

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna  
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

ACL deficiency influences medio-lateral tibial alignment and knee varus-valgus during in vivo activities

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:*

Agostinone, P., Di Paolo, S., Grassi, A., Pinelli, E., Bontempi, M., Bragonzoni, L., et al. (2021). ACL deficiency influences medio-lateral tibial alignment and knee varus-valgus during in vivo activities. KNEE SURGERY, SPORTS TRAUMATOLOGY, ARTHROSCOPY, 29(2), 389-397 [10.1007/s00167-020-05979-6].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/805369> since: 2024-12-19

*Published:*

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-020-05979-6>

*Terms of use:*

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).  
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

# ACL deficiency influences medio-lateral tibial alignment and knee varus—valgus during in-vivo activities

## Abstract

**Purpose:** The role of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) in knee biomechanics in vivo and under weight-bearing is still unclear. The purpose of this study was to compare the tibiofemoral kinematics of ACL—deficient knees to healthy contralateral ones during the execution of weight-bearing activities.

**Methods:** Eight patients with isolated ACL injury and healthy contralateral knees were included in the study. Patients were asked to perform a single step forward and a single leg squat first with the injured knee and then with the contralateral one. Knee motion was determined using a

16 validated model-based tracking process that matched  
17 subject-specific MRI bone models to dynamic biplane  
18 radiographic images, under the principles of Roentgen  
19 stereophotogrammetric analysis (RSA). Data processing was  
20 performed in a specific software developed in Matlab.

21 **Results:** Statistically significant differences ( $p<0.05$ ) were  
22 found for single leg squat along the frontal plane: ACL-  
23 deficient knees showed a more varus angle, especially at the  
24 highest knee flexion angles (40-50° on average), compared  
25 to the contralateral knees. Furthermore, ACL-deficient knees  
26 showed tibial medialization along the entire task, while  
27 contralateral knees were always laterally aligned. This  
28 difference became statistically relevant ( $p<0.05$ ) for knee  
29 flexion angles included between 0° and about 30°.

**Conclusion:** ACL-deficient knees showed an abnormal tibial medialization and increased varus angle during single leg squat when compared to the contralateral knees. These biomechanical anomalies could cause a different force distribution on tibial plateau, explaining the higher risk of early osteoarthritis in ACL deficiency. The clinical relevance of this study is that also safe activities used in ACL rehabilitation protocols are significantly altered in ACL deficiency.

**Level of evidence:** Level III

**Keywords:** Anterior cruciate ligament, Knee kinematics, In vivo, Single leg squat, Biplane radiography

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

## Introduction

The role of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) in knee kinematics has been largely investigated. ACL function as a primary restraint of the anterior tibial displacement in static conditions is widely accepted, like its probable role in acting like a secondary restraint of internal tibial rotation [1, 5, 8–11, 17–19, 24, 31]. The relevance of biomechanical studies and the importance of their constant technological improvement derives from the necessity of a better comprehension of mechanisms that lead to an improved risk of osteoarthritis in patients affected by ACL deficiency [1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 14, 18, 23, 33].

In particular, the comprehension of how the lack of ACL modifies knee biomechanics not only in vivo and dynamically, but also under weight-bearing conditions, is

79 crucial to gain information as close as possible to what  
80 happens in daily life motion.

81 Motion capture tools such as video- analysis and  
82 radiostereometry are valuable tools to understand better the  
83 biomechanics of the knee during common movements of  
84 daily and sport activities [1, 5, 8–10, 15, 18, 26, 33]. The  
85 main limits of these methods are related to their accuracy,  
86 because reconstruction of joint kinematics is based on skins  
87 sensors, which are affected by relevant artifacts. Double  
88 fluoroscopy overcomes the previous problem, because it  
89 allows studying directly bone movements through  
90 radiographs' exposition of patients executing motor tasks [3,  
91 4, 6, 14, 29, 33]. In this scenario, joints biomechanical  
92 anomalies following distinct pathologies could be  
93 investigated in a more accurate way, thanks to dynamic



94 Roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis (RSA) [3, 4].

95 Biomechanical differences between the anterior cruciate  
96 ligament-deficient (ACLD) knees and contralateral of the  
97 same subjects could be identified using a biplane  
98 radiographic system. In the present study, gait and single leg  
99 squat were analyzed, since the first one is a basic activity of  
100 daily living and the second one is a more demanding motor  
101 task, but safe and easy to perform for the patients [17, 31].

102 The aim of the present study was to identify knee  
103 biomechanical anomalies following ACL rupture, during the  
104 execution of in vivo under weight-bearing activities, to  
105 investigate mechanisms that lead to improved risk of  
106 osteoarthritis in ACL deficiency.

107 It was hypothesized that knee tibiofemoral kinematics is  
108 altered after ACL tear and that the alteration probably does

109 not involve only anterior posterior laxity or internal—  
110 external rotation, but also flexion—extension and medio-  
111 lateral tibial alignment, as previously reported by other  
112 investigators [1, 5, 9, 15, 18, 19].

113 The clinical relevance of this work is that proving a  
114 significant impairment and altered patterns in gait kinematics could  
115 support a wider recourse to surgery, because walking  
116 is a basilar activity and its constant alteration could influence  
117 knee degeneration more than sport activities, which most of  
118 the people do occasionally. Moreover, an altered knee  
119 kinematics in single leg squat could confirm the necessity of  
120 surgery for athletes.

121

## 122 **Materials and methods**

123 All the patients involved in this research study signed  
124 informed consent forms. This study obtained the approval  
125 from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Rizzoli  
126 Orthopaedic Institute (ID: 40/CE/US/ml—Clinical Trial  
127 Gov ID: NCT02323386). This study represents the  
128 secondary analysis of data collected from a prospective  
129 study, aimed to evaluate the outcome of ACL reconstruction.  
130 Based on the original study protocol, 62 patients were  
131 included and assessed preoperatively with 1.5 T MRI  
132 analysis and dynamic RSA of injured and contralateral knee.  
133 The inclusion criteria for the original study were:

- 134 - Age 16–50 years.\_
- 135 - Complete, traumatic and unilateral ACL injury.\_
- 136 - No previous knee ligament reconstruction or repair.\_

- No concomitant posterior cruciate ligament, postero-lateral corner, lateral collateral ligament or medial collateral ligament lesion.
- Absence of mild or advanced knee osteoarthritis (Kellgren–Lawrence III–IV).

For the purpose of the present study, the inclusion criteria were:

- Isolated ACL tear.
- No injury of contralateral knee.

Exclusion criteria were:

- Concomitant other ligamentous or meniscal injuries.
- Incomplete kinematic data.
- Unwillingness to take part in the study.

From the 62 patients of the initial cohort, 10 patients underwent dynamic RSA of the contralateral knee. Two

152 more patients were then excluded because of incomplete  
153 kinematic data. Overall, eight patients (5 men, 3 women, 30  
154  $\pm$  12 years old) matched the inclusion criteria and were  
155 included in the study.

156

### 157 *Motor tasks*

158 The patients were asked to perform two motor tasks: a single  
159 step and a single leg squat. The tasks were performed with  
160 the ACLD limb and subsequently with the contralateral one.  
161 Patients were asked to perform the tasks according to their  
162 possibilities. The investigators carefully checked the initial  
163 position of the foot to limit the bias caused by  
164 internal—external alignment: the foot had to be aligned with  
165 the ideal antero-posterior axis of the knee, thus pointing  
166 forward. The acquisition was performed in a specialized

167 radiographic room. The tasks were performed three times  
168 per limb, the first two to gain comfort with the experimental  
169 set-up (no X-ray exposure) and the third one for data  
170 acquisition (X-ray exposure).

171

#### 172 *Data acquisition*

173 The data were collected using a radiographic set-up for  
174 dynamic RSA. The device used (BI-STAND DRX 2) was  
175 developed in our institute, in collaboration with ASSING  
176 (ASSING Group, Rome, Italy). The specifics of the RSA  
177 radiographic set-up were -analogous to the ones already  
178 published in previous articles from the same study group [3,  
179 4] (Figure 1A).

180 Bone models of tibia and femur were obtained from a 1.5T  
181 MRI of either the affected or the contralateral knee. When

182 MRI images of the contralateral knees were not available, the  
183 models were derived from a process of mirroring of the ones  
184 of the affected knee and of their correspondent reference  
185 systems. The radiographic images were processed in a  
186 dedicated software in Matlab® (R2016a, MathWorks Inc.,  
187 Natick, MA, USA) developed at our institute, applying  
188 algorithms related to the Model-Based Dynamic RSA. A 3D  
189 virtual environment was used for semi-automatic  
190 segmentation of bone contours on radiographic images  
191 and, subsequently, to place the bone models according to the  
192 contours (Figure 1B).

193 The dynamic RSA was validated before to start the clinical  
194 study. The validation protocol was based on radiograph  
195 computer simulations of the radiological setup and images,  
196 with different quality and noise level. The accuracy of the

197 radiological scene reconstruction and of the model position  
198 was assessed according to the ISO-5725 regulation [34]. The  
199 global accuracy of model positioning and orientation,  
200 evaluated in terms of “trueness  $\pm$  precision”, resulted to be  
201 sub-millimetric, respectively,  $0.22 \pm 0.46$  mm and  $0.26 \pm 0.2$   
202  $^{\circ}$ . Kinematics data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error  
203 over the percentage of the task. Figure 2 shows the reference  
204 systems of the tibial and femoral models in the RSA  
205 software. The kinematical quantitative data for each patient,  
206 in 6 degrees-of -freedom, were calculated using the Grood  
207 and Suntay decomposition [13].

208 Since it was impossible to standardize the time elapsed to  
209 perform the motor task by each patient, we normalized the  
210 data on the percentage of the task (% task), based on specific  
211 moments to determine the beginning, the middle and the end



212 (Table 1). Regarding the gait, only the stance phase was  
213 taken into account.

214

#### 215 *Statistical analysis*

216 The kinematic data were processed using Matlab. The paired  
217 *t*-test was used to compare the data of the ACLD and  
218 contralateral knees along each frame of the entire motor task  
219 for all the parameters. Differences were considered  
220 statistically significant for  $p < 0.05$ .

221 An a-priori power analysis was conducted, based on  
222 previous studies using fluoroscopic technique to evaluate  
223 knee kinematics in ACLD conditions [6, 29, 30].

224 Considering a medio-lateral translation of  $2.51 \pm 1.30$  mm  
225 for ACLD knee and of  $0.89 \pm 1.47$  mm for contralateral knee,

to achieve a power of 0.8 and an alpha level of 0.05, the minimum number of patients required was set to seven.

## **Results**

### *Frontal plane*

Regarding the joint angles and translations on the frontal plane, there were statistically significant differences between ACLD and contralateral knee ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 2). In particular, varus—valgus rotations were statistically different from the 50% to the 80% of the squat (Figure 3B): ACLD knee showed, on average, a more varus rotation compared to the contralateral knee. Furthermore, medio-lateral translations showed a more medial tibial alignment for ACLD knees with respect to the frontal plane. This trend was present both in the squat and in the step (Figs. 3A, —

4): in the squat, the difference was statistically significant from 0% to 35% and from 65% to 100% of the task; no statistical differences were found in the step.

#### *Sagittal and transverse plane*

Regarding sagittal and transverse plane joint angles and translation, no statistical differences were found between ACLD and contralateral knee kinematics along the entire percentage of both motor tasks (n.s.).

## **Discussion**

The main findings of the present study were:

- Statistically significant differences were found ~~in~~ medio-lateral translations between ACL~~–~~deficient and contralateral knees during single leg squat from 0% to

256 35% and from 75% to 100% of the motor task (that  
257 correspond to an average flexion value from 0° to 30°); -

- 258 • During single leg squat, significant differences were  
259 found in varus--valgus angle from 50% to 80% of  
260 motor task; -

- 261 • No differences were observed between afflicted and  
262 contralateral knee during the stance phase of the gait.

263 The influence of ACL deficiency on knee kinematics is a hot  
264 topic in recent orthopedic researches, due to the  
265 correlation altered biomechanics is supposed to have with  
266 increased risk of early osteoarthritis [1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 14, 18,  
267 23, 33]. To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the first  
268 studies aimed to analyze, with an advanced and highly  
269 accurate technology, the translations and rotations of ACLD  
270 and contralateral knee joint in vivo and under weight-bearing

271 conditions. On purpose, two tasks that differed in terms of  
272 closed (squat) and open (step) kinetic chain were analyzed.

273 Onthe one hand, gait is one of the commonest daily  
274 activities, easily performed by ACLD patients too. On the  
275 other hand, the squat was chosen since it is more demanding  
276 but, at the same time-safe to perform [17,  
277 31].

278 Other investigators have already observed the concept of  
279 tibial medialization (Figure 5) after ACL injury, inferring  
280 this is due to the oblique orientation of ACL. Li et al. [18],  
281 analyzed single leg weight-bearing lunge through double  
282 fluoroscopy and found a significant lateral shift of tibio-  
283 femoral cartilage contact points, both in the medial (between  
284 0° and 60° of flexion) and the lateral compartment of the tibia  
285 (between 15° and 30° of flexion). This finding was

286 reproduced also in a cadaveric study [19], where the  
287 application of different loading conditions in specimens with  
288 ACLD knee led to a significant tibial medialization between  
289 15° and 30° of flexion. Furthermore, DeFrate et al. [5] found  
290 a greater tibia medialization in ACLD knees from 0° to 90°  
291 of flexion during the execution of a quasi-static lunge. These  
292 results are in accordance with the findings of the present  
293 study, since a significant tibial medialization was observed  
294 in correspondence to a knee range of flexion between 0° and  
295 30°. This abnormal position could explain the high incidence  
296 of osteoarthritis on the medial femoral condyle and anterior  
297 tibial spine in chronic ACL deficiency [7, 23]: medial shift  
298 of the tibia could reduce the distance between these two knee  
299 structures, leading to an altered force distribution on their  
300 surfaces [18].

301 The contribution of ACL in varus—valgus laxity is also a  
302 controversial topic [12, 22, 29, 32]. In the present study,  
303 ACLD knees were found significantly more varus than the  
304 contralateral ones in the first degrees of the re-extension  
305 phase of the squat, after they reach the maximum flexion. A  
306 crucial role of ACL in frontal plane knee rotations can  
307 therefore be supposed. Previous literature studies drew the  
308 same conclusion. Yamazaky et al. [32] demonstrated ACL  
309 injured limbs had a more knee varus than uninjured of about  
310 5° at the maximum flexion angle of a single leg squat, using  
311 an electromagnetic device. In another study [29], performed  
312 with fluoroscopy, knees after ACL reconstruction were  
313 shown to be more varus than contralateral during downhill  
314 running. This aspect could endorse the surgical techniques’  
315 inability to restore physiologic knee varus—valgus after

316 ACL tear. Lastly, there is the recent concept of valgus  
317 collapse as a frequent mechanism involved in ACL non-  
318 contact injury [25], which could bring to suppose knee  
319 valgus as a position of discomfort for patients simulating the  
320 ligament rupture biomechanics. ACL-injured patients could  
321 probably maintain an easier balance keeping a more varus  
322 position [32].

323 Differently than expected, no differences were found neither  
324 in tibial anterior—posterior translation nor in knee internal—  
325 external rotation. Closed kinetic chain exercises like squat  
326 are considered safer than open kinetic chain ones in ACL  
327 injury rehabilitation programs, especially when patients need  
328 to increase muscle activity, because they are supposed to  
329 cause less ligament strain [20]. For this reason, squat  
330 exercises have a role in ACL deficiency rehabilitation: the



331 high muscular co-activation of quadriceps and hamstrings  
332 provides a greater anterior—posterior tibial stability [17, 31].

333 This consideration could justify the absence of differences in  
334 tibial position in anterior—posterior knee laxity and in  
335 internal—external rotation in our data. Moreover, some  
336 previous studies described a higher tibial internal rotation in  
337 ACLD knees, but for motor task different from the squat [5,  
338 10].

339 In step, we did not found any statistical difference between  
340 ACLD knee kinematics and contralateral one. These results  
341 are partially in contrast with literature: several studies [9, 15]  
342 identified anomalies in knee flexion—extension during  
343 walking, but showed neither significantly more anterior  
344 tibial translation nor an increased antero-posterior laxity  
345 range. Gao et al. [9] described an increased tendency of the

346 ACLD knees to remain in flexion at the end of the stance  
347 phase of the gait, while Hurd and Snyder-Mackler [15]  
348 described a “joint stiffness strategy” as a combination of  
349 reduced peak knee flexion and lack of extension during the  
350 mid-stance. The main thesis for this altered knee flexion  
351 pattern relies on abnormal muscle activation in patients  
352 with ACL tear, aimed to better control knee anterior—  
353 posterior laxity. Indeed, many studies based on  
354 electromyography highlighted differences in activation of  
355 quadriceps and hamstrings after ACL injury, even if there is  
356 no consensus regarding the adaptation mechanism [15, 26,  
357 27].

358 In the present study, no flexion—extension anomalies were  
359 identified. The step was executed at a low speed and usually  
360 with small step length. Previous investigators demonstrated

361 that small spatiotemporal parameters influence knee flexion  
362 during stance, thus resulting in a stiff knee strategy [21, 28]  
363 and an almost full extension, similar to our results.

364 In brief, the findings of the present study could indicate  
365 ~~on top of~~ the role of ACL in knee biomechanics: in vivo and  
366 under weight-bearing conditions, the ACL could decisively  
367 contribute to medio-lateral tibial alignment and knee varus-  
368 -valgus. **So** far, the ACL reconstruction techniques have  
369 focused on the restoration of anterior-posterior and  
370 internal-external rotation knee stability, without  
371 considering the anomalies on frontal plane. Actually,  
372 previous studies reported that ACL reconstruction does not  
373 restore these parameters [6, 29]. According to the present  
374 study, surgeons should observe ACL injury from a wider  
375 perspective, thus considering also ACLD knee motion

376 anomalies in the frontal plane, to develop reconstruction  
377 techniques aimed to reproduce physiological knee stability.

378 The present study has several limitations. First, due to the  
379 controlled nature of the tasks (especially the step), the small  
380 sample size could have affected the statistical analysis and  
381 probably failed to reveal other differences between the two  
382 groups. However, it was possible to demonstrate some  
383 consistent trends. A second, intrinsic limitation linked to the  
384 sample size relied upon the high intra-subject knee motion  
385 variability. The choice to acquire, under radiograph  
386 exposure, only one repetition per task, was made due to  
387 ethical reasons. This issue was minimized through a direct  
388 comparison of healthy and unhealthy limbs of the same  
389 patients.

390 The other two considerations include the selection of  
391 patients based on time from injury and the choice of  
392 contralateral limbs as gold standard. When debating on  
393 ACL-~~r~~-deficient knee biomechanics, the time from injury is  
394 crucial, because patients may progressively develop  
395 muscular asymmetries to stabilize the joint [33].  
396 Nevertheless, the present study was mainly focused on how  
397 the injury affected the biomechanics and not on how  
398 rehabilitation could restore knee stability. The contralateral  
399 knees might not reproduce a normal knee kinematics [16].  
400 Anyhow, obtaining a pool of healthy controls would have  
401 been highly unethical due to radiograph exposure;  
402 furthermore, the evaluation of contralateral knees as controls  
403 is typical of nearly all the fluoroscopic studies.

404 Lastly, the choice of the tasks was related to the actual  
405 radiographic set-up: due to the limited spaces and the  
406 obstacles represented by the medical devices around, it  
407 would have been unsafe and impossible to analyze high-  
408 dynamics tasks, such as jumps or cut\_-maneuvers.

409 These last tasks could have stressed the knee joint more, and  
410 maybe underlined further differences from the contralateral.

411 A future set-up development will permit -acquire more  
412 complex and stressing tasks.

## 414 **Conclusion**

415 ACL\_-deficient knees showed an abnormal tibial  
416 medialization and increased varus angle compared to the  
417 contralateral knees. These biomechanical anomalies may  
418 lead to different forces distributions on the tibial plateau,

419 explaining the higher risk of early osteoarthritis in ACL  
420 deficiency. Clinicians should take into account the influence  
421 of ACL tear on frontal plane knee kinematics in movement  
422 commonly used in ACL rehabilitation protocols.

423

## 424 **Funding**

425 The authors received funding by the [REDACTED] to perform  
426 this study.

427

## 428 **References**

- 429 1. Andriacchi TP, Dyrby CO (2005) Interactions between  
430 kinematics and loading during walking for the normal  
431 and ACL deficient knee. J Biomech 38:293–298
- 432 2. Andriacchi TP, Koo S, Scanlan SF (2009) Gait  
433 mechanics influence healthy cartilage morphology and

osteoarthritis of the knee. J Bone Joint Surg Am 91  
Suppl 1:95–101

3. Bontempi M, Roberti di Sarsina T, Marcheggiani  
Muccioli GM, Pizza N, Cardinale U, Bragonzoni L,  
Zaffagnini S (2019) J-curve design total knee  
arthroplasty: the posterior stabilized shows wider medial  
pivot compared to the cruciate retaining during chair  
raising. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-019-05645-6>

4. Bragonzoni L, Marcheggiani Muccioli GM, Bontempi  
M, Roberti di Sarsina T, Cardinale U, Alesi D, Iacono F,  
Neri MP, Zaffagnini S (2019) New design total knee  
arthroplasty shows medial pivoting movement under  
weight-bearing conditions. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol  
Arthrosc 27:1049–1056



- 449 5. DeFrate LE, Papannagari R, Gill TJ, Moses JM, Pathare  
450 NP, Li G (2006) The 6 degrees of freedom kinematics of  
451 the knee after anterior cruciate ligament deficiency: an  
452 in vivo imaging analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 34:1240–  
453 1246
- 454 6. Deneweth JM, Bey MJ, McLean SG, Lock TR,  
455 Kolowich PA, Tashman S (2010) Tibiofemoral joint  
456 kinematics of the anterior cruciate ligament-  
457 reconstructed knee during a single-legged hop landing.  
458 *Am J Sports Med* 38:1820–1828
- 459 7. Fairclough JA, Graham GP, Dent CM (1990)  
460 Radiological sign of chronic anterior cruciate ligament  
461 deficiency. *Injury* 21:401–402
- 462 8. Frank RM, Lundberg H, Wimmer MA, Forsythe B,  
463 Bach BR, Verma NN, Cole BJ (2016) Hamstring

- 464 Activity in the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injured  
465 Patient: Injury Implications and Comparison With  
466 Quadriceps Activity. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg*  
467 32:1651–1659
- 468 9. Gao B, Zheng NN (2010) Alterations in three-  
469 dimensional joint kinematics of anterior cruciate  
470 ligament-deficient and -reconstructed knees during  
471 walking. *Clin Biomech Bristol Avon* 25:222–229
- 472 10. Georgoulis AD, Papadonikolakis A, Papageorgiou CD,  
473 Mitsou A, Stergiou N (2003) Three-dimensional  
474 tibiofemoral kinematics of the anterior cruciate  
475 ligament-deficient and reconstructed knee during  
476 walking. *Am J Sports Med* 31:75–79
- 477 11. Grassi A, Signorelli C, Lucidi GA, Raggi F,  
478 Macchiarola L, Roberti Di Sarsina T, Muccioli GMM,

- 479 Filardo G, Zaffagnini S (2019) ACL reconstruction with  
480 lateral plasty reduces translational and rotatory laxity  
481 compared to anatomical single bundle and non-  
482 anatomical double bundle surgery: An in vivo kinematic  
483 evaluation with navigation system. Clin Biomech Bristol  
484 Avon 69:1–8
- 485 12. Grood ES, Noyes FR, Butler DL, Suntay WJ (1981)  
486 Ligamentous and capsular restraints preventing straight  
487 medial and lateral laxity in intact human cadaver knees.  
488 J Bone Joint Surg Am 63:1257–1269
- 489 13. Grood ES, Suntay WJ (1983) A joint coordinate system  
490 for the clinical description of three-dimensional motions:  
491 application to the knee. J Biomech Eng 105:136–144
- 492 14. Hoshino Y, Fu FH, Irrgang JJ, Tashman S (2013) Can  
493 joint contact dynamics be restored by anterior cruciate

- 494 ligament reconstruction? Clin Orthop Relat Res  
495 471:2924–2931
- 496 15. Hurd WJ, Snyder-Mackler L (2007) Knee instability  
497 after acute ACL rupture affects movement patterns  
498 during the mid-stance phase of gait. J Orthop Res  
499 25:1369–1377
- 500 16. Kozanek M, Van de Velde SK, Gill TJ, Li G (2008)  
501 The contralateral knee joint in cruciate ligament  
502 deficiency. Am J Sports Med 36:2151–2157
- 503 17. Kvist J, Gillquist J (2001) Sagittal plane knee  
504 translation and electromyographic activity during closed  
505 and open kinetic chain exercises in anterior cruciate  
506 ligament-deficient patients and control subjects. Am J  
507 Sports Med 29:72–82

- 508 18. Li G, Moses JM, Papannagari R, Pathare NP, DeFrate  
509 LE, Gill TJ (2006) Anterior cruciate ligament deficiency  
510 alters the in vivo motion of the tibiofemoral cartilage  
511 contact points in both the anteroposterior and  
512 mediolateral directions. J Bone Joint Surg Am 88:1826–  
513 1834
- 514 19. Li G, Papannagari R, DeFrate LE, Yoo JD, Park SE,  
515 Gill TJ (2007) The effects of ACL deficiency on  
516 mediolateral translation and varus—valgus rotation. Acta  
517 Orthop 78:355–360
- 518 20. Luque-Seron JA, Medina-Porqueres I (2016) Anterior  
519 Cruciate Ligament Strain In Vivo: A Systematic  
520 Review. Sports Health 8:451–455
- 521 21. Mannering N, Young T, Spelman T, Choong PF (2017)  
522 Three-dimensional knee kinematic analysis during

523 treadmill gait: Slow imposed speed versus normal self-  
524 selected speed. Bone Joint Res 6:514–521

525 22. Markolf KL, Burchfield DM, Shapiro MM, Shepard  
526 MF, Finerman GA, Slauterbeck JL (1995) Combined  
527 knee loading states that generate high anterior cruciate  
528 ligament forces. J Orthop Res 13:930–935

529 23. Murrell GA, Maddali S, Horovitz L, Oakley SP,  
530 Warren RF (2001) The effects of time course after  
531 anterior cruciate ligament injury in correlation with  
532 meniscal and cartilage loss. Am J Sports Med 29:9–14

533 24. Musahl V, Seil R, Zaffagnini S, Tashman S, Karlsson J  
534 (2012) The role of static and dynamic rotatory laxity  
535 testing in evaluating ACL injury. Knee Surg Sports  
536 Traumatol Arthrosc 20:603–612

- 537 25. Quatman CE, Kiapour AM, Demetropoulos CK,  
538 Kiapour A, Wordeman SC, Levine JW, Goel VK,  
539 Hewett TE (2014) Preferential loading of the ACL  
540 compared with the MCL during landing: a novel in sim  
541 approach yields the multiplanar mechanism of dynamic  
542 valgus during ACL injuries. *Am J Sports Med* 42:177–  
543 186
- 544 26. Shanbehzadeh S, Mohseni Bandpei MA, Ehsani F  
545 (2017) Knee muscle activity during gait in patients with  
546 anterior cruciate ligament injury: a systematic review of  
547 electromyographic studies. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol*  
548 *Arthrosc* 25:1432–1442
- 549 27. Sonesson S, Kvist J (2017) Dynamic and static tibial  
550 translation in patients with anterior cruciate ligament  
551 deficiency initially treated with a structured

- 552 rehabilitation protocol. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol*  
553 *Arthrosc* 25:2337–2346
- 554 28. Stansfield B, Hawkins K, Adams S, Church D (2018)  
555 Spatiotemporal and kinematic characteristics of gait  
556 initiation across a wide speed range. *Gait Posture*  
557 61:331–338
- 558 29. Tashman S, Collon D, Anderson K, Kolowich P,  
559 Anderst W (2004) Abnormal rotational knee motion  
560 during running after anterior cruciate ligament  
561 reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 32:975–983
- 562 30. Tashman S, Kolowich P, Collon D, Anderson K,  
563 Anderst W (2007) Dynamic function of the ACL-  
564 reconstructed knee during running. *Clin Orthop Relat*  
565 *Res* 454:66–73



- 566 31. Wilk KE, Escamilla RF, Fleisig GS, Barrentine SW,  
567 Andrews JR, Boyd ML (1996) A comparison of  
568 tibiofemoral joint forces and electromyographic activity  
569 during open and closed kinetic chain exercises. *Am J*  
570 *Sports Med* 24:518–527
- 571 32. Yamazaki J, Muneta T, Ju YJ, Sekiya I (2010)  
572 Differences in kinematics of single leg squatting  
573 between anterior cruciate ligament-injured patients and  
574 healthy controls. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*  
575 18:56–63
- 576 33. Yang C, Tashiro Y, Lynch A, Fu F, Anderst W (2018)  
577 Kinematics and arthrokinematics in the chronic ACL-  
578 deficient knee are altered even in the absence of  
579 instability symptoms. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol*  
580 *Arthrosc* 26:1406–1413

581 34. ISO 5725-1:1994(en), Accuracy (trueness and  
582 precision) of measurement methods and results —  
583 Part 1: General principles and definitions. Available via:  
584 <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:5725:-1:en>  
585 [Accessed November 22, 2019]

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

## 601 **Figures Legend**

602 **Figure 1** Radiological set-up of the RSA device, where  
603 patients performed motor tasks. The orthogonal arrangement  
604 of flat panels and X-ray tubes allows a 3D reconstruction of  
605 bones movements (A); vVirtual reconstruction of a motor  
606 task in the RSA software, where mathematical data  
607 describing tibio-femoral kinematics were extrapolated (B).

**Figure 2** Anatomical reference systems of tibia and femur in the RSA software. X-axis: flexion angle and the medio-lateral translation; Y-axis: varus—valgus rotation and anterior—posterior translations; Z-axis: internal—external rotations and proximal—distal translation.

**Figure 3** Medio-lateral translations (mean  $\pm$  SEM) of the tibia with respect to the femur during single leg squat; notice that significant differences were found from 0% to 35% and from 75% to 100% of the motor task (that correspond to an average flexion value from 0° to 30°) (A). Varus—valgus rotations (mean  $\pm$  SEM) of the tibia with respect to the femur during single leg squat; notice that significant differences were found from 50% to 80% of the motor task (B).

**Figure 4** Medio-lateral translations (mean  $\pm$  SEM) of the tibia with respect to the femur during the stance phase of the

623 gait; notice that, despite no significant differences being~~were~~  
624 found, the tibias of ACLD knees were on average shifted to  
625 a more medial position than the ones of the contralateral  
626 knees.

627 **Figure 5** Difference in medio-lateral tibial position between  
628 the normal knee (A) and the ACL-~~deficient~~ knee (B).

629

630 **Tables**

631

632

631 *Table 1 - List and value of the specific moments used to*  
632 *normalize the data for the execution of the motor tasks.*

633

Motor task normalization		
Time percentage	Phase of the step	Phase of the squat
0%	Heel _strike	Initial extension
50%	Midstance	Maximum flexion
100%	Heel _off	Terminal extension

Significant differences				
	% of the task	Injured	Contralateral	p value
Squat medio-lateral translations (mm)	0 - 35 %	1.4 ± 0.4	-1.2 ± 0.7	< 0.05
	65 - 100 %	1.5 ± 0.6	-1.9 ± 0.9	< 0.001

<b>Squat varus- valgus angles (°)</b>	50 - 80 %	-0.9 ± 1.3	-5.3 ± 2.2	< 0.05
---	--------------	------------	------------	--------

*Table 2: Average ± standard error values of the  
significant differences between ACL-deficient and  
contralateral knee*

639 **List of abbreviations**

640 **ACL** Anterior cruciate ligament

641 **ACLD** Anterior cruciate ligament deficient

642 **RSA** Roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis