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Table S1. NOESY Data of Pinofuranoxins A and B (1 and 2)

| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Irradiated | Observed | Irradiated | Observed |
| H-4 | Me-10 | H-4 | Me-10 |
| H-5 | Me-10 | H-5 | Me-10 |
| H-8 | Me-9 | H-7 | H-8 |
|  |  | H-8 | Me-9 |

Table S2. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 R)$-1a.

| DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p)/(IEFPCM $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conformers | $\boldsymbol{\Delta G}(\mathbf{K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 39.3 |
| $\mathbf{C 2}$ | 0.212553 | 27.4 |
| $\mathbf{C 3}$ | 0.491568 | 17.1 |
| $\mathbf{C 4}$ | 0.526053 | 16.2 |
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Figure S1. Minimum energy conformers of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 R)$-1a computed at DFT/B3LYP/6$311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p}) / \operatorname{IEFPCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ level. Conformers C 2 displays intramolecular H-bond.

Table S3. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 S)$ - $\mathbf{1 b}$.

| DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) $) /\left(\mathrm{IEFPCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right.$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conformers | $\boldsymbol{\Delta G}(\mathbf{K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 41.4 |
| $\mathbf{C 2}$ | 0.299079 | 25.0 |
| $\mathbf{C 3}$ | 0.493449 | 18.0 |
| $\mathbf{C 4}$ | 0.581229 | 15.5 |
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Figure S2. Minimum energy conformers of ( $4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 S$ )-1b computed at DFT/B3LYP/6$311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p}) / \operatorname{IEFPCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ level. Conformers C 3 displays intramolecular H-bond.

Table S4. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of ( $4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 S$ )-2a.

| DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) $) /\left(\mathrm{IEFPCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right.$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conformers | $\boldsymbol{\Delta G}(\mathbf{K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 59.9 |
| $\mathbf{C 2}$ | 0.795663 | 15.6 |
| $\mathbf{C 3}$ | 0.800679 | 15.5 |
| $\mathbf{C 4}$ | 1.131108 | 8.9 |
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Figure S3. Structures of conformers found of ( $4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 S$ )-2a computed at DFT/B3LYP/6$311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p}) / \operatorname{IEFPCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ level. Conformers C 1 displays intramolecular H -bond.

Table S5. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R)$-2b.

| DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) $) /\left(\mathrm{IEFPCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)\right.$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conformers | $\boldsymbol{\Delta G} \mathbf{( K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 35.8 |
| $\mathbf{C 2}$ | 0.282777 | 22.2 |
| $\mathbf{C 3}$ | 0.426360 | 17.4 |
| $\mathbf{C 4}$ | 0.537339 | 14.4 |
| $\mathbf{C 5}$ | 0.741741 | 10.2 |
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Figure S4. Structures of conformers found of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R) \mathbf{- 2 b}$ computed at DFT/B3LYP/6$311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p}) / \operatorname{IEFPCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ level. Conformers C 4 displays intramolecular H -bond.


Figure S5. Comparison between experimental UV (solid black lines) and ECD spectra (dashed-dotted black line) of (+)-1 with calculated [TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ/IEFPCM $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ ] ones. Computed UV spectrum for $\mathbf{1 a}$ (solid red line) and $\mathbf{1 b}$ (solid blue line). Computed ECD spectrum for $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 R)-\mathbf{1 a}$ (dotted red line), $(4 R, 5 S, 7 S, 8 S)$-ent-1a (dashed red line), $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 S)$ - $\mathbf{1 b}$ (dotted blue line), and ( $4 R, 5 S, 7 R, 8 R$ )-ent-1b (dashed blue line). The calculated ECD spectra were divided by 2.


Figure S6. Comparison between experimental UV (solid black lines) and ECD (dashed-dotted black line) spectra of $(+)-2$ with calculated [TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ/IEFPCM $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ ] ones. Computed UV spectrum for $\mathbf{2 a}$ (solid red line) and $\mathbf{2 b}$ (solid blue line). Computed ECD spectrum for ( $4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 S$ )-2a (dotted red line), $(4 R, 5 S, 7 S, 8 R)$-ent-2a (dashed red line), $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R)$-2b (dotted blue line), and ( $4 R, 5 S, 7 R, 8 S$ )-ent-2b (dashed blue line).

ECD computations with explicit solvent model.
To further confirm the absolute configuration assignment the ECD spectra were also carried out ECD computations by simulating the solvent effects in an explicit approach. In fact, it is known that even with the weak hydrogen bond accepting solvent acetonitrile a better description of the solventsolute interaction is often obtained by performing computations in the explicit solvent mode, i.e. including one or more solvent molecules in the input structures. Computational conformational analysis was then repeated at $\mathrm{DFT} / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p})$ level of theory, adding a single molecule of acetonitrile H -bonded to the OH moiety of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 R) \mathbf{- 1 a}$ and $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 S) \mathbf{- 1 b}$. Four populated conformers were obtained for both diastereomers (see Table S5, Figure S7, Table S6, and Figure S8). Those displaying intramolecular H-bonding were again discarded and UV and ECD spectra were computed at TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ level on the remaining structures. Comparison of the UV and ECD spectra computed in the explicit solvent mode with the experimental spectra (Figure S9) supports the above assignment of $(4 R, 5 S, 7 R, 8 R) \mathrm{AC}$ to pinofuranoxin $\mathrm{A}(+)-(\mathbf{1})$. The same analysis, employing the explicit solvent approach, has been performed also for pinofuranoxin B (2). For this compound four populated conformers were obtained for both diastereomers $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 S)-\mathbf{2 a}$ and $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R)$-2b (see Table S7, Figure S10, Table S8, and Figure S11). Those displaying intramolecular H-bonding were again discarded and UV and ECD spectra were computed on the remaining structures. Comparison of the UV and ECD spectra computed in the explicit solvent mode with the experimental spectra (Figure S12) supports the above assignment of $(4 R, 5 S, 7 S, 8 R) \mathrm{AC}$ to pinofuranoxin $\mathrm{B}(+)-(\mathbf{2})$.

Table S6. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 R) \mathbf{- 1 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct. DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p)

| Conformers | $\boldsymbol{\Delta G}(\mathbf{K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 67.0 |
| C2 | 0.674652 | 21.5 |
| C3 | 1.302279 | 7.4 |
| C4 | 1.654026 | 4.1 |
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Figure S7. Structures of most stable conformers of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 R) \mathbf{- 1 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct calculated at DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory. Conformers C1 and C2 display intramolecular Hbond, while conformer C 4 intermolecular H -bond with acetonitrile.

Table S7. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 S)-\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct.

| DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conformers | $\mathbf{\Delta G}(\mathbf{K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 78.0 |
| $\mathbf{C 2}$ | 1.183149 | 10.6 |
| C3 | 1.350558 | 8.0 |
| $\mathbf{C 4}$ | 1.854039 | 3.4 |
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Figure S8. Structures of most stable conformers of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 S) \mathbf{- 1 b} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct calculated at DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory. Conformers C3 and C4 display intramolecular Hbond, while conformers C 1 and C 2 intermolecular H -bond with acetonitrile.


Figure S9. Comparison between experimental UV (solid black lines) and ECD (dashed-dotted black line) spectra of $(+)-\mathbf{1}$ with calculated [TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ/explicit model $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ ] ones. Computed UV spectrum for $\mathbf{1 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (solid red line) and $\mathbf{1 b} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (solid blue line). Computed ECD spectrum for $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 R)-\mathbf{1 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (dotted red line), ( $4 R, 5 S, 7 S, 8 S$ )-ent- $\mathbf{1 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (dashed red line), ( $4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 \mathbf { b }} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (dotted blue line), and ( $4 R, 5 S, 7 R, 8 R$ )-ent-1b $\mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (dashed blue line). The calculated ECD spectra were divided by 2. Conformers with intramolecular hydrogen bonding have been removed (see text).

Table S8. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 S)-\mathbf{2 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct. DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p)

| Conformers | $\boldsymbol{\Delta G}(\mathbf{K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 49.4 |
| C2 | 0.231363 | 33.4 |
| C3 | 0.675279 | 15.8 |
| C4 | 2.109855 | 1.4 |
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Figure S10. Structures of most stable conformers of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 S) \mathbf{- 2 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct calculated at DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory. Conformers C3 and C4 display intramolecular Hbond, while conformers C 1 and C 2 intermolecular H -bond with acetonitrile.

Table S9. Conformers Boltzmann distribution of $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-\mathbf{2 b} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct. DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p)

| Conformers | $\boldsymbol{\Delta G}(\mathbf{K c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | \% Pop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C 1}$ | 0.000000 | 55.8 |
| C2 | 0.158631 | 42.7 |
| C3 | 2.576970 | 0.7 |
| C4 | 2.594526 | 0.7 |



C1


C3


C2


C4

Figure S11. Structures of most stable conformers $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R) \mathbf{- 2 b} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct calculated at DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level of theory. Conformers displays intramolecular H-bond, while conformers $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2$, and C 3 intermolecular H -bond with acetonitrile.


Figure S12. Comparison between experimental UV (solid black lines) and ECD (dashed-dotted black line) spectra of $(+)-2$ with calculated [TDDFT/CAM-B3LYP/aug-cc-pVDZ/explicit model $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ ] ones. Computed UV spectrum for $\mathbf{2 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (solid red line) and $\mathbf{2 b} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (solid blue line). Computed ECD spectrum for ( $4 S, 5 R, 7 R, 8 S$ )-2a $\cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (dotted red line), $(4 R, 5 S, 7 S, 8 R)$ -ent- $\mathbf{2 a} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (dashed red line), $(4 S, 5 R, 7 S, 8 R)-\mathbf{2 b} \cdot \mathbf{A C N}$ adduct (dotted blue line), and ( $4 R, 5 S, 7 R, 8 S$ )-ent-2b•ACN adduct (dashed blue line). Conformers with intramolecular hydrogen bonding have been removed (see text).


Spectrum 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of pinofuranoxin $\mathrm{A}(1)\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of pinofuranoxin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 3. DEPT-135 NMR spectrum of pinofuranoxin A (1) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 4. HSQC spectrum of pinofuranoxin A (1) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 / 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 5. HMBC spectrum of pinofuranoxin A (1) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 / 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 6. COSY spectrum of pinofuranoxin A (1) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 7. NOESY spectrum of pinofuranoxin A (1) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 8. HR ESIMS spectrum of pinofuranoxin A (1).


Spectrum 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of pinofuranoxin $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{2})\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 10. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of pinofuranoxin $\mathrm{B}(2)\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.
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Spectrum 11. DEPT-135 NMR spectrum of pinofuranoxin B (2) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 11. HSQC spectrum of pinofuranoxin B(2) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 / 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 11. HMBC spectrum of pinofuranoxin B(2) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 / 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 12. COSY spectrum of pinofuranoxin B (2) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 13. NOESY spectrum of pinofuranoxin $B(2)\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Spectrum 14. HR ESIMS spectrum of pinofuranoxin B (2).

