

ARCHIVIO ISTITUZIONALE DELLA RICERCA

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Living on the edge: Psychological drivers of athletes' intention to re-patronage extreme sporting events

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version: francesco raggiotto, daniele scarpi (2020). Living on the edge: Psychological drivers of athletes' intention to re-patronage extreme sporting events. SPORT MANAGEMENT REVIEW, 23(2), 229-241 [10.1016/j.smr.2018.12.005].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/656000 since: 2022-09-06

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2018.12.005

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Raggiotto, F., & Scarpi, D. (2020). Living on the edge: Psychological drivers of athletes' intention to re-patronage extreme sporting events. *Sport Management Review*, 23(2), 229-241.

The final published version is available online at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2018.12.005

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<u>https://cris.unibo.it/</u>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Raggiotto, F., & Scarpi, D. (2020). Living on the edge: Psychological drivers of
 athletes' intention to re-patronage extreme sporting events. *Sport Management Review*, 23(2), 229-241.

4

5 Abstract

Extreme sports are a multi-billion-dollar marketing phenomenon. The authors explore in the 6 context of extreme sports the relationship between risk-taking attitude, perceived control, 7 self-enhancement, event image, and re-patronage intention, through the lens of edgework 8 9 theory and cognitive adaptation. The authors advance a theoretical model of multiple moderated mediation that provides insights for understanding what drives consumer-athletes' 10 intention to be loyal to extreme sporting events. The authors test the model with the 11 12 PROCESS macro in SPSS on 500 active participants in such events and find that risk-taking leads to feelings of self-enhancement, especially when consumers feel in control of the risks 13 14 they face. Self-enhancement in turn leads to re-patronage intention, especially when consumers have a positive image of the event. 15 16 **Keywords:** edgework; risk-taking attitude; self-enhancement; perceived control; event image 17 18 19

1 1. Introduction

Extreme sports are activities that subject the participant to great physical and mental 2 3 challenges, such as speed, height, depth, and natural forces, and that often involve risks 4 and/or extreme endurance (Gyimóthy & Mykletun, 2004). They are characterized by the demonstration of physical prowess and a particular attitude toward the world and the self: 5 they are sports where individuals push the limits of their personal safety and/or endurance 6 7 (Atkinson, 2008; Le Breton, 2000). Examples are BMX (Bennett & Lachowetz, 2004), skydiving, base jumping, cliff diving, and ice climbing (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016) 8 9 as well as bungee jumping, caving (Bentley, Page, & Laird, 2001), and triathlon (Atkinson, 2008, for extreme endurance). For instance, as Kusz (2003) noted about BMX performers, 10 they are "individuals who love taking risks, who love pushing their bodies to their physical 11 12 limits, who demand our admiration because they are brave enough to take chances and willing to risk significant injury in order to successfully pull a trick" (p. 154). 13 Similarly, while triathlon is not as perilous as skydiving or heli-skiing, it is an ultra-14 endurance discipline that pushes individual physical limits due to the extraordinary effort 15

endurance discipline that pushes individual physical limits due to the extraordinary effort
required (Le Breton, 2000). Extreme endurance sports drain participants to the point of
complete physical (Knechtle et al., 2007) and mental (Atkinson, 2008) exhaustion and often
collapse, in a mimetic process of symbolic death, liminality, and rebirth through survival
(Holt et al., 2014; Le Breton, 2000). Accordingly, triathletes articulate a sense of collective
stress-seeking (Le Breton, 2000) and edgework (Lyng, 1990) as motivation for their
participation. As Atkinson (2008) summarizes, it is about the grueling experience of being
physically and psychologically completely drained.

The number of athlete-consumers in extreme sports is large and growing fast
(Xtremesports, 2008). For instance, since 2014 more than 22 million people each year
regularly participate in extreme sporting events (TBI, 2014). In extreme sports, over 70

percent of revenues come from active consumer-athletes (ISPO, 2016; NerdWallet, 2015;
 Nielsen Scarborough, 2017), whose average income is also significantly higher than the
 national average (Severson, 2011), making them a large and appealing target market, with a
 huge potential.

5 Thus, it is no surprise that to attract extreme athletes, many extreme sports disciplines have developed specific events, such as the BMX World Championship. Events nowadays 6 7 represent the core component of the extreme sports industry and are the occasion for and context where participants gather to compete. Many of these events have grown from niche 8 9 sports to worldwide competitions, and today they attract thousands of athlete subscriptions from around the world, having become brands worth millions. For instance, Ironman is worth 10 US\$650 million and attracts about 3,000 athletes generating revenues of US\$932 million 11 (Ozanian, 2017; Roethenbaugh, 2017). Athletes' re-patronage for such events is a key factor 12 for guaranteeing future revenues and the success of the event in time (Shonk & Chelladurai, 13 2008), no less than it is for events generally (Tanford & Jung, 2017). As such, re-patronage 14 intention is addressed as the dependent variable in the present research, too. The centrality of 15 re-patronage intention is witnessed by the number of scholars considering it as the major 16 dependent variable, in a wide array of settings, from festivals (Baker & Crompton, 2000) to 17 destinations (Stylos, Bellou, Andronikidis, & Vassiliadis, 2017; Yoon & Uysal, 2005) to 18 tourism for traditional sports (Shonk & Chelladurai, 2008). 19

Yet, previous researchers have paid comparatively less attention to re-patronage intention for sporting events outside of traditional sports (e.g., football; Richelieu & Pons, 2006). On one hand, this may be due to extreme sports' having gained momentum only relatively recently. On the other hand, as we address in the theoretical background, extreme athletes are psychologically different from the average person, so that the mechanisms driving their intention to re-patronage are triggered by different psychological levers.

1 The purpose of this study is to fill this gap by identifying the drivers of re-patronage intention for extreme sporting events. In the study of extreme sports, the literature suffers 2 3 from imprecise models that do not fully address or reflect the lived experience of the participants (Brymer and Houge-McKenzie, 2016), because these models usually do not 4 capture the core psychological dimensions of extreme sports participants (Lyng, 2008). Yet, 5 scholars in psychology emphasize the importance of risk-taking attitude, perceived control, 6 7 and self-enhancement in understanding the behavioral drivers of 'extreme' individuals. Thus, we use key concepts from the psychological literature (i.e., risk-taking attitude, perceived 8 9 control, self-enhancement) that may constitute possible drivers of consumers' re-patronage intention for extreme sporting events. Furthermore, we also include the concept of event 10 image, as scholars in sports management suggest its importance in shaping participants' 11 12 intention to take part in the event again in future (Kaplanidou & Vogt, 2007). By doing so, we extend the understanding of the behavior of extreme individuals as we link psychological 13 constructs to managerially relevant outcomes, advancing a multiple moderated mediation 14 15 conceptual model for re-patronage intention in extreme sports. Then, we test the model on hundreds of athletes participating in extreme sporting events, present the results, and discuss 16 their theoretical and managerial implications. 17

18

19 2. Literature review and hypothesis development

Two theories can help illuminate the psychology of individuals facing extreme situations: cognitive adaptation theory (Taylor, 1983) and edgework theory (Lyng, 1990). The former examines regular individuals' coping mechanisms in hazardous situations that they did not anticipate nor voluntarily seek. The latter addresses the psychological mechanism that extreme (or edgework) individuals adopt to cope with difficult and risky situations that they did voluntarily seek, such as participation in extreme sporting events

(Laurendeau, 2006). Despite the difference in premises, both theories highlight the 1 importance of perceived control and the feeling of the self (Gupta & Bonanno, 2010; Celsi, 2 3 Rose, & Leigh, 1993). In other words, regardless whether the hazardous situations came as an accident or were deliberately chosen, the two theories agree that individuals face adversities 4 in their striving to affirm the self and reach a condition of self-improvement. This can be 5 witnessed, for instance, in the higher levels of self-care and self-esteem exhibited by 6 7 individuals diagnosed with potentially debilitating health problems (Helgeson, 2014). After adverse events, individuals strive to regain control over their lives by reinforcing self-8 9 enhancement and self-esteem (Yan & Bonanno, 2015). Yet, such self-centered processes require that individuals achieve perceptions of control, whether through training or through 10 illusions such as optimism and self-aggrandizement (however unrealistic). 11

Furthermore, cognitive adaptation and edgework theory are linked with actions and 12 behavioral intentions in order to achieve both higher control and a better self. Typical 13 14 examples involve more frequent medical checks, working more on positive relationships (Helgeson, 2014) and training more intensively (Brymer, 2010), all of which can help people 15 feel better equipped to face adversities. According to both theories, extreme situations force 16 17 individuals to push their physical and/or psychological limits; as a result, individuals perceive that they have undergone an ordeal that has changed them for the better. As a consequence, 18 their behavior will be driven by a combination of perceived control over the situation and a 19 desire for enhancing the self. Thus, the psychological characteristics of edgework individuals 20 shape the way they live everyday life, and are likely to influence their sport consumption 21 (Thompson & Üstüner, 2015) and event participation (Benckendorff & Pearce, 2012), which 22 help to satisfy their need for risk (Laurendeau, 2006) and self-fulfillment (Lyng, 2008). 23

24

1 2.1. Edgework theory and cognitive adaptation theory

2	Extreme sporting events are a highly relevant domain not only from a managerial but
3	also from a theoretical point of view, as classic marketing-related aspects appear to work
4	differently here (Puchan, 2005; Self, Henry, Findley, & Reilly, 2007), and scholars in
5	psychology have shown that individuals engaging in extreme activities are different from the
6	average person (Lyng, 1990). Behavioral drivers in extreme contexts work differently than
7	they do in traditional ones (Laurendeau, 2006), for instance, because risks are sought rather
8	than avoided (Milovanovic, 2005). Further, athletes voluntarily attend extreme sporting
9	events to undergo extenuating or life-threatening ordeals in order to push forward their
10	physical and psychological limits (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016), feeding the idea of
11	belonging to an elite group of "superior" men/women (Lyng & Matthews 2007).
12	Individuals participating in extreme sports behave and think differently from the
13	average consumer and from traditional athletes (Buckley, 2012): they perceive the painful

average consumer and from traditional athletes (Buckley, 2012): they perceive the painful
challenges and threatening difficulties offered by these extreme events as positive rather than
negative, have a high tendency to seek sensations, and enjoy activities that push their physical
and psychological limits, as they attribute a cathartic value to difficulties and risky challenges
(Laurendeau, 2006). To explain the behavior of those who love extreme activities,
psychology scholars have advanced edgework theory (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016;
Lyng 1990).

According to edgework theory, individuals who engage in risky activities (such as extreme sporting events) voluntarily seek out challenges (Bunn, 2017), pain, and potential danger (Laurendeau, 2006) and are driven by feelings of self-enhancement and invincibility through struggle. They are driven overwhelmingly by the need to identify, assess, and push forward their own personal limits. The constant quest for edgework individuals is to surpass what has been achieved in the past (Allman et al., 2009), a process that scholars in
 psychology defines as pushing the edge, and that—often—involves exposing oneself even to
 deadly threats.

Cognitive adaptation theory (Taylor, 1983), too, address drivers, motivations, and 4 psychological dynamics of individuals who face extreme—even threatening—situations "in 5 6 which the individual's failure to meet the challenge at hand will result in death, or at the very 7 least, debilitating injury" (Lyng, 1990, p. 857), such as extreme sports. According to cognitive adaptation theory (Taylor, 1983), after experiencing adversity individuals assess 8 9 how much the adversity fits with their present self-image, activating self-affirmation processes to preserve (or enhance) their identity with adequate and fitting behaviors (Steele, 10 1988) because, for instance, the adversity might disfigure them or compromise their self-11 sufficiency (Schulz & Decker, 1985). Through attempts to regain perceptions of control over 12 their own life, eventually individuals acquire stronger self-esteem and reach self-13 14 enhancement (Davis, Campbell, Hildon, Hobbs, & Michie, 2015). Although threatening events can occur unexpectedly, a similar psychological pattern can be found when they are 15 actively sought by individuals, as is usually the case in extreme sports (Clough, Houge 16 Mackenzie, Mallabon, & Brymer, 2016). 17

From cognitive adaptation and edgework theory, we derive the constructs of risktaking attitude, perceived control, and self-enhancement. However, whereas previous researchers investigated what leads a person to become an edgework individual, from a psychological perspective, we focus on the managerial implications of addressing a customer base of edgework individuals, such as extreme athletes participating in extreme sporting events.

24

1 2.2. From risk-taking to self-enhancement

2 Voluntary risk-taking refers to undertaking risky activities without coercion and with 3 the acknowledgment that risks are being confronted (Milovanovic, 2005). It refers to the willingness to explore and push one's own limits, both physically and psychologically 4 5 (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016), and characterizes extreme consumer-athletes 6 (Gyimóthy & Mykletun, 2004). According to edgework theory, a voluntary risk-taking 7 attitude is a key characteristic of extreme athletes (Laurendeau, 2006). In this regard, Dewhirst and Sparks (2003) defined risk-taking attitude as a way to reach self-enhancement 8 9 (e.g., deciding to start smoking to be perceived as cool), while Taylor and Hamilton (1997) conceptualized risk-taking attitude as a way to escape uncomfortable personal states (e.g., 10 engaging in sensationally dangerous activities to escape depression). Other scholars 11 highlighted instead the social-symbolic meaning of risk-taking as a way to join an ideal group 12 of "sophisticated people" (Allman et al., 2009, p. 239), where taking risks is akin to 13 14 participating in an initiation ritual, a catharsis, or purification through voluntarily undergoing 15 ordeals.

16 Despite slight differences in perspective, these scholars agree that the outcome sought (consciously or unconsciously) by individuals facing threatening events (Gupta & Bonanno, 17 18 2010) such as extreme athletes (Gyimóthy & Mykletun, 2004) is self-enhancement, which 19 can be defined as coming closer to an ideal self. Self-enhancement appears intimately related to edgework, according to Lyng's (1990) conceptualization, "involving, most fundamentally, 20 the problem of negotiating the boundary between chaos and order" (p. 855). The continuous 21 22 need to extend personal limits entails strong, symbolic meanings for extreme athletes (Allman et al., 2009). The ability to confront increasing risks is highly rewarding for 23 24 edgework individuals, who, if they succeed, perceive themselves as legitimate members of a small elite (Lyng & Matthews, 2007) who are blessed with an uncommon "survival instinct" 25

(Laurendeau, 2006). Coherently, words such as *independence, ideal self, self-fulfillment*, and *self-realization* were recently found to be used by individuals to describe extreme sporting
events (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016; Hardie-Bick & Bonner, 2016), where athletes are
motivated to perform incremental efforts (e.g., in terms of distance covered) on a path toward
reaching personal limits (Shoham, Rose, & Kahle, 2000; Verchère, 2017) and pushing them
forward (Allman et al., 2009).

In summary, combining the different perspectives from which previous researchers
have investigated risk-taking attitude, one might see a consistent link with self-enhancement.
Accordingly, we advance the following hypothesis:

10

Hypothesis 1: Risk-taking attitude will have a positive impact on self-enhancement.

11 **2.3.** The role of perceived control

Risk-taking tendency does not mean recklessness; on the contrary, often edgework
individuals are "control freaks" (Zinn, 2015). Self-enhancement is furthered or even driven,
in extreme events, by the need to (re)acquire the perception of being in control, of being able
to overcome an apparently invincible obstacle (Yan & Bonanno, 2015).

16 Perceived control is often conceived in terms of the theory of planned behavior (Ajzen, 1991). Yet in the context of extreme sports, perceived control has a different 17 significance, supported by both cognitive adaptation and edgework theory. Cognitive 18 adaptation theory suggests that individuals coping with unexpected, harmful events strive to 19 20 regain perceptions of control over their existence through self-enhancing actions and attitudes (Gupta & Bonanno, 2010). Similarly, the psychological perception of control is vital for 21 22 edgework individuals (Lyng, 2008) and extreme sports practitioners are no exception (Celsi, Rose, & Leigh, 1993). For them, pushing the edge means extending their ability to maintain 23 control over increasingly difficult situations (Milovanovic, 2005). Perceptions of control 24

foster a mindset of being able to successfully face challenges, allowing individuals to achieve
dangerous goals and feel blessed by a survival instinct (Laurendeau, 2006), which is
important when negotiating the edge (Lyng, 1990).

Consistently, scholars have highlighted the preeminent role of perceived control in 4 edgework (Milovanovic, 2005) and cognitive adaptation (Questienne, Van Opstal, Van Dijck, 5 & Gevers, 2016), as individuals push themselves to the limit of their ability to maintain 6 7 control over a specific activity, threat, or challenge (Lyng, 2008) and continuously negotiate the edge of their competence and control over the activities they perform (Brymer & Houge 8 9 Mackenzie, 2016). Participants understand the risks implied by the dangerous circumstances in which they place themselves, but try to deemphasize the hazards by accentuating their 10 feeling of being able to exercise control over those risks (Doka et al., 1990; Natalier, 2001), 11 12 for instance, through exercise and training and by gathering information about previous editions of a given sporting event. It is not that individuals believe themselves skilled enough 13 to master any hazardous situation, or that they are unaware that many of those hazards cannot 14 be foreseen. Instead, they assert that hazards help them understand their own limits as well as 15 those of the equipment they use (Natalier, 2001). In the words of Lyng (1990), edgework 16 activities involve "the right mix of skill and chance, a combination that maintains the illusion 17 of controlling the seemingly uncontrollable" (p. 872). Indeed, the training and planning 18 involved in extreme sports have been conceptualized as ways to build this sense of control, 19 20 even though the course of the competition may be largely a matter of chance.

Thus, perceptions of control are central in individuals performing edgework activities: they need to maintain both physical and emotional control during their risky performances to "successfully negotiat[e] the edge" (Laurendeau, 2006, p. 585). To do so, they must risks evaluate accurately, through a process of continuous exploration of personal limits, which involves a great deal of physical, mental, and technical training (Laurendeau, 2006; Lyng,

2004). In other words, mastery of increasing risks is a major goal for edgework individuals 1 (Lyng, 1990). Thus, risky performances generally involve considerable effort to maximize 2 individual perceptions of control over the risky situation. In summary, the perception of 3 control provides the mindset for successfully facing a challenge, for feeling able to conquer 4 dangerous activities, which in turn leads to feelings of being blessed with a "survival instinct" 5 (Laurendeau, 2006) that helps one successfully negotiate the edge (Lyng, 1990). 6 7 Furthermore, perceptions of control not only help extreme sports practitioners face risks with a winning mindset but also are key to enjoying the extreme experience (Csikszentmihalyi, 8 9 2002; Hardie-Bick & Bonner, 2016). Based on these considerations, we advance the following hypothesis: 10

Hypothesis 2: Perceived control will moderate the relationship between risk-taking
attitude and self-enhancement, with higher levels of perceived control leading to
higher self-enhancement.

14 **2.4.** From feelings of self-enhancement to re-patronage intention

Sports consumption has relevant symbolic meanings for individuals' self-image 15 16 (Kang, Bagozzi, & Oh, 2011), and actively participating in a sporting event is a vehicle for self-expression, which individuals see as functional to approaching the ideal self (Gyimóthy 17 & Mykletun, 2004). Although this evidence stems mostly from analyses set in the context of 18 19 traditional (i.e., not extreme) activities, it appears reasonable to expect that also in extreme 20 contexts feelings and desires for self-enhancement might drive the decision to re-patronage the events that helped consumers feel better. Indeed, it is thanks to the brand/event that 21 22 extreme athletes compete, test their equipment, and find an environment where they may face 23 the risks they actively seek, thus having the opportunity to feel better and more competent.

1 Many (if not all) of the events in extreme sporting work as brands (Kaplanidou & Vogt, 2007), with specific attributes, offers, fees, and a unique positioning (Ferreira, Hall, & 2 Bennett, 2008). Scholars in marketing suggest that feelings of enhanced self-esteem and 3 competence are among the identity-related motives for choosing a brand (Kressman et al., 4 2006; Proksch, Orth, & Cornwell, 2015), and that brands that satisfy customers' symbolic 5 needs tend to enhance value perceptions and enjoy more commitment (Bairrada, Coelho, & 6 7 Coelho, 2018; Steenkamp et al., 2003). Thus, it would appear reasonable to expect that events where athletes developed feeling of self-enhancement would enjoy higher re-patronage 8 9 intention.

Furthermore, it is not by competing in the events and successfully facing hazardous 10 situations that edgework individuals believe themselves skilled enough to handle possible 11 12 risk that might present itself (Laurendeau, 2006). Rather, it is that in these contests they learn to better assess their own limits, as well as those of the equipment they use (Natalier, 2001). 13 And such experiential knowledge is key because it is the basis for their pushing those limits 14 even further (Natalier, 2001), as the very nature of individuals engaging in extreme activities 15 involves pushing the limits of one's ability (Laurendeau, 2006). Thus, participating again in 16 the event might be essential for edgework individuals after reaching self-enhancement, as the 17 ability to more successfully face its challenges is developed through better knowledge of the 18 context and more practice (Laurendeau, 2006; Natalier, 2001). 19

In summary, if consumers developed positive feelings of self-enhancement thanks to the event, we expect they would be more inclined to increase their commitment to that event, returning for that adrenaline rush, to compare the new with the old performance, to test the new equipment, and so on. On one hand, establishing strong bonds between events and consumers depends on the events' ability to fulfill consumer self-definitional needs, such as

- self-enhancement. On the other hand, by participating again in an event, athletes can more 1 2 efficiently face the challenges they pose. Accordingly, we advance the following hypothesis: Hypothesis 3: Self-enhancement has a positive impact on re-patronage intention.
- 3

2.5. The role of event image 4

The image of events in general and of sporting events specifically is usually 5 6 conceptualized based on the theoretical framework proposed by Keller (1993), who suggested 7 that brand images consist of attitudes, attributes, benefits, and costs with respect to an entity 8 (Kaplanidou & Vogt, 2007). In this vein, Gwinner (1997) defined event image as "overall subjective perceptions of the [event] activity" (p. 148). Sporting event images are designed to 9 be meaningful for the participants and refer, for instance, to physical activity components 10 11 (Kaplanidou & Vogt, 2007) and/or to relevant subculture associations among participants (Green, 2001; Kaplanidou & Vogt, 2007). Perceptions of event image can significantly 12 influence participants' intention to take part in the event again in future, though usually 13 indirectly (Kaplanidou & Vogt, 2007), and a positive image of an object usually strengthens 14 consumers' positive intentions toward that object (Graeff, 1997; Massara et al., 2014). 15

16 Extreme sporting events are consumed predominantly for the self-enhancement 17 opportunities they provide via the ordeals contained in the events themselves (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016; Hardie-Bick & Bonner, 2016). Escalas and Bettman (2005) opined 18 19 that consumers patronize brands/events whose images match the group they wish to belong to. In such instances, event image may play a critical role in increasing consumers' 20 tendencies to re-patronage an event, which may allow for more self-enhancement. Han, 21 22 Nunes, and Drèze (2010) highlighted that consumers use image cues to come closer to their 23 desired self and to stand out from dissociative groups (in this case, non-extreme individuals; Lyng & Matthews, 2007). Therefore, in the case of extreme sporting events wherein strong 24

1 image-related cues are present, consumers' intention to re-patronage may increase

2 significantly. Thus, we propose the following:

Hypothesis 4: Event image moderates the relationship between self-enhancement and
re-patronage intentions, with a more positive event image leading to a stronger repatronage intention.

6 2.6. Risk-taking attitude and re-patronage intention

Drawing from the psychological literature on extreme individuals, we offer a
theoretical framework highlighting the need to consider the impact of risk-taking attitude on
self-enhancement, and, in turn, the impact of feelings of self-enhancement on behavioral
intentions. This is to say, we posit self-enhancement as mediator of the relationship between
risk-taking attitude and re-patronage intention.

Researchers in psychology suggested that extreme individuals' positive attitude 12 toward risks is linked to an active search for those risks (Lyng, 1990), with the goal of self-13 enhancement (Gupta & Bonanno, 2010), but edgework individuals do not display inherently 14 different levels of loyalty or variety-seeking compared with non-edgework individuals 15 16 (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016). We hence consider the effect of risk-taking on re-17 patronage intention as being indirect rather than direct. More formally, we advance that selfenhancement is a full mediator of the relationship between risk-taking attitude and re-18 19 patronage intention. In other words, risk-taking attitude translates into a managerially 20 relevant behavior such as re-patronage only through self-enhancement. Accordingly, we propose the following: 21

Hypothesis 5: Risk-taking attitude has no direct effect on re-patronage intention but has an indirect effect through self-enhancement.

1 **2.7. Theoretical model**

Overall, our hypotheses link the psychological literature on risk-taking attitude, 2 3 perceived control, and self-enhancement with the marketing literature. Edgework theory 4 provides a psychology-based explanation of individuals' voluntary engagement in risky, threatening activities. We apply cognitive adaptation and edgework theory to extreme 5 sporting events, using insights from these theories to better understand extreme consumers' 6 7 re-patronage intention. From a different perspective, closer to classic models of consumer behavior, one might envision the theoretical underpinning in terms of the SOR model, the 8 9 event being the stimulus, edgework theory and cognitive adaption theory pertaining to the organism, and intensions being the response. 10

Essentially, we hypothesize that extreme athletes with a higher risk-taking tendency 11 12 develop stronger feelings of self-enhancement, particularly when they perceive that they control situational risks, and develop in turn a higher intention to re-patronage, especially 13 when they have a positive image of the event in which they compete. In summary, we 14 15 develop a multiple moderated mediation model, where self-enhancement mediates the relationship between risk-taking attitude and re-patronage intention, with perceived control 16 moderating the relationship between risk-taking attitude and self-enhancement, and event 17 image moderating the relationship between self-enhancement and re-patronage intention (Fig. 18 19 1).

20

INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

21

As Fig. 1 shows, the left side of the model represents the gain for the individual: his/her psychological dimensions and drivers. The right side of the model represents the gain for the event: its competitive advantage and source of revenue. The proposed hinge between the two sides is individuals' feeling of self-enhancement.

1 3. Method

2 **3.1.** Participants

The data were collected through a paper-and-pencil questionnaire administered to consumer-athletes participating in competitions for extreme sports. Disciplines and events were chosen from a list of the world's most extreme sports competitions (DeRenzo, 2013) and from the academic literature (Atkinson, 2008; Kusz, 2003). Specifically, we considered four extreme disciplines (BMX; Florentine soccer [a particularly brutal gladiator-style form of soccer]; cliff diving; triathlon) and four events (BMX European cup; Florentine soccer tournament; Redbull cliff diving World Series; Ironman Italy championship).

A total sample of 500 responses was collected. Respondents' mean age was 31 years, 10 and 78% were males, reflecting the demographics of a male-dominated world and reflecting 11 12 well the population of the sampled sports according to reports from media coverage (Action Sports, 2009; Corriere Fiorentino, 2016; Crouse, 2015; Triathlon Business International, 13 2014) and to the extant literature (Agilonu et al., 2017; Schreier, Oberhauser, & Prügl, 2007). 14 It is worth noticing that interviewing participants from extreme events allows for sample 15 generations that may have similar personality profiles, given that certain types of individuals 16 tend to participate in these events. For instance, according to Plog's (1991) theory of 17 allocentric and psychocentric personality, personality traits are critical factors in decision 18 19 making about participation or travel to extreme destination or events.

20

21 **3.2. Measures**

Based on the aforementioned body of theories, the present research was delimited to
patronage intention, self-enhancement, perceived control, risk-taking attitude and event
image. It adopted measures for re-patronage intention from Kaplanidou and Gibson (2010);
for self-enhancement from Shoham et al. (2000); for perceived control from Kang, Hahn,

1	Fortin, Hyun, and Eom (2006); for risk-taking attitude from Eysenck and Eysenck (1977);
2	and for event image from Grohs and Reisinger (2014). Survey items were measured using 7-
3	point Likert scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree).
4	Following Anderson and Gerbing's (1988) procedure to ensure the adequacy of the
5	adopted measurements, we first ran a confirmatory factor analysis with AMOS 18 ($\chi^2/df =$
6	1.74; $RMSEA = 0.039$; $NNFI$, $CFI = 0.98$). Results provide support for the convergent
7	validity of the measures, with all factor loadings exceeding the recommended .6 threshold
8	(Bagozzi & Yi, 1988), and the composite reliability (CR) and the average variance extracted
9	(AVE) exceeding than the recommended .7 and .5 thresholds, respectively (Fornell &
10	Larcker, 1981). In the present study, the minimum CR is .86, and the minimum AVE is .60.
11	Details are provided in Table 1. Next, we ran a test of discriminant validity based on the
12	comparison of the AVE estimate for each construct with the squared correlation between any
13	two constructs (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). Discriminant validity is confirmed as the lowest
14	AVE (.60) that exceeds the highest squared correlation between any two variables (.50). The
15	measurement model therefore meets all relevant psychometric properties. Details are
16	provided in Table 2.
17	

3.3. Procedures

A multiple moderated mediation analysis was run to test the theoretical model illustrated in Fig. 1 using the PROCESS macro for SPSS (Hayes, 2013). Based on the CFA results, the mean composite scores on the items for each construct were used in the multiple moderated mediation model (Hayes, 2013). Perceived control was entered as a moderator of the relationship between risk-taking attitude and self-enhancement, event image was entered as a moderator of the relationship between self-enhancement and the intention to re-patronage, and self-enhancement was entered as a mediator of the relationship between risk-

taking and the intention to re-patronage. Intention to re-patronage was the dependent variable 1 (Fig. 2). The analysis assessed (1) the effects of risk-taking tendency on re-patronage 2 3 intention (both directly and indirectly, through feelings of self-enhancement), (2) the effect of risk-taking tendency on self-enhancement (as moderated by perceived control), and (3) the 4 effect of self-enhancement on re-patronage intention (as moderated by event image). The 5 analysis combined mediation and moderation to estimate the conditional indirect effect of 6 7 risk-taking on re-patronage intention through self-enhancement as moderated by perceived control and event image (Model 21 by Hayes, 2013). The statistical significance of the direct 8 9 and indirect effects was evaluated by means of 10,000 bootstrap samples to create biascorrected confidence intervals (CIs; 95%) with heteroscedasticity-consistent SEs. 10 11

12 **4. Results**

13 **4.1Multiple moderated mediation**

14 The index of multiple moderated mediation was significant (Effect = .01, 95% CI 15 [.00, .01]) as the 95% CI interval does not include zero (Hayes, 2013). This evidence supports that the conceptual model is robust. Specifically, risk-taking attitude led to higher feelings of 16 self-enhancement (Effect = .24, t = 2.54, p = .01), providing support for Hypothesis 1. 17 Furthermore, as advanced in Hypothesis 2, perceived control significantly moderated the 18 effect of risk-taking attitude on self-enhancement (Effect = .07, t = 3.91, p < .001). This 19 finding suggests that when perceived control increases, the effect of risk-taking is 20 strengthened as differences in self-enhancement between individuals with higher and lower 21 risk-taking are increased (effects at the values of moderator: Control_{high} = .37, 95% CI [.27, 22 23 .48]; Control_{low} = .28, 95% CI [.20, .41]), as hypothesized in Hypothesis 2. In line with Hypothesis 3, feelings of self-enhancement were positively related to consumers' re-24

1	patronage intention (Effect = $.29$, $t = 1.95$, $p = .05$). Additionally, as advanced in Hypothesis
2	4, event image significantly moderated the effect of self-enhancement on re-patronage
3	intention (Effect = .06, $t = 2.05$, $p = .04$). Specifically, the relationship between self-
4	enhancement and re-patronage intention was stronger for individuals who had a better image
5	of the event (effects at the values of moderator: Image _{<i>high</i>} = .40, 95% CI [.28, .50]; Image _{<i>low</i>} =
6	.30, 95% CI [.19, .39]), as hypothesized in Hypothesis 4. Consistently, given the pattern of
7	moderations and mediation, the highest re-patronage intention was observed for individuals
8	who both took risks under conditions of high perceived control and had a positive image of
9	the event. No significant direct effect emerged, instead, for risk-taking attitude on re-
10	patronage intention (Effect = .06, $t = 1.07$. $p = .29$). Overall, this evidence supports self-
11	enhancement as a full mediator of the relationship between risk-taking and re-patronage
12	intention, as advanced in Hypothesis 5.
13	Results suggest that individuals with higher risk-taking tendency developed a stronger
14	feeling of self-enhancement, especially when they felt themselves to be in control of those
15	risks, and thus also had a higher re-patronage intention, especially when they had a positive
16	image of the event.
17	The results of the PROCESS macro are illustrated in Fig. 2 and summarized in Tables
18	3 through 5.
19	
20	INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE
21	
22	Finally, to rule out that re-patronage intention was affected by geographical distance
23	(Kaplanidou et al., 2012), a <i>t</i> -test was run separating between local and not local participants
24	based on a median split on the travelled distance (Buning & Gibson, 2016). The test showed
25	no difference in the level of re-patronage intention due to distance ($M_{\text{local}} = 4.21$, $SD = 1.52$

1 vs. $M_{\text{not-local}} = 4.17$, SD = 1.43; F(1,497) = .06; p = .81; $\eta^2 = .001$), thus ruling out alternative 2 explanations of the findings.

3 4.2. Summary of the results

Hypotheses 1 to 5 are supported. We investigated what drives individuals who 4 5 undertake extreme sports to return to the extreme sporting events, addressing their attitude toward taking risks, their feelings of control and self-enhancement, and their image of the 6 7 event. We showed that all these variables help drive re-patronage intention. Nonetheless, 8 neither perceived control nor event image has a direct impact on consumers' re-patronage 9 intention. Instead, it is self-enhancement that affects re-patronage: higher self-enhancement is triggered by higher perceived control over the risk undertaken and positively affects re-10 patronage intention, especially when consumers have a positive image of the event. 11

12 **5. Discussion**

We aimed at answering calls in the literature for investigating re-patronage intention 13 for sporting events outside of traditional sports, that have remained unanswered in years 14 (Wicker, Hallmann, & Zhang, 2012). Furthermore, and more importantly, we assessed the 15 potential managerial relevance of psychological variables that appear to be unique 16 characteristics of extreme individuals. To fill these gaps, we adopted the theoretical lenses of 17 cognitive adaptation (Taylor, 19839 and edgework theory (Lyng, 1990) to identify potential 18 19 key drivers of the behavior of extreme individuals, linking those constructs to managerially relevant consequences, such as re-patronage intention, in the context of active participation in 20 extreme sporting events. The proposed model is unique in its use of theoretical constructs that 21 22 are well established in the domain of psychology but novel in the domain of management. Its value stems from the fact that findings from previous researchers in management are 23

incongruent with and unsuited to investigating behavioral drivers and intentions in extreme 1 sports, as they do not account for risk-taking and self-enhancement-seeking (Brymer & 2 3 Houge Mackenzie, 2016), that are instead key features of extreme individuals according to literature in Psychology (Laurendeau, 2006). Thus, previous analyses in the domain of active 4 participation in extreme sporting events are not only limited in number (Ko, Park, & 5 Claussen, 2008), but also in scope (Brymer & Houge Mackenzie, 2016), as they largely 6 7 ignore the key motives of edgework individuals, for whom limits-pushing risks are instrumental in the constant pursuit of self-enhancement (Lyng, 2004) under conditions of 8 9 perceived control (Laurendeau, 2006). As a result, theoretical considerations developed in traditional contests do not fully reflect the psychology and behavioral drivers of edgework 10 individuals. Thus, from a theoretical point of view, the we contribute by explicitly addressing 11 12 those psychological drivers, showing that they work together in a single consistent model, and are significant predictors of consumers-athletes' intention to participate. By doing so, the 13 model proposed in the present research overcomes the limitations of previous studies by 14 emphasizing the need for a deeper understanding of the role of extreme individuals' 15 psychology, and by identifying alternative key variables, such as risk-taking attitude, self-16 enhancement, and perceived control. 17

Results show that risk-taking attitude is key to generating positive feelings of self-18 19 enhancement in extreme consumers, and that when such consumers feel that they are in 20 control of a situation the effect is even stronger. In turn, feelings of self-enhancement lead to higher intention to participate again in the event, especially when the event has a positive 21 image in the mind of the consumers. Furthermore, the multiple moderations add to the picture 22 23 that perceived control interacts with risk taking and self-enhancement, while event image interacts with self-enhancement and re-patronage intention. Specifically, when individuals 24 feel more in control about the event, their feelings of self-enhancement are emphasized. In 25

turn, when the event image fits the participants' self-perceptions, then re-patronage is
enhanced. The combined evidence from these moderations contributes to the knowledge of
active event sport tourist behaviors in extreme events, highlighting the key role of the event
experience in establishing repeat participation. Overall, this evidence underlines once more
the need to account for the unique psychological specificities of extreme individuals to
understand how they live the event experience.

7

8 6. Managerial implications

9 Extreme sports are a multi-billion-dollar market that rotates around events that work like brands: they have a specific positioning, the ability to attract thousands of athletes (worth 10 in some cases many hundreds of millions) and are sponsored by leading brands such as Red 11 12 Bull, Mercedes, Black & Decker, Gatorade, and many others. Decades of research and dozens of scholars agree that re-patronage intention is key to event success, and sporting 13 events are no exception (Shonk & Chelladurai, 2008). The findings from the present research 14 suggest that self-control is key for re-patronage, and feelings of perceived control over the 15 risks being faced help achieving self-control. Accordingly, event managers should help 16 participants develop those feelings. In this vein, previous researchers have shown that a way 17 to increase perceived control over a psychological object is to provide information about that 18 object (Wallstone, 2001). Thus, athletes could be informed of the average completion rate 19 20 and time for the target event in previous years, the number of incidents, the average training schedule by the winners of the past edition, and so on. It is worth noticing that although 21 managers possessed this information in all events sampled in the present research, they did 22 23 not consider sharing it with the consumers.

Furthermore, the findings show that when consumers develop positive feelings of
self-enhancement, those feelings translate to significantly higher intentions to re-patronage

the event. This is a positive message to both consumers and managers: making consumers
feel better about themselves pays off not only for the consumers themselves but also for the
event. Accordingly, event managers should consider providing messages of positive
reinforcement to the consumer-athletes and help them establish favorable comparisons
(Tesser, 2000). This could be achieved for instance by providing information about the
success rates and times of the event in the present and past editions.

7 Furthermore, the findings from the present research show that a positive image of the event reinforces the translation of self-enhancement into potential revenues: the better the 8 9 event image, the stronger the link between self-enhancement and re-patronage intention. In this vein, Kaplanidou (2010) explored runners' perceptions of sport event image and found 10 that their own fulfillment was a critical factor. Therefore, the relationship between event 11 image, self-enhancement and re-patronage intention appears to be a more general concept 12 that applies to sporting events where there is a challenge involved. Thus, event image appears 13 a key element for the success of the event and, contrary to risk-taking, self-enhancement and 14 perceived control, it does not stem from the psychology of edgework individuals but rather 15 from the positioning and communication strategy of the organizers. Accordingly, managers 16 should continuously monitor event image, ensuring consistency with participants' 17 expectations and leveraging the event characteristics, heritage, and distinctive features. 18 Finally, practitioners should be aware that risk-taking attitude per se is not enough to 19

turn into re-patronage intention: it is only when consumers feel self-enhancement that the
positive relationship takes place. Thus, targeting risk-prone individuals is a necessary yet not
sufficient condition for success. Rather, success requires interacting with and understanding
the participants, to help them achieving feelings of self-enhancement, as it is from
consumers' positive feelings of having become better, stronger, and tougher that the positive
outcomes for the brand/event come from.

1 7. Limitations and future research

The outcome variable in the current study was intention to re-patronage the event.
Given that the economic impact of sporting events on host destinations is well established
(Solberg & Preuss, 2007), future researchers should consider different outcome variables
addressing destination-related consumer behavior and intentions. For instance, scholars could
include intentions also toward the location hosting the event.

7 A limitation of the present research stems from the lack of a common definition in the literature of what extreme sports are precisely. Brymer and Houge-McKenzie (2016) note 8 9 how 'free sports', 'adventure sports', 'lifestyle sports', 'alternative sports' and 'action sports' are often used interchangeably with extreme sports. For some people, extreme sport are 10 nontraditional youth sports, such as skateboarding; for others, they are adventure experiences 11 12 such as climbing and kayaking; and yet some only see extreme sports as those activities where accidents are most likely to be deadly, like parachuting. Thus, scholars should be 13 cautious about generalizing these findings to other sports, as the lived experience could 14 differ. 15

Another limitation is that perceived control was measured with regard to the event. In future studies, researchers could consider addressing perceived control more in line with the theory of planned behavior (Ajzen, 1991), as the perception of the ability in oneself to perform a given behavior.

Finally, Kaplanidou and Gibson (2010) use the term active event sport tourists to describe 'participatory sports-related travel associated with event participation' (p. 164). In line with this definition, the surveyed individuals were traveling, nationally or internationally, to participate in the sampled sport events. In this vein, scholars in travel and environmental psychology have shown that behavioral intention (Manzo & Perkins, 2006; Kaplanidou et al., 2012) and motivational cues (Kaplanidou & Vogt, 2010) can be affected by geographical

1	distance. Accordingly, they usually delineate between local and non-local places (Brown,
2	Perkins, & Brown, 2003) and travelers (Buning & Gibson, 2016). Instead, we focused on
3	how event patronage is affected by extreme athletes' psychological drivers, as identified
4	based on cognitive adaptation theory and edgework theory. Thus, we welcome future studies
5	that broaden the scope of our conceptual model to include tourism- and distance-related cues
6	that extreme athletes share with traditional sport tourists (Shoham, Rose, & Kahle, 2000), in
7	addition to the edgework-related variables that are unique to the former (Lyng, 2008).
8	References
9	Action Sports. (2009). The action sports market. Retrieved from
10	http://www.activenetworkrewards.com/Assets/AMG+2009/Action+Sports.pdf
11	Active (2015) available at: https://www.active.com/triathlon/articles/ironman-sold-for-650-
12	million-to-chinese-company?page=2
13	Agilonu, A., Bastug, G., Mutlu, T. O., & Pala, A. (2017). Examining risk-taking behavior and
14	sensation seeking requirement in extreme athletes. Journal of Education and Learning,
15	6(1), 330. https:/doi.org/10.5539/jel.v6n1p330
16	Ahrentzen, S. B. (1992). Home as a workplace in the lives of women. In I. Altman & S. M.
17	Low (Eds.), Human behavior and environment advances in theory and research (pp.
18	113–138). New York, NY: Springer.
19	Allman, T. L., Mittelstaedt, R. D., Martin, B., & Goldenberg, M. (2009). Exploring the
20	motivations of BASE jumpers: Extreme sport enthusiasts. Journal of Sport & Tourism,
21	14(4), 229–247. https://doi.org/10.1080/14775080903453740
22	Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A
23	review and recommended two-step approach. Psychological Bulletin, 103(3), 411-423.
24	Atkinson, M. (2008). Triathlon, suffering and exciting significance. Leisure Studies, 27(2),

165–180. https://doi.org/10.1080/02614360801902216

- Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation of structural equation models. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 16(1), 74–94.
- 4 Bairrada, C. M., Coelho, F., & Coelho, A. (2018). Antecedents and outcomes of brand love:
- 5 Utilitarian and symbolic brand qualities. *European Journal of Marketing*, 52(3/4), EJM-
- 6 02-2016-0081. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-02-2016-0081
- 7 Baker, D. A., & Crompton, J. L. (2000). Quality, satisfaction and behavioral intentions.
- 8 Annals of Tourism Research, 27(3), 785–804. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-
- 9 7383(99)00108-5
- 10 Benckendorff, P., & Pearce, P. (2012). The psychology of events. The Routledge Handbook of
- 11 Events, 165–185. Routledge
- Bennett, G., & Lachowetz, T. (2004). Marketing to Lifestyles : Action Sports and Generation
 Y. *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, *13*(4), 239–243
- 14 Bentley, T., Page, S., & Laird, I. (2001). Accidents in the New Zealand adventure tourism
- 15 industry. Safety Science, 38(1), 31–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535(00)00053-9
- 16 Brown, B., Perkins, D. D., & Brown, G. (2003). Place attachment in a revitalizing
- 17 neighborhood: Individual and block levels of analysis. *Journal of Environmental*
- 18 *Psychology*, 23, 259–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-4944(02)00117-2
- 19 Brymer, E. (2010). Risk taking in extreme sports: A phenomenological perspective. Annals of
- 20 *Leisure Research*, 13(1-2), 218-238. https://doi.org/10.1080/11745398.2010.9686845
- 21 Brymer, E., & Houge Mackenzie, S. (2016). Psychology and the extreme sport experience. In
- 22 F. Feletti (Ed.), *Extreme sports medicine* (pp. 3–13). Switzerland: Springer.
- 23 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28265-7_1
- 24 Buckley, R. (2012). Rush as a key motivation in skilled adventure tourism: Resolving the risk
- 25 recreation paradox. *Tourism Management*, 33(4), 961–970.

1 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2011.10.002	2
---	---

2	Buning, R. J., & Gibson, H. J. (2016). The role of travel conditions in cycling tourism:
3	Implications for destination and event management. Journal of Sport & Tourism, 20(3-
4	4), 175-193. https://doi.org/10.1080/14775085.2016.1155474
5	Bunn, M. (2017). Defining the edge: Choice, mastery and necessity in edgework practice.
6	Sport in Society, 20(9), 1310-1323. https://doi.org/10.1080/17430437.2017.1284800
7	Celsi, R. L., Rose, R. L., & Leigh, T. W. (1993). An exploration of high-risk leisure
8	consumption through skydiving. Journal of Consumer Research, 20(1), 1-23.
9	https://doi.org/10.1086/209330
10	Clough, P., Houge Mackenzie, S., Mallabon, L., & Brymer, E. (2016). Adventurous physical
11	activity environments: A mainstream intervention for mental health. Sports Medicine,
12	46(7), 963–968. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0503-3
13	Corriere Fiorentino. (2016). Calcio Storico, la prima è dei giovani. Retrieved from
14	http://corrierefiorentino.corriere.it/firenze/notizie/cronaca/16_giugno_11/calcio-storico-
15	prima-giovani-dae14f94-2fbb-11e6-ada7-c3d38e5b9b30.shtml
16	Crofts, C., Schofield, G., & Dickson, G. (2012). Women-only mass participation sporting
17	events: does participation facilitate changes in physical activity? Annals of Leisure
18	Research, 15(2), 148-159. https://doi.org/10.1080/11745398.2012.685297
19	Crouse, K. (2015). High diving, a crowd-pleasing sport, pursues an Olympic platform. New
20	York Times, August 5. Retrieved January 22, 2018, from
21	https://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/06/sports/diving-a-crowd-pleasing-sport-pursues-an-
22	olympic-platform.html
23	Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2002). Flow: The classic work on how to achieve happiness. New
24	York, NY: Random House.
25	Davis, R., Campbell, R., Hildon, Z., Hobbs, L., & Michie, S. (2015). Theories of behaviour

- 1 and behaviour change across the social and behavioural sciences: A scoping review.
- 2 *Health Psychology Review*, 9(3), 323–344.
- 3 https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2014.941722
- 4 DeRenzo, N. (2013). The world's most extreme sports competitions. *The Active Times*, July
- 5 12. Slide 9. Retrieved May 5, 2018, from https://www.theactivetimes.com/most-
- 6 extreme-sports-competitions-world
- 7 Dewhirst, T., & Sparks, R. (2003). Intertextuality, tobacco sponsorship of sports, and
- 8 adolescent male smoking culture: A selective review of tobacco industry documents.
- 9 Journal of Sport & Social Issues, 27(4), 372–398.
- 10 https://doi.org/10.1177/0193732503258585
- 11 Doka, K. J., Schwarz, E. E., & Schwarz, C. (1990). Risky business: Observations on the
- 12 nature of death in hazardous sports. Omega-Journal of Death and Dying, 21(3), 215–
- 13 223. https://doi.org/10.2190/JJNJ-QE2Y-A0C3-2L95
- 14 Eisemberg, A. (2003). Psychotic rant. In R. E. Rinehart & S. Sydnor (Eds.), To the extreme.
- 15 *Alternative sports, inside and out* (pp. 153–175). New York: SUNY Press.
- 16 Escalas, J. E., & Bettman, J. R. (2005). Self-construal, reference groups, and brand meaning.
- 17 Journal of Consumer Research, 32(3), 378–389. https://doi.org/10.1086/497549
- 18 Eysenck, S. B., & Eysenck, H. J. (1977). The place of impulsiveness in a dimensional system
- 19 of personality description. *The British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 16(1),
- 20 57–68. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.1977.tb01003.x
- 21 Ferreira, M., Hall, T. K., & Bennett, G. (2008). Exploring brand positioning in a sponsorship
- 22 context: A correspondence analysis of the Dew Action Sports Tour. *Journal of Sport*
- 23 *Management*, 22(6), 734–761. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.22.6.734
- 24 Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with
- 25 unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1),

39. https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312

2	Getz, D., & McConnell, A. (2011). Serious sport tourism and event travel careers. Journal of
3	Sport Management, 25, 326-338. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.25.4.326
4	Girard, J., Lons, A., Pommepuy, T., Isida, R., Benad, K., & Putman, S. (2017). High-impact
5	sport after hip resurfacing: the Ironman triathlon. Orthopaedics & Traumatology:
6	Surgery & Research, 103(5), 675-678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2017.04.004
7	Graeff, T. R. (1997). Consumption situations and the effects of brand image on consumers'
8	brand evaluations. Psychology and Marketing, 14(1), 49–70.
9	https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1520-6793(199701)14:1<49::AID-MAR4>3.0.CO;2-O
10	Green, C. B. (2001). Leveraging subculture and identity to promote sport events. Sport
11	Management Review, 4(1), 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1441-3523(01)70067-8
12	Grohs, R., & Reisinger, H. (2014). Sponsorship effects on brand image: The role of exposure
13	and activity involvement. Journal of Business Research, 67(5), 1018–1025.
14	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.08.008
15	Gupta, S., & Bonanno, G. A. (2010). Trait self-enhancement as a buffer against potentially
16	traumatic events: A prospective study. Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research,
17	Practice, and Policy, 2(2), 83-92. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018959
18	Gwinner, K. (1997). A model of image creation and image transfer in event sponsorship.
19	International Marketing Review, 14(3), 145–158.
20	https://doi.org/10.1108/02651339710170221
21	Gyimóthy, S., & Mykletun, R. J. (2004). Play in adventure tourism: The case of Arctic
22	trekking. Annals of Tourism Research, 31(4), 855-878.
23	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2004.03.005

1	Hammitt, W. E., Backlund, E. A., & Bixler, R. D. (2004). Experience use history, place				
2	bonding and resource substitution of trout anglers during recreation engagements. Journa				
3	of Leisure Research, 36, 356-378. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.2004.11950028				
4	Han, Y. J., Nunes, J. C., & Drèze, X. (2010). Signaling status with luxury goods: The role of				
5	brand prominence. Journal of Marketing, 74(4), 15–30.				
6	https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.74.4.15				
7	Hardie-Bick, J., & Bonner, P. (2016). Experiencing flow, enjoyment and risk in skydiving				
8	and climbing. Ethnography, 17(3), 369-387. https://doi.org/10.1177/1466138115609377				
9	Hasford, J., Kidwell, B., Hardesty, D. M., & van Osselaer, S. (2018). Emotional Ability and				
10	Associative Learning: How Experiencing and Reasoning about Emotions Impacts				
11	Evaluative Conditioning. Journal of Consumer Research, in press.				
12	https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucy026				
13	Hayes, A. F. (2013). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process				
14	analysis: A regression-based approach. New York, NY: Guilford.				
15	Helgeson, V. S., Reynolds, K. A., Siminerio, L. M., Becker, D. J., & Escobar, O. (2014).				
16	Cognitive adaptation theory as a predictor of adjustment to emerging adulthood for youth				
17	with and without type 1 diabetes. Journal of psychosomatic research, 77(6), 484-491.				
18	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2014.09.013				
19	Holt, N. L., Lee, H., Kim, Y., & Klein, K. (2014). Exploring experiences of running an				
20	ultramarathon. The Sport Psychologist, 28(1), 22-35.				
21	ISPO. (2016). Action sports: An industry searching for the way out of crisis. Retrieved				
22	January 16, 2018, from https://www.ispo.com/en/trends/id_78182622/action-sports-an-				
23	industry-searching-for-the-way-out-of-crisis.html				
24	Jae Ko, Y., Zhang, J., Cattani, K., & Pastore, D. (2011). Assessment of event quality in major				
25	spectator sports. Managing Service Quality: An International Journal, 21(3), 304–322.				

https://doi.org/10.1108/09604521111127983

2	Kang, H., Hahn, M., Fortin, D. R., Hyun, Y. J., & Eom, Y. (2006). Effects of perceived					
3	behavioral control on the consumer usage intention of e-coupons. Psychology and					
4	Marketing, 23(10), 841-864. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20136					
5	Kang, J., Bagozzi, R. P., & Oh, J. (2011). Emotions as antecedents of participant sport					
6	consumption decisions: A Model integrating emotive, self-based, and utilitarian					
7	evaluations. Journal of Sport Management, 7(4), 314–325.					
8	https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.25.4.314					
9	Kaplanidou, K. (Kiki), & Gibson, H. J. (2010). Predicting behavioral intentions of active					
10	event sport tourists: The case of a small-scale recurring sports event. Journal of Sport &					
11	Tourism, 15(2), 163-179. https://doi.org/10.1080/14775085.2010.498261					
12	Kaplanidou, K., & Vogt, C. (2007). The interrelationship between sport event and destination					
13	image and sport tourists' behaviours. Journal of Sport and Tourism, 12(3-4), 183-206.					
14	https://doi.org/10.1080/14775080701736932					
15	Kaplanidou, K., & Vogt, C. (2010). The meaning and measurement of a sport event experience					
16	among active sport tourists. Journal of Sport Management, 24(5), 544-566.					
17	https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.24.5.544					
18	Kaplanidou, K., Jordan, J. S., Funk, D., & Ridinger, L. L. (2012). Recurring sport events and					
19	destination image perceptions: Impact on active sport tourist behavioral intentions and					
20	place attachment. Journal of Sport Management, 26, 237–248.					
21	https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.26.3.237					
22	Keller, K. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity.					
23	Journal of Marketing, 57(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.2307/1252054					
24	Knechtle, B., Knechtle, P., Andonie, J. L., & Kohler, G. (2007). Influence of anthropometry on					
25	race performance in extreme endurance triathletes: World Challenge Deca Iron Triathlon					

1	2006. British Journal of	f Sports Medie	cine, 41(10),	644–648.
---	--------------------------	----------------	---------------	----------

2	http://dx.	doi.org	/10.1136/	bism.2006	.035014
_					

- Ko, Y. J., Park, H., & Claussen, C. L. (2008). Action sports participation: consumer
 motivation. *International Journal of Sports Marketing & Sponsorship*, 9(2).
- 5 Kressman, F., Sirgy, M. J., Herrmann, A., Huber, F., Huber, S., & Lee, D.-J. (2006). Direct
- 6 and indirect effects of self-congruity on brand loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*,
- 7 59(9), 955–964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.06.001
- 8 Kusz, K. (2003). BMX, Extreme Sports, and the White Male Backlash. In R. E. Rinehart & S.
- 9 Sydnor (Eds.), To the extreme. Alternative sports, inside and out (pp. 153–175). New
- 10 York: SUNY Press.
- 11 Laurendeau, J. (2006). "He didn't go in doing a skydive": Sustaining the illusion of control in

12 an edgework activity. *Sociological Perspectives*, 49(4), 583–605.

13 https://doi.org/10.1525/sop.2006.49.4.583

14 Le Breton, D. (2000). Playing symbolically with death in extreme sports. *Body & Society*, 6,

15 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1357034X00006001001

- 16 Lyng, S. (1990). Edgework: A social psychological analysis of voluntary risk taking. The
- 17 *American Journal of Sociology*, 95(4), 851–886. https://doi.org/10.1086/229379

18 Lyng, S. (2004). *Edgework: The sociology of risk-taking*. New York, NY: Routledge.

- 19 Lyng, S. (2008). Risk-taking in sport: Edgework and reflexive community. In K. Young &
- 20 M. Atkinson (Eds.), *Tribal play: Subcultural journeys through sport* (pp. 83–109).
- 21 Bingley, UK: Emerald.
- 22 Lyng, S., & Matthews, R. (2007). Risk, edgework, and masculinities. In K. Hannah-Moffat &
- 23 P. O'Malley (Eds.), *Gendered risks* (pp. 75–97). New York, NY: Routledge.
- 24 Manzo, L.C., & Perkins, D.D. (2006). Finding common ground: The importance of place
- attachment to community participation and planning. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 20,

- 1 335–350. https://doi.org/10.1177/0885412205286160
- Massara F., Porcheddu D., & Melara R.D. (2014). Asymmetric perception of sparse shelves
 in retail displays. *Journal of Retailing*, 90(3), 321-331.
- 4 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2014.05.001
- 5 Milovanovic, D. (2005). Edgework: A subjective and structural model of negotiating
- 6 boundaries. In S. Lyng (Ed.), *Edgework: The sociology of risk taking*. New York, NY:
- 7 Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203005293
- 8 Natalier, K. (2001). Motorcyclists' interpretations of risk and hazard. Journal of Sociology,
- 9 *37*(1), 65–80. https://doi.org/10.1177/144078301128756201
- 10 NerdWallet. (2015). The cost of extreme sports: From pricey gear to high life insurance rates.
- 11 Retrieved January 16, 2018, from https://www.nerdwallet.com/blog/insurance/life-
- 12 insurance-cost-of-extreme-sports-2015/
- 13 Nielsen Scarborough. (2017). Nielsen Scarborough. Retrieved January 18, 2018, from
- 14 http://en-us.nielsen.com/sitelets/cls/scarborough.html
- 15 Ozanian, M. (2017). Andrew Messick is making Ironman a global brand. *Forbes*, June 29.
- 16 Retrieved May 5, 2018, from
- 17 https://www.forbes.com/sites/mikeozanian/2017/06/29/podcast-andrew-messick-is-
- 18 making-ironman-a-global-brand/#2d63c43c6b67
- 19 Pauline, G., Osborne, B., & Miller, J. J. (2016). Do entry form waivers properly inform
- triathlon participants of the dangers of the sport? *Journal of Legal Aspects of Sport*, 26(2),
- 21 106–126. https://doi.org/10.1123/jlas.2015-0002
- 22 Plog, S. C. (1991). A carpenter's tools re-visited: Measuring allocentrism and psychocentrism
- properly... the first time. Journal of Travel Research, 29(4), 51-51.
- 24 https://doi.org/10.1177/004728759102900410
- 25 Proksch, M., Orth, U. R., & Cornwell, T. B. (2015). Competence enhancement and

1 anticipated emotion as motivational drivers of brand attachment. <i>P</i>	'sychology and
---	----------------

- 2 *Marketing*, *32*(9), 934–949. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20828
- 3 Puchan, H. (2005). Living "extreme": Adventure sports, media and commercialisation.
- 4 *Journal of Communication Management*, 9(2), 171–178.
- 5 https://doi.org/10.1108/13632540510621588
- 6 Questienne, L., Van Opstal, F., Van Dijck, J. P., & Gevers, W. (2016). Metacognition and
- 7 cognitive control: Behavioural adaptation requires conflict experience. *Quarterly*
- 8 *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 71(2), 411–423.
- 9 https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2016.1251473
- 10 Ramchandani, G., Davies, L. E., Coleman, R., Shibli, S., & Bingham, J. (2015). Limited or
- 11 lasting legacy? The effect of non-mega sport event attendance on participation.
- 12 *European Sport Management Quarterly, 15*(1), 93–110.
- 13 https://doi.org/10.1080/16184742.2014.996583
- 14 Richelieu, A., & Pons, F. (2006). Toronto Maple Leafs vs Football Club Barcelona: How two
- 15 legendary sports teams built their brand equity. International Journal of Sports
- 16 *Marketing Sponsorship*, 7(3), 231–251. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSMS-07-03-2006-
- 17 B009
- 18 Roethenbaugh, G. (2017). IRONMAN owner Wanda Group reports 9% uplift in sports
- 19 revenue. *Endurance Business*, January 17. Retrieved May 5, 2018, from
- 20 https://www.endurancebusiness.com/2017/industry-news/ironman-owner-wanda-group-
- 21 reports-9-uplift-in-sports-revenue/
- 22 Schreier, M., Oberhauser, S., & Prügl, R. (2007). Lead users and the adoption and diffusion
- 23 of new products: Insights from two extreme sports communities. *Marketing Letters*,
- 24 *18*(1–2), 15–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-006-9009-3
- 25 Schulz, R., & Decker, S. (1985). Long-term adjustment to physical disability: The role of

1	social support, perceived control, and self-blame. Journal of Personality and Social
2	Psychology, 48(5), 1162–1172. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.48.5.1162
3	Self, D. R., Henry, E. D. V., Findley, C. S., & Reilly, E. (2007). Thrill seeking: The type T
4	personality and extreme sports. International Journal of Sport Management and
5	Marketing, 2(1/2), 175–190. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSMM.2007.011397
6	Severson, D. (2011). The average income of a triathlete. ChronReport. Retrieved August 16,
7	2017, from http://work.chron.com/average-income-triathlete-13934.html
8	Shoham, A., Rose, G. M., & Kahle, L. R. (2000). Practitioners of risky sports. Journal of
9	Business Research, 47(3), 237-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(98)00093-9
10	Shonk, D. J., & Chelladurai, P. (2008). Service quality, satisfaction, and intent to return in
11	event sport tourism. Journal of Sport Management, 22(5), 587-602.
12	https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.22.5.587
13	Solberg, H. A., & Preuss, H. (2007). Major sport events and long-term tourism impacts.
14	Journal of Sport Management, 21(2), 213-234. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.21.2.213
15	Steele, C. M. (1988). The psychology of self-affirmation: Sustaining the integrity of the self.
16	Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 21(C), 261–302.
17	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60229-4
18	Steenkamp, J. B. E., Batra, R., & Alden, D. L. (2003). How perceived brand globalness
19	creates brand value. Journal of International Business Studies, 34(1), 53-65.
20	https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400002
21	Stylos, N., Bellou, V., Andronikidis, A., & Vassiliadis, C. A. (2017). Linking the dots among
22	destination images, place attachment, and revisit intentions: A study among British and
23	Russian tourists. Tourism Management, 60, 15–29.
24	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.11.006
25	Tanford, S., & Jung, S. (2017). Festival attributes and perceptions: A meta-analysis of

- 1 relationships with satisfaction and loyalty. *Tourism Management*, 61, 209–220.
- 2 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2017.02.005
- 3 Taylor, R. L., & Hamilton, J. C. (1997). Preliminary evidence for the role of self-regulatory
- 4 processes in sensation seeking. Anxiety, Stress & Coping, 10(4), 351–375.
- 5 https://doi.org/10.1080/10615809708249309
- 6 Taylor, S. (1983). Adjustment to threating events: Theory of cognitive adaptation. American
- 7 Psychologist, 38(11), 1161–1173. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.38.11.1161
- 8 Tesser, A. (2000). On the confluence of self-esteem maintenance mechanisms. Personality
- 9 and Social Psychology Review, 4(4), 290-299.
- 10 https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0404_1
- 11 Thompson, C. J., & Üstüner, T. (2015). Women skating on the edge: Marketplace
- 12 performances as ideological edgework. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 42(2), 235–265.
- 13 https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucv013
- 14 TBI. (2014). Breaking down the U.S. triathlon marketplace. Retrieved August 16, 2017, from
- 15 http://www.triathlonbusinessintl.com/market-research-survey.html
- 16 Verchère, R. (2017). The body experience of the triathlete: Uniting with nature and
- 17 overcoming it. *Loisir et Société / Society and Leisure*, 40(1), 56–75.
- 18 https://doi.org/10.1080/07053436.2017.1283168
- 19 Wallston, K. A. (2001). Conceptualization and operationalization of perceived control. In: A.
- 20 Baum, T. A. Revenson & J. E. Singer (Eds.), Handbook of health psychology, (pp. 49-
- 21 58). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- 22 Wicker, P., Hallmann, K., & Zhang, J. J. (2012). What is Influencing Consumer Expenditure
- and Intention to Revisit? An Investigation of Marathon Events. Journal of Sport &
- 24 *Tourism*, 17(3), 165–182. https://doi.org/10.1080/14775085.2012.734058
- 25 Xtremesports. (2008). Extreme sport growing in popularity. Retrieved August 16, 2017, from

	1		/ / 1	1 . / .		•	•	1 .	1
1	httn://vtromog	nort/lu com	avtromo lon	d cnorte/ovtr	ama cnort (rowing	1n nor	aularity	·/
T	IIIIII.// AUCHICS	DOIL+u.COIII/	CAUCINC-1411	ユータロノロ しる/ ビスしい	こいしこうししいしこ	210 10 10 10 2-	-111-12021	Julainv	/
_						0	r - r		۰.

- 2 Yan, O. H., & Bonanno, G. A. (2015). How self-enhancers adapt well to loss: The
- 3 mediational role of loneliness and social functioning. *Journal of Positive Psychology*,
- 4 10(4), 370–382. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.965266
- 5 Yoon, Y., & Uysal, M. (2005). An examination of the effects of motivation and satisfaction on
- 6 destination loyalty: A structural model. *Tourism Management*, 26(1), 45–56.
- 7 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2003.08.016
- 8 Zinn, J. O. (2015). Towards a better understanding of risk-taking: Key concepts, dimensions
- 9 and perspectives. *Health, Risk & Society, 17*(2), 99–114.
- 10 https://doi.org/10.1080/13698575.2015.1023267

1 Appendix

2

3 Table 1

4 Construct measures and results of confirmatory factor analysis.

Measures	Mean	SD	Factor loading	CR	AVE
Re-patronage intention:				.95	.85
I am more likely to attend this event in the future.	4.32	1.57	.90		
The likelihood that I will attend this event in the future is high.	4.12	1.51	.92		
I will attend this event in the future.	4.21	1.53	.95		
Self-enhancement:				.94	.79
I am a better person than I was when I began this event.	4.58	1.31	.85		
I have not changed much since I began this event (reversed).	4.46	1.42	.94		
This event has changed my perspective.	4.62	1.27	.87		
Being able to measure my improvement helps me become better at this event/activity.	4.67	1.21	.89		
Event image:				00	60
This event is cool	4 65	1 58	71	.00	.00
This event is innovative.	4.68	1.41	.72		
This event is sportive.	4.92	1.57	.87		
This event is up to date.	4.78	1.46	.76		
This event is active.	4.81	1.50	.81		
Risk-taking tendency:				.90	.66
I often long for excitement.	4.41	1.50	.82		
I quite enjoy taking risks.	4.50	1.57	.84		
Life with no danger would be too dull for me.	4.46	1.59	.80		
I often long for excitement.	4.46	1.54	.87		
When the odds are against me, I still usually think it worth taking a chance.	4.37	1.59	.71		
Perceived control:				.86	.60
Whenever I want to perform this sport in public events, I only need to search for them	5.19	1.20	.72		

It is easy to perform at this event.	5.13	1.22	.78	
As far as this event is organized, it is easy	5.24	1.31	.83	
for me to perform in it.				
There are few obstacles for me to perform	5.32	1.28	.76	
in this event.				

Note. CR = composite reliability; AVE = average variance extracted; SD = standard deviation

2

1

3

4 Table 2

5 Means, standard deviations, variance inflation factors, and squared correlations.

Va	riable	Mean	SD	VIF	1	2	3	4	5
1	Risk-taking	4.44	1.52	2.49	1	.04	.50	.01	.20
2	Perceived control	5.22	1.18	1.24	.04	1	.14	.04	.06
3	Self-enhancement	4.58	1.24	2.79	.50	.14	1	.01	.30
4	Event image	4.77	1.35	1.05	.01	.04	.01	1	.10
5	Re-patronage intention	4.20	1.47	-	.20	.06	.30	.10	1

6 *Note.* SD = standard deviation. VIF = variance inflation factor. Items were measured on a 7-point scale.

7

8 Table 3

9 Multiple moderated-moderated mediation analysis.

Effect	Coeff	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI
Risk-taking attitude on self-enhancement	.24	.09	2.54	.01	.05	.42
Perceived control on self-enhancement	04	.08	50	.62	19	.11
Moderation of perceived control	.07	.02	3.91	.00	.03	.10
Self-enhancement on re-patronage intention	.29	.14	1.95	.05	.00	.59
Event image on re-patronage intention	01	.13	.10	.92	25	.28
Moderation of event image	.06	.03	2.05	.04	.00	.11
Risk-taking attitude on re-patronage intention	.06	.05	1.07	.29	05	.16
Direct effect	.06	.05	1.07	.29	05	.16

10 *Note.* Coeff = coefficient; SE = standard error; LLCI = lower limit confidence interval; ULCI = upper-limit

11 confidence interval.

1 Table 4

- 2 Moderator analysis: Conditional effect of risk-taking attitude on self-enhancement at values
- 3 of the moderator (perceived control).

Perceived control	Effect	SE	LLCI	ULCI
Low	.28	.05	.20	.41
Medium	.33	.05	.24	.43
High	.37	.06	.27	.48

4 *Note.* SE = standard error; LLCI = lower-limit confidence interval; ULCI = upper-

5 limit confidence interval.

6 Table 5

7 Moderator analysis: Conditional effect of self-enhancement on re-patronage intention at

8 values of the moderator (event image).

Event image	Effect	SE	LLCI	ULCI
Low	.30	.05	.19	.39
Medium	.33	.05	.24	.42
High	.40	.06	.28	.50

9 *Note*. SE = standard error; LLCI = lower-limit confidence

10 interval; ULCI = upper-limit confidence interval.

11





