

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Effect of slug mycophagy on Tuber aestivum spores

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Ori, F., Menotta, M., Leonardi, M., Amicucci, A., Zambonelli, A., Coves, H., et al. (2021). Effect of slug mycophagy on Tuber aestivum spores. FUNGAL BIOLOGY, 125(10), 796-805 [10.1016/j.funbio.2021.05.002].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/838201 since: 2021-11-12

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2021.05.002

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Effect of slug mycophagy on Tuber aestivum spores

Francesca ORI, Michele MENOTTA, Marco LEONARDI, Antonella AMICUCCI, Alessandra ZAMBONELLI, Hervé COVES, Marc-André SELOSSE, Laure SCHNEIDER-MAUNOURY, Giovanni PACIONI, Mirco IOTTI

Highlights

- The effects of ascoma digestion by slugs and mouse on *Tuber aestivum* spores were compared
- SEM and AMF analyses showed that after slug digestion the spore wall is partially degraded
- Slugs collected on truffle ground contain *Tuber* spores detectable by molecular barcoding
- Slugs digest *Tuber* spores, promoting mycorrhizal infection of *Quercus robur* seedlings

1 Effect of slug mycophagy on Tuber aestivum spores 2 3 Francesca ORIa, Michele MENOTTAb, Marco LEONARDIa*, Antonella AMICUCCIb, Alessandra 4 ZAMBONELLI^c, Hervé COVÈS^{d,e}, Marc-André SELOSSE^{d,f}, Laure SCHNEIDER-MAUNOURY^d, 5 6 Giovanni PACIONIa, Mirco IOTTIa 7 8 9 ^a Department of Life, Health and Environmental Science, University of L'Aquila, via Vetoio, 67100, 10 Coppito, L'Aquila, Italy 11 ^b Department of Biomolecular Sciences, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Via Saffi 2, 61029 Urbino, 12 Italy ^c Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna, Viale G. Fanin 44, 40127, 13 14 Bologna, Italy ^d Institut Systématique Evolution Biodiversité, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, 15 16 Sorbonne Université, Paris, France ^e Arbre et Paysage 32, 93 route de Pessan, 32000 Auch, France 17 ^f Department of Plant Taxonomy and Nature Conservation, University of Gdansk, Wita Stwosza 59, 18 19 80-308 Gdansk, Poland 20 *Corresponding author: 21 Address Department of Life, Health and Environmental Science, University of L'Aquila, via Vetoio, 22 23 67100, Coppito, L'Aquila, Italy Tel.: +39 0862433237; fax: +39 0862433205 24

26 E-mail addresses: francesca.ori.agronomist@gmail.com (Ori F.), marco.leonardi@univaq.it

27 (Leonardi M.), michele.menotta@uniurb.it (Menotta M.), antonella.amicucci@uniurb.it (Amicucci

28 A.), alessandr.zambonelli@unibo.it (Zambonelli A.), herve.coves@gmx.fr (Covès H.),

laure.schneider-maunoury@mnhn.fr (Schneider-Maunoury L.), ma.selosse@wanadoo.fr (Selosse

MA.), giovanni.pacioni@univaq.it (Pacioni G.), mirco.iotti@univaq.it (Iotti M.)

Highlights

- The effects of ascoma digestion by slugs and a mouse on *Tuber aestivum* spores were compared
 - SEM and AMF analyses showed that after slug digestion the spore wall is partially degraded
 - Slugs collected on truffle ground contain *Tuber* spores detectable by molecular barcoding
- The digestion of spores by slugs promotes mycorrhizal infection of *Quercus robur* seedlings

41 Abstract

Truffles in the genus *Tuber* produce subterranean fruiting bodies that are not able to actively discharge their spores in the environment. For this reason, truffles depend on mycophagous animals for reproduction. Fungus consumption (mycophagy) is a behaviour typical of both vertebrates and invertebrates. Mammals, especially rodents, are the most studied group of mycophagists and have been found to consume a great variety of fungi. Among invertebrates, mycophagy is documented in arthropods, but rarely in molluscs. In our study we assessed the effect of passage through the gut of a house mouse (*Mus musculus*) and slugs (*Deroceras invadens*) on the morphology and mycorrhizal infectivity of *Tuber aestivum* spores. Light, scanning electron and atomic force microscopy revealed that the digestion, especially by slugs, freed spores from the asci and modified their morphology. These are believed to be the reasons why we observed an improvement in oak mycorrhization with

the slug and rodent digested spores in comparison to a fresh spore inoculation. We also demonstrated by molecular barcoding that slugs' guts sampled on a *Tuber melanosporum* truffle ground contain spores from this species and *T. brumale*, further suggesting that some invertebrates are efficient *Tuber* spore dispersers.

56

57

52

53

54

55

Keywords: truffle; ectomycorrhizas; spores; mycophagy; microscopy.

5859

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

1. Introduction

Fungi produce a wide range of macroscopic fruiting bodies differing in size, morphology and fruiting habit. During evolution, some lineages of epigeous fungi have convergently evolved sequestrate fruiting habits as an adaptive response to selective pressure (Trappe, 1975; Binder and Bresinsky, 2002; Bonito et al., 2013). Since the spores of sequestrate fungi are enclosed within the fruiting body, most species have lost the ability of active discharge (Trappe et al., 2009). This makes many sequestrate taxa rely on feature that promote animal dispersal. The production of strong aromas able to attract wild animals (Pacioni et al., 2015; Zambonelli et al., 2017) ensure the ingestion of fruiting bodies and the dispersal of spores in the surrounding environment. Moreover, the structure of spores with durable and thick walls allows them to survive passage through the digestive tract of mycophagous animals (Bonito et al., 2013) and improve their ability to germinate (Piattoni et al., 2014). Varying levels of mycophagy has been shown to be widespread among both invertebrates and vertebrates (Hammond and Lawrence, 1989; Nuske et al., 2017; Elliot et al., 2019a,b). Mycophagy can be classified as obligate, preferential, casual, opportunistic or accidental according to an animal's dependence on mushrooms in their diet (Claridge and Trappe, 2005). Most mycophagists also consume other types of food, or opportunistically feeds on fungi at times when they are abundant in the environment (Maser et al., 1978). Thus, the quantity of fungi eaten varies in every species

(Schickmann et al., 2012, Claridge and Trappe, 2005). Mammals are the most studied class of mycophagists, although few of them feed exclusively on fungi (Fogel and Trappe, 1978; Stephenson, 2010). Among the more recent phenomena of mycophagy investigated in the last few years, Elliott et al. (2018) documented the feeding of the mammal *Erinaceus concolor* Martin (the Eastern European hedgehog) on the hypogeous *Rhizopogon vulgaris* (Vittad.) M. Lange and Ori et al. (2018) reported the consumption of *Tuber aestivum* Vittad. by *Hystrix cristata* L. (North African crested porcupine). Menura novaehollandiae Latham (the superb lyrebird) was recently found to feed on different species of hypogeous fungi (Elliot and Vernes, 2019). Among invertebrates, insects are the most studied group of mycophagists (Witte and Maschwitz, 2008, Disney et al., 2013). Leiodes spp. coleopters and dipterous Suillia spp. are the most common insects found in Tuber ascomata, but many other genera in Coleoptera and Diptera feed on them (Menta and Pinto, 2016; Rosa-Gruszecka et al., 2017), which may even challenge the future of truffle production. Unlike mammal mycophagists, insects do not completely eat ascoma, but their feeding cavities considerably reduce the economic value of truffles. Little is known about the mycophagy of molluscs and available studies mainly concern the consumption of epigeous fungi. Keller and Snell (2002) proved the ingestion of fungi by several slug species. True truffles in the genus *Tuber* are also used as food by slugs. Parks (1921) reported that small slugs attack *Tuber californicum* Harkness in its early stages and feed on the gleba, leaving the peridium as an empty shell. It often happens that ascomata of valuable *Tuber* species partially eaten by slugs are found inside natural and cultivated truffle orchards (Fig. S1) causing great economic losses (Mathews et al., 2019). Since it's been proven that mycophagous mammals contribute to spore dispersal, improve spore germinability and might be involved in sexual reproduction (Piattoni et al., 2014; Taschen et al., 2016), we hypothesized that slugs could play a similar role in the biological cycle of truffles as short-distance dispersal agents. In order to test this hypothesis we fed slugs (Derocera invadens Reise, Hutchinson, Schunack & Schlitt) with T. aestivum ascomata and compared the effects of gut digestion by these molluses with ascomata digested by a house mouse (Mus musculus L.) and with undigested ascomata. Changes in the morphology of digested and undigested

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

spores were analysed by scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) while their ability to germinate and to form ectomycorrhizas was tested by inoculating oak (*Quercus robur* L.) seedlings. Finally, we sampled slugs on a truffle ground to analyse their gut content by molecular barcoding, in order to investigate the spontaneous intake of truffles by slugs.

108

109

110

107

104

105

106

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Animal feeding and faecal sampling

111 A single T. aestivum ascoma of 95 g collected in the L'Aquila municipality (Abruzzo, Italy; on May 112 2018) was selected for analyses and maintained at 4 °C for 2 days before feeding the animals. A 113 fragment of the ascoma was dried and deposited in the Herbarium Mycologicum Aquilanum (AQUI) 114 under the ID code 10231. 115 One specimen of M. musculus was provided by Charles River Laboratories Italia SRL, while 15 116 different sized specimens belonging to the D. invadens were collected in a private garden in the 117 province of Teramo (Abruzzo, Italy). We applied a DNA barcoding approach to identify the slugs, 118 using as barcode the COI gene fragment. A little fragment of muscle tissue was removed from the 119 foot of three slugs. DNA extraction and PCR reactions with primer pair HCO2198-LCO1490 (Folmer 120 et al., 1994) were carried out after Salvi et al. (2020). Amplicon purification and sequencing were 121 done by Mycrosinth AG (Balgach, Switzerland). 122 Both the animal species were fed for 3 days with lettuce (slugs) or commercial rat food (house mouse) 123 and then for 24 h with boiled potatoes (both animal species) to clear the gut as much as possible of recalcitrant plant polymers. Scats produced during these four days were excluded from analyses. On 124 125 the fifth day, the animals were fed with 60 g of the T. aestivum ascoma (30 g per animal). An additional 30 g of the ascoma was stored at -4 °C pending microscope analysis and inoculum 126 127 preparation of fresh material. Over the following 48 h all the faeces from each animal species were 128 collected and pooled together, immediately placed in sterile distilled water, crushed with a mortar 129 and pestle and blended at high speed for approximately 5 min. The undigested portion of the ascoma

was treated in the same way as faecal material. Slug and house mouse digested spores (SDS and RDS, respectively) and undigested spores (UDS) were then maintained at 4 °C until microscopy analyses and inoculum preparation.

2.2 Microscopy of digested and undigested spores

In order to quantify the spore density and the ratio of spores free of asci, a drop of spore suspension from each treatment was put on a haemocytometer and examined under a light microscope Axiostar plus (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) at 400 magnifications. Spores' vitality was evaluated with fluorescein diacetate stain (FDA) after Colgan and Claridge (2002). Spores were then viewed with an Eclipse TE 2000-E microscope (Nikon) fitted with a 450-490 nm excitation filter and 515-555 nm barrier filter.

2.3 SEM analysis

Fresh and digested spores were also prepared for SEM observation to assess the morphology of spore surfaces and ornamentations. A drop of spore suspension of each treatment was placed on an aluminium SEM stub (diameter 12 mm) and dried. The samples were then sputter-coated with a thin gold film using an AGAR automatic sputter coater (10 mA, 30 s) and examined by use of a FESEM Zeiss Gemini 500.

2.4 AFM analysis

Spores were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 15 h at room temperature and layered on cover glass by centrifugation. After washing with ultrapure water (18.2 MU) the samples were layered on fresh muscovite mica and dried by nitrogen flow.

153 The AFM analysis was carried out with an XE-100 Atomic Force microscope (PARK Systems Inc.,

Suwon, South Korea). The microscope was equipped with a 50 µm scanner controlled by the XEP

1.8 software. The X-Y stages and the Z scan worked in a closed loop manner and in high voltage

mode. The speed scan was set between 0.2 Hz and 1.5 Hz. The cantilevers used in this study were Non-Contact High Resonant (NCHR) tips (spring constant between 35 and 42 N m⁻¹) with a typical resonant frequency between 200 and 300 kHz. The instrument was set in true non-contact mode for imaging the samples during preliminary investigations and for topography and phase imaging. For nanomechanical surface characterization the instrumentation was set in Force Modulation Mode (FMM) with an amplitude setpoint of 538 nm. All images and data were analysed by XEI software (PARK Systems Inc., Suwon, South Korea). Spores for each treatment (UDS, SDS and RDS) were analysed and imaging was performed in the centre of three different alveoli for each spore (Fig. S2). Roughness (Rq and RPV), surface phase and FMM variables from the three treatments were statistically analysed using Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric ANOVA followed by Dunn's Multiple Comparison test. Statistics were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

2.5 Seedling material and inoculation

Seeds of *Q. robur* were collected in October 2017 from a single plant and stored at 4 °C until sowing. The surface was sterilized in 1% sodium hypochlorite solution for 1 h and rinsed with distilled water. Oak seedlings were grown in sterile (120 °C for 1 h) peat vermiculite substrate (1:9 v/v) for about 4 weeks before inoculation. Seedlings were maintained at 20 ± 1 °C and in a 14 h photoperiod (5000 lux). Oak seedlings were separately inoculated with the spore suspensions obtained from mouse scats (RDS), slug scats (SDS) or from the remaining 30 g of undigested *T. aestivum* ascoma (UDS). Spore suspensions from all treatments were adjusted to 1×10^6 spores mL⁻¹ before being used as inoculum. Thirty-six seedlings were transplanted into plastic pots (750 mL) filled with a sterile mixture of peat, vermiculite and sand (2:4:4). At transplant, 9 seedlings were inoculated for each treatment and an additional 9 seedlings were used as controls. All seedlings were grown in a climate room at 20 ± 1 °C under controlled light conditions (14-h photoperiod, 5,000 lux) and watered with tap water twice a week. Root infection was evaluated 1, 2 and 3 months after inoculation, checking three seedlings for

each treatment each time. All seedlings were removed from their pots, the root systems were washed with tap water and the ectomycorrhizas were counted under a dissecting microscope ($20\times$). From each seedling, 10 root fragments 4-5 cm in length were randomly excised from the whole root system. Ectomycorrhizas of *T. aestivum* were identified by morphotyping after Zambonelli et al. (1993). The identity of young ectomycorrhizas lacking cystidia was molecularly confirmed by species-specific PCR after Mello et al. (2002), in order to exclude any root contamination by other fungal species. A little fragment of the mantels was excided from each mycorrhizas and DNA was amplified by a direct PCR approach (Iotti and Zambonelli, 2006). The degree of root colonization was measured by counting the number of colonized and un-colonized root tips and the results were expressed as a percentage of infected tips out of the total number of tips examined. Two-way ANOVA was applied to determine significant differences among treatments and monthly root colonization. Means were compared with the Tukey post-hoc test (P < 0.05). Statistical analyses were carried out with XL-STAT Software version (Addinsoft Inc., New York, NY, USA).

2.6 Barcoding of slug gut content

The slugs were collected in a *T. melanosporum* truffle ground located at Chartrier-Ferrière, France (45°04'15"N, 01°27'05"E; truffle ground T6 in Schneider-Maunoury et al., 2018). This choice was made because the presence of this truffle is very clearly delineated by a zone of poor vegetation growth, called the brûlé (*e.g.* Taschen *et al.*, 2016), which is more conspicuous than for other *Tuber* species (Streiblová et al., 2012). In this truffle ground, ten individuals of *Deroceras invadens* were collected in January to February 2017, and genotyped as above. Three of them (S1-S3) were collected away from brûlés, while the seven others (S4-S10) were sampled on independent brûlés. They were carefully surface-washed and dissected in order to extract the whole digestive tract. The DNA was extracted from the gut and the whole fungal community was assessed by amplifying the ITS2 region with the two general primer pairs ITS3/ITS4-OF and ITS86-F/ITS4. After sequencing on an Ion Torrent sequencer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA), exactly as in Schneider-Maunoury *et al.*

(2018), the raw sequence data were processed bioinformatically as in this previous study to establish the list of fungal operational taxonomic units (OTUs). Raw sequence reads are available in GenBank under accession numbers XXX-XXX. The ecology of each OTU, that is, saprotroph, saprotroph, endophyte, or unknown, was inferred from that of closest relatives in GenBank and UNITE databases.

3. Results

3.1 Slug identification

Slugs used in this trial were identified as *D. invadens* after a Blastn search against the GenBank database. The three COI amplicons showed no nucleotide polymorphisms and were deposited in GenBank under the accession number MW065802. No residues of ascoma remained uneaten 48 h after starting to feed the animals with truffle.

- *3.2 Microscope analyses*
- Almost all spores (97%) of the undigested ascoma (UDS) remained sequestrated within the asci after spore suspension preparation. In contrast, 36% and 100% of spores from rodent (RDS) and slug (SDS) faeces, respectively, were free of the asci. Staining with FDA showed that both fresh and digested spores were all vital (Fig. S3). SEM microscopy revealed an intact episporium in RDS as UDS, while the episporium was slightly degraded and porous in spores digested by slugs (Fig. 1).
 - AFM investigations also confirmed the variation in spore surface morphology among treatments. The local topographic roughness was considered as a surface descriptor since the raw topographic signal itself was uninformative due to spore morphology. The spores digested by animals (SDS and RDS) were smoother than undigested ones (UDS), as shown by Rq and RPV variables, which significantly decreased in RDS and SDS (Fig. 2). Simultaneously with the topographic investigation, the surface phase signals (function of chemical properties) revealed an average phase decrease in SDS although

it was not statistically significant (P = 0.053, Fig. 3). However, the phase roughness (function of chemical inhomogeneity) was significantly different among the treatments, indicating that the surface

is chemically altered after slug digestion. In detail, the phase roughness of SDS was higher than UDS

237 (P < 0.05) and RDS (P < 0.001).

The AFM investigation was also carried out in FMM mode to study the nano-mechanical properties

of the surfaces. The signals recorded in FMM amplitude (describing the local stiffness of surfaces)

and FMM phase (used to record the elastic properties of samples) are represented by the images of

Figure 4A. No significant variations in local stiffness were detected among treatments (Fig. 4B), but

differences were recorded in roughness along the hard and soft FMM amplitude regions

characterising the samples.

The hard regions (bright areas of FMM amplitude images of Figure 4A) showed a significantly higher

FMM amplitude roughness in UDS vs RDS (P < 0.05) and SDS vs RDS (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4C), while

the soft regions (dark areas of FMM amplitude images of Figure 4A) revealed significantly higher

roughness medians in SDS vs RDS (P < 0.05, Fig. 4D).

248 The surface elasticity of the samples by FMM phase signals was statistically lower in SDS than in

RDS (P < 0.01) and in UDS (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4E). The FMM phase signal, which was completely

different in SDS, indicated that somehow the surfaces were altered by digestion processes, as also

occurred in RDS, but to a lesser extent.

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

236

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

249

250

251

3.3 Plant mycorrhizal colonization

One month after inoculation, UDS and RDS seedlings showed no signs of root colonization by *T. aestivum*, while SDS seedlings had some young mycorrhizas (< 1% in total) with a thin mantle, without cystidia (Fig. S4). Molecular analyses confirmed their identity. Two and three months after inoculation, seedlings were all mycorrhized, but the colonization level in SDS seedlings was significantly higher than in UDS seedlings. Root colonization of RDS was lower than that of SDS seedlings two or three months after inoculation, but no significant differences were found between

the root colonization of RDS *vs* UDS and SDS *vs* RDS (Fig. 5). No contamination with other ectomycorrhizal fungi was found during the period of investigation, since (i) all ectomycorrhizas showed the typical morphology and anatomy of *T. aestivum* and (ii) the uninoculated controls were free of any ectomycorrhizas.

3.4. Barcoding of slug gut content

Fungal barcoding of the digestive tract of ten slugs from a *T. melanosporum* truffle ground revealed from 420 to 3921 reads per sample (15209 reads in all) that belonged to Asco- and Basidiomycota from groups of plant saprotrophs, plant sapro/pathotrophs and plant endophytes, as well as two ectomycorrhizal *Tuber* species, *T. melanosporum* and *T. brumale* (Fig. 6A). Fungal diversity was unexpectedly low (as compared to root samples processed in the same run in Schneider-Maunoury et al., 2018): in all, 1 to 10 OTUs was found per gut (versus >100 OTUs per samples in Schneider-Maunoury et al., 2018; Fig. 6B). Two of the slugs found away from the *T. melanosporum* brûlé displayed no *Tuber*, while the third revealed exclusively *T. brumale* and *T. melanosporum* reads (respectively 99.9% and 0.1%; Fig. 6B). The six slugs sampled on *T. melanosporum* brûlé all displayed a dominance of reads of this species, from 72.0% in S8 to 100% in S9 and S10, plus few *T. brumale* reads in S4 (0.4%; Fig. 6B). Accordingly, direct light microscopy observation of faeces of slugs S4, S5 and S8 displayed apparently intact *T. melanosporum* spores (not shown).

4. Discussion

Studies of the effects of digestion by animals on *Tuber* spores are scarce and mainly focus on mammals. The viability of *Tuber* spores after digestion was previously studied on *Sus scrofa domesticus* L. (pig) (Piattoni et al., 2014; Livne-Luzon et al., 2017), and the rodent species *Peromyscus leucopus* Rafinesque (white footed deermouse) (Miller, 1985). Germinated *T. aestivum* spores were found in scats of *Hystrix cristata* L. (crested porcupine) (Ori et al., 2018). In this work, we tested for the first time a *Tuber* species (*T. aestivum*) as food for slugs and analysed the effects on

the morphology and viability of spores. Our barcoding data on the gut of *D. invadens* collected from the wild, which revealed *T. brumale* and *T. melanosporum* DNA, with spores even observed for the latter species, point to the ecological relevance of our *ex-situ* analyses.

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

286

287

288

4.1. *Slug processing of* Tuber *spores*

In our feeding study, the highest number of free spores was found in the slug faeces, while more than half of spores were still contained within the asci in the rodent faeces. The mechanical action applied to fresh material for the inoculum preparation only freed 3% of spores. Notwithstanding these differences, all the spores recovered from faeces, within or outside the asci, were found to be vital. Many variables can influence the effects of the passage through the digestive tract, such as differences in digestive tract anatomy, body temperature, gut microbial composition and gastrointestinal transit times (Colgan and Claridge, 2002; Danks, 2012). For instance, the percentage of free spores found in M. musculus faeces is lower than that observed by Piattoni et al. (2014) after passage through the pig gut. This lower value may be justified by the short gut retention time typical of this rodent species (8-18 h, Karasov et al., 1986), in comparison to the longer transit time of pigs (over 18 h, Clemens and Steven, 1980). Some studies conducted on different slug species demonstrated that retention time may vary from 11 to 48 h and that three days of starvation may be needed to clear the gut of food (Roach, 1966; Lyth, 1982). After microscopic analyses, the slugs appeared more efficient also in the digestion of the episporium. In particular, SEM highlighted corrosion of spore ornamentation in ascoma fragments digested by slugs. In turn, AFM analyses revealed changes in spore wall architecture and topography, induced not only by their passage through the digestive tract, but also depending on the animal species. Slug and mouse digested spores showed a significant increase of the roughness signal of spore surface stiffness. The slug samples behaved in the same manner as pig digested spores (Piattoni et al., 2014), with an increase of the roughness signal of local stiffness. However, the most evident phenomenon caused by slug digestion was the chemical alteration of the episporium, which probably modified the surface elasticity of spores. Beyond the gut retention time, changes in episporium surface can be caused by the different digestive enzymatic repertoire of each animal. Given current knowledge, it is hazardous to hypothesize which polymers are mostly degraded by the digestive activities of slugs, because the chemical composition and structural organization of truffle spore walls is unknown. However, the main components of the fungal cell wall are chitin (Balestrini et al., 2000), mannan, α and β-glucan (Noothalapati et al., 2016). Chitin is specifically degraded by chitinases (Sun et al., 1999) and acidic mammalian chitinase, classified as endochitinase, has been identified in M. musculus (Boot et al., 2001). We are unaware of any studies of the enzymatic activity of slug digestive juices, but there are several studies among snails (Myers and Northcote, 1958; Holden and Tracey, 1950; de Terra and Tatum, 1961). Snails and slugs both belong to the non-achatinoid clade within the Stylommatophora infraorder of the order *Pulmonata* (Wade et al., 2006). The digestive juice of snails has been widely used for the lysis of the cell walls of yeast (Eddy and Williamson, 1957) and moulds (Aalders and Hall, 1962) because of its remarkable number of enzymes able to attack many substrates, such as chitin, mannans and glucans (Myers and Northcote, 1958; Holden and Tracey, 1950). The greater efficiency of spore wall degradation by slugs may also be due the anatomy of their upper digestive tract. Indeed, the mouth of Agriolimacidae has a complex radula apparatus with hundreds of teeth which is situated on a stiffening cartilaginous plate. The movements of the radula crumble food before ingestion and digestion (Wiktor, 2000). The fact that three time more T. aestivum spores were freed from asci in slugs than in the house mouse may be due to the slug radula, whereas larger fragments of ascoma may persist after mouse chewing, which may protect asci and spores from enzymatic lysis.

333

334

335

336

337

332

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

4.2 Slugs as Tuber spore dispersers

Results obtained by microscope analyses are supported by the mycorrhization trial. The SDS inoculum was more efficient than the other two inocula both in terms of time and amount of root colonized. The first ectomycorrhizas occurred just one month after inoculation in SDS plants while

no signs of root colonization were observed with RDS or UDS inocula. Three months after inoculation, UDS plants had 7% less ectomycorrhizas than RDS plants and 25% less than SDS plants. Miller (1985) reported that the passage through the rodent gut did not stimulate germination of *Tuber* spp. spores found in rodent faeces, unless they were refrigerated or dried after defecation. On the contrary, the plants inoculated with spores digested by the pig showed 17% more root colonization compared to the plants inoculated with undigested spores five months after inoculation (Piattoni et al., 2014). In our study, we confirmed that mouse spore digestion does not significantly stimulate ectomycorrhizal formation with respect to the control plants whereas the slugs already significantly promoted plant mycorrhization 1 month after inoculation. Slug mycophagy could have an important, and until now overlooked, role in the dispersion and reproduction of many hypogeous as well as epigeous mushrooms. As far back as the 19th century, Voglino (1895) found that slugs feed on the hymenium of many agaricoid epigeous mushrooms (Russula spp., Lactarius spp., Tricholoma spp., Inocybe spp., etc.). He found a high number of germinated basidiospores of these fungal species in the gut and scats of slugs. Later, several authors reported many slug species feeding on epigeous basidiomycetes, but they usually ignored the role of slugs in spore dispersal (Maunder and Voitk, 2010). To our knowledge, studies on slug mycophagy involving truffles are very rare. Sappa (1940) didn't see changes in episporium morphology of T. magnatum spores after digestion by the snail Helix pomatia L. and considered this mollusc not relevant for spore germination of this truffle. Deroceras invadens (used in this study) and other slug species such as Milax gagates Draparnaud, Lehmannia nyctelia Bourguignat, Deroceras reticulatum Muller and Arion intermedius Normand are considered pests for *Tuber* ascomata, in which form holes or large cavities (Mathews et al., 2019). However, their feeding activity could be as or even more relevant for truffle dispersal than that of mammals. Our data show that slugs from *T. melanosporum* brûlés, but also sometimes away from brûlés, contain a high level of truffle DNA: this likely reflects truffle spore resistance to digestion, rather than the

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

true proportion of truffle in nutrition. Yet, it suggests a potential for dispersion, as shown by slug S1, which may have dispersed *T. melanosporum* and *T. brumale* away from existing brûlés.

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

364

365

4.3 Relevance of slugs for Tuber life cycle

Finally, dispersal by slugs may explain two main features of the *Tuber* life cycle. Firstly, the individuals from the two mating types are spatially separated within a truffle ground and *Tuber* ECMs occurring in a soil patch generate the maternal tissues of ascomata found in this patch (Rubini et al., 2011; Murat et al., 2013; Taschen et al., 2016; De la Varga et al., 2017; Leonardi et al., 2019; Schneider-Maunoury et al., 2019). This raised the question of how strains of opposite mating types can encounter each other in the field and initiate the fertilization leading to ascomata (Selosse et al., 2013). Vegetative mycelia or propagules could have a role in this process but the most recent studies have led many authors to speculate that germinating spores are the main source of paternal genotypes (Selosse et al., 2013; Murat et al., 2013; Le Tacon et al., 2016; Taschen et al., 2016; De la Varga et al., 2017; Leonardi et al., 2019). For this reason, mycophagous animals may represent the vectors by which spores of different mating types can reach their sexual partner. In particular, slugs move over short distances within a truffle ground and deliver the spores in a form that is more likely to germinate and act as male partner, facilitating mating events and, consequently, supporting the production potential of truffle grounds. The loss of truffles intended for sale due slug feeding activity could represent a reasonable loss of income compared to the beneficial effects on truffle production. Secondly, the populations of *Tuber* spp. are characterized by a strong isolation by distance, *i.e.* physically close individuals are genetically close (Murat et al., 2013; Taschen et al., 2016; De la Varga et al., 2017). Such a situation is a bit unexpected in view of the large-scale foraging abilities of wild mammals (see discussion in Taschen et al., 2016), which should mix genotypes over long distances, but it fits a dispersal by invertebrates with a shorter foraging range, such as slugs. Especially, slugs may actively help to scatter the spore bank sequestered in the large number of ascomata that remain unremoved by mammals in truffle grounds (Schneider-Maunoury et al., 2019).

The fact that spores dispersed by slugs form faster mycorrhizas, as we observed, may provide them with a first-occupant advantage over those dispersed by some larger animals. The development of *Tuber* spp. populations by short-distance invertebrate dispersal may entail the observed isolation by distance. Conversely, mammals could be relevant for medium- and long-distance colonization of new areas (Murat et al., 2004; Piattoni et al., 2014).

Our results (re)open the debate about the respective roles of different mycophagous animals (molluscs, insects, rodents, mammals) in the biological cycle of *Tuber* species. Many mammals may be opportunistic, poorly efficient feeders on an interaction that first coevolved between truffles and smaller invertebrates. However, such considerations deserve further, more specific investigations.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors state that there are no conflicts of interest related to this publication.

Acknowledgments

The use of snails and slugs for the production of truffle spore inoculum for plant mycorrhization has been protected by a patent application. We thank Marcin Jakalski for help with bioinformatics.

References

- Alders, L.E., Hall, I.V., 1962. New evidence on the cytotaxonomy of *Vaccinium* species as revealed by stomatal measurements from herbarium specimens. Nature 196, 693.
- 412 Balestrini, R., Mainieri, D., Soragni, E., Garnero, L., Rollino, S., Viotti, A., Ottonello, S., Bonfante,
- P., 2000. Differential expression of chitin synthase III and IV mRNAs in Ascomata of *Tuber*
- borchii Vittad. Fungal Genet. Biol. 31, 219-232.

- Binder, M., Bresinsky, A., 2002. Derivation of a polymorphic lineage of gasteromycetes from
- boletoid ancestors. Mycologia 94, 85-98.
- Bonito, G., Smith, M.E., Nowak, M., Healy, R.A., Guevara, G. Cázares, E., Kinoshita, A., Nouhra,
- 418 E.R., Domínguez, L.S., Tedersoo, L., Murat, C., Wang, Y., Moreno, B.A., Pfister, D.H., Nara,
- 419 K., Zambonelli, A., Trappe, J.M., Vilgalys, R., 2013. Historical biogeography and diversification
- of truffles in the Tuberaceae and their newly identified Southern Hemisphere sister lineage. PLoS
- 421 ONE 8, e52765.
- Boot, R.G., Blommaart, E.F., Swart, E., Ghauharali-van der Vlugt, K., Bijl, N., Moe, C., Place, A.,
- 423 Aerts, J.M., 2001. Identification of a novel acidic mammalian chitinase distinct from
- 424 chitotriosidase. J Biol. Chem. 276, 6770-6778.
- Claridge, A.W., Trappe, J.M., 2005. Sporocarp mycophagy: nutritional, behavioral, evolutionary, and
- physiological aspects. In: J. Dighton, J.F. White and P. Oudemans eds, The fungal community:
- its organization and role in the ecosystem, 3rd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 599–611.
- 428 Clemens, E.T., Stevens, C.E., 1980. A comparison of gastrointestinal transit time in ten species of
- 429 mammal. J Agr. Sci. 94, 735–737.
- 430 Colgan, W., Claridge, W., 2002. Mycorrhizal effectiveness of Rhizopogon spores recovered from
- faecal pellets of small forest-dwelling mammals. Mycol. Res. 106, 314–320.
- Danks, M.A., 2012. Gut retention time in mycophagous mammals: a review and a study of truffle-
- like fungal spore retention in the swamp wallaby. Fungal Ecol. 5, 200–210.
- de la Varga Pastor, H., Le Tacon, F., Lagoguet, M., Todesco, F., Varga, T., Miquel, I., Barry-Etienne,
- D., Robin, C., Halkett, F., Martin, F., Murat, C., 2017. Five years investigation of female and
- male genotypes in périgord black truffle (*Tuber melanosporum* Vittad.) revealed contrasted
- reproduction strategies Environ. Microbiol. 19, 2604–2615.
- de Terra, N., Tatum, E.L., 1961. Colonial growth of Neurospora: sorbose and enzymes alter the
- composition of the cell wall and induce morphological changes. Science 134, 1066–1068.

- Eddy, A.A., Williamson, D.H., 1957. A method of isolating protoplasts from yeast. Nature 179, 1252–
- 441 1253.
- Elliott, T.F., Bower, D.S., Vernes, K. (2019). Reptilian mycophagy: a global review of mutually
- beneficial associations between reptiles and macrofungi. Mycosphere 10, 776-797.
- Elliott, T.F., Jusino, M.A., Trappe, J.M., Lepp, H., Ballard, G.A., Bruhl, J.J., Vernes, K., 2019. A
- global review of the ecological significance of symbiotic associations between birds and fungi.
- 446 Fungal Divers., 98, 161-194.
- Elliott, T.F., Vernes, K., 2019. Superb Lyrebird Menura novaehollandiae mycophagy, truffles and
- 448 soil disturbance. IBIS 161, 198–204.
- Elliott, T.F., Trappe, J.M., Türkoğlu, A., 2018. Animal-fungal interactions 2: first report of
- 450 mycophagy by the Eastern European Hedgehog *Erinaceus concolor* Martin, 1837 (Mammalia:
- 451 Eulipotyphla: Erinaceidae). Journal of Threatened Taxa 10, 12277–12279.
- 452 Fogel, R., Trappe, J.M., 1978. Fungus consumption (mycophagy) by small animals. Northwest Sci.
- 453 52, 1-31
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., Vrijenhoek, R., 1994. DNA primers for amplification of
- mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol. Mar.
- 456 Biol. Biotech. 3, 294–299.
- Hammond, P.M., Lawrence, J.F., 1989. Mycophagy in insects: a summary. In: N. Wilding, N.M.
- 458 Collins, P.M. Hammond, J.F. Webber eds, Insect–fungus interactions. Academic press, London
- pp. 275-324.Holden, M., Tracey, M.V., 1950. A study of enzymes that can break down tobacco-
- leaf components; digestive juice of *Helix* on defined substrates. Biochem. J. 47,407–414.
- 461 Iotti, M., Zambonelli, A., 2006. A quick and precise technique for identifying ectomycorrhizas by
- 462 PCR. Mycol. Res. 110, 60–65.

- Karasov, W.H., Petrossian, E., Rosenberg, L., Diamond, J.M., 1986. How do food passage rates and
- assimilation differ between herbivorous lizards and nonruminant mammals? J. Comp. Physiol.
- 465 B 156, 599–609.
- 466 Keller, H.W., Snell, K.L., 2002. Feeding activities of slugs on Myxomycetes and macrofungi,
- 467 Mycologia 94, 757–760.
- Le Tacon, F., Rubini, A., Murat, C., Riccioni, C., Robin, C., Belfiori, B., Zeller, B., De la Varga, H.,
- Akroume, E., Deveau, A., Martin, F., Paolocci, F., 2016. Certainties and uncertainties about the
- life cycle of the Périgord black truffle (*Tuber melanosporum* Vittad.). Ann. For. Sci. 73, 105–
- 471 117.
- 472 Leonardi, M., Paz-Conde, A., Guevara, G., Salvi, D., Pacioni, G., 2019. Two new species of *Tuber*
- previously reported as *Tuber malacodermum*. Mycologia 111, 676–689.
- Livne-Luzon, S., Avidan Y., Weber, G., Migael, H., Bruns, T., Ovadia, O., Shemesh, H., 2017. Wild
- boars as spore dispersal agents of ectomycorrhizal fungi: consequences for community
- composition at different habitat types. Mycorrhiza 27, 165–174.
- 477 Lyth, M., 1982. Water-content of slugs (Gastropoda:Pulmonata) maintained in standardised culture
- 478 conditions. J. Mollus. Stud. 48, 214–218.
- 479 Maser, C., Trappe, J.M., Nussbaum, R.A., 1978. Fungal-small mammal interrelationship with
- 480 emphasis on Oregon coniferous forests. Ecology 59, 799–809.
- 481 Mathews, A., Linde, C., Mitchell, A., Davey, A., Seago, A., 2019. Australian truffle orchards: pest
- and disease field guide. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, South
- 483 Perth, Western Australia.
- Maunder, J.E., Voitk, A.J., 2010. What we don't know about slugs & mushrooms! Fungi 3, 36-44.
- 485 Mello, A., Cantisani, A., Vizzini, A., Bonfante, P., 2002. Genetic variability of *Tuber uncinatum* and
- its relatedness to other black truffles. Environ. Microbiol. 4, 584–594.

- 487 Menta, C., Pinto S., 2016. Biodiversity and ecology of soil fauna in relation to truffle. In: A.
- Zambonelli, M. Iotti and C. Murat eds, True Truffle (*Tuber* spp.) in the World, Soil Biology 47.
- Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, pp. 319-331.
- 490 Miller, S.L., 1985. Rodent pellet as ectomycorrhizal inoculum for *Tuber* spp. In: Molina R (ed),
- 491 Proceedings of the 6th North American conference on mycorrhizae. Forestry Research
- 492 Laboratory, Corvallis, p 273.
- 493 Murat, C., Díez, J., Luis, P., Delaruelle, C., Dupré C., Chevalier, G., Bonfante, P., Martin, F., (2004).
- Polymorphism at the ribosomal DNA ITS and its relation to postglacial re-colonization routes of
- the Perigord truffle Tuber melanosporum. New Phytol. 164, 401-411.
- 496 Murat, C., Rubini, A., Riccioni, C., De la Varga, H., Akroume, E., Belfiori, B., Guaragno, M., Le
- Tacon, F., Robin, C., Halkett, F., Martin, F., Paolocci, F., 2013. Fine-scale spatial genetic
- structure of the black truffle (*Tuber melanosporum*) investigated with neutral microsatellites and
- functional mating type genes. New Phytol. 199,176–187.
- Myers, F.L., Northcote, D.H.A, 1958. Survey of enzymes from the gastrointestinal tract of *Helix*
- 501 *pomatia*. J. Exp. Biol. 35, 639–648.
- Noothalapati, H., Sasaki, T., Kaino, T., Kawamukai, M., Ando, M., Hamaguchi, H., Yamamoto, T.,
- 503 2016. Label-free chemical imaging of fungal spore walls by Raman microscopy and multivariate
- 504 curve resolution analysis. Sci. Rep-Uk 6, 27789.
- Nuske, S.J., Vernes, K., May, T.W., Claridge, A.W., Congdon, B.C., Krockenberger, A., Abell, S.E.,
- 506 2017. Redundancy among mammalian fungal dispersers and the importance of declining
- specialists. Fungal Ecol. 27, 1-13.Ori, F., Trappe, J., Leonardi, M., Iotti, M., Pacioni, G., 2018.
- 508 Crested porcupines (*Hystrix cristata*): mycophagist spore dispersers of the ectomycorrhizal
- truffle *Tuber aestivum*. Mycorrhiza 28, 561–565.
- Pacioni, G., Rapino, C., Zarivi, O., Falconi, A., Leonardi, M., Battista, N., Colafarina, S., Sergi, M.,
- Bonfigli, A., Miranda, M., Barsacchi, D., Maccarrone, M., 2014. Truffles contain
- endocannabinoid metabolic enzymes and anandamide. Phytochemistry 110, 104–110.

- Parks, H., 1921. California Hypogaeous Fungi: Tuberaceae. Mycologia, 13, 301–314.
- Piattoni, F., Amicucci, A., Ori, F., Iotti, M., Stocchi, V., Zambonelli, A., 2014. Viability and
- morphology of *Tuber aestivum* spores after passage through the gut of *Sus scrofa*. Fungal Ecol.
- 516 9, 52–60.
- Roach, D.K., 1966. Studies on some aspects of the physiology of *Arion ater L. Ph.D. Thesis*,
- 518 University of Wales.
- Rosa-Gruszecka, A., Gange, A.C., Harvey, D.J., Jaworski, T., Hilszczański, J., Plewa, R., Konwerski
- 520 S., Hilszczańska, D., 2017. Insect-truffle interactions potential threats to emerging industries?
- 521 Fungal Ecol. 25, 59-63.
- 822 Rubini, A., Belfiori, B., Riccioni, C., Arcioni, S., Martin, F., Paolocci, F., 2011a. Tuber
- *melanosporum*: mating type distribution in a natural plantation and dynamics of strains of
- different mating types on the roots of nursery-inoculated host plants. New Phytol. 189, 723–735.
- Rubini, A., Belfiori, B., Riccioni, C., Tisserant, E., Arcioni, S., Martin, F., Paolocci, F., 2011b.
- Isolation and characterization of MAT genes in the symbiotic ascomycete *Tuber melanosporum*.
- 527 New Phytol. 189, 710–722.
- 528 Sappa, P., 1940. Ricerche biologiche sul *Tuber magnatum* Pico. La germinazione delle spore e
- 529 caratteri della micorriza. Nuovo Giornale Botanico Italiano N.S. 47:155-198.
- 530 Schickmann, S., Urban, A., Kräutler, K., Nopp-Mayr, U., Hackländer, K., 2012. The interrelationship
- of mycophagous small mammals and ectomycorrhizal fungi in primeval, disturbed and managed
- Central European mountainous forests. Oecologia 170, 395–409.
- 533 Selosse, M.A., Taschen, E., Giraud, T., 2013. Do black truffles avoid sexual harassment by linking
- mating type and vegetative incompatibility? New Phytol. 199, 10–13.
- 535 Schneider-Maunoury, L., Deveau, A., Moreno, M., Todesco, F., Belmondo, S., Murat, C., Courty,
- P.E., Jakalski, M., Selosse, M.A., 2019. Two ectomycorrhizal truffles, *Tuber melanosporum* and

- T. aestivum, endophytically colonise roots of non- ectomycorrhizal plants in natural
- environments. New Phytol. 225, 2542-2556.
- 539 Stephenson, S.L., 2010. The kingdom fungi: The biology of mushrooms, molds, and lichens. Timber
- Press, Portland.
- 541 Sun, L., Adams, B., Gurnon, J.R., Ye, Y., Van Etten, J.L., 1999. Characterization of two chitinase
- genes and one chitosanase gene encoded by chlorella virus PBCV-1. Virology 263, 376–387.
- Taschen, E., Rousset, F., Sauve, M., Urban, A., Benoit, L., Dubois, M.P., Richard, F., Selosse, M.A.,
- 544 2016. How the truffle got its mate from genetic structure in spontaneous and managed
- Mediterranean populations of *Tuber melanosporum*. Mol. Ecol. 22, 5611–5627.
- 546 Trappe, J.M., 1975. A revision of the genus Alpova with notes on Rhizopogon and the
- Melanogastraceae. Beihefte zur Nova Hedwigia 6, 279–309.
- 548 Trappe JM, Molina R, Luoma DL, Cázares E, Pilz D, Smith JE, Castellano MA, Miller SL, Trappe
- MJ, 2009. Diversity, ecology, and conservation of Truffle fungi in forests of the Pacific
- northwest. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-772. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture,
- Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. p.194.
- Voglino P., 1895. Ricerche intorno all'azione delle lumache e dei rospi nello sviluppo di alcuni
- agaricini. Nuovo Giornale Botanico Italiano 27, 181-185.
- Wade, C.M., Mordan, P.B., Naggs, F., 2006. Evolutionary relationships among the Pulmonate land
- snails and slugs (Pulmonata, Stylommatophora). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 87, 593–610.
- Wiktor, A., 2000. Agriolimacidae (Gastropoda: Pulmonata) a systematic monograph. Ann Zool 49,
- 557 347–590.
- Witte, V., Maschwitz, U., 2008. Mushroom harvesting ants in the tropical rain forest.
- Naturwissenschaften 95, 1049–1054.

560	Zambonelli, A., Leonardi, P., Iotti, M., Hall, I., 2017. Ecological and genetic advances in the
561	cultivation of <i>Tuber</i> spp. Rev. Fitotec. Mex. 40, 371–377
562	Zambonelli, A., Salomoni, S., Pisi, A., 1993. Caratterizzazione anatomo-morfologica delle micorrize
563	di Tuber spp. su Quercus pubescens Willd. Italian Journal of Mycology 3,73–90.
564	
565	
566	Figure captions
567	
568	Figure 1 – Scanning electron micrographs of spores
569	T. aestivum spores from fresh ascoma (A), mouse scats (B), slug scats (C). The figure backgrounds
570	were removed by Adobe Photoshop® Software (original images are supplied in supplementary file
571	Fig. S5). Scale bar = $10 \mu m$
572	
573	Figure 2 – Topographic roughness of spore surface
574	Topographic roughness outcomes, Rq in (A) and RPV in (B), recorded by NCM imaging of surfaces.
575	Statistically significant differences were noted between RDS (mouse-digested spores) and SDS (slug-
576	digested spores), respectively compared to UDS (undigested spores)
577	
578	Figure 3 - Phase signal analysis of spore surface by NCM imaging
579	Phase signal analysis by NCM imaging reveals a limited overall alteration of the chemical properties
580	of the surfaces. The plotted decrement in SDS (slug-digested spores) was not statistically significant
581	P = 0.053 (A); for the phase signal roughness analysis, SDS were statistically different from RDS
582	(mouse-digested spores) and UDS (undigested spores) (B)
583	

Figure 4 – Force Modulation Mode amplitude and phase of spore surface

FMM amplitude and phase representative images (A) The overall FMM amplitude signals remained unaltered among the samples (B); the amplitude roughness of the hard subzones of surfaces decreased in RDS (mouse-digested spores) compared to UDS (undigested spores) and increased in SDS (slugdigested spores) compared to RDS (C); in the soft regions, only a roughness increment in SDS compared to RDS was observed (D); surface elasticity was altered only in SDS, as indicated by FMM phase signal analyses (E) Figure 5 – Ectomycorrhizal colonization of *Quercus robur* seedings Percentage of ectomycorrhizal colonization obtained by inoculation with T. aestivum UDS (undigested spores, white columns), RDS (mouse-digested spores, grey columns) and SDS (slugsdigested spores, black columns). Bars indicate standard deviation, and different letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P < 0.05) Figure 6 – Molecular barcoding of fungal communities from slug guts Summary of the fungal community found in 10 slug guts (A); fungal community of the guts of the ten slugs found away from (S1 to S3) and on (S4 to S10) a T. melanosporum brûlé (B)

Captions of supplementary material

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

605

606

607

604 **Table S1** – Fungal OTUs recovered from slug gut.

Figure S1 – *Tuber aestivum* ascomata, found in a private truffle orchard, partially eaten by slugs.

Figure S2 - The picture shows a *T. aestivum* spore imaged in NCM AFM and the arrows indicate the regions employed for all AFM investigations.

610	
611	Figure S3 – Vitality assessment of <i>T. aestivum</i> spores stained with fluorescein diacetate (FDA) of (a)
612	fresh truffle, (b) digested by the mouse and (c) by slugs.
613	
614	Figure S4 – Young mycorrhiza of <i>T. aestivum</i> obtained in seedlings inoculated with slug-digested
615	spores, one month after inoculation.











