

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

The safety and conspicuity of pedestrian crossing at roundabouts: The effect of median refuge island and zebra markings

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Valeria Vignali, M.P. (2020). The safety and conspicuity of pedestrian crossing at roundabouts: The effect of median refuge island and zebra markings. TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PART F: TRAFFIC PSYCHOLOGY AND BEHAVIOUR, 68, 94-104 [10.1016/j.trf.2019.12.007].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/732425 since: 2024-07-05

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2019.12.007

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

The safety and conspicuity of pedestrian crossing at roundabouts: the effect of median refuge island and zebra markings

Valeria Vignali, Margherita Pazzini, Navid Ghasemi, Claudio Lantieri, Andrea Simone, Giulio Dondi

Department of Civil, Chemical, Environmental and Material Engineering (DICAM), University of Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 2, 40136, Bologna, Italy

Abstract

Roundabouts are one of the most used road intersections because, compared to signalized ones, they reduce conflict points between traffic flows and moderate driving speed. Great attention should also be paid to vulnerable road users at roundabouts. According to accident statistics, in fact, accessibility of pedestrians and cyclists is not always ensured.

- This paper has evaluated the effects on the visibility of pedestrian crossing before and after the displacement of zebra markings, moved before intersections, and the introduction of media refuge islands and "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical signs. The above effects have been assessed by before-after analysis of speed and visual behaviour of drivers approaching the crosswalk.
- Moreover, the analysis of the drivers' eye movements has highlighted the most salient elements of the pedestrian crossing. The relation between the drivers' visual behaviour and the vehicle speed have also been calculated. Results have confirmed that the intervention carried out has increased both visibility and safety of the studied pedestrian crosswalks.
- Zebra markings and the median refuge island have turned out to be the most glanced elements, respectively seen by 93.75% and 56.25% of the drivers, followed by the "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign. The mean distance of first fixation of the crosswalk increased from 21.98 m before the intervention, to 40.69 m after it. The drivers perceived the pedestrian crossings from a longer distance after the intervention, and they continued to glance at the crosswalk while approaching it, enhancing their visual attention.

9 Keywords:

Eye tracking; Pedestrians; Driver behavior; Roundabout; Median refuge island; Zebra markings; Urban road;
 Conspicuity; Road safety; Vertical sign.

64 **1 Introduction**

Road safety is influenced by road design and signalling which affect the drivers' perception of the external environment and the possible dangerous situations (Bucchi, Sangiorgi, & Vignali, 2012; Dondi, Simone, Lantieri, & Vignali, 2011). In recent years an increasing attention has been paid to traffic problems which could modify the drivers' cognitive and emotional condition (Chu, Wu, Atombo, Zhang, & Özkan, 2019). Driving is a complex situation, requiring a constant attention and prompt reactions to fast changes. During long trips, the drivers' behaviour might result into stressful responses due to an excessive cognitive workload (Ringhand & Vollrath, 2019).

72 In order to increase safety, fluidity of road traffic and to reduce the velocity, roundabouts are often built 73 especially in urban areas (Hydén & Várhelyi, 2000). They allow a higher entry capacity than grade intersections 74 and a reduction of conflict points, from 32 for a grade intersection, to 8 in case of a roundabout (Gross, Lyon, 75 Persaud, & Srinivasan, 2013; Turner, 2011). In addition to facilitating the traffic flow, roundabouts also reduce 76 the velocity and the drivers' stress (Hydén & Várhelyi, 2000). The negative aspects of roundabouts are linked 77 to crosswalks which reduce the roundabout capability and are critical points as far as pedestrian safety is 78 concerned (Bergman, Olstam, & Allström, 2011; Meneguzzer & Rossi, 2011; Vijayawargiya & Rokade, 2017). 79 Studies related to roundabout safety have generally focused on drivers, overlooking the importance of safety 80 of the vulnerable users, pedestrians and cyclists (Perdomo, Rezaei, Patterson, Saunier, & Miranda-Moreno, 81 2014). In urban areas, pedestrians need to cross at intersections, and zebra crossings are often present close 82 to access and exit ramps of roundabouts. Pedestrian crosswalks at roundabouts are useful for pedestrians 83 and increase safety. They should be placed in a proper way both to attract the maximum number of 84 pedestrians, who would otherwise cross the street at random, and to give drivers enough time to stop safely 85 (Cohen, Bar-Gera, Parmet and Ronen, 2013).

86 Recent studies have shown the importance of a correct design for pedestrian crossings at the intersections. 87 More attention should be paid to pedestrian safety, as the number of victims among people crossing at 88 intersections is constantly increasing year after year (Bungum, Day, & Henry, 2005; Olszewski, Szagała, 89 Wolański, and Zielińska, 2015). Literature does not suggest many countermeasures aimed at reducing the 90 problems for pedestrians crossing at roundabouts (Perdomo et al., 2014). Anyway, a significant reduction of 91 speed of vehicles in a complex road environment, such as collector roads provided with signalled intersections, 92 roundabouts, road circles or stop signs, would be highly recommended and it can only be obtained with 93 different multi-purpose countermeasures.

94 A refuge island, for example, makes the road narrower thus slowing the traffic. It also helps drivers to realize 95 that pedestrians are crossing the road. Pedestrians may also stop on a refuge island and cross the road in two 96 stages, increasing the attention paid at the traffic in both directions. Refuge islands are widely self-explaining, 97 and they immediately give the idea of a not fast traffic road (Leden, Gårder, & Johansson, 2006; Sanca, 2002). 98 Literature shows evidence of a significant speed reduction of vehicles in the presence of a refuge island (Fildes, 99 Fletcher e Corrigan, 1987; Kolsrud, 1985; Vey & Ferreri, 1968; Yagar e Van Aerde, 1983). Mako (2015) has 100 shown that implementation of refuge islands at pedestrian crossings has reduced the number of fatalities for 101 pedestrians by 64%. Without a refuge island the drivers' movement is 4% more irregular than in presence of 102 a refuge island. As for pedestrians, without a refuge island they tend to cross irregularly instead of waiting for 103 a vehicle to stop giving them the priority.

Curb extensions may also help vehicles to slow down while approaching a pedestrian crossing. Extensions of a sidewalk edge are commonly present along roads with parking areas on the lane side. These extensions increase the visibility of pedestrians and reduce the drivers' speed behaviour inducing prompt yielding (Hawley, Henson, Hulse, & Brindle, 1992; Huang & Cynecki, 2001; Macbeth, 1995; Replogle, 1992). Bella and Silvestri (2015) have proved that more than 80% of the drivers they tested clearly perceived the effectiveness of curb extensions. This means that, in presence of curb extensions, the drivers were much more prompt to yield since pedestrian crossings were better seen.

111 Prompt yielding is often the response to "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical signs. These are mounted on poles 112 on the right side at crosswalks or on supporting arms over the traffic lanes (Beeber, 2011). To improve their 113 visibility, LED flashes with an irregular flash pattern can be mounted, too. Van Houten, Ellis and Marmolejo 114 (2008) showed that LED flashers installed on simple pedestrian signs, increased the drivers' yielding and 115 reduced evasive manoeuvres as well as the number of pedestrians trapped in crosswalks at the centre of the 116 road without a refuge island. Sherbutt, Van Houten, Turner and Huitema (2009) carried out three different 117 experiments on the effects of flashing pedestrian vertical signs on drivers' behaviour. The results showed an 118 increase of yielding from 18.2% to 81.2%. Bram De Brabander Lode Vereeck have shown that the number of 119 accidents with serious injuries involving vulnerable road users increased at intersections with no signalization 120 before the roundabout.

A further countermeasure may be guardrails at roundabouts. These direct pedestrians to safe crossing areas and prevent bursting into the road (Retting et al., 2003). The main benefits of installing guardrails are channeling pedestrians to the crossing (Stewart, 2007) and making footpaths safer. Cohen, Bar-Gera, Parmet and Ronen (2013) have shown that the number of pedestrians jaywalking with no guardrails at a roundabout

exceeds 20-30% the number of pedestrians committing the same violation when guardrails are installed.

126 Although any countermeasures aiming at increasing safety of pedestrian crossings are very important, the 127 drivers' behaviour should also be taken into consideration. Getting closer to a roundabout, drivers are often 128 distracted and do not pay attention to the road environment, including crosswalks. Inattention of drivers causes 129 most of the accidents (Xu et al., 2018). Electronic and radio devices present inside the vehicle and used while 130 driving, in addition to other distractors including the road environment, are the main causes of the drivers' 131 inattention and carelessness (Oviedo-Trespalacios, Hague, King, & Washington, 2017). High speed of 132 vehicles approaching a roundabout along with drivers' lack of attention represent the main problems for the 133 safety of pedestrians crossing at roundabouts (Vijayawargiya & Rokade, 2017; Fortuijn, 2003; Gross et al., 134 2013). Speed reduction of vehicles is one of the key elements to reduce the probability of death of pedestrians 135 involved in an accident (Gonzalo-Orden, Pérez-Acebo, Unamunzaga, & Arce, 2018; Guo, Liu, Liang, & Wang, 136 2016; Hakkert, Gitelman, & Ben-Shabat, 2002; Haleem, Alluri, Gan, 2015; Kröyer, Jonsson, Várhelyi, 2014; 137 Rosén & Sander, 2009; Rosén, Stigson, Sander, 2011; Tefft, 2013; Zeeger & Bushell, 2012).

138 However, the present traffic safety laws (road safety measures) are not to be the only instrument capable of 139 reducing the number of accidents and fatalities (Ward et al., 2010). In fact, a road safety culture should be 140 established, both for drivers and pedestrians (Chu et al., 2019; Obeng-Atuah, Poku-Boansi, & Cobbinah, 141 2017). A road safety culture, deep-rooted in society, sounds like a long-term project not easy to be achieved, 142 anyway. On the contrary, road infrastructure, especially at crosswalks near roundabouts, might be immediately 143 improved in order to increase pedestrian safety and drivers' perception of the risk. Many studies with positive 144 results have been taken into account aiming at improving road safety while reducing drivers' speed in proximity 145 of pedestrian crossings (Bella & Silvestri, 2015; Gonzalo-Orden et al., 2018a). These studies usually rely on 146 motion parameters, such as the operating speed or the stopping distance. They do not consider the drivers' 147 behaviour in terms of detection and perception of crosswalk elements at the roundabout, whereas these 148 parameters are very important to assess the drivers' attention level and hazard anticipation. An eye-movement 149 recording tool can be very useful for this purpose since it allows a quantitative assessment of the drivers' risk 150 anticipation when approaching a roundabout (Costa, Bonetti, Bellelli, Lantieri, Vignali, & Simone, 2017; 151 Kapitaniak, Walczak, Kosobudzki, Józ'wiak, & Bortkiewicz, 2015; Taylor et al., 2013; Topolseket al., 2016; 152 Ghasemi et al., 2019).

153 Assessing the driver's vision may be useful to point out safe or unsafe behaviours on roads. Eve tracking is 154 used to evaluate the drivers' perception and acknowledgments of the road elements as well as to develop 155 driving strategies and prevent crashes. According to several studies, the drivers' visual inattention is 156 responsible for a large amount of traffic accidents (Bongiorno, Bosurgi, Pellegrino e Sollazzo, 2017; Costa, 157 Simone, Vignali, Lantieri, Bucchi, & Dondi, 2014; Costa, Bonetti, Vignali, Lantieri, & Simone, 2018; Costa, 158 Simone, Vignali, Lantieri, & Palena, 2018; Costa et al., 2019; Di Flumeri et al., 2018; Inman, 2012; Kapitaniak 159 et al., 2015; Lantieri et al., 2015; Mantuano, Bernardi, & Rupi, 2017; Vignali et al., 2019). In order to prevent 160 those accidents, some studies have been carried out about the driving behaviour using the mobile eye tracking 161 tool. This methodology is particularly interesting when analysing the driver-pedestrian interaction. Only a few 162 studies have applied this method by now (Trefzger, Blascheck, Raschke, Hausmann, & Schlegel, 2018) most 163 of which take only the pedestrian behaviour into consideration (Biassoni, Confalonieri & Ciceri, 2018; Bock, 164 Brustio & Borisova, 2015; Davoudian & Raynham, 2012; Fotios, Uttley, & Hara, 2013; Trefzger et al., 2018; 165 Zito et al., 2015). An exam of the drivers' behaviour when approaching a crosswalk was carried out by Ciceri, 166 Ruscio, Confalonieri, Vangi and Virga (2013). They set different road situations and the outcome was that a 167 complex street environment, with a lot of road signs, resulted into a lack of attention from the driver towards 168 the pedestrian. The driver, in fact, realized the movement of pedestrians on the crosswalks guite late.

Moreover, using the eye tracking measurements Grüner et al. (2017) studied the difference between the driver's behaviour in urban and rural roads. The results showed a higher number of driver's eye movements in residential areas compared to city roads. This means that the less the traffic is, the higher the driver's expectation of a careless behaviour of pedestrians when crossing roads will be.

In addition to this, according to the studies carried out by Dukic, Ahlstrom, Patten, Kettwich and Kircher (2013) and Maxera, Kledus and Semela (2015), eye tracking measurement proved that drivers always detected pedestrians late when driving at night. Increasing size and visibility of an object, making it more illuminated and salient for example, proved to be useful to avoid the problem. This can be applied both to objects and pedestrians by using different marking patterns (Muttart, Dinakar, Vandenberg, & Yosko, 2016; Crescenzo et al., 2019).

179 Using a simulator, Fisher and Garay-Vega (2012) compared the drivers' behaviour at crosswalks signalized 180 by mid-blocks, advanced yield markings and "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical signs to crosswalks showing 181 just standard markings. In the former situations the drivers' behaviour consistently changed reducing 182 pedestrian-vehicle crashes and increasing the drivers' attention towards pedestrians. The distance at which a 183 pedestrian was first seen increased and the drivers performed a prompt yielding. Gómez et al. (2013) 184 confirmed the above issues. With advance yielding markings fewer accidents occurred and drivers payed a 185 higher attention to pedestrians. Most of these studies, anyway, used eye-movement tracking with simulators 186 but not in real traffic environments. Moreover, while using the same methodology, these research studies have 187 analysed the detection of pedestrians by drivers but only few of them focused the attention on factors improving

188 the real crosswalk conspicuity.

189 The aim of the present study, on the contrary, was a before-after evaluation of a combined intervention on 190 pedestrian crossings near roundabouts in a real road context, assessing both vehicle speed and eye-191 movements approaching a sequence of crosswalks before and after the intervention.

Four crosswalks were included in the study. Specifically, the crosswalks were moved further before the intersection, median islands were added, and a "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign was added. This intervention at roundabouts is of simple installation and it may be of high effectiveness on the drivers' behaviour.

197 2. Materials and methods

198 2.1 The experimental protocol

Ten drivers, 3 males (M_{age} = 28.87 years, range: 23–39, SD = 8.96) and 7 females (M_{age} = 35.86 years, range: 200 25–52, SD = 10.79), were recruited and involved on a voluntary basis in this study. They had normal vision 201 and none of them wore eyeglasses or lenses, to avoid artefacts in eye-movement monitoring. All participants 202 had a Category-B driving license (for cars) and no prior driving experience on the road segment object of study, 203 in order to control the effect of familiarization with the road environment.

204 The experiment was conducted following the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, as 205 revised in 2000. Informed consent and authorization to use the video graphical material were obtained from 206 each subject on paper, after the explanation of the study. One car was used for the experiment, with diesel 207 engine and manual transmission. The subjects had to drive the car along a circuit designed to include the four 208 pedestrian crossings object of study placed along the routes via Testi and via Fornarina, a single carriagewaytwo lanes road, located in Faenza in the north of Italy, in the Emilia Romagna region. These two roads connect 209 210 the centre of the town to its suburbs. The circuit was 1.52 km long, with a width of about 9.00 m (two 3.00 m 211 wide lanes and one 1.50 m wide sidewalk) and the speed limit was fixed at 50 km/h (Fig. 1). The route also 212 included two mini roundabouts, spaced at 340 m, the first one located between via Testi and via Cesarolo and 213 the second one between via Fornarina and via Saviotti. The pedestrian crossings were placed on straight 214 sections before and after the two roundabouts, at an average mutual distance of about 22 m.

215





Figure 1. Outline of the experimental route. In red the mini roundabouts object of study.

The route was characterized by the highest number of accidents in the Province of Faenza in the years 2009-2011, with 12 injured, number which involved very high social costs. The main accident causes were the drivers' distraction and high-speed driving which increased both vulnerability of weak users and car-pedestrian crashes. To solve this problem, different safety countermeasures had been installed in order to slow down vehicles approaching the four pedestrian crossings object of study.

Before the works, all crosswalks had white zebra markings, with stripes which were 1.50 m long, 0.50 m wide and spaced 0.50 m from each other according to the Italian Highway Code (Ministry of Infrastructures and Transports, 1992). These zebra markings were positioned after the roundabout stop line. Only two of them had standard vertical "Yield here to pedestrians" signs, one for each side, placed on the sidewalk of the road in proximity of the markings (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Pedestrian crossing design before the works.

After the works, all crosswalks were characterized by (Fig. 3):

- median refuge island, allowing a safer and easier two-stage crossing for pedestrians. According to the Italian Highway Code (Ministry of Infrastructures and Transports, 1992), it was 4 m long and it had a continuous boundary marking and a 0.10 m high curb. A yellow reflective obstacle delineator, coupled with the sign "passage allowed to the right", was installed on the curb nose;
- white zebra markings, which were moved in advance of the intersection and positioned 10 m before the roundabout stop line, in order to increase pedestrian safety with vehicles approaching the intersection;
- kerb ramps, improving mobility of people with disability, on both sides of the road;
- "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical signs, on the right side of the road, one on each side.



230 231

232 233

244 245

Figure 3. Pedestrian crossing design after the works.

Apart from the introduction of median refuge island, zebra markings displacement in advance of the intersection, and the improvement of "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign, the design of pedestrian crossings was the same as before. Each subject had to repeat the driving task two times on different days, before and after the works (Fig. 4).

Data collection started at 9 a.m. and finished at 1 p.m. on two different days, always in summer, in a period with low traffic and good meteorological conditions. The two driving tasks were conducted in the same conditions in terms of weather, visibility conditions and traffic driving scenario. In this study the pedestrian presence near the crosswalk was not considered.

Participants didn't know the route in advance. At the beginning of the "after" study, participants were
 asked whether they remembered of the "before" task and nobody identified any elements of the route.



Figure 4. Overview of the experimental protocol, consisting of two driving tasks, 1.5 km from via Testi to the end of via Fornarina, performed before and after the works.

During the whole experimentation, an ET device recorded the eye gazes while a professional device mounted on the car (a Video VBOX Pro) detected data about the drivers' behavior. Eye movements of participants were recorded through an ASL Mobile Eye-XG device (ET), a system based on lightweight eyeglasses equipped with two digital high-resolution cameras, one recording the right eye movements, and the second one recording the visual scene. Not to obscure the normal field of view of the drivers, a mirror capable of reflecting the infrared light was installed in the eye camera recording the activity of the right eye. As already tested in Costa et al. (2014), the sampling rate for the eye-movement recording was 30 Hz (33 ms time resolution) with an accuracy of 0.5÷1° (approximating the angular width of the fovea).

270 A preliminary calibration procedure was carried out for each subject inside the car before starting driving, 271 asking them to fix their gaze on thirty fixed visual points spread across the whole scene, in order to get a good 272 accuracy of the eye movement recorder. A video for each participant was created using the ASL software with 273 a cross superimposed to the scene showing the eye fixations. This allowed researchers to detect the sequence 274 of points of the scene fixed by the driver. The car was equipped with a Video VBOX Pro (Racelogic Ltd), a 275 system able to continuously monitor the cinematic parameters of the car, integrated with GPS data and videos 276 from four high-resolution cameras. The system was fixed inside the car, in the center of the back floor, in order 277 to put it as close as possible to the car barycentre, while two cameras were fixed over the top of the car. The 278 system recorded speed (accuracy: 0.1 km/h), acceleration (1% accuracy), and distance with a 20 Hz sample 279 rate. The ET and the Video VBOX Pro devices were installed on the back seats of the car, monitored by one 280of the researchers, who was asked not to talk to the driver except for giving instructions about the direction or 281 assistance in case of necessity. 282

283 2.2 Performed analysis

284 The performed analysis aimed to evaluate the effect on safety and visibility of the studied pedestrian crossings 285 produced by the introduction of median refuge island, the displacement of zebra markings in advance of the 286 intersection, and the improvement of "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign. To this end, both vehicle speed 287 and drivers' eye-movements approaching crosswalks were analysed and compared before and after the works. 288 The recording of driver's eye-movement allowed an assessment of the more salient visual elements along the 289 road and near the pedestrian crossing as well as an evaluation of the driver's visual behaviour related to the 290 vehicle speed. The experimental route was a back-and-forth trip, so each of the four crosswalks was crossed 291 twice and the average value between the two directions for each crosswalk was taken into consideration.

292 A before-after operating speed comparison is commonly used to evaluate the safety of a road modification 293 (World Road Association, 2003). Therefore, in the present study, the Video VBOX Pro output video was 294 analysed for each participant before and after the works in order to evaluate the operating speed. The ET 295 video, on the contrary, was analysed frame-by-frame, in order to verify the target fixed by each participant. 296 The targets under analysis were "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign, zebra markings and median refuge 297 island (only in the after-intervention condition). For each target the number of fixations and the duration of 298 fixation were computed, multiplying by 33 ms the number of frames in which a single target object was fixated. 299 An object was considered as fixated when it was fixed for a minimum duration of two frames (66 ms), as 300 defined by the intersection area of the cross on the video (Fig. 5). The threshold of 66 ms, which is lower in 301 comparison to a common filtering of 100 ms or higher as usually found in eye-tracking studies (Holmqvist et 302 al., 2015), was dictated by the specific setting of this study that involved the recording of eye movements while driving. Although lower values are shown in literature (Velichkovsky et al., 2000; Domhoefer et al., 2000; Sodhi 303 304 et al., 2002), Lantieri et al. (2015), Costa et al. (2018) and Costa et al. (2018) reported that in real traffic 305 situations, that are highly dynamic driving contexts, fixation duration is much lower than in other contexts or in

306 experimental settings. In a real driving setting with a dynamic visual scene, as in the case of the present study, 307 rapid fixations may occur. Since the distribution of fixation duration is positively skewed and not normal, 308 medians are reported instead of means (Costa, Bonetti, et al., 2018; Costa, Simone, et al., 2018). For each 309 studied pedestrian crossing target the distance of first fixation was computed, considering any element of the 310 crosswalk (zebra markings, "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign, or median refuge island). The first fixation 311 for each crosswalk was assessed thanks to the synchronization of the speed data and the ET data, obtained 312 by the methodology used in Costa, Bonetti et al. (2018) and in Costa, Simone et al. (2018) (Fig. 5). The 313 obtained values were compared to the operative stopping distance which was computed using a mathematical 314 equation in accordance with the Italian regulations (Ministry of Infrastructures and Transports, 2001). The 315 operative stopping distance depended on the travelling speed (the vehicle speed at the first-fixation position), 316 on coefficient of available friction, and on road average longitudinal slope (4%).

In order to avoid conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians entering the road area from any side of it, the first fixation distance should be longer than the operative stopping distance so that the driver has enough space for a prompt yielding. The comparison between the distance of first fixation and the operative stopping distance allows a correct evaluation of the yielding space under safe conditions (World Road Association, 2003; Jurecki et al., 2014). When the distance of first fixation was shorter than the stopping distance, the driver's behaviour was classified as "unsafe", while when the distance of first fixation of the crosswalk was longer than the operative stopping distance the driver's behaviour was considered as "safe".

To assess the behaviour of drivers in the two situations before and after the combined intervention of pedestrian crossings near the roundabouts, univariate ANOVA was used. The parameters that were evaluated with the univariate ANOVA are the difference in median fixation duration of each pedestrian crossing element, the distance of first fixation of crosswalks and the operating stopping distance at each single crosswalk and for each participant.



Figure 5. Synchronization of the ASL eye-tracking mobile video output with the VBOX PRO video output.

333334 3. Results

The Video VBOX Pro results showed that the drivers' average speed when approaching the crosswalk (0 m distance condition) was 32.64 km/h (SD = 6.35, N = 74) before and 27.04 km/h (SD = 9.19, N = 48) after the intervention, with a reduction of 5.6 km/h. An ANOVA tested a significant difference: F (1, 138) = 2.97, p = .04, $\eta^2 = 0.02$.

Figure 6 shows the results of the comparison between the percentage of drivers that looked at zebra markings, at "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign and at median refuge island, before and after the works.

341 The results were determined by 80 observations (10 participants \times 4 crosswalks \times 2 sides).

342 The performed statistical analysis revealed a significant increasing of drivers that looked at zebra markings

343 (+31.25%, χ^2 = 7.11, p = .002) and at "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign (+8.75%, χ^2 = 6.32, p = .002).

After the intervention 56.25% of drivers glanced at median refuge island which was not part of the crosswalk design before the works.

346

331



Figure 6. Percentage of drivers that looked at zebra markings, at "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign and at median refuge island, before and after the works, N = 80 observations (10 participants × 4 crosswalks × 2 sides)

351

Univariate ANOVAs were applied in order to test the difference in median fixation duration of each pedestrian crossing element before and after the works (Figure 7). The difference was significant for "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign: F(1, 27) = 4.02, p = .02, $\eta^2 = 0.12$. The average fixation time was 150 ms (SD = 46) before and 300 ms (SD = 200) after the works.

The difference was also significant for zebra markings: F(1, 62) = 9.17, p = .002, $\eta^2 = 0.12$. Before the median fixation was 267 ms (SD = 122), after the works it increased to 700 ms (SD = 317).

The average fixation time at the median refuge island was 700 ms (SD = 62).

359 360



Figure 7. Before-after analysis of median fixation duration at the pedestrian crossing elements (standard deviation and number of observations are reported between parentheses).

Univariate ANOVAs were also applied in order to test and compare distance of first fixation of the crosswalks in before and after conditions. The mean distance increased from 21.98 m (SD = 16.76, N = 48) to 40.69 m (SD = 19.66, N = 73), showing a significant difference: F (1, 85) = 108.19, p < .001, η^2 = 0.37.

The operative stopping distance at each single crosswalk and for each participant was tested using ANOVA. The average operative stopping distance before was 39.30 m (SD = 17.22), while after the works it was 34.42 m (SD = 13.97), with a significant difference: F (1, 73) = 3.59, p = .02, η^2 = 0.04.

371

362

363

- 372 Obtained values showed that before the intervention 78.1% of the cases were "unsafe", with operative stopping
- distances far exceeding the distance of first-fixation. After the intervention the "unsafe" cases decreased to
- 374 30.1%, with a significant reduction of 48.0%. The difference was tested by a Chi-square test as follows: χ^2 =
- 18.02, p < .001. After the zebra markings displacement and the installation of the median refuge island and of
- the "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign the crosswalk conspicuity and visibility increased and the drivers'
- first-fixation was at a distance that allowed a safe stop in case of pedestrians entering the crossing area.
 At the moment of first fixation of the crosswalk, the drivers' mean speed changed was 37.94 km/h (SD = 10.91,
- N = 74) before and 31.03 km/h (SD = 11.25, N = 48) after the works, with a reduction of 7 km/h. The difference was significant: F (1, 85) = 4.73, p = .02, $\eta^2 = 0.04$.
- The effect of driving speed on first-fixation distance was tested with a linear regression considering operating speed as independent variable and distance as dependent variable. The regression value was significant: t = 2.004, p < 0.001, R² = 0.067. The standardized coefficient between the two variables amounted to β = 0.21, showing that the less speed was the longer the distance at which the drivers saw the crosswalk was
- 384 showing that the less speed was, the longer the distance at which the drivers saw the crosswalk was. 385

386 **4. Discussion**

- 387 In the present study different engineering countermeasures, aimed to increase conspicuity and visibility of 388 pedestrian crossings at roundabouts, have been tested in order to assess their impact on road safety. These 389 countermeasures included installation of a median refuge island, displacement of zebra markings in advance 390 of the intersection, and placement of "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical signs. The safety evaluation was 391 performed by a before-after analysis of both speed and drivers' visual behaviour approaching the crosswalks 392 in a real road experimental setting. All obtained results confirmed that adopted countermeasures increased 393 conspicuity and safety at pedestrian crosswalks, because drivers' attention to the road increased and the 394 speed decreased accordingly. The analysis of the drivers' eye movements was very useful to assess the 395 visibility of pedestrian crossings as well as to study the drivers' behaviour and the data obtained may help to 396 improve the crosswalk design in order to prevent accidents.
- Statistical analysis of the number and duration of fixations confirmed that they were significantly higher after the new elements had been installed near the crosswalks. The drivers' attention focused on the roadway with a decrease of distraction caused by the surrounding road environment. According to Bichicchi et al. (2017), zebra markings and median refuge island were the best perceived elements by all drivers, with a median fixation duration respectively of 700 ms, followed by "Yield here to pedestrians" vertical sign (300 ms).
- The elements near the centre of the road were fixated longer than the vertical sign, probably because of their position and their angular distance from the line straight ahead the driver. This was also confirmed by Costa et al. (2014, 2018) and by Yuan et al. (2011), who found that vertical signs, falling outside the foveal visual field of the driver, required specific saccadic movements or peripheral vision to be seen. The more the angular distance increased, the poorer the visibility was, since the sign was seen at a shorter distance. On the contrary, zebra markings and median refuge island were placed on the road, directly in front of the drivers, and so they had a higher effectiveness in influencing the drivers' behaviour.
- 409 These data are more significant considering that participants had never driven along the study route before. 410 Previous studies, in fact, have shown that novice drivers have a longer eye-fixation duration than expert 411 drivers, but the fixation location is differed between novice and expert drivers. Novice drivers tend to focus on 412 roadside longer than expert drivers, to determine the position of their vehicles (Shinohara and Nishizaki, 2017; 413 Mourant and Rockwell, 1972; Satoh, 1993; Laya, 1992). Drivers who are familiar with the route spend more 414 time looking ahead and can better detect events that may lead to situations that affect traffic flow or cause 415 collisions. The results lend support to the hypothesis that the peripheral area of the eye is used to monitor 416 other vehicles and the road lane markers in order to direct the fovea for closer examinations when the situation 417 demands it (Shinohara and Nishizaki, 2017).
- 418 After the intervention all the drivers detected the crosswalks in advance, since the mean distance of first fixation 419 of crosswalk increased accordingly. They were seen at a longer distance increasing hazard anticipation and 420 detection. Before the works, drivers saw the crosswalk at a very shorter distance (21.98 m), which didn't allow 421 them to adjust speed and slow down their velocity. After the countermeasures, drivers perceived the pedestrian 422 crossings from a longer distance (40.69 m), and they continued to glance at the crosswalks while approaching 423 them, enhancing their visual attention. This was due also to the average speed reduction approaching the 424 crosswalk. After the works, in fact, the drivers perceived in advance the crosswalk presence and therefore they 425 decelerated earlier reducing the probability of fatal accidents. The drivers' average speed reduction was of 5.6
- 425 decelerated earlier reducing the probability of 426 km/h after the intervention.
 - The lower the speed, the longer the distance of crosswalks detection was. At a low speed the driver may tend to look at and monitor the road more carefully than at a high speed, better perceiving any critical element placed ahead. The longer the distance of first fixation of crosswalk, the longer the operative stopping distance of the drivers was. After the works, a reduction of 48.0% of the "unsafe" cases were obtained.
 - 431 As said above, these data are very interesting considering that participants had never driven the study route 432 before.
 - 433 Several previous studies, in fact, have found that familiarity with the driving situation has a great influence on
 - 434 the driving speed. Expert drivers tend to drive faster than the novice drivers and, under increased speed

- 435 conditions, subject tended to fixate relevant items near the centre of the road with increased frequency
- 436 (Spijkers, 1992). Drivers detect fewer elements in the central visual field when driving slowly and they detect
- 437 fewer elements in peripheral vision when driving fast (Kayser and Hess, 1991; Miura, 1985 and 1987; Rogé et
- 438 al, 2004).

443

- 439 A relatively small sample of drivers and situations was considered in this study and future studies will test the 440 effects of a similar intervention on a larger sample. Nevertheless, the significant variations in the drivers'
- 441 behaviour recorded after the works were particularly remarkable in terms of crosswalk visibility and conspicuity.
- 442 Future researches might evaluate drivers' behaviour in the presence of a pedestrian on the crosswalk area.

444 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Municipality of Faenza. The authors thank Davide Parmeggiani and Patrizia Barchi for their support in this study.

447448 References

- 449 Beeber, J. (2011). Maximizing safety at signalized intersections through increased yellow and all-red signal 450 phases. Los Angeles: Safer Streets.
- Bella, F., & Silvestri, M. (2015). Effects of safety measures on driver's speed behaviour at pedestrian crossings.
 Accident Analysis and Prevention, 83, 111–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.07.016.
- 453 Bergman, A., Olstam, J., & Allström, A. (2011). Analytical traffic models for roundabouts with pedestrian 454 crossings. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 16, 697–708. 455 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.04.489.
- 456 Biassoni, M., Confalonieri, F., & Ciceri, R. (2018). Visual exploration of pedestrian crossings by adults and
- 457 children: Comparison of strategies. Transportation Research Part F, 56, 227–235. 458 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2018.04.009.
- Bichicchi, A., Mazzotta, F., Lantieri, C., Vignali, V., Simone, A., Dondi, G. & Costa, M. (2017). The influence of
 pedestrian crossings features on driving behavior and road safety. Proceedings of AIIT International Congress
 TIS Rome 2017, April 10-12, Rome, https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315281896-96.
- 462 Bock, O., Brustio, P. R., & Borisova, S. (2015). Age-related differences of the gaze pattern in a realistic 463 pedestrian traffic task. International Journal of Applied Psychology, 5, 13–19. 464 https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijap.20150501.03.
- 465 Bongiorno, N., Bosurgi, G., Pellegrino, O., & Sollazzo, G. (2017). How is the driver's workload influenced by 466 the road environment? Procedia Engineering, 187, 5–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.04.343.
- Bucchi, A., Sangiorgi, C., & Vignali, V. (2012). Traffic Psychology and Driver Behavior. Procedia Social and
 Behavioral Sciences, 53, 972–979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.946.
- 469 Bungum, T. J., Day, C., & Henry, L. J. (2005). The association of distraction and caution displayed by 470 pedestrians at a lighted crosswalk. Journal of Community Health, 30(4), 269–279. 471 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-005-3705-4.
- 472 Ciceri, M., Ruscio, D., Confalonieri, F., Vangi, D., Virga, A. (2013). Hazard detection in driving context and 473 braking reaction time. In Proceedings of the 22nd EVU annual congress. Florence, 17–19 October.
- 474 Chu, W., Wu, C., Atombo, C., Zhang, H., & Özkan, T. (2019). Traffic climate, driver behaviour, and accidents 475 involvement in China. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 122(1178), 119–126. 476 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2018.09.007.
- Cohen, Bar-Gera, Parmet, Ronen (2013). Guardrail influence on pedestrian crossing behavior at roundabouts.
 Accident Analysis and Prevention 59 (2013) 452– 458
- 479 Costa, M., Bichicchi, A., Nese, M., Lantieri, C., Vignali, V. & Simone, A. (2019). T-junction priority scheme and 480 road user's yielding behavior. Transportation Research Part F, 60, 770–782,
- 481 https://doi.org/0.1016/j.trf.2018.12.009.
- 482 Costa, M., Bonetti, L., Vignali, V., Bichicchi, A., Lantieri, C. & Simone, A. (2019). Driver's visual attention to
 483 di□erent categories of roadside advertising signs. Applied Ergonomics, 78, 127–136,
 484 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2019.03.001.
- 485 Costa, M., Bonetti, L., Bellelli, M., Lantieri, C., Vignali, V., & Simone, A. (2017). Reflective tape applied to 486 bicycle frame and conspicuity enhancement at night. Human Factors, 59, 485–500. 487 https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720816677145.
- 488 Costa, M., Bonetti, L., Vignali, V., Lantieri, C., & Simone, A. (2018). The role of peripheral vision in vertical 489 road sign identification and discrimination. Ergonomics. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2018.1508756.
- 490 Costa, M., Simone, A., Vignali, V., Lantieri, C., Bucchi, A., & Dondi, G. (2014). Looking behaviour for vertical 491 road signs. Transportation Research Part F, 23, 147–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2014.01.003.
- 492 Costa, M., Simone, A., Vignali, V., Lantieri, C., & Palena, N. (2018). Fixation distance and fixation duration to
- 493 vertical road signs. Applied Ergonomics, 69, 48–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2017.12.017.
- 494 Crescenzo G., Villa C., Brémond R., Vignali V., Lantieri C., & Simone A. (2019). The shape of road markings
- 495 for visibility computation. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Transport, DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-
- 496 12-811926-6.00020-8;

- 497 Davoudian, N., & Raynham, P. (2012). What do pedestrians look at night? Lighting, Research & Technology,
 498 44, 438–448. https://doi.org/10.1177/1477153512437157.
- 499 Di Flumeri, G., Borghini, G., Aricò, P., Sciaraffa, N., Lanzi, P., Pozzi, S., Vignali, V., Lantieri, C., Bichicchi, A.,
- 500 Simone, A. & Babiloni, F. (2018). EEG-based mental workload neurometric to evaluate the impact of different 501 traffic and road conditions in real driving settings. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 12, 1-18, 502 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00509.
- 503 Dondi, G., Simone, A., Lantieri, C., & Vignali, V. (2011). Bike lane design: The context sensitive approach. 504 Procedia Engineering, 21, 897–906. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.2092.
- 505 Dukic, T., Ahlstrom, C., Patten, C., Kettwich, C., & Kircher, K. (2013). Effects of electronic billboards on driver 506 distraction. Traffic Injury Prevention, 14(5), 469–476. https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2012.731546.
- 507 Fildes, B.N., Fletcher, M.R., Corrigan, J.M, 1987. Speed perception: Drivers' judgements of safety and speed 508 on urban and rural straight roads. Report CR 54. Department of Transport & Communication. Canberra, 509 Australia.
- Fisher, D., & Garay-Vega, L. (2012). Advance yield markings and drivers' performance in response to multiplethreat scenarios at mid-block crosswalks. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 44, 35–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.11.030.
- 513 Fortuijn, L. G. H. (2003). Pedestrian and Bicycle-Friendly Roundabouts; Dilemma of Comfort and Safety. 514 Annual Meeting 2003 of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), 1–20.
- 515 Fotios, S., Uttley, J., Hara, N. 2013. Critical pedestrian tasks: Using eye-tracking within a dual task paradigm. 516 In Proceedings of the CIE centenary conference "Towards a New Century of Light". Paris, 15–16 April.
- 517 Ghasemi N., Acerra E., Vignali V., Lantieri C., Simone A., Imine H. (2019). Road safety review update by using 518 innovative technologies to investigate driver behaviour. AIIT 2nd International Congress on Transport
- 519 Infrastructure and Systems in a changing world (TIS ROMA 2019), 23-24 September 2019, Rome, Italy;
- 520 Gómez, R. A., Siby, S., Romoser, M. R. E., Gerardino, L. R., Knodler, M., Collura, J., & Fisher, D. L. (2013). 521 Proceedings of the 16th Road Safety on Four Continents Conference, Beijing.
- 522 Gonzalo-Orden, H., Pérez-Acebo, H., Unamunzaga, A. L., & Arce, M. R. (2018a). Effects of traffic calming 523 measures in different urban areas. Transportation Research Procedia, 33, 83–90. 524 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2018.10.079.
- 525 Gonzalo-Orden, H., Pérez-Acebo, H., Unamunzaga, A. L., & Arce, M. R. (2018b). Effects of traffic calming 526 measures in different urban areas. Transportation Research Procedia, 33, 83–90. 527 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2018.10.079.
- 528 Gross, F., Lyon, C., Persaud, B., & Srinivasan, R. (2013). Safety effectiveness of converting signalized 529 intersections to roundabouts. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 50, 234–241. 530 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2012.04.012.
- Grüner, M., & Ansorge, U. (2017). Mobile eye tracking during real-world night driving. Journal of Eye Movement
 Research, 10(2), 1–18 https://doi.org/10. 16910/jemr.10.2.1.
- 533 Guo, Y., Liu, P., Liang, Q., & Wang, W. (2016). Effects of parallelogram-shaped pavement markings on vehicle 534 speed and safety of pedestrian crosswalks on urban roads in China. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 95, 535 438–447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.07.001.
- Hakkert, A. S., Gitelman, V., & Ben-Shabat, E. (2002). An evaluation of crosswalk warning systems: Effects
 on pedestrian and vehicle behaviour. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 5(4),
 275–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-8478(02)00033-5.
- 539 Haleem, K., Alluri, P., & Gan, A. (2015). Analysing pedestrian crash injury severity at signalized and non-540 signalized locations. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 81, 14–23.
- Hawley, L., Henson, C., Hulse, A., Brindle, R., 1992. Towards traffic calming: a practitioners' manual of
 implemented local area traffic management and blackspot devices. Publication No. CR 126. Federal Office of
 Road Safety. Canberra, Australia.
- Holmqvist, K., Nystrom, M., Andersson, R., Dewhurst, R., Jarodzka, H., & van De Weijer, J. (2015). Eye tracking: A comprehensive guide to methods and measures. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Huang, H., Cynecki, M., 2001. The effects of traffic calming measures on pedestrian and motorist behavior.
 Federal Highway Administration, FHWA-RD-00- 104.
- Hydén, C., & Várhelyi, A. (2000). The effects on safety, time consumption and environment of large scale use
 of roundabouts in an urban area: A case study. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 32(1), 11–23.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-4575(99)00044-5.
- 551 Inman, V. W., 2012. Conspicuity of traffic signs assessed by eye tracking and immediate recall. In Proceedings 552 of the human factors and ergonomics society, 56th annual meeting, p. 2251–2255.
- 553 Jurecki, R. S., & Stanczyk, T. L. (2014). Driver reaction time to lateral entering pedestrian in a simulated crash 554 traffic situation. Transportation Research Part F, 27, 22–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2014.08.006.
- Kapitaniak, B., Walczak, M., Kosobudzki, M., Jóź wiak, Z., & Bortkiewicz, A. (2015). Application of eye tracking
 in drivers testing: A review of research. International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental
 Health, 28, 941–954 https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh.1896.00317.
- 558 Kayser, H. J., & Hess, M. (1991). The dependency of drivers viewing behaviour on speed and street 559 environment structure. In A. G. Gale (Ed.), Vision in vehicles III. North-Holland: Elsevier Publishers

- Kolsrud, B., 1985. Speeds in rural traffic: The influence of various factors on car speeds on straight level roads.
 VTI Meddelande 390, Sweden.
- 562 Kröyer, H. R. G., Jonsson, T., & Várhelyi, A. (2014). Relative fatality risk curve to describe the effect of change
- in the impact speed on fatality risk of pedestrians struck by a motor vehicle. Accident Analysis and Prevention,
 62, 143–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.09.007.
- Lantieri, C., Lamperti, R., Simone, A., Costa, M., Vignali, V., Sangiorgi, C., & Dondi, G. (2015). Gateway design assessment in the transition from high to low speed areas. Transportation Research Part F, 34, 41–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2015.07.017.
- Laya (1992). Eye Movements in Actual and Simulated Curve Negotiation Task. ITASS Research, 16(1), 15-26.
- Leden, L., Gårder, P., & Johansson, C. (2006). Safe pedestrian crossings for children and elderly. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 38, 289–294. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aap.2005.09.012.
- 572 Macbeth, A., 1995. Balliol Street, City of Toronto. Traffic engineering committee workshop. In Proceedings of 573 the Ontario traffic conference, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
- 574 Mako, E. (2015). Evaluation of human behaviour at pedestrian crossings. 2015 6th IEEE International 575 Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom), 443–447. 576 https://doi.org/10.1109/CogInfoCom.2015.7390634.
- 577 Mantuano, A., Bernardi, S., & Rupi, F. (2017). Cyclist gaze behavior in urban space: An eye-tracking 578 experiment on the bicycle network of Bologna. Case Studies on Transport Policy, 5, 408–416. 579 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2016.06.001.
- 580 Maxera, P., Kledus, R., Semela, M., 2015. Analysis of drivers' conduct while driving over pedestrian crossing 581 by using eye-tracking method. In Proceedings of the international scientific conference modern safety 582 technologies in transportation. Košice, Slovakia.
- 583 Meneguzzer, C., & Rossi, R. (2011). Evaluating the impact of pedestrian crossings on roundabout entry 584 capacity. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 20, 69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.08.012. 585 Ministry of Infrastructures and Transports, 1992. Codice della strada [Highway code]. Rome (in Italian).
- 586 Ministry of Infrastructures and Transports, 2001. Decreto Ministeriale del 5/11/2001 Norme funzionali e 587 geometriche per la costruzione delle strade. Rome (in Italian).
- 588 Miura, T. (1985). What is the narrowing of visual field with the increase of speed? Paper presented at the 10th congress of the international association for accident and traffic medicine.
- 590 Miura, T. (1987). Behavior orientation vision: Functional field of view and processing resources. In J. K.
- 591 OReagan & A. Le'vy-Schoen (Eds.), Eye movements: From physiology to cognition. Elsevier Publishers
- Mourant, Rockwell (1972) Strategies of Visual Search by Novice and Experiencedb Drivers, Human Factors.
 The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, 14-4, 325-335
- 594 Muttart, J. W., Dinakar, S., Vandenberg, G., & Yosko, M. (2016). The influence of driver expectation when 595 recognizing lighted targets at nighttime. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society. 596 https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213601111.
- 597 Obeng-Atuah, D., Poku-Boansi, M., & Cobbinah, P. B. (2017). Pedestrian crossing in urban Ghana: Safety 598 implications. Journal of Transport and Health, 5, 55–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2016.06.007.
- 599 Olszewski, P., Szagała, P., Wolański, M., & Zielińska, A. (2015). Pedestrian fatality risk in accidents at 600 unsignalized zebra crosswalks in Poland. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 84, 83–91. 601 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.08.008.
- 602 Oviedo-Trespalacios, O., Haque, M. M., King, M., & Washington, S. (2017). Effects of road infrastructure and
- traffic complexity in speed adaptation behaviour of distracted drivers. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 101,
 67–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2017.01.018.
- Perdomo, M., Rezaei, A., Patterson, Z., Saunier, N., & Miranda-Moreno, L. F. (2014). Pedestrian preferences
 with respect to roundabouts A video-based stated preference survey. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 70,
 84–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2014.03.010.
- Replogle, M., 1992. Bicycle and pedestrian policies and programs in Asia, Australia, and New Zealand: Case Study 17. National Bicycling and Walking Study. Report No. FHWA-PD-93-016. Federal Highway
- 610 Administration. Washington, DC.
- 611 Retting, R.A., Ferguson, S.A., McCartt, A.T., 2003. A review of evidence-based traffic engineering measures
- 612 designed to reduce pedestrian-motor vehicle crashes. American Journal of Public Health 93 (9), 1456–1463.
- 613 Ringhand, M., & Vollrath, M. (2019). Effect of complex traffic situations on route choice behaviour and driver 614 stress in residential areas. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 60, 274–287.
- 615 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2018.10.023.
- 616 Roge, Pébayle, Lambilliotte, Spitzenstetter, Giselbrecht, Muzet. (2004). Influence of age, speed and duration
- 617 of monotonous driving task in traffic on the drivers useful visual field, Vision Research 44 2737–2744
- 618 Rosén, E., & Sander, U. (2009). Pedestrian fatality risk as a function of car impact speed. Accident Analysis 619 and Prevention, 41, 536–542.
- Rosén, E., Stigson, H., & Sander, U. (2011). Literature review of pedestrian fatality risk as a function of car impact speed. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 43, 25–33.

- Sanca, M. (2002). Application of design for safer urban roads and junctions: Selected countermeasures.
 Sweden: Linkoping University.
- 624 Satoh (1993). Visual Search and Peripheral Vision Performance by Novice and Experienced Drivers. IATSS
 625 Review, 19-3.
- 626 Sherbutt, J., Van Houten, R., Turner, S., & Huitema, B. (2009). Analysis of effects of LED rectangular rapid-

flash beacons on yielding to pedestrians in multilane crosswalks. Transportation Research Record, 2140, 85–
 95. https://doi.org/10.3141/2140-09.

- 629 Shinohara, Nishizaki (2017) Where do drivers look when driving in a foreign country?. Studies in Computational 630 Intelligence, 721, 151-164
- 631 Shinohara, Nishizaki (2017). Effects of Driving Situation and Driving Experience on Ey Information Engineering
 632 Express International Institute of Applied Informatics, Vol.3, No.3, P.31 40
- Sodhi, M., Reimer, B., Cohen, J. L., Vastenburg, E., Kaars, R., Kirschenbaum, S., 2002. On-road driver eye
 movement tracking using head-mounted devices. In Proceedings of the 2002 symposium on eye tracking
 research & applications. pp. 61–68.
- 636 Spijkers, W. (1992). Distribution of eye-fixations during driving. IATSS Research 16(1),27-34
- 637 Stewart, D., 2007. A clearer vision for pedestrian guardrails. Civil Engineering 160 (CE3), 131–136.
- Taylor, T., Pradhan, A. K., Divekar, G., Romoser, M., Muttart, J., Gomez, R., Pollatsek, A., & Fisher, D. L.
- (2013). The view from the road: The contribution of on road glance-monitoring technologies to understanding
 driver behavior. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 58, 175–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aap.2013.02.008.
- 641 Tefft, B. C. (2013). Impact speed and a pedestrian's risk of severe injury or death. Accident Analysis and 642 Prevention, 50, 871–878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aap.2012.07.022.
- Topolšek, D., Areh, I., & Cvahte, T. (2016). Examination of driver detection of roadside traffic signs and advertisements using eye tracking. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 43, 212–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2016.10.002.
- Trefzger, M., Blascheck, T., Raschke, M., Hausmann, S., Schlegel, T., 2018. A visual comparison of gaze
 behavior from pedestrians and cyclists. In Symposium on eye tracking research and applications, Warsaw,
 Poland.
- Turner, D. (2011). Roundabouts : A Literature Review, (December), 1–17.
- Van Houten, R., Ellis, R., & Marmolejo, E. (2008). Stutter-flash light-emitting-diode beacons to increase
 yielding to pedestrians at crosswalks. Transportation Research Record, 2073, 69–78.
 https://doi.org/10.3141/2073-08.
- Velichkovsky, B., Domhoefer, S.M., Pannasch, S., Unema, J.A., 2000. Visual fixations and level of attentional
- processing. In Proceedings of the eye tracking research & application symposium, ETRA 2000, Palm Beach
 Gardens, Florida, 6–8 November.
- Vey, A. H., & Ferreri, M. G. (1968). The effect of lane width on traffic operation. Traffic Engineering, 38, 22–
 27.
- Vignali, V., Cuppi, F., Acerra, E., Bichicchi, A., Lantieri, C., Simone, A. & Costa M. (2019). Effects of median
 refuge island and flashing vertical sign on conspicuity and safety of unsignalized crosswalks. Transportation
 Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, 60, 427–439, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2018.10.033.
- 661Vignali, V., Bichicchi, A., Simone, A. Lantieri, C., Dondi, G. & Costa, M. (2019). Road sign vision and driver662behaviorinworkzones.TransportationResearchPartF,60,474–484,
- 663 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2018.11.005.
- 664 Vijayawargiya, V., & Rokade, S. (2017). Identification of Factors Affecting Pedestrian Level of Service of
- 665 Crosswalks at Roundabouts. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), 4(6), 666 342–346.
- 667 Ward, N.J., Linkenbach, J., Keller, S.N., Otto, J., 2010. White Paper on Traffic Safety Culture.
- 668 World Road Association (PIARC), 2003. Road safety manual.
- Xu, J., Liu, J., Qu, W., Ge, Y., Sun, X., & Zhang, K. (2018). Comparison of pedestrian behaviors between
 drivers and non-drivers in Chinese sample. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour,
 58, 1053–1060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2018.05.034.
- Yagar, S., & Van Aerde, M. (1983). Geometric and environmental effects on speeds on 2-lane rural roads.
 Transportation Research Record, 17A, 315.
- Yuan, W., Fu, R., Ma, Y., & Guo, Y. S. (2011). Effects of vehicle speed and traffic sign text height on drivers'
 visual search patterns. Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering, 11, 119–126.
- Zeeger, C. V., & Bushell, M. (2012). Pedestrian crash trends and potential countermeasures from around the
 world. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 44, 3–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.12.007.
- Zito, G. A., Cazzoli, D., Scheffler, L., Jäger, M., Müri, R. M., Mosimann, U. P., & Nef, T. (2015). Street crossing
- 679 behavior in younger and older pedestrians: An eye and head-tracking study. BMC Geriatrics, 15, 176. 680 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-015-0175-0.
- 681

Valeria Vignali: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation
Margherita Pazzini: Investigation, Writing - Original Draft
Navid Ghasemi: Investigation, Data curation
Claudio Lantieri: Writing - Original Draft
Andrea Simone: Data curation Writing - Original Draft
Giulio Dondi: Writing- Reviewing and Editing