

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Advancing understanding of the taxonomy and diversity of the genus Contracaecum in the great white pelican (Pelecanus onocrotalus)

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Caffara, M., Tedesco, P., Davidovich, N., Locke, S.A., Gustinelli, A., King, R., et al. (2023). Advancing understanding of the taxonomy and diversity of the genus Contracaecum in the great white pelican (Pelecanus onocrotalus). PARASITOLOGY RESEARCH, 122(1), 315-331 [10.1007/s00436-022-07732-z].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/910751 since: 2023-01-30

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-022-07732-z

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Advancing understanding of the taxonomy and diversity of the genus *Contracaecum* in the great

- 2 white pelican (*Pelecanus onocrotalus*)
- 3 Monica Caffara^{1*#}, Perla Tedesco^{1#}, Nadav Davidovich², Sean A. Locke³, Andrea Gustinelli¹, Roni
- 4 King⁴, Michelle Nuytten¹, Marialuisa Nuzzo¹, Maria Letizia Fioravanti¹
- ⁵ ¹ Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Via Tolara di
- 6 Sopra 50, 40064 Ozzano Emilia (BO), Italy
- 7 ² Israeli Veterinary Services, Bet Dagan 5025001, Israel
- ³ Department of Biology, University of Puerto Rico, Box 9000, Mayagüez 00681-9000, Puerto Rico
- ⁹ ⁴ Israel Nature and Parks Authority, 3 Am Ve'Olamo St., Jerusalem, 95463, Israel
- 10 *Corresponding author: <u>monica.caffara@unibo.it</u>
- 11 # these authors contributed equally

12 Abstract

13 Despite the wide distribution and health importance of anisakids of the genus Contracaecum, epidemiological data on their occurrence in definitive bird hosts are scarce, particularly from 14 15 certain parts of the world that represent important wintering sites or migration stopovers for 16 different bird species. In the present study, *Contracaecum* spp. infecting six great white pelicans 17 (Pelecanus onocrotalus) in Israel were identified using light and scanning electron microscopy and 18 phylogenetic analyses of nuclear internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and mitochondrial cytochrome c 19 oxidase II (cox2). A PCR-RFLP method was also developed and applied to screen large numbers of Contracaecum parasites. Most (415/455) worms recovered were C. micropapillatum, followed by 20 C. gibsoni (31/455), C. quadripapillatum (8/455) and C. multipapillatum E (1/455). Contracaecum 21 22 micropapillatum from Israel and C. bancrofti from Australia are distinguishable by cox2 but less

23	well resolved with ITS sequences, and could not be distinguished morphologically. Worms with
24	cox2 matching C. gibsoni had ITS matching specimens identified as C. multipapillatum A. To the
25	authors' knowledge, this represents the first of such studies in Israel, and provides useful data on
26	the ecology and distribution of different <i>Contracaecum</i> species of health and economic interest.
27	
28	Key words: Contracaecum; taxonomy; great white pelican; Israel.
29	
30	
31	
32	

33 Introduction

Anisakids of the genus *Contracaecum* Railliet and Henry 1912 are widely distributed in aquatic ecosystems (freshwater, brackish and marine) where they undergo a heteroxenous life cycle, involving a wide range of paratenic hosts (crustaceans, planktivorous and piscivorous fish; Anderson 2000; Valles-Vega et al. 2017) and definitive hosts, including marine mammals (pinnipeds, cetaceans) and piscivorous birds (Mattiucci and Nascetti 2008).

The genus *Contracaecum* comprises <u>over</u> 60 species, the majority of which have been described from fish-eating birds but also in marine mammals (Yamaguti 1935; Hartwich 1964; Baruš et al. 1978; Ángeles-Hernández et al. 2020). In birds, massive infections <u>may</u> occur as a result of the continuous ingestion of paratenic hosts; third-stage larvae undergo further development and moult, becoming fourth stage and eventually adults in the proventriculus and stomach (Fagerholm and Overstreet 2008), where they cause hemorrhages, ulcerations and necrosis, leading in some instances to a fatal outcome (Rokicki et al. 2011; Kumar et al. 2019).

The taxonomic status of several Contracaecum spp. is uncertain due to their morphological 46 similarity and needs to be investigated with both morphological and molecular analysis. One pair 47 48 of species needing such attention is Contracaecum bancrofti and C. micropapillatum. Johnston and Mawson (1941) described Contracaecum bancrofti from the Australian pelican Pelecanus 49 50 conspicillatus sampled throughout Eastern Australia. The adults of C. bancrofti were distinguished 51 from an older, similar species described in Croatia, C. micropapillatum (Stossich 1890), on the basis 52 of the position of vulva and size of eggs in females, and on the length of spicules in males 53 (Johnston and Mawson 1941). However, Hartwich (1964) considered C. bancrofti a synonym of C. micropapillatum. Based on a variety of morphometric data, Shamsi et al (2009) considered C. 54 bancrofti to be valid, and provided the first ITS rDNA sequences from this species. Although aware 55

of the work of Shamsi et al (2009), Li et al (2016) nonetheless considered the two species as
synonyms and reported *C. micropapillatum* in North America, Africa, Europe, China, and Australia,
albeit without providing any support for this decision.

59 Adults of C. bancrofti infecting Pelecanus conspicillatus have been reported from several parts of Australia, including Peron Island in the Northern Territory, Thompson River and Burnett River in 60 61 Queensland, Sydney Zoological Gardens in New South Wales, Geelong and Healesville in Victoria 62 and Morgan in South Australia (Johnston and Mawson 1941; Shamsi et al. 2009). Although Shamsi et al. (2009) considered C. bancrofti endemic in Australia, this species has also been reported in 63 64 the American white pelican *P. erythrorhynchos* along the coasts of Texas (McDaniel and Patterson 65 1966) and in Mexico (Yamaguti 1961). To our knowledge, neither the occurrence of C. bancrofti outside Australia nor its genetic differentiation from C. micropapillatum have been assessed with 66 67 molecular data. This is partly because different genetic markers have been sequenced in these two 68 species, namely ITS rDNA in C. bancrofti (Shamsi et al. 2009), 28S rDNA and cox2 mtDNA in C. 69 micropapillatum (Nadler et al. 2000; Mattiucci et al. 2008, 2010).

70 The ITS rDNA sequences from adult *C. bancrofti* (Shamsi et al. 2009) allowed identification of larval 71 stages in freshwater fishes, including Cyprinus carpio (Shamsi et al. 2018a), Carassius auratus, 72 Gambusia holbrooki, Hypseleotris sp., Melanotaenia fluviatilis, Misgurnus anguillicaudatus, Nematalosa erebi and Retropinna semoni in eastern Australia (Shamsi et al. 2018b). Possibly due 73 74 to their small size, location deeply embedded in fish host tissues, and paucity of morphological 75 features useful for species identification, the larvae of C. bancrofti have not been reported 76 elsewhere. Nevertheless, the diversity of infected hosts (eight fish species from eight different 77 families), suggests that C. bancrofti may also occur in a variety of other host species.

78 The present study is part of a larger project focused on the parasitic fauna of piscivorous birds 79 collected in Israel. Sampled birds included Pelecanidae belonging to the species P. onocrotalus, which were found infected with parasitic nematodes of the genus *Contracaecum*. The aim of this 80 81 work was to characterize these parasites to the species level with morphometrical (by both light 82 microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy - SEM) and molecular methods based on two 83 markers (i.e. ITS rDNA and cox2 mtDNA) to confirm their taxonomic position. To date, no such 84 studies have been carried out in Israel, and therefore our work was also intended to elucidate the 85 ecology and distribution of *Contracaecum* species in scarcely investigated hosts and geographical 86 areas.

87 Materials and Methods

88 Contracaecum sampling

Four hundred and fifty-five adults of *Contracaecum* spp. were collected from the gastric mucosa of 89 90 six great white pelican (*Pelecanus onocrotalus*) collected and processed fresh, from five localities 91 under permits 2020/42659 and 2021/42855 from the Israel Nature and Parks Authority (Fig. 1). The nematodes were washed in saline and preserved in 70% ethanol for morphological and 92 93 molecular analysis. For some adults, the anterior and posterior portions were preserved in 10% 94 neutral buffered formalin for SEM. Moreover, two additional specimens of *Contracaecum* bancrofti were obtained from Pelecanus conspicillatus sampled in Australia. 95 96 Morphological study 97 Fifty males and 50 females were randomly selected from the 6 Israeli birds and observed under a 98 dissection microscope to first evaluate gross morphology and to record total length (TL), then 99 under a light microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) with the aid of a digital Nikon 100 DS-Fi1 camera and image-acquisition software (Nikon Nis-Elements D3.0). A section of each worm was then removed for DNA extraction (central 5 mm, where taxonomically informative features 101

are absent). Anterior and posterior portions of the parasite body then were clarified in Amman's
 lactophenol to measure internal structures by light microscope. Morphometric analysis was
 conducted following Yamaguti (1935), Hartwich (1964), and Baruš et al. (1978). Measurements are
 given in millimeters unless otherwise indicated. The two specimens of *C. bancrofti* from Australia
 were subject to the same treatment.

For SEM, anterior and posterior portions of the nematodes were dehydrated through a graded
ethanol series, subjected to critical point drying, sputter-coated with gold palladium, and observed
using a Phenom XL G2 Desktop SEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Eindhoven, The Netherlands)
operating at 5 kV.

Measurements of specimens of C. micropapillatum and C. bancrofti were compared using data 111 112 from the present and other studies using non-metric multidimensional scaling and ANOSIM (999 113 permutations, crossed design of species × worm sex) in PRIMER-E (Auckland, NZ). Morphometric distances were based on normalized measurements of eight features (lengths of whole body, 114 115 esophagus, intestinal cecum, ventricular appendix, tail, left and right spicules, and distance of vulva to anterior end, transformed by subtracting the mean from the observed value and dividing 116 117 the result by the standard deviation, for each variable). As only two specimens of C. bancrofti were 118 available, and individual-specimen-level data from *C. micropapillatum* are not available from prior publications, six additional data points (equivalent to artificial specimens) were extracted from 119 120 other studies based on reported minimum, maximum and mean (if given) or range midpoint, for 121 each sex, for each of the eight aforementioned measurements.

122 Molecular study

For molecular analysis, genomic DNA was initially extracted from 51 adults using a PureLink[®]
Genomic DNA Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions.
The ITS rDNA was amplified with primers NC5_f (5'-GTAGGTGAACCTGCGGAAGGATCATT-3') and

126 NC2_r (5'-TTAGTTTCTTCCTCCGCT-3') (Zhu et al. 1998). A fragment of cox2 mtDNA was amplified from 33 adults (among the 51) with primers 211 f (5'-TTTTCTAGTTATAGATTGRTTTYAT-3') and 127 210 r (5'-CACCAACTCTTAAAATTATC-3') of Mattiucci et al (2008) following the same protocol. The 128 PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel stained with SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain 129 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in 0.5X TBE. For sequencing, the amplicons were 130 131 excised and purified by Nucleo-Spin Gel and PCR Clean-up (Mackerey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), 132 and sequenced with an ABI 3730 DNA analyzer (StarSEQ, Mainz, Germany). The DNA trace files were assembled with Contig Express (VectorNTI Advance 11 software, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, 133 USA), and the consensus sequences of the ITS rDNA after separating the two regions (ITS1 and 134 ITS2) and *cox*2 mtDNA were compared with published data by BLAST tools 135 136 (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Multiple sequence alignments were performed using 137 BioEdit 7.2.5 (Hall 1999), p-distance and maximum-likelihood (ML) tree (T92+G+I substitution model for ITS and KHY+G+I for cox2, bootstrap of 1,000 replicates for both genes) were obtained 138 using MEGA 7 (Kumar et al. 2016). The ITS1 and ITS2 rDNA sequences were concatenated and 139 140 used to build a ML tree together with the sequences of *Contracaecum* spp. reported by Mattiucci 141 et al (2020). The cox2 gene was also aligned with the sequences reported by Mattiucci et al (2020), 142 with *Pseudoterranova ceticola* (DQ116435) and *Anisakis pegreffii* (MT912471) as outgroups. The sequences generated in this study have-been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers 143 144 ON714944-88 (cox2 mtDNA) and ON736806-38 (ITS rDNA). 145 The initial sequencing of *Contracaecum* from Israel indicated mixed species infections in individual birds, molecular work was conducted on all remaining adult worms. The middle portions of 404 146 147 adult males and females were subjected to a fast DNA extraction method using Chelex®100 148 (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). Briefly, 300 µl of 5% Chelex®100 solution in sterile DNA/RNA

149 free molecular grade water was added to the central piece of body and heated at 95 °C for 10 min.

150 and then centrifuged at full speed for 5 min. The supernatant was removed and diluted 1:10 for downstream molecular analyses. The ITS rDNA of the extracts was amplified as reported above 151 and then 10 μ l were subjected to PCR-RFLP with the restriction enzymes *Msp*I (Zhu et al. 2007) 152 and Sspl to distinguish among species of Contracaecum. The second enzyme was selected after 153 154 running an *in-silico* digestion of the whole ITS rDNA of some sequenced specimens, with the 155 software NEBcutter 3.0 (https://nc3.neb.com/NEBcutter/). After digestion (37 °C for 90 min) the 156 specimens were electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel stained with SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in 0.5X TBE for 90 min. In each digestion reaction, 157 previously Sanger-sequenced specimens were included as positive controls. 158

159

160 Results

161 Molecular analyses

162 Overall, of 455 adult worms from six Israeli pelicans examined with a combination of

163 morphometric and molecular analyses, 415 were identified as *C. micropapillatum*, 31 as *C. gibsoni*,

164 8 as *C. quadripapillatum* and 1 as *C. multipapillatum* E (Table 1). As described below, most of these

identifications were based on PCR-RFLP, and the entire ITS rDNA array was sequenced in 51 worms

166 from Israel, with partial *cox*2 sequenced in 33 of the same specimens. Both ITS rDNA and *cox*2

167 mtDNA were also sequenced in two specimens of *C. bancrofti* from *P. conspicillatus* in Australia,

and these data supported the distinct status of *C. bancrofti*.

169 Thirty-four worms from Israel with identical ITS rDNA sequences were 99.5% similar to adults of *C*.

170 *bancrofti* from Australia, comprising data from Shamsi et al. 2009 (EU839568-EU839566) and from

171 two specimens of *C. bancrofti* from Australia newly sequenced in the present study. In

172 phylogenetic analysis, all the aforementioned ITS sequences fell in a well-supported clade

173 containing two subclades of sequences from Israel and Australia with moderate support (95%, 79%) (Fig. 2). Sequences of cox2 from 25 of these 34 adult worms from Israel matched (97-99.2% 174 similarity) those of C. micropapillatum (EU852350, Mattiucci et al. 2010, EF513514-16 and 175 EF122206-07, Mattiucci et al. 2008) and were 92.6% similar to the cox2 from two specimens of C. 176 177 bancrofti from Australia, newly sequenced herein. In phylogenetic analysis of cox2, specimens 178 from Israel and Australia were resolved into two clades with strong (≥98%) bootstrap support (Fig. 179 3). Taken together, these analyses support the separation of *C. micropapillatum* and *C. bancrofti*. Further evidence of the validity of *C. bancrofti* occurred in the form of gaps in the ITS rDNA 180 alignment. A 12-bp gap unique to C. bancrofti began at position 120 in ITS1, which corresponded 181 to an insertion of "TTGCTAAATTAA" in *C. multipapillatum* sequences and "TTGCTTATTTAG" in *C.* 182 *quadripapillatum*. At the 3' end of ITS1, an insertion of 7 bp (position 420-426 bp, "TATTTAG") 183 184 occurred in *C. bancrofti* only. In ITS2, we observed 3 insertions in the *C. bancrofti* sequences (position 449-456 bp "GAATATCT", position 495-507 bp "AAAGACGAGAAAA" and position 555-569 185 bp "TCCTTGCTTAGTTTG") corresponding to deletions in the other two species. The ITS sequences 186 from the adult specimens of *C. micropapillatum* from Israel were also 99.7% similar to ITS from 187 188 larvae of an unidentified species of Contracaecum from Tilapia zillii from Kenya (KF990496, Otachi 189 et al. 2014), indicating a possible transmission path of this *C. micropapillatum*. The 34 ITS sequences of C. micropapillatum from Israeli pelicans differed by 2.5% from C. multipapillatum 190 191 and 2.4-2.5% from *C. quadripapillatum*.

192 The ITS sequences of eight adults from Israel were 99.7% similar to *C. quadripapillatum*

193 (OK138879-80, Hamoud and Younis 2022) from *Heterobranchus bidorsalis* from Lake Nasser

194 (Egypt), identical to *Contracaecum* sp. 2 (MT477131) from an unknown fish species sampled in

195 Ethiopia, 99.6% similar to *Contracaecum* sp. (MZ727197, Abdallah and Thabit 2021, unpublished)

196 from *Lates niloticus* both from Egypt, and 99.3% similar to *Contracaecum* sp. 1-8 (FM210434,

Shamsi and Aghazadeh-Meshgi 2011) from barboid fish in Iran. These ITS sequences formed a
distinct and well-supported lineage in phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2). The *cox*2 sequences of two
the eight specimens in this clade were most similar (87.6%) to *C. osculatum* A sensu Nascetti et al

201 Another eight specimens yielded ITS sequences identical to *C. multipapillatum* (MH400190,

(JN786334). As described below, these worms were identified as *C. quadripapillatum*.

202 Pronkina and Spiridonov 2018) from *Chelon auratus* from the Black Sea and with 98.7% similarity

to *C. multipapillatum* D (AM940056, Shamsi et al. 2008) from Australian *P. conspicillatus*. In five of

these eight adults with these ITS rDNA matching *C. multipapillatum, cox*2 showed 99.6-100%

similarity with *C. gibsoni* (EU852337, syn *C. multipapillatum* A, Mattiucci et al. 2010) from *P.*

206 *crispus* from Greece (intraspecific p-distance 0%-0.1%).

In one adult specimen from Israel, both ITS (ON723788) and *cox2* (ON736838) were identical to *C. multipapillatum* E (OL830790, OL809970, Davidovich et al. 2022) from hybrid tilapia farmed in
 Israel.

210 Through PCR-RFLP analysis, 413 adults were identified as *C. micropapillatum*, 31 as *C. gibsoni*, 8 as

211 *C. quadripapillatum* and one as *C. multipapillatum* E. These results were based on *Msp*I banding

patterns of 330-315-230 bp for *C. micropapillatum*, 560-360 bp for *C. gibsoni* and 600-360 bp for *C.*

213 quadripapillatum, while C. multipapillatum E was not digested. The enzyme SspI was able to

distinguish better between *C. gibsoni* and *C. quadripapillatum*, producing 550-300 bp fragments

for the former and no cut for the latter (undigested band of 1000 bp) (Fig. 4).

216

200

217 Morphological descriptions

218 *Contracaecum micropapillatum* Johnston & Mawson, 1941

219 *Synonym: Ascaris micropapillata* Stossich, 1890

220 *Site in host*: stomach.

221 *Representative DNA sequences*: ON714944-77 (ITS rDNA), ON736808-32 (*cox*2 mtDNA)

Adult stage: Body stout. Cuticle transversally striated. Lips longer than wide, one dorsal and two
sub-ventral (Figs. 5a-b; 6a). Two oval cephalic papillae on dorsal lip and a single papilla on each
subventral lip. Interlabium reaching approximately ¾ of lip length, narrow, wider at base, with
distinctly bifurcated tip (Fig. 5c). Excretory pore at base of lips. Collar area with fine cuticular
annulations interrupted laterally. Deirids at approximately same level as nerve ring. Oesophagus
muscular, ending in round ventriculus with short ventricular appendix. Intestinal caecum two to
three times longer than ventricular appendix.

229 *Males* (*n* = 50): Total length 10-34.2 (17.2 ± 4.9). Nerve ring 0.17-0.67 (0.48 ± 0.1) from anterior

end. Oesophagus 2.01-4.56 (3.27 ± 0.59) long, 12.3–25.5% (19.7%) of body length. Ventricular

231 appendix 0.47-1.15 (0.85 ± 0.14) long, 17.8–42.1% (26.7%) of esophageal length. Intestinal cecum

232 1.47-3.79 (2.5 ± 0.5) long, 55.7–86.3% (75.8%) of esophageal length. Three pairs of double post-

cloacal papillae (Fig. 5d). Phasmids approximately at level of third pair of post-cloacal papillae.

234 Precloacal papillae simple, arranged in two longitudinal rows (Fig. 5e). Spicules similar, subequal,

235 8.6-19% (14%) of body length. Right spicule 1.02–3.37 (2.45 ± 0.56) long; left spicule 1.05–3.48

236 (2.4 ± 0.56) long, with rounded tip and folded longitudinal alae (Fig. 5f-g).

237 *Females* (n = 50): Total length 10-40.7 (18.8 ± 9.4). Nerve ring 0.25-0.92 (0.45 ± 0.13) from anterior

end. Oesophagus 1.67-5.69 (3.29 ± 1.02) long, 12.7–22.3% (18.7%) of body length. Ventricular

239 appendix 0.44-1.67 (0.81 ± 0.27) long and 14.2–40.2% (25.2%) of esophageal length. Intestinal

240 cecum 1.34-4.87 (2.48 ± 0.84) long, 62.7–89.1% (75.6%) of esophageal length. Vulva in anterior

half of body, 1.76-14.11 (6.68 ± 3.7) from anterior end (Fig. 6b); Tail conical, 0.17-0.54 (0.33 ± 0.09)
long, with pointed tip (Fig. 6c-d).

243 Remarks

Overall, the validity of *C. bancrofti* was well supported by molecular data. While divergence levels and phylogenetic analysis of ITS did not present overwhelming support for considering Australian *C. bancrofti* separate from *C. micropapillatum* (the older species), the indels in the ITS alignment and all aspects of *cox*2 analysis provide strong support for both species. These results are not surprising, as ITS may vary little or not at all between recently separated species (Zhu et al. 2000; Blouin 2002).

250 Morphological analysis of genetically characterized specimens revealed no characters that clearly

distinguish *C. bancrofti* and *C. micropapillatum*. As characterized by Johnston and Mawson

252 (1941b), *C. bancrofti* was reported having interlabia with bifid tips and the male tail with three

253 pairs of double papillae, and was differentiated from the morphologically similar *C*.

254 *micropapillatum* based on the length of the spicules, size of the eggs and position of the vulva.

Hartwich (1964), who considered *C. bancrofti* a synonym of *C. micropapillatum*, reported spicule

lengths (1.21–3.53 mm) for *C. micropapillatum*, which closely overlap lengths we observed in 50

257 genetically identical specimens of this species (1.02–3.48 mm) collected in Israel. In contrast,

spicules 2.2–2.8 mm long were measured by Johnston and Mawson (1941) in an unknown number

of specimens of *C. micropapillatum*. In comparison, spicule lengths of 2.23–3.17 mm long were

recorded in 18 specimens of *C. bancrofti* measured by Shamsi et al (2009), and suggested that this

261 narrower range of spicule lengths supported the validity of *C. bancrofti*, separate from *C*.

262 *micropapillatum*, and raised the possibility that Hartwich's material included multiple species. Our

263	results support the validity of C. bancrofti, as per Shamsi et al (2009), but also indicate that spicule
264	length variation may not be a useful character for distinguishing the two species.
265	The caudal papillae in the post-cloacal region also appear to be inconclusive for resolving C.
266	bancrofti and C. micropapillatum, as these show the same pattern in both species, i.e. three pairs
267	of double papillae (Stossich 1890; Cram 1927; Hartwich, 1964; Shamsi et al. 2009). The SEM
268	micrographs of <i>C. micropapillatum</i> genetically characterized in the present study show the first
269	pair of post-cloacal papillae are generally fused (Fig. 5d), while the second and third pairs may be
270	single but adjacent, or shifted backwards (Fig. 5h), possibly due to differences in the
271	developmental stage, or to intraspecific variability.
272	In genetically identified female specimens of <i>C. micropapillatum</i> , the distance of the vulva from
273	anterior end varied from 1.8-14.1 mm, which overlaps data reported by Shamsi et al (2019) and
274	Hartwich (1964). Therefore, female morphology and morphometry is not of value in distinguishing
275	C. bancrofti from C. micropapillatum, and in fact, has seldom been used in specific diagnosis of
276	Contracaecum and other anisakids.
277	Other morphometric features of the adult stage (total body length, length of esophagus, intestinal
278	cecum, ventricular appendix) of C. micropapillatum were on average higher in Stossich (1896) and
279	Cram (1927) compared to data reported by Hartwich (1964). Our values include also
280	measurements of smaller specimens and are more similar to the ranges reported by Hartwich
281	(1964).

Additionally, both *C. micropapillatum* (Stossich, 1890, Hartwich, 1964 as reported in Baruš et al., 1978) and *C. bancrofti* (Johnston and Mawson, 1941; Shamsi et al., 2009) were described as having bifid interlabia. In the current study, the bifid appearance of interlabia (Fig. 5c) was confirmed in

light microscopy as well as in several SEM micrographs that clearly show this feature in both
lateral and apical views of prepared specimens.

In multivariate analysis of eight measurements of C. micropapillatum and C. bancrofti in the 287 288 present and other studies, the two species were poorly separated (Table 2, Fig. 7). In an ANOSIM test, morphometric variation between sexes and species was statistically significant, although 289 290 modest in magnitude between sexes within the two species (R=0.24, P=0.019), and negligible 291 between same-sex individuals of the two species (R=0.025, P=0.017). These results were 292 essentially the same if data from Hartwich (1964), who included data from non-Australian sources of C. "bancrofti", were excluded from MDS (not shown) or ANOSIM (sex R=0.253, P=0.017, species 293 294 *R*=0.034, *P*=0.01).

295

296 Contracaecum gibsoni Mattiucci, Paoletti, Solorzano and Nascetti, 2010

297 Synonyms: Contracaecum multipapillatum sp. A of Nascetti et al. (1990)

298 *Site in host*: Ventriculus.

299 Representative DNA sequences: ON723780-87 (ITS rDNA), ON736833-37 (cox2 mtDNA);

300 Adult stage: Body stout. Cuticle transversally striated. Dorsal and ventro-lateral lips with slight

301 medial depression on upper margin (Figs. 8a-c, 9a-b); dorsal lip with 2 double papillae; each

ventro-lateral lip with 1 double papilla, 1 single papilla and 1 amphid. Interlabia triangular, wider

303 at base, with rounded non-bifurcate tip (Figs. 8b, 9a). Excretory pore at base of lips. Oesophagus

304 with globular ventriculus. Ventriculus with posterior appendix. Intestinal caecum three to four

305 times longer than ventricular appendix.

306 *Males* (n = 4): Total length 15.0–44.0 (27 ± 12.3). Nerve ring 0.35–0.41 (0.39 ± 0.035) from anterior 307 end. Oesophagus 2.95–6.65 (4.89) long. Ventricular appendix 0.53–0.70 (0.70) long. Intestinal 308 caecum 2.10–2.28 (2.50) long; intestinal caecum/ventricular appendix length ratio 2.5–4.5 (3.8). Spicules slightly subequal; right spicule 1.82–2.50 (2.11 ± 0.29) long; left spicule 1.75–2.18 (1.99 ± 309 0.19) long; spicule tip pointed. Ratio spicules length/total length (spi/len) 0.04–0.12 (0.07). 310 311 Precloacal papillae simple, forming 2 subventral lines (Fig. 8d). Five pairs of proximal papillae posterior to cloaca, lateral to paracloacal papillae, four of which pyriform in shape (Fig. 8e-f). 312 313 Single pair of double paracloacal papillae; 4 pairs of distal papillae. Single pair of small papilla-like phasmids lateral to the distal pair (d4) of post-cloacal papillae (Fig. 8e). Tail 0.21 – 0.24 (0.23 ± 314 0.017) long. 315

316 *Females* (n = 16) Total length 13.0–63.0 (36.9 ± 14.5). Nerve ring 0.32–0.60 (0.49 ± 0.083) from

anterior end. Oesophagus 3.20 - 6.79 (5.10 ± 1.22) long. Ventricular appendix 0.85 - 1.42 (1.10 ± 1.22)

0.16) long. Intestinal caecum 2.38 – 6.36 (4.31 ± 1.24) long, intestinal caecum/ventricular appendix

319 length ratio 2.7 – 4.4 (3.8). Vulva in first third of body, 6.92 – 17.33 (12.90 ± 2.95) from anterior

s20 end. Tail conical, 0.21 – 0.60 (0.48 ± 0.09) long (Fig. 9c-d).

321 Remarks

Overall, measurements of our male and female specimens overlap data reported by Mattiucci et al (2010), although our samples include also smaller and larger specimens. With respect to male features, the average ratio spi/len, spicule shape and pattern of caudal papillae are the same as those reported in the original description of *C. gibsoni* (Mattiucci et al. 2010); such features have been considered as useful taxonomic criteria for distinguishing genetically detected sibling species of *Contracaecum* (Mattiucci et al. 2010). Particularly, as suggested by Shamsi and colleagues (2008), the arrangement and shape of the caudal papillae of males could be useful to differentiate

cryptic species of the *C. multipapillatum* complex. In addition to its original description, the
present work adds further morphological material providing the first SEM images of *C. gibsoni*male and female adults. Particularly, SEM micrographs of the caudal region of male specimens,
showed the presence of five proximal pairs of single papillae of which four pyriform in shape (Fig.
8e), a feature here reported for the first time in *C. gibsoni*.

334

335 *Contracaecum quadripapillatum* Saad, Younis & Rabei, 2018

336 *Site in host*: Stomach and oesophagus.

337 *Representative DNA sequences*: ON714979-86 (ITS rDNA), ON736806-07 (*cox*2 mtDNA)

Adult stage: Body stout. Cuticle transversally striated. Dorsal and ventro-lateral lips with central
 depression on upper margin (Figs. 10a, 11a); dorsal lip with 2 ovate papillae; each ventro-lateral lip
 with 1 ovate papilla. Interlabia triangular, wider at base, with rounded non-bifurcate tip (Fig. 10b).
 Short cuticular collar, interrupted laterally at the base of lips. Intestinal caecum three to five times
 longer than ventricular appendix.

343 *Males*: (n = 3) Total length 21.0–42.4 (20.8 ± 21.2). Nerve ring 0.45–0.63 (0.54 ± 0.09) from

344 anterior end. Oesophagus 3.60–4.99 (4.29 ± 0.069) long. Ventricular appendix 0.60–0.83 (0.74 ±

0.12) long. Intestinal caecum 2.06–4.39 (3.22 ± 1.16) long; intestinal caecum/ventricular appendix

length ratio 2.5–5.6 (4.5). Spicules subequal, with rounded, spoon-like, flattened tip (Fig. 10c-d).

347 Right spicule 2.27 -2.52 (2.39 ± 0.13) long, left spicule 1.87 -2.72 (2.32 ± 0.42) long 11.2-11.5% of

body length. Post-cloacal papillae consisting in two pairs of single papillae, followed by one pair of

349 double papillae; remaining post-cloacal papillae arranged in three rows, first and second rows

350 containing four papillae on each side forming quadrilateral shape, third row with one papilla on

ach side (Fig. 10e-f).

Females: (n = 4) Total length 31.0–64.0 (40.5 ± 15.7). Nerve ring 0.33–0.56 (0.46 ± 0.10) from
anterior end. Oesophagus 4.73–5.65 (5.08 ± 0.44) long. Ventricular appendix 0.85–1.09 (0.95 ±
0.1) long. Intestinal caecum 3.95–4.46 (4.28 ± 0.32) long. Intestinal caecum/ventricular appendix
length ratio 3.8–4.9 (4.5). Vulva in first third of body, 7.73 – 15.38 (10.48 ± 3.36) from anterior end
(Fig. 11b). Tail conical, 0.38 – 0.47 (0.42 ± 50.5) long (Figs. 11c-d).

357 Remarks

Overall, measurements of male and female specimens of C. quadripapillatum reported by Saad 358 359 and colleagues (2018) fall within a narrower range than our observations, possibly because Saad et al (2018) measured experimentally obtained specimens belonging to exactly the same 360 developmental stage, while specimens analyzed in the present study were recovered from 361 362 naturally infected birds who likely acquired parasites in multiple feeding events. With respect to male morphological features of taxonomic value, the average ratio spi/len was slightly higher in 363 364 our specimens (11% as compared to 8% reported by Saad et al. 2018), while spicule shape and 365 pattern of caudal papillae are similar to those described by the latter authors. While the pyriform-366 shaped precloacal papillae in some cases (Fig. 10f) resemble C. pyripapillatum, the post-cloacal papillae of 367 C. quadripapillatum form a square (Fig. 10e) and the tip of male's spicules is completely different (fig. 10d) 368 from C. pyripapillatum. In addition, the ITS rDNA of C. pyripapillatum is distant from C. quadripapillatum 369 (Fig 2).

370

371 Discussion

Prior to this study, in several valid species of *Contracaecum*, only either ITS rDNA or *cox*2 mtDNA
were available, making comparison of sequences impossible. For example, only ITS was available
in Australian *C. bancrofti*, while in *C. micropapillatum*, only *cox*2 and 28S rDNA had been

375 sequenced. We resolved this problem by obtaining data from both markers in both species and 376 avoided potential confusion from single-marker results (particularly ITS). While ITS sequences from Israeli and Australian isolates in the C. bancrofti + C. micropapillatum clade formed reciprocally 377 monophyletic subclades, they had only moderate statistical support and were nearly identical 378 (99.5% similarity). However, the cox2 of the specimens formed well-supported clades with 379 380 unambiguous levels of sequence divergence, and gaps unique to C. bancrofti in the ITS alignment 381 were not reflected in divergence calculations, or in phylogenetic analysis. We attempted to make 382 further distinctions in sequenced specimens of these two species with both light microscopy and SEM, with particular attention to characters useful in distinguishing anisakids, such as interlabial 383 structure, distribution pattern of male caudal papillae, spicule length and tip shape, size and 384 385 pattern of caudal papillae (Fagerholm 1989; Mattiucci et al. 2010), as well as quantitative 386 visualization of morphometric variation in C. micropapillatum and C. bancrofti in the present and past studies. Ultimately, while molecular evidence supports the validity of C. bancrofti as 387 388 described by Shamsi et al (2009), no morphological distinctions were observed that reliably 389 separate this species from C. micropapillatum. Morphological variation in Table 2 and Fig 7 may be 390 inflated by variation in preservation methods among studies, or mixed-species infections 391 undetected in non-molecular studies (i.e., those other than Shamsi et al. 2009 and the present 392 work), but even stable characters such as spicule length are notably uninformative. In the future, 393 sequences of cox2 from regional isolates of C. micropapillatum are needed to verify the wide 394 geographic distribution and diverse host range that ITS-based and morphological records imply for 395 this species, which has been reported from all the continents (China, Australia, Croatia, England, 396 Congo, Mexico, USA), as well as in birds from several different families (Li et al. 2016), namely: 397 Anseriformes (Anatidae): Bucephala clangula (L.); Mergus squamatus Gould; Spatula clypeata L.; 398 Charadriiformes (Stercorariidae): Stercorarius pomarinus (Temminck); Pelecaniformes (Ardeidae):

399 Ardea alba L.; A. purpurea L.; Butorides striata L., B. striata atricapilla (Afzelius); Nyctanassa 400 violacea (L.); Pelecaniformes (Pelecanidae): Pelecanus crispus Bruch; P. conspicillatus Temminck; P. erythrorhynchos Gmelin; P. onocrotalus L.; P. rufescens Gmelin; Pelecanus sp.; Pelecaniformes 401 (Phalacrocoracidae): Microcarbo africanus (Gmelin); M. pygmaeus (Pallas); Phalacrocorax 402 403 brasilianus (Gmelin); P. africanus (Gmelin); P. carbo (L.); Phalacrocorax sp. 404 Joint analysis of both nuclear and mitochondrial markers was also necessary to resolve conflicting 405 ITS and cox2 results from C. gibsoni. In five adults from Israel collected in the present study, cox2 matched C. gibsoni (syn C. multipapillatum A of Nascetti et al. 1990) described from P. crispus in 406 Greece (p-distance 0-0.1% to data from Mattiucci et al. 2010). Had we obtained only ITS 407 408 sequences, however, these specimens of C. gibsoni could have been mis-identified within the already-complicated C. multipapillatum complex, because the ITS matched data from specimens 409 410 identified as C. multipapillatum (syn C. multipapillatum A of Nascetti et al. 1990). In addition to the 411 cox2 results, morphological features of these specimens overlapped with C. gibsoni as described by Mattiucci et al (2010), and were supported with SEM micrographs of adult male and female 412 413 structures, to better characterize features poorly visible by light microscopy. 414 Contracaecum gibsoni was described from the Dalmatian pelican P. crispus L. (Pelecaniformes: Pelecanidae) from Greece, and is now reported for the first time in *P. onocrotalus* L. from Israel. 415 According to Mattiucci et al (2010), species in the C. multipapillatum complex are restricted to the 416 417 families Pelicanidae and Ardeidae from Central and South America, but in the Mediterranean 418 areas they have been found only in pelicans, as demonstrated for C. gibsoni and C. overstreeti. 419 The adults of *C. quadripapillatum* were first described by Saad et al (2018) after experimental infection of *P. erythrorhynchos* fed *Clarias lazera* from Lake Nasser, South Egypt naturally infected 420 with L3s. These authors also obtained ITS rDNA sequences from C. quadripapillatum to which our 421

422 specimens are identical (p-distance 0%). We here provide a new PCR-RFLP (*Ssp*I) assay to

distinguish *C. quadripapillatum* from *C. gibsoni*, which are not resolved by the *Mspl* enzyme of Zhu
et al (2007), as well as *cox*2 mtDNA from both species, and new records in *P. onocrotalus* sampled
in Israel.

The status and distributions of species of *Contracaecum* encountered here should be considered 426 427 alongside the habits and movements of their definitive host, the great white pelican P. 428 onocrotalus, one of the largest members of the family Pelecanidae. Populations of this gregarious bird are distributed in eastern Europe, Asia and Africa. However, great white pelicans are not 429 430 found in Australia, which is inhabited by *P. conspicillatus*. The disjunct distributions of the definitive hosts (*P. onocrotalus, P. conspicillatus*) are of interest given the apparent sister 431 432 relationship and lack of morphological distinctions between their parasites, C. bancrofti and C. 433 micropapillatum. Interestingly, phylogenetic analysis indicates P. onocrotalus is a basal, sister 434 lineage to an Old-World clade of pelicans that includes *P. conspicillatus* (Kennedy et al. 2013). 435 Crivelli and Schreiber (1984) distinguished two geographically separate populations of the great white pelican: one in Africa and the other in eastern Europe and Asia. The African population is 436 437 sedentary, living under tropical climatic conditions and the Eurasian population is migratory, visiting the Palearctic in spring and summer, during the breeding season (Crivelli et al. 1991). A few 438 hundred of the migratory *P. onocrotalus* regularly winter in Israel, where they arrive from July to 439 440 September, while thousands of individuals continue their migration to winter either in Sudan or in 441 eastern central Africa (e.g. Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania or Zaïre). Several wintering grounds 442 are also known in western and southern Asia, in Russia, Iran, Iraq, Pakistan and India (Scott and 443 Carp 1982; Van der Ven 1987, 1988; Crivelli et al. 1991). The same wetlands are used as stopovers, both in spring and autumn. Crivelli and colleagues (1991) reported that Great White Pelicans do 444 not feed systematically at each stopover, either because the wetlands visited do not provide 445

446 favorable feeding conditions or because the fish density is too low, or because the costs in time 447 and energy would be too great. The fish ponds situated in northern Israel provide a favorable feeding environment being extremely rich in fish (Sarig 1990) and are subject to intensive foraging 448 by migrating pelicans (Crivelli et al. 1991). In contrast, the Mediterranean Sea is rarely used as a 449 feeding site by Great White Pelicans, which is consistent with the lack of recovered Contracaecum 450 451 species that are distributed in brackish or saltwater environments (e.g. Contracaecum rudolphii A). In addition, the numerical dominance of C. micropapillatum compared to the other species 452 453 encountered, as revealed by RFLP analysis, is consistent with its putatively wide geographic distribution (Poulin 2007), which extends beyond the range of *P. onocrotalus* to the Americas. The 454 relative abundance of C. micropapillatum is also consistent with the general frequency with which 455 456 this species is reported (Shamsi et al. 2009; 2019).

Taken together, our results provide further illustration that more than one marker (preferably
independent, e.g., one nuclear, one mitochondrial) provide better support for distinguishing
helminths characterized by genetic variability and lacking clear morphological differences, such as
those within the *Anisakis simplex, Pseudoterranova decipiens* and *Contracaecum multipapillatum*complexes (Nadler and Hudspeth, 2000; Paggi et al., 2000; Mattiucci et al., 2005) and other
anisakids (Valentini et al., 2006; Mattiucci et al., 2008; 2010; 2020; D'Amelio et al. 2020).

Such data should be generated in any study focused on the genetic diversity of this group of
parasites, to help clarifying not only their taxonomy but also possible cospeciation patterns
between *Contracaecum* spp. and different families of their definitive hosts, as already suggested
for other anisakid taxa (Mattiucci and Nascetti 2006; 2008).

467

468 Funding

469	This study was supported by the Israeli Veterinary Services and by the University of Bologna, Italy.
470	Scanning Electron Microscopy acquired thanks to the Department of Excellence Project 2018-2022 funded
471	by the Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and Research. SAL was supported by the National Science
472	Foundation (DEB award 1845021).
473	Conflict of interest
474	The authors declare no competing interests.
475	Availability of data and material
476	The DNA sequences generated in this study have been deposited on the public database GenBank.
477	Author contributions
478	Monica Caffara and Perla Tedesco wrote the main manuscript text, carried out the analyses and
479	prepared all the figures. Michelle Nuytten and Marialuisa Nuzzo provided the technical support for
480	SEM and molecular analyses. Nadav Davidovich and Roni King carried out the sampling and
481	necropsies. Sean Locke carried out the multivariate analysis and revised the manuscript. Andrea
482	Gustinelli and Maria Letizia Fioravanti revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript
483	and approved the final manuscript.
484	Ethical approval
485	"Not applicable"
486	Consent to participate
487	"Not applicable"
488	Consent for publication

489 "Not applicable"

490 Acknowledgements

- 491 The authors are grateful for the constructive comments of anonymous reviewers on early versions
- 492 of this manuscript, and express particular thanks to the editor dr. Shokoofeh Shamsi, who kindly
- 493 provided specimens of *C. bancrofti* from Australia.

494

496 References

497	Anderson RC (2000) Nematode parasites of vertebrates: their development and transmission,	
498	second edition. CABI, Wallingford.	

- 499 Ángeles-Hernández JC, Gómez-de Anda FR, Reyes-Rodríguez NE, Vega-Sánchez V, García-Reyna PB,
- 500 Campos-Montiel RG, Calderón-Apodaca NL, Salgado-Miranda C, Zepeda-Velázquez AP (2020)
- 501 Genera and species of the Anisakidae family and their geographical distribution. Animals, 10:
- 502 2374. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10122374</u>
- 503 Baruš V, Sergeeva TP, Sonin MD, Ryzhikov KM (1978) Helminths of fish-eating birds of the
- Palaearctic Region: Nematoda. In: Rysavy B, Ryzhikov KM (Eds) Helminths of Fish-Eating
 Birds. Springer, Praha, p 318
- 506 Blouin MS (2002) Molecular prospecting for cryptic species of nematodes: mitochondrial DNA
- 507 versus internal transcribed spacer. Int J Parasitol 32:527-531. doi: 10.1016/s0020-
- 508 7519(01)00357-5.
- 509 Cram EB (1927) Bird parasites of the nematode suborders Strongylata, Ascaridata, and Spirurata.
- 510 Bull US Natl Mus. p 492. <u>https://doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.140.1</u>
- 511 Crivelli AJ, Leshem Y, Mitchev T, Jerrentrup H (1991) Where do palearctic great white pelicans
- 512 (*Pelecanus onocrotalus*) presently overwinter? Rev Ecol-Terre Vie 46:145-171
- 513 Crivelli AJ, Schreiber RW (1984) Status of Pelecanidae. Biol Conserv 30:147-156
- 514 D'Amelio S, Lombardo F, Pizzarelli A, Bellini I, Cavallero S (2020) Advances in omic studies drive
- 515 discoveries in the biology of *Anisakid* nematodes. Genes 11:801.
- 516 https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11070801
- 517 Davidovich N, Tedesco P, Caffara M, Yasur-Landau D, Gustinelli A, Drabkin V, Minkova E, Aflalo O,
- 518 Morick D, Fioravanti ML (2022) Morphological description and molecular characterization of

- 519 Contracaecum larvae (Nematoda: Anisakidae) parasitizing market-size hybrid tilapia
- 520 (Oreochromis aureus x Oreochromis niloticus) and red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) farmed in
- 521 Israel. Food Waterborne Parasitol 26:e00147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2022.e00147
- 522 Fagerholm HP (1989) Intra-specific variability of the morphology in a single population of the seal
- 523 parasite *Contracaecum osculatum* (Rudolphi) (Nematoda: Ascaridoidea), with a redescription
- 524 of the species. Zool Scr 18:33–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.1989.tb00121.x</u>
- 525 Fagerholm HP, Overstreet RM (2008) Ascaridoid Nematodes: Contracaecum, Porrocaecum, and
- 526 *Baylisascaris*. In: Atkinson CT, Thomas NJ, Hunter DB (eds) Parasitic Diseases of Wild Birds.
- 527 Wiley-Blackwell, Iowa, USA, pp 413–433
- 528 Hall T.A. (1999) BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program
- 529 for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41:95-98
- 530 Hamouda AH, Younis AE (2022) Molecular characterization of zoonotic anisakid *Contracaecum*
- 531 spp. larvae in some fish species from Lake Nasser, Egypt. Aquac Res 53:2548-2561.
- 532 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/are.15774</u>
- 533 Hartwich G (1964) Revision der vogelparasitischen nematoden Mitteleuropas II. Die gattung
- 534 Contracaecum Railliet and Henry, 1912 (Ascaridoidea). Mitt Zool Mus Berl 40:15-53
- 535 Israeli Veterinary Services, 2017. Pre-marketing control of locally grown edible fish: procedure.
- 536 https://www.gov.il/he/departments/policies/moag-pro-082 (in Hebrew)
- 537 Johnston TH, Mawson PM (1941) Ascaroid nematodes from Australian birds. Trans R Soc S Aust

538 65:110-5

- 539 Kennedy M, Taylor SA, Nádvorník P, Spencer HG (2013) The phylogenetic relationships of the
- 540 extant pelicans inferred from DNA sequence data. Mol Phyl Evol 66:215-222.
- 541 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.09.034</u>.

- 542 Kumar S, Periyasamy A, Nitin Venkatesh Ranga Rao, Sunil SS, Kumara HN, Sundararaj P,
- 543 Chidananda G, Sathish A (2019) Multiple infestations of gastrointestinal parasites Probable
- 544 cause for high mortality of Spot-billed Pelican (*Pelecanus philippensis*) at Kokrebellur
- 545 Community Reserve, India. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 9:68-73.
- 546 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jppaw.2019.04.001.
- 547 Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7
- for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33:1870-1874. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054</u>
- Li L, Gibson DI, Zhang LP (2016) An annotated catalogue of the ascaridoid nematode parasites of
- 550 Chinese vertebrates. Syst Parasitol 93:1-35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-015-9617-5</u>
- 551 Mattiucci S, Nascetti G (2006) Molecular systematics, phylogeny and ecology of anisakid
- nematodes of the genus *Anisakis* Dujardin, 1845: an update. Parasite 13(2):99-113.
- 553 https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2006132099
- 554 Mattiucci S, Nascetti G (2008) Advances and trends in the molecular systematics of anisakid
- 555 nematodes, with implications for their evolutionary ecology and host parasite co-
- evolutionary processes. Adv Parasitol 66:47-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-
- 557 <u>308X(08)00202-9</u>
- 558 Mattiucci S, Paoletti M, Solorzano AC, Nascetti G (2010) Contracaecum gibsoni n. sp. and C.
- 559 *overstreeti* n. sp. (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from the dalmatian pelican *Pelecanus crispus* (L.) in
- 560 Greek waters: genetic and morphological evidence. Syst Parasitol 75:207–224.
- 561 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-009-9220-8
- 562 Mattiucci S, Paoletti M, Webb SC, Sardella N, Timi JT, Berland B, Nascetti G (2008) Genetic
- relationships among species of *Contracaecum* Railliet & Henry, 1912 and *Phocascaris* Host,
- 564 1932 (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from pinnipeds based on mitochondrial cox2 sequences, and

- 565 congruence with allozyme data. Parasite 15:408–419.
- 566 https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2008153408
- 567 Mattiucci S, Sbaraglia GL, Palomba M, Filippi S, Paoletti M, Cipriani P, Nascetti G (2020) Genetic
- identification and insights into the ecology of *Contracaecum rudolphii* A and *C. rudolphii* B
- 569 (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from cormorants and fish of aquatic ecosystems of Central Italy.
- 570 Parasitol Res 119:1243–1257. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-020-06658-8</u>
- 571 McDaniel B, Patterson I (1966) Nematode infestation of a white pelican found along the gulf coast
- of Texas. Southwest Nat 11:312-312
- 573 Nadler SA, Hudspeth DSS (2000) Phylogeny of the Ascaridoidea (Nematoda: Ascaridida) based on
- 574 three genes and morphology: hypotheses of structural and sequence evolution. J Parasitol
- 575 86:380-393. https://doi.org/10.1645/0022-3395(2000)086[0380:POTANA]2.0.CO;2
- 576 Nascetti G, Bullini L, Cianchi R, Paggi L, Orecchia P, Mattiucci S, D'Amelio S, Berland B (1990).
- 577 Genetic relationships among anisakid species belonging to the genera *Contracaecum* and
- 578 *Phocascaris*. Bull Soc Fr Parasitol 8:261
- 579 Otachi EO, Szostakowska B, Jirsa F, Fellner-Frank C (2014) Parasite communities of the elongate
- 580 tigerfish *Hydrocynus forskahlii* (Cuvier 1819) and redbelly tilapia *Tilapia zillii* (Gervais 1848)
- 581 from Lake Turkana, Kenya: influence of host sex and size. Acta Parasitol 60:9–20.
- 582 <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2015-0002</u>
- 583 Poulin R (2007) Are there general laws in parasite ecology? Parasitology 134:763–776.
- 584 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182006002150
- 585 Pronkina NV, Spiridonov SE (2018) Morphological and molecular characterization of anisakid
- juveniles from the golden grey mullet of the Black Sea. Russ J Nematol 26:87-92.
- 587 https://doi.org/10.24411/0869-6918-2018-10008

589	Contracaecum rudolphii (Nematoda: Anisakidae) infection in the great cormorant
590	Phalacrocorax carbo (L. 1758). Helminthol 48:28-35. <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/s11687-011-</u>
591	<u>0006-6</u>
592	Saad AI, Younis AE, Rabei JM (2018) Experimental life cycle of <i>Contracaecum quadripapillatum</i> n.
593	sp. in white pelican (Pelecanus erythrorhynchos) at Lake Nasser, Egypt: Morphological and
594	genetic evidences. J Egypt Soc Parasitol 48:587-598
595	Sarig S (1990) The fish culture industry in Israel in 1989. Israeli J Aquacult Bamidgeh 42:39-45
596	Scott DA, Carp E (1982) A midwinter survey of wetlands in Mesopotamia, Iraq, 1979. Sandgrouse,
597	4: 60-76.
598	Shamsi S (2019) Parasite loss or parasite gain? Story of <i>Contracaecum</i> nematodes in antipodean
599	waters. Parasite Epidemiol Contr 4: e00087. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parepi.2019.e00087</u>
600	Shamsi S, Aghazadeh-Meshgi M (2011) Morphological and genetic characterisation of selected
601	Contracaecum (Nematoda: Anisakidae) larvae in Iran. Iran J Fish Sci 10:356-361
602	Shamsi S, Gasser R, Beveridge I, Shabani AA (2008) <i>Contracaecum pyripapillatum</i> n. sp.
603	(Nematoda: Anisakidae) and a description of <i>C. multipapillatum</i> (von Drasche, 1882) from
604	the Australian pelican, Pelecanus conspicillatus. Parasitol Res 103:1031–1039.
605	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-008-1088-z
606	Shamsi S, Steller E, Chen Y (2018a). New and known zoonotic nematode larvae within selected fish
607	species from Queensland waters in Australia. Int J Food Microbiol 272:73–82.
608	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.03.007

Rokicki J, Sołtysiak Z, Dziekońska-Rynko J, Borucińska J (2011) Pathology associated with

- 609 Shamsi S, Turner A, Wassens S (2018b) Description and genetic characterization of a new
- 610 *Contracaecum* larval type (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from Australia. J Helminthol 92:216–222.

611 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X17000360</u>

- 612 Shamsi S, Norman R, Gasser R, Beveridge I (2009) Redescription and genetic characterization of
- 613 selected *Contracaecum* spp. (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from various hosts in Australia.
- 614 Parasitol Res 104:1507-1525. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-009-1357-5</u>
- 615 Shamsi S, Stoddart A, Smales L, Wassens S (2019) Occurrence of *Contracaecum bancrofti* larvae in
- fish in the Murray–Darling Basin. J Helminthol 93:574-579.
- 617 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X1800055X</u>
- 518 Stossich M (1890) Elminti della Croazia. Glasnik Hrvatskoga Naravoslovnoga Društva 5:129-136.
- 619 Stossich M (1896) Il Genere Ascaris Linné. Lavoro monografico. Boll Soc Adriat Sci Nat Trieste
- 620 17:9–114
- Valentini A, Mattiucci S, Bondanelli P, Webb SC, Mignucci-Giannone AA, Colom-Llavina MM,
- 622 Nascetti G (2006) Genetic relationships among *Anisakis* species (Nematoda: Anisakidae)
- 623 inferred from mitochondrial *cox*2 sequences, and comparison with allozyme data. J Parasitol
- 624 92:156-166. <u>https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-3504.1</u>
- Valles-Vega I, Molina-Fernández D, Benítez R, Hernández-Trujillo S, Adroher FJ (2017) Early
- development and life cycle of *Contracaecum multipapillatum s.l.* from a brown pelican
- 627 *Pelecanus occidentalis* in the Gulf of California, Mexico. Dis Aquat Org 125:167-178.
- 628 <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/dao03147</u>
- Van Der Ven J (1987) Asian waterfowl 1987. Midwinter bird observations in most Asian countries.
- 630 IWB, Slimbridge, England
- Van Der Ven J (1988) Asian waterfowl 1988. Midwinter bird observations in most Asian countries.
- 632 IWRB, Slimbridge, England

- Yamaguti S (1935) Studies on the helminth fauna of Japan. Part 12. Avian nematodes, I. J J Zool
 634 6:403–431
- 635 Yamaguti S (1961) Systema Helminthum, vol. 3. The Nematodes of Vertebrates. Part II.
- 636 Interscience, New York, p 1575
- 637 Zhu X, Gasser RB, Podolska M, Chilton NB (1998) Characterisation of anisakid nematodes with
- zoonotic potential by nuclear ribosomal DNA Sequences. Int J Parasitol 28:1911–1921.
- 639 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(98)00150-7</u>
- 640 Zhu X, D'Amelio S, Paggi L, Gasser RB (2000). Assessing sequence variation in the internal
- 641 transcribed spacers of ribosomal DNA within and among members of the *Contracaecum*
- 642 *osculatum* complex (Nematoda: Ascaridoidea: Anisakidae). Parasitol Res 86:677–683.
- 643 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00008551</u>
- 2644 Zhu XQ, D'Amelio S, Gasser RB, Yang TB, Paggi L, He F, Lin RQ, Song HQ, Ai L, Li AX (2007) Practical
- 645 PCR tools for the delineation of *Contracaecum rudolphii* A and *Contracaecum rudolphii* B
- 646 (Ascaridoidea: Anisakidae) using genetic markers in nuclear ribosomal DNA. Mol Cell Probes
- 647 21:97–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2006.08.004</u>
- 648

649 Captions

- **Fig. 1** Map of Israel with detail of the sampling locality together with the number of *Contracaecum*
- 651 spp. collected from each *Pelecanus onocrotalus*
- 652 Fig. 2 Maximum-Likelihood tree based on the concatenated ITS1-ITS2 rDNA sequences showing
- the relationship between *C. micropapillatum* (condensed, containing 34 sequences GB acc. n.
- 654 ON714944-77), C. bancrofti, C. gibsoni, C. quadripapillatum and C. multipapillatum E described in

the present study (in bold) and the congeneric *Contracaecum* species. The tree is drawn to scale,
with branch length measured in the number of substitutions per site

Fig. 3 Maximum-Likelihood tree based on the *cox*2 mtDNA sequences showing the relationship

between *C. micropapillatum* (condensed <u>pink clade</u> containing 25 sequences GB acc. n. ON736808-

659 32<u>, expanded at right</u>), C. bancrofti, C. gibsoni, C. quadripapillatum and C. multipapillatum E

660 described in the present study (in bold) and <u>other</u> *Contracaecum* species. The inset<u>at right shows</u>

661 sequences from the present study nested with *C. micropapillatum* of Mattiucci et al (2008, 2010)

662 from *P. onocrotalus* sampled in Egypt and Greece. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch length

663 measured in the number of substitutions per site

664 **Fig. 4** PCR-RFLP pattern of *C. micropapillatum, C. gibsoni, C. quadripapillatum* and *C.*

665 *multipapillatum* E after digestion with *Msp*I (a) and *Ssp*I (b)

666 **Fig. 5** SEM micrographs of *C. micropapillatum* adult males: a) anterior end, showing dorsal lip (dl);

b) anterior end, showing subventral lip (svl); c) detail of bifid interlabium; d) ventral view of caudal

668 end, showing two rows of single pre-cloacal papillae, and two rows of double post-cloacal papillae;

e) detail of precloacal papillae; f) caudal end with everted spicule; g) detail of spicule tip; h)

670 specimen with unusual pattern of post-cloacal papillae

671 **Fig. 6** SEM micrographs of *C. micropapillatum* adult females: a) anterior end, showing dorsal lip

(dl) and subventral lip (svl); b) detail of vulva; c) lateral view of caudal end; d) detail of caudal tip

Fig. 7 Non-metric multidimensional scaling of eight morphometric distances in the present and

other studies of *C. micropapillatum* (Stossich 1890) and *C. bancrofti* Johnston and Mawson 1941.

Points are shape- and color-coded by worm sex and species (see inset legend) and labelled by

source, with unlabelled points representing individual worms in the present study. Other studies

are S=Shamsi et al. 2009; N=Norman (2005) cited in Shamsi et al. 2009; J=Johnston and Mawson

1941; C=Cram 1927; H=Hartwich 1964. Data point sizes for male worms are proportionate to
spicule lengths (see inset legend). Two-dimensional stress=0.16

Fig. 8 SEM micrographs of *C. gibsoni* adult males: a) subventral view of anterior end showing the shape of subventral lip and the position of the amphid (arrow); b) apical view of anterior end showing dorsal lip (dl) with two ovoid papillae, subventral lips (svl) and simple non-bifurcated interlabium (il); c) detailed ventral view of anterior end; d) ventral view of caudal end showing precloacal papillae; e) ventral view of caudal end showing the characteristic pattern of paracloacal and post-cloacal papillae; f) detail of the pyriform-shaped proximal and paracloacal papillae

Fig. 9 SEM micrographs of *C. gibsoni* adult females: a) subapical view of anterior end showing
dorsal lip (dl) with two ovoid papillae, subventral lips (svl) and simple non-bifurcated interlabium
(il); b) subapical view of anterior end showing the shape of subventral lips; c) caudal end; d) detail
of caudal tip, with phasmid (ph)

Fig. 10 SEM micrographs of *C. quadripapillatum* adult males: a) subventral view of anterior end showing the shape of the subventral lip with single ovoid papilla and short cuticular collar; b) apical view of anterior end showing dorsal lip (dl) with two ovoid papillae, subventral lips (svl) and simple non-bifurcated interlabium (il); c) ventral view of caudal end with everted spicule; d) detail of spicule tip; e) detail of the caudal end showing the characteristic pattern of caudal papillae, particularly, the first (d1) and second (d2) pair of distal papillae forming a square; f) detail of the caudal end showing pyriform-shaped paracloacal papillae

Fig. 11 SEM micrographs of *C. quadripapillatum* adult females: a) ventral view of anterior end,

698 showing the excretory pore (ep) at the base of ventral interlabium, between subventral lips (svl);

b) detail of the vulva; c) caudal end; d) detail of caudal tip

700