



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE  
DELLA RICERCA

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Versatility and aesthetic performance of the submental flap for reconstruction of skin defects in head and neck

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Molteni, G., Dallari, V., Caiazza, N., Sacchetto, A. (2023). Versatility and aesthetic performance of the submental flap for reconstruction of skin defects in head and neck. AMERICAN JOURNAL OF OTOLARYNGOLOGY, 44(2), 1-4 [10.1016/j.amjoto.2022.103696].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/945042> since: 2023-10-14

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2022.103696>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

# VERSATILITY AND AESTHETIC PERFORMANCE OF THE SUBMENTAL FLAP FOR RECONSTRUCTION OF SKIN DEFECTS IN HEAD AND NECK

Gabriele Molteni<sup>1</sup>, MD, PhD, FEBORL-HNS; Virginia Dallari<sup>1</sup>, MD; Nicole Caiazza<sup>1</sup>, MD;  
Andrea Sacchetto<sup>2</sup>, MD.

1 Unit of Otorhinolaryngology, Head & Neck Department, University of Verona, Piazzale L.A.

Scuro 10, 37134, Verona, Italy

2 Department of Otolaryngology, Ospedale San Bortolo, Via Ferdinando Rodolfi 37, 36100,  
Vicenza, Italy

Corresponding author

Virginia Dallari

Unit of Otorhinolaryngology, Head & Neck Department, University of Verona, Piazzale L.A.

Scuro 10, 37134, Verona, Italy

Phone: +39 0458128333

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no financial relationship to disclose.

30  
1  
31  
3  
4  
32  
5  
6  
33  
7  
8  
9  
34  
10  
11  
12  
35  
13  
14  
36  
15  
16  
17  
37  
18  
19  
38  
20  
21  
22  
39  
23  
24  
40  
25  
26  
41  
27  
28  
29  
42  
30  
31  
43  
32  
33  
34  
44  
35  
36  
45  
37  
38  
39  
46  
40  
41  
47  
42  
43  
44  
48  
45  
46  
49  
47  
48  
50  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
52  
55  
56  
57  
53  
58  
59  
60  
54  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

**Gabriele Molteni**, conceived the study and performed the surgical procedure; **Virginia Dallari** and **Nicole Caiazza**, wrote the paper and edited the video; **Andrea Sacchetto**, corrected the paper and supervision of the work.

**DISCLOSURES**

**Competing interests:** None.

**Sponsorships:** None.

**Funding source:** None.

**ETHICAL APPROVAL**

All of the procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

The Ethics Committee for clinical trials of the Provinces of Verona and Rovigo exempted this study from its approval.

All of the authors have read and approved the manuscript.

**KEY WORDS**

Submental flap, face reconstruction, skin defects, pedicled flap, reconstructive surgery.

55 **ABSTRACT**

1  
2  
3 56 The submental flap (SMF) is a reliable option for head and neck reconstruction. It is a pedicle  
4  
5 57 flap based on the submental artery and vein, divisions of the facial pedicle.  
6

7  
8 58 The purpose of this Operative Technique is to describe the step-by-step setup of the  
9  
10 59 submental flap for reconstruction of the preauricular region and to briefly examine its  
11  
12 60 versatility and range of choices in skin and soft tissue defect reconstruction (see  
13  
14 61 Supplemental Video in the online version of the article).  
15  
16

17 62 The harvesting of the SMF provides an aesthetically acceptable result for both the donor  
18  
19 63 and reconstructed sites. The main advantages of the flap are its excellent color and texture  
20  
21 64 match to the tissue in the cheek, and the possibility of restoring pilosity in male patients.  
22  
23

24 65 In the opinion of the authors, the SMF is one of the best reconstructive alternatives for  
25  
26 66 defects in the lower two-thirds of the face in elderly male patients.  
27  
28

29  
30 67  
31  
32 **INTRODUCTION**

33  
34  
35 69 The submental flap (SMF) is indicated in patients with skin or mucosal defects that are not  
36  
37 70 reconstructable in a functionally or aesthetically acceptable manner using local techniques.  
38

39  
40 71 It can be used for the reconstruction of soft tissue defects in the middle and lower third of  
41  
42 72 the face, and is mainly indicated in patients who are unsuitable for free microvascular  
43  
44 73 transfer and those with a sufficient excess of submental soft tissue<sup>1,2</sup>.  
45  
46

47 74  
48  
49  
50 75 **METHODS**

51  
52 76 This clinical case describes an 80-year-old male patient who experienced a recurrence of  
53  
54 77 squamous cell carcinoma of the skin in the right parotid region that infiltrated the muscular  
55  
56 78 plane and the parotid gland. After multidisciplinary evaluation, it was decided that he should  
57  
58 79 be selected for surgical resection of the tumor. Total right parotidectomy with sacrifice of the  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

80 overlying skin and type III modified radical neck dissection were performed. Reconstruction  
81 of the skin defect in the parotid region was performed using a submental flap. The final stage  
82 was rpN3b R0 G2/3, and the patient underwent adjuvant radiotherapy.

83

## 84 **RESULTS**

85 Our case shows excellent aesthetic and functional results obtained using the SMF for  
86 reconstruction of a preauricular skin defect in a male patient.

87 The flap design and dissection must always consider the course of the marginal branch of  
88 the facial nerve. Compared to other reconstructive alternatives, the flap offers an excellent  
89 cosmetic match without significant differences in texture and skin color between the donor  
90 and recipient sites. These results are even more pronounced in male patients because the  
91 hair-bearing nature of the flap allows the transfer of hair by restoring the presence of a beard  
92 or moustache.

93

## 94 **DISCUSSION**

95 Since its first description, the SMF has offered different potential reconstructive applications  
96 for both extraoral facial reconstruction and intraoral lining. The long vascular pedicle allows  
97 it to be used for coverage of a wide variety of mucosal and skin defects. In particular, the  
98 SMF has been successfully used for the reconstruction of various defects in the lower two-  
99 thirds of the face, such as the preauricular or mental region, cheek, and upper and lower  
100 lips<sup>3</sup>.

101

102

103

104

61

62

63

64

65

**SURGICAL PROCEDURE** (see Supplemental Video in the online version of the article)

## **1 | Flap design**

In the horizontal direction, the limits of safe harvesting are usually determined by the angles of the mandible, beyond which the vascularity of the skin becomes compromised on both the ipsilateral and contralateral sides. The flap was designed to be centered along the ipsilateral anterior belly of the digastric muscle because that is where the cutaneous perforators are located<sup>4,5</sup>.

Age plays a critical role in determining the vertical dimensions of the harvested skin. This is limited by the laxity of the cervical skin which can be used for tension-free primary closure. Furthermore, a pinch test is performed to ensure that the defects will be closable.

## **2 | Vascular anatomy**

The submental artery (SMA) arises as a branch of the facial artery which courses over the superior surface of the submandibular gland. It runs anteriorly on the inferior surface of the mylohyoid muscle with branches to that muscle and to the anterior belly of the digastric muscle, and then terminates in the submental area<sup>4</sup>.

## **3 | Step 1: Landmark identification and flap dissection**

The main landmarks for harvesting the SMF are the facial pedicle, the lower border of the mandible, the marginal mandibular branch of the facial nerve, and the digastric muscle.

129 The skin is incised starting from the inferior boundary of the marked flap. The  
1  
130 subplatysmal dissection is elevated until the intermediate tendon of the digastric  
3  
4  
131 muscle has been identified.  
5  
6

132 A superior incision is made along the mandibular lower border. The marginal  
8  
9  
133 mandibular branch of the facial nerve must be identified to protect it from surgical  
10  
11  
134 injury. The body of the mandible is exposed and represents the superior limit of  
13  
14  
135 dissection. The flap is elevated from the contralateral side towards the side of the  
15  
16  
136 vascular pedicle. The plane of dissection on the contralateral side is over the  
18  
19  
137 submandibular gland fascia and over the contralateral anterior belly of the digastric  
20  
21  
138 muscle. The attachment of the ipsilateral anterior belly of the digastric muscle to the  
22  
23  
139 mandible is identified and sectioned.  
25

140 The intermediate tendon of the ipsilateral digastric muscle is also sectioned to allow  
27  
28  
141 harvesting of the anterior belly to protect the cutaneous perforator supplying the skin.  
30

#### 142 143 **4 | Step 2: Identification of vessels in the pedicle**

144 The facial artery and vein are isolated and ligated distally to the origin of the  
38  
145 submental artery and vein, and as they continue over the mandible.  
40

146 The submental artery and vein are identified coursing in an anteromedial direction  
42  
43  
147 under the flap and over the top of the submandibular gland. The submental pedicle  
45  
46  
148 is progressively isolated throughout its course.  
47  
48

#### 149 150 **5 | Step 3: Dissection of the submental pedicle from the** 52 53 151 **submandibular gland** 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

152 The submandibular gland is dissected, ligating the vessels originating directly from  
1  
153 the submental artery. The gland is removed after ligation and sectioning of the  
3  
4  
154 Wharton duct.  
5  
6

## 155 8 9 156 **6 | Step 4: Mobilization of the pedicle vessels and flap transposition**

11  
12 The SMF is isolated from the ipsilateral facial artery and vein, which are extensively  
13  
14 separated from surrounding tissue. Dissection of the facial artery can be performed  
158 up to the external carotid artery while the submental vein is dissected up to the  
16  
159 internal jugular vein to optimize the arc of rotation of the flap transposed to the  
18  
19 midface region.  
20  
21  
261

22  
23  
24 A check for good patency of the pedicle vessels is performed.  
25  
26

263 The pedicle SMF is set up and is ready to be transposed to cover the skin defect.  
28

29  
164 Closure of the neck is performed.  
30  
31

## 32 33 34 166 **CONCLUSION**

35  
36  
37 This report shows the potential and versatility of the SMF for reconstruction in the lower two-  
38  
39 thirds of the face in elderly male patients. The hair-bearing nature of the flap allows the  
4068 transfer of hair by restoring the presence of a beard or moustache.  
41  
42  
169

43  
44  
4570 The use of the SMF is a safe and feasible procedure for the reconstruction of facial skin  
46  
471 defects and is an excellent alternative to free-flap reconstruction, particularly in elderly  
48  
49 patients with a high comorbidity profile.  
50  
51

52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
575  
58  
59  
176  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

177 **REFERENCES**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1. Martin D, Pascal JF, Baudet J, et al. The submental island flap: a new donor site. Anatomy and clinical applications as a free or pedicled flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1993;92(5):867–873.
2. Saad M, Matteucci P. The pedicled submental flap in facial reconstruction. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2021;103(5):e159–e164. doi:10.1308/rcsann.2020.7075
3. Bertrand B, Honeyman CS, Emparanza A, et al. Twenty-five years of experience with the submental flap in facial reconstruction: evolution and technical refinements following 311 cases in Europe and Africa. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2019;143(6):1747–1758. doi:10.1097/PRS.0000000000005678
4. Urken ML, Cheney ML, Blackwell KE, Harris JR, Hadlock TA, Futran N. Atlas of regional and free flaps for head and neck reconstruction: flap harvest and inseting. 2nd edition. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012, pp. 102–121.
5. Eskander A, Strigenz D, Seim N, Ozer E. Submental artery island flap with simultaneous level I neck dissection. *Head Neck* 2018;40(4):842–845. doi:10.1002/hed.25044