

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy / Gazzotti, Teresa; Sirri, Federico; Ghelli, Elisa; Zironi, Elisa; Zampiga, Marco; Pagliuca, Giampiero. - In: FOOD CHEMISTRY. - ISSN 0308-8146. - ELETTRONICO. - 362:15 November 2021(2021), pp. 130178.1-130178.7. [10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130178]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/832377 since: 2022-02-09

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130178

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Teresa Gazzotti, Federico Sirri, Elisa Ghelli, Elisa Zironi, Marco Zampiga, Giampiero Pagliuca,

Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy, Food Chemistry,

Volume 362, 2021, 130178,

The final published version is available online at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130178

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy 1 2 Teresa Gazzotti^{1,2*}, Federico Sirri³, Elisa Ghelli¹, Elisa Zironi¹, Marco Zampiga³, Giampiero Pagliuca^{1,2} 3 4 ¹Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences (DIMEVET), University of Bologna, 40064 Ozzano 5 Emilia, Italy 6 ²Health Sciences and Technologies-Interdepartmental Centre for Industrial Research (CIRI-SDV), 7 University of Bologna, 40064 Ozzano Emilia, Italy 8 ³Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DISTAL), University of Bologna, 40064 Ozzano 9 Emilia, Italy 10 * Correspondence: teresa.gazzotti@unibo.it 11 12 **Abstract** 13 14 Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are persistent and bioaccumulative compounds with 15 adverse impacts on the environment and human health. Diet is one of the main sources of exposure to PFASs. Recently, the EFSA established a tolerable weekly intake (TWI) limit (4.4 ng/kg 16 17 b.w.) for a mixture of the four major PFASs. 18 Eggs and egg products can contribute to this intake, with their contamination possibly dependent 19 on the husbandry system. Monitoring Italian eggs from backyard chickens revealed a relatively 20 uniform PFAS contamination, with perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate being the most abundant.

Contamination was detected to be significantly higher in eggs from backyard chickens than in eggs

from commercial laying hens, consistent with a previous Italian study.

21

23	According to the recently set TWT value, the consumption of eggs from backyard chickens could
24	contribute significantly to dietary intake of PFASs (up to 29% of the TWI in children, also
25	considering the lower bound approach).
26	
27	Keywords
28	PFAS; Eggs from backyard chickens; Hen husbandry system; Dietary intake; LC-MS/MS
29	
30	Chemical compounds
31	Chemical compounds studied in this article:
32	Perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate (PubChem CID: 22483718); Perfluoro-n-octanoic-acid (PubChem CID:
33	9554); Perfluoro-n-nonanoic acid (PubChem CID: 67821); Perfluoro-1-hexanesulfonate (PubChem
34	CID: 23704962)
35	
36	
37	Abbreviations
38	b.w., body weight; EBC, eggs from backyard chickens; ECLH, eggs from commercial laying hens; ESI, electrospray
39	ionisation; LB, lower bound; LC, left-censored; LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; MRM, multiple
40	reaction monitoring; PFASs, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances; PFOA, perfluoro-n-octanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluoro-1-
41	hexanesulfonate; PFNA, perfluoro-n-nonanoic acid; PFOS, perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate; POP, persistent organic
42	pollutant; QC, Quality control; SPE, solid-phase extraction; TWI, tolerable weekly intake; UB, upper bound; UPLC-
43	MS/MS, ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry
14	
45	
46	

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

1. Introduction

Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are a class of compounds consisting of a hydrophobic alkyl chain of varying length (usually C4–C16), with all the H atoms replaced by F atoms, such that PFASs contain the perfluoroalkyl moiety (C_nF_{2n+1}) and a hydrophilic end group (Buck et al., 2011). Since the 1940s, PFASs have been produced and used in numerous industrial applications because of their characteristic physicochemical properties (Gluge et al., 2020). In fact, the strength of the C-F bond provides these substances with high thermal, chemical, and biological stability, as well as non-flammability and surface-active properties. Therefore, their physicochemical characteristics make these substances extremely persistent and bioaccumulative with potential adverse impacts on human health and the environment (Su et al., 2017). Among the thousands of commercially manufactured PFASs (Gluge et al., 2020; Sunderland et al., 2019), very few have been monitored in food and the environment and thoroughly investigated with respect to their toxic effects on human health. The most commonly evaluated PFASs are perfluorocarboxylic acids, such as perfluoro-n-octanoic acid (PFOA), and perfluorosulfonic acids, such as perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate (PFOS) (EFSA, 2012; Su et al., 2017). In 2009, PFOS and its salts were included in Annex B of the Stockholm Convention as persistent organic pollutants (POPs), with restrictions placed on their manufacturing and use. Similarly, PFOA and its salts have been included in Annex A of the Stockholm Convention as POPs in 2019, implying that measures should be taken to eliminate their production and use. The European Union Regulation (EU) 2019/1021 on POPs, amended by the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/784, has prohibited the manufacturing, commercialisation, and use of PFOS and its salts, along with that of PFOA, its salts, and its derivatives.

In humans, PFOS and PFOA are rapidly absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract and widely distributed throughout the body. These PFASs are not metabolised and are, instead, excreted through urine and faeces. Human half-lives for PFOS and PFOA are estimated to be approximately 5 and 2-4 years, respectively (EFSA, 2018), and in general, the half-life for long-chain PFASs can exceed 3 years (EFSA, 2020). Human exposure studies to PFAS have focused primarily on serum concentrations (DeLuca, Angrish, Wilkins, Thayer, & Cohen Hubal., 2021). Many studies underscore the relationship between PFAS exposure and adverse health outcomes including dyslipidaemia, especially an increase in serum total cholesterol (EFSA, 2018; Sunderland et al., 2019) and increased serum levels of the liver enzyme, alanine aminotransferase (EFSA, 2020). Moreover, adverse immune outcomes have been reported in children; in particular, PFOS is associated with decreased antibody response at vaccination and reduced birth weight (EFSA, 2018; Liew, Goudarzi, & Oulhote, 2018). Epidemiological data do not provide sufficient indications for PFOS and PFOA carcinogenicity in humans (EFSA, 2020; Kennedy, & Symons, 2015). However, some studies have reported evidence of carcinogenicity, although these are limited to individuals exposed to extremely high PFAS concentrations due to occupational hazard (Sunderland et al., 2019). Dietary intake is considered to be one of the major pathways for human exposure to PFASs, along with drinking water and airborne sources (DeLuca et al., 2021; Jian et al., 2017). Environmental diffusion of PFASs can contaminate the soil and water used in food production. PFASs can enter into the animal food chain via feed, water, and soil ingestion by foraging farm animals, thereby resulting in contamination of products, such as milk, eggs, and meat (EFSA, 2020; Göckener et al., 2020). Food can also be contaminated through the presence of PFAS in food packaging or processing equipment (EFSA, 2020). Several studies have been conducted to assess PFAS contamination in different food products, with fish and seafood reported to contain the highest

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

96 level of these contaminants (Barbarossa et al., 2016; Chiesa et al., 2018; EFSA, 2018; Hlouskova et 97 al., 2013; Jian et al., 2017; Vestergren, Berger, Glynn, & Cousins, 2012). 98 In 2020, EFSA published a recent scientific opinion on the risk to human health associated with the 99 presence of PFASs in food (EFSA, 2020). The novelty of this opinion lies in the fact that it 100 represents an assessment for the sum of four PFASs (namely PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS), 101 claimed to 'contribute most to the levels observed in human serum' and with similar effects in 102 animals and toxicokinetics. 103 In recent years, the EFSA has developed a harmonised framework for evaluating the potential 104 'combined effects' of mixtures of PFASs in food and feed (EFSA, 2019). Based on this approach, the 105 2020 opinion sets a group tolerable weekly intake (TWI) limit of 4.4 ng/kg b.w. for the mixture of 106 the four PFASs. This value was actually lower than that set in the previous EFSA opinion (EFSA, 107 2018), where separate values were set for PFOS and PFOA (13 and 6 ng/kg b.w. per week, 108 respectively). The EFSA CONTAM Panel concluded that parts of the European population exceed 109 this new TWI, which is of concern (EFSA, 2020). This study showed that the main food categories 110 contributing to a combined exposure to PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS were 'Fish meat', 'Fruit and 111 fruit products', and 'Eggs and egg products' across all population groups. Although there are numerous publications on PFAS levels in foods, only few have specifically 112 113 focused on chicken eggs (D'Hollander, de Voogt, & Bervoets, 2011; Zafeiraki et al., 2016). In 114 addition, most studies have focused on wild bird eggs (Miller, Elliott, Elliott, Lee, & Cyr, 2015; 115 Letcher et al., 2015) or other wild animal species related to environmental biomonitoring studies. 116 Other studies have reported PFAS levels in various food items, including chicken egg, but often 117 with a limited number of samples (Guerranti, Perra, Corsolini, & Focardi, 2013; Hlouskova et al., 118 2013; Jain, 2018; Jian et al., 2017; Vestergren, Berger, Glynn, & Cousins, 2012).

Chicken eggs represent a non-negligible part of the human diet, with a per-capita consumption of approximately 14 kg/year both in the EU and Italy (FAO, 2020). As discussed above, these eggs could be a possible source of PFASs in particular, and POPs in general, for humans. It is noteworthy to consider that the contamination level may depend on the bird husbandry system employed (Pajurek, Pietron, Maszewski, Mikolajczyk, & Piskorska Pliszczynska, 2019). In addition to eggs from commercial laying hens (ECLH), many people consume eggs from backyard chickens (EBC), which are usually raised outdoors with access to a pasture where they can forage for small insects, worms, and soil particles. Such feeding behaviour can represent a route of exposure to environmental pollutants, including PFASs, for the chickens, and could therefore represent a potential source of contamination for chicken eggs (Pajurek, Pietron, Maszewski, Mikolajczyk, & Piskorska Pliszczynska, 2019; Zafeiraki et al., 2016). Eggs from rural flocks may represent a PFAS source, particularly for PFOS (Brambilla et al., 2015). Therefore, the aim of the present study was to characterise the contamination level of the four major PFASs (PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS) in EBC in Italy. The next goal was to compare these results with those previously reported for Italian ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019) and that reported in the latest EFSA opinion (EFSA, 2020), using the lower bound (LB) and upper bound (UB) approaches. Finally, the results obtained in the present study were used to further evaluate whether EBC represent an important human dietary source of PFASs.

137

138

139

140

141

142

136

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Sample collection

A total of 208 eggs were collected during the 2018-2019 period from 26 sites distributed along the Italian peninsula, which were classified according to their geographical position into two groups:

North (12 sites) and Centre/South (14 sites), as shown in Figure S1. After collection, the eggs were

boiled, and the yolks were separated from the egg whites. For each sampling site, yolks were pooled to obtain 78 pools of 2 or 3 yolks each, based on their availability. These pooled samples were stored at -20 °C until the analysis.

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

143

144

145

2.2 Reagents and chemicals

Mass spectrometry grade acetonitrile, ammonium acetate, formic acid, and methanol were acquired from Fluka /Honeywell (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sodium hydroxide pellets (>98%) were obtained from Fluka/Honeywell, and ammonium hydroxide (33%) and sodium acetate were procured from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Hydrochloric acid (37%) was purchased from Carlo Erba Reagents (Cornaredo, MI, Italy). Oasis WAX (Weak Anionic eXchange) 3 cc Vac Cartridges, 60 mg (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) were used for solid-phase extraction (SPE). Ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω /cm) was obtained using a Human Power I lab water purification system (Human Corp., Seoul, South Korea). PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS standards and the corresponding isotopically labelled standards (IS), sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4-13C₄]octanesulfonate (M-PFOS), perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4-13C₄]octanoic acid (M-PFOA), perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4,5-13C₅]nonanoic acid (M-PFNA), and perfluoro-1hexane[18O2]sulfonate (M-PFHxS) were purchased from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, ON, Canada). An appropriate amount of each standard was combined and diluted in methanol to obtain a 'PFAS working solution' at a concentration of 50 ng/mL. The 'IS working solution' was prepared using the same procedure.

163

164

165

166

162

2.3 Sample Preparation

Sample preparation was performed according to a previously published method (Ghelli et al., 2019), based on an earlier protocol (Zafeiraki et al., 2016).

Briefly, 1 g of homogenised yolk was fortified with 50 μL of 'IS working solution'.

The sample was then digested with a sodium hydroxide solution and homogenised using Ultra Turrax. In the next step, the sample was extracted by the addition of methanol, followed by HCl (37%). The sample was centrifuged, and the supernatant was transferred into a new tube containing ultrapure water.

The extract was purified by SPE using Oasis WAX cartridges. Finally, the eluate was dried and redissolved in 20 mM ammonium acetate:methanol (90:10) and analysed by UPLC-MS/MS.

All procedure steps were performed using polypropylene (PP) materials to prevent possible interactions of PFAS with glass.

2.4 Analytical conditions

The analytical system included an ACQUITY UPLC system consisting of a binary pump, solvent degasser, autosampler, and column heater fitted with a Waters BEH C18 column (1.7 μm, 2.1 x 50 mm) equipped with a guard column (Waters Corp.). To avoid contamination by LC system, an isolator column for PFAS (2.1 x 50 mm) (Waters Corp.) was used for all analyses. The mobile phase consisted of 20 mM ammonium acetate aqueous solution (A) and methanol (B), and the elution gradient started with 10% B for 1.50 min, followed by a 1 min linear gradient to 80% B, and finally a hold for 1.50 min at 80% B. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min, and the injection volume was set at 10 μL. A Quattro Premiere XE tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an ESCiTM Multi-Mode lonization Source (Waters Corp.) and operated in the negative electrospray ionisation (ESI –) mode was used for the analysis.

The instrument was operated in the multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM), and the two transitions monitored for each analyte are reported in Table S1.

The capillary voltage was 2.0 kV, extractor voltage 2.00 V, source temperature 150 °C and desolvation temperature 450 °C. Data acquisition and processing were performed using the MassLynx 4.1 software (Waters Corp.).

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

190

191

192

2.5 Calibration and validation

The isotope dilution technique was employed to ensure sufficient correction of the response for reliable quantification based on the mass spectrometry data. Matrix-matched calibration curves were prepared for each day of analysis. A 1 g aliquot of blank yolk was spiked with appropriate amounts of the 'PFAS working solution' to obtain 5 levels of concentration (in the range of 0-10 μg/kg) and 50 μL of the 'IS working solution'. Analysis of samples prepared this way showed a good linear response, with linear regression coefficient (R²) values always greater than 0.99. The protocol was validated in accordance with the current European guidelines set by Decision 2002/657/EC (European Commission, 2002). The specificity of the method was demonstrated by establishing the absence of potential interferences around the retention times of the analytes in chromatograms obtained from non-contaminated yolk samples. Quality control (QC) samples were prepared at three different concentrations (0.5, 2, and 5 μg/kg) in four replicates, and were used to demonstrate the accuracy of the method; the maximum relative standard deviation to the mean (CV%) ranged from 9-19 %, and trueness (relative difference between the measured mean value and the spiked concentration) was always lower than 10%. Limits of quantification (LOQs) and limits of detection (LODs) of the method, defined as the concentrations providing a chromatographic signal with a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio equal to 10 and 3, respectively, were estimated to be 0.25 and 0.10 μ g/kg, respectively, for all analytes.

2.6 Weekly intake estimation

Dietary intake of the mixture of four PFASs via EBC was estimated for the whole Italian population, as well as for that in each geographical area (North and Centre/South) considered in this study. The Comprehensive European Food Consumption database (EFSA, 2015), which reports on food consumption by the Italian population in the period of 2005-2006 (based on a survey by INRAN-SCAI (Leclercq et al., 2009)), was used for calculating the dietary intake. The survey reports the average daily consumption of eggs expressed in g/kg b.w. for five different age groups: 0-2, 3-9, 10-17, 18-64, and 65-97 years.

Weekly intake was estimated based on the assumption that the yolk represents approximately 30% of the whole egg and that egg consumption was exclusively represented by those sourced

from EBC.

2.7 Statistical analysis

A descriptive statistical approach (i.e., median value, standard deviation, range) was applied to the data on pooled EBC samples. The concentrations of PFAS compounds in EBC measured in this study and those reported in Italian ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019) were compared with the data reported in the latest EFSA Opinion (EFSA, 2020). The LB values were obtained by assigning a value of zero to all samples reported to be lower than the LOD (<LOD) or LOQ (<LOQ). The UB values were obtained by assigning the numerical value of LOD (<LOD) to values reported as <LOD and LOQ (<LOQ) to values reported as <LOQ. These data were analysed using the Student's <test considering the type of husbandry system as a factor (commercial vs. backyard). The presence of one or more PFASs in the analysed samples (both ECLH and EBC) was determined and expressed as a percentage. Within the EBC group, egg samples were further classified according to the geographical location of the collection site (North or Centre/South Italy), as indicated in Figure

238 S1). In addition, the relative concentrations of PFAS compounds in EBC were analysed using the 239 Student's t- test, considering the geographical location of the collection site (North vs.

Centre/South) as an experimental factor.

241

242

261

(Table 1).

240

3. Results and Discussion

243 3.1 PFAS levels in eggs from EBC 244 Contaminant levels were measured in the yolk, since earlier studies have demonstrated that PFASs 245 are primarily found in the egg yolk rather than in the egg white (Göckener et al., 2020; Zafeiraki et 246 al., 2016). 247 The concentrations of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS measured in the pooled EBC samples are 248 presented in Table 1. 249 Of the 78 pools analysed, 58 (74.4%) showed the presence (>LOD) of PFASs, and PFAS levels were 250 quantifiable (≥LOQ) in 41 (52.6%) pools. PFOS was the most frequently detected contaminant 251 (65.4%), with the highest concentration (up to 3.47 μ g/kg and a median value of 1.29 μ g/kg) 252 among the quantifiable samples. 253 The highest level of PFOS contamination in EBC were in agreement with that reported in previous 254 studies. In particular, the PFOS median value measured in this study aligns with the value reported 255 for eggs collected in Greece (1.1 μ g/kg) while it is considerably lower than that obtained in the 256 Netherlands (3.1 μg/kg) (Zafeiraki et al., 2016) and Belgium (6.8 μg/kg) (D'Hollander, de Voogt, & 257 Bervoets, 2011). In addition, the range of PFOS concentrations obtained in this study for the 258 quantifiable samples (0.25-3.47 μg/kg) is narrower than those found in similar studies conducted 259 in Greece ($<0.5-8.9 \mu g/kg$), the Netherlands ($<0.5-24.8 \mu g/kg$), and Belgium ($0.4-3473 \mu g/kg$). 260 The other three PFASs showed similar frequencies, range values, and concentrations among them

Table 1. Frequency and concentration of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, and Σ (PFASs) analysed in pooled EBC samples

Detected samples		ed samples	Non-quantifiable samples	Quantifiable samples					
	(>	LOD)	(LOD>X>LOQ)	(X>LOQ)					
	Number Fraction (%)		Number	Number	Fraction (%)	Range μg/kg	Median value		
PFOS	51	65.4	12	39	50.0	0.25-3.47	1.29		
PFOA	16	20.5	15	1	1.3	0.62	-		
PFNA	29	37.2	25	4	5.1	0.25-1.20	0.46		
PFHxS	19	24.4	15	4	5.1	0.25-0.50	0.49		
Σ(PFASs)	58	74.4	17	41	52.6	0.25-4.64	1.28		

Next, a comparative analysis of the contaminant levels in EBC collected in northern and central/southern Italy was carried out. These results are provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Concentration of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, and Σ (PFASs) in EBC collected from sites in

North or Centre/South regions of Italy

	LB (μ	g/kg)	UB (μg/kg)	<i>P</i> -value LB	<i>P</i> -value UB
	North	Centre/South	North	Centre/South		
PFOS	0.79 ± 0.13	0.49 ± 0.13	0.86 ± 0.12	0.57 ± 0.12	0.11	0.07
PFOA	0.01 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	0.15 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.38	0.02
PFNA	0.03 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.03	0.16 ± 0.02	0.21	0.34
PFHxS	0.05 ± 0.02	0.00 ± 0.00	0.16 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.01	0.07	0.39
Σ(PFASs)	0.88 ± 0.16	0.53 ± 0.14	1.36 ± 0.15	0.97 ± 0.14	0.07	0.01

The most significant region-wise difference was observed in the total contaminant level (corresponding to a mixture of the four PFASs), which was significantly higher in EBC from northern Italy (UB value: P<0.01). Similarly, PFOA levels were significantly higher in EBC collected from northern Italy (UB: 0.15 vs. 0.11 μ g/kg; P<0.02). These results could be ascribed to the

greater concentration of industrial sites in regions of Northern Italy, which could have resulted in greater contamination of soil and environment and, in turn, to higher PFAS levels in EBC.

3.2 Comparison of PFAS contamination in EBC and ECLH

The results of the present study were compared to those reported earlier in 132 ECLH reared in Italy using the same analytical method (Ghelli et al., 2019) and re-calculated according to the LB and UB approaches or to those reported in the latest EFSA Opinion (EFSA, 2020) as a reference (shown in Table 3).

Table 3. Comparison of the mean levels of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, and Σ (PFASs) measured in EBC or ECLH with those reported in the 2020 EFSA Opinion on 'eggs and egg products'

	PFOS			PFOA		PFNA			PFHxS			Σ(PFASs)		
	LC	LB	UB	LC	LB	UB	LC	LB	UB	LC	LB	UB	LB	UB
	(%)	(μg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(%)	(µg/kg)	(μg/kg)	(%)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(%)	(μg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
EBC (Present study)	50	0.66 ± 0.10	0.73 ± 0.09	99	0.01 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	95	0.03 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.02	95	0.03 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	0.73 ± 0.11	1.20 ± 0.11
ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019)	98	0.01 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	100	0.00 ± 0.00	0.11 ± 0.01	100	0.00 ± 0.00	0.11 ± 0.01	98	0.01 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.45 ± 0.02
EBC vs. ECLH <i>P</i> -value		<0.001	<0.001		0.45	0.10		0.13	<0.001		0.43	0.04	<0.001	<0.001
2020 EFSA Opinion	92	0.27	0.35	92	0.11	0.21	100	0.00	0.10	97	0.00	0.06	-	-

LC: Left-censored (%)

From this comparison, it is evident that the contaminant level in EBC is generally higher than that reported for ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019) and in the 2020 EFSA opinion.

The number of quantifiable samples for PFOS in EBC was considerably higher and the mean values of contaminants more than doubled for both LB and UB compared with those reported in the EFSA opinion. On the contrary, ECLH showed a smaller percentage of quantifiable samples and lower contaminant levels than those reported in the 2020 EFSA opinion.

PFNA and PFHxS were quantifiable in 5% of the EBC samples and, in this case as well, the values of both LB and UB were markedly higher than those reported in the 2020 EFSA opinion. On the other hand, ECLH samples had a similar percentage of quantifiable samples and showed similar levels of contamination for PFNA and a higher level for PFHxS than those reported in the EFSA opinion. In EBC samples, PFOA was quantified in only one pool, and both LB and UB values were found to be lower than those reported in the EFSA opinion. Overall, this finding agrees with the study on ECLH. A direct comparison of the data on EBC and ECLH highlighted the significantly (P<0.01) higher total contaminant level (corresponding to a mixture of the four PFASs), as well as that for PFOS (both LB and UB values) and PFNA (only UB values) in EBC (Table 3). Figure 1 shows the distribution of PFASs in eggs produced in the two husbandry systems (EBC and ECLH). A total of 52.6% of the analysed EBC samples showed the simultaneous presence of more than one PFAS, whereas at least one PFAS was detected in 21.8% of the samples. On the other hand, only 4.5% of the ECLH samples were contaminated by one PFAS, while none of the ECLH samples were reported to contain two or more types of PFAS.

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310




Fig. 1. Fraction (%) of eggs from ECLH and EBC reared in Italy contaminated with one or more PFASs

The observed differences between contamination levels in EBC and ECLH samples could be related to the outdoor rearing technique of hens in the former system, in which birds live in constant contact with the soil and consequently ingest soil-based particles, worms, and insects. It is well known that PFASs accumulate in the environment because of their stability and resistance to chemical and physical degradation. The Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research performed a screening to check for PFAS contamination in surface and underground water bodies in Italy (ISPRA, 2019). Among the perfluoroalkyl substances found in water, PFOS and PFOA were the most common.

PFASs can be adsorbed by the soil and sediment particles due to the interaction of the perfluoroalkyl tails with the organic carbon fraction of the soil, and to a lesser extent, through electrostatic interactions between the hydrophilic terminal group of PFAS and charged moieties present in the clay fraction (Higgins & Luthy, 2006). Longer-chain PFASs are, therefore, more easily

adsorbed into the soil, and sulfonated ones are more abundant than the carboxylated analogues. PFOA is mainly encountered in the liquid phase, whereas PFOS has a higher adsorption capacity. A number of other studies (Amundsen et al., 2008, Das, Megharaj, & Naidu 2015; D'Hollander, De Bruyn, Hagenaars, de Voogt, & Bervoets, 2014; Lasier, Washington, Hassan, & Jenkins, 2011) have evaluated the transfer of PFASs from soil to earthworms. Even in these cases, it was found that the tendency for bioaccumulation increases with increasing chain length and in the presence of a sulfate group. Higher accumulation of sulfate PFASs in soil and earthworms could explain the greater presence of PFOS than PFOA in EBC. An earlier review (Brambilla, D'Hollander, Oliaei, Stahl, & Weber, 2015) modelled PFOS transfer from water to extensive and free-range food-producing animals, while taking into account the spread of contaminated sludge on agricultural land. Although the modelling indicated that foraging behaviour led to 78% of exposure to contaminants in ruminating animals, the soil accounted for >80% of exposure in the case of poultry and pigs raised outdoors. For free-range hens, a soil-to-egg bioaccumulation ratio of 8.9 has been reported. Backyard hens generally live longer than animals reared intensively, and therefore, they are exposed to contaminants for a longer period of time. Moreover, the lower egg deposition rate of backyard hens than that of commercial hybrids with higher reproductive potential could also lead to a higher PFOS content in EBC, as these contaminants accumulate in a lower number of eggs. According to these authors (Brambilla, D'Hollander, Oliaei, Stahl, & Weber, 2015), 'eggs from rural flocks may represent a PFOS source'. Consistently, a study conducted in Italy with 500 women of reproductive age revealed higher plasma levels of PFAS in those who consumed domestic eggs (Defelip et al., 2015).

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

3.3 Weekly intake estimation

Table 4 shows the estimated weekly intakes of the mixture of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS associated with the consumption of EBC for five different age groups in the Italian population. Similar to the previous analysis, these estimates have also been provided as LB and UB values. The resulting weekly intake was compared with the TWI limit of 4.4 ng/kg b.w. recently set by the EFSA for the mixture of the four PFASs (EFSA,2020). It is noteworthy that no data regarding the actual consumption of EBC are available for the Italian population. Therefore, the weekly intakes were estimated considering that egg consumption was exclusively EBC and represented the average exposure for the entire Italian population, as well as the population in each geographical area (North and Centre/South), according to the different age groups. These results showed that the consumption of EBC could substantially contribute to the dietary intake of PFASs. In particular, this is true for the 3-9 years age group, where this intake would represent 29 and 48% of the LB and UB values, respectively. Following the greater contamination of eggs produced in northern Italy, the resulting weekly intake for this region is worse for the same age group, with percentage values reaching 39% (LB) and 55% (UB) of the established limit. Notably, according to the latest EFSA scientific opinion (EFSA, 2020), the calculated LB exposure is likely to be more realistic than UB exposure.

368

369

370

371

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

Table 4. Estimated weekly intake of the mixture of 4 PFASs via EBC in the Italian population for different intervals of age (data in italics refers to North or Centre/South of Italy), calculated in LB and UB concentrations and reported as a percentage of the TWI value set by EFSA (EFSA, 2020)

Age group		Weekly intake (ng/kg b.w.)							
(year)		LB	% TWI	UB	% TWI				
	Italy	0.70	16	1.16	26				
0-2	North	0.86	19	1.32	30				
	Centre/South	0.52	12	0.94	21				
	Italy	1.29	29	2.11	48				
3-9	North	1.56	36	2.41	55				
	Centre/South	0.94	21	1.72	39				
	Italy	0.64	15	1.06	24				
10-17	North	0.78	18	1.21	27				
	Centre/South	0.47	11	0.86	20				
	Italy	0.47	11	0.78	18				
18-64	North	0.58	13	0.89	20				
	Centre/South	0.35	8	0.64	14				
	Italy	0.46	10	0.76	17				
65-97	North	0.56	13	0.86	20				
	Centre/South	0.34	8	0.61	14				
Total	Italy	0.54	12	0.88	20				
Total	North	0.65	15	1.01	23				
population	Centre/South	0.39	9	0.72	16				

4. Conclusion

To our knowledge, this study is the first to monitor levels of PFAS contamination in eggs produced from backyard chicken reared in Italy, which represent a non-negligible share of total egg consumption in the region. Our results revealed a relatively uniform level of contamination, with PFOS representing the most abundant and widespread contaminant in EBC. Overall, the presence and levels of PFAS contamination in EBC were remarkably higher than those previously observed for ECLH. A plausible explanation for this difference could be that PFAS are persistent environmental pollutants, and, therefore, backyard chickens, which live outdoors and are known to forage in the soil, have higher exposure to these substances than do commercially raised hens with no outdoor access. Furthermore, EBC raised in northern regions of Italy had higher PFAS contamination than those raised in the central/southern regions. This could be related to the greater contamination of the soil and environment in northern Italy due to different concentration of industrial sites along the Italian peninsula. Finally, the weekly intake estimation revealed that

389 EBC could represent an important dietary source of PFASs. This is especially true for children (3-9 390 years) where, even considering the LB approach, the dietary intake could contribute to 29% of the 391 TWI value for PFASs. 392 393 394 **Funding** 395 This work was not supported by any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, 396 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. 397 398 References 399 Amundsen, C.E., Forfang, I., Aase, R., Eggen, T., Sørheim, R., Hartnik, T., & Næs, K. (2008). 400 Screening of Polyfluorinated Organic Compounds at Four Fire Training Facilities in Norway; 401 Nowegian Pollution Control Authority; Document Number TA-2444/2008. Retrieved from 402 https://evalueringsportalen.no/evaluering/screening-of-polyfluorinated-organic-compounds-at-403 four-fire-training-facilities-in-norway/ta2444.pdf/@@inline. Accessed January 15, 2021 404 405 Barbarossa, A., Gazzotti, T., Farabegoli, F., Romana Mancini, F., Zironi, E., Badiani, A., Busani, L., & 406 Pagliuca, G. (2016). Comparison of perfluoroalkyl substances contamination in farmed and wild-407 caught European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). Food Control, 63, 224-229. 408 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.12.011. 409 410 Brambilla, G., D'Hollander, W., Oliaei, F., Stahl, T., & Weber, R. (2015). Pathways and factors for 411 food safety and food security at PFOS contaminated sites within a problem based learning

approach. Chemosphere, 129, 192–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.09.050.

413

435 DeLuca, N.M., Angrish, M., Wilkins, A., Thayer, K., & Cohen Hubal, E.A. (2021). Human exposure 436 pathways to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) from indoor media: A systematic review 437 protocol. Environment International, 146, 106308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106308 438 D'Hollander, W., de Voogt, P., & Bervoets, L. (2011). Accumulation of perfluorinated chemicals in 439 440 Belgian home-produced chicken eggs. Organohalogen Compounds, 73, 917-20. 441 442 D'Hollander, W., De Bruyn, L., Hagenaars, A., de Voogt, P., & Bervoets, L. (2014). Characterisation 443 of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) in a terrestrial ecosystem near a fluorochemical plant in 444 Flanders, Belgium. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International, 21, 11856-66. 445 https://doi.org/0.1007/s11356-013-2449-4. 446 447 EFSA, European Food Safety Authority. (2012). Perfluoroalkylated substances in food: Occurrence 448 and dietary exposure. EFSA Journal, 10, 2743–2798. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2743. 449 450 EFSA, European Food Safety Authority. (2015). The EFSA comprehensive food consumption 451 database. Retrieved from https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/food-consumption/comprehensive- 452 database. Accessed January 15, 2021. 453 454 EFSA, Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). (2018). Scientific Opinion on the risk 455 to human health related to the presence of perfluorooctanesulfonic acid and perfluorooctanoic 456 acid in food. EFSA Journal, 16(12), 5194. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5194.

458 EFSA, Scientific Committee. (2019). Guidance on harmonised methodologies for human health, 459 animal health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals. EFSA 460 Journal 17(3), 5634. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5634. 461 EFSA, Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). (2020). Scientific Opinion on the risk 462 463 to human health related to the presence of perfluoroalkyl substances in food. EFSA Journal, 18 (9), 464 6223. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6223. 465 466 European Commission. (2002). Commission Decision of 12 August 2002 implementing council 467 directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of 468 results. *Official Journal of the European Communities* Vol. L 221, pp. 8-36. 469 470 European Commission. (2020). Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/784 of 8 April 2020 471 amending Annex I to Regulation (EU) 2019/1021 of the European Parliament and of the Council as 472 regards the listing of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), its salts and PFOA-related compounds. Official 473 Journal of the European Union Vol. L 188, pp. 1-5. 474 475 European Parliament and Council. (2019). Regulation (EU) 2019/1021 of the European Parliament 476 and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on persistent organic pollutants. Official Journal of the 477 European Union (Vol. L 169, pp. 45-77). 478 479 FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). Faostat. Food and Agriculture Organization of the 480 United Nations, Rome. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/. Accessed January, 15

2021.

482 483 Ghelli, E., Tondo, M.T., Zironi, E., Pagliuca, G., Sirri F., & Gazzotti T. (2019). Preliminary monitoring 484 of the presence of perfluoroalkyl substances in Italian eggs from different breeding systems. Italian Journal of Food Safety, 8 (2), 7702, 120-123. https://doi.org/10.4081/ijfs.2019.7702 485 486 487 Göckener, B., Eichhorn, M., Lämmer, R., Kotthoff, M., Kowalczyk, J., Numata, J., Schafft, H., 488 Lahrssen-Wiederholt, M., & Mark Bücking, M. (2020) Transfer of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl 489 Substances (PFAS) from Feed into the Eggs of Laying Hens. Part 1: Analytical Results Including a 490 Modified Total Oxidizable Precursor Assay. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 68 (45), 491 12527-12538. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c04456 492 493 Guerranti, C., Perra, G., Corsolini, S., & Focardi, S.E. (2013). Pilot study on levels of perfluorooctane 494 sulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) in selected foodstuffs and human milk 495 from Italy. Food Chemistry, 140, 197-203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.12.066. 496 497 Glüge, J., Scheringer, M., Cousins, I.T., DeWitt, J.C., Goldenman, G., Herzke, D., Lohmann, R., Ng, 498 C.A., Trier, X., & Wang, Z. (2020). An overview of the uses of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances 499 (PFAS). Environmental Science Processes & Impacts, 22, 2345. 500 https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00291G 501 502 Higgins, C.P., & Luthy, R.G., (2006). Sorption of perfluorinated surfactants on sediments. 503 Environmental Science & Technology, 40, 7251-7256. https://doi.org/10.1021/es061000n.

505 Hlouskova, V., Hradkova, P., Poustka, J., Brambilla, G., De Filipps, S.P., D'Hollander, W., Bervoets, 506 L., Herzke, D., Huber, S., de Voogt, P., & Pulkrabova, J. (2013). Occurrence of perfluoroalkyl 507 substances (PFASs) in various food items of animal origin collected in four European countries. 508 Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 30, 1918–32. 509 https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2013.837585. 510 511 ISPRA, Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale. (2019). Indirizzi per la progettazione delle reti di monitoraggio delle sostanze perfluoroalchiliche (PFAS) nei corpi idrici 512 513 superficiali e sotterranei. Rapporti 305/2019. Retrievede from 514 https://www.isprambiente.gov.it/files2019/pubblicazioni/rapporti/R 305 19 Progettaz PFAS.pdf 515 Accessed January, 15 2021. 516 517 Jain, R.B. (2018). Contribution of diet and other factors to the observed levels of selected 518 perfluoroalkyl acids in serum among US children aged 3-11 years. Environmental Research, 161, 519 268-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.11.018. 520 521 Jian, J.M., Guo, Y., Zeng, L., Liang Ying, L., Lu, Xi., Wang, F., & Zeng, E.Y. (2017). Global distribution 522 of perfluorochemicals (PFCs) in potential human exposure source—A review. Environment 523 International, 108, 51-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.07.024. 524 525 Kennedy, G.L., & Symons, J.M. (2015). Carcinogenicity of Perfluoroalkyl Compounds. In: J. DeWitt 526 (Eds.), Toxicological Effects of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances. Molecular and 527 Integrative Toxicology (pp. 265-304). Humana Press Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-

528

15518-0_12

529	
530	Lasier, P.J., Washington, J.W., Hassan, S.M., & Jenkins, T.M. (2011). Perfluorinated Chemicals in
531	Surface Waters and Sediments from Northwest Georgia, USA, and their Bioaccumulation in
532	Lumbriculus variegatus. Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry, 30, 2194-2201.
533	https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.622.
534	
535	Leclercq, C., Arcella, D., Piccinelli, R., Sette, S., Le Donne, C., & Turrini, A. (2009). The Italian
536	National Food Consumption Survey INRAN-SCAI 2005-06: main results in terms of food
537	consumption. Public Health Nutrition, 12 (12), 2504–2532.
538	https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980009005035.
539	
540	Letcher, R.J., Su, G., Moore, J.N., Williams, L.L., Martin, P.A., de Solla, S.R., & Bowerman, W.W.
541	(2015). Perfluorinated sulfonate and carboxylate compounds and precursors in herring gull eggs
542	from across the Laurentian Great Lakes of North America: Temporal and recent spatial
543	comparisons and exposure implications. Science of the Total Environment, 538, 468–77.
544	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.083.
545	
546	Liew, Z., Goudarzi H., & Oulhote Y. (2018). Developmental Exposures to Perfluoroalkyl Substances
547	(PFASs): An Update of Associated Health Outcomes. Current Environmental Health Reports 5, 1–19
548	https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-018-0173-4
549	
550	Miller, A., Elliott, J.E., Elliott, K.H., Lee, S., & Cyr, F. (2015). Temporal trends of perfluoroalkyl
551	substances (PEAS) in eggs of coastal and offshore birds; increasing PEAS levels associated with

552 offshore bird species breeding on the Pacific coast of Canada and wintering near Asia. 553 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 34, 1799–1808. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2992. 554 Pajurek, M., Pietron, W., Maszewski, S., Mikolajczyk, S., & Piskorska Pliszczynska, J. (2019). Poultry 555 556 eggs as a source of PCDD/Fs, PCBs, PBDEs and PBDD/Fs, Chemosphere, 223, 651-658. 557 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.023. 558 559 Su, H., Shi, Y., Lu, Y., Wang, P., Zhang, M., Sweetman, A., Jones, K., & Johnson, A. (2017). Home 560 produced eggs: An important pathway of human exposure to perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) and 561 perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) around a fluorochemical industrial park in China. Environment 562 International, 101, 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.01.016. 563 564 Sunderland, E.M., Hu, X.C., Dassuncao, C., Tokranov, A.K., Wagner, C.C., & Allen, J.G. (2019). A

review of the pathways of human exposure to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) and present understanding of health effects. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, 29, 131–147. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0094-1.

568

572

Vestergren, R., Berger, U., Glynn, A. & Cousins, I.T. (2012). Dietary exposure to perfluoroalkyl acids for the Swedish population in 1999, 2005 and 2010. *Environment International, 49*, 120–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.08.016.

Zafeiraki, E., Costopoulou, D., Vassiliadou, I., Leondiadis, L., Dassenakis, E., Hoogenboom, R.L.A.P.
 & van Leeuwen, S.P.J. (2016). Perfluoroalkylated substances (PFASs) in home and commercially

- 575 produced chicken eggs from the Netherlands and Greece. *Chemosphere*, *144*, 2106–12.
- 576 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.105.
- 577
- 578