

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Urban mining of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) residues with emphasis on bioleaching technologies: a critical review

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Funari V., Toller S., Vitale L., Santos R.M., Gomes H.I. (2023). Urban mining of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) residues with emphasis on bioleaching technologies: a critical review. ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND POLLUTION RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL, 30(21), 59128-59150 [10.1007/s11356-023-26790-z].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/925495 since: 2023-05-15

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-26790-z

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

1 Urban mining of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI)

² residues with emphasis on bioleaching technologies: A critical

3 review

4 Valerio Funari^{1, 2*}, Simone Toller^{1,3}, Laura Vitale², Rafael M. Santos⁴, Helena I. Gomes⁵

- ¹Institute of Marine Sciences (ISMAR-CNR), Department of Earth System Sciences and Environmental Technologies, National
 Research Council of Italy (CNR), Bologna Research Area, 40129 Bologna, Italy
- 7 ² Department of Marine Biotechnology, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn (SZN), Via Ammiraglio F. Acton 55, 80133 Napoli, Italy
- ³ University of Parma, Department of Chemical, Life and Environmental Sustainability Sciences (SCVSA), Parco Area delle Scienze,
 17/A Parma, Italy
- 10 ⁴School of Engineering, University of Guelph, Thornbrough Building, 50 Stone Rd E, Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1, Canada
- ⁵ Food, Water, Waste Research Group, Faculty of Engineering, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

12 *corresponding author: valerio.funari@bo.ismar.cnr.it

13

14 Abstract

15 Metals are essential in our daily lives and have a finite supply, being simultaneously contaminants of concern. The current carbon emissions and environmental impact of mining are untenable. We need to reclaim metals 16 17 sustainably from secondary resources, like waste. Biotechnology can be applied in metal recovery from waste 18 streams like fly ashes and bottom ashes of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI). They represent substantial substance flows, with roughly 46 million tons of MSWI ashes produced annually globally, 19 20 equivalent in elemental richness to low-grade ores for metal recovery. Next-generation methods for resource 21 recovery, as in particular bioleaching, gives the opportunity to recover critical materials and metals, appropriately purified for noble applications, in waste treatment chains inspired by circular economy 22 23 thinking. In this critical review, we can identify three main lines of discussion: 1) MSWI material characterization and related environmental issues; 2) currently available processes for recycling and metal 24 25 recovery; and 3) microbially-assisted processes for potential recycling and metal recovery. Research trends 26 are chiefly oriented to the potential exploitation of bioprocesses in the industry. Biotechnology for resource 27 recovery shows increasing effectiveness especially downstream the production chains, i.e. in the waste 28 management sector. Therefore, this critical discussion will help assessing the industrial potential of 29 biotechnology for urban mining of municipal, post-combustion waste.

30

- 31 Key Words: Circular economy; Waste-to-Energy (WtE) plants; Incineration wastes; Critical raw materials;
- 32 Secondary raw materials; Resource recovery

33

34

35 1. Introduction

Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) is a predominant management practice in many 36 37 countries, and it has been increasingly adopted in countries like China (Fan et al., 2021). According to the 38 World Bank, 11% of the global MSW is incinerated, corresponding to an estimated 220 million tonnes (Kaza 39 et al., 2018). In the European Union EU-27, in 2019, 60 million tonnes of municipal solid waste were 40 incinerated (Eurostat, 2019). Despite reducing the waste volume and recovering energy, MSWI also produces 41 two main kinds of residues, called bottom (BA) and fly ashes (FA), that must be sustainably managed. MSWI 42 residues' features (chemical and mineralogical composition, grain size heterogeneity, etc.) and their disposal 43 strategy influence their after-use in applications, for example, reuse its mineral fraction in the construction 44 industry as secondary raw material. MSWI residues can be returned to secondary raw materials markets after 45 appropriate treatment to enhance production cycles in urban mining actions, aiming to remove, recover and 46 recycle the mineral resource that may be contained in anthropogenic materials with high economic potential 47 (e.g. critical raw materials) or environmentally positive balance (e.g., producing acceptable secondary raw 48 material with low environmental impacts). Copious research proposed innovative technologies with simultaneous improvements of environmental and financial drawbacks associated with MSWI residues, both 49 50 BA and FA. BA and, to a lesser extent, FA can be recycled to produce concrete, soil improvers and fillers, glass 51 and ceramics, or used to produce absorbents, stabilizing agents, and zeolites (Quina et al. 2018; Lam et al. 52 2010). So far, urban mining attempts from MSWI residues are promising for application in integrated waste 53 management to boost incomes and minimize environmental impacts, as demonstrated by Life cycle 54 assessment (LCA) (Fellner et al., 2015). Combined separation, extraction, and recovery processes based on 55 physical-mechanical methods, acid and alkaline leaching, biorecovery and electroplating, or bioelectrochemical systems seem particularly efficient for recovering metals from bottom ash and fly ash 56 57 (Gomes et al., 2020).

58 New options to improve MSWI residues management are needed, especially those capable of the 59 twofold benefit of metal recovery and quality enhancement of the post-treatment residue. Insights from 60 chemical and mineralogical data on MSWI residues can inform recovery of secondary raw materials and 61 marketable metals. For example, among metals of strategic interest and potentially mineable from MSWI 62 residues, silver (Ag), antimony (Sb), cerium (Ce), lanthanum (La), niobium (Nb), nickel (Ni), vanadium (V) are 63 enriched in the fine fractions, while gadolinium (Gd), chromium (Cr), scandium (Sc), tungsten (W), and yttrium 64 (Y) partition in the coarse fractions (Mantovani et al., 2021).

MSWI residues are a potential low-grade urban mine of ore metals thanks to the significant flows of substance bearing metals downstream of the municipal waste incineration process (Funari et al., 2015). For MSWI-BA, Funari and co-workers estimated a total flow of more than 350 t/a magnesium (Mg), 8.5 t/a Cr,

68 4.3 t/a cobalt (Co), and nearly 3 t/a Sb. The overall annual flow of the light rare earth elements (LREE: La, Pr, 69 Ce, Nd, Gd, Sm, Eu) and Sc and Y reach 2 t/a; while only the flow of heavy REE (HREE: Lu, Tb, Ho, Dy, Tm, Er, Yb) is about 0.1 t/a. The Substance Flow Analysis (SFA) also shows considerable amounts of gallium (Ga) and 70 71 Nb (0.3 t/a) and the precious metals gold (Au) and silver (Ag) (0.01 t/a and 0.12 t/a, respectively). SFA analysis 72 on MSWI-FA showed relatively high flows of Mg (79 t/a), Sb (2.4 t/a), Cr (1 t/a), Ce (0.05 t/a), Co (0.04 t/a), 73 and also volatile elements such as Ag, Zn, and Sn have a considerable output retained in the solid FA. With 74 further estimates coming from these figures, a total of 4500 tons Cu, 130 tons REEs, and 0.5 tons gold, are 75 potentially recoverable from all MSWI-BA flowing on a national level. A the same time, the MSWI-FA output 76 is a promising source of Zn, Sn, Sb, and Pb. Besides the relevance of metal recovery, successful urban mining 77 strategies favour i) the reduction of the environmental impact, providing less dangerous leachates, ii) more 78 control over nanoparticle pollution, and iii) high quality of post-treatment residues. In parallel, investigation 79 of MSWI residues and related environmental media (e.g., topsoil nearby incinerators) would favour the 80 development of finely tuned methods for urban mining with a close eye on sustainability. Looking ahead, the 81 quality of MSWI feedstock materials and final solid residues, especially considering the 10-to-20-year life 82 cycle of MSWI technology, needs continuous improvements from synergistic actions of both private and 83 public stakeholders and the local communities.

This paper aims to critically reflect on the application of biotechnologies for urban mining of waste streams in the context of the circular economy. Our objectives are to focus on: 1) MSWI material characterization and related environmental issues; 2) currently available processes for recycling and metal recovery; and 3) microbially-assisted processes for potential recycling and metal recovery.

2. Mineral resources and secondary raw materials from MSWI residues

89

2.1. Chemistry and Mineralogy of MSWI residues

90 MSWI residues can be thought of as a mineral matrix mixed with a small fraction of partly combusted organic matter and secondary organic by-products (approx. 4% by weight) resulting from temperature 91 92 changes through the processing line of MSWI technology leading to the establishment of different 93 thermodynamic equilibria (Guimaraes, et al. 2006). Eusden et al. (1999) described a detailed petrogenesis of 94 the MSWI solid materials sent to incinerators. The major elements in MSWI residues are Ca, Si, Al, Fe, Mg, 95 Na, K and Cl in the form of silicates, aluminosilicates, carbonates (e.g., calcite, trona), most of their oxides 96 (e.g., calcium oxide, hematite, sodium oxide, titanium dioxide and potassium oxide) and alkaline salts (e.g., 97 halite, sylvite; preferably present in MSWI-FA). Usually, the most abundant components are Ca and Si oxides. 98 Cu, Cr, Pb, Cd, Zn, Hg, Sb, and Ni metals are also found in these ashes as minor and trace elements potentially 99 risky for the environment. Studies of element fractionation found that elements with high melting temperature tend to remain in the MSWI-BA, while the volatile ones tend to break down in the MSWI-FA 100

101 (Funari et al., 2015). The heterogeneity of the urban waste input feed directly influences the mineralogical 102 and chemical composition and the physical-mechanical properties of the incinerator ashes. Different spectrometers are used to determine major, minor, and trace elements in MSWI residues together with other 103 104 analytical techniques depending on the analyte sought and, in general, from the purpose of the 105 characterization. Figure 1 shows the compositional range reported in the literature for measured analytes. 106 In MSWI-FA, the heavy metals content is generally higher than in BA due to the metal vaporization during 107 the combustion and adsorption on a higher specific surface area. Harmful compounds such as chlorides and 108 metal oxide nanoparticles from MSWI-FA are controlled by wet scrubbers in the Air Pollution Control (APC) 109 system, which primarily removes acid gases such as HCl and HF (Sabbas et al., 2003).



110

Figure 1. Chemical composition ranges of MSWI-BA and MSWI-FA for selected major, minor and trace elements (Izquierdo et al.,
 2002; Sabbas et al., 2003; Bayuseno et al., 2010; Funari et al., 2015; Astrup et al., 2016; Xiaomin et al., 2017; Huber et al., 2019;

113 Wong et al., 2021; Maldonado-Alameda et al., 2021; Mantovani et al., 2021; Clavier 2021) in wt. % and mg/kg, respectively.

Numerous works elaborated the mineralogical composition of MSWI residues, e.g., by scanning electron microscope defining morphology, single-point chemical composition and the interaction between the different phases present inside the grains (Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010; Bogush et al., 2015; Funari et 117 al., 2018; De Boom and Degrez, 2012). The thin sections show structural variability and complexity under the 118 microscope. Moreover, it is possible to verify the presence of glassy and crystalline material together with 119 metallic and empty parts (e.g., Mantovani et al., 2021). The presence of wollastonite (CaSiO₃), with a dendritic 120 crystallization in the glass matrix indicates a fast crystallization is frequent. There are also evident zoning and 121 evidence of core recrystallization; sometimes, recrystallizations of fresh structures are observed within a 122 metal matrix (Bogush et al., 2015). Iron, ubiquitous and present as a major element (> 0.1% by weight), 123 undergoes a complex petrogenesis and can form a series of oxides and hydroxides, but also remain as nuggets of metallic Fe, or Fe-phosphides (e.g., schreibersite, associated with reducing conditions) and -sulfides 124 125 (pyrite, pyrrhotite, greigite among many) (Funari et al., 2018; 2020), making hard to determine minor iron-126 bearing phases. Mineralogical analysis on magnetic separates showed the presence of small (<5 μ m) 127 spherules containing Fe in the form of agglomerates of particles or loose particles that could be attributable 128 to technogenic spheres (sensu Magiera et al., 2011) readily dispersible during handling, being generally 129 MSWI-FA dustier than MSWI-BA. Despite the great uncertainty on the stoichiometry and quantification of 130 the crystalline phases, the X-ray diffractograms readily detect various carbonates such as calcite, soluble salts 131 such as halite, silicates such as quartz, solid solutions gehlenite-akermanite, pyroxenes and feldspars, sulfates 132 and phosphates and oxides of iron. However, the origin of certain mineralogical phases, i.e., if the minerals 133 observed in MSWI-BA or MSWI-FA are derived from the incoming waste or freshly formed remains puzzling. 134 This is due to different processing technologies, chemical composition of the incoming waste and combustion 135 temperatures reached, which, in turn, can depend on local policies and have seasonality effects. The 136 commonly identified minerals from MSWI-BA and MSWI-FA by XRD are in Table 1.

137 The data reported in the literature also suggested the residues' particle size as a proxy of element 138 enrichment or, in other words, a tool for mineral beneficiation. Carbonates and sulfatic phases typically occur 139 in the finer fractions (<0.065 mm). Analytical determinations show a higher concentration of S, Cl and metals 140 such as Zn, Pb, Cr, and Sr in fine particle sizes (<1 mm) (Speiser et al., 2000; Chimenos et al., 2003). In the 141 finer fraction, there is a higher content of metals within mineralogical phases less resistant to weathering 142 (carbonates and sulfates), that is, more available to environmental leaching. Analyzing the particle size's 143 cumulative distribution, about 60% of total weight of MSWI-BA is composed of particles with a size between 144 1 and 8 mm (belonging to the category coarse sand or gravel), while 20% has a particle size bigger than 10 145 mm and the remaining 20% is made up of grains smaller than 1 mm. MSWI-FA is more homogeneous than 146 MSWI-BA in its particle size, which results averagely silty. Both ashes show a minor but significant ultrafine 147 fraction (<1 µm). Overall, although not always agreeing on the identification of phases, the mineralogical 148 data in the scientific literature confirms that the MSWI residues contain minerals of potential economic 149 interest. However, the chemical composition can vary significantly according to the particle size, the quality 150 of the incoming waste, the combustion process, and the type of residue.

151 152 153 Table 1 -Most common mineralogical phases detected by XRD from MSWI residues (Wan et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009; Bayuseno and Schmahl, 2010; De Boom and Degrez, 2012; Bogush et al., 2015; Funari et al., 2018; Mantovani et al., 2021). O: rare; X: common;

XX: very frequent; n.d.: not detected.

Mineral phase	Chemical formula	MSWI-FA	MSWI-BA
Silicates, aluminates, and alumosilicate	S		
Quartz	SiO ₂	Х	ХХ
Cristobalite	SiO ₂	n.d.	х
Corundum	Al ₂ O ₃	Х	XX
Alkali Feldspars	(K,Na)(Al,Si)₃O ₈	n.d.	XX
Plagioclase feldspars	NaAlSi ₃ O ₈ -CaAl ₂ Si ₂ O ₈	Х	х
	(K, Ca, Na)(Al,Si) ₄ O ₈	0	х
Gehlenite	$Ca_2AI_2SiO_7$	Х	XX
Anorthite	CaAl ₂ Si ₂ O ₈		
Akermanite	$Ca_2MgSi_2O_7$	Х	XX
	Ca ₂ (Mg,Fe)Si ₂ O ₇	0 X	х
Calcium Pyroxene	Ca(Mg,Fe)Si ₂ O ₆	n.d. X	х
	Ca(Mg, AI)(Si,AI) ₂ O ₆	0	х
	(Na,Ca)(Fe,Mn)(Si,Al)₂O ₆	n.d.	х
Wollastonite	CaSiO₃	х	х
	Ca ₂ SiO ₄	х	х
Portlandite	Ca(OH) ₂	х	х
Gibbsite	Al(OH)₃	0	ХХ
Ettringite	Ca ₆ Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ (OH) ₁₂ 26H ₂ O	х	х
Carbonates			
Calcite	CaCO ₃	ХХ	х
Other	(Pb,Cd,Zn)CO₃	х	0
Fe-bearing phases			
Magnetite	Fe ₃ O ₄	0	ХХ
Hematite	Fe ₂ O ₃	х	0
Wüstite	FeO	х	х
Goethite	FeO(OH)	n.d.	х
	Fe(OH)₃	х	х
	FeCO ₃	0	0
	Fe(Cr,Ti) ₂ O ₄	0	х
	FeSO ₄ 7H ₂ O	n.d.	х
S-based phases			
Anhydrite	CaSO ₄	ХХ	х
Gypsum	CaSO ₄ 2H ₂ O	х	ХХ
	$Ca_{6}Al_{2}O_{6}(SO_{3})_{3} 32H_{2}O$	n.d.	х
	PbSO ₄	X	n.d.
Other oxides	-		
Lime	CaO	хх	Х
	TiO ₂	х	Х
	PbO	X	0
	ZnO	х	X
	Na ₂ O	XX	X
	CuO	X	XX

	CaMoO ₄	0	Х
	NaAsO ₂	0	Х
Cl-based phases			
Friedel's salt	$Ca_2AI(OH)_6CI 2H_2O$	n.d.	Х
Hydrocalumite	Ca ₂ Al(OH)6Cl _{1-x} (OH) _x 3H ₂ O	n.d.	Х
	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ Cl	0	Х
	$Ca_2SiO_3Cl_2$	0	Х
	CaCl ₂	Х	n.d.
	KCaCl₃	Х	n.d.
	PbCl ₂	Х	n.d.
	ZnCl ₂	Х	n.d.
	NaCl	ХХ	Х
	KCI	Х	n.d.
Other halides			
fluorides	CaF ₂	Х	n.d.
bromides	not specified	0	0
iodides	not specified	n.d.	n.d.
Other compounds			
organometallic compounds	organoarsenic compound	n.d.	0
Native elements			
zinc	Zn(0)	Х	Х
aluminium	AI(0)	Х	Х
copper	Cu(0)	0	Х
gold	Au (0)	n.d.	Х
other elements	Ti(0), Pb(0), Ag (0), Hg(0)	X	0

154

2.2. Hydrometallurgy for MSWI residues Urban Mining

Hydrometallurgical solutions in waste management typically involves the dissolution of the metals present in the mineralogical matrix in acids or bases. During the leaching procedures, minerals dissolve under varying thermodynamic conditions. Metals can be separated in the dissolution step when not soluble in the solvent used, producing a solid precipitate as a part of the process chain. Hydrometallurgical separation can rely on solvent extraction and solid ion exchangers and membranes, ionic liquids, and on adsorption capacity of other materials (e.g., carbon). Following the separation of the metals, the single metal can be purified, for instance, by sequential precipitation or electrowinning.

Water, mineral acids (i.e., sulfuric acid, aqua regia), bases (i.e., sodium hydroxide, ammonia), organic acids (such as maleic acid), salt solutions, and combinations of these are common leaching reagents. The process optimization can be achieved by playing with pressure, temperature, reaction time, but also by adding oxidizing (e.g., H₂O₂, Cl₂, HClO, NaClO) or reducing (e.g., Fe²⁺, SO₂) agents. The most used leaching methods include reactor leaching, heap leaching, vat leaching, dump leaching (heap without crushing), insitu leaching (extractant pumped directly in the ore deposit) and autoclave leaching (high pressure and temperature). Galvanic, microwave, and ultrasound-assisted leaching are other methods investigated toenhance the efficiency of traditional leaching.

170 A technique mainly used to treat MSWI-BA before the process of metal recovery takes place or the 171 residue is landfilled, is ageing (or natural weathering). It reduces the water content of the material up to an 172 optimal humidity (10–15 wt. %) for metal recovery, improves environmental leaching properties and/or 173 stabilizes the reactive matrix. Ageing occurs naturally during storage, which normally lasts from 4 to 12 weeks 174 and sporadically up to one year. During ageing, the precipitation of carbonates, degradation of organic 175 matter, and pH changes can occur (Nørgaard et al., 2019) as well as total or partial immobilization of Cu, Pb, 176 Zn and chloride can be achieved. Conversely, oxyanion-forming elements (e.g., Cr, Mo, Sb and sulfate) may 177 become more prone to mobilization (e.g., Arickx et al., 2006; Costa et al., 2007), likely impacting metal 178 recovery.

179 Hydrometallurgical applications' primary purpose for managing MSWI residues is decontamination 180 from harmful metals. In the earliest studies on pH-dependent leaching using HNO₃ (Eighmy et al., 1995), Ca, 181 Cl, K, Na, and Zn dissolved easily, while other elements (Cr, Pb, Zn, Cu, and Al) exhibited amphoteric behavior 182 with enhanced solubilization at low pH over a leaching period of 3h. Nagib and Inoue (2000) reported the 183 recovery of different metals from MSWI-FA using acetic acid, sulfuric acid, sodium hydroxide, and 184 hydrochloric acid, with a fixed L/S ratio of 7 ml/g. They found that most of Zn is dissolved quickly using sulfuric 185 acid (10 wt. % H₂SO₄) leaching, while temperature was mainly affecting Fe and Mg solubility. Therefore, 30°C 186 temperature and 5 min time were determined to be suitable for Zn acid leaching to suppress the solubility 187 of Fe and Mg, which is significantly enhanced at 60°C (Nagib and Inoue, 2000). Hydrochloric acid (10 wt. % HCl) leaching dissolves 63% Zn and 40% Pb in 5 minutes, together with impurities such as Fe, Mg and Ca. 188 189 Acetic acid (10 wt. % CH₃COOH) leaching was effective, and most Pb and Zn were dissolved in 60 minutes 190 (Nagib and Inoue, 2000). Acid leaching is efficient because it can dissolve nearly all Pb and Zn, but further 191 separation and purification steps may be required since other potential impurities (e.g., Fe Mg, Al, Ca) are 192 acid soluble. Further implementation was applied to the recovery method of Al and Fe, combining physical 193 and mechanical processes (e.g., Nayak and Panda, 2010). The use of thermal treatment combined with acidic 194 leaching allows overcoming some limitations (with recovery efficiencies up to 86 % Al and 94 % Fe using 195 sulfuric acid) and produced sintered pellets suitable as an inert and lightweight aggregate (Matjie et al., 2005; 196 Li et al., 2007, 2009). However, the high costs for energy to reach the calcination temperatures (800-1200°C) 197 and the time demand (up to 24h) make these processes uneconomic. The leaching behavior of antimony (Sb) 198 is particularly chased because of its elevated concentrations in MSWI residues and environmental relevance 199 tied to its speciation also during natural ageing. Cornelis et al. (2012) investigated the leaching of antimonate 200 (Sb⁺⁵) and antimonite (Sb³⁺) in MSWI-BA as a function of degree of carbonation and pH. Results showed that acidification and carbonation increased Sb⁵⁺ leaching and decreased Sb³⁺ leaching, and pointed out that Sb 201

202 solubility depends on pH and calcium cations availability (romeite minerals are found to play an important 203 role in the antimonate leaching) (Cornelis et a., 2012). Alkaline leaching, on the other hand, is hampered by 204 the limited solubility of valuable metals (e.g., Zn), but can have the advantage of leaving a lesser amount of 205 impurities in the solid residue. Bipp et al. (1998) were among the first to suggest alkaline leaching for heavy 206 metals extraction. They tested gluconic acid and molasses hydrolysate leaching with sugar acid addition 207 (1.8%) in the typical pH range of MSWI residues, achieving good recovery performances for Zn, Pb, Cu, Cr, 208 and Cd with the molasses hydrolysate under weak alkaline condition. In general, alkaline leaching carried out 209 in pH conditions near the MSWI residue's starting pH showed limited performances (Lee and Pandey, 2012).

210 Significant impact on leaching of MSWI residues comes from selective extractions used in typical 211 geochemical investigation to understand metal behaviours under different environmental conditions. Although it is not possible to reach complete selectivity in each step, a sequential extraction procedure is 212 213 applied to MSWI residues (Figure 2) and corroborated by experimental and theoretical models (Kirby and 214 Rimstidt, 1993; Van Herck and Vandecasteele 2001; Chou et al., 2009; Funatsuki et al., 2012). The sequential 215 extraction procedures highlight that metals like Zn, Cu, Pb, and Cd are soluble at low pH (>3.5), but oxidizing 216 conditions are necessary to leach additional Zn. While Ca, K, Na, chlorides, and sulfates exhibit high solubility 217 in water (step 1), Al and, to a lesser degree, Fe remain in the residual fraction. Most trace elements including 218 REE tend to endure in the residue. The desired pH of the extractions and the sample matrix influence the 219 chemical species found in the fractions.

SEP fractions



220

Figure 2. Generalization and summary of the fractions and analytes interested by Sequential Extraction Procedure (SEP) after Van
 Herck et al., 2000; Wan et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2009.

223 Several authors investigated metal extraction using various aminopolycarboxylic acids such as DTPA, 224 EDTA, NTA (Hong et al. 2000). Hong et al., 2000 underlined that the efficiency is not pH-dependent and 225 solvent demanding (reagents concentration ranging between 0.5 wt. % and 1.0 wt. %); the extraction 226 performed well for Pb (80 % recovery) in moderate alkaline condition with EDTA and DTPA, but the application of such chelating agents is uneconomic at the full scale for their high selling costs. Finally, experiments using batch extraction under similar conditions have shown that applying electric current can improve the solubility of some metals. Pedersen et al. (2005) evaluated different assisting agents for electrodialytic removals: the best aid in the removal of Cd was an NH₃ solution, perhaps because it helped build stable tetraamine complexes, while the best aid in the removal of Pb was Na-citrate. The optimum for removing a group of metals (up to 86% Cd, 81% Cu, 62% Zn, 44% Cr and 20% Pb) used 0.25 M ammonium citrate/1.25 % NH₃ solution (Pedersen et al., 2005).

234 2.3. Current Options for Resource/Material Recovery from MSWI 235 residues

236 Since the early 1990s through the research programs known as NITEP (National Incinerator Testing 237 and Evaluation Program) and WASTE (Waste Analysis, Sampling, Testing, and Evaluation) which were 238 pioneered by Canada and the USA, MSWI-BA and MSWI-FA have been the focus of years of research efforts 239 (Chandler et al. 1997). Several processing techniques for MSWI residues have been proposed to recover 240 metals of economic interest and secondary raw materials, minimize harmful metals releases, and improve 241 the final residue environmental status. Commonly, MSWI residues are treated initially with separation 242 techniques, sometimes tailed by thermal treatments or stabilization or solidification processes (Kuboňová et 243 al. 2013; Sabbas et al. 2003). Separation technologies consisting of physical-mechanical separation have been 244 the most popular options because of their relative technical and economic feasibility compared to advanced 245 treatment processes. Physical and mechanical treatments of MSWI residues aim primarily at:

244

i. Recovering concentrated stream fractions (e.g., ferrous- and non-ferrous metals)

247 ii. Improving the final residue quality for its reuse or inert landfilling

248 iii. Achieving mineral beneficiation before hydrometallurgical processes (as a pre-treatment)

249 A plethora of metals, notably aluminum, iron, copper and other base metals, can be obtained at 250 different purity levels by simple physical/mechanical separation. Before the MSWI-BA are piled up, a drum 251 magnetic separator often recovers the biggest magnetic bars/alloys that can be sold to metal refiners. 252 Various systems can further divide non-ferrous and ferrous fractions of MSWI-BA with rather high efficiency during the processing of these stockpiles. The non-ferrous part is rich in Au, Ag, Cu, Al, Pb, Zn and Sn and is 253 commonly preferred for their recovery (Muchova et al., 2009; Biganzoli and Grosso, 2013). To optimize the 254 recovery of Cu, Ag, Pb, Sn, and Zn from a heavy fraction and an Al-rich product from the light fraction, 255 256 separation techniques such as magnetic density separation, kinetic gravity separation, and Eddy current 257 separation are frequently employed. A final thermal treatment step to stabilize inorganic compounds and destroy organic contaminants could be suitable. However, due to high costs, such as in the case of vitrification 258 259 by re-melting (1200-1400°C), they are hardly applied, although they can suite in post-processing of mineral 260 concentrates or stabilization in dedicated plants.

The recovery efficiency likely increases after size reduction steps and washing with water is suitable for removing unwanted compounds such as easily soluble salts and sulfates. In fact, natural or accelerated ageing and water washing are the most adopted treatment for MSWI residues.

264 *2.3.1. Bottom ashes*

MSWI-BA depending on the type of discharge system can usually be treated by wet or dry processing. While the wet discharge is most adopted, leading to the production of typical quench products of MSWI-BA, dry discharge systems are rare and technically demanding despite demonstrating some advantages like a minor number of mineralogical phases formed and the low levels of corrosions and inter-mineral reaction edges, thus higher recovery potential (Chandler et al., 1997; Eusden et al., 1999; Šyc et al., 2020). In the late 90's dry discharge technology raised limited interest likely because the recovery of secondary resources from waste was believed to be a less critical issue.

272 Ageing, washing, and limited crushing are the key process for re-using MSWI-BA in the construction 273 industry. To further promote residue stabilization and reduce leaching, the addition of Al(+3) and Fe(+3) salts, 274 cement or other bonding agents during ageing is also used. The MSWI-BA treatment trains rely on physical-275 mechanical treatments including density separation, sieving, sensor-based sorting, Eddy current separation, 276 and even hand-picking. The recovery of ferrous (FeF) and non-ferrous (n-FeF) metal fractions by Eddy current 277 separators is widespread (Smith et al., 2019), favoring the marketability of added-value streams as well as 278 BA acceptance at smelters or refiners. Dry technologies are more efficient than wet processes regarding 279 water consumption and, to some extent, reduced transport costs (due to reduced weight and volume). 280 However, the main drawback of dry processing is abundant dust formation (Šyc et al., 2020).

281 The first installation of MSWI-BA treatment plant came in 1995. Only two sieved fractions, fine 282 (<4mm) and coarse (4–45 mm), were designed to undergo stepwise magnetic and Eddy current separators 283 achieving average outputs of 36 wt. % FeF and 1.9 wt. % n-FeF (Chandler et al., 1997; Sabbas et al., 2003). 284 However, the total Fe content in FeF was only 20–30 wt. % due to agglomeration with other minerals. Similar 285 treatment trains built after the 2000s suited medium- to low-capacity MSWI plants and showed recovery efficiency of around 80 wt. % FeF and 9-48 wt. % n-FeF with enhanced Fe concentrations and aluminium 286 287 products recovery (Grosso et al., 2011; Šyc et al., 2020). In countries like Switzerland and The Netherlands, 288 implementing the best available practices is mandatory by legislation (e.g., The Netherlands' Green Deal).

Regarding commercially available treatment methods, MSWI-BA are usually sieved using bar seizers, trommel, vibrating screens, and flip flow screens (the latter only for wet treatments). In advanced plants, tens of fractions can be sorted for enhanced metal recovery. However, sieving can be expensive with a water content < 10 % because appropriate dust control during the handling of the material must be assured, and the crushing changes the size distribution likely precluding residual fraction utilization where well-sorted materials are required (Hyks and Hjelmar, 2018). Density separation is another effective method for the 295 recovery of different components, such as copper, gold, and brass showing a significant density contrast 296 compared to MSWI-BA matrix (2700 kg/m³). Density separation does not apply for Al recovery because its 297 density resembles that of bulk MSWI-BA, so it is preferably recovered using magnetic methods. Belt and drum 298 are the two main devices commercially available. Multi-step magnetic separation is typically used for sieved 299 fractions in advanced treatment plants before the Eddy current separation stage. Ballistic separation (patent 300 WO 2009/123452 A1) is a cutting-edge technology used in advanced dry recovery processes that 301 mechanically separates the fine particles (< 2 mm) associated with the moisture content. This device can 302 couple with conventional dry separation processes, improving performance. Sensors-based separation 303 technologies in MSWI residues processing are quite innovative and mainly used for separating glass and 304 metal particles (Bunge, 2018). Among these, magnetic induction separation based on electromagnetic 305 sensors is capable of identifying types of metals and alloys in the fraction coarser than 4 mm. Other types of 306 sensors include X-ray fluorescence to detect different metals, optical sensors for distinguishing shapes, 307 colored or transparent materials. Still, they are rarely applied to MSWI residues processing.

308 The most used processing options are dry technologies tailored for wet discharged MSWI-BA (e.g., 309 Holm and Simon, 2017), even though dry discharge is experiencing a renaissance tied to its ability to avoid or 310 minimise the negative effects of the formation of reaction by-products after quenching, mainly credited by 311 Ca(OH)₂, CaCO₃, Friedel's salt and hydrocalumite (Inkaew et al., 2016). The KEZO MSWI plant in Switzerland 312 is one example of dry treatment of dry discharged MSWI-BA that yields around 10 % FeF, 4.5 % n-FeF, and 313 1.1 % glass, generating a total revenue of 95 CHF/t of dry MSWI-BA with a total consumption of about 16 314 kWh/t of treated waste (Böni and Morf, 2018). However, efficient recovery of the heavy n-FeF can increase 315 the revenues due to its precious metals content. Notably, the fraction with particles < 0.3 mm is sold without 316 treatment at a likely depreciated value despite a potentially significant content of marketable metals.

317 On the other hand, fervor is on the development of wet technologies for the treatment of MSWI-BA 318 that, however, implies a massive use of water as a primary limiting factor. Ageing is typically not included in 319 the treatment to avoid a detrimental effect from the formation of mineral coatings. The first wet technology 320 pilot plant for metal recovery came in 2005 in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. The treatment plant allows to 321 recover inert granulates for building materials and marketable metal fractions of different levels of purity, 322 equipped with several wet processing stages such as wet gravity separator, the wet eddy current separator, 323 and the wet magnetic separator (Muchova et al., 2009). Although a recovery efficiency up to 83 % FeF and 324 73 % n-FeF, the plant never went to full scale, mainly due to the high water-demand and costs for water 325 treatment. Another example is the Brantner&Co. plant in operation since 2013, located on an Austrian landfill 326 site (Stockinger, 2018). With a treatment capacity of about 40,000 Mg/year of MSWI-BA, it counts on two-327 step magnetic separation, including overbelt magnets, separating iron scraps, and fine (>50mm) and large 328 (<50 mm) fractions. A wet jig further separates material streams by density: a fraction of carbon-based 329 materials and floating plastics, the heavy (density < 4,000 kg/m³) n-FeF containing copper, brass, stainless 330 steel, and precious metals, and the light n-FeF mainly composed of Al-bearing materials. One wet technology, 331 installed in 2016 in Alkmaar, The Netherlands, and first developed by the Boskalis Company in response to 332 the Netherlands' Green Deal, has a treatment capacity of about 240,000 Mg/year of MSWI-BA. This 333 technology separates different fractions using dry sieving instead of a wet drum sieve, and then each fraction 334 is washed to remove soluble salts and metals. A bar sizer separates fine (>40mm) and large (<40mm) 335 particles, followed by magnetic separation for large particles, which, in turn, removes iron scrap and stainless 336 steel as a first value-added material. The fine particles fraction undergoes a wet drum sieve and a vibrating 337 screen. However, the main drawback is the production of large amounts of sludge with a high concentration 338 of heavy metals according to the mass balance of the Alkmaar plant (Born, 2018).

339 Metal recovery can occur on-site, preferably at big MSWI plants where the flow of residues can justify 340 the investment leveraging on transportation costs. Another option is to establish centralized or mobile 341 treatment plants serving several MSWI plants, but they usually demonstrate lower efficiency than on-site plants (Šyc et al., 2020). Nowadays, seven MSWI plants implemented with dry extraction system for MSWI-342 343 BA are operational in Europe (5 plants in Switzerland and 2 plants in Italy). The main drawbacks of this 344 technology are tied to the need for further treatments to allow afteruse of MSWI-BA in the construction 345 industry and control or better recover the finest fractions that must be safely managed (Böni and Morf, 2018). 346 Especially the numerous stages of crushing lead to abundant dust formation and unfavorable grain-size 347 distribution curve for residue's reuse in the production of building materials. The high investment required 348 for upgraded treatment plants steams from the demanding crushing stages, the presence of multi-step 349 magnetic separation, and sensor-based sorting systems.

Each treatment plant is unique, although the processing methods can be the same. The recovery rate increases with the number of recovery devices: more than ten eddy-current separators can be used in series, still influencing the capital costs. According to the 2018 technical report of European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Bureau, the electricity consumption of MSWI treatment plants averages 3 kWh/t of treated waste, sometimes reaching up to 15 kWh/t (EIPPCB, 2018).

355 *2.3.2. Fly ashes*

Disposal of MSWI-FA through backfilling also after packaging in "big bags" made of a resistant material is viable underground in natural cavities such as salt mines. The most used option is landfilling after an appropriate treatment such as stabilization or solidification using other types of wastes (e.g., co-landfilling with red mud) or binders (Quina et al., 2018; 2008). The stabilization processes suffer, however, some limitations such as increased mass and volumes that may result in unsustainable space demand. Landfilling after thermal stabilization (vitrification, sintering, thermal treatment with mixed wastes) is widely used as it can reduce leaching of inorganic pollutants and destroy toxic organic components. However, LCA analysis demonstrated that thermal treatments of MSWI-FA are uneconomic due to the high energy demand to achieve suitable treatment temperatures (Fruergaard et al., 2010). The poor magnetic susceptibility of MSWI-FA compared to MSWI-BA, due to lower concentration of Fe and magnetic minerals (Funari et al., 2020), prevents the use and scalability of magnetic separation methods for material upgrading and FeF and n-FeF recovery that is rarely attempted.

368 Decontamination/detoxification is the first pathway towards recovery and recycling of MSWI-FA as 369 secondary raw material for other applications avoiding landfilling (e.g., reuse for preparation of geopolymers; 370 Sun et al., 2013). Different methods can be performed, such as carbonation (Costa et al., 2007; Wang et al., 371 2010), washing, leaching or bioleaching (Benassi et al., 2016; Funari et al., 2017), electrodialysis (Parés Viader 372 et al., 2017), and mechanical methods, e.g., ball milling (Chen et al., 2016). MSWI-FA for the production of 373 secondary raw materials is well suited for ceramic materials, epoxy composites, glass-ceramics, zeolite-like 374 materials, low-cost stabilizers and buffering agents, lightweight aggregates and secondary building materials 375 for geotechnical applications, adsorbents including high capacity materials for energy storage (Quina et al., 2018 and reference therein). Other practical applications include biogas production, CO₂ sequestration 376 377 (Baciocchi et al., 2010), filler for embankment and landfill top cover (Brännvall and Kumpiene, 2016). The 378 primary aim of MSWI-FA washing is the removal of easily soluble salts to improve 379 decontamination/detoxification treatments. The Solvay Process developed during the 1860s is extensively 380 used to recycle sodium chloride from MSWI-FA produced by wet or semi-dry APC system (Chandler et al., 381 1997), especially from FA collected at the sodic bag filters. Recently, Stena Recycling A/S developed the 382 HALOSEP® process to remove and recover chlorine salts (mostly CaCl₂) and a concentrate metal cake from 383 MSWI-FA. The key application for these salt products is road de-icing in compliance with the criteria CEN TC 384 337 WG1. The metal filter cake shows an average concentration of around 38-40 wt. % Zn, so it is particularly 385 suited for Zn recovery at the smelter.

386 Several efforts have been made in recent years for metal recovery from MSWI-FA with commercial 387 potential, such as Zn (Fellner et al., 2015), P (Kalmykova et al., 2013), Cu, and other precious and rare metals 388 (Morf et al., 2013; Allegrini et al., 2014; Funari et al., 2016). The removal or stabilization of hazardous 389 substances using traditional robust means such as water washing or co-landfilling is preferred over methods 390 aiming at metal recovery so that, for example, bioleaching and electrocoagulation or eventual landfill mining 391 strategies are still far from industrial rollout. However, some successful examples exist at the demonstration 392 scale such as co-mixing with rice husks (Benassi et al. 2016) to recover an environmentally compatible 393 secondary raw material. The FLUWA process dedicated to recovering Cu and volatile toxic metals such as Zn, 394 Pb, Cd, and organic substances started in 1997 in Switzerland (Bühler and Schlumberger, 2010). It further 395 allows metal separation and recovery through multistep acidic and neutral scrubbing and oxidation, 396 providing a residual MSW-FA less prone to environmental leaching. Organic substances remaining in the filter cakes again represent a key issue, requiring further incineration cycle in the MSWI plant for complete thermal
 destruction. The new FLUWA + FLUEREC process allows up to 60–80% Zn, 80–95% Cd and 50–85% Pb and Cu
 removal (Quina et al., 2018). The FLUREC implemented in 2012 at MSWI plant Zuchwil, Switzerland, can
 recover up to 300 Mg/year Zn; however, purification of Zn-rich cake and filtrates is a prerequisite.

401 The use of MSWI-FA for the production of cement is sought because this can limit the enormous environmental impact of the cement industry tied to massive anthropogenic CO₂ (from calcination) and other 402 403 gaseous emissions (NOx, organic compounds, and toxic volatile elements), the consumption of energy and 404 natural resources (Lederer et al., 2017). The main options for MSWI-FA reutilization in the cement industry 405 include the production of blended cement and the co-processing in the cement kiln to produce the clinker 406 (e.g., Bertolini et al., 2004). Co-processing of MSWI-FA containing high amounts of Ca-bearing phases is viable 407 to substitute a part of the raw material input (Chandler et al., 1997) up to about 40-50% (Saikia et al., 2015). 408 Earlier studies suggested that the leaching rates of potentially toxic elements are very low in the short term. 409 However, Lederer et al. (2017) surmised that volatile metals such as Cd and Pb are reincorporated into the cement during the regular production process. Considering that the chemical composition of MSWI-FA is not 410 411 stable over time, particular care should be given to the final cement quality and the emissions at the 412 smokestacks.

413 3. Biotechnology for MSWI ash management

414

3.1. Brief Overview of Biohydrometallurgy

Biohydrometallurgy is a branch of metallurgy devoted to hydrometallurgical extraction mediated by microorganisms. Its use in the recovery of metal from primary ores and in the treatment of mine tailings is factual while gaining more and more popularity in treating secondary resources.

418 Extremophile microorganisms adapted to thrive under extreme environmental conditions (e.g. 419 salinity, pH, temperature). In particular, acidophilic bacteria are able to grow, for example, in acid mine 420 drainage (AMD) at low pH (< 2), high concentrations of sulfate and metals, particularly iron, giving it a deep 421 red color. Microbiological studies conducted on Rio Tinto water streams demonstrated the occurrence of 422 precise ecological niches of microbes. It is also not rare to identify new species of microorganisms. Their 423 metabolism evolved towards the use of available nutrients (e.g., metals) contained in solid minerals (e.g., 424 pyrites) for their energy supply, enabling life in extreme environments. Minerals supposedly oxidize without 425 bacteria or biological interactions, but microorganisms make the process much faster. It was demonstrated 426 in the '70s that the oxidation of ferrous iron operated by Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans was about a million 427 times faster than abiotic chemical oxidation (Lacey and Lawson, 1970). Strains of extremely thermophilic 428 archaea (Acidianus sulfidivorans) are found to withstand pH between 0.35 and 3.0, temperatures of 45-83°C 429 in the presence of sulfur minerals such as pyrite, chalcopyrite and arsenopyrite (Brierley and Brierley, 2013).

430 Recently, improved kinetics of biomineralization and biodissolution has also been studied for carbon capture 431 and storage e.g., by observing microbial carbonic anhydrase catalyses (Bhagat et al., 2018). Thermophilic 432 microorganisms can survive at higher temperatures than mesophiles and guarantee faster kinetics and higher 433 yields. However, the use of special catalysts can make the mesophiles exceptionally performing to bioleach 434 complex minerals. For example, Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans and Leptospirillum ferrooxidans strains 435 supplemented with ferrous iron are valuable for treating chalcopyrite or molybdenite (Brierley and Brierley, 436 2013). Empirical studies demonstrated that Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans and Acidithiobacillus caldus reduce 437 sulfur accumulation and improve process efficiency, e.g., by enabling bioleaching for sphalerite and 438 arsenopyrite (e.g., Suzuki, 2001; Vera et al., 2013). Bioleaching and biooxidation processes promoted 439 sustainability in the recovery of base metals (Zn, Cu, Ni, Mo) and precious metals (Au, Ag) trapped inside 440 sulfur minerals.

441 The knowledge of usable microorganisms has significantly increased over the past decades, with 442 higher extraction rate of metals even from complex mineralogical assemblages like waste materials, as demonstrated by the use of extremophiles (e.g., Ramanathan and Ting, 2016), which is illustrated in Figure 443 444 3 for the kinetics and extent of recovery of metals via single-stage bioleaching. However, some criticalities 445 were promptly highlighted, such as the need to monitor bacterial growth and the difficulty in guaranteeing 446 the correct and stable functioning of the treatment plants over time. The development of corrosive 447 conditions inside the reactors evoked investments in special building materials, reactors and propellers 448 designs. It is necessary to continue contaminating knowledge in bioleaching by encouraging "among 449 scientists and engineers to enhance development of this very important technology for an industrial sector whose successful future is increasingly dependent on technological advances", as postulated by Brierley and 450 451 Brierley (2013).







455 3.2. Bacterial leaching in sulfidic environments and their industrial applications 456 The first attempt to understand the mechanism behind bacterial leaching was studying the metal 457 sulfides bioleaching reactions. This effort was accomplished with a multi-disciplinary approach, including 458 mineralogy, chemical bound theory, and biochemistry. In sulfidic environments it is possible to find many 459 microorganisms sulfur and iron oxidizers such as, Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans, Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, 460 Leptospirillum ferrooxidans, Acidianus/Sulfolobus spp., Metallogenium spp., that can operate direct and 461 indirect leaching sensu Sand et al. (1995). Although debated (Sand et al., 2001; Vera et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2019), some co-participated reactions can be drawn: 462

463
$$S_{\chi}O_n^{\gamma} + O_2 + H_2O \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + H^+$$
 [1]

464
$$3Fe^{3+} + 2SO_4^{2-} + 6H_2O + K^+ = KFe_3(SO_4)_2(OH)_6 + 6H^+$$
 [2]

465
$$CuFeS_2 + 4Fe^{3+} = Cu^{2+} + 2S_0 + 5Fe^{2+}$$
 [3]

466
$$4Fe^{2+} + 4H^+ + O_2 = 4Fe^{3+} + 2H_2O$$
 [4]

467
$$CuFeS_2 + 4H^+ + O_2 = Cu^{2+} + 2S_0 + Fe^{2+} + 2H_2O$$
 [5]

468
$$2S_0 + 3O_2 + 2H_2O = 2SO_4^{2-} + 4H^+$$
 [6]

469 Direct or contact bioleaching generally assumes a metal sulfide-attached cell oxidizes the mineral by 470 an enzyme system with oxygen to sulfate and metal cations. To dissolve a metal sulfide [Eq. 1] the indirect or non-contact mechanisms grounds on the oxidizing capacity of Fe³⁺ ions. During this chemical reaction, Fe²⁺ 471 472 ions and elemental sulfur (S₀) are poly-sourced [Eq. 3, 5], promoting a cyclic reaction where Fe³⁺ and sulfide moiety is reduced and oxidized progressively [Eq. 4, 6] thanks to an ancillary engine of S-oxidizers. It is worth 473 474 mentioning Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS) allow contact and mineral decomposition that preferably start in crystal defects (Fletcher and Savage, 2013; Gehrke et al., 1998), where the Fe²⁺ ions are 475 476 more accessible (Dziurla et al., 1998). The need for iron is as important as the S-cycle: when the Fe³⁺ interacts 477 with the electronic structure and (leach) the surface of the mineral, and sulfur de-bonds from sulfide crystal lattice, the thiosulfate releases Fe^{2+} ion and protonation forms H_2S , which reacts with the oxidative 478 479 compounds (e.g., Fe^{3+} , O_2). This starts a radical chain reaction that produces S_0 as an end-product, which is 480 used by bacteria (e.g., Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans) to produce sulfuric acid.

481 The regulatory strategies of A. thiooxidans during bioleaching of low-grade chalcopyrite were studied 482 in-depth by Yin et al. (2019), illustrated in Figure 4, through physiological observations matched with 483 transcriptomic approach. The authors observed that during the CuFeS₂ bioleaching process the bacterium 484 endeavor's three mechanisms to keep the pH homeostasis: i) externalizes H⁺ by ATPase activity; ii) the amino 485 acid metabolism becomes more active lowering cytoplasmic acidification by proton consumption via the 486 tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (i.e., Krebs cycle); iii) prevents proton invasion increasing the amount of 487 unsaturated fatty, particularly cyclopropane, and so far the density of the cell membrane. At the 488 transcriptomic level the genes involved in sulfur metabolism were significantly up-regulated while those associated with the flagellar assembly and carbon metabolism were down-regulated, suggesting a strategy 489 490 of alternative energy production from the first and reduction of energy consumption with the second. 491 Noteworthy, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) analysis indicated that EPS and biofilm formation 492 might also improve strain resistance to the stress condition (Figure 4). Niu et al. (2016) studied a real-scale 493 bioleaching system of the Dexing Copper Mine (Jiangxi, China) to provide insights into the bacterial 494 community structure and mechanisms involved at three different processing stages. According to 495 phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA metabarcoding, all three groups shared 259 OTUs (Operation 496 Taxonomic Unit), but demonstrated a significant microbial shift in the process line. Gene arrays revealed a 497 difference in functional gene structures of the microbial communities and metabolic pathways potentially 498 related to bioleaching. Genes involved in carbon fixation, polyphosphate degradation, sulfur oxidation, and 499 denitrification were abundant in a sample from the heap; while genes related to carbon degradation, 500 polyphosphate synthesis, sulfite reductase, and nitrification in the spent medium leachate (Niu et al., 2016).



Figure 4. Adaptation mechanism model of *A. thiooxidans* in bioleaching of low-grade chalcopyrite (left); visualization of EPS and cell
 attachment on chalcopyrite surface when bioleaching at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days by CLSM (right). Reproduced with permission from
 Springer Nature (5493660654399) (Yin et al., 2019).

501

505 There is a long list of microorganisms used for sulfur-bearing ores bioleaching, but their adaptive 506 mechanism to harsh environments remains disputed. Such acquired knowhow is of fundamental importance 507 for creating strains with greater stress tolerance, crucial for commercial use in industrial bioleaching (Jerez, 508 2008). A review of bacterial strains possessing unique characteristics critical for commercial-scale bioprocessing is reported elsewhere (Brierley and Brierley, 2013). The first significant biohydrometallurgical 509 510 operation took place at the Rio Tinto copper mine in Spain from 1950 to 1980, where bioleaching was 511 primarily done in heaps and dumps on-site. Several industrial plants for metal recovery (especially Cu and Au) have been started in America, South Africa, Uganda, and Australia. The percentages of minerals extracted 512 513 were very high: up to 95% Au was extracted from crude high-graded ores and Cu yields were up to 65% from 514 chalcopyrite and up to 98% from some sulfosalts (enargite). Around 85% Mo bioleaching is achievable from 515 molybdenite (MoS₂) using A. ferrooxidans and L. ferrooxidans, in a six-month timeframe (Bosecker 1997; 516 Brombacher et al., 1998). After numerous developments, the BioCOP™ technology owned by BHP Billiton was commercialized at the Chuquicamata Mine in Chile, showing a production rate of 20000 Mg/year Cu 517 518 using thermophilic microorganisms to leach sulfide mineral concentrates at temperatures up to 80 °C (Batty 519 and Rorke, 2006). The BioCOP™ technology yields a high-value copper metal product after conventional 520 solvent extraction and electrowinning.

In commercial applications, biohydrometallurgical methods' advantages can offset net smelter royalties for metals production. For example, mineral beneficiation through bioleaching can decrease refineries and smelters penalty charge associated with high levels of impurity (Gericke et al., 2009). Moreover, secondary bioleaching or spent medium leaching can be used for on-site acid bioproduction to 525 replace mineral acid purchases (e.g., Funari et al. 2017) although sulfuric acid costs can be volatile (Moore, 526 2008). Biohydrometallurgical methods can fit existing infrastructure, such as wet technologies or 527 electrorefining, avoiding new investments by the companies. Biomining processes are mostly carried out by 528 stirred-tank and heap reactors, or both combined, especially when a spent medium leaching process is 529 attempted. In general, they can be divided into irrigation-type and stirred tank-type, as the two main 530 categories. Irrigation-type processing is primarily deployed in situ (e.g., heap, dump, and slope bioleaching 531 techniques), being slope bioleaching more affordable compared to other techniques, while heap bioreactors 532 represent the primary option because they are cheaper and easier to operate than stirred-tank reactors 533 (Gahan et al., 2013; Rawlings, 2004). The latter, however, are less time-consuming and offer more control 534 and predictable performances. A typical heap bioleaching system operates over a 400-600-day period, 535 starting with the preconditioning phase of 1–6 weeks. In Chile, the Bala Ley plant for low-grade Cu minerals 536 ore processing equips a dump bioleaching system, where cycles of preconditioning, irrigation, maturation, 537 and washing can last years (Rawlings, 2002). Several (hybrid) irrigation-type methods were used to treat lowgrade uranium ore at the Denison Mine in Ontario, Canada. The primary problems associated with impending 538 539 leachate loss in the environment were addressed by these procedures (Bosecker, 1997; Rawlings, 2002; 2004; 540 Rawlings et al., 2003). In South Africa and Australia, pilot-scale plants have demonstrated technical feasibility 541 for Ni recovery, with Queensland Nickel as a relevant stakeholder (Gahan et al., 2013). Similarly, the 542 Talvivaara mine in Finland tested heap bioleaching, with operations likely terminated in 2018. A famous 543 example of biooxidation plant in stirred tank reactors is at the Fairview Mine in South Africa, which used the 544 BIOX process for pretreatment of gold-bearing sulfide ores (Kaksonen et al., 2014). Bioleaching as a 545 pretreatment in a multi-stage process increased recovery efficiency, especially for extraction of precious 546 metals and Co. Gu et al. (2018) provide a new list of pretreatment methods based on bioleaching. BacTech Mining Company, Canada, can treat refractory Au concentrates with the further aim of recovering Co, Ni, and 547 548 Ag, and remediating As-tailings (Rawlings et al., 2003; Gahan et al., 2013). Biooxidation plants equipped with 549 stirred tank reactors to recover Co from enriched mining waste and tailings can be found at Sansu, Ghana, 550 Liazhou, Shandong province, China, the Kasese Cobalt Kilembe Mine, Uganda, and Youanmi Gold Mine, 551 Australia. The latter (Youanmi project) exploits some thermophilic bacteria possessing an optimum 552 temperature between 45 °C and 55 °C (e.g., Sulfobacillus thermosulfidooxidans). Numerous pilot and 553 demonstration-scale processes of stirred tank bioleaching prove the potential for recovering other metals 554 from sulfides, including Ni, Co, Zn, and rare metals. Patented processes of Zn bioleaching involving solvent 555 extraction and electrowinning are also available (Steemson et al., 1994).

556 3.3. Biohydrometallurgical processes for the circular economy

557 In order to promote a circular economy, biotechnology for metal production should be eco-friendly 558 and cost-effective and adapt to the waste management sector. The continuously increasing high demand for 559 critical raw materials and rare metals for technological development has led not only Europe, but also other 560 industrialized countries, to look at diversified sources of supply such as mining waste, mine tailings, and 561 alternate anthropogenic stocks and flows that frequently exhibit a hidden metal value (Baccini and Brunner, 562 2012). Biomining is suitable for treating such materials because they are flexible for optimization and can 563 prove beneficial to decontamination, coupling metal recovery with environmental remediation. This has led 564 to recent advances in fine-tuned methods to treat anthropogenic wastes. Despite the modest and variable 565 ore metal concentrations in anthropogenic flows like MSWI residues, bioleaching methods can allege lower 566 capital costs than other robust technologies in waste management (Funari et al., 2017).

567 Bioleaching for metal extraction from anthropogenic materials such as electronic scraps, various 568 types of slag and flying ashes, secondary solid wastes, and sludge is largely investigated as an economical and 569 eco-friendly process (Gahan et al., 2013; Meawad et al., 2010; Lee and Pandey, 2012; Srichandan et al., 2019). 570 Bioleaching of metals through the use of thermophilic and acidophilic bacteria has been primarily 571 investigated to recover metals from electronic scrap, especially printed circuit boards (Ilyas et al., 2007). 572 Among the strategies adopted to achieve higher speeds of metal leaching from electronic waste, it was found 573 that a mixed consortium can show the maximum efficiency of leaching, and a pre-washing might be useful 574 to remove easily soluble metals (e.g., Cl, Na) or light fractions (e.g., plastics) toxic for bacteria. Satisfactory 575 leaching yields are achievable with S. thermosulfidooxidans, an example of moderate thermophilic bacteria, 576 but the presence of Pb and Sn precipitation complicated separation and purification (Ilyas et al., 2007). 577 Recently, Becci et al. (2020) confirmed that pre-crushing to obtain a granulometry > 0.5 mm is a good strategy 578 to enhance bioleaching of printed circuit boards using iron oxidizers. However, the formation of passivation layers (e.g., jarosite) remains a limiting factor that reduces kinetics dramatically. In their experiments, 579 580 bioleaching processes using monoclonal cultures of A. ferrooxidans and L. ferrooxidans were compared, 581 emphasising oxidation of iron species. The latter microorganisms are very sensitive to metals toxicity and perform a slow conversion of Fe²⁺ in Fe³⁺, resulting in relatively low recovery of around 40% Cu and 20% Zn, 582 583 while bioleaching with A. ferrooxidans yielded around 95% Cu and 70% Zn and showed high conversion of 584 Fe³⁺. Further comparing different scenarios in terms of carbon footprint (Figure 5), they found the optimum 585 condition with further bioreactor size reduction can achieve four times reduction of the CO₂-eq per kilogram 586 of treated material compared to the best bioleaching processes reported in the literature (Becci et al., 2020).





591 The ability of microorganisms to interact with Rare Earth Elements (REE) is suitable for metallurgical 592 separation and environmental technology. The microbial capacity to interact with REE and the REE adsorption 593 sites were investigated in depth using synchrotron-based techniques on genetically engineered strains 594 clarifying the REE adsorption mechanisms. Recognized patterns can be generalized: surface adsorption, 595 adsorption on extracellular biopolymers, cellular absorption, and adsorption on extracellular biominerals 596 (Moriwaki and Yamamoto, 2013). The binding sites of the bacterial cell wall suggested to interact the most 597 with REEs and determine the strain selectivity are phosphate and carboxyl groups. In the recovery of metals 598 such as Ni, Co, and Mo from spent catalysts low yield were reported for acidophilic bacteria, however, it 599 could be improved using Escherichia coli due to its capacity to produce reducing conditions even in acidic 600 environments (Vyas and Ting, 2020). Vyas and Ting (2020) reported that higher Mo extraction (from 72 to 96 601 %) was observed in the spent medium leaching when *E. coli* biomass was kept in contact with the pregnant solutions in a two-step bioleaching procedure. The result suggests a possible biosorption or bioaccumulation 602 603 mechanism operated by E. coli using spent medium indicating a significant involvement of active metabolites 604 such as amino acids. Also, recent studies demonstrate that autochthonous bacteria can be present in wastes 605 and that they could be isolated and tailored for bioprocessing (Ramanathan and Ting, 2016) and 606 bioremediation (Piervandi et al., 2020).

607

587

3.3.1. Bioleaching of MSWI residues

Bioleaching of MSWI residues mainly involves ferrous iron and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (e.g., *A. ferrooxidans*, *A. thiooxidans*), and several species of fungi (e.g., *Aspergillus niger*), which can grow on and around the waste material. Despite numerous bench-scale experiments, no commercial processes for MSWI residues bioleaching are available so far. Biohydrometallurgical processing of MSWI residues suffers

612 considerable limitations when a high pulp density is required to justify short to medium term investments.
613 The high content of toxic metals, organic materials, and a highly alkaline starting pH can impede microbial
614 growth and process efficiency. Various leaching organisms show a high tolerance to toxic metals (i.e., 50 g/l
615 Ni, 55 g/l Cu, 112 g/l Zn), but also the mineralogical composition is of primary importance: a high calcium
616 carbonate content, such as for several types of MSWI-FA, would be unfavorable because high alkalinity and
617 the precipitation of gypsum can occur, affecting the overall costs.

618 Bioleaching can extract valuable metals, especially Cu, Zn, Pb, As, Sb, Ni, Mo, Au, Ag and Co, from 619 MSWI residues with less energy and environmental impact than pyro- or hydro-metallurgical methods. 620 Ishigaki et al. (2005) studied the bioleaching of Cr, Cu, Zn, Cd, and As from MSWI-FA by sulfur-oxidizing and 621 iron-oxidizing bacteria, as pure cultures and a mixture of both. The mixed culture showed the best 622 performance (67% Cu, 78% Zn and 100% for Cr and Cd at 1 % w/v pulp density). Characterization of metal 623 bioleaching revealed that the acidic and oxidizing conditions remained stable throughout the experiment. 624 The redox mechanisms coupled with the sulfate leaching brought an increase of ferrous iron enhancing the 625 Cr, Cu, and As leaching. However, they found that at a higher pulp density (3 % w/v) chromium remained 626 virtually undissolved (4 % Cr yield). The presence of degradable and non-degradable organic compounds in 627 MSWI residues exerted no significant changes in the leachability of metals other than Zn (Ishigaki et al., 2005). 628 An earlier study on microbial leaching (Mercier et al., 1999) elucidated that the following elements can be 629 removed in decreasing order of extraction rate: Cd, Zn, Pb, Cu, using a pure culture of A. ferrooxidans. In the 630 same work, four different leaching tests were conducted for environmental compliance of the final residues, 631 and the authors concluded that the leachate from Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) was within the acceptance criteria only if the final residue's pH was increased to five after the biological 632 633 treatment. Still, Cd releases could be an issue concerning regulatory limits. The investigation by Krebs (2001) 634 reported an example of co-treatments of MSWI-FA using a mixture of strains (Thiobacillus genus) in a suspension of water and nutrients (1 % w/v S₀ powder) and 4 % w/v sewage sludge. The cultivation was 635 compared to pure A. thiooxidans or sewage sludge alone, over 1-3 months. The inoculation with the 636 637 combination of sewage sludge and bacteria showed a fast decrease in pH and increased microbial growth. In 638 the final pH of 1, the efficiency of metal leaching was very similar, with pulp density ranging from 0.5 % to 1 639 %. More than 80 % Cd, Cu, and Zn, around 60 % Al, up to 30 % Ni and Fe, less than 10% Cr and Pb were 640 mobilized (Krebs, 2001). Autochthonous bacteria can be used for bioleaching as reported in a study on MSWI-641 FA produced in Singapore incineration plant (Ramanathan and Ting, 2016). Thirty-eight different microbes 642 were isolated and characterized to find the most suitable autochthonous microbe with inherent fly ash 643 tolerance and ability to thrive in alkaline pH (thus avoiding any pre-acidification of the ash). Besides 644 Firmicutes (90 % relative abundance), three other phyla were identified: Bacteriodetes, Actinobacteria, and 645 a-Proteobacteria. Among six isolates displaying Cu recovery of about 20% or more, Alkalibacterium sp. was 646 tolerant to pH and fly ash, making it a suitable candidate for MSWI-FA bioleaching. Indeed, a one-step 647 bioleaching with Alkalibacterium sp. on MSWI-FA showed 52% Cu and 25% Zn recovery. The high tolerance 648 of Alkalibacterium sp. to metals and substantial bioleaching ability can prompt scaled-up bioleaching with 649 alkaline bacteria that, at present, do not reach acidophile bioleaching in terms of Cu and Zn removal rates. A 650 clear advantage of alkaline bioleaching is the higher pulp density (more than 20% w/v), signifying less water-651 demand. Hong et al. (2000) tested saponin, a biosurfactant produced by microorganisms and plants, for 652 metal removal from MSWI-FA. They compared the efficiency of saponins with that of other solvent extraction 653 (HCl and EDTA) in the pH range 4-9. The saponins leaching was more effective than control acid treatments 654 for Cr, Cu, and Pb with yields up to 45 %, 60 % and 100 %, respectively, whereas the Fe, Si, Al, and Zn 655 extraction was not significant. Gonzalez et al. (2017) used cementing bacteria to stabilise MSWI-FA. After 656 bacteria cementation assays and the assessment of the ad/absorption of metals in the cemented fly ash, they 657 concluded that Sporosarcina pasteurii and Myxococcus xanthus are suitable for multiple metal stabilization 658 (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Sn, and Zn) with some differences concerning trace elements mobility, depending on 659 the starting concentrations in the samples (Gonzalez et al., 2017).

660 MSWI-BA bioleaching has received less attention in the scientific literature because of its less hazardous nature than MSWI-FA, which allows for direct reuse in the construction sector with minimum 661 662 pretreatment. Aouad et al. (2008) studied Pseudomonas aeruginosa and MSWI-BA interactions foreseeing 663 real exposition of MSWI residues to halotolerant bacteria at landfill site. Bioleaching experiments using a 664 pure culture of P. aeruginosa was carried out for 133 days at 25 °C using a modified Soxhlet's device and a 665 culture medium in a closed, unstirred system and resulted in an increase in pH, a greater immobilization of 666 Pb, Ni and Zn, and weaker alteration rate of treated MSWI-BA compared to the abiotic control. The authors 667 explained that the biofilms acted as a protective barrier, thus preventing dissolution by promoting 668 biomineralization (Aouad et al., 2008). Many halotolerant bacteria can be found at MSWI disposal site since 669 tolerating the salinity of MSWI residues, but little information is available about the interaction between 670 bacteria and landfill waste (Sun et al., 2016). Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Bacteroidetes as the dominant 671 phyla, with dominant genera as Halanaerobium, Lactococcus, Methylohalobius, Ignatzschineria, 672 Syntrophomonas, Fastidiosipila, and Spirochaeta are characteristic in municipal waste landfills (Wang et al., 673 2017)

The acid bioleaching behavior of both MSWI FA and MSWI-BA was investigated at the bench scale and compared to abiotic leaching (H₂SO₄, 10% pulp density, 30 °C, 150 rpm) looking at a wide range of metals (Funari et al., 2017). A mixed acidophilic culture composed of iron and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria tested on a one-step bioleaching process yielded >85% Cu, Al, Mn, Mg, and Zn and significant removals of Co, Cr, Pb, Sb, and REE. Unvalued elements like Ca, Si, and Ti showed low mobility with the tendency to remain in the solid phase, while the solubility of other trace elements might be selectively enhanced by the cyclic supply of Fe⁺³ 680 produced by iron-oxidizing bacteria. Moving ahead, Mäkinen et al. (2019) tested the possibility of heap 681 bioleaching for the recovery of Zn and Cu from MSWI-BA at the bench scale via column experiments. Leaching 682 yields varied 18–53% Zn and 6–44% Cu, and they noted that appropriate aeration is the main critical factor 683 needing further adjustments in future testing. Potentially high Fe and Al, easily dissolved in sulfuric acid 684 solutions, and different heap behavior due to the heterogeneity of the material can also impede bioleaching 685 utilization in MSWI residues treatment. However, a balance between bacterial adaptive mechanisms and 686 nutrient supply can generate savings compared to processes relying on abiotic procedures. Electrochemical 687 technologies are also promising in the optimization of acidophile bioleaching for MSWI residues (Gomes et 688 al., 2020), possibly to offset the CO₂ generation of full-scale applications.

689 Fungi have considerable industrial importance in biomining. Several studies demonstrate the applicability of a bioleaching process to MSWI residues using fungal metabolic substances and reactions. 690 691 However, data on a limited spectrum of genera are available (i.e., Aspergillus and Penicillium). The 692 bioleaching ability of Aspergillus has been primarily ascribed to metal dissolution by organic acid excretion 693 (e.g., citric acid). Bosshard et al. (1996) compared biological leaching of MSWI-FA by Aspergillus niger in batch 694 cultures 5% pulp density to chemical leaching, and they found that bioleaching was only slightly lower than 695 chemical leaching with commercial citric acid. They also noted that, in the presence of MSWI-FA, A. niger 696 produced gluconate, whereas, in its absence, citrate. Xu and Ting (2009) investigated the bioleaching kinetics 697 of A. niger with MSWI-FA at various pulp densities (1-6%) in a batch system; Figure 6 illustrates the key 698 results. A modified Gompertz model was used to evaluate growth and acid production by the fungus, while 699 a Monod inhibition model served to assess growth kinetics in the presence of toxic and inhibitory 700 components of the MSWI-FA. The metals present in the MSWI-FA at high concentrations acted as inhibitors, 701 decreasing the A. niger bioleaching yield. A gradual decrease of the fungal growth rate was observed with 702 the increase of the pulp density, likely in relation to the primary inhibitory mechanisms that include inhibition 703 of critical functional groups of enzymes, conformational changes of cell's polymers, and alteration of the 704 integrity of the cell membrane. Nonetheless, the acid excretion by the fungus played a direct role in metal 705 solubility (Al, Fe, and Zn) since the concentration of organic acid increases with biomass and time during 706 fungal leaching of MSWI-FA (Xu and Ting, 2009). The optimal MSWI-FA concentration for fungal leaching is 707 up to 10 % (w/v) in the medium (Bosshard et al., 1996). Yang et al. (2009a) reported bioleaching experiments 708 of MSWI-FA by using single-metal adapted, multi-metal adapted, un-adapted A. niger. The effect of pH and 709 concentration of the extracted metals on the fungus growth was evaluated by comparing the diameter of the 710 fungal colonies. The authors found that multi-metal adapted AS3.879 can tolerate the greatest pulp densities 711 and the Al-adapted strain AS3.879 is the best candidate for MSWI-FA decontamination according to the TCLP 712 test on final residues (Yang et al., 2009a). The biosorption of metals in their ionic form operated by A. niger 713 was further elucidated contacting MSWI-FA leachate made from gluconic acid leaching and the fungus for

714 120 minutes at 6.5 pH: Al, Fe and Zn fitted a pseudo-first-order kinetic and Pb a pseudo-second kinetic; 715 regarding the isotherm models, Pb, Zn and Fe fitted the Langmuir model, while Al Freundlich's (Yang et al., 2009). Moreover, microscope observations revealed that fungal morphology was significantly affected during 716 717 both one-step and two-step bioleaching, with precipitation of calcium oxalate hydrate crystals at the surface 718 of hyphae (Xu et al., 2014), as illustrated in Figure 7, leading to noteworthy implications for after-use. Metal 719 richness in solution or contact surface can be toxic to microorganisms, but finely tuned pre-treatment and 720 adaptation strategies would overcome this limitation in industrial bioprocessing. For example, water washing 721 pre-treatment of MSWI-FA was simultaneously evaluated in both one-step and two-step bioleaching 722 procedures using A. niger (Wang et al., 2009). The results (under optimum pulp density of 1% w/v) showed that the fungi lag phase (i.e., the timeframe of steady pH level and after which the pH starts to drop quickly) 723 724 in the absence of pre-treatment lasts about 260 hours, while less than 150 hours if water washing is deployed 725 (yielding 96% Cd, 91% Mn, 73% Pb, 68% Zn, 35% Cr, 30% Fe at the end of the experiment; Wang et al., 2009). 726 Water washing pre-treatment improves the production of organic acids thanks to partial removal of other 727 components, leading to a reduction of the experiment duration and overall costs.



728

- 729 Figure 6. Growth of *A. niger* in the presence of fly ash: solid lines are Modified Gompertz model, and no growth was seen at 6%
- pulp density (top). Effect of fly ash pulp density (1–6%, w/v) on: the bioleaching of zinc (middle); the production of citric acid
- (bottom). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier (5493670696531) (Xu and Ting, 2009).





732

Figure 7. (a) Undefined XRD analyses of the fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching confirming the formation of calcium oxalate
crystals and dissolution of fly ash particles from Day 7; (b) micrograph of the fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching on Day 7 showing
small particles on the hyphae and increased diameter of hyphae (PPL1 = 4.45 mm and PPL2 = 5.97mm); (c) EDX analyses of the
fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching at Day 7 confirming the presence of calcium oxalate crystals on the fungal surface; (d) surface
of the fungal pellet in two-step bioleaching at Day 8 showing abnormally short, swollen and high-branched hyphae (PPL1 = 5.59
mm, PPL2 = 6.32 mm and PPL3 = 5.32 mm). Reproduced with permission from Elsevier (5493670100485) (Xu et al., 2014).

739 4. Perspectives and Future Developments

740 5. 4.1 Challenges and Opportunities

Bioleaching applied to metal recovery and other biomining operations (e.g., biooxidation for mineral beneficiation, bioremediation of mining waste) can be considered more environmentally friendly than traditional methods. The application of bioleaching, which often refers to mimicking natural processes, is one of the most prominent methods capable of balancing environmental and economic costs in the waste management sector.

746 MSWI residues are mineral assemblages resulting from an elaborated petrogenesis and, thus, after 747 appropriate metallurgical treatments, can fit many potential after-uses. MSWI urban ores show metal concentrations equivalent to low-grade primary ores (Funari et al., 2015). Therefore, urban mining can be affordable. Critical raw materials and strategic elements for green and high-tech applications can be recovered from anthropogenic resources like MSWI residues which are an ever-present flow of loose material and not natural ores to be drilled/crushed to the detriment of the environment. Many countries with limited mineral reserves could find urban mines a compelling resource supply and income option.

753 Biotechnologies, with their relatively low running costs and capital, have a central role in the supply 754 of raw materials and eco-friendly alternatives. It is ideal for remediation and metal recovery from legacy sites 755 and other mining wastes, even and especially in developing countries (Acevedo, 2002). Some strength points 756 of MSWI residues bioleaching have been elucidated after about twenty years of laboratory testing at different 757 scales (Rawlings, 2002; Hennebel et al., 2015; Srichandan et al., 2019; Gomes et al., 2020), such as, less energy 758 and solvent consumption, high boosting potential, easiness to suite existing infrastructures, etc. However, 759 bioleaching of MSWI BA and FA results slower than chemical leaching, and the state-of-the-art highlights the 760 need for improvements concerning dissolution kinetics. For industrial rollout, this limiting factor makes the 761 sole bioprocessing unaffordable and less appealing for MSWI plants than aggressive acid extractions or 762 energy-demanding physical methods.

763 Safety measures must be continually adapted to the desired technology, and fundamental 764 information from basic research is required for process development. Using strains from lab collections and 765 indigenous uncultured strains or mixtures from the natural environments may overcome some limitations, 766 such as the long reaction times to obtain satisfactory yields. As a fact, it became clearer that mixed cultures 767 instead of monoclonal strain showed synergistic effects, favoring biomass growth against heavy metals 768 inhibition and maintaining a reasonable trade-off between microbial community succession and their energy 769 type metabolisms. The use of nutrients, e.g., iron and sulfur for acidophilic bacteria or organic sources for 770 fungi and cyanobacteria, and the mineral acids/bases to maintain a predetermined pH setpoint can increase 771 overall processing costs (Srichandan et al., 2019). Moreover, each microorganism must be adapted to the 772 waste material to be treated as its resistance might strongly depend on the heterogeneity the matrix and 773 standard pH. As in the case of alkaline wastes, such as MSWI ashes, where acidophile cultures can be 774 unaffordable in bioleaching, additional data on alkaline bioleaching or fungal bioleaching is required.

Reducing carbon footprint could receive attention and leverage the development of bioleaching to mitigate climate change. For example, carbon sequestration and accelerated carbonation of alkaline wastes mediated by microorganisms are promising (Mayes et al., 2018; Gomes et al., 2020). Further opportunities are represented by i) fine-tuned bioleaching (enhanced selectivity for specifically chased metals as Li, Co, Cu, REE); ii) microbial recovery cell (consisting in a combination of galvanic leaching and bioleaching). In relation to the last case, an electrodialytic in-situ bioleaching can be tested expecting great results. Several authors argued that some magnetic separates from MSWI residues via electrodynamic fragmentation (Bluhm et al., 782 2000; Seifert et al., 2013), can suite as ideal substrate material (e.g., Panda, 2020) which, at some point, could 783 effortlessly combine to electrodialytic bioleaching. In Europe, metal recovery exploiting ferrous fraction separation has been valued at 60-100 € per ton (of MSWI-BA), while the economic value of the non-ferrous 784 785 fraction is significantly higher (Šyc et al., 2020). Interestingly, the ferrous metal fraction >3/4 mm is still 786 virtually unemployed, although it could contain a significant amount of precious metals (Muchova et al., 787 2009; Holm and Simon, 2017). Since they contain many impurities, these separated by-products are generally 788 sold to a third party at low cost. Again, fine-tuned bioprocessing can enter the treatment chains if sufficient 789 trials are available to achieve better market values. New contractors for MSWI residues bioprocessing indeed 790 produces new job and business models which, in turn, aside from economic feasibility, depends on:

- 791 i. geographic location
- 792 ii. desired final quality of recovery
- 793 iii. throughput (i.e., large vs small MSWI plants)
- 794 iv. type of MSWI residue (e.g., MSWI-BA vs MSWI-FA, quenched MSWI-BA vs dry MSWI-BA)
- 795 v. type of treatment plant (e.g., on-site, at the landfill, mobile processing plant)
- 796 vi. space requirements
- 797 vii. proposed technology (e.g., one step vs multistep bioleaching)
- 798 viii. management options for end-products (landfilling vs inert re-use)
- 799

800 4.2 Future research

801 The reproducibility of MSWI ashes bioprocessing is uncertain due to the lack of pilot-scale treatment 802 results and considering the significant diversity and obsolescence (lifespan of 20-30 years) of the technologies 803 used in MSWI management and municipal waste feed heterogeneity. During prototyping phase the 804 assessment of biological hazards via standardized tests must be completely developed and adapted to the 805 proposed technologies. BA and FA contain hazardous substances, such as mobile harmful elements Pb, As, 806 Mo, Cd, Zn, and Sb, and also organic contaminants such as halides, hormones, prion, ionic liquids and rare 807 volatile metals like osmium, and other ultrafine particles (Funari et al., 2016; 2020; Turner and Filella, 2017; 808 Richardson and Kimura, 2017). Target and non-target chemical analyses, toxicological studies, and endpoint 809 metrics (such as antibiotic resistance, genotoxicity, superparamagnetism, etc.) are necessary to protect the 810 environment and the human health.

Despite the general skepticism in the application of biological processes, feasibility studies ascertain the urgent need to establish process efficiency in appropriate scale reactors or heaps to optimize the process, reactor design, and cost-benefit analysis towards cleaner waste management and minimization of loss of resources in the production chains. Optimizing the dissolution kinetics to speed up the reaction can be improved by optimizing pH, pulp density, temperature, pre-treatments, reaction time, and the careful choice of bioleaching bacteria and their nutrients. Certainly, a profound knowledge of the leaching mechanism and behavior of microorganisms is vital for identifying new promising species or consortia. Pulp density is another issue that makes the process uneconomic unless clear water recirculation solutions are developed. Evaluation of the process economics may be properly examined in the long run after identifying the best metal to recover based on the commercial bioleaching applications in primary ore mining. Although bioleaching yields are a step below compared to abiotic leaching, engineered inocula can be tailored to the target materials and express their functionalities to sustain or prevent metal leaching from the treated waste.

- 823 Statements
- 824 -Ethical Approval
- 825
- 826 Not applicable
- 827
- 828 -Consent to Participate
- 829
- 830 Not applicable
- 831
- 832 -Consent to Publish
- 833
- 834 Not applicable
- 835
- 836 -Authors Contributions

837

- 838 Conceptualization: Valerio Funari; Methodology: Valerio Funari, Helena I. Gomes, Rafael Santos; Writing -
- 839 original draft preparation: Valerio Funari; Writing review and editing: Valerio Funari, Helena I. Gomes,
- 840 Simone Toller, Laura Vitale; Supervision: Valerio Funari, Helena I. Gomes, Rafael Santos.
- 841
- 842 -Funding

843

- 844 This work was supported by Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (PRIN 2017
- 845 2017L83S77_005) and Fondazione CON IL SUD (2018-PDR-01165).
- 846

847 -Competing Interests 848 849 The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose. 850 851 -Availability of data and materials 852 853 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, V.F., upon 854 reasonable request. 855 Acknowledgement 856 V. F. and S. T. acknowledge the project PRIN 2017 2017L83S77_005 "Mineral reactivity, a key to under-857 858 stand large-scale processes: from rock forming environments to solid waste recovering/lithification". V. F., 859 H.I. G. and L. V. acknowledge the Fondazione CON IL SUD for the support through MATCHER project (2018-860 PDR-01165). 861 References 862 863 864 (EIPPCB) European Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Bureau, 2018. Best Available 865 Techniques (BAT) Reference Document on Waste Incineration (final draft, December 2018). Joint Research Centre, Sevilla, Spain. 866 Acevedo F., 2002. Present and future of bioleaching in developing countries. Electronic Journal of 867 868 Biotechnology, 5: 196–199. Allegrini E., Maresca A., Olsson M.E., Holtze M.S., Boldrin A., and Astrup T.F. 2014. Quantification 869 870 of the Resource Recovery Potential of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Bottom Ashes. 871 Waste Management 34: 1627–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.05.003. Aouad G., Crovisier J.L., Damidot D., Stille P., Hutchens E., Mutterer J., Meyer J.M., and Geoffroy 872 873 V.A. 2008. Interactions between Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Bottom Ash and 874 Bacteria (Pseudomonas Aeruginosa). Science of the Total Environment 393 (2–3): 385–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.01.017. 875 876 Arickx S., Van Gerven T., and Vandecasteele C. 2006. Accelerated Carbonation for Treatment of 877 MSWI Bottom Ash. Journal of Hazardous Materials 137 (1): 235–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.01.059. 878 879 Astrup T., Muntoni A., Polettini A., van Gerven T., van Zomeren A. 2016. Treatment and reuse of 880 incineration bottom ash. Elsevier Inc. ISBN: 978-0-12-803837-6 Baccini P., and Brunner P.H. 2012. Metabolism of the Anthroposphere: Analysis, Evaluation, 881 882 Design. MIT Press. 883 Baciocchi R., Costa G., Zingaretti D., Cazzotti M., Werner M., Polettini A., Pomi R., and Falasca M. 884 2010. Studio Sulle Potenzialità Della Carbonatazione Di Minerali e Residui Industriali per Lo 885 Stoccaggio Di Anidride Carbonica Prodotta Da Impianti Di Piccola / Media Taglia. ENEA -886 Report Ricerca Di Sistema Elettrico.

887 Batty, J.D., Rorke, G.V., 2006. Development and commercial demonstration of the BioCOP™ 888 thermophile process. Hydrometallurgy, 83 (1-4): 83-89. 889 Bayuseno A.P., and Schmahl W.W. 2010. Understanding the Chemical and Mineralogical Properties of the Inorganic Portion of MSWI Bottom Ash. Waste Management 30 (8–9): 890 891 1509–20. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.03.010. Becci A., Amato A., Fonti V., and Beolchini F. 2020. An innovative biotechnology for metal recovery 892 from printed circuit boards. Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 153, November 2019, 893 894 2020 895 Benassi L., Pasquali M., Zanoletti A., Dalipi R., Borgese L., Depero L.E., Vassura I., Quina M.J., and 896 Bontempi E. 2016. Chemical Stabilization of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash 897 without Any Commercial Chemicals: First Pilot-Plant Scaling Up. ACS Sustainable Chemistry 898 and Engineering 4 (10): 5561–69. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b01294. Bertolini L., Carsana M., Cassago D., Quadrio Curzio A., and Collepardi M. 2004. MSWI Ashes as 899 900 Mineral Additions in Concrete. Cement and Concrete Research 34 (10): 1899–1906. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.02.001. 901 Bhagat C., Dudhagara P., and Tank S. 2018. Trends, Application and Future Prospectives of 902 903 Microbial Carbonic Anhydrase Mediated Carbonation Process for CCUS. Journal of Applied Microbiology 124 (2): 316–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13589. 904 905 Biganzoli L., and Grosso M. 2013. Aluminium Recovery from Waste Incineration Bottom Ash, and 906 Its Oxidation Level. Waste Management and Research 31 (9): 954–59. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X13493956. 907 Bipp H.P., Wunsch P., Fischer K., Bieniek D., and Kettrup A. 1998. Heavy metal leaching of fly ash 908 909 from waste incineration with gluconic acid and molasses hydrolysate. Chemosphere, 36, 910 2523-2533. 911 Bluhm H., Frey W., Giese H., Hoppé P., Schultheiß C., and Sträßner R. 2000. Application of pulsed HV discharges to material fragmentation and recycling. IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and 912 Electrical Insulation, 7: 625–636. https://doi.org/10.1109/94.879358 913 914 Bogush A., Stegemann J.A., Wood I., and Roy A. 2015. Element Composition and Mineralogical 915 Characterisation of Air Pollution Control Residue from UK Energy-from-Waste Facilities. 916 Waste Management 36: 119–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.11.017. 917 Böni D., and Morf L., 2018. Thermo-Recycling: Efficient recovery of valuable materials from dry bottom ash. Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash. 918 919 Thomé-Kozmiensky Verlag GmbH: 25-37. 920 Born J.P., 2018. Mining incinerator bottom ash for heavy non-ferrous metals and precious metal. 921 In: Holm, O., Thome-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste 922 Incineration Bottom Ash. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 11–24. 923 Bosecker K. 1997. Bioleaching: Metal Solubilization by Microorganisms. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 20: 591–604. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1997.tb00340.x. 924 Bosshard P.P., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 1996. Metal Leaching of Fly Ash from Municipal Waste 925 926 Incineration by Aspergillus Niger. Environmental Science & Technology 30: 3066–70. Brännvall E., and Kumpiene J. 2016. Fly Ash in Landfill Top Covers – a Review. Environmental 927 Science: Processes & Impacts 18 (1): 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5em00419e. 928 929 Brierley C.L., and Brierley J.A. 2013. Progress in Bioleaching: Part B: Applications of Microbial 930 Processes by the Minerals Industries. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 97: 7543-52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5095-3. 931

- Brombacher C., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 1998. Development of a Laboratory-Scale Leaching
 Plant for Metal Extraction from Fly Ash by Thiobacillus Strains. Applied and Environmental
 Microbiology 64 (4): 1237–41. https://doi.org/0099-2240/98/\$04.00+0.
- Bühler, A., Schlumberger, S., 2010. Schwermetalle aus der Flugasche zurückgewinnen: Saure
- 936 Flugaschenwäsche FLUWA Verfahren, ein zukunftsweisendes Verfahren in der
- 937 Abfallverbrennung (Recovering Heavy Metals from Fly Ash: Acidic Fly Ash Scrubbing
- 938 'FLUWA', a Trendsetting Procedure in Waste Incineration). KVARückstände in der Schweiz –
- 939 Der Rohstoff mit Mehrwert (MSWI Residues in Switzerland A Resource with Added
 940 Value). Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN), Bern.
- Bunge R. 2018. Recovery of metals from waste incineration bottom ash. In: Holm, O., ThomeKozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom
 Ash. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 63–143.
- Chandler A. J., Eighmy T.T., Hartlén J., Hjelmar O., Kosson D.S., Sawell S.E., van der Sloot H.A., and
 Vehlow J. 1997. Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Residues. Edited by The Internationl Ash
 Working Group. The Internationl Ash Working Group. 1997 Elsev. Vol. 67. Netherlands:
 Studies in Environmental Science.
- Chang C.Y., Wang C.F., Mui D.T., Chiang H.L. 2009. Application of methods (sequential extraction
 procedures and high-pressure digestion method) to fly ash particles to determine the
 element constituents: A case study for BCR 176. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 163, 578 587. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.07.039
- Chen Z., Lu S., Mao Q., Buekens A., Chang W., Wang X., Yan J. 2016. Suppressing heavy metal
 leaching through ball milling of fly ash. Energies 9 (7): 524.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/en9070524
- Chimenos J.M., Fernàndez A.I., Miralles L., Segarra M., and Espiell F. 2003. Short-term natural
 weathering of MSWI bottom ash as a function of particle size. Waste Management, 23, 10,
 887-895. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(03)00074-6
- Chou J.D., Wey M.Y., Chang S.H., 2009. Evaluation of the distribution patterns of Pb, Cu and Cd
 from MSWI fly ash during thermal treatment by sequential extraction procedure. Journal of
 Hazardous Materials, 162, 1000-1006. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.155
- 961 Clavier K.A., Paris J.M., Ferraro C.C., Bueno E.T., Tibbetts C.M., and Townsend T.G. 2021. Washed
 962 waste incineration bottom ash as a raw ingredient in cement production: Implications for
 963 lab-scale clinker behavior. Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 169, 105513.
 964 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105513
- Cornelis G., Van Gerven T., and Vandecasteele C. 2012. Antimony Leaching from MSWI Bottom
 Ash: Modelling of the Effect of PH and Carbonation. Waste Management 32 (2): 278–86.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.09.018.
- Costa G., Baciocchi R., Polettini A., Pomi R., Hills C.D., and Carey P.J. 2007. Current Status and
 Perspectives of Accelerated Carbonation Processes on Municipal Waste Combustion
 Residues. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 135 (1–3): 55–75.
- 971 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9704-4.
- De Boom A., and Degrez M. 2012. Belgian MSWI Fly Ashes and APC Residues: A Characterisation
 Study. Waste Management 32 (6): 1163–70.
- 974 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.12.017.

Dijkstra J.J., van der Sloot H.A., and Comans R.N.J. 2006. The leaching of major and trace elements from MSWI bottom ash as a function of pH and time. Applied Geochemistry 21 (2): 335–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2005.11.003

- Dziurla M.-A., Achouak W., Lam B.-T., Heulin T., Berthelin J. 1998. Enzyme-linked immunofiltration
 assay to estimate attachment of Thiobacilli to pyrite. Applied Environmental Microbiology
 64 (8), 2937–2942.
- Eighmy T.T., Dykstra J., Eusden J., Krzanowski E., Domingo D.S., Staempfli D., Martin J.R., and
 Erickson P.M. 1995. Comprehensive Approach toward Understanding Element Speciation
 and Leaching Behavior in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Electrostatic Precipitator Ash.
 Environmental Science & Technology 29 (3): 629–46.
- 985 https://doi.org/10.1021/es00003a010.
- Eurostat, 2019. Statistics explained retrieved at https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics explained/index.php?title=File:Municipal_waste_landfilled,_incinerated,_recycled_and_co
 mposted,_EU-27,_1995-2019.png
- Eusden J.D., Eighmy T.T., Hockert K., Holland E., and Marsella K. 1999. Petrogenesis of Municipal
 Solid Waste Combustion Bottom Ash. Applied Geochemistry 14 (8): 1073–91.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(99)00005-0.
- Fan C., Wang B., Qi Y., Liu Z., 2021. "Characteristics and leaching behavior of MSWI fly ash in novel
 solidification/stabilization binders." Waste Management, 131, 277-285.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.06.011
- Fellner J., Lederer J., Purgar A., Winterstetter A., Rechberger H., Winter F., and Laner D. 2015.
 Evaluation of Resource Recovery from Waste Incineration Residues--the Case of Zinc.
 Waste Management 37: 95–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.10.010.
- Ferrari S., Belevi H., and Baccini P. 2002. Chemical Speciation of Carbon in Municipal Solid Waste
 Incinerator Residues. Waste Management 22: 303–14.
- Fletcher M., and Savage D.C. 2013. Bacterial Adhesion: Mechanisms and Physiological Significance.
 Springer Science & Business Media.
- Fruergaard T., Hyks J., and Astrup T. 2010. Life-cycle assessment of selected management options
 for air pollution control residues from waste incineration. Science of The Total
 Environment, 408, 20: 4672-4680. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.05.029
- Funari V., Bokhari S.H.N., Vigliotti L., Meisel T.C., and Braga R. 2016. The Rare Earth Elements in
 Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators ash and promising tools for their prospecting. Journal of
 Hazardous Materials 301 (January): 471–79.
- 1008 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.09.015.
- Funari V., Braga R., Bokhari S.N.H., Dinelli E., and Meisel T.C. 2015. Solid Residues from Italian
 Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators: A Source for "critical" Raw Materials. Waste
 Management 45: 206–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.11.005.
- Funari V., Gomes H.I., Cappelletti M., Fedi S., Dinelli E., Rogerson M., Mayes W.M., and Rovere M.
 2019. Optimization Routes for the Bioleaching of MSWI Fly and Bottom Ashes Using
 Microorganisms Collected from a Natural System. Waste and Biomass Valorization 10 (12):
 3833–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-019-00688-9.
- Funari V., Mäkinen J., Salminen J., Braga R., Dinelli E., and Revitzer H. 2017. Metal Removal from
 Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash: A Comparison between Chemical Leaching and
 Bioleaching. Waste Management 60: 397–406.
- 1019 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.07.025.
- Funari V., Mantovani L., Vigliotti L., Dinelli E., and Tribaudino M. 2020. Understanding Room Temperature Magnetic Properties of Anthropogenic Ashes from Municipal Solid Waste
 Incineration to Assess Potential Impacts and Resources. Journal of Cleaner Production 262
 (July): 121209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121209.

- 1024 Funari V., Mantovani L., Vigliotti L., Tribaudino M., Dinelli E., and Braga R. 2018.
- 1025 Superparamagnetic Iron Oxides Nanoparticles from Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators. 1026 Science of the Total Environment 621: 687–96.
- 1027 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.289.
- Funari V., Meisel T.C., and Braga R. 2016. The Potential Impact of Municipal Solid Waste
 Incinerators Ashes on the Anthropogenic Osmium Budget. Science of the Total
 Environment 541: 1549–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.014.
- Funatsuki A., Takaoka M., Oshita K., and Takeda N. 2012. Methods of Determining Lead Speciation
 in Fly Ash by X-ray Absorption Fine-Structure Spectroscopy and a Sequential Extraction
 Procedure. Analytical Sciences, 28, 481-490.
- Gahan C.S., Srichandan H., Kim D.J., and Akcil A. 2013. Bio-Hydrometallurgy and Its Applications: A
 Review. In Advances in Biotechnology, edited by H N Thatoi, 71–100. New Delhi. India:
 Indian Publisher.
- Gehrke T., Telegdi J., Thierry D., Sand W. 1998. Importance of extracellular polymeric substances
 from Thiobacillus ferrooxidans for bioleaching. Applied Environmental Microbiology 64 (7):
 2743–2747.
- Gericke M., Neale J.W., and van Staden P.J. 2009. A Mintek perspective of the past 25 years in
 minerals bioleaching. Journal of Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 109:
 567–585.
- Gomes H.I., Funari V., and Ferrari R. 2020. Bioleaching for Resource Recovery from Low-Grade
 Wastes like Fly and Bottom Ashes from Municipal Incinerators: A SWOT Analysis. Science of
 The Total Environment 715 (May): 136945.
- 1046 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136945.
- Gomes H.I., Funari V., Dinelli E., and Soavi F. 2020. Enhanced Electrodialytic Bioleaching of Fly
 Ashes of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration for Metal Recovery. Electrochimica Acta 345
 (June): 136188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2020.136188.
- Gonzalez I., Vazquez M.A., Romero-Baena A.J., Barba-Brioso C., González I., Vázquez M.A. 2017.
 Stabilization of Fly Ash Using Cementing Bacteria. Assessment of Cementation and Trace
 Element Mobilization. Journal of Hazardous Materials 321: 316–25.
- 1053 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.09.018.
- Grosso M., Biganzoli L., and Rigamonti L. 2011. A Quantitative Estimate of Potential Aluminium
 Recovery from Incineration Bottom Ashes. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 55 (12):
 1178–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.08.001.
- Gu T., Rastegar S.O., Mousavi S.M., Li M., and Zhou M. 2018. Advances in bioleaching for recovery
 of metals and bioremediation of fuel ash and sewage sludge. Bioresources Technology,
 221: 428–440.
- 1060Guimaraes A.L., Okuda T., Nishijima W., Okada M. 2006. Organic carbon leaching behavior from1061incinerator bottom ash. Journal of Hazardous Materials 137: 1096–1101.
- Hennebel T., Boon N., Maes S., and Lenz M. 2015. Biotechnologies for Critical Raw Material
 Recovery from Primary and Secondary Sources: R&D Priorities and Future Perspectives.
 New Biotechnology 32 (1): 121–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2013.08.004.
- 1065 Holm O., and Simon F.G. 2017. Innovative treatment trains of bottom ash (BA) from municipal 1066 solid waste incineration (MSWI) in Germany. Waste Management, 59, 229-236.
- 1067 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.09.004

- Hong K.J., Tokunaga S., Ishigami Y., and Kajiuchi T. 2000. Extraction of Heavy Metals from MSW
 Incinerator Fly Ash Using Saponins. Chemosphere 41: 345–52.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00489-0.
- Huang S.J., Chang C.Y., Mui D.T., Chang F.C., Lee M.Y., Wang C.F. 2007. Sequential extraction for
 evaluating the leaching behavior of selected elements in municipal solid waste incineration
 fly ash. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 149, 180-188. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.03.067
- Huber F., Blasenbauer D., Aschenbrenner P., and Fellner J. 2019. Chemical composition and
 leachability of differently sized material fractions of municipal solid waste incineration
 bottom ash. Waste Management, 95: 593-603.
- 1077 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.06.047
- Hyks J., and Hjelmar O. 2018. Utilisation of incineration bottom ash (IBA) from waste incineration –
 prospects and limits. In: Holm O., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and
 Utilisation of Waste Incineration Bottom Ash. Thomé- Kozmiensky Verlag GmbH,
 Neuruppin, pp. 11–23.
- Hyks J., Astrup T., and Christensen T.H. 2009. Leaching from MSWI Bottom Ash: Evaluation of Non Equilibrium in Column Percolation Experiments. Waste Management 29 (2): 522–29.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.06.011
- 1085 Ilyas S., Anwar M.A., Niazi S.B., and Ghauri M.A. 2007. Bioleaching of metals from electronic scrap
 1086 by moderately thermophilic acidophilic bacteria. Hydrometallurgy 88 (1–4), 180–188.
- Inkaew K., Saffarzadeh A., and Shimaoka T. 2016. "Modeling the Formation of the Quench Product
 in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) Bottom Ash." Waste Management 52: 159–
 68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.03.019.
- Ishigaki T., Nakanishi A., Tateda M., Ike M., and Fujita M. 2005. Bioleaching of Metal from
 Municipal Waste Incineration Fly Ash Using a Mixed Culture of Sulfur-Oxidizing and Iron Oxidizing Bacteria. Chemosphere 60 (8): 1087–94.
- 1093 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.12.060.
- Izquierdo M., Lòpez-Soler A., Ramonich E.V., Barra M., and Querol X. 2002. Characterisation of
 bottom ash from municipal solid waste incineration in Catalonia. Journal of Chemical
 Technology and Biotechnology, 77: 576-583.
- Jerez C.A. 2008. The Use of Genomics, Proteomics and Other OMICS Technologies for the Global
 Understanding of Biomining Microorganisms. Hydrometallurgy 94 (1–4): 162–69.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2008.05.032.
- Kaksonen A.H., Morris C., Rea S., Li J., Usher K.M., McDonald R.G., Hilario F., Hosken T., Jackson
 M., du Plessis C.A. 2014. Biohydrometallurgical iron oxidation and precipitation: Part II —
 jarosite precipitate characterisation and acid recovery by conversion to hematite.
- Hydrometallurgy 147–148, 264–272.
 Kalmykova Y., Fedje K.K., and Fedje K.K. 2013. Phosphorus Recovery from Municipal Solid Waste
- 1105
 Incineration Fly Ash. Waste Management 33 (6): 1403–10.

 1106
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.01.040.
- Kaza S., Yao, L.C.; Perinaz B.T., and Van Woerden F. 2018. What a Waste 2.0 : A Global Snapshot of
 Solid Waste Management to 2050. Urban Development; Washington, DC: World Bank. ©
 World Bank. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/30317 License: CC BY
 3.0 IGO.
- 1111Kirby C.S., and Rimstidt J.D. 1993. Mineralogy and Surface Properties of Municipal Solid Waste1112Ash. Environmental Science & Technology 27 (1): 652–60.

- Krebs W., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 2001. Growth stimulation of sulfur oxidizing bacteria for 1113 1114 optimization of metal leaching efficiency of fly ash from municipal solid waste incineration. Hydrometallurgy, 59, 283–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X(00)00174-2 1115 Krebs W., Brombacher C., Bosshard P.P., Bachofen R., and Brandl H. 1997. Microbial Recovery of 1116 1117 Metals from Solids. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 20: 605–17. https://doi.org/S0168-1118 6445(97)00037-5. Kuboňová L., Langová Š., Nowak B., and Winter F. 2013. Thermal and Hydrometallurgical Recovery 1119 Methods of Heavy Metals from Municipal Solid Waste Fly Ash. Waste Management 33 (11): 1120 2322–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.05.022. 1121 1122 Lacey D.T., and Lawson F. 1970. Kinetics of the liquid-phase oxidation of acid ferrous sulfate by the 1123 bacterium Thiobacillus ferrooxidens. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 12: 29–50. 1124 Lam C.H.K., Ip A.W.M., Barford J.P., and McKay G. 2010. Use of Incineration MSW Ash: A Review. Sustainability 2 (7): 1943–68. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2071943. 1125 1126 Lederer J., Trinkel V., and Fellner J. 2017. Wide-Scale Utilization of MSWI Fly Ashes in Cement Production and Its Impact on Average Heavy Metal Contents in Cements: The Case of 1127 Austria. Waste Management 60: 247–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.10.022. 1128 Lee J.C., and Pandey B.D. 2012. Bio-Processing of Solid Wastes and Secondary Resources for Metal 1129 Extraction - A Review. Waste Management 32 (1): 3–18. 1130 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.08.010. 1131 1132 Liu Y., Zheng L., Li X., and Xie S. 2009. SEM/EDS and XRD characterization of raw and washed MSWI fly ash sintered at different temperatures. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 162(1), 161-1133 173. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.029 1134 1135 Magiera T., Jabłońska M., Strzyszcz Z., and Rachwal M. 2011. Morphological and mineralogical 1136 forms of technogenic magnetic particles in industrial dusts. Atmospheric Environment, 45, 1137 25: 4281-4290, ISSN 1352-2310, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.076 Mäkinen J., Salo M., Soini J., and Kinnunen P. 2019. Laboratory scale investigations on heap (bio) 1138 leaching of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash. Minerals, 9, 290. 1139 1140 Maldonado-Alameda A., Manosa J., Giro-Paloma J., Formosa J., and Chimenos J.M. 2021. Alkali-1141 Activated Binders Using Bottom Ash from Waste-to-Energy Plants and Aluminium Recycling Waste. Applied Sciences, 11(9), 3840. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11093840 1142 1143 Mantovani L., Tribaudino M., De Matteis C., and Funari V. 2021. Particle Size and Potential Toxic Element Speciation in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration (MSWI) Bottom Ash. 1144 Sustainability, 13(4):1911. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041911 1145 1146 Matjie R.H., Bunt J.R., and Heerden J.H.P.V. 2005. Extraction of alumina from coal fly ash 1147 generated from a selected low rank bituminous South African coal. Minerals Engineering, 1148 18, 3, 299-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2004.06.013 1149 Mayes W.M., Riley A.L., Gomes H.I., Brabham P., Hamlyn J., Pullin H., and Renforth P. 2018. 1150 Atmospheric CO₂ sequestration in iron and steel slag: Consett, Co. Durham, UK. 1151 Environmental Science & Technology, 52, 7892-7900. 1152 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b01883. 1153 Meawad A.S., Bojinova D.Y., and Pelovski Y.G. 2010. An Overview of Metals Recovery from Thermal Power Plant Solid Wastes. Waste Management 30 (12): 2548–59. 1154 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.07.010. 1155 Mercier G., Chartier M., Couillard D., and Blais J.-F. 1999. Decontamination of Fly Ash and Used 1156 Lime from Municipal Waste Incinerator Using Thiobacillus Ferrooxidans." Environmental 1157 1158 Management 24 (4): 517–28.
 - 38

- 1159 Moore P. 2008. Scaling fresh heights in heap-leach technology. Mining Magazine 198, 54–66.
- Morf L.S., Gloor R., Haag O., Haupt M., Skutan S., Di Lorenzo F., and Böni D. 2013. Precious Metals
 and Rare Earth Elements in Municipal Solid Waste--Sources and Fate in a Swiss Incineration
 Plant. Waste Management 33 (3): 634–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2012.09.010.
- Moriwaki H., and Yamamoto H. 2013. Interactions of Microorganisms with Rare Earth Ions and
 Their Utilization for Separation and Environmental Technology. Applied Microbiology and
 Biotechnology 97: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4519-9.
- Muchova L., Bakker E., and Rem P. 2009. Precious Metals in Municipal Solid Waste Incineration
 Bottom Ash. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus 9: 107–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11267 008-9191-9.
- Nagib S., and Inoue K. 2000. Recovery of Lead and Zinc from Fly Ash Generated from Municipal
 Incineration Plants by Means of Acid and/or Alkaline Leaching. Hydrometallurgy 56: 269–
 92. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X(00)00073-6.
- Nayak N., and Panda C.R. 2010. Aluminium extraction and leaching characteristics of Talcher
 Thermal Power Station fly ash with sulphuric acid. Fuel, 89, 1, 53-58.
- 1174 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2009.07.019
- Niu J., Deng J., Xiao Y., He Z., Zhang X., Van Nostrand J.D., Liang Y., Deng Y., Liu X., and Yin H. 2016.
 The Shift of Microbial Communities and Their Roles in Sulfur and Iron Cycling in a Copper
 Ore Bioleaching System. Scientific Reports 6 (October): 34744.
- 1178 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34744.
- Nørgaard K.P., Hyks J., Mulvad J.K., Frederiksen J.O., Hjelmar O. 2019. Optimizing large-scale
 ageing of municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash prior to the ad- vanced metal
 recovery: phase I: monitoring of temperature, moisture content, and CO2 level. Waste
 Management 85, 95–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.12. 019.
- Panda S. 2020. Magnetic separation of ferrous fractions linked to improved bioleaching of metals
 from waste-to-energy incinerator bottom ash (IBA): a green approach. Environmental
 Science and Pollution Research 27.9: 9475-9489.
- Parés Viader R., Pernille E.J., and Ottosen L.M. 2017. Electrodialytic Remediation of Municipal Solid
 Waste Incineration Residues Using Different Membranes. Chemosphere 169: 62–68.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.11.047.
- Pedersen A.J., Ottosen L.M., and Villumsen A. 2005. Electrodialytic Removal of Heavy Metals from
 Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly Ash Using Ammonium Citrate as Assisting Agent.
 Journal of Hazardous Materials 122 (1–2): 103–9.
- 1192 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.03.019.
- Piervandi Z., Darban A.K., Mousavi S.M., Abdollahy M., Asadollahfardi G., Funari V., Dinelli E.,
 Webster R.D., and Sillanpää M. 2020. Effect of Biogenic Jarosite on the Bio-Immobilization
 of Toxic Elements from Sulfide Tailings. Chemosphere 258 (November): 127288.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127288.
- Quina M.J., Bontempi E., Bogush A., Schlumberger S., Weibel G., Braga R., Funari V., Hyks J.,
 Rasmussen E., and Lederer J. 2018. Technologies for the Management of MSW Incineration
 Ashes from Gas Cleaning: New Perspectives on Recovery of Secondary Raw Materials and
 Circular Economy. Science of the Total Environment.
- 1201 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.150.
- Quina M.J., Bordado J.C.M., and Quinta-Ferreira R.M. 2008. Treatment and use of air pollution
 control residues from MSW incineration: an overview. Waste Management 28: 2097–2121.

- 1204 Ramanathan T., and Ting Y.P. 2016. Alkaline Bioleaching of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Fly 1205 Ash by Autochthonous Extremophiles. Chemosphere 160: 54–61.
- 1206 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.06.055.
- 1207 Rawlings D.E. 1997. Mesophilic autotrophic bioleaching bacteria: Description, physiology and role. 1208 In Biomining: Theory, Microbes and Industrial Processes; Rawlings, D.E., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1997; pp. 229–245. 1209
- Rawlings D.E. 2002. Heavy Metal Mining Using Microbes. Annual Review of Microbiology 56 (1): 1210 65–91. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.56.012302.161052. 1211
- Rawlings D.E., and Johnson D.B. 2007. The microbiology of biomining: Development and 1212 1213 optimization of mineral-oxidizing microbial consortia. Microbiology, 153: 315–324.
- 1214 Rawlings D.E., Dew D., and du Plessis C. 2003. Biomineralization of metal-containing ores and 1215 concentrates. Trends in Biotechnology 21, 38–44.
- 1216 Rawlings, D.E. 2004. Microbially assisted dissolution of minerals and its use in the mining industry. 1217 Pure and Applied Chemistry, 76: 847–859.
- Richardson S.D., and Kimura S.Y. 2017. Emerging Environmental Contaminants: Challenges Facing 1218 Our next Generation and Potential Engineering Solutions. Environmental Technology and 1219 Innovation 8: 40–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2017.04.002. 1220
- Rissler J., Klementiev K., Dahl J., Steenari B. M., and Edo M. 2020. Identification and Quantification 1221 1222 of Chemical Forms of Cu and Zn in MSWI Ashes Using XANES. Energy Fuels 34, 11: 14505-1223 14514. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c02226
- Sabbas T., Polettini A., Pomi R., Astrup T., Hjelmar O., Mostbauer P., Cappai G. 2003. Management 1224 1225 of Municipal Solid Waste Incineration Residues. Waste Management 23 (1): 61–88. 1226 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(02)00161-7.
- 1227 Saikia N., Mertens G., Van Balen K., Elsen J., Van Gerven T., Vandecasteele C. 2015. Pre-treatment 1228 of municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI) bottom ash for utilisation in cement mortar. Construction and Building Matererials 96, 76–85. 1229
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.07.185 1230
- 1231 Sand W., Gehrke T., Hallmann R., and Schippers A. 1995. Sulfur chemistry, biofilm, and the (in)direct attack mechanism—a critical evaluation of bacterial leaching. Applied 1232 1233 Microbiology and Biotechnology 43: 961–966.
- 1234 Sand W., Gehrke T., Jozsa P.-G., and Schippers A. 2001. (Bio)Chemistry of Bacterial Leaching-Direct vs. Indirect Bioleaching. Hydrometallurgy 59: 159–75. 1235
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-386X(00)00180-8. 1236
- 1237 Seifert S., Thome V., Karlstetter C., Maier M. 2013. Elektrodynamische Fragmentierung von MVA-1238 Schlacken – Zerlegung der Schlacken und Abscheidung von Chloriden und Sulfaten. In: 1239 Thomé-Kozmiensky, K.J. (Ed.), Asche-Schlacke- Stäube Aus Metallurgie Und 1240 Abfallverbrennung. TK Verlag Karl Thomé-Kozmiensky, pp. 353–366.
- 1241 Smith, Y.R., Nagel, J.R., Rajamani, R.K., 2019. Eddy current separation for recovery of non-ferrous 1242 metallic particles: a comprehensive review. Mineral Engineering 133, 149–159. 1243 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2018.12.025.
- Speiser C., Baumann T., and Niessner R. 2000. Morphological and chemical characterization of 1244 calcium-hydrate phases formed in alteration processes of deposited municipal solid waste 1245 1246 incinerator bottom ash. Environmental Science & Technology, 34, 5030-5037. DOI:
- 10.1021/es990739c 1247

- Srichandan H., Mohapatra R.K., Parhi P.K., and Mishra S. 2019. Bioleaching Approach for Extraction
 of Metal Values from Secondary Solid Wastes: A Critical Review. Hydrometallurgy 189
 (February): 105122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2019.105122.
- Steemson, M.L., Sheehan, G.J., Winborne, D.A. and Wong, F.S. (1994). An integrated
 bioleach/solvent extraction process for zinc metal production form zinc concentrates. PCT
 World Patent, WO 94/28184.
- Stockinger G. 2018. Direct wet treatment of fresh, wet removed IBA from waste incinerator. In:
 Holm, O., Thomé-Kozmiensky, E. (Eds.), Removal, Treatment and Utilisation of Waste
 Incineration Bottom Ash. TK Verlag, Neuruppin, pp. 47–52.
- Su L., Guo G., Shi X., Zuo M., Niu D., Zhao A., and Zhao Y. 2013. Copper Leaching of MSWI Bottom
 Ash Co-Disposed with Refuse: Effect of Short-Term Accelerated Weathering. Waste
 Management 33 (6): 1411–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2013.02.011
- Sun M., Sun W., and Barlaz M.A. 2016. A Batch Assay to Measure Microbial Hydrogen Sulfide
 Production from Sulfur-Containing Solid Wastes. Science of the Total Environment 551–
 552: 23–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.161.
- Sun Z., Cui H., An H., Tao D., Xu Y., Zhai J., and Li Q. 2013. Synthesis and Thermal Behavior of
 Geopolymer-Type Material from Waste Ceramic. Construction and Building Materials 49:
 281–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.08.063.
- Suzuki I. 2001. Microbial leaching of metals from sulfide minerals. Biotechnology Advances, 19,
 119–132.
- Šyc M., Simon F.G., Hykš J., Braga R., Biganzoli L., Costa G., Funari V., and Grosso M. 2020. Metal
 Recovery from Incineration Bottom Ash: State-of-the-Art and Recent Developments.
 Journal of Hazardous Materials 393 (February): 122433.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbazmet.2020.122423.
- 1271 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122433.
- Turner A., and Filella M. 2017. Bromine in Plastic Consumer Products Evidence for the
 Widespread Recycling of Electronic Waste. Science of the Total Environment 601–602:
 374–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.173.
- Van Herck P., and Vandecasteele C. 2001. Evaluation of the Use of a Sequential Extraction
 Procedure for the Characterization and Treatment of Metal Containing Solid Waste. Waste
 Management 21 (8): 685–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(01)00011-3.
- Van Herck P., Van der Bruggen B., Vogels G., Vandecasteele C. 2000. Application of computer
 modelling to predict the leaching behaviour of heavy metals from MSWI fly ash and
 comparison with a sequential extraction method. Waste Management, 20, 203-210.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-053X(99)00321-9
- Vera M., Schippers A., and Sand W. 2013. Progress in bioleaching: fundamentals and mechanisms
 of bacterial metal sulfide oxidation. Part A. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. doi:10.1007/s00253 013-4954-2
- 1285Vyas S., and Ting Y.-P. 2020. Microbial leaching of heavy metals using Escherichia coli and1286evaluation of bioleaching mechanism. Bioresource Technology Reports, 9: 100368.
- Wan X., Wang W., Ye T., Guo Y., and Gao X. 2006. A study on the chemical and mineralogical
 characterization of MSWI fly ash using a sequential extraction procedure. Journal of
 Hazardous Materials, 134(1–3), 197–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.10.048
- 1290 Wang L., Jin Y., and Nie Y. 2010. Investigation of Accelerated and Natural Carbonation of MSWI Fly
- 1291Ash with a High Content of Ca. Journal of Hazardous Materials 174 (1–3): 334–43.1292https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.09.055.

- Wang Q., Yang J., Wang Q., and Wu T. 2009. Effects of Water-Washing Pretreatment on
 Bioleaching of Heavy Metals from Municipal Solid Waste Incinerator Fly Ash. Journal of
 Hazardous Materials 162: 812–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.125.
- Wang X., Cao A., Zhao G., Zhou C., and Xu R. 2017. Microbial Community Structure and Diversity in
 a Municipal Solid Waste Landfill. Waste Management, 66: 79–87.
- 1298 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.04.023.
- Wong G., Gan M., Fan X., Ji Z., Chen X., and Wang Z., 2021. Co-disposal of municipal waste
 incineration fly ash and bottom slag: A novel method of low temperature melting
 treatment. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 408, 124438.
- 1302 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124438
- Xiaomin D., Ren F., Nguyen M.Q., Ahamed A., Yin K., Chan W.P., and Chang V.W.C. 2017. Review of MSWI bottom ash utilization from perspectives of collective characterization, treatment and existing application. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 79, 24-38.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.044
- Xu T.-J., and Ting Y.-P. 2009. Fungal Bioleaching of Incineration Fly Ash: Metal Extraction and
 Modeling Growth Kinetics. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 44 (5): 323–28.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2009.01.006.
- Xu T.-J., Ramanathan T., and Ting Y.-P. 2014. Bioleaching of Incineration Fly Ash by Aspergillus
 Niger Precipitation of Metallic Salt Crystals and Morphological Alteration of the Fungus.
 Biotechnology Reports 3: 8–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2014.05.009.
- Yang J., Wang Q.Q., and Wu T. 2009(a). Heavy Metals Extraction from Municipal Solid Waste
 Incineration Fly Ash Using Adapted Metal Tolerant Aspergillus Niger. Bioresource
 Technology 100 (1): 254–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.05.026.
- Yang J., Wang Q., Luo Q., Wang Q., and Wu T. 2009(b). Biosorption Behavior of Heavy Metals in
 Bioleaching Process of MSWI Fly Ash by Aspergillus Niger. Biochemical Engineering Journal
 46 (3): 294–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2009.05.022.
- Yin Z., Feng S., Tong Y., and Yang H. 2019. Adaptive mechanism of Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans
 CCTCC M 2012104 under stress during bioleaching of low-grade chalcopyrite based on
 physiological and comparative transcriptomic analysis. Journal of Industrial Microbiology
 and Biotechnology 46, 1643–1656 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-019-02224-z
- Zhao L., Zhang F.S., and Zhang J. 2008. Chemical properties of rare elemets in typical medical
 waste incinerator ashes in China. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 158: 465-470.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.01.091
- 1326
- 1327