

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Effect of the Support Synthetic Method on the Activity of Ni/CeZrPr Mixed Oxide in the Co-Methanation of CO2/CO Mixtures for Application in Power-to-Gas with Co-Electrolysis

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Effect of the Support Synthetic Method on the Activity of Ni/CeZrPr Mixed Oxide in the Co-Methanation of CO2/CO Mixtures for Application in Power-to-Gas with Co-Electrolysis / Waldvogel, Audrey; Fasolini, Andrea; Basile, Francesco; Thomas, Sébastien; Roger, Anne-Cécile. - In: ENERGY & FUELS. - ISSN 1520-5029. - ELETTRONICO. - 35:16(2021), pp. 13304-13314. [10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c01524]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/862560 since: 2023-05-09

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c01524

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:
6 7 8 9	Audrey Waldvogel, Andrea Fasolini, Francesco Basile, Sébastien Thomas, and Anne- Cécile Roger, Effect of the Support Synthetic Method on the Activity of Ni/CeZrPr Mixed Oxide in the Co-Methanation of CO2/CO Mixtures for Application in Power- to-Gas with Co-Electrolysis, Energy & Fuels 2021 35 (16), 13304-13314
10	The final published version is available online at:
11	https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c01524
12	
13	Terms of use:
14 15 16	Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

- Effect of the support synthetic method on the activity of Ni/CeZrPr mixed oxide in the co-methanation of
 CO₂/CO mixtures for application in Power-to-Gas with co-electrolysis
- 3 Audrey Waldvogel¹, Andrea Fasolini^{1,2}, Francesco Basile², Sébastien Thomas¹ and Anne-Cécile Roger^{1*}
- ¹ Institut de Chimie et Procédés pour l'Energie, l'Environnement et la Santé (ICPEES, UMR CNRS 7515), 25 rue
 Becquerel, 67087 Strasbourg, France
- ¹⁰
 ¹¹ ⁶ ² Dipartimento di Chimica Industriale "Toso Montanari", Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, Viale
 ¹² del Risorgimento 4, 40136 Bologna, Italy

14 8 Abstract

Synthetic Natural Gas (SNG) is an efficient option for transforming renewable energy into carbon neutral fuels, while using a plug-and-play infrastructure, supporting the transition to renewable energy, paving the way for a hydrogen-based economy. Captured CO_2 can be processed with water through high temperature co-electrolysis, where reduction to CO and H₂ production by water splitting occurs. The outlet gas, composed of CO_2 , CO, H_2 and small amounts of CH_4 , can be used to produce SNG by methanation of CO and CO_2 with hydrogen. Among the parameters influencing the methanation reactions, the characteristics of the support and its interaction with the metallic active phase, usually Ni, are of crucial importance and can be tuned by the synthesis of the support. For this reason, three different synthetic methods, namely, pseudo sol-gel, coprecipitation and colloidal combustion, were applied to the synthesis of a Ce/Zr/Pr oxide. The supports were fully characterized by nitrogen physisorption, XRD, SEM-EDS, TPR and H₂-TPD before and after impregnation with 10 wt%. Ni. The coprecipitated catalyst provided the best performances when submitted to CO_2/CO co-methanation and typical post co-electrolysis mixture thanks to a combination of different characteristics such as high surface area and mesoporosity, which allowed good Ni dispersion and surface area, high support metal interaction and reducibility. Finally, the effect of Pr seemed to be beneficial, increasing the methane yield at low temperatures.

33 24 Keywords

 $_{35}^{34}$ 25 Ni/CeZrPr oxide, CO_x methanation, pseudo sol-gel, colloidal combustion, coprecipitation.

³⁶₃₇ 26 **1. Introduction**

Chemical storage of decarbonized electricity associated with chemical recycling of CO₂ has been identified as a key aspect needed for the energy transition our society has to face [1-4]. Although H₂ has been recognized as a suitable energy carrier, its implementation is still slowed down by technological, infrastructural and cultural aspects [5–7]. Transition to a hydrogen-based economy cannot be immediate and requires time to build the appropriate infrastructure. Nevertheless, the existing and well-developed methane network is available for Synthetic Natural Gas (SNG) to be fed, thus leading to a ready-to-use renewable feedstock. This technology Power-To-SNG contributes to mitigating CO₂ emissions, allowing greater penetration of intermittent renewable sources of electricity production.

This work is part of a project that aims to produce methane from water and captured CO₂, converted into a CO/H_2 mixture by high-temperature co-electrolysis. In this system, CO_2 is fed into a water electrolyser where CO, H_2 and even a small amount of CH_4 are produced. The resulting outlet gas consists of a mixture of CO, CO_2 and H_2 [8,9]. In addition, residual steam and methane fractions may be present. The objective of this study is to synthesize an active catalyst capable of producing methane from this mixture.

⁵⁴ 40 The process of methane production from CO, CO_2 and H_2 is governed by the following exothermic reactions: ⁵⁵

56	41	$CO_2 + 4H_2 \rightleftharpoons CH_4 + 2H_2O$	$\Delta_{\rm r} {\rm H}^{\rm 0}_{\rm 298K}$ = -165 kJ.mol ⁻¹	Eq.1
57 58	42	$CO + 3H_2 \rightleftharpoons CH_4 + H_2O$	$\Delta_{\rm r} {\rm H}^{\rm 0}_{\rm 298K}$ = -206 kJ.mol ⁻¹	Eq.2

 $CO + H_2O \rightleftharpoons CO_2 + H_2$ $\Delta_r H^0_{298K} = -41 \text{kJ.mol}^{-1}$ Eq.3

The Sabatier reaction (Eq.1), also known as CO₂ methanation, has been widely studied, with Ni being the most investigated active phase due to its relatively cheap price, high selectivity for methane and good activity [10,11]. The characteristics and composition of the support also influence the methanation activity [10–13]. Ce-Zr based supports have been widely investigated in catalysis due to their good redox properties given by Ce which is increased by the presence of Zr into the oxide structure [14–17]. The addition of small fractions of Pr has been reported to increase the number of oxygen vacancies [15,18-21] leading to relatively high oxygen storage capacity (OCS) and may positively affect the activity of the. In addition, the presence of Pr and Zr increases the thermal stability of the support [14,15]. A range of Ce/Zr oxides has been applied as catalytic supports in the methanation reaction [10,22–25]. The addition of Zr to Ce enhanced the rate of methane production by having a positive effect on Ni dispersion [22]. An active and stable methanation catalyst was also obtained by Siakavelas et al. by doping CeO₂ with Pr₂O₃ [26]. The incorporation of Pr into the ceria lattice increases basicity and oxygen vacancies, with a positive effect on activity while reducing sintering. However, the application of ternary CeZrPr oxides havs not been yet reported for the methanation reaction.

As the preparation method is excepted to have a strong effect on the properties of ceria-based mixed oxides [27], such as BET surface, OCS and oxygen vacancies, in this study, three supports with the same $Ce_{0.33}Zr_{0.63}Pr_{0.04}O_{1.99}$ composition were synthesized by three different techniques to investigate the effect of the preparation method on the properties of the supports as well as of the final catalysts. Pseudo sol-gel, coprecipitation in carbonate form and colloidal combustion methods were selected for this work. The first two methods are commonly used for the preparation of mixed oxide catalysts, whereas the third method is reported to favour the mesoporosity and enhanced BET surface [28]. The three methodologies allow obtaining materials with different textural properties that may affect the catalytic activity. For example, Frey et al. [25] reported that high surface area mesoporous materials with high Ni dispersion contribute to increasing the activity and stability of the catalysts. However, the work from Aldana et al. [29] regarding Ni on CeZr oxide, showed that carbon dioxide hydrogenation occurs between CO₂ adsorbed on the support and hydrogen chemisorbed on Ni. Catalytic sites at the interface between Ni and Ce-based support are thus important to favour the reaction, and the presence of a metal-support interaction may contribute to reaction enhancement [30]. Methanation of CO occurs, conversely, by CO dissociation on Ni, which competes with dissociative chemisorption of hydrogen, particularly at high H₂/CO ratios [31]. Here three synthetic methodologies, i.e., pseudo sol-gel, coprecipitation and colloidal combustion syntheses, were chosen to tailor the morphological properties of CeZrPr supports and their interplay with the Ni active phase in terms of dispersion and metal-support interaction.

The synthesized materials were fully characterized and tested under CO/CO₂ methanation conditions and post co-electrolysis conditions, to evaluate how the textural properties of the synthesized oxides influence metal-support interaction, Ni dispersion and catalytic activity. Finally, the best performing Ni/CeZrPr catalyst was compared with an analogous Ni/CeZr one (Ni/Ce_{0.34}Zr_{0.66}O_{2.00}), to evidence the role of the presence of Pr in the support structure.

- 50 40 2. Experimental
- 52 41 2.1. Catalyst synthesis

The support synthesis methodologies widely affect the overall catalytic properties [25,32–35]. In this study, the Ce_{0.33}Zr_{0.63}Pr_{0.04}O_{1.99} support was synthetized employing three different routes: pseudo sol-gel (CZP-SG), coprecipitation (CZP-CP) and colloidal combustion (CZP-CC). A schematic representation of the main steps of the three modes of preparation is given in Figure 1. The preparation pathways are detailed hereafter for 4.0 g of support.

- * corresponding author : annececile.roger@unistra.fr



Figure 1: Schematic representation of the synthesis of CZP oxides by: (a) pseudo sol-gel, (b) coprecipitation
and (c) colloidal combustion.

The sol-gel method is based on the formation of Ce, Zr, Pr propionates and their thermal decomposition. Differently from classic sol-gel synthesis, the propionates precursors form oligomers without leading to colloidal particles. In the synthesis 3.22 g of cerium acetate hexahydrated (99.9%, Alfa Aesar), 8.70 g of zirconium acetylacetonate (98% Strem Chemicals) and 0.42 g of praseodymium acetate (98% Strem Chemicals) were separately dissolved in hot propionic acid for 60 min to obtain 0.12 M_{cations} solutions. The three solutions were mixed and refluxed for 90 min at 141 °C, giving formation to mixed propionates by oligomerization. The solvent was then removed by distillation under vacuum. This led to a propionate gel, which was solidified using liquid nitrogen and then calcined under air at 500 °C for 6 h with a ramp of 2.0 °C min⁻¹.

Coprecipitation leads to the formation of a solid by simultaneous precipitation of the Ce³⁺, Zr⁴⁺ et Pr³⁺ cations. A mass of 4.06 g of cerium nitrate hexahydrate (99.5% ACROS Organics), 6.05 g of zirconium oxynitrate hexahydrate (99% Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.49 g of praseodymium nitrate hexahydrate (99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in water to reach a 0.20 M_{cations} solution. Another solution was prepared by dissolving ammonium carbonate in water (1,60 M). A beaker whose bottom was filled with water was kept at 65°C and pH 6.5. Then the cation and carbonates solution were simultaneously added dropwise to the beaker, keeping the pH constant during the addition. A 3.5 h aging was then performed and the obtained solid was filtered and washed with distilled water. The solid was dried at 100 °C for 1 h and then calcined under air at 500 °C for 6 h with a ramp of 2.0°C min⁻¹. The $Ce_{0.34}Zr_{0.66}O_{2.00}$ oxide was synthesised with the same procedure, removing praseodymium nitrate from the precursors' solution.

The colloidal combustion technique is based on the work of Voskanyan et al. [28] and consists of the addition of a fuel (glycine), a comburant (precursors of Ce, Zr and Pr) and colloidal SiO₂ particles. Combustion then removes the organic compounds while silica is removed by alkaline wash. A mass of 4.06 g of cerium nitrate hexahydrate (99.5% ACROS Organics), 6.05 g of zirconium oxynitrate hexahydrate (99% Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.49 g of praseodymium nitrate hexahydrate (99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in 30 mL of water together with 2.4 g of glycine. Then 6 mL of SiO₂ colloidal solution (LUDOX TMA colloidal SiO₂, 34 wt% of a

- suspension in water of SiO₂ with a dimension of 20-22 nm, $\rho = 1.23$ g mL⁻¹, Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the solution. The mixture was heated up to 160 °C in an oil bath to produce combustion. After cooling, the obtained powder was poured into a solution of NaOH 2.0 M at 80 °C and kept for 4 h. Washing with distilled water and ethanol gave the final support precursor which was dried at 100 °C and then calcined under air at 500 °C for 6 h with a ramp of 2.0°C min⁻¹.
- Ni impregnation was carried out by dissolving 2.2 g of nickel nitrate hexahydrate (98.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) in
 water and stirring this solution together with the support powders (4.0 g) for 15 min. After drying at 100 °C
 for 2 h, the impregnated powders were calcined at 500 °C for 6 h with a ramp of 2 °C min⁻¹. The final loading
- for 2 h, the impregnated powders were calcined at 500 °C for 6 h with a ramp of 2 °C min⁻¹. The final loading
 of the impregnated catalysts was 10.0 wt%Ni/support.

1314 10 2.2. Catalyst characterization

1 2

- 15 11 The specific surface area and porous volume were measured, using a Micromeritics ASAP 2420 apparatus, by 16 12 nitrogen adsorption-desorption at -196 °C. The specific surface area were calculated through Brunauer-17 18 13 Emmet-Teller (BET) method whereas porous volumes were estimated from the value at P/P° = 0.99. A 19 14 pretreatment at 250 °C under vacuum was performed on the samples to remove moisture and possible 20 15 adsorbed species. 21
- In order to study the phase composition of the obtained supports, X-ray diffraction analyses were carried out
 on a Brucker D8 Advance diffractometer equipped with a copper source and a LynxEye detector. The analyses
 were performed in a 2θ range between 10.0 and 100.0° at a scan rate of 0.059° 2θ and acquisition of 2.0 s
 per step.
- 27 20 SEM/EDS analyses were performed with a JEOL FEG 6700F Instrument equipped with an EDS analysis system.
 28
- The redox properties of the bare supports and impregnated catalysts were investigated employing temperature programmed reduction (TPR) on a Micromeritics AutoChem II 2920 apparatus. In a typical experiment around 150 mg of sample were submitted to a 50 NmL min⁻¹ flow of a 10% H₂/Ar mixture and heated up to 900 °C at 15 °C min⁻¹, then kept at this temperature for 30 min.
- 34 25 Metallic nickel surface area was studied on the same instrument, performing H₂-temperature programmed 35 26 desorption (H₂-TPD) following H₂ chemisorption. A mass of approximately 100 mg of catalyst was reduced 36 27 under a 10% H₂/Ar mixture, heating them up to 400 °C at 2.0 °C min⁻¹ and keeping this temperature for 1.0 37 28 h. The sample was then purged with Ar for 1.0 h. The temperature was then reduced to 50 °C under Ar and 38 29 pulses of a 10% H₂/Ar mixture were sent on the catalyst until the saturation was reached (similar consecutive 39 30 H₂ peaks measured by TCD). The system was then purged under Ar for 30 min and then heated up to 900 °C 40 31 with a ramp of 15 °C min⁻¹ to desorb hydrogen from the surface. The amount of hydrogen desorbed was 41 32 followed by TCD and quantitatively determined. 42

43 44 33 **2.3. Catalytic tests**

- 45 34 Catalytic tests were performed in a continuous tubular quartz reactor (length: 30 cm; internal diameter: 3.0 46 35 cm) located in a programmable furnace. The catalytic bed temperature was controlled by a thermocouple 47 placed in the middle of the catalytic bed. The gases were fed to the reactor from gas tanks using mass flow 36 48 37 controllers, while water was fed by a micro-pump and fully vaporized. The outlet gas passed through a cold 49 38 trap to condense the steam. The dry gas was then analysed online by a micro-GC (Hewlett Packard, Quad 50 51 39 Series Micro GC) equipped with TCD detectors and two columns: Molar sieve 5 Å (MS5A) was used to 52 40 separate H₂, N₂, CH₄ et CO; PoraPlot U (PPU) was used to separate CH₄, CO₂ and traces of C₂H₆. Nitrogen was 53 41 also fed and used as an internal standard. 54
- The catalyst was activated before the catalytic tests by reduction in a H_2/N_2 mixture (36/5 mL.min⁻¹). The temperature was raised to 400 °C at 2.0 °C min⁻¹ and kept for 6 h at this temperature. After reduction, the catalysts were tested in CO/CO₂ co-methanation with a 4% H_2 excess considering CO_x methanation. The tests were conducted at atmospheric pressure and a GHSV of 45,000 h⁻¹ (STP). In the case of post co-electrolysis
- 60

conditions, methane and steam were added to the gas flow, after catalyst stabilization under co-methanation conditions. Consequently, GHSV increased to 50,000 h⁻¹ (STP) at this stage. Table 1 reports molar composition and employed flows for co-methanation and post co-electrolysis. Reactivity tests were conducted for 1.0 h at successively 250, 300, 350, 400 and 450 °C.

The results were expressed in terms of methane yield which was calculated as expressed in equation 4.

$$CH_4 \, yield = rac{F_{CH_4}^{out} - F_{CH_4}^{in}}{F_{CO_2}^{in}}$$
Eq. 4

where F_i refers to the molar flow of compound i.

Table 1: molar composition and gas fluxes for the co-methanation and post co-electrolysis conditions.

		H ₂	со	CO2	CH ₄	H ₂ O	GHSV (h ⁻¹ , STP)
Co motheration	Molar composition (%)	78	13	9.0	/	/	45.000
Co-methanation	Flow (NmL.min ⁻¹)	31.5	5.4	3.6	/	/	45,000
Best of all starts in	Molar composition (%)	70	12	8.0	5.0	5.0	50.000
Post co-electrolysis	Flow (NmL.min ⁻¹)	31.5	5.4	3.6	2.3	2.3	50,000

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

The adsorption isotherms of the three supports are reported in Figure 1. CZP-CP and CZP-SG are characterized by type IV isotherms with an H2 hysteresis [36] indicating a mesoporous structure with ink-bottled like pores, which may be formed from interparticle porosity. Sol-gel and coprecipitation syntheses produce materials composed of particles with low internal porosity, though pores are formed by the stacking of the different particles. The isotherm of CZP-CC is also of type IV, but presents an H1 hysteresis, found at high P/P₀ values. This is characteristic of mesoporous materials with cylindrical pores of uniform size. After Ni impregnation the structures maintain the same morphologies, though the total adsorbed volume slightly decreases, indicating a decrease in total surface area and pore volume. BJH model allowed to determine the pore characteristics (Figure 2). All samples display a uniform pore size, centered at 3 and 5 nm for CZP-SG and CZP-CP, while larger pores around 13 nm characterize CZP-CC. In general, CZP-CC displays a much higher BET surface area (125 m² g⁻¹) than CZP-CP (69 m² g⁻¹) and CZP-SG (54 m² g⁻¹). The templating effect of SiO₂ in the colloidal combustion synthesis allowed the formation of a particular pore structure with cylindrical pores, which is responsible for the high surface area of this sample [28]. In contrast, a less porous matrix characterizes CZP-CP and CZP-SG, where an interparticle porosity is encountered. The higher porosity of CZP-CC contributes to reducing its apparent density, which is three to four times lower than that of other samples.

The trend in surface and pore morphology is also maintained after impregnation with Ni, followed by calcination at 500 °C. These processes provide a slight decrease in these characteristics (Table 2) due to sintering of support during calcination and the partial blocking of the pores by Ni.



Figure 2: Isothermal plots of: (a) CZP-SG (red), CZP-CP (blue) and CZP-CC (orange) and (b) (Ni/CZP-SG (red),
Ni/CZP-CP (blue) and Ni/CZP-CC (orange); and pore size distribution of: (c) CZP-SG (red), CZP-CP (blue) and
CZP-CC (orange) and (d) (Ni/CZP-SG (red), Ni/CZP-CP (blue) and Ni/CZP-CC (orange)

Table 2: Surface area pore volume and average pore diameter for bare supports (CZP-SG, CZP-CP, CZP-CC and CZ-CP) and impregnated catalysts (Ni/CZP-SG, Ni/CZP-CP, Ni/CZP-CC and Ni/CZ-CP).

	CZP-SG	Ni/CZP-SG	CZP-CP	Ni/CZP-CP	CZP-CC	Ni/CZP-CC	CZ-CP	Ni/CZ-CP
S _{BET} (m ² g ⁻¹)	54	32	69	52	125	112	51	38
V _{pores} (cm³ g⁻¹)	0.04	0.04	0.11	0.10	0.48	0.41	0.90	0.70
D _{pores} (nm)	3	4	5	6	13	14	5	5

- The morphology of the CZP-CP catalyst was compared to that of the CZ-CP catalyst (Figure 3 and Table 2), to assess how the presence of Pr affects the morphological characteristics of the sample. A slightly lower surface area was observed for CZ-CP, both as bare support and after Ni impregnation (Table 2). Both isotherms and pore distribution (Figure 3) are similar and show a Type IV isotherm, an H2 isotherm and a narrow pore size centered at 5 nm. This indicates the presence of a mesoporous material, as previously described for CZP-CP. In general, the presence of Pr did not appear to affect the pore shape and size to any great extent, although it did provide a slight increase in surface area.



Figure 3: Isothermal plots: (a) CZP-CP (blue) and CZ-CP (green) and (b) Ni/CZP-CP (blue) and Ni/CZ-CP (green); and pore size distribution of (c) CZP-CP (blue) and CZ-CP (green) and (d) Ni/CZP-CP (blue) and Ni/CZ-CP (green).

The results of the XRD analyses of both bare and impregnated supports before reduction are reported in Figure 4 and Table 3. The sol-gel and colloidal combustion syntheses allowed to obtain mixed oxide structures shown by the presence of four broad reflections, ascribable to a tetragonal phase. These phases have a pseudo-cubic cell parameter (called a) of 5.24 and 5.23 Å, respectively. This phase is typical of ceria-zirconia solid solutions, in which both cations are dispersed in the same tetragonal structure. As no praseodymium oxide phase was detected, it can be assumed that Pr was also integrated into the mixed oxide structures, although its crystallization as single oxide cannot be excluded due to its low content and/or possible small crystallites. Two different phases were obtained by the coprecipitation synthesis. For the sol-gel support, the reflections are "split" toward lower and higher diffraction angles with respect to the nominal Ce_{0.33}Zr_{0.63}Pr_{0.04}O_{1.99} phase. These two phases are indicative of a CeO₂ cubic phase (lower angles, larger cell) and a Zr-enriched tetragonal phase (higher angles, smaller cell). The pseudo-cubic lattice parameter a of the CeO₂ phase (on the 220 plan reflection at 48.0°) was calculated to be 5.41 Å, which is equal to the value reported for pure CeO₂, while a pseudo-cubic lattice parameter of 5.19 Å were obtained for the second mixed oxide phase. This value is smaller than that obtained by the other synthetic methods, due to the lower content of Ce⁴⁺ which possesses a higher ionic radius than Zr⁴⁺ in eight-fold coordination. Again, Pr may be incorporated in both phases or crystallized as single oxide, in both cases below the detection threshold. However, it is difficult to assess this from the lattice parameter a due to the similar ionic radius of Ce⁴⁺ and Pr⁴⁺ (0.97 and 0.96 Å). The same phases are also found after Ni impregnation, together with a NiO phase. Scherrer equation allowed to calculate the NiO crystallite average size, which resulted to follow the order:

Ni/CZP-SG (25 nm) > Ni/CZP-CP (20 nm) >> Ni/CZP-CC (11 nm). This is in line with BET surface areas of the bare supports which follow the order: CZP-SG (54 m² g⁻¹) < Ni/CZP-CP (69 m² g⁻¹) << Ni/CZP-CC (125 m² g⁻¹). As expected, higher surface areas of the support allowed for better dispersion of NiO on the surface.



Figure 4: X-ray diffractograms of the bare supports and impregnated catalysts: (a) CZP-SG, (b) Ni/CZP-SG (c) CZP-CP, (d) Ni/CZP-CP, (e) CZP-CC and (f) Ni/CZP-CC. Dotted lines correspond to the position of main diffraction peaks of Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ P4₂ / nmc crystalline structure.

Table 3: Pseudo-cubic lattice parameter a and average crystallite dimension of the different phases detected by XRD on impregnated catalysts (Ni/CZP-SG, Ni/CZP-CP, Ni/CZP-CC and Ni/CZ-CP).

		Ni/CZP-SG	Ni/CZP-CP	Ni/CZP-CC	Ni/CZ-CP
	а _{сео2} (Å)		5.41		5.40
	D _{се02} (nm)	-	8	-	9
October	a _{ceO2-zrO2} (Å)	5.24	5.20	5.23	5.18
Catalysts	D _{CeO2-ZrO2} (nm)	5	8	5	9
	a _{nio} (Å)	4.18	4.18	4.17	4.18
	D _{NiO} (nm)	25	20	11	21

53 11

Figure 5 shows the diffractograms of the Ni/CZ-CP and Ni/CZP-CP catalysts. As already discussed above for Ni/CZP-CP, the analysis of Ni/CZ-CP catalyst indicates the presence of pure CeO₂ as well as a mixed oxide enriched in Zr. By analysing the cell parameter reported in Table 3, it can be noted that Ni/CZP possesses a slightly higher value of a (5.20 Å vs 5.18 Å) for the mixed oxide compared to Ni/CZ. This is probably due to the presence of Pr³⁺ which has a larger ionic radius than Zr, in this phase. The lattice parameter of the ceria

phase of Ni/CZP is also slightly higher than that of the ceria phase in Ni/CP (5.41 Å vs 5.20 Å). As the lattice parameters of both phases are slightly higher for the Pr-containing sample (Table 3), it can be assumed that this element is present in the structure of both phases: ceria and Zr-enriched mixed oxide. The dimensions of the NiO crystallites are similar for both samples, possibly related to the similar surface areas of the two supports, which influence the distribution of NiO.



Figure 5: X-ray diffractograms of the impregnated catalysts: (a) Ni/CZP-CP and (b) Ni/CZ-CP.

8 The morphology and composition of the support were studied by SEM-EDX analysis (Figure 6). A relatively 9 flat surface is obtained with the pseudo sol-gel synthesis, which explains the low porosity and low surface 10 area observed by nitrogen physisorption analysis. The coprecipitation synthesis provides a rougher surface 11 than the sol-gel method, while spherical pores can be observed on CZP-CC. This is in agreement with the 12 morphology detected by nitrogen physisorption and is caused by the dissolution of SiO₂ spheres by NaOH.



The composition of the samples (wt%) determined by EDX (Table 4), revealed similar values to those expected, calculated on the precursors amount (CeO₂ 40wt%, ZrO₂ 55wt%, Pr_6O_{11} 5wt%). The presence of sodium was found, together with Si, on the sample prepared by colloidal combustion. Nevertheless, half of SiO₂ has been removed by NaOH treatment, as shown by EDX of CZP-CC sample before SiO₂ dissolution.

Table 4: Samples composition (wt%) determined by EDX analysis on the areas of CZP-SG, CZP-CP and CZP-CC marked in Figure 6.

wt%	Theoretic	CZP -SG	CZP-CP	CZP-CC-SiO ₂	CZP-CC
				precursor	

CeO ₂	40.0	39.7	39.3	31.4	37.1
ZrO ₂	55.0	56.5	54.8	33.8	41.9
<i>Pr</i> ₆ <i>O</i> ₁₁	5.0	3.8	5.9	5.3	6.3
Na ₂ O	-	-		-	2.6
SiO ₂	-	-	-	29.5	12.1

33 20

34 21

56 23

57 24

⁵⁸ 25

 The reducibility of the synthetized supports and catalysts was analysed by temperature programmed reduction (TPR) (Figure 7 and Table 5). One broad peak with maxima around 570-600 °C characterizes the reduction profile of bare CZP-SG and CZP-CC. From the literature, it is well known that pure CeO₂ presents two reduction peaks related to the reduction of surface ceria (530°C) and bulk ceria (820°C), respectively, into Ce₂O₃ [35,37]. The addition of Zr [38] and Pr [14,21] increases the oxygen mobility of the oxide, resulting in a more homogeneous reduction of both surface and bulk ceria are reduced simultaneously, reducing the reduction temperature of bulk ceria [38]. The reduction peak of CZP-CP is broader, with a wide shoulder around 400 °C. This is due to the presence of two phases, as detected by XRD.

The addition of Ni on the supports allows decreasing the reduction temperature of Ce thanks to the spillover effect provided by the presence of metal, where hydrogen is dissociatively adsorbed and then transferred to the support. The spillover effect is logically less important for Ni/CZP-CC due to the presence of silica which may act as a barrier for the migration of hydrogen to ceria. The TPR profiles of Ni/CZP-CP and Ni/CZP-SG are similar, they show the main peak at around 380-400 °C, characteristic of bulk NiO, in addition to a broad consumption of hydrogen between 250 and 600 °C due to the reduction of surface and bulk ceria. Table 5 reports hydrogen consumption during TPR and percentage of reduced Ce, calculated assuming a total NiO reduction. The bare supports did not show a total Ce reduction with similar reducibility of around 80%. In all cases Ni impregnation increases reducibility by hydrogen spillover and allows the reduction of the whole CeO_2 to Ce_2O_3 .



Figure 7: Temperature programmed reduction of: (a) CZP-SG (red), CZP-CP (blue) and CZP-CC (orange) and (b) the impregnated catalysts Ni/CZP-SG (red), Ni/CZP-CP (blue) and Ni/CZP-CC (orange).

^{*} corresponding author : annececile.roger@unistra.fr

Table 5: Hydrogen consumption and calculated Ce reduction of the bare supports (CZP-SG, CZP-CP and CZP-CC) and impregnated catalysts (Ni/CZP-SG, Ni/CZP-CP and Ni/CZP-CC).

	CZP-SG	Ni/CZP- SG	CZP- CP	Ni/CZP- CP	CZP- CC	Ni/CZP- CC	CZ-CP	Ni/CZ- CP
H ₂ consumption (mmol/g)	1.04	2.89	1.04	2.85	1.06	2.85	1.12	2.90
Ce ⁴⁺ reduction (%)	79	100	79	98	80	98	92	100

A comparison between the TPR analysis of the coprecipitated samples with and without Pr is presented in Figure 8 and Table 5. Similar patterns were recorded for both samples, although a higher Ce⁴⁺ reduction was achieved with CZ-CP sample. Total Ce4+ reduction occurs after impregnation with NiO for both samples, thanks to the hydrogen spillover effect provided by Ni. However, the reduction peak of Ni/CZP-CP is found at a higher temperature, which may be due to a stronger interaction between Ni and the support that reduced reducibility.



Figure 8: Temperature programmed reduction of: (a) CZ-CP (green) and CZP-CP (blue) and (b) the impregnated catalysts Ni/CZ-CP (green) and Ni/CZP-CP (blue).

Ni surface area was estimated by H_2 temperature programmed desorption (H_2 -TPD) (Figure 9). The sol-gel catalyst displays a broad peak at high temperatures, centered around 530 °C and a smaller one at 140 °C. The coprecipitated and colloidal combustion catalyst exhibit a peak at low temperature (<135 °C) one around 300 °C and one over 500 °C. Integration of these peaks allowed to calculate Ni surface considering an average surface area occupied by a Ni atom in a face-centered cubic structure for an equivalent exposure of the (111), (100) and (110) planes of 6.51 10⁻²⁰ m² [39], area. It is then possible to determine Ni dispersion and particle dimension, hypothesising a H/Ni_{surf} ratio of 1.0 and the presence of semi-spherical Ni particles, not inserted inside the support structure (Table 6). The higher support surface area obtained by colloidal combustion allowed for a better Ni dispersion, reducing the particle dimension, increasing Ni dispersion. The trend of particle size dimension is similar to that of NiO average crystallite size dimension calculated by XRD analysis, suggesting that the particle dimension is defined during the impregnation step.

^{*} corresponding author : annececile.roger@unistra.fr



Figure 9: H₂ temperature programmed desorption of Ni/CZP-SG (red), Ni/CZP-CP (blue) and Ni/CZP-CC
 (orange).

Table 6: Desorbed hydrogen and calculated Ni surface area, Ni dispersion and particle dimension for Ni/CZP SG, Ni/CZP-CP and Ni/CZP-CC.

	Ni/CZP-SG	Ni/CZP-CP	Ni/CZP-CC	Ni/CZ-CP
Desorbed H ₂ (mmol/g _{cat})	0.052	0.087	0.106	0.057
$S_{Ni^{\circ}}(m^2/g)$	4.0	6.8	8.3	4.4
Dispersion Ni ^p (%)	6	10	12	7
D _{Ni°} (nm)	17	10	8	15

Compared to the Pr-containing sample, the H₂-TPD of Ni/CZ-CP showed the presence of a small peak at low temperature followed by a broader one (Figure 10). Less hydrogen was desorbed from Ni/CZ-CP, indicating a lower surface area of Ni, consistent with bigger particles. The dispersion of Ni is probably favoured by the presence of Pr and the consistent stronger metal-support interaction which increased the reduction temperature but favoured the formation of small particles, better inserted in the support.



Figure 10: H₂ temperature programmed desorption of Ni/CZ-CP (green) and Ni/CZP-CP (blue).

3.2. Catalytic tests

Two reaction conditions were studied on the synthetized catalysts, namely CO/CO₂ co-methanation and post co-electrolysis mixture. Figure 11 shows the results of the catalytic tests in terms of methane yield. In all cases, the methane selectivity was above 99% with only traces of ethane as another carbon-containing product. At 250 °C, no activity was observed under either condition. However, Ni/CZP-CP showed a high methane yield of 79 % already at 270 °C. This catalyst outperforms the other two at higher temperatures, giving a methane yield of 87%. The catalytic methanation yield of the samples at low temperatures is consistent with the availability of hydrogen in this temperature range, as can be seen in the H₂-TPD profiles (Figure 8). By increasing the temperature to 400 °C and above, the sol-gel catalyst achieved the performances of Ni/CZP-CC, whose yield increases slightly in the same range. Nevertheless, the highest activity was provided by Ni/CZP-CP, which gave higher yields than the other ones in a wide temperature range and was able to reach the thermodynamic equilibrium at 450 °C. The highest yield was obtained at 300 °C (87%), while it slightly decreased at higher temperatures due to the less favourable thermodynamic conditions.

When submitted to post co-electrolysis conditions (Figure 11 b), where also CH₄ and H₂O were present, all catalysts reduced their activity, especially at 300 °C, compared to the co-methanation mixture. Ni/CZP-SG was the most affected and reached the performances of Ni/CZP-CC only at 450 °C, at thermodynamic equilibrium. Smaller drops were displayed by the other two catalysts. These materials provided high conversions, also under these more discriminating conditions. Ni/CZP-CP gave the highest yield of 85% at 300 °C and values close to the thermodynamic equilibrium at higher temperatures.

In a complete kinetic study of methanation on Ni/alumina catalyst, Champon et al. [40] studied the effect of methane and water on the rate of methane formation under conditions close to those of the present study. While methane was shown to have no significant impact in the range of temperature studied, the presence of water negatively impacted methane formation. Inhibition by water was modeled by competitive adsorption on active sites. The model fits well the CH₄ formation rate data of CO₂ methanation. In our case, the strong impact on methane yield under post-coelectrolysis conditions is thus thought to be solely due to water in the stream. Competitive adsorption has a more negative impact on catalysts with a low number of active sites, which explains the much lower performance of the Ni/CZP-SG catalyst in the presence of water.

The sample prepared by pseudo sol-gel was characterized by the lowest Ni surface area (Table 6), which may explain its low methanation activity and the fact that it is strongly affected by the presence of water in post-co-electrolysis conditions. However, the catalytic activity is not directly related to the value of the metallic surface. It has been reported that the methanation reaction occurs mainly at the interface between Ni and the Ce-based support [29,41– 43]. Thus, the presence of SiO₂ in the colloidal combustion sample Ni/CZP-CC, as discussed from the TPR profile, disfavours the Ni/support interfaces, which is detrimental to the catalytic activity, despite the higher metallic surface area. This is consistent with the work of Yang et al. [44] on mesoporous ceria for CO oxidation. Ni/CZP-CP high activity was due to a combination of properties that are reported to affect methanation activity, i.e., Ni surface area [45], support surface area, mesoporosity [25] and metal/ceria-based support interaction [30]. These properties contributed to make the coprecipitated catalyst the most

active in both co-methanation and post electrolysis conditions.



Figure 11: Experimental equilibrium methane yield obtained at different temperatures for (a) CO/CO₂ comethanation conditions (P_{atm} , 45000 h⁻¹, H₂/CO_x = 3.54 and CO/CO₂ = 1.44) and for (b) post co-electrolysis conditions (P_{atm}, 50,000 h⁻¹, molar ratios: H₂/CO_x = 3.50 and CO/CO₂ = 1.50, H₂/CH₄ = 14.0, H₂/H₂O = 14.0) over Ni/CZP-SG (red), Ni/CZP-CP (blue) and Ni/CZP-CC (orange), thermodynamic equilibrium curves (black).

The effect of Pr presence in the coprecipitated samples on methanation activity is shown in Figure 12, where Ni/CZP-CP and Ni/CZ-CP are compared. A similar activity in CO/CO₂ co-methanation is reported by the two catalysts, which both showed a good methane yield even at low temperature (270 °C) and high GHSV. In post co-electrolysis conditions, similar results were also obtained at high temperatures, with methane yields that approach thermodynamic equilibrium. However, the Pr-containing sample provided higher activity below 300 °C with the highest yield of 85% recorded at this temperature. This is again in accordance with the H₂-TPD profiles of the samples.

The comparison with the literature on co-methanation is difficult. Co-methanation is generally dealing with the presence of CO in the CO₂ feed, far from the 60/40 molar CO/CO₂ ratio of the inlet flow of this work. By comparison with CO₂ methanation studies, among the catalysts of this study, those prepared by

1 coprecipitation Ni/CZP-CP and Ni/CZ-CP compete with the best systems published in the literature. The 2 production of methane at 300 °C is about 50-60 μ molCH₄ g⁻¹ s⁻¹ at GHSV of 45,000 h⁻¹ for these catalysts, it 3 places them in the most active systems among those studied in similar operating conditions for CO₂ 4 methanation [46].



Figure 12: Experimental and equilibrium methane yield obtained at different temperatures at (a) CO/CO2 comethanation conditions (P_{atm} , 45,000 h⁻¹, $H_2/CO_x = 3.54$ and CO/CO₂ = 1.44) and post co-electrolysis conditions (P_{atm} , 50,000 h⁻¹, $H_2/CO_x = 3.50$ and CO/CO₂ = 1.50, $H_2/CH_4 = 14.00$, $H_2/H_2O = 14.00$) over Ni/CZ-CP (green) and Ni/CZP-CP (blue), thermodynamic values (black).

11 4. Conclusion

Three $Ce_{0.33}Zr_{0.63}Pr_{0.04}O_{1.99}$ catalytic supports were synthetized by three different techniques to investigate their effect on the support properties and catalytic performances. A pseudo sol-gel, coprecipitation and colloidal combustion were selected. Pseudo sol-gel and colloidal combustion provided the formation of a mixed oxide while segregated pure CeO_2 and a Ce-poor phase were obtained by coprecipitation. The presence of a SiO₂ templating agent allowed to obtain a high surface area, which provides a higher metallic dispersion after Ni impregnation, though SEM showed that part of Si was left on the sample, which decreases the H₂-spillover from Ni to ceria. On the opposite, a higher metal support interaction was detected by TPR on the sol-gel and coprecipitated catalyst. When submitted to CO₂/CO co-methanation and a post co-electrolysis mixture the coprecipitated catalyst showed the best performances. This was linked with the combination of characteristics that contributed to enhancing the catalytic activity in the methanation reaction, i.e., high support metal interaction and reducibility, high surface area and mesoporosity, linked with good Ni dispersion and surface area. The effect of Pr showed to be favourable for the investigated reaction, giving higher methane yields at low temperatures.

57 25

- 5. Acknowledgments
- * corresponding author : annececile.roger@unistra.fr

1			
2 3	4	T I2 -	authors the sluth a Franch AND for funding the surgicat CHOCHCO of 42 CEED 0002 04
4	T	ine	authors thank the French ANR for funding the project CHOCHCO n° 13 SEED 0002 01.
5	2	6. Re	eferences
6 7	3	1.	M. Child. D. Bogdanov. C. Brever. The role of storage technologies for the transition to a 100%
7 8	4		renewable energy system in Europe. Energy Procedia. 155 (2018). 44–60.
9	5		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.egvpro.2018.11.067.
10	6	2.	A. Lewandowska-Bernat. U. Desideri. Opportunities of power-to-gas technology in different energy
11	7		systems architectures. Appl. Energ., 228 (2018) 57–67.
12	8		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.apenergy.2018.06.001.
13	9	3.	M. Bailera, P. Lisbona, L.M. Romeo, S. Espatolero, Power to Gas projects review: Lab. pilot and demo
14	10	-	plants for storing renewable energy and CO ₂ . Renew, Sust. Energ. Rev., 69 (2017) 292–312.
15	11		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.rser.2016.11.130.
10	12	4	B. Lynch, A. Kiger, K. Hatinoglu, An overview of hydrogen electrical energy storage meeting energy
18	13		demand with intermittent renewable resources. Proceedings of the SoutheastCon 2016 np. 1–6
19	14	5	A Demirbas Future hydrogen economy and policy Energy Source Part B 12 (2017) 172–181
20	15	5.	https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2014.950394
21	16	6	A Martin ME Agnoletti E Brangier Users in the design of Hydrogen Energy Systems: A systematic
22	17	0.	review Int I Hydrogen Energ 15 (2020) 11889–11900
23	10		https://doi.org/10.1016/i iibydono.2020.02.163
24	10	7	D. Astiaso Garcia. Analysis of non-economic barriers for the denloyment of hydrogen technologies
25	20	7.	and infrastructures in European countries. Int. I. Hydrogen Energ. 42 (2017) 6425–6447
26	20		and initiastituctures in European countries, int. J. Hydrogen Energ., $42(2017)0435-0447$, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjbydopo.2017.01.201
2/	21	0	C. Lu C. Mabilat M. Zabid A. Brissa L. Caution Sungas production via high temperature steam/CO
20	22	0.	Q. Fu, C. Mabilat, M. Zahlu, A. Brisse, L. Gautier, Syngas production via high-temperature steam/CO ₂
30	25		CU-electrolysis. an economic assessment, energ. environ. Sci., 5 (2010) 1562–1597,
31	24 25	0	Nulps://doi.org/10.1039/Coee00092B.
32	25	9.	SW. KIII, H. KIII, K.J. 1001, JH. LEE, BK. KIII, W. CHOI, JH. LEE, J. Holig, Reductions and mass
33	20		transport in high temperature co-electrolysis of steam/ CO_2 mixtures for syngas production, J. of Dewar Sources, 280 (2015) 620, 620, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.01.082
34	27	10	Power Sources, 280 (2015) 630–639, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.01.083.
35	28	10.	P. Frontera, A. Macano, M. Ferraro, P. Antonucci, supported Catalysis for CO_2 Methanation: A
36	29	11	Review, Calalysis, 7 (2017) 59-80, IIIIps://doi.org/10.3390/Calal7020059.
3/ 20	30	11.	J. ASNOK, S. Pati, P. Hongmanorom, Z. Hanxi, C. Junmer, S.A. Kawi, A review of recent catalyst
20 20	31		advances in CO_2 methanation processes, Catal. Today, 356 (2020) 471–489,
40	32	10	nttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2020.07.023.
41	33	12.	J. Martinez, E. Hernandez, S. Alfaro, R. Lopez Medina, G. Valverde Aguilar, E. Albiter, M.A. Valenzuela,
42	34		High Selectivity and Stability of Nickel Catalysts for CO_2 Methanation: Support Effects, Catalysts, 9
43	35	10	(2019) 24-36, https://doi.org/10.3390/catai9010024.
44	36	13.	W. Gac, W. Zawadzki, M. Rotko, M. Greiuk, G. Słowik, G. Kolb, Effects of support composition on the
45	37		performance of nickel catalysts in CO_2 methanation reaction, Catal. Today, 357 (2020) 468-482,
46	38		nttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2019.07.026.
4/	39	14.	E. Aneggi, C. de Leitenburg, G. Dolcetti, A. Trovarelli, Promotional effect of rare earths and transition
40 ⊿0	40		metals in the combustion of diesel soot over CeO_2 and CeO_2 – ZrO_2 , Catal. Loday, 114 (2006) 40–47,
50	41		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2006.02.008.
51	42	15.	I. Andana, M. Piumetti, S. Bensaid, N. Russo, D. Fino, R. Pirone, CO and Soot Oxidation over Ce-Zr-Pr
52	43	4.5	Uxide Catalysts, Nanoscale Kes. Lett., 11 (2016) 2/8-286, https://doi.org/10.1186/s116/1-016-1494-6.
53	44	16.	F. Basile, R. Matessanti, A. Fasolini, G. Fornasari, E. Lombardi, A. Vaccari, Effect of synthetic method
54	45		on Cezr support and catalytic activity of related Rh catalyst in the oxidative reforming reaction, J. Eur.
55	46	4 -	Ceram. Soc., 39 (2019) 41–52, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2018.01.047.
56	4/	1/.	A. Fasolini, S. Ruggieri, C. Femoni, F. Basile, Highly Active Catalysts Based on the Rh ₄ (CO) ₁₂ Cluster
5/ 50	48		Supported on $Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}$ and Zr Uxides for Low-Temperature Methane Steam Reforming, Catalysts, 9
50 59	49		(2019) 800-818, https://doi.org/10.3390/catal9100800.
60			

18. X. Yang, L. Yang, S. Lin, R. Zhou, New Insight into the Doping Effect of Pr₂O₃ on the Structure–Activity Relationship of Pd/CeO₂–ZrO₂ Catalysts by Raman and XRD Rietveld Analysis, J. Phys. Chem. C, 119 (2015) 6065-6074, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp512606m. 19. Z. Xiao, Y. Li, F. Hou, C. Wu, L. Pan, J. Zou, L. Wang, X. Zhang, G. Liu, G. Li, Engineering oxygen vacancies and nickel dispersion on CeO₂ by Pr doping for highly stable ethanol steam reforming, Appl. Catal. B-Environ., 258 (2019) 117940-117968, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117940. V. Frizon, J.-M. Bassat, M. Pollet, E. Durand, J. Hernandez, K. Pajot, P. Vernoux, A. Demourgues, 20. Tuning the Pr valence state to design high oxygen mobility, redox and transport properties in the CeO₂–ZrO₂–PrO_x phase diagram, J. Phys. Chem. C, 123 (2019) 6351–6362, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b11469. R. Si, Y.-W. Zhang, L.-M. Wang, S.-J. Li, B.-X. Lin, W.-S. Chu, Z.-Y. Wu, C.-H. Yan, Enhanced Thermal 21. Stability and Oxygen Storage Capacity for $Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ (x = 0.4–0.6) Solid Solutions by Hydrothermally Homogenous Doping of Trivalent Rare Earths, J. Phys. Chem. C, 111 (2007) 787–794, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0630875. I. Iglesias, A. Quindimil, F. Mariño, U. De-La-Torre, J.R. González-Velasco, Zr promotion effect in CO₂ 22. methanation over ceria supported nickel catalysts, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ., 44 (2019) 1710–1719, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.11.059. F. Ocampo, B. Louis, A.C. Roger, Methanation of carbon dioxide over nickel-based Ce_{0.72}Zr_{0.28}O₂ mixed 23. oxide catalysts prepared by sol-gel method, Appl. Catal. A-Gen., 369 (2009) 90–96, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2009.09.005. F. Ocampo, B. Louis, L. Kiwi-Minsker, A.C. Roger, Effect of Ce/Zr composition and noble metal 24. promotion on nickel based Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂ catalysts for carbon dioxide methanation, Appl. Catal. A-Gen., 392 (2011) 36–44, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2010.10.025. M. Frey, G. Mignani, J. Jolly, A.-C. Roger, Effect of Physico-Chemical Properties of Ceria-Based 25. Supports on the Carbon Dioxide Methanation Reaction, Adv. Chem. Lett., 1 (2013) 257-263, https://doi.org/10.1166/acl.2013.1039. 26. G.I. Siakavelas, N.D. Charisiou, S. AlKhoori, A.A. AlKhoori, V. Sebastian, S.J. Hinder, M.A. Baker, I.V. Yentekakis, K. Polychronopoulou, M.A. Goula, Highly selective and stable nickel catalysts supported on ceria promoted with Sm₂O₃, Pr₂O₃ and MgO for the CO₂ methanation, Appl. Catal. B-Environ., 282 (2021) 119562-119564, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2020.119562. 27. S. Rossignol, F. Gérard, D. Duprez, Effect of the preparation method on the properties of zirconia ceria materials, J. Mater. Chem., 9 (1999) 1615-1620, https://doi.org/10.1039/A900536F. 28. A.A. Voskanyan, K.-Y. Chan, C.-Y.V. Li, Colloidal Solution Combustion Synthesis: Toward Mass Production of a Crystalline Uniform Mesoporous CeO₂ Catalyst with Tunable Porosity, Chem. Mater., 28 (2016) 2768–2775, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b00505. P.A. Ussa Aldana, F. Ocampo, K. Kobl, B. Louis, F. Thibault-Starzyk, M. Daturi, P. Bazin, S. Thomas, A.C. 29. Roger, Catalytic CO₂ valorization into CH₄ on Ni-based ceria-zirconia. Reaction mechanism by operando IR spectroscopy, Catal. Today, 215 (2013) 201-207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2013.02.019. M. Li, H. Amari, A.C. van Veen, Metal-oxide interaction enhanced CO₂ activation in methanation over 30. ceria supported nickel nanocrystallites, Appl. Catal. B-Environ., 239 (2018) 27-35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.07.074. J. Sehested, S. Dahl, J. Jacobsen, J.R. Rostrup-Nielsen, Methanation of CO over Nickel: Mechanism 31. and Kinetics at High H₂/CO Ratios, J. Phys. Chem. B, 109 (2005) 2432–2438, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp040239s. 32. M. Cai, J. Wen, W. Chu, X. Cheng, Z. Li, Methanation of carbon dioxide on Ni/ZrO₂-Al₂O₃ catalysts: Effects of ZrO₂ promoter and preparation method of novel ZrO₂-Al₂O₃ carrier, J. Nat. Gas, 20 (2011) 318-324, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-9953(10)60187-9. 33. X. Yan, Y. Liu, B. Zhao, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, C. Liu, Methanation over Ni/SiO₂: Effect of the catalyst preparation methodologies, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ., 38 (2013) 2283–2291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.12.024. * corresponding author : annececile.roger@unistra.fr

Page 19 of 19

1 2			
3 4 5	1 2 3	34.	Q. Liu, H. Yang, H. Dong, W. Zhang, B. Bian, Q. He, J. Yang, X. Meng, Z. Tian, G. Zhao, Effects of preparation method and Sm_2O_3 promoter on CO methanation by a mesoporous NiO– Sm_2O_3/Al_2O_3 catalyst. New J. Chem. 42 (2018) 13096–13106. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NI02282H
6 7 8	4 5	35.	S. Letichevsky, C.A. Tellez, R.R. de Avillez, M.I.P. da Silva, M.A. Fraga, L.G. Appel, Obtaining CeO_2 –ZrO ₂ mixed oxides by coprecipitation: role of preparation conditions, Appl. Catal. B-Environ., 58 (2005)
9	6		203–210, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2004.10.014.
10	7	36.	R. Bacani, T.S. Martins, M.C.A. Fantini, D.G. Lamas, Structural studies of mesoporous ZrO ₂ -CeO ₂ and
12	ð 0		$2rO_2$ -CeO ₂ /SiO ₂ mixed oxides for catalytical applications, J. Alloys Compd., 6/1 (2016) 396–402, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jallcom.2016.01.212
13	10	37.	H. Zhu, Z. Qin, W. Shan, W. Shen, J. Wang, Pd/CeO ₂ —TiO ₂ catalyst for CO exidation at low
14	11	571	temperature: a TPR study with H_2 and CO as reducing agents, J. Catal., 225 (2004) 267–277,
15	12		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2004.04.006.
16 17	13	38.	C. de Leitenburg, A. Trovarelli, J. Llorca, F. Cavani, G. Bini, The effect of doping CeO ₂ with zirconium in
18 19	14 15		the oxidation of isobutene, Appl. Catal. A-Gen., 139 (1996) 161–173, https://doi.org/10.1016/0926- 860X(95)00334-7.
20	16	39.	G. Bergeret, P. Gallezot, Particle Size and Dispersion Measurements, Chapter 3.1.2 Handbook of
21	17		Heterogeneous Catalysis, second ed., G. Ertl, H. Knözinger, F. Schüth, J. Weitkamp Editors, Wiley,
22	18		New York, 738-765 (2008).
24	19	40.	I. Champon, A. Bengaouer, A. Chaise, S. Thomas, A.C. Roger, Carbon dioxide methanation kinetic
25	20 21		model on a commercial NI/Al ₂ O ₃ catalyst, J. CO ₂ Utilization, 34 (2019)256-265, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.icou.2010.05.020
26	21	4 1	A Cárdenas-Arenas A Quindimil A Davó-Quiñonero E Bailón-García D Lozano-Castelló II De-La-
27	23		Torre, B. Pereda-Avo, J.A. González-Marcos, J.R. González-Velasco, A. Bueno-López, Isotopic and in
29	24		situ DRIFTS study of the CO ₂ methanation mechanism using Ni/CeO ₂ and Ni/Al ₂ O ₃ catalysts, Appl.
30	25		Catal. B Environ., 265 (2020) 118538-118561, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118538.
31	26	42.	B. Miao, S.S. Khine Ma, X. Wang, H. Su, S. Hwa Chan, Catalysis mechanisms of CO_2 and CO
32	27		methanation, Catal. Sci. Technol., 6 (2016) 4048–4058, https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CY00478D.
33 34	28	43.	F. Wang, S. He, H. Chen, B. Wang, L. Zheng, M. Wei, D.G. Evans, X. Duan, Active Site Dependent
35	29		Reaction Mechanism over Ru/CeO_2 Catalyst toward CO_2 Methanation, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 138 (2016)
36	30 21	4.4	6298–6305, https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6602762.
37	37	44.	CO ovidation Advanced Materials Research 95–98 (2017) 1033–1037
20 39	32		https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.1033-1034-95
40	34	45.	R. Razzag, C. Li, N. Amin, S. Zhang, K. Suzuki, Co-methanation of Carbon Oxides over Nickel-Based
41	35		Ce _x Zr _{1-x} O ₂ Catalysts, Energ. Fuels, 27 (2013) 6955–6961, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ef401049v</u> .
42	36	46.	G. Varvoutis, M. Lykaki, S. Stefa, V. Binas, G.E. Marnellos, M. Konsolakis, Deciphering the role of Ni
43 44	37		particle size and nickel-ceria interfacial perimeter in the low-temperature CO_2 methanation reaction
45	38		over remarkably active Ni/CeO ₂ nanorods, Appl. Catal. B-Environ., 297 (2021) 120401-120414,
46	39		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120401
47	40		TOC Graphic
48 49			
50			Different Syntheses
51			🗱 - 🗱 - 🔛 - CO/CO ₂
52			$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $
53 54			
55			H_2, CO, CO_2
56			
57 50	41		Co-electrolysis
50 59	∆ 2		
60	72		10
		۰۰ *	rresponding author : annececile.roger@unistra.fr
		0	