100 coloured) and the sale of these stocks through electronic auctions to European recyclers (See Table 1).



Table 1 – Final	products	manged	by	COREPLA -	- Source:	COREPLA
-----------------	----------	--------	----	-----------	-----------	---------

Product	Acronym
Trouter	(commercial name)
By-products ¹	PLASMIX
By-products	PLASMIX_FINE
By-products	PLASMIX_FINE/F
By-products	PLASMIX/F
Plastic boxes	SELE-CAS/M
Light blue	SELE-CTA/M
PET bottles	
NS	SELE-CTC/F
Coloured	SELE-CTC/M
PET bottles	
NS	SELE-CTE/F
HDPE rigid	SELE-CTE/M
container	
NS	SELE-CTL/F
Transparent	SELE-CTL/M
PET bottles	
Small/sized	SELE-FIL/S
films	
Film	SELE-FILM
PP packaging	SELE-IPP/C
NS	SELE-MPET/C
NS	SELE-MPO/B
Mix of PO	SELE-MPO/C
packaging	
Mix of PO	SELE-MPOF/C
packaging	

¹ By-products refer to the scraps generated during the treatment process in the waste plants associated to the consortium.

PO rigid containers	
NS	
PET containers	
NS^2	

- 103 More specifically, COREPLA system is composed of:
- Centri Comprensoriali (CC) *District Centers*: platforms where PPW waste are pre-treated
- Centri di Selezione e Smistamento (CSS) Sorting Centres: platforms where PPW are basically treated and sorted

While municipal waste are generally heterogeneous and difficult to valorise, industrial waste are usually characterized by
 an homogeneous polymeric composition and therefore, an high market demand. In order to performe a positive cost benefit balance, COREPLA plays an additional subsidiary role for industrial/commercial PPW by providing a framework
 of platforms to ensure the top-line profitability of packaging, such:

- PIA Platforms for general industrial packaging waste
- PIFU Platforms for drums and tanks
- **113** PEPS Platform for Polystyrene (PS) based waste

114 As described by the article 221 of the Consolidated Environmental Law, National Consortia can be combined with

Independent Consortia where packaging producers and recyclers work to independently valorize their own plastic waste
 (Italian Government 2006; Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare 2019).

As illustrated in the Figure 2 (Fig. 2), Italian plastic waste are managed by a multitude of National and Independent





119

120

Fig. 2 - Plastic Waste Management System in Italy

121 While some Independent consortia are being validated, PARI, CONIP and CORIPET, respectively specialized in LDPE,

122 Polyolefins (POs) and PET packaging recycling, are already operative in many locations in the country. As mapped in

 2 NS=Not specified. It includes experimental products.

the Figure 3 (Fig. 3), 8 companies working in PARI, 2 of 26 companies working in CONIP and 5 of 123 companiesworking with CORIPET are located in the region.



125125 126



127 2. WASTE GENERATION AND MANAGEMENT

128 2.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

129 The work investigates the management of both pre-consumer and post-consumer waste. Pre-consumer waste streams 130 include waste coming from economic and industrial activities, such as agriculture and food processing industry, plastic, automotive and building and construction (B&C) sectors. Waste generated from waste treatment are also included in the 131 132 investigation and considered with an additional relevance for the problem affecting the mix of plastics or more generally, 133 the low-quality recyclables. The municipal waste considered within the study refers to the overall amount of post-134 consumer plastic packaging waste (PCPPW) collected through the integrated waste collection system implemented in the 135 area. The assimilated waste, which are waste of a similar nature as household waste but collected from offices, schools, 136 administrations, small businesses and communities, are monitored as well. The identification of the aforementioned waste 137 streams has been performed in accordance with the categorization established within the European Waste Catalogue (See 138 Table 2). 139139

140

Table 2 - List of European Waste codes investigated within the study

EWC	Description
20	- contract
	Plastics shavings and turnings coming from shaping and physical and mechanical surface treatment of metals
120105	and plastics
	•
	Disting (avant packaging) coming from agriculture horticulture, aquaculture forestry, bunting and fishing
	Frastics (except packaging) coming nom agriculture, norticulture, aquaculture, torestry, numing and rishing,
020104	food preparation and processing
020201	room historian and historian 2
150102	Plastic packaging (including separately collected municipal packaging waste)
	Disting coming from and of life vahiolog from different means of transport (including off road machinery)
	Flastics coming nom end-of-me vences from unrefent means of transport (including off-foad machinery)
	and wastes from dismantling of end-of-life vehicles and vehicle maintenance (except 13, 14, 16 06 and 16
1/0110	
100119	
170203	Plastics coming from construction and demolition wastes (including excavated soil from contaminated sites)
170203	These configures construction and contention wastes (including excavated soft from containinated sites)

³ Considering the overall number of CORIPET members, only few producers are located in the Region while all the recyclers are located outside the regional boundaries.

EWC	Description
191204	Plastics and rubber coming from wastes from the mechanical treatment of waste (for example sorting, crushing, compacting, pelletising) not otherwise specified
200139	Plastics coming from municipal wastes (household waste and similar commercial, industrial and institutional wastes) including separately collected fractions

142 The EoL monitoring includes all the steps, from the collection to the pre-treatment, sorting, recycling and remanufacturing 143 process. Both local and foreign disposal have been explored. As reported in the Table 3,the disposal options are 144 categorized according to the national Environmental Law.

1451 Table 3 – List of disposal options

4 5

Code	Description of the disposal options
D1	Landfill
D2-D14	General disposal activities (Surface impoundment etc.)
D15	Temporary waste storage before D1-D14 processing
R1	Energy recovery
R2-R12	Material recovery
R13	Temporary waste storage before R1-R12 processing

1461

- 461
 - 4
 - 6

147 Data are sourced from ORSo (Osservatorio Rifiuti Sovraregionale) and MUD (Modello Unico di Dichiarazione Ambientale) datasets that are generally used by waste managers and governmental organizations to monitor the EoL. 148 Since the analysis has been contextualized in the local infrastructure, the Material Recovery Facilities (MRFs) and 149 150 reprocessing plants have been firstly identified through PARIX, AIDA, AMADEUS and OSIRIS databases and then 151 geolocalized through the Geographic Information System (GIS). Finally, data about the SPs reprocessing capacity have been provided with the intent to give a preliminary picture of closed-loop system. Considering that recyclers and 152 153 remanufacturers are reluctant to reveal their internal material flows and the market of recycled plastics, a questionnaire has been submitted to local waste managers. The investigation includes data on ID, process and technology description, 154 155 input - output resources streams, their provenience and destination.

156 2.2 RESULTS

157 2.2.1 Plastic waste generation in Emilia Romagna Region

Emilia Romagna is one of the most proficient Italian regions, located in the Northern area with a territory of 22,123 square
kilometres and 4,5 million inhabitants. It comprises 331 municipalities and 6 provinces ((Ferrara, Forlì-Cesena, Modena,
Parma, Piacenza, Ravenna, Reggio Emilia and Rimini). The economic system is mainly feed by the manufacturing sector,
including 43.000 companies and 480.000 jobs (UNIONCAMERE 2019). The demographic and economic growth has

affected the waste generation and the need to rethink the entire system towards more circularity and sustainability.

163 2.2.1.1 Plastics in Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)

Whitin ERR, the amount of PCPPW disposed by separate collection scheme accounted for 47% (132.773t, corresponding
to 30kg per inhabitant) in 2017. The remains (53%) were registered into the residual waste stream where, about 35%
would be recoverable, if correctly separated (ARPAE 2018). The amount of assimilated waste accounted for 11.729t in

167 2017.





Fig. 4 - Separate Plastic Waste Collection, 2017









Fig. 5 – Municipal Plastic Packaging Waste generation, 2017 - Source: ORSo database

As for collection systems, 40% of the plastic was collected together with other waste in the multi-material collection. The
 most widespread system includes bring sites (that may be mono or multi-material) followed by door-to-door collection

and collection centres. The assimilated waste are directly sent to disposal through one-to-one agreements (Fig.6).









177177



Fig. 7 Catchment area served by the multiutilities working in the region – Source: ARPAE, 2018

183183

184 2.2.1.2 Plastics in Special Waste (SW)

185 Since the ERR is characterized by a profitable economy with more than 407 thousand companies, the generation of

industrial waste are considerably high. The overview of SW production is reported below according to the EWC and the

area of generation (See Fig.8).



Fig. 8 - Special Plastic Waste generation, 2017 - Source: MUD database

190 Referring to primary generation of waste as waste coming from economic activities, a substantial stream is represented 191 by waste classified by the 120105 EWC and generated by the plastic industry that is one of the most remunerative 192 economy in the region. Plastics quantitative pulled out from End-of-Life vehicles (ELVs) was considerably high in 2017, 193 accounting for 1.706 t. Agricultural plastic waste accounted for 1.297t and were mainly registered in the rural area, where 194 the economy is basically based on farming. The presence of plastics in construction and demolition (C&D) waste is 195 generally variable and influenced by a multitude of external factors (earthquakes and type of demolition, for example). 196 The highest waste stream, codified by 191204 EWC (277.239 t), refers to scraps coming from the regional waste treatment 197 plants and categorized in the secondary generation stream.

198198

199 2.2.2 REGIONAL PLASTIC WASTE MANAGEMENT

The potential processing capacity differs from the collection rate for the amount of waste imported from other regions
 and/or countries. The overall amount of plastic waste managed in ERR was about 448.539 t in 2017, 27% of which came
 from regional activity and 73% from other regions and countries.

As shown in the pie chart below (Fig. 9), most of the waste (about 322.714 t) were processed through recycling and/or recovery operations (R2-R12). 58.270 t of waste were stored to be recycled/recovered later (R13). 62.261 t of waste were valorized to produce fuel or energy (R1). 2.464 t of plastic waste were sent to disposal activities (D2-D14) and 2.788 t

had been treated before being sent to landfill sites (See Fig. 10).





225 for 44% (56,527 t) and 31% (39,346 t) (See Fig. 11).





Fig. 11 - National export of regional plastic waste, 2017 - Source: MUD database

In the same year, 62.549 t of plastic waste were exported from Emilia-Romagna to foreign countries. As shown in Figure 12 (Fig. 12), Austria (33%, corresponding to 20.789 t, Germany (20%, corresponding to 12.409 t,) and China (14%, corresponding to 8.562 t) were the main destinations. The major circulating waste stream was represented by the scraps generated within the MRFs that, in case of plastics, is generally represented by the mixed and/or contaminated polymers.





Fig. 12 – International export of regional plastic waste, 2017 – Source: MUD database

234 2.2.2.1 Municipal plastic waste management

As established by the article 182bis of the Legislative Decree 152/06, the regional self-sufficiency⁴ of municipal waste management has pushed ERR to maximize the amount of waste to manage internally (Italian government 2006). This

⁴⁴ Every Italian region should be able to manage all the waste generated within its borders.

- principle has catalysed the interaction between waste operators, consortia and enterprises working within the regional
- borders.
- Regarding primary management, 76% of PCPPW stream was sent to recovery⁵ in 2017 (ARPAE 2018). Public waste
- operators managed 91% of the overall amount of PCPPW separately collected in the Region (corresponding to 121,004t).
- 241 In particular, 96,711 t of PCPPW (corresponding to 70%) were managed by COREPLA through a framework of pre-
- treatment (CSR) and sorting plants (CSS) (See Fig. 13).







246 2.2.2.2 Industrial plastic waste management

247 Since industrial waste are characterized by uniformity in quality and quantity, each waste stream is handled by specific 248 recycling market. In 2017, 25 regional companies treated 3.356t of waste coming from agricultural activities (EWC 249 020104), 10 plants of which performed a complete recycling process (R3). 38 regional plants managed plastic shavings 250 coming from the manufacturing industry, 27 of which have recycled 5.512 t out of 6.205 t (90%). The complete recycling 251 of 920 t (72%) of plastics coming from ELVs (EWC 160119) was performed by 15 plants. The regional plants handling 252 waste classified by the EWC 160119 were 31 and managed 1.270 t of plastics. Other 9 plants treated plastic waste coming 253 from C&D waste (EWC 170203) with a capacity of 713 t (41% of the total amount). A distinct consideration has been 254 done for scraps generated by MRFs: when plastic waste are treated, they can change the waste codification by adopting 255 the 191204 EWC. 29 of the 53 regional plants entitled to treat this kind of waste processed the 25% (39.932 t) of the total 256 amount in 2017. It also represents the main waste stream exported outside the region. As shown in the Figure 14 (Fig. 257 14), 163.338 t of plastic industrial waste were exported in 2017.



258258

⁵ It includes both material and energy recovery.

261 2.2.3 VALORIZATION OF PLASTIC WASTE INTO SECONDARY PLASTICS: A PRELIMINARY 262 INVESTIGATION

263 The authors have run a survey to the regional plastic recyclers with the aim to provide a micro-scale analysis of the entire 264 recycling chain. The survey has included 91 plastic waste remanufacturers. The number of respondent's accounts for 265 19%; however, 5 of them manage the largest amount of plastic waste in ERR. Even if the outcome is not representative 266 of the entire regional market, the survey provides a preliminary overview of market needs and demands. As shown by the 267 Figure 15 (Fig. 15), the regional infrastructure mainly works for sorting polymers by colours and types. Only 38% of the 268 sample performs a complete remanufacturing process. The sorting performances are higher in case of LDPE, PP and PET 269 as they are easily recyclable. However, a considerable amount of plastics is plasmix which represents an economic as 270 well as environmental impact.



2712

7

2722

1

Fig. 15 - Partial remanufacturing capacity of plastic materials

2 3. DISCUSSION

Plastics usage is dominated by few types of polymers, however, each of them are mixed with chemical substances 273 producing a multitude of plastic materials and goods characterized by different molecular composition and formulation 274 (European Commission 2018b). Complex materials and design strongly affect the waste valorization. The amount and 275 the type of plastic waste generated in Emilia Romagna reflects the economy of the region where plastics represents a key 276 material also for business. The so-called packaging valley (and district), composed by more than 300 firms working in 277 packaging and packaging machinery manufacturing, provides the biggest amount of industrial plastic waste. In addition, 278 the phenomena related to urban growth affect also the municipal waste generation. Even if industrial waste (72%) are 279 more than household ones (28%), ERR advances in third place for the total production of municipal waste and in first 280 place for the production per-capita in Italy (ISPRA 2018). Measures on waste prevention should be prioritized (Salhofer 281 et al. 2008; Bartl 2014). Further, the wide variety plastic-based applications reflect the presence in the waste stream 282 composition, challenging the collecting, sorting and recycling performances as evidenced by the big generation of mixed 283 and contaminated plastics. Even if industrial waste are affected by more evenness, the public-private governance and the 284 increasing number in waste consortia and platforms contribute to fragment the waste streams traceability and therefore 285 the monitoring of the regional capacity. Finally, the status of SPs, that are no longer waste, doesn't allow the traceability 286 by official data collection scheme. It follows that the lack of technological, logistic, economic and environmental data, in 287 an aggregated and harmonized form, gets difficult insight to provide a clear picture on recycling, both for municipal and 288 special waste. A rethinking of data collection and elaboration should be carried out in order to provide a clear EoL picture 289 of plastic goods. This intention is also supported by the recent amendment of the WFD that establishes ambitious targets 290 on PCPPW recycling and a unique methodology to harmonize the calculation as well. In fact, while the Decision

2011/753/UE gave the possibility to choose among various methodologies, the Directive 2018/851/UE sets out a specific

calculation method where recycling target is based on the amount of plastic waste effectively turned up in secondaryplastics (European Parliament and of the Council Directive 2018a). Considering the regional flow through, the total

amount of PCPPW sent to recycling and disposal respectively accounted for 62.319t and 70.454t in 2017. It follows that

less than half amount of plastic packaging consumed in 2017 are materially recovered. According to the new algorithms,

around 90.000t should be additionally recycled to reach the 2030 goal.

297 4. CONCLUSIONS

The ambitious program established by the European Commission within the Plastics Strategy requires a systemic rethinking of the waste governance. Supporting legislation, facilitating management system and robust financial measures should be advanced in order to boost regional innovation towards the enforcement of an after-use plastics economy. The quali-quantitative analysis of plastic waste management in ERR has pulled out some practical recommendations here listed:

- **303** Promote all type of actions fostering the reduction of plastic waste
- Raise awareness of consumers in order to avoid the PCPPW disposal in the commingled collection

- Implement the Deposit-Refund-System, especially for PET bottles with the aim to reduce the contamination in one hand
 and maximize the profitability of rPET market in another

Promote eco-design through training activities and financial measures thus supporting the reduction of mixed and
 contaminated plastic waste that represents the main cost and environmental impact of the waste management

- 309 Harmonize data collection among national and independent consortia
- Initiate focus groups discussing the introduction of actions aimed to monitor the flow through of SPs at first and the
 implementation of industrial synergies then
- Support remanufacturers to produce recognizable high-quality SPs and monitor the performance through value-based
 metrics
- Invest on new industrial recycling infrastructure ensuring the fulfillment of the regional demand

315 In order to incorporate all these considerations, a participative stakeholder's path is necessary. This work represents only 316 the first step working in this direction. Authors are actually working on the future scenario envisioning and strategy 317 planning able to capture the intrinsic value of plastic materials and create a profitable business of SPs.

318 HEADINGS

- Data on Plastic waste are not harmonized. A clear picture on plastic waste management is difficult to define.
- The increasing complexity in products design and the lack of transparency on the material composition struggle to
 guarantee a high quality of secondary plastics.
- Investments on eco-design and recycling could support the profitability of plastic waste and secondary plastics market.

323326

324 ABBREVIATIONS

- 325 ANCI National Municipalities Association
- 326 ARPAE Regional Agency for Prevention, Environment and Energy
- 327 AT Austria
- 328 C&D Construction & Demolition
- 329 CC Centri Comprensoriali
- 330 CN China
- 331 CONAI Post-consumer packaging waste Consortium

- 332 COREPLA National Consortium for the Collection and Recycling of Plastic packages
- 333 CSS Centri di Selezione e Smistamento Selection and Sorting Centres
- **334** CZ Czech Republic
- 335 DE Germany
- 336 ELVs End-of-Life vehicles
- 337 EoL End-of-life
- **338** EPR Extended Producer Responsibility
- 339 ERR Emilia-Romagna Region
- 340 ES Spain
- 341 EWC European Waste code
- 342 FR France
- 343 HD-PE High density Polyethylene
- 344 HR Croatia
- 345 HU Hungary
- 346 ID Indonesia
- 347 IN India
- 348 LD-PE Low-density Polyethylene
- 349 LV Latvia
- 350 MSW Municipal Solid Waste
- 351 MUD Modello Unico di Dichiarazione Ambientale
- 352 MY Malaysia
- 353 NL Netherlands
- 354 ORSo Osservatorio Rifiuti Sovraregionale
- 355 PCPPW Post-consumer plastic packaging waste
- 356 PEPS Platform for PS_based waste
- **357** PET Polyethylene Terephthalate
- **358** PIA Platform for general industrial packaging waste
- **359** PIFU Platform for drums and tanks
- 360 PL Poland
- 361 PO Polyolefin
- **362** PPW Plastic Packaging Waste
- 363 PS Polystyrene
- 364 RO Romania
- 365 SI Slovenia
- 366 SK Slovakia

- **367** SPs Secondary Plastics
- 368 SUPs Single-Use-Products
- 369 SW Special waste
- 370 TH Thailand
- 371 TR Turkey
- 372 UNIBO University of Bologna
- 373 VN Vietnam
- 374 WFD Waste Framework Directive
- 375 WMS Waste management system

377380

378 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The investigation has been carried out within the action plan of the Interreg Europe project named TRIS – Transition
region towards industrial symbiosis. A special thank is due to Manuela Ratta (RER) for giving us the possibility to
investigate the regional waste management system. Authors would also thank Maria Concetta Peronace and Annamaria
Benedetti (ARPAE) for providing data and useful explanation about plastic waste generation and management in Emilia
Romagna Region (Italy). Finally, authors would like to thank COREPLA for giving us data about the management of

384 municipal plastic waste.

385388

386 REFERENCES

- 387 ARPAE (2018). La gestione dei rifiuti in Emilia-Romagna. Report 2018. Available online:
 388 https://www.arpae.it/dettaglio_documento.asp?id=7456&idlivello=1443. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- Bartl A (2014). Ways and entanglements of the waste hierarchy. *Waste Management*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.10.016
- CONAI (2017). Packaging recovery in Italy: The CONAI system. Available online: http://www.conai.org/wp content/uploads/2014/09/The-CONAI-System_-2017.pdf
- 396 Dahlbo H, Poliakova V, Mylläri V, Sahimaa O, Anderson, R (2018). Recycling potential of post-consumer plastic
 397 packaging waste in Finland. *Waste Management*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.10.033
- Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2017). The New Plastics Economy Catalysing Actiong. The New Plastics Economy,
 Catalysing Action. https://doi.org/10.1103/Physrevb.74.035409
- European Commission (2018a). Report on the implementation of the Circular Economy Action Plan. Available online:
 https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/report_implementation_circular_economy_action_plan.pdf.
 Accessed 10 May 2019.
- 403 European Commission (2018b). Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. A European
 404 Strategy for Plastics in a Circular Economy. Available online: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02368. Accessed 10 May
 405 2019.
- European Commission (2018c). REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE
 COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE
 REGIONS on the implementation of EU waste legislation, including the early warning report for Member States at risk
 of missing the 2020 preparation for re-use/recycling target on municipal waste. Available on line: https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=COM:2018:656:FIN. Accessed 10 May 2019.

- 411 European Parliament and of the Council (2008). Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of
- 412 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives. Available online: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-
- 413 content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32008L0098. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- European Parliament and of the Council (2011). 2011/753/EU Commission Decision of 18 November 2011 establishing
 rules and calculation methods for verifying compliance with the targets set in Article 11(2) of Available online: https://eur-
- 416 lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32011D0753. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- 417 European Parliament and of the Council (2018a). DIRECTIVE (EU) 2018/851 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT
 418 AND OF THE COUNCIL of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 2008/98/EC on waste. Available online: https://eur419 lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L_.2018.150.01.0109.01.ENG. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- 420 European Parliament and of the Council of European Union (2018b). Directive (EU) 2018/852 of the European Parliament
 421 and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 94/62/EC on packaging and packaging waste. Available online:
 422 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32018L0852&from=EN. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- Hups://eur-tex.europa.eu/tegat-content/Eth/1X1/PDF/ /uri=CELEX:32018L0852&from=Eth. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- European Parliament and the Council of the European Union (2018c). Directive of the European Parliament and of the
 Council on the reduction of the impact of certain plastic products on the environment COM(2018) 340 final. Available
 online: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A52018PC0340. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- Foschi E, Bonoli A (2019). The Commitment of Packaging Industry in the Framework of the European Strategy for
 Plastics in a Circular Economy. *Administrative Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci9010018
- Hahladakis J N, Velis C A, Weber R, Iacovidou E, Purnell P (2018). An overview of chemical additives present in
 plastics: Migration, release, fate and environmental impact during their use, disposal and recycling. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.10.014</u>
- Hahladakis J N, Purnell P, Iacovidou E, Velis C A, Atseyinku M (2018). Post-consumer plastic packaging waste in
 England: Assessing the yield of multiple collection-recycling schemes. *Waste Management*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.02.009
- Hahladakis J N, Aljabri H M S J (2019). Delineating the plastic waste status in the State of Qatar: Potential
 opportunities, recovery and recycling routes. *Science of the Total Environment*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.390
- Halden R U (2010). Plastics and Health Risks. *Annual Review of Public Health*.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.012809.103714
- 439ISPRA(2018).Rapportorifiutiurbani.Edizione2018.Availableonline:440http://www.isprambiente.gov.it/it/pubblicazioni/rapporti/rapporto-rifiuti-urbani-edizione-2018.Accessed 10 May 2019.
- Italian Government (2006). Legislative Decree no.152/06 "Norme in materia ambientale". Avaliable online:
 http://www.camera.it/parlam/leggi/deleghe/06152dl.htm
- Jambeck J R, Geyer R, Wilcox C, Siegler T R, Perryman M, Andrady A, Law K L (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land
 into the ocean. Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352
- 445 Michaud J C, Farrant L, Jan O, Kjær B, Bakas I (2011). Environmental benefits of recycling 2010 update. Waste
 446 Resource Action Programme. WRAP. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psym.2015.11.002</u>
- 447 Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio e del Mare (2019). Linee guida per i Sistemi autonomi di gestione
- 448degliimballaggi.Availableonline:
- https://www.minambiente.it/sites/default/files/archivio/allegati/rifiuti/LineeGuidaSistemiAutonomi.pdf. Accessed 10
 May 2019.
- 451 OECD (2001) Extended Producer Responsibility: A Guidance Manual for Governments, OECD, March, Paris.
- 452 OECD (2018). Improving Plastics Management: Trends, policy responses, and the role of international cooperation and
- trade. Prepared by the OECD for the G7 Environment, Energy and Oceans Minister

- Paletta A, Leal Filho W, Balogun A L, Foschi E, Bonoli A (2019). Barriers and challenges to plastics valorisation in the
 context of a circular economy: Case studies from Italy. Journal of Cleaner Production.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118149
- 457 PlasticsEurope (2017). Plastics the Facts 2017, Available online:
 458 https://www.plasticseurope.org/application/files/5715/1717/4180/Plastics_the_facts_2017_FINAL_for_we
 459 bsite_one_page.pdf. Accessed 10 May 2019.
- 460 PlasticsEurope (2018). Plastics the Facts 2018, Available online:
 461 <u>https://www.plasticseurope.org/application/files/6315/4510/9658/Plastics the facts 2018 AF web.pdf. Accessed 10</u>
 462 <u>May 2019</u>.
- 463 PlasticsEurope (2019). Plastics the Facts 2019, Available online:
 464 <u>https://www.plasticseurope.org/application/files/9715/7129/9584/FINAL web version Plastics the facts2019 141020</u>
 465 19.pdf. Accessed 15 December 2018.
- 466 Pivnenko K, Eriksen M K, Martín-Fernández J A, Eriksson E, Astrup T F (2016). Recycling of plastic waste: Presence
 467 of phthalates in plastics from households and industry. *Waste Management*.
 468 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.05.014
- 469 Rochman C M (2016). Strategies for reducing ocean plastic debris should be diverse and guided by science.
 470 Environmental Research Letters. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/4/041001
- 471 Salhofer S, Obersteiner G, Schneider F, Lebersorger S (2008). Potentials for the prevention of municipal solid waste.
 472 *Waste Management*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2007.02.026
- Thompson R C (2015). Microplastics in the marine environment: Sources, consequences and solutions. In Marine
 Anthropogenic Litter. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3_7</u>
- 475 UNIONCAMERE (2019). Rapporto 2019 sull'economia regionale. Available online: https://www.ucer.camcom.it/studi-
- $\label{eq:constraint} 476 \qquad ricerche/analisi/rapporto-economia-regionale/pdf/2015/2019-rapporto-economia-regionale.pdf$
- 477