Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Mitochondrially mediated RNA interference, a retrograde signaling system affecting nuclear gene expression

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Plazzi F., Le Cras Y., Formaggioni A., Passamonti M. (2023). Mitochondrially mediated RNA interference, a retrograde signaling system affecting nuclear gene expression. HEREDITY, 2023, 1-6 [10.1038/s41437-023-00650-5].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/952489 since: 2024-05-21

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-023-00650-5

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 Mitochondrially-mediated RNA interference, a retrograde signaling
- 2 system affecting nuclear gene expression

3

- 4 Federico Plazzi^{1*}, Youn Le Cras^{1,2}, Alessandro Formaggioni¹, Marco
- 5 Passamonti¹

6

- ¹ Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences,
- 8 University of Bologna, via Selmi, 3 40126 Bologna (BO)
- ⁹ Present address: Magistère Européen de Génétique, Université
- 10 Paris Cité, 85 Boulevard Saint Germain 75006 Paris
- * Corresponding author: federico.plazzi@unibo.it

12

- 13 Word count for main text (excluding references, tables and figures):
- 14 3,683

Abstract

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

Several functional classes of short noncoding RNAs are involved in manifold regulatory processes in eukaryotes, including, among the best characterized, miRNAs. One of the most intriguing regulatory networks in the eukaryotic cell is the mito-nuclear crosstalk: recently, miRNA-like elements of mitochondrial origin, called smithRNAs, were detected in a bivalve species, Ruditapes philippinarum. These RNA types originate in the organelle, but were shown in vivo to regulate nuclear genes. Since miRNA genes evolve easily de novo with respect to protein coding genes, in the present work we estimate the probability with which a newly arisen smithRNA finds a suitable target in the nuclear transcriptome. Simulations with transcriptomes of twelve bivalve species suggest that this probability is not species-specific and high: one in a hundred million (1×10^{-8}) if five mismatch between the smithRNA and the 3' mRNA are allowed, yet many more are allowed in animals. We propose that novel smithRNAs may easily evolve as exaptations of the pre-existing mitochondrial genome architecture, where suitable secondary structures are common and constitutive. In turn, the ability of evolving novel smithRNAs may have played a pivotal role in mito-nuclear interactions during animal evolution, including the intriguing possibility of acting as speciation triggers.

RNA-silencing pathways

38

Beside well-known ribosomal, messenger, and transfer RNAs, 39 40 many short and long RNA type are known from the cell cytoplasm. Among short noncoding RNAs (sncRNAs), small interfering RNAs 41 and microRNAs play a pivotal role in the regulation of eukaryotic 42 43 cytoplasmic translation, and involve a DICER-related protein and an Argonaute-related protein (Shabalina and Koonin 2008; Ghildiyal and 44 Zamore 2009; Auyeung et al. 2013; Fang and Bartel 2015; 45 Michlewski and Cáceres 2019). DICER proteins are required to 46 process the immature RNA transcript to its functional form (Bernstein 47 et al. 2001; Bartel 2018), while Argonaute proteins load the mature 48 sncRNA and take part in the repression of the target transcripts 49 (Bartel 2009; O'Brien et al. 2018). 50 Primary small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) are generally 51 produced from exogenous double stranded RNAs; conversely, 52 primary microRNAs (miRNAs) are transcribed from specific genomic 53 54 loci (for instance, Ghildiyal et al. 2008; O'Brien et al. 2018; and references therein). However, this distinction is blurred, since siRNAs 55 have been documented arising from selfish elements integrated in 56 the genome (Yang and Kazazian Jr 2006; Chen et al. 2012), hairpins 57 or endogenous double stranded RNAs (Czech et al. 2008; 58 Kawamura et al. 2008; Okamura et al. 2008; Tam et al. 2008; 59

Watanabe et al. 2008; Ghildiyal and Zamore 2009). Moreover, siRNAs involve a complete base pairing with the target mRNA, whereas miRNAs may show more flexible complementarity to their targets. This is the case of metazoans, where a short sequence at the 5' of the mature miRNA, called the "seed", is crucial in the interaction with mRNAs (Shabalina and Koonin 2008; Ghildiyal and Zamore 2009; Bofill-De Ros et al. 2020).

Pathways for RNA interference (RNAi) have deep eukaryotic roots (Shabalina and Koonin 2008). The ancestral forms of RNAi most likely worked as defense mechanisms against viruses and

roots (Shabalina and Koonin 2008). The ancestral forms of RNAi most likely worked as defense mechanisms against viruses and transposons (Li and Ding 2005; Matzke and Birchler 2005). However, alternative hypotheses have been put forward. RNA-mediated gene silencing and suppression of exogenous or selfish elements may have been an exaptation after the evolution of an RNA machinery used for centromere assembly and proper formation of telomeres during eukaryogenesis (Cavalier-Smith 2010). Alternatively, a qualitative system drift has been proposed for RNAi, starting from the prokaryotic antisense RNA gene regulation mechanism (Torri et al. 2022).

It is commonly accepted that the last eukaryotic common ancestor possessed a proto-RNAi mechanism (Cerutti and Casas-Mollano, 2006; Shabalina and Koonin 2008; Moran et al. 2017; Bråte

et al. 2018; Velandia-Huerto et al. 2022); moreover, it is increasingly clear that miRNAs arose multiple times among eukaryotes, exploiting the same ancient RNAi components (Moran et al. 2017; Yazbeck et al. 2017; Bråte et al. 2018; Velandia-Huerto et al. 2022; but see Poole et al. 2014). Conversely, miRNAs and their hairpin precursors have been shown to be highly conserved within eukaryotic supergroups (Hertel and Stadler 2015; Yazbeck et al. 2017; Velandia-Huerto et al. 2022).

In metazoans, hundreds of conserved miRNA families have been identified (for instance, Yazbeck et al. 2017; Velandia-Huerto et al. 2022). If confirmed by the growing knowledge about miRNAs in non-model species, this would mean that the expansion of miRNA families in the kingdom is coincidental with, if not associated to, the diversification of body plans and ultimately the evolution of bilaterians (Hertel and Stadler 2015; Dexheimer and Cochella 2020; Desvignes et al. 2021; Ma et al. 2021). However, multicellular organisms are particularly prone to the evolution of complex regulatory networks by neutral processes, and the evolution of miRNAs in animals may not be adaptive at its roots (Lynch 2007).

To date, there is virtually no eukaryotic cell phenomenon which has not been shown to be regulated by miRNAs, from stress response (Larriba and del Mazo 2016; Riggs et al. 2018) to

biomineralization (van Wijnen et al. 2013; Jiao et al. 2014), from
immunity (Chen et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2018) to development and
aging (Yekta et al. 2008; Kim and Lee 2019).

Retrograde signaling through RNA-silencing: smithRNAs

The mitochondrion-to-nucleus communication is typically referred to as "retrograde signaling" or "Mitochondrial Retrograde Response" (MRR; Ovciarikova et al. 2022), because it was always clear that nucleus ought to regulate mitochondria in the eukaryotic cell, but the reverse regulatory function was not immediately understood. MRR may be mediated by cholesterol, reactive oxygen species and Ca²⁺ at nucleus-mitochondrion contact sites (Connelly et al. 2021). However, there are short RNAs (Maniataki and Mourelatos 2005; Weber-Lofti and Dietrich 2018), long non-coding RNAs (Vendramin et al. 2017; Weber-Lofti and Dietrich 2018) and peptides (Lee et al. 2013; Cohen 2014) of mitochondrial origin that have been proposed to interact with the nucleus.

Recently, it has been shown that sncRNAs with some similarities with miRNAs are involved in MRR as well; they were termed small mitochondrial highly expressed RNAs (smithRNAs) and were originally found in the Manila clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* (Pozzi et al. 2017). Small RNAs were already known from animal mitochondria (e.g., Mercer et al. 2011; Ro et al. 2013; Bottje et al. 2017; Riggs et al. 2018), but they had always been associated to mitochondrial targets (Mercer et al. 2011; Ro et al. 2013; Bottje et al. 2017). Conversely, smithRNAs are transcribed from the

mitochondrial genome, but they regulate nuclear targets by definition.

The complementarity of a small region of the sncRNA with the 3'

UTR of target messengers was shown to be a good predictor of

regulated target genes (Pozzi et al. 2017; Passamonti et al. 2020).

The original *in silico* prediction of smithRNAs was subsequently confirmed by *in vivo* experiments, which also showed that smithRNAs can affect the epigenetic status of the nuclear genome by regulating histone methylation/acetylation (Passamonti et al. 2020). Finally, far from being a bivalve oddity, smithRNAs were suggested to be present in distantly related bilaterians (Passamonti et al. 2020). Notably, putative mitochondrial noncoding RNAs have been also found in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Marker et al. 2002), as well as in other plants (Weber-Lofti and Dietrich 2018).

As most sncRNAs, smithRNAs may well be genetic elements that commonly arise *de novo* during evolution (Velandia-Huerto et al. 2022; and references therein). Duplication, reshuffling, transposition, retrotransposition, chimeric phenomena account for most new genes (Andersson et al. 2015; Schlotterer 2015; VanKuren and Long 2018; Zhao et al. 2021), but small noncoding loci like miRNAs may represent the most common source of *de novo* genes (Lu et al. 2008b; Lyu et al. 2014; Zhao et al. 2021). Most miRNAs arising *de novo* are probably functionless (Lu et al. 2008b; Berezikov et al.

2010) or even dead-on-arrival (Petrov et al. 1996; Petrov and Hartl 1998), but many may become adaptive miRNAs (Lu et al. 2008a; Mohammed et al. 2014; Lyu et al. 2014; Mohammed et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2021).

Therefore, it can be stated that (i) at least some smithRNAs are miRNA-like molecules, structurally simple and requiring flexible base pairing to nuclear targets; (ii) at least some smithRNAs exert significant and broad-scope effects on the associated nuclear genome; (iii) smithRNAs may be widespread among animals and may have been present in the metazoan common ancestor; (iv) miRNA-like elements can easily evolve *de novo*, be conserved as adaptive traits, or be swept away by natural selection. Therefore, a fundamental evolutionary question arises: how common is the emergence of new smithRNAs and of novel smithRNA functions?

Target availability

As stated, at least some smithRNAs behave as animal miRNAs and require only partial pairing with 3' UTRs of target nuclear messengers. Namely, the extended seed region required to basepair and regulate the target encompasses nucleotides 1-8 of the mature miRNA molecule (Bartel 2009; McGeary et al. 2019).

Although cases of alternative and noncanonical pairing sites are known (see Tan et al. 2014; Bartel 2018; McGeary et al. 2019; Bofill-De Ros et al. 2020; Rissland 2020; Komatsu et al. 2023; and reference therein), a handful of nucleotides are anyway involved in target regulation.

To provide a rough estimate of the probability of a random sequence to behave as a miRNA-like regulatory element for a transcript within the same organism, we generated 189,339,429 random pri-miRNA-like sequences using custom-tailored Python scripts. The pri-miRNA is the canonical primary transcript of a miRNA element: it will be cleaved by the protein DROSHA within the nucleus at specific sites associated to its secondary structure, producing the pre-miRNA. As described above, the pre-miRNA will be cleaved by DICER in the cytoplasm to produce the functional molecule (Ghildiyal and Zamore 2009; García-López et al. 2013; Ha and Kim 2014; Bartel 2018; and reference therein). Sequences were randomly

generated following the canonical pri-miRNA structure detailed in Bartel (2018): all sequences were then matured *in silico*, respecting the sites of DROSHA and DICER cleavage (see Ha and Kim 2014; Bartel 2018).

Since functional smithRNAs have been demonstrated *in vivo* in the Manila clam only (Passamonti et al. 2020), we assembled transcriptomes from 12 bivalve species for which transcriptome data are available on GenBank: *Ruditapes decussatus* (SRR527757); *Arctica islandica* (SRR1559269); *Galeomma turtoni* (SRR1560274); *Sphaerium nucleus* (SRR1561723); *Laternula elliptica* (SRR1687084); *Lyonsia floridana* (SRR1560310); *Margaritifera margaritifera* (SRR1560312); *Arca noae* (SRR1559268); *Mytilus edulis* (SRR1560431); *Placopecten magellanicus* (SRR1560445); *Solemya velum* (SRR330465); *Yoldia eightsii* (SRR3205073).

Transcriptomes were curated using the software FastQC (Andrews 2010), Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014), BUSCO (Simão et al. 2015), and Trinity (Grabherr et al. 2011; Haas et al. 2013). The software Kraken2 (Wood et al. 2019) was used to classify potential contaminants of human and prokaryotic origin, using a custom-assembled database of prokaryotic sequences updated to June 2019. Peptide detection on noisy matured sequences was carried out with FrameDP (Gouzy et al. 2009), and 3' UTRs were predicted

using ExUTR (Huang and Teeling 2017) and the invertebrate dataset of 3' UTRs.

In silico-matured RNAs were mapped onto assembled transcriptomes using Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009), using the minus strand of the Bowtie index and requiring at least a perfect match between the 3' UTR and nucleotides 2-8 of the simulated miRNA-like element, thus conservatively restricting the analysis to "canonical" targeting only. Scripts, commands, and settings are available by YLC and AF upon request.

The number of simulated miRNA-like elements able to find targets in the transcriptome were normalized over the number of k-mers (k = 22 nucleotides) available in the 3' UTRs of the focal transcriptome: the result was divided by 189,339,429 (the number of random pri-miRNAs) to get an estimate of the probability for a single miRNA-like element to find a suitable target in a given k-mer.

The probability for a random pri-miRNA-like sequence to result in a mature miRNA having a target on a transcriptome is exponentially linked to the number of mismatches outside the seed region, irrespective of the species the transcriptome is obtained from (Fig. 1). Specifically, this probability is approximately one in a hundred million (1×10⁻⁸) if exactly five mismatches between the

mature miRNA-like molecule and a 3' UTR are considered (provided that the seed basepairs perfectly).

Recall the large amount of replicating mitochondrial genomes in the germline, and the huge number of individuals and populations of these species, one in a hundred million should be regarded as a high chance for a *de novo-*arisen mitochondrial miRNA-like element to find a regulative target in the nuclear transcriptome of the same cell. Notably, this probability does not change across species, which means that it is independent from nuclear transcriptome features.

eptamer seed pairing, but other types of seed pairing are conceivable, and, thus, this probability is largely underestimated. Moreover, more than five mismatches are normally allowed in miRNA-driven regulation in animals (Shabalina and Koonin 2008; Ghildiyal and Zamore 2009; Bofill-De Ros et al. 2020), thus again increasing the chances for a *de novo* mitochondrial miRNA-like element, since the decimal logarithm of probability is positively correlated with mismatches outside the seed (*r* = +0.9858; Fig. 1).

If this trend will be confirmed outside bivalves, it will be tempting to conclude that the DNA chemistry and nucleotide composition of eukaryotes, as well as constraints on pri-miRNA structures, do result in a significant probability that a miRNA-like

- element finds a suitable nuclear target, after having originated merely
- by chance and random mutations on a mitochondrial genome.

Mitochondrial secondary structures are easily co-opted to deliver new functions

Obviously, the probability of a simulated sequence to match a 3' UTR is not enough to state that smithRNA commonly arise *de novo*. A smithRNA is a sncRNA associated to a specific biogenesis pathway, which requires molecular signals for processing enzymes, such as secondary structures.

In the traditional view, the animal mitochondrial genome is believed to be small and compact, containing a conserved set of protein-coding genes associated with the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) pathway (Boore 1999). However, recent research has shown that this may not always be the case, challenging the notion of ubiquitous features in metazoan mitochondrial genomics (Lavrov et al. 2013; Breton et al. 2014; Formaggioni et al. 2021). Actually, animal mitochondrial genomes are highly variable for what concerns genome architecture (Lavrov and Pett 2016); genome size (Pu et al. 2019; Hemmi et al. 2020); use of different genetic codes (Lavrov et al. 2013; Li et al. 2018); gene arrangement (Trindade Rosa et al. 2017; Pu et al. 2019; Hemmi et al. 2020; Monnens et al. 2020; Ghiselli et al. 2021; Kutyumov et al. 2021); Doubly Uniparental Inheritance (DUI; Passamonti and Ghiselli 2009; Zouros and Rodakis 2019;

Passamonti and Plazzi 2020); and post-transcriptional regulation (Osigus et al. 2017; Schuster et al. 2017).

The finetuning of some of these mechanisms (for instance, DUI, post-transcriptional regulation) and the origin of these features involves a complex crosstalk with nuclear genomes, as well as the availability of regulatory sequences and signals along the mitochondrial genome (e.g., Ghiselli et al. 2013, 2021). For example, since mitochondrial DNA is normally transcribed as a single polycistron (e.g., Hillen et al. 2018), structural signals ought to be present to cleave single transcripts, which are normally found between protein coding genes as tRNA genes or short noncoding regions with stem-and-loop secondary structures (e.g., Plazzi et al. 2013; Bettinazzi et al. 2016).

Therefore, mitochondrial genomics itself requires multiple secondary structures to regulate the organellar functions. Moreover, many of these structural sites are processing and cleavage signals, as is the case for protein coding gene spacers, that are excised to separate single transcripts. These RNA hairpins are normally processed and degraded as part of the normal cellular turnover of macromolecules.

However, it is easy to speculate that a hairpin might survive being directly co-opted as pre-miRNA. It is sufficient that its

secondary structure can be recognized by some DICER ortholog: hairpin structure that are normally found in cleavage signals are indeed very similar to hairpin structure normally shown by premiRNAs. In that case, the RNA would be cleaved and a miRNA would be produced skipping the pri-miRNA/DROSHA stage – and will find a suitable nuclear target one in a hundred million times, and probably more (as per our simulation above). Other examples of DROSHA-independent biogenesis of miRNAs are indeed known (Ruby et al. 2007; Babiarz et al. 2008; O'Brien et al. 2018).

Obviously, a hairpin excised within the mitochondrion must be delivered to the cytoplasm prior to the final, and in this case only, maturation step driven by DICER. In fact, many studies found mitochondrial RNA outside the source organelle, which accounts for the possibility for RNA molecules to be exported. For example, several tRNAs of mitochondrial origin were found in the cytoplasm of human cells, even in association with Ago2, an Argonaute protein included in the formation of the functional complex involved in RNA silencing (Maniataki and Mourelatos 2005). Mitochondrially-encoded RNAs can bind Ago2 as well (Pozzi and Dowling 2022), and long non-coding RNAs from the mitochondrion were also reported within the nucleus (Landerer et al. 2011; Rackham et al. 2011; Vendramin et al. 2017). Interestingly, mitochondria of *R. philippinarum* have

been observed while releasing their content in the cytoplasm (Milani et al. 2011), which would be a straightforward mechanism for smithRNAs to enter cytoplasm, at least in this species.

RNAi driven by mitochondria might be a remnant of their origin as free-living, aerobic prokaryotes. Notably, the intracellular pathogen *Mycobacterium marinum* synthetize small, antisense regulatory RNAs which are exported to the host cell and processed as if they were miRNAs (Furuse et al. 2014) and, generally speaking, many bacterial small RNAs show complex secondary structures (Wagner and Simons 1994). Indeed, a connection between small antisense regulatory RNAs in prokaryotes and the cytoplasmic proto-RNAi system in eukaryotes has been suggested (Torri et al. 2022). In sum, we propose that smithRNAs arise as an exaptation at the molecular level of secondary structures that were always present in mitochondrial genomes, possibly since their origin as endosymbionts. Moreover, we also predict that this phenomenon might be more common than thought, given the similar selective constraints on hairpins.

Retrograde RNAi and mitonuclear co-adaptation

Mitochondrial and nuclear genomes must coevolve to provide an efficient energy production (Hill 2019). The electron transport system of mitochondria (ETS), to which the efficiency of energy production through OXPHOS is strictly linked, is delivered by a complex assembly of nuclear and mitochondrial subunits that are forced to function together (Rand et al. 2004). An effective OXPHOS is achieved by three different mechanisms: (i) protein-protein interaction forming the ETS complexes (Phillips et al. 2010); (ii) protein-RNA/DNA interactions during transcription and translation of mitochondrial genes (Taanmann 1999; D'Souza and Minczuck 2018); and (iii) protein-DNA interaction in the replication of the mitochondrial genome (Clayton 2000).

In fact, speciation soon started to be discussed in the context of mito-nuclear coadaptation, as a mechanism that may easily evolve mito-nuclear incompatibilities (Dowling et al 2008; Gershoni et al. 2009; Burton and Barreto 2012). Examples of these mitonuclear incompatibilities are for instance available for *Drosophila* and *Tigriopus* copepods (see Hill 2019; and references therein).

Although the abovementioned system may suggest a strict need of mito-nuclear coadaptation, other systems point in the opposite direction. In bivalves with DUI, two mitochondrial genomes

are transmitted to offspring in a sex-linked way (Passamonti and Ghiselli 2009; Zouros and Rodakis 2019; Passamonti and Plazzi 2020) and there is evidence of a functional assembly of the ETS with two, highly divergent sets of mitochondrial proteins. Therefore, the correct protein-protein interaction forming the ETS complexes is less strict than previously thought, at least in these bivalve mollusks.

The existence of mitochondrially mediated RNAi provides a fourth mechanism for the evolution of mito-nuclear incompatibilities, which can arise much faster than the other three. When a set of smithRNAs is adapted to regulate nuclear gene expression in a species, the system could easily produce genetic barriers with other species having a differently adapted smithRNA subset. To our knowledge, there is currently no study on this issue, but we strongly suggest that the cases of mito-nuclear incompatibilities may be reconsidered in light of the role of the mitochondrial genome in regulating nuclear gene expression. In this conception, smithRNAs (and maybe other MRR mechanisms) may represent classical Dobzhansky-Muller speciation triggers (Dobzhansky 1937; Muller 1942), which lead to the evolution of postzygotic genetic barriers.

Concluding remarks

Notwithstanding their recent discovery (Pozzi et al. 2017), it is likely that smithRNAs are not a peculiar feature of a single bivalve species: they are probably widespread among metazoans (Passamonti et al. 2020). This does not necessarily imply that they are phylogenetically related, nor that the origin of smithRNAs is a single event in evolutionary history. The peculiar features of mitochondrial genomes involve the possibility that smithRNAs spontaneously arose multiple times from the secondary structure repertoire that is normally available along the mitochondrial genome.

Therefore, it is important to characterize the smithRNA toolbox in as many animal species as possible, and functional studies are required to prove that smithRNAs are regulatory elements *in vivo*. This will increase the list of functions smithRNAs can exert in the cell; moreover, light will be shed on the evolutionary conservation of smithRNAs and on their multiple origin through molecular exaptation, being the two things not mutually exclusive. Finally, if smithRNA precursors (or at least some of them) arise as exaptation of ancient legacies from free living bacteria, smithRNAs might be strictly connected with early eukaryogenesis.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Italian Ministry of University and Research PRIN 2020 (2020BE2BC3) funded to MP. YLC was supported by EUR G.E.N.E. (reference #ANR-17-EURE-0013) and is part of the Université Paris Cité (IdEx #ANR-18-IDEX-0001), funded by the French Government through its "Investments for the Future" program. We are grateful to people at the ESEB 2022 symposium "Beyond transcription: the role of post-transcriptional gene regulation in adaptation and evolution" for sharing ideas and suggestions. We also want to thank three anonymous reviewers, whose comments and criticism greatly improved the original manuscript.

Author contribution statement

FP and MP conceived and supervised the study; YLC and AF

analyzed data; FP and MP drafted the original manuscript; all authors

read and approved the final manuscript.

- 422 Conflict of Interest
- The authors declare no conflict of interest.

425	Data archiving
426	All data used for the present study are publicly available in
427	GenBank.
428	

129	References
130	Andersson DI, Jerlstrom-Hultqvist J, Nasvall J (2015)
131	Evolution of New Functions De Novo and from Preexisting Genes.
132	Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7:a017996
133	Andrews S (2010) FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High
134	Throughput Sequence Data.
135	http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
136	Auyeung VC, Ulitsky I, McGeary SE, Bartel DP (2013) Beyond
137	Secondary Structure: Primary-Sequence Determinants License Pri-
138	miRNA Hairpins for Processing. Cell 152:844-858
139	Babiarz JE, Ruby JG, Wang Y, Bartel DP, Blelloch R (2008)
140	Mouse ES cells express endogenous shRNAs, siRNAs, and other
141	Microprocessor-independent, Dicer-dependent small RNAs. Genes
142	Dev 22:2773-2785
143	Bartel DP (2009) MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory
144	functions. Cell 136:215-233
145	Bartel DP (2018) Metazoan MicroRNAs. Cell 173:20-51
146	Berezikov E, Liu N, Flynt AS, Hodges E, Rooks M, Hannon
147	GJ, et al. (2010) Evolutionary flux of canonical microRNAs and
148	mirtrons in Drosophila. Nat Genet 42:6-9.

449	Bernstein E, Caudy AA, Hammond SM, Hannon GJ (2001)
450	Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA
451	interference. Nature 409:363-366
452	Bettinazzi S, Plazzi F, Passamonti M (2016) The Complete
453	Female- and Male-Transmitted Mitochondrial Genome of Meretrix
454	lamarckii. PLoS ONE 11:e0153631
455	Bofill-De Ros X, Yang A, Gu S (2020) IsomiRs: Expanding the
456	miRNA repression toolbox beyond the seed. Biochim Biophys Acta
457	Gene Regul Mech 1863:194373
458	Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible
459	trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-2120
460	Boore JL (1999) Animal mitochondrial genomes. Nucleic Acids
461	Res 27:1767-1780
462	Bottje WG, Khatri B, Shouse SA, Seo D, Mallmann B,
463	Orlowski SK, et al. (2017) Identification and differential abundance of
464	mitochondrial genome encoding small RNAs (mitosRNA) in breast
465	muscles of modern broilers and unselected chicken breed. Front
466	Physiol 8:816
467	Bråte J, Neumann RS, Fromm B, Haraldsen AAB, Tarver JE,
468	Suga H, et al. (2018) Unicellular Origin of the Animal MicroRNA
469	Machinery. Curr Biol 28:3288-3295.e5

470	Breton S, Milani L, Ghiselli F, Guerra D, Stewart DT,
471	Passamonti M (2014) A resourceful genome: updating the functional
472	repertoire and evolutionary role of animal mitochondrial DNAs.
473	Trends Genet 30:555-564
474	Burton RS, Barreto FS (2012) A disproportionate role for
475	mtDNA in Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities? Mol Ecol 21:4942-
476	4957
477	Cavalier-Smith, T (2010) Origin of the cell nucleus, mitosis and
478	sex: roles of intracellular coevolution. Biol Direct 5:7
479	Cerutti H, Casas-Mollano JA (2006) On the origin and
480	functions of RNA-mediated silencing: from protists to man. Curr
481	Genet 50:81-99
482	Chen CZ, Schaffert S, Fragoso R, Loh C (2013) Regulation of
483	immune responses and tolerance: the microRNA perspective.
484	Immunol Rev 253:112-128
485	Chen L, Dahlstrom JE, Lee S-H, Rangasamy D (2012)
486	Naturally occurring endo-siRNA silences LINE-1 retrotransposons in
487	human cells through DNA methylation. Epigenetics 7:758-771
488	Clayton DA (2000) Transcription and replication of
489	mitochondrial DNA Human Reprod 15:11-17

490	Cohen P (2014) New role for the mitochondrial peptide
491	humanin: protective agent against chemotherapy-induced side
492	effects. J Natl Cancer Inst 106:dju006
493	Connelly SV, Manzella-Lapeira J, Levine ZC, Brzostowski J,
494	Krymskaya L, Rahman RS, et al. (2021) Restructured Mitochondrial-
495	Nuclear Interaction in <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> Dormancy and
496	Persister Survival after Artemisinin Exposure. mBio 12:e00753-21
497	Czech B, Malone CD, Zhou R, Stark A, Schlingeheyde C, Dus
498	M, et al. (2008) An endogenous small interfering RNA pathway in
499	Drosophila. Nature 453:798-802
500	D'Souza AR, Minczuck M (2018) Mitochondrial transcription
501	and translation: overview. Ess Biochem 62:309-320
502	Desvignes T, Sydes J, Montfort J, Bobe J, Postlethwait JH
503	(2021) Evolution after Whole-Genome Duplication: Teleost
504	MicroRNAs. Mol Biol Evol 38:3308-3331
505	Dexheimer PJ, Cochella L (2020) MicroRNAs: From
506	Mechanism to Organism. Front Cell Dev Biol 8:409
507	Dobzhansky T (1937) Genetics and the Origin of Species.
508	Columbia University Press, New York
509	Dowling DK, Friberg U, Lindell J (2008) Evolutionary
510	implication of non-neutral mitochondrial genetic variation. Trends
511	Fcol Evol 23:546-554

512	Fang W, Bartel DP (2015) The Menu of Features that Define
513	Primary MicroRNAs and Enable De Novo Design of MicroRNA
514	Genes. Molecular Cell 60:131-145
515	Formaggioni A, Luchetti A, Plazzi F (2021) Mitochondrial
516	Genomic Landscape: A Portrait of the Mitochondrial Genome 40
517	Years after the First Complete Sequence. Life 11:663
518	Furuse Y, Finethy R, Saka HA, Xet-Mull AM, Sisk DM, Smith
519	KL, et al. (2014) Search for microRNAs expressed by intracellular
520	bacterial pathogens in infected mammalian cells. PLoS One
521	9:e106434
522	García-López J, Brieño-Enríquez MA, del Mazo J (2013)
523	MicroRNA biogenesis and variability. BioMol Concepts 4:367-380
524	Gershoni M, Templeton AR, Mishmar D (2009) Mitochondrial
525	bioenergetics as a major motive force of speciation. Bioessays
526	31:642-650
527	Ghildiyal M, Seitz H, Horwich MD, Li C, Du T, Lee S, et al.
528	(2008) Endogenous siRNAs derived from transposons and mRNAs in
529	Drosophila somatic cells. Science 320:1077-1081
530	Ghildiyal M, Zamore PD (2009) Small silencing RNAs: an
531	expanding universe. Nat Rev Genet 10:94-108

532	Ghiselli F, Gomes-dos-Santos A, Adema CM, Lopes-Lima M,
533	Sharbrough J, Boore JL (2021) Molluscan mitochondrial genomes
534	break the rules. Phil Trans R Soc B 376:20200159
535	Ghiselli F, Milani L, Guerra D, Chang PL, Breton S, Nuzhdin
536	SV, et al. (2013) Structure, Transcription, and Variability of Metazoan
537	Mitochondrial Genome: Perspectives from an Unusual Mitochondrial
538	Inheritance System. Genome Biol Evol 5:1535-1554
539	Gouzy J, Carrere S, Schiex T (2009) FrameDP: sensitive
540	peptide detection on noisy matured sequences. Bioinformatics
541	25:670-671
542	Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA,
543	Amit I, et al. (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-
544	seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol 29:644-652
545	Ha M, Kim VN (2014) Regulation of microRNA biogenesis. Nat
546	Rev Mol Cell Biol 15:509-524
547	Haas BJ, Papanicolaou A, Yassour M, Grabherr M, Blood PD,
548	Bowden J, et al. (2013) De novo transcript sequence reconstruction
549	from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and
550	analysis. Nat Protoc 8:1494-1512
551	Hemmi K, Kakehashi R, Kambayashi C, Du Preez L, Minter L,
552	Furuno N, et al. (2020) Exceptional Enlargement of the Mitochondrial

553	Genome Results from Distinct Causes in Different Rain Frogs
554	(Anura: Brevicipitidae: <i>Breviceps</i>). Int J Genomics 2020:6540343
555	Hertel J, Stadler PF (2015) The Expansion of Animal
556	MicroRNA Families Revisited. Life (Basel) 5:905-920
557	Hill GE (2019) Mitonuclear ecology. Oxford Series in Ecology
558	and Evolution. Oxford University Press, Oxford
559	Hillen HS, Temiakov D, Cramer P (2018) Structural basis of
560	mitochondrial transcription. Nat Struct Mol Biol 25:754-765
561	Huang Z, Teeling EC (2017) ExUTR: a novel pipeline for
562	large-scale prediction of 3'-UTR sequences from NGS data. BMC
563	Genomics 18:847
564	Jiao Y, Zheng Z, Du X, Wang Q, Huang R, Deng Y, et al.
565	(2014) Identification and Characterization of MicroRNAs in Pearl
566	Oyster <i>Pinctada martensii</i> by Solexa Deep Sequencing. Mar
567	Biotechnol 16:54-62
568	Kawamura Y, Saito K, Kin T, Ono Y, Asai K, Sunohara T, et al
569	(2008) Drosophila endogenous small RNAs bind to Argonaute 2 in
570	somatic cells. Nature 453:793-797
571	Kim SS, Lee S-JV (2019) Non-coding RNAs in Caenorhabditis
572	elegans aging. Mol Cells 42:379-385
573	Komatsu S, Kitai H, Suzuki HI (2023) Network Regulation of
574	microRNA Biogenesis and Target Interaction. Cells 12:306

575	Kutyumov VA, Predeus AV, Starunov VV, Maltseva AL,
576	Ostrovsky AN (2021) Mitochondrial gene order of the freshwater
577	bryozoan Cristatella mucedo retains ancestral lophotrochozoan
578	features. Mitochondrion 59:96-104
579	Landerer E, Villegas J, Burzio VA, Oliveira L, Villota C, Lopez
580	C, et al. (2011) Nuclear localization of the mitochondrial ncRNAs in
581	normal and cancer cells. Cell Oncol (Dordr) 34:297-305
582	Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Ultrafast
583	and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the
584	human genome. Genome Biol 10:R25
585	Larriba E, del Mazo J (2016) Role of non-coding RNAs in the
586	transgenerational epigenetic transmission of the effects of
587	reprotoxicants. Int J Mol Sci 17:452
588	Lavrov D, Pett W (2016) Animal Mitochondrial DNA as We Do
589	Not Know It: mt-Genome Organization and Evolution in Nonbilaterian
590	Lineages. Genome Biol Evol 8:2896-2913
591	Lavrov DV, Pett W, Voigt O, Wörheide G, Forget L, Lang BF,
592	et al. (2013) Mitochondrial DNA of Clathrina clathrus (Calcarea,
593	Calcinea): six linear chromosomes, fragmented rRNAs, tRNA editing,
594	and a novel genetic code. Mol Biol Evol 30:865-880

595	Lee C, Yen K, Cohen P (2013) Humanin: a harbinger of
596	mitochondrial-derived peptides? Trends Endocrinol Metab 24:222-
597	228
598	Li HW, Ding SW (2005) Antiviral silencing in animals. FEBS
599	Lett 579:5965-5973
600	Li Y, Kocot KM, Tassia MG, Cannon JT, Bernt M, Halanych
601	KM (2018) Mitogenomics Reveals a Novel Genetic Code in
602	Hemichordata. Genome Biol Evol 11:29-40
603	Lu J, Fu Y, Kumar S, Shen Y, Zeng K, Xu A, et al. (2008a)
604	Adaptive evolution of newly emerged micro-RNA genes in
605	Drosophila. Mol Biol Evol 25:929-938
606	Lu J, Shen Y, Wu Q, Kumar S, He B, Shi S, et al. (2008b) The
607	birth and death of microRNA genes in Drosophila. Nat Genet 40:351-
608	355
609	Lynch M (2007) The evolution of genetic networks by non-
610	adaptive processes. Nat Rev Genet 8:803-813
611	Lyu Y, Shen Y, Li H, Chen Y, Guo L, Zhao Y, et al. (2014)
612	New MicroRNAs in <i>Drosophila</i> —Birth, Death and Cycles of Adaptive
613	Evolution. PLoS Genet 10:e1004096
614	Ma X, He K, Shi Z, Li M, Li F, Chen X-X (2021) Large-Scale
615	Annotation and Evolution Analysis of MiRNA in Insects. Genome Biol
616	Fyol 13:evah083

617	Maniataki E, Mourelatos Z (2005) Human mitochondrial
618	tRNAMet is exported to the cytoplasm and associates with the
619	Argonaute 2 protein. RNA 11:849-852
620	Marker C, Zemann A, Terhörst T, Kiefmann M, Kastenmayer
621	JP, Green P, et al. (2002) Experimental RNomics: identification of
622	140 candidates for small non-messenger RNAs in the plant
623	Arabidopsis thaliana. Curr Biol 12:2002-2013
624	Matzke MA, Birchler JA (2005) RNAi-mediated pathways in
625	the nucleus. Nat Rev Genet 6:24-35
626	McGeary SE, Lin KS, Shi CY, Pham TM, Bisaria N, Kelley
627	GM, et al. (2019) The biochemical basis of microRNA targeting
628	efficacy. Science 366:eaav1741
629	Mercer TR, Neph S, Dinger ME, Crawford J, Smith MA,
630	Shearwood A-MJ, et al. (2011) The human mitochondrial
631	transcriptome. Cell 146:645-658
632	Michlewski G, Cáceres JF (2019) Post-transcriptional control
633	of miRNA biogenesis. RNA 25:1-16
634	Milani L, Ghiselli F, Maurizii MG, Passamonti M (2011) Doubly
635	uniparental inheritance of mitochondria as a model system for
636	studying germ line formation. PLoS One 6:e28194

637	Mohammed J, Bortolamiol-Becet D, Flynt AS, Gronau I, Siepel
538	A, Lai EC (2014) Adaptive evolution of testis-specific, recently
639	evolved, clustered miRNAs in <i>Drosophila</i> . RNA 20:1195-1209
640	Mohammed J, Flynt AS, Panzarino AM, Mondal MMH, DeCruz
641	M, Siepel A, et al. (2018) Deep experimental profiling of microRNA
642	diversity, deployment, and evolution across the <i>Drosophila</i> genus.
643	Genome Res 28:52-65
644	Monnens M, Thijs S, Briscoe AG, Clark M, Frost EJ,
645	Littlewood DTJ, et al. (2020) The first mitochondrial genomes of
646	endosymbiotic rhabdocoels illustrate evolutionary relaxation of atp8
647	and genome plasticity in flatworms. Int J Biol Macromol 162:454-469
548	Moran Y, Agron M, Praher D, Technau U (2017) The
549	evolutionary origin of plant and animal microRNAs. Nat Ecol Evol
650	1:27
651	Muller HJ (1942) Isolating mechanisms, evolution, and
652	temperature. Biol Symp 6:71-125
653	O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, Peng C (2018) Overview of
654	MicroRNA Biogenesis, Mechanisms of Actions, and Circulation. Front
655	Endocrinol 9:402
656	Okamura K, Chung W-J, Ruby JG, Guo H, Bartel DP, Lai EC
657	(2008) The <i>Drosophila</i> hairpin RNA pathway generates endogenous
558	short interfering RNAs. Nature 453:803-806

659	Osigus H-J, Eitel M, Schierwater B (2017) Deep RNA
660	sequencing reveals the smallest known mitochondrial micro exon in
661	animals: The placozoan cox1 single base pair exon. PLoS ONE
662	12:e0177959
663	Ovciarikova J, Shikha S, Sheiner L (2022) Nuclear
664	Interactions: A Spotlight on Nuclear Mitochondrial Membrane Contact
665	Sites. Contact 5:1-7
666	Passamonti M, Calderone M, Delpero M, Plazzi F (2020)
667	Clues of in vivo nuclear gene regulation by mitochondrial short non-
668	coding RNAs. Sci Rep 10:8219
669	Passamonti M, Ghiselli F (2009) Doubly Uniparental
670	Inheritance: two mitochondrial genomes, one precious model for
671	organelle DNA inheritance and evolution. DNA Cell Biol 28:79-89
672	Passamonti M, Plazzi F (2020) Doubly Uniparental Inheritance
673	and beyond: The contribution of the Manila clam Ruditapes
674	philippinarum. J Zool Syst Evol Res 58:529-540
675	Petrov DA, Hartl DL (1998) High Rate of DNA Loss in the
676	Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila virilis Species Groups. Mol
677	Biol Evol 15:293-302
678	Petrov DA, Lozovskaya ER, Hartl DL (1996) High intrinsic rate
679	of DNA loss in <i>Drosophila</i> . Nature 384:346-349

680	Phillips D, Reilley MJ, Aponte AM, Wang G, Boja E, Gucek M,
681	et al. (2010) Stoichiometry of STAT3 and mitochondrial proteins:
682	implications for the regulation of oxidative phosphorylation by protein
683	protein interactions. J Biol Chem 285:23532-23536
684	Plazzi F, Ribani A, Passamonti M (2013) The complete
685	mitochondrial genome of Solemya velum (Mollusca: Bivalvia) and its
686	relationships with Conchifera. BMC Genomics 14:409
687	Poole CB, Gu W, Kumar S, Jin J, Davis PJ, Bauche D, et al.
688	(2014) Diversity and expression of microRNAs in the filarial parasite,
689	Brugia malayi. PLoS One 9:e96498
690	Pozzi A, Dowling DK (2022) New Insights into Mitochondrial-
691	Nuclear Interactions Revealed through Analysis of Small RNAs.
692	Genome Biol Evol 14:evac023
693	Pozzi A, Plazzi F, Milani L, Ghiselli F, Passamonti M (2017)
694	SmithRNAs: could mitochondria "bend" nuclear regulation? Mol Biol
695	Evol 34:1960-1973
696	Pu L, Liu H, Wang G, Li B, Xia G, Shen M, et al. (2019)
697	Complete mitochondrial genome of the cockle Anadara antiquata
698	(Linnaeus, 1758). Mitochondrial DNA Part B 4:2293-2294
699	Rackham O, Shearwood AM, Mercer TR, Davies SM, Mattick
700	JS. Filipovska A (2011) Long noncoding RNAs are generated from

the mitochondrial genome and regulated by nuclear-encoded 701 proteins. RNA 17:2085-2093 702 Rand DM, Hanley RA, Fry AJ (2004) Cytonuclear coevolution: 703 704 the genomics of cooperation. Trends Ecol Evol 19:645-653 Riggs CL, Summers A, Warren DE, Nilsson GE, Lefevre S, 705 Dowd WW, et al. (2018) Small non-coding RNA expression and 706 707 vertebrate anoxia tolerance. Front Genet 9:230 708 Rissland OS (2020) Big Insights into Small RNAs. 709 Biochemistry 59:1551-1552 Ro S, Ma H-Y, Park C, Ortogero N, Song R, Hennig GW, et al. 710 (2013) The mitochondrial genome encodes abundant small 711 noncoding RNAs. Cell Res 23:759-774 712 Ruby JG, Jan CH, Bartel DP (2007) Intronic microRNA 713 precursors that bypass Drosha processing. Nature 448:83-86 714 Schlotterer C (2015) Genes from scratch – the evolutionary 715 fate of de novo genes. Trends Genet 31:215-219 716 717 Schuster A, Lopez JV, Becking LE, Kelly M, Pomponi SA, 718 Wörheide G, et al. (2017) Evolution of group I introns in Porifera: new evidence for intron mobility and implications for DNA barcoding. BMC 719 720 Evol Biol 17:82 Shabalina SA, Koonin EV (2008) Origins and evolution of 721 eukaryotic RNA interference. Trends Ecol Evol 23:P578-P587 722

723	Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV,
724	Zdobnov EM (2015) BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and
725	annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics
726	31:3210-3212
727	Taanmann JW (1999) The mitochondrial genome: structure,
728	transcription, translation and replication. Biochim Biphys Acta (BBA)
729	- Bioenergetics 1410:103-123
730	Tam OH, Aravin AA, Stein P, Girard A, Murchison EP,
731	Cheloufi S, et al. (2008) Pseudogene-derived small interfering RNAs
732	regulate gene expression in mouse oocytes. Nature 453:534-538
733	Tan GC, Chan E, Molnar A, Sarkar R, Alexieva D, Isa IM, et
734	al. (2014) 5' isomiR variation is of functional and evolutionary
735	importance. Nucleic Acids Res 42:9424-9435
736	Torri A, Jaeger J, Pradeu T, Saleh M-C (2022) The origin of
737	RNA interference: Adaptive or neutral evolution? PLoS Biol
738	20:e3001715
739	Trindade Rosa M, Oliveira DS, Loreto ELS (2017)
740	Characterization of the first mitochondrial genome of a catenulid
741	flatworm: Stenostomum leucops (Platyhelminthes). J Zool Syst Evol
742	Res 55:98-105
743	van Wijnen AJ, van de Peppel J, van Leeuwen JP, Lian JB,
744	Stein GS, Westendorf JJ, et al. (2013) MicroRNA functions in

osteogenesis and dysfunctions in osteoporosis. Curr Osteoporos 745 Rep 11:72-82 746 VanKuren NW, Long M (2018) Gene duplicates resolving 747 748 sexual conflict rapidly evolved essential gametogenesis functions. Nat Ecol Evol 2:705-712 749 750 Velandia-Huerto CA, Yazbeck AM, Schor J, Stadler PF (2022) Evolution and Phylogeny of MicroRNAs - Protocols, Pitfalls, and 751 Problems. Methods Mol Biol 2257:211-233 752 753 Vendramin R, Marine JC, Leucci E (2017) Non-coding RNAs: the dark side of nuclear-mitochondrial communication. EMBO J 754 36:1123-1133 755 756 Wagner EG, Simons RW (1994) Antisense RNA control in bacteria, phages, and plasmids. Annu Rev Microbiol 48:713-742 757 Wang M, Jiang S, Wu W, Yu F, Chang W, Li P, et al. (2018) 758 Non-coding RNAs function as immune regulators in teleost fish. Front 759 760 Immunol 9:2801 761 Watanabe T, Totoki Y, Toyoda A, Kaneda M, Kuramochi-762 Miyagawa S, Obata Y, et al. (2008) Endogenous siRNAs from naturally formed dsRNAs regulate transcripts in mouse oocytes. 763 764 Nature 453:539-543

Weber-Lotfi F, Dietrich A (2018) Intercompartment RNA

Trafficking in Mitochondrial Function and Communication. In: Cruz-

765

767	Reyes J, Gray M (eds) RNA Metabolism in Mitochondria. Nucleic
768	Acids and Molecular Biology 34. Springer, Cham, pp 73-124
769	Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B (2019) Improved metagenomic
770	analysis with Kraken 2. Genome Biol 20:257
771	Yang N, Kazazian HH Jr (2006) L1 retrotransposition is
772	suppressed by endogenously encoded small interfering RNAs in
773	human cultured cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol 13:763-771
774	Yazbeck AM, Tout KR, Stadler PF, Hertel J (2017) Towards a
775	Consistent, Quantitative Evaluation of MicroRNA Evolution. J Integr
776	Bioinform 14:20160013
777	Yekta S, Tabin CJ, Bartel DP (2008) MicroRNAs in the Hox
778	network: an apparent link to posterior prevalence. Nat Rev Genet
779	9:789-796
780	Zhao Y, Lu G-A, Yang H, Lin P, Liufu Z, Tang T, et al. (2021)
781	Run or Die in the Evolution of New MicroRNAs-Testing the Red
782	Queen Hypothesis on De Novo New Genes. Mol Biol Evol 38:1544-
783	1553
784	Zouros E, Rodakis GC (2019) Doubly Uniparental Inheritance
785	of mtDNA: An Unappreciated Defiance of a General Rule. Adv Anat
786	Embryol Cell Biol 231:25-49

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Frequency of miRNA-like simulated molecules that found at least one suitable target on 3' UTRs of the same species. The seed was conservatively defined as nucleotides 2-8 of the miRNA; a match was accepted if it was perfect at the seed and if it included a maximum of 5 mismatches outside. An example of an alignment with three mismatches is included in the insert. The number of elements with an acceptable match was normalized on the number of 22-mers in the relative 3' UTR set and divided by the number of simulated pri-miRNAs. The y axis is Log-transformed for the sake of readability. Regression line details: y = 1.0757x - 12.8616; $R^2 = 0.9719$; $P < 2 \times 10^{-16***}$.