

Population Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Analysis of Valganciclovir for Optimizing Preemptive Therapy of Cytomegalovirus Infections in Kidney Transplant Recipients

® Pier Giorgio Cojutti,^{a,b} ◎ Aaron J. Heffernan,^c ◎ Thomas Tängdén,^d Paola Della Siega,^e Carlo Tascini,^e ◎ Jason A. Roberts,^{c,f,g,h,i} ◎ Federico Pea^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
^bClinical Pharmacology Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
^cCentre for Clinical Research, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Herston, Queensland, Australia
^dDepartment of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
^eInfectious Diseases Clinic, Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital of Udine, ASUFC, Udine, Italy
^fDepartment of Pharmacy, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
⁹Herston Infectious Diseases Institute (HeIDI), Metro North Health, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
^hDepartment of Intensive Care Medicine, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
ⁱDivision of Anaesthesiology Critical Care Emergency and Pain Medicine, Nimes University Hospital, University of Montpellier, Nimes, France

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY and Chemotherapy®

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

ABSTRACT This study aimed to develop a population pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) model of valganciclovir for preemptive therapy of cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection in kidney transplant patients. A population PK/PD model was developed with Monolix. Ganciclovir concentrations and CMV viral loads were obtained retrospectively from kidney transplant patients receiving routine clinical care. Ten thousand Monte Carlo simulations were performed with the licensed dosages adjusted for renal function to assess the probability of attaining a viral load target of \leq 290 and \leq 137 IU/mL. Fiftyseven patients provided 343 ganciclovir concentrations and 328 CMV viral loads for PK/ PD modeling. A one-compartment pharmacokinetic model coupled with an indirect viral turnover growth model with stimulation of viral degradation pharmacodynamic model was devised. Simulations showed that 1- and 2-log₁₀ reduction of CMV viral load mostly occurred between a median of 5 to 6 and 12 to 16 days, respectively. The licensed dosages achieved a probability of reaching the viral load target \ge 90% at days 35 to 49 and 42 to 56 for the thresholds of \leq 290 and \leq 137 IU/mL, respectively. Simulations indicate that in patients with an estimated glomerular filtration rate of 10 to 24 mL/min/1.73m², a dose increase to 450 mg every 36 h may reduce time to optimal viral load target to days 42 and 49 from a previous time of 49 and 56 days for the thresholds of \leq 290 and \leq 137 IU/mL, respectively. Currently licensed dosages of valganciclovir for preemptive therapy of CMV infection may achieve a viral load reduction within the first 2 weeks, but treatment should continue for \geq 35 days to ensure viral load suppression.

KEYWORDS cytomegalovirus, kidney transplant, valganciclovir PK/PD, preemptive therapy

Kidney transplant (KT) recipients are at high risk of cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection and disease. CMV is a double-stranded DNA virus that is part of the *Herpesviridae* family, causing both direct and indirect effects in KT recipients (1). Direct effects predominantly include end-organ CMV disease such as pneumonia, retinitis, colitis, and nephritis (1). Indirect effects can include graft rejection, propagation of atherosclerosis and vascular disease, and reduced renal function (2–4). Therefore, CMV viremia and **Copyright** © 2023 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to Pier Giorgio Cojutti, piergiorgio.cojutti@unibo.it. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 12 December 2022 Returned for modification 5 January 2023 Accepted 18 January 2023 Published 23 February 2023 disease prevention are of critical importance in mitigating the negative sequelae following KT.

The risk of CMV disease, viremia, and associated sequelae may be reduced with antivirals such as valganciclovir (5–7). Valganciclovir is an oral prodrug of ganciclovir with an improved bioavailability over the parent drug (~65%), which is rapidly converted to ganciclovir after reaching the bloodstream (8). Ganciclovir is renally eliminated, necessitating dose adjustments in renal failure, which is a critical consideration given the high potential for renal function fluctuations in KT patients. Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of ganciclovir is used in some centers and could represent a valuable tool to minimize the likelihood of subtherapeutic drug dosing in KT patients, which may increase the risk of CMV viremia (9–11).

A problem in the implementation of TDM is the sparse data describing associations between ganciclovir exposure with efficacy and toxicity. In one study, a ganciclovir 24-h area under the concentration-time curve (AUC₂₄) of >50 mg·h/L was associated with CMV viremia suppression, but the findings were not confirmed in two smaller studies (12, 13). Other recent studies did not find any appreciable difference in viremia suppression when an AUC₂₄ of >50 mg·h/L target was achieved using a low (450 mg daily) or a high (900 mg daily) dose of valganciclovir (13–15). A recent clinical pharma-cokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) study demonstrated a slow decline of the viral load among patients receiving either valganciclovir orally or ganciclovir intravenously for preemptive therapy, taking approximately 12.5 days to achieve a 1-log₁₀ DNA copies/mL viral load decrease (16). Finally, in another study, ganciclovir trough concentrations (C_{trough}) of >2.6 mg/L were associated with adverse events such as myelosuppression; however, a paucity of data exists adequately describing the toxicodynamics of valganciclovir (10). Overall, these findings suggest that optimal dosing and therapeutic targets of valganciclovir in patients receiving preemptive therapy remain unclear.

The aim of this study was to perform a population PK/PD analysis to describe the CMV viral load in relation to ganciclovir exposure over time in a cohort of KT patients receiving preemptive therapy for CMV infection and to simulate the attainment of CMV viremia suppression thresholds associated with the licensed doses.

RESULTS

Demographics and clinical data. Fifty-seven patients were included in this PK/PD analysis (Fig. 1). The median (minimum-maximum range) age, weight, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of included patients were 55 (30 to 75) years, 73 (43 to 103) kg, and 36.9 (4.5 to 76.2) mL/min/1.73 m², respectively (Table 1). At the start of valganciclovir treatment, 36.8% (21/57) patients had an eGFR of <30 mL/min/1.73m², while 15.8% (9/57) patients had an eGFR of \geq 60 mL/min/1.73m². The median (minimum-maximum range) duration of valganciclovir treatment was 49 days (14 to 138 days). The median (minimum-maximum range) number of valganciclovir concentration and CMV viral load assessments per patient were 5 (1 to 13) and 5 (2 to 12), respectively. The median (minimum-maximum range) C_{trough} was 1.06 (0.18 to 10.75) mg/L. The median CMV viral load at baseline was 4.09 log₁₀ IU/mL, with a wide interindividual variability (coefficient of variation [CV%] of 247.33%). One patient had CMV reactivation after 54 days following an undetectable viral load. Another patient had persistent viremia after 18 days of treatment.

Population PK/PD modeling. A total of 343 ganciclovir plasma concentrations were included in the pharmacokinetic model. A one-compartment model with first-order absorption and elimination was used as the base model, and eGFR was included as a covariate on clearance (CL) in the final population pharmacokinetic model. The final covariate model showed a coefficient of determination of the observed versus population-predicted concentration of R^2 of 0.53 and of the observed versus individual-predicted concentration of R^2 of 0.82 (Fig. 2A and B, respectively). The population ganciclovir PK posterior parameters' mean (standard deviation [SD]) values were 10.88 (3.32) L/h for CL, 28.40 (40.52) L for volume of distribution (*V*), 0.39 (0.84) h⁻¹ for rate constant of valganciclovir absorption (K_a), and 0.74 (0.15) for oral bioavailability.



FIG 1 Flowchart of patient inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Bayesian individual posterior median estimates of the pharmacokinetic parameters were used as covariates in the pharmacodynamic model. The fit of the linked PK/ PD model to the data was acceptable, with an R^2 of 0.67 for the observed versus population-predicted values (Fig. 2C) and an R^2 of 0.98 after the *post hoc* Bayesian step (Fig. 2D). The visual predictive check plot of the pharmacodynamic model demonstrated acceptable predictive performance of the data set viral load values given that the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of the observed data were inside the simulated prediction intervals (Fig. 3). The parameter estimates of the pharmacodynamic model are summarized in Table 2. All pharmacodynamic parameters were estimated with

TABLE 1 Demographics and clinical characteristics^a

Characteristic	Value
Patient demographics	
Total no. of patients	57
Age (yrs)	55 (49–63)
Gender (male/female)	43/14
Body wt (kg)	73.0 (68.5–82.0)
Ht (m)	1.70 (1.68–1.78)
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m ²) ^b	36.9 (28.1–52.9)
Ganciclovir treatment	
Median dose (mg)	491.45 (425.77–652.50)
Length of treatment (days)	49.0 (29.0-63.0)
No. of TDM assessment per patient	5.0 (4.0-8.0)
Pharmacokinetics	
Ganciclovir trough concn (mg/L)	1.06 (0.65–1.75)
Pharmacodynamics	
Baseline CMV load (\log_{10} IU/mL)	4.09 (3.66-4.68)
Time to undetectable viral load (days)	16.0 (7.75–23.25)

^aData are presented as median (IQR) for continuous variables and as number (%) for dichotomous variables. ^beGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.



FIG 2 Diagnostic plot for the population pharmacokinetic (top panels) and pharmacodynamic (bottom panels) models. Shown are observed versus population-predicted concentrations (top left) and individual-predicted concentrations (top right) in plasma and observed versus population-predicted CMV viral loads (bottom left) and individual-predicted CMV viral loads (bottom right) in plasma. Blue dots are the observed CMV viral loads; orange dots are the simulated CMV viral load below the limit of quantification. Solid lines refer to linear regression between observed and predicted values. Dashed lines are the identity lines between observed and predicted values.

good precision. A relatively high residual squared error (RSE) percentage was observed for the 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}), but the absolute value was consistent with ganciclovir plasma concentrations observed in patients.

Monte Carlo simulation. The log_{10} CMV viral load versus time trend of the 10,000 Monte Carlo-simulated subjects (Table 3; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) showed that all but one of the four valganciclovir dosages adjusted for renal function followed a similar decline over time. All dosing regimens achieved 1-log₁₀ decline within 5 to 6 days of treatment; however, patients with severe renal dysfunction needed 3 more days than patients in all the other classes of renal function (16 versus 12 to 13 days) to achieve a viral load reduction of 2 log₁₀. Consequently, we simulated an alternative dosage in patients with severe renal dysfunction, namely, 450 mg administered every 36 h. Simulations showed that this higher dosage did not shorten the time to 2-log₁₀ decrease



FIG 3 Prediction-corrected visual predictive check for the population pharmacodynamic model. Blue lines represent the median, 10th, and 90th percentiles of the observed values; shaded areas are the prediction intervals for the median (red central area) and 10th and 90th percentiles (light blue bottom and top areas).

in patients with severe renal dysfunction but reduced the time to achieve the optimal viral load target of CMV viral load \leq 290 and/or \leq 137 IU/mL (Table 4).

Currently licensed dosing regimens reduced the viral load to <290 IU/mL by 35, 42, and 49 days in patients with normal-mild, moderate, and severe renal function, respectively. Likewise, regarding the more restrictive threshold of \leq 137 IU/mL, 42 to 49 days were required for patients with normal renal function and in those with mild-to-moderate renal dysfunction, respectively, to achieve the target viral threshold. Conversely, patients with severe renal dysfunction required 56 days of treatment. Of note, intensifying the dosage in patients with severe renal dysfunction from 450 mg administered every 48 h to 450 mg every 36 h reduced the time to achieve optimal viral load target to those comparable to the other licensed doses (namely, 35 days for time to \leq 290 IU/mL and 49 days for time to \leq 137 IU/mL).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we developed a pharmacodynamic model of valganciclovir for preemptive therapy of CMV infection in KT patients. Our joint PK/PD population model was based on real-world clinical data that describe changes in CMV viral loads over

TABLE 2 Summary of	the population pha	rmacodynamic model
--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

		Median (25th to 75th percentile)
Parameter	Value (%RSE)	of the bootstrap
Fixed effects		
R _o (IU/mL)	4.13 (2.63)	4.13 (4.05–4.21)
$k_{\rm out}$ (h ⁻¹)	0.00045 (24.3)	0.00042 (0.00033-0.00051)
E _{max}	6.16 (21.2)	7.14 (5.28–7.80)
EC ₅₀ (mg/L)	0.12 (70.3)	0.01428 (0.0073–0.162)
SD of the random effects		
ωR _o	0.16 (14.2)	0.15 (0.141–0.161)
ωK _{out}	0.60 (24.5)	0.51 (0.444-0.588)
ωE_{max}	0.40 (40.8)	0.42 (0.261-0.468)
ωEC_{50}	0.74 (43.3)	1.33 (0.861–1.611)
Residual variability		
b (proportional) ^a	0.12 (10.9)	0.12 (0.108–0.126)

^{*a*}*b* is proportional residual error model.

TABLE 3 Simulated median (25th to 75th percentiles) time to 1- and 2-log ₁₀ CMV viral load
decline with the recommended dosages of valganciclovir for preemptive therapy adjusted
for renal function

eGFR	Recommended	No. of days to	No. of days to
(mL/min/1.73 m ²)	dosage	1-log decline	2-log decline
60–130	900 mg q12h ^a	6.0 (3.0–10.0)	13.0 (7.0–23.0)
40–59	450 mg q12h	5.0 (3.0–9.0)	12.0 (7.0-21.0)
25–39	450 mg q24h	6.0 (4.0-10.0)	13.0 (8.0–24.0)
10–24	450 mg q48h	6.0 (4.0-11.0)	16.0 (11.0–33.0)
	450 mg q36h ^b	6.0 (4.0–10.0)	16.0 (9.0–25.0)

^aq12h, every 12 hours.

^bDose suggested (not licensed) for patients with eGFR of 10 to 24 mL/min/1.73 m².

time. Overall, we found that standard doses of valganciclovir produced a rapid decline of viral load within the first 1 to 2 weeks, but a longer duration of therapy up to 42 to 49 days may be required for CMV suppression.

A separate population PK/PD model studied the effect of valganciclovir in reducing CMV viral load in a mixed population of 17 hematopoietic stem cell and solid organ transplant patients who received either intravenous ganciclovir or oral valganciclovir as prophylaxis, preemptive treatment, or therapy for established infection caused by CMV and/or human herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6) (16). The differences in study design and patient population impede a direct comparison of results. However, the EC₅₀ value in the previously described study was 200-fold higher than in ours (13.86 mg/L or 54 μ M versus 0.12 mg/L [0.47 μ M]), with our estimation in line with previously reported *in vitro* data (range, 0.04 to 37.2 μ M) (17). Additionally, the time to achieve a 1-log₁₀ decline in the CMV viral load in that study was more than double our model (12.5 versus 5 to 6 days).

Monte Carlo simulations showed that the profile of the viral decline over time was quite similar with the approved dosages among different classes of renal function. Most patients achieved a $2-\log_{10}$ decline within 16 days. After that time, the further decrease of viral load was slow. Of interest, the initial reduction in the viral load was not influenced by the viral load at baseline (R_0). In contrast, the achievement of the target thresholds depends on the patient initial viral load, suggesting that higher initial viral loads may require more time for clearance. Moreover, the dosing regimen licensed for the lowest level of renal function, namely, 450 mg every 48 h, was associated with the longest time to reach optimal target attainment. In this regard, a dose increase to 450 mg every 36 h in patients with eGFR 10 to 24 mL/min/1.73 m² may be considered. However, the target probabilities of target attainment (PTAs) are always achieved after day 35.

From a clinical perspective, these findings support a preemptive treatment duration of 14 days, as currently recommended by international guidelines (18, 19). An extension of treatment of another 3 to 6 weeks may be considered in patients who have yet to

CMV viral load	eGFR (mL/ min/1.73 m²)	Recommended dosage	PTA at day ^b :							
threshold (IU/mL)			7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56
≤290	60-130	900 mg q12h	37.7	67.4	80.1	86.7	90.3	92.5	93.9	94.8
	40-59	450 mg q12h	40.3	70.6	83.3	89.5	92.7	94.8	95.9	96.0
	25-39	450 mg q24h	36.7	66.3	79.2	85.9	89.5	92.1	93.7	94.
	10–24	450 mg q48h	35.1	63.3	76.9	83.3	87.5	89.7	91.7	92.9
		450 mg q36h ^a	38.1	68.4	80.5	86.9	90.5	92.7	94.3	95.2
≤137	60–130	900 mg q12h	26.8	56.6	71.9	80.8	85.5	88.7	90.6	92.3
	40-59	450 mg q12h	28.1	59.6	75.4	83.9	88.4	91.6	93.3	94.9
	25-39	450 mg q24h	25.2	55.2	71.0	79.9	84.9	87.9	90.2	91.8
	10–24	450 mg q48h	23.9	52.0	68.7	77.1	82.4	85.3	87.9	89.
		450 mg q36h ^a	26.6	57.3	72.7	81.2	85.9	88.7	91.1	92.

TABLE 4 Probability of target attainment (PTA) of CMV viral load \leq 290 IU/mL and \leq 137 IU/mL over time with the recommended valganciclovir dosages for preemptive therapy adjusted for renal function

^{*a*}Dose suggested (not licensed) for patients with eGFR of 10 to 24 mL/min/1.73 m². ^{*b*}Grey shading denote probability of target attainment >90%. achieve sustained viral load suppression with the current licensed dosages adjusted for renal function. Additionally, clinicians should also consider the possibility of acquired resistance to ganciclovir due to the UL97 or UL54 genetic mutations in patients who fail to clear the CMV viremia (20).

The PK/PD of antivirals is not well defined, even at a preclinical level (21). In fact, for antivirals, there is no standard pharmacodynamic parameter such as the MIC for testing antiviral susceptibility, as is available for bacterial infections. Thus, the ganciclovir AUC₂₄ has been used as a surrogate metric of efficacy. The exposure-response relationship of ganciclovir has been mainly based on results from two prospective clinical trials in solid organ transplant recipients that associated systemic exposure with the risk of developing CMV viremia. Wiltshire et al., in 372 solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients, found that an AUC₂₄ of 50 mg·h/L predicted an average incidence of viremia of 1.3%, whereas an AUC₂₄ of <25 mg·h/L was associated with 8-fold risk increase (13). Padulles et al. observed that an AUC₂₄ of 40 to 50 mg·h/L in 55 SOT patients was associated with a shorter time to CMV clearance, less CMV viremia breakthrough, and less CMV disease recurrence (22).

We are aware that this study has some limitations. First, its retrospective nature and the number of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic observations were limited for some patients. This may have generated individual posterior parameters that were more affected by the population values than by the individualized estimates. Second, we did not have the possibility to collect clinical outcome data to verify delayed-onset CMV disease after completion of preemptive treatment. We recognize toxicity warrants further investigation, especially when considering dosages that are higher than currently recommended. On the other hand, our model had the advantage of accounting for CMV load values below the limit of quantification of the assay method. This approach is innovative, as it enabled us to overcome the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of the analytical method, thus allowing us to obtain complete CMV profiles over time for all patients. Third, even if our population was homogenous in terms of type of transplant and immunosuppressive regimen, we recognize the effect of baseline CMV viral loads, as this may affect the required duration of therapy to achieve viral clearance (23). Finally, we recognize that newer analytic methods for TDM of ganciclovir, which are more specific and sensitive than ours, are available (24).

In conclusion, we developed a PK/PD model of valganciclovir for preemptive therapy of CMV in KT patients. We observed that approved dosages produce a rapid decline of viral load over the first 2 weeks. Further viral load reductions occur at a lower rate, and more than 35 days of drug administration may be required to achieve viral load suppression. A prospective study is warranted to confirm the reliability of our findings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Setting. This was a retrospective single-center study conducted among adult *de novo* KT recipients who received valganciclovir for preemptive therapy against CMV infection at the Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital of Udine, Italy. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Friuli-Venezia Giulia region. Due to the retrospective nature of this investigation, informed written consent was waived.

Study population. Preemptive therapy with valganciclovir was started in those patients with high-risk donor positive/recipient negative CMV status (D⁺/R⁻) and/or recipient positive CMV status (R⁺) status and with a detectable CMV DNA viral load (>2.46 log₁₀ IU/mL equal to >500 copies/mL, 1 IU/mL = 1.72 copies/mL) identified during routine weekly monitoring. Patients requiring renal replacement therapy and those with a previous kidney rejection were excluded. All the patients received an immunosuppressive regimen that included tacrolimus (C_{trough} targeted at 5 to 8 ng/mL), mycophenolate, and prednisone. Valganciclovir therapy was started at the dosages recommended by the Summary of Product Characteristics according to the different classes of renal function (900 mg every 12 h in patients with estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] of \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m², 450 mg every 48 h for eGFR of 10 to 24 mL/min/1.73 m²).

Local protocols recommended that ganciclovir plasma concentrations were measured at C_{trough} with a target range of 0.31 to 1.63 mg/L, according to reference 25. Our approach to dose adjustments of valganciclovir was to increase the dose if the plasma trough concentration was <0.3 mg/L and to reduce the dose when it was >2 mg/L. Blood samples were collected 72 h after starting therapy, immediately prior to dose administration, and, whenever feasible, 2 h after administration for assessing the maximum plasma concentration (C_{peak}). All patients were administered the drug on an empty stomach. Ganciclovir concentrations and CMV DNA were assessed every 1 or 2 weeks up to the end of treatment. Therapy was discontinued when CMV DNA viral load was undetectable after two consecutive weekly assessments.

The following demographic and clinical data were retrieved from each patient's medical record: age, gender, weight, height, donor/recipient serological status, serum creatinine, ganciclovir concentration, and CMV viral load. Different from what is reported in the Summary of Product Characteristics of valganciclovir in which dose adjustments are based on creatinine clearance estimated by means of the Cockcroft-Gault formula, in this study, eGFR by means of the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula (26) was used. This was because, at our institution, eGFR based on CKD-EPI has been adopted for reporting glomerular filtration rate, as it showed higher accuracy than creatinine clearance based on the Cockcroft-Gault formula (27).

Sample measurement. Ganciclovir concentrations were analyzed with validated high-performance liquid-chromatography methods with UV detection, as previously described (28). Precision and accuracy were assessed by replicate analysis of quality control samples against calibration standards. Intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were always <10%. The lower limit of detection was 0.2 mg/L.

CMV viral load was measured in plasma by collecting 5 mL of venous blood samples treated with EDTA. Nucleic acids were extracted using the Versant kPCR molecular system SP (Siemens Healthcare). Detection and quantification of CMV-specific DNA were performed with the RealStar CMV PCR kit 1.0 (Altona Diagnostics GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) on the real-time PCR Versant kPCR molecular system AD (Siemens Healthcare). The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was 290 IU/mL (500 copies/mL).

Population pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analysis. In order to overcome model instability and avoid biases when fitting simultaneously the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data in a joint PK/PD model, a sequential model was used as already performed (16). First, a pharmacokinetic model was built and fitted to the data. This PK model was based on the previously developed population pharmacokinetic model of Tangden et al. (29). The median Bayesian posterior estimates of the pharmacokinetic parameters were obtained for each patient. Second, a pharmacodynamic model was developed and fitted to the individual CMV viral load profiles over time. For this purpose, the pharmacokinetic posterior estimates were supplied as covariates, and the CMV viral loads were expressed in international units per milliliter and converted to log₁₀ scale. Patients undergoing renal replacement therapy were excluded.

Population pharmacokinetic modeling was conducted with the nonparametric adaptive grid (NPAG) approach implemented within Pmetrics (version 1.5.2) (30) for R (version 3.6.1). Since most ganciclovir concentrations were $C_{trough'}$ modeling was based according to the one-compartment model developed by Tangden et al. (29), which included mainly C_{trough} values and eGFR as covariate of ganciclovir total clearance. Moreover, a nonparametric approach was preferred to a parametric one, as it allows more flexibility in parameter estimates, considering that it holds the ability to accommodate parameter probability distribution of any shape (31). The Bayesian pharmacokinetic posterior estimates obtained from each patient were extracted from Pmetrics and implemented as covariates in the pharmacodynamic model.

Pharmacodynamic modeling was performed using Monolix software (version 2021R1; Lixofit, Antony, France). The structural pharmacodynamic model was an indirect viral turnover model with stimulation of the viral degradation as follows:

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = k_{\rm in} - k_{\rm out} \times \left(1 + \frac{E_{\rm max} \times C_p}{EC_{50} + C_p}\right) \times R$$

where R represents the response (i.e., CMV viral load in plasma); $\frac{dR}{dt}$ represents the changing rate of viral load in plasma relative to time; Cp is the ganciclovir plasma total concentration; k_{in} and k_{out} represent the increasing and declining growth and elimination rates of CMV viral load in plasma, respectively; EC₅₀ represents the ganciclovir concentration causing half-maximal rate of killing; and E_{max} is the maximum rate of CMV viral load decline. The initial CMV viral load at time zero (R_0) was equivalent to the k_{in}/k_{out} ratio ($R_0 = k_{in}/k_{out}$).

In addition, the Monolix software offered the chance of handling censored values, namely, values of CMV viral load below the LLOQ. The SAEM algorithm of Monolix may simulate below-limit of quantification (BLQ) values by taking into account the prediction at the time of the BLQ and its respective residual error of distribution. If the sampled residual error is within the censored interval, then the simulated BLQ value is obtained; otherwise, it is rejected, and the iteration is repeated. Simulated BLQs are then used for fitting and producing the observed versus predicted plots. In this way, censored values were incorporated into the model analysis.

Evaluation of the PK/PD model was based on the following goodness-of-fit plots: observation versus individual and population predictions, residual-based plots (individual weighted residuals and population-weighted residuals), and the visual predicted check (VPC) plot. The VPC plot depicts the time course of the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of ganciclovir concentrations or CMV viral loads and the corresponding 90% prediction intervals calculated from 500 Monte Carlo samples. One thousand nonparametric boot-strap iterations with resampling of each population parameter were simulated with the Rsmlx package of R (R speaks Monolix), and median (interquartile range [IQR]) values of each parameter were reported. A comparison of the performances of the joint and the sequential PK/PD models is reported in Table S1 and Fig. S2 in the supplemental material. The observed versus predicted concentration plot of both the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics were replotted in R.

Monte Carlo simulation and probability of viral load target attainment. Monte Carlo simulations were performed by means of Simulx 2020R1. The developed PK/PD was used to generate 10,000 CMV viral load versus time profiles for each of the four valganciclovir dosing regimens approved for preemptive therapy in relation to the different classes of renal function (900 mg every 12 h for eGFR of 60 to 130 mL/min/1.73 m², 450 mg every 12 h for eGFR of 40 to 59 mL/min/1.73 m², 450 mg every 24 h for eGFR of 25 to 39 mL/min/1.73 m², and 450 mg every 48 h for eGFR of 10 to 24 mL/min/1.73m².

Simulations were conducted in Simulx using the PK/PD population parameters with their respective interindividual variability (omega values) and by reparameterizing the population clearance with eGFR according to a power function as previously described (29).

The decline of CMV viral load over time was calculated for each simulated profile and expressed as 1- and $2-\log_{10}$ decline from the initial value.

The probability of viral load target attainment using thresholds of \leq 290 and \leq 137 IU/mL with the four different recommended dosing regimens was calculated. The threshold of 290 IU/mL corresponded to the LLOQ of our CMV DNA monitoring assay. The threshold of 137 IU/mL was selected based on the findings of Razonable et al. (23), who showed that this value was associated with CMV suppression level predictive of clinical disease resolution among the 267 solid organ transplant patients included in the VICTOR clinical trial (32). Optimal target attainment was defined as \geq 90%.

If one or more of the licensed doses appreciably differed from the others, alternate dosing regimens were simulated to achieve a similar viral suppression.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1**, DOCX file, 0.3 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.A.R. would like to acknowledge funding from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council for a Centre of Research Excellence (APP2007007) and an Investigator Grant (APP2009736) as well as an Advancing Queensland Clinical Fellowship.

P.G.C., F.P., J.A.R., T.T., and A.J.H. conceptualized the study, conducted the analysis, and drafted the manuscript. P.G.C. and P.D.S. acquired and interpreted clinical data. C.T., J.A.R., and F.P. supervised the project and reviewed the entire contents of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

This research received no external funding and was conducted as part of routine clinical care.

REFERENCES

- Ljungman P, Griffiths P, Paya C. 2002. Definitions of cytomegalovirus infection and disease in transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 34:1094–1097. https://doi .org/10.1086/339329.
- Raval AD, Kistler KD, Tang Y, Murata Y, Snydman DR. 2021. Epidemiology, risk factors, and outcomes associated with cytomegalovirus in adult kidney transplant recipients: a systematic literature review of real-world evidence. Transpl Infect Dis 23:e13483. https://doi.org/10.1111/tid.13483.
- McBride JM, Sheinson D, Jiang J, Lewin-Koh N, Werner BG, Chow JKL, Wu X, Tavel JA, Snydman DR. 2019. Correlation of cytomegalovirus (CMV) disease severity and mortality with CMV viral burden in CMV-seropositive donor and CMV-seronegative solid organ transplant recipients. Open Forum Infect Dis 6:ofz003. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofz003.
- Legendre C, Pascual M. 2008. Improving outcomes for solid-organ transplant recipients at risk from cytomegalovirus infection: late-onset disease and indirect consequences. Clin Infect Dis 46:732–740. https://doi.org/10 .1086/527397.
- Hodson EM, Ladhani M, Webster AC, Strippoli GF, Craig JC, Cochrane Kidney and Transplant Group. 2013. Antiviral medications for preventing cytomegalovirus disease in solid organ transplant recipients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev CD003774. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003774.pub4.
- Lowance D, Neumayer HH, Legendre CM, Squifflet JP, Kovarik J, Brennan PJ, Norman D, Mendez R, Keating MR, Coggon GL, Crisp A, Lee IC, International Valacyclovir Cytomegalovirus Prophylaxis Transplantation Study Group. 1999. Valacyclovir for the prevention of cytomegalovirus disease after renal transplantation. N Engl J Med 340:1462–1470. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJM199905133401903.
- Ruenroengbun N, Sapankaew T, Chaiyakittisopon K, Phoompoung P, Ngamprasertchai T. 2022. Efficacy and safety of antiviral agents in preventing allograft rejection following CMV prophylaxis in high-risk kidney transplantation: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:865735. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fcimb.2022.865735.
- Franck B, Autmizguine J, Marquet P, Ovetchkine P, Woillard JB. 2021. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and therapeutic drug monitoring of valganciclovir and ganciclovir in transplantation. Clin Pharmacol Ther 112:233–276. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.2431.

- Natori Y, Alghamdi A, Tazari M, Miller V, Husain S, Komatsu T, Griffiths P, Ljungman P, Orchanian-Cheff A, Kumar D, Humar A, CMV Consensus Forum. 2018. Use of viral load as a surrogate marker in clinical studies of cytomegalovirus in solid organ transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 66:617–631. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix793.
- Selby PR, Shakib S, Peake SL, Warner MS, Yeung D, Hahn U, Roberts JA. 2021. A Systematic review of the clinical pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and toxicodynamics of ganciclovir/valganciclovir in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant patients. Clin Pharmacokinet 60:727–739. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-020-00982-z.
- Märtson AG, Edwina AE, Kim HY, Knoester M, Touw DJ, Sturkenboom MGG, Alffenaar JC. 2022. Therapeutic drug monitoring of ganciclovir: where are we? Ther Drug Monit 44:138–147. https://doi.org/10.1097/ FTD.0000000000000925.
- Wong DD, van Zuylen WJ, Craig ME, Rawlinson WD. 2019. Systematic review of ganciclovir pharmacodynamics during the prevention of cytomegalovirus infection in adult solid organ transplant recipients. Rev Med Virol 29:e2023. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2023.
- Wiltshire H, Paya CV, Pescovitz MD, Humar A, Dominguez E, Washburn K, Blumberg E, Alexander B, Freeman R, Heaton N, Zuideveld KP, Valganciclovir Solid Organ Transplant Study Group. 2005. Pharmacodynamics of oral ganciclovir and valganciclovir in solid organ transplant recipients. Transplantation 79:1477–1483. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.tp.0000164512 .99703.ad.
- Xin W, Hui Y, Xiaodong Z, Xiangli C, Shihui W, Lihong L. 2017. Effectiveness of valganciclovir 900mg versus 450mg for cytomegalovirus prophylaxis in renal transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pharm Pharm Sci 20:168–183. https://doi.org/10.18433/J3805B.
- Hwang SD, Lee JH, Lee SW, Kim JK, Kim MJ, Song JH. 2018. Effect of lowdose vs standard-dose valganciclovir in the prevention of cytomegalovirus disease in kidney transplantation recipients: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Transplant Proc 50:2473–2478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .transproceed.2018.01.023.
- Martson AG, Sturkenboom MGG, Knoester M, van der WT, Alffenaar JC, Hope W, Consortium G-S. 2022. Standard ganciclovir dosing results in

slow decline of cytomegalovirus viral loads. J Antimicrob Chemother 77: 466–473. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkab419.

- Chemaly RF, Hill JA, Voigt S, Peggs KS. 2019. In vitro comparison of currently available and investigational antiviral agents against pathogenic human double-stranded DNA viruses: a systematic literature review. Antiviral Res 163:50–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2019.01.008.
- Kotton CN, Kumar D, Caliendo AM, Huprikar S, Chou S, Danziger-Isakov L, Humar A, The Transplantation Society International CMV Consensus Group. 2018. The third international consensus guidelines on the management of cytomegalovirus in solid-organ transplantation. Transplantation 102:900–931. https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.000000000002191.
- Razonable RR, Humar A. 2019. Cytomegalovirus in solid organ transplant recipients—Guidelines of the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. Clin Transplant 33:e13512. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ctr.13512.
- Gilbert C, Boivin G. 2005. Human cytomegalovirus resistance to antiviral drugs. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:873–883. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.49.3.873-883.2005.
- 21. Schmidt S, Barbour A, Sahre M, Rand KH, Derendorf H. 2008. PK/PD: new insights for antibacterial and antiviral applications. Curr Opin Pharmacol 8:549–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2008.06.010.
- Padulles A, Colom H, Bestard O, Melilli E, Sabe N, Rigo R, Niubo J, Torras J, Llado L, Manito N, Caldes A, Cruzado JM, Grinyo JM, Lloberas N. 2016. Contribution of Population pharmacokinetics to dose optimization of ganciclovir-valganciclovir in solid-organ transplant patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:1992–2002. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02130-15.
- Razonable RR, Asberg A, Rollag H, Duncan J, Boisvert D, Yao JD, Caliendo AM, Humar A, Do TD. 2013. Virologic suppression measured by a cytomegalovirus (CMV) DNA test calibrated to the World Health Organization international standard is predictive of CMV disease resolution in transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 56:1546–1553. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit096.
- Pigliasco F, Cafaro A, Simeoli R, Barco S, Magnasco A, Faraci M, Tripodi G, Goffredo BM, Cangemi G. 2021. A UHPLC–MS/MS method for therapeutic

drug monitoring of aciclovir and ganciclovir in plasma and dried plasma spots. Biomedicines 9:1379. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines9101379.

- Fishman JA, Doran MT, Volpicelli SA, Cosimi AB, Flood JG, Rubin RH. 2000. Dosing of intravenous ganciclovir for the prophylaxis and treatment of cytomegalovirus infection in solid organ transplant recipients. Transplantation 69:389–394. https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-200002150-00014.
- Flamant M, Haymann JP, Vidal-Petiot E, Letavernier E, Clerici C, Boffa JJ, Vrtovsnik F. 2012. GFR estimation using the Cockcroft-Gault, MDRD study, and CKD-EPI equations in the elderly. Am J Kidney Dis 60:847–849. https:// doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2012.08.001.
- Michels WM, Grootendorst DC, Verduijn M, Elliott EG, Dekker FW, Krediet RT. 2010. Performance of the Cockcroft-Gault, MDRD, and new CKD-EPI formulas in relation to GFR, age, and body size. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 5: 1003–1009. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.06870909.
- Boulieu R, Bleyzac N, Ferry S. 1991. High-performance liquid chromatographic determination of ganciclovir in plasma. J Chromatogr 567:481–484.
- Tangden T, Cojutti PG, Roberts JA, Pea F. 2018. Valganciclovir pharmacokinetics in patients receiving oral prophylaxis following kidney transplantation and model-based predictions of optimal dosing regimens. Clin Pharmacokinet 57:1399–1405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-018-0638-5.
- Neely MN, van Guilder MG, Yamada WM, Schumitzky A, Jelliffe RW. 2012. Accurate detection of outliers and subpopulations with Pmetrics, a nonparametric and parametric pharmacometric modeling and simulation package for R. Ther Drug Monit 34:467–476. https://doi.org/10.1097/FTD .0b013e31825c4ba6.
- Goutelle S, Woillard JB, Neely M, Yamada W, Bourguignon L. 2022. Nonparametric methods in population pharmacokinetics. J Clin Pharmacol 62:142–157. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcph.1650.
- 32. Asberg A, Humar A, Rollag H, Jardine AG, Mouas H, Pescovitz MD, Sgarabotto D, Tuncer M, Noronha IL, Hartmann A, Group VS, VICTOR Study Group. 2007. Oral valganciclovir is noninferior to intravenous ganciclovir for the treatment of cytomegalovirus disease in solid organ transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 7:2106–2113. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.01910.x.