

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy / Gazzotti, Teresa; Sirri, Federico; Ghelli, Elisa; Zironi, Elisa; Zampiga, Marco; Pagliuca, Giampiero. - In: FOOD CHEMISTRY. - ISSN 0308-8146. - ELETTRONICO. - 362:15 November 2021(2021), pp. 130178.1-130178.7. [10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130178]

Availability:

This version is available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/11585/832377> since: 2022-02-09

Published:

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130178>

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>).
When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the final peer-reviewed accepted manuscript of:

Teresa Gazzotti, Federico Sirri, Elisa Ghelli, Elisa Zironi, Marco Zampiga, Giampiero Pagliuca,
Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy,
Food Chemistry,
Volume 362,
2021,
130178,

The final published version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130178>

Rights / License:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (<https://cris.unibo.it/>)

When citing, please refer to the published version.

1 **Perfluoroalkyl contaminants in eggs from backyard chickens reared in Italy**

2 Teresa Gazzotti^{1,2*}, Federico Sirri³, Elisa Ghelli¹, Elisa Zironi¹, Marco Zampiga³, Giampiero
3 Pagliuca^{1,2}

4 ¹Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences (DIMEVET), University of Bologna, 40064 Ozzano
5 Emilia, Italy

6 ²Health Sciences and Technologies-Interdepartmental Centre for Industrial Research (CIRI-SDV),
7 University of Bologna, 40064 Ozzano Emilia, Italy

8 ³Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences (DISTAL), University of Bologna, 40064 Ozzano
9 Emilia, Italy

10

11 * Correspondence: teresa.gazzotti@unibo.it

12

13 *Abstract*

14 Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are persistent and bioaccumulative compounds with
15 adverse impacts on the environment and human health. Diet is one of the main sources of
16 exposure to PFASs. Recently, the EFSA established a tolerable weekly intake (TWI) limit (4.4 ng/kg
17 b.w.) for a mixture of the four major PFASs.

18 Eggs and egg products can contribute to this intake, with their contamination possibly dependent
19 on the husbandry system. Monitoring Italian eggs from backyard chickens revealed a relatively
20 uniform PFAS contamination, with perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate being the most abundant.

21 Contamination was detected to be significantly higher in eggs from backyard chickens than in eggs
22 from commercial laying hens, consistent with a previous Italian study.

23 According to the recently set TWI value, the consumption of eggs from backyard chickens could
24 contribute significantly to dietary intake of PFASs (up to 29% of the TWI in children, also
25 considering the lower bound approach).

26

27 *Keywords*

28 PFAS; Eggs from backyard chickens; Hen husbandry system; Dietary intake; LC-MS/MS

29

30 *Chemical compounds*

31 Chemical compounds studied in this article:

32 Perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate (PubChem CID: 22483718); Perfluoro-n-octanoic-acid (PubChem CID:
33 9554); Perfluoro-n-nonanoic acid (PubChem CID: 67821); Perfluoro-1-hexanesulfonate (PubChem
34 CID: 23704962)

35

36

37 *Abbreviations*

38 b.w., body weight; EBC, eggs from backyard chickens; ECLH, eggs from commercial laying hens; ESI, electrospray
39 ionisation; LB, lower bound; LC, left-censored; LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; MRM, multiple
40 reaction monitoring; PFASs, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances; PFOA, perfluoro-n-octanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluoro-1-
41 hexanesulfonate; PFNA, perfluoro-n-nonanoic acid; PFOS, perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate; POP, persistent organic
42 pollutant; QC, Quality control; SPE, solid-phase extraction; TWI, tolerable weekly intake; UB, upper bound; UPLC-
43 MS/MS, ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

44

45

46

47

48

50 *1. Introduction*

51 Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are a class of compounds consisting of a hydrophobic
52 alkyl chain of varying length (usually C4–C16), with all the H atoms replaced by F atoms, such that
53 PFASs contain the perfluoroalkyl moiety (C_nF_{2n+1}) and a hydrophilic end group (Buck et al., 2011).
54 Since the 1940s, PFASs have been produced and used in numerous industrial applications because
55 of their characteristic physicochemical properties (Gluge et al., 2020). In fact, the strength of the
56 C-F bond provides these substances with high thermal, chemical, and biological stability, as well as
57 non-flammability and surface-active properties. Therefore, their physicochemical characteristics
58 make these substances extremely persistent and bioaccumulative with potential adverse impacts
59 on human health and the environment (Su et al., 2017).

60 Among the thousands of commercially manufactured PFASs (Gluge et al., 2020; Sunderland et al.,
61 2019), very few have been monitored in food and the environment and thoroughly investigated
62 with respect to their toxic effects on human health. The most commonly evaluated PFASs are
63 perfluorocarboxylic acids, such as perfluoro-n-octanoic acid (PFOA), and perfluorosulfonic acids,
64 such as perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate (PFOS) (EFSA, 2012; Su et al., 2017). In 2009, PFOS and its
65 salts were included in Annex B of the Stockholm Convention as persistent organic pollutants
66 (POPs), with restrictions placed on their manufacturing and use. Similarly, PFOA and its salts have
67 been included in Annex A of the Stockholm Convention as POPs in 2019, implying that measures
68 should be taken to eliminate their production and use. The European Union Regulation (EU)
69 2019/1021 on POPs, amended by the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/784, has
70 prohibited the manufacturing, commercialisation, and use of PFOS and its salts, along with that of
71 PFOA, its salts, and its derivatives.

72 In humans, PFOS and PFOA are rapidly absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract and widely
73 distributed throughout the body. These PFASs are not metabolised and are, instead, excreted
74 through urine and faeces. Human half-lives for PFOS and PFOA are estimated to be approximately
75 5 and 2–4 years, respectively (EFSA, 2018), and in general, the half-life for long-chain PFASs can
76 exceed 3 years (EFSA, 2020). Human exposure studies to PFAS have focused primarily on serum
77 concentrations (DeLuca, Angrish, Wilkins, Thayer, & Cohen Hubal., 2021). Many studies
78 underscore the relationship between PFAS exposure and adverse health outcomes including
79 dyslipidaemia, especially an increase in serum total cholesterol (EFSA, 2018; Sunderland et al.,
80 2019) and increased serum levels of the liver enzyme, alanine aminotransferase (EFSA, 2020).
81 Moreover, adverse immune outcomes have been reported in children; in particular, PFOS is
82 associated with decreased antibody response at vaccination and reduced birth weight (EFSA,
83 2018; Liew, Goudarzi, & Oulhote, 2018).

84 Epidemiological data do not provide sufficient indications for PFOS and PFOA carcinogenicity in
85 humans (EFSA, 2020; Kennedy, & Symons, 2015). However, some studies have reported evidence
86 of carcinogenicity, although these are limited to individuals exposed to extremely high PFAS
87 concentrations due to occupational hazard (Sunderland et al., 2019).

88 Dietary intake is considered to be one of the major pathways for human exposure to PFASs, along
89 with drinking water and airborne sources (DeLuca et al., 2021; Jian et al., 2017). Environmental
90 diffusion of PFASs can contaminate the soil and water used in food production. PFASs can enter
91 into the animal food chain via feed, water, and soil ingestion by foraging farm animals, thereby
92 resulting in contamination of products, such as milk, eggs, and meat (EFSA, 2020; Göckener et al.,
93 2020). Food can also be contaminated through the presence of PFAS in food packaging or
94 processing equipment (EFSA, 2020). Several studies have been conducted to assess PFAS
95 contamination in different food products, with fish and seafood reported to contain the highest

96 level of these contaminants (Barbarossa et al., 2016; Chiesa et al., 2018; EFSA, 2018; Hlouskova et
97 al., 2013; Jian et al., 2017; Vestergren, Berger, Glynn, & Cousins, 2012).

98 In 2020, EFSA published a recent scientific opinion on the risk to human health associated with the
99 presence of PFASs in food (EFSA, 2020). The novelty of this opinion lies in the fact that it
100 represents an assessment for the sum of four PFASs (namely PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS),
101 claimed to 'contribute most to the levels observed in human serum' and with similar effects in
102 animals and toxicokinetics.

103 In recent years, the EFSA has developed a harmonised framework for evaluating the potential
104 'combined effects' of mixtures of PFASs in food and feed (EFSA, 2019). Based on this approach, the
105 2020 opinion sets a group tolerable weekly intake (TWI) limit of 4.4 ng/kg b.w. for the mixture of
106 the four PFASs. This value was actually lower than that set in the previous EFSA opinion (EFSA,
107 2018), where separate values were set for PFOS and PFOA (13 and 6 ng/kg b.w. per week,
108 respectively). The EFSA CONTAM Panel concluded that parts of the European population exceed
109 this new TWI, which is of concern (EFSA, 2020). This study showed that the main food categories
110 contributing to a combined exposure to PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS were 'Fish meat', 'Fruit and
111 fruit products', and 'Eggs and egg products' across all population groups.

112 Although there are numerous publications on PFAS levels in foods, only few have specifically
113 focused on chicken eggs (D'Hollander, de Voogt, & Bervoets, 2011; Zafeiraki et al., 2016). In
114 addition, most studies have focused on wild bird eggs (Miller, Elliott, Elliott, Lee, & Cyr, 2015;
115 Letcher et al., 2015) or other wild animal species related to environmental biomonitoring studies.

116 Other studies have reported PFAS levels in various food items, including chicken egg, but often
117 with a limited number of samples (Guerranti, Perra, Corsolini, & Focardi, 2013; Hlouskova et al.,
118 2013; Jain, 2018; Jian et al., 2017; Vestergren, Berger, Glynn, & Cousins, 2012).

119 Chicken eggs represent a non-negligible part of the human diet, with a per-capita consumption of
120 approximately 14 kg/year both in the EU and Italy (FAO, 2020). As discussed above, these eggs
121 could be a possible source of PFASs in particular, and POPs in general, for humans. It is noteworthy
122 to consider that the contamination level may depend on the bird husbandry system employed
123 (Pajurek, Pietron, Maszewski, Mikolajczyk, & Piskorska Pliszczynska, 2019). In addition to eggs
124 from commercial laying hens (ECLH), many people consume eggs from backyard chickens (EBC),
125 which are usually raised outdoors with access to a pasture where they can forage for small insects,
126 worms, and soil particles. Such feeding behaviour can represent a route of exposure to
127 environmental pollutants, including PFASs, for the chickens, and could therefore represent a
128 potential source of contamination for chicken eggs (Pajurek, Pietron, Maszewski, Mikolajczyk, &
129 Piskorska Pliszczynska, 2019; Zafeiraki et al., 2016). Eggs from rural flocks may represent a PFAS
130 source, particularly for PFOS (Brambilla et al., 2015).

131 Therefore, the aim of the present study was to characterise the contamination level of the four
132 major PFASs (PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS) in EBC in Italy. The next goal was to compare these
133 results with those previously reported for Italian ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019) and that reported in the
134 latest EFSA opinion (EFSA, 2020), using the lower bound (LB) and upper bound (UB) approaches.
135 Finally, the results obtained in the present study were used to further evaluate whether EBC
136 represent an important human dietary source of PFASs.

137

138 *2. Material and Methods*

139 *2.1 Sample collection*

140 A total of 208 eggs were collected during the 2018-2019 period from 26 sites distributed along the
141 Italian peninsula, which were classified according to their geographical position into two groups:
142 North (12 sites) and Centre/South (14 sites), as shown in Figure S1. After collection, the eggs were

143 boiled, and the yolks were separated from the egg whites. For each sampling site, yolks were
144 pooled to obtain 78 pools of 2 or 3 yolks each, based on their availability. These pooled samples
145 were stored at -20 °C until the analysis.

146

147 *2.2 Reagents and chemicals*

148 Mass spectrometry grade acetonitrile, ammonium acetate, formic acid, and methanol were
149 acquired from Fluka /Honeywell (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sodium hydroxide pellets (>98%) were
150 obtained from Fluka/Honeywell, and ammonium hydroxide (33%) and sodium acetate were
151 procured from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Hydrochloric acid (37%) was purchased from
152 Carlo Erba Reagents (Cornaredo, MI, Italy). Oasis WAX (Weak Anionic eXchange) 3 cc Vac
153 Cartridges, 60 mg (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) were used for solid-phase extraction (SPE).
154 Ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ/cm) was obtained using a Human Power I lab water purification system
155 (Human Corp., Seoul, South Korea).
156 PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS standards and the corresponding isotopically labelled standards (IS),
157 sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4-¹³C₄]octanesulfonate (M-PFOS), perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4-¹³C₄]octanoic
158 acid (M-PFOA), perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4,5-¹³C₅]nonanoic acid (M-PFNA), and perfluoro-1-
159 hexane[¹⁸O₂]sulfonate (M-PFHxS) were purchased from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, ON,
160 Canada). An appropriate amount of each standard was combined and diluted in methanol to
161 obtain a 'PFAS working solution' at a concentration of 50 ng/mL. The 'IS working solution' was
162 prepared using the same procedure.

163

164 *2.3 Sample Preparation*

165 Sample preparation was performed according to a previously published method (Ghelli et al.,
166 2019), based on an earlier protocol (Zafeiraki et al., 2016).

167 Briefly, 1 g of homogenised yolk was fortified with 50 µL of 'IS working solution'.
168 The sample was then digested with a sodium hydroxide solution and homogenised using Ultra
169 Turrax. In the next step, the sample was extracted by the addition of methanol, followed by HCl
170 (37%). The sample was centrifuged, and the supernatant was transferred into a new tube
171 containing ultrapure water.
172 The extract was purified by SPE using Oasis WAX cartridges. Finally, the eluate was dried and
173 redissolved in 20 mM ammonium acetate:methanol (90:10) and analysed by UPLC-MS/MS.
174 All procedure steps were performed using polypropylene (PP) materials to prevent possible
175 interactions of PFAS with glass.

176

177 *2.4 Analytical conditions*

178 The analytical system included an ACQUITY UPLC system consisting of a binary pump, solvent
179 degasser, autosampler, and column heater fitted with a Waters BEH C18 column (1.7 µm, 2.1 x 50
180 mm) equipped with a guard column (Waters Corp.). To avoid contamination by LC system, an
181 isolator column for PFAS (2.1 x 50 mm) (Waters Corp.) was used for all analyses. The mobile phase
182 consisted of 20 mM ammonium acetate aqueous solution (A) and methanol (B), and the elution
183 gradient started with 10% B for 1.50 min, followed by a 1 min linear gradient to 80% B, and finally
184 a hold for 1.50 min at 80% B. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min, and the injection volume was set at
185 10 µL. A Quattro Premiere XE tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an ESCi™
186 Multi-Mode Ionization Source (Waters Corp.) and operated in the negative electrospray ionisation
187 (ESI –) mode was used for the analysis.
188 The instrument was operated in the multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM), and the two
189 transitions monitored for each analyte are reported in Table S1.

190 The capillary voltage was 2.0 kV, extractor voltage 2.00 V, source temperature 150 °C and
191 desolvation temperature 450 °C. Data acquisition and processing were performed using the
192 MassLynx 4.1 software (Waters Corp.).

193

194 *2.5 Calibration and validation*

195 The isotope dilution technique was employed to ensure sufficient correction of the response for
196 reliable quantification based on the mass spectrometry data.

197 Matrix-matched calibration curves were prepared for each day of analysis. A 1 g aliquot of blank
198 yolk was spiked with appropriate amounts of the 'PFAS working solution' to obtain 5 levels of
199 concentration (in the range of 0-10 µg/kg) and 50 µL of the 'IS working solution'. Analysis of
200 samples prepared this way showed a good linear response, with linear regression coefficient (R^2)
201 values always greater than 0.99.

202 The protocol was validated in accordance with the current European guidelines set by Decision
203 2002/657/EC (European Commission, 2002). The specificity of the method was demonstrated by
204 establishing the absence of potential interferences around the retention times of the analytes in
205 chromatograms obtained from non-contaminated yolk samples. Quality control (QC) samples
206 were prepared at three different concentrations (0.5, 2, and 5 µg/kg) in four replicates, and were
207 used to demonstrate the accuracy of the method; the maximum relative standard deviation to the
208 mean (CV%) ranged from 9-19 %, and trueness (relative difference between the measured mean
209 value and the spiked concentration) was always lower than 10%. Limits of quantification (LOQs)
210 and limits of detection (LODs) of the method, defined as the concentrations providing a
211 chromatographic signal with a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio equal to 10 and 3, respectively, were
212 estimated to be 0.25 and 0.10 µg/kg, respectively, for all analytes.

213

2.6 Weekly intake estimation

Dietary intake of the mixture of four PFASs via EBC was estimated for the whole Italian population, as well as for that in each geographical area (North and Centre/South) considered in this study.

The Comprehensive European Food Consumption database (EFSA, 2015), which reports on food consumption by the Italian population in the period of 2005-2006 (based on a survey by INRAN-SCAI (Leclercq et al., 2009)), was used for calculating the dietary intake. The survey reports the average daily consumption of eggs expressed in g/kg b.w. for five different age groups: 0-2, 3-9, 10-17, 18-64, and 65-97 years.

Weekly intake was estimated based on the assumption that the yolk represents approximately 30% of the whole egg and that egg consumption was exclusively represented by those sourced from EBC.

2.7 Statistical analysis

A descriptive statistical approach (i.e., median value, standard deviation, range) was applied to the data on pooled EBC samples. The concentrations of PFAS compounds in EBC measured in this study and those reported in Italian ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019) were compared with the data reported in the latest EFSA Opinion (EFSA, 2020). The LB values were obtained by assigning a value of zero to all samples reported to be lower than the LOD (<LOD) or LOQ (<LOQ). The UB values were obtained by assigning the numerical value of LOD (0.10 µg/kg) to values reported as <LOD and LOQ (0.25 µg/kg) to values reported as <LOQ. These data were analysed using the Student's *t*-test considering the type of husbandry system as a factor (commercial vs. backyard). The presence of one or more PFASs in the analysed samples (both ECLH and EBC) was determined and expressed as a percentage. Within the EBC group, egg samples were further classified according to the geographical location of the collection site (North or Centre/South Italy), as indicated in Figure

238 S1). In addition, the relative concentrations of PFAS compounds in EBC were analysed using the
239 Student's *t*-test, considering the geographical location of the collection site (North vs.
240 Centre/South) as an experimental factor.

241

242 3. Results and Discussion

243 3.1 PFAS levels in eggs from EBC

244 Contaminant levels were measured in the yolk, since earlier studies have demonstrated that PFASs
245 are primarily found in the egg yolk rather than in the egg white (Göckener et al., 2020; Zafeiraki et
246 al., 2016).

247 The concentrations of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS measured in the pooled EBC samples are
248 presented in Table 1.

249 Of the 78 pools analysed, 58 (74.4%) showed the presence (>LOD) of PFASs, and PFAS levels were
250 quantifiable (\geq LOQ) in 41 (52.6%) pools. PFOS was the most frequently detected contaminant
251 (65.4%), with the highest concentration (up to 3.47 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ and a median value of 1.29 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)
252 among the quantifiable samples.

253 The highest level of PFOS contamination in EBC were in agreement with that reported in previous
254 studies. In particular, the PFOS median value measured in this study aligns with the value reported
255 for eggs collected in Greece (1.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) while it is considerably lower than that obtained in the
256 Netherlands (3.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) (Zafeiraki et al., 2016) and Belgium (6.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) (D'Hollander, de Voogt, &
257 Bervoets, 2011). In addition, the range of PFOS concentrations obtained in this study for the
258 quantifiable samples (0.25–3.47 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) is narrower than those found in similar studies conducted
259 in Greece (<0.5–8.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$), the Netherlands (<0.5–24.8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$), and Belgium (0.4–3473 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$).

260 The other three PFASs showed similar frequencies, range values, and concentrations among them
261 (Table 1).

262

263 **Table 1.** Frequency and concentration of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, and Σ (PFASs) analysed in
264 pooled EBC samples

| | Detected samples (>LOD) | | Non-quantifiable samples (LOD>X>LOQ) | | Quantifiable samples (X>LOQ) | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Number | Fraction (%) | Number | Number | Fraction (%) | Range $\mu\text{g/kg}$ | Median value |
| PFOS | 51 | 65.4 | 12 | 39 | 50.0 | 0.25-3.47 | 1.29 |
| PFOA | 16 | 20.5 | 15 | 1 | 1.3 | 0.62 | - |
| PFNA | 29 | 37.2 | 25 | 4 | 5.1 | 0.25-1.20 | 0.46 |
| PFHxS | 19 | 24.4 | 15 | 4 | 5.1 | 0.25-0.50 | 0.49 |
| Σ (PFASs) | 58 | 74.4 | 17 | 41 | 52.6 | 0.25-4.64 | 1.28 |

265

266 Next, a comparative analysis of the contaminant levels in EBC collected in northern and
267 central/southern Italy was carried out. These results are provided in Table 2.

268

269 **Table 2.** Concentration of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, and Σ (PFASs) in EBC collected from sites in
270 North or Centre/South regions of Italy

| | LB ($\mu\text{g/kg}$) | | UB ($\mu\text{g/kg}$) | | P-value LB | P-value UB |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | North | Centre/South | North | Centre/South | | |
| PFOS | 0.79 ± 0.13 | 0.49 ± 0.13 | 0.86 ± 0.12 | 0.57 ± 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| PFOA | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.15 ± 0.01 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.38 | 0.02 |
| PFNA | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 0.19 ± 0.03 | 0.16 ± 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.34 |
| PFHxS | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.16 ± 0.02 | 0.13 ± 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.39 |
| Σ (PFASs) | 0.88 ± 0.16 | 0.53 ± 0.14 | 1.36 ± 0.15 | 0.97 ± 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.01 |

271

272 The most significant region-wise difference was observed in the total contaminant level
273 (corresponding to a mixture of the four PFASs), which was significantly higher in EBC from
274 northern Italy (UB value: $P<0.01$). Similarly, PFOA levels were significantly higher in EBC collected
275 from northern Italy (UB: 0.15 vs. 0.11 $\mu\text{g/kg}$; $P<0.02$). These results could be ascribed to the

greater concentration of industrial sites in regions of Northern Italy, which could have resulted in greater contamination of soil and environment and, in turn, to higher PFAS levels in EBC.

278

3.2 Comparison of PFAS contamination in EBC and ECLH

The results of the present study were compared to those reported earlier in 132 ECLH reared in Italy using the same analytical method (Ghelli et al., 2019) and re-calculated according to the LB and UB approaches or to those reported in the latest EFSA Opinion (EFSA, 2020) as a reference (shown in Table 3).

284

Table 3. Comparison of the mean levels of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, and Σ (PFASs) measured in EBC or ECLH with those reported in the 2020 EFSA Opinion on ‘eggs and egg products’

| | PFOS | | | PFOA | | | PFNA | | | PFHxS | | | Σ (PFASs) | |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | LC (%) | LB (µg/kg) | UB (µg/kg) | LC (%) | LB (µg/kg) | UB (µg/kg) | LC (%) | LB (µg/kg) | UB (µg/kg) | LC (%) | LB (µg/kg) | UB (µg/kg) | LB (µg/kg) | UB (µg/kg) |
| EBC (Present study) | 50 | 0.66 ± 0.10 | 0.73 ± 0.09 | 99 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 95 | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.18 ± 0.02 | 95 | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 0.15 ± 0.01 | 0.73 ± 0.11 | 1.20 ± 0.11 |
| ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019) | 98 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 100 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 100 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 98 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.45 ± 0.02 |
| EBC vs. ECLH P-value | | <0.001 | <0.001 | | 0.45 | 0.10 | | 0.13 | <0.001 | | 0.43 | 0.04 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 2020 EFSA Opinion | 92 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 92 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 97 | 0.00 | 0.06 | - | - |

LC: Left-censored (%)

288

From this comparison, it is evident that the contaminant level in EBC is generally higher than that reported for ECLH (Ghelli et al., 2019) and in the 2020 EFSA opinion.

The number of quantifiable samples for PFOS in EBC was considerably higher and the mean values of contaminants more than doubled for both LB and UB compared with those reported in the EFSA opinion. On the contrary, ECLH showed a smaller percentage of quantifiable samples and lower contaminant levels than those reported in the 2020 EFSA opinion.

295 PFNA and PFHxS were quantifiable in 5% of the EBC samples and, in this case as well, the values of
296 both LB and UB were markedly higher than those reported in the 2020 EFSA opinion. On the other
297 hand, ECLH samples had a similar percentage of quantifiable samples and showed similar levels of
298 contamination for PFNA and a higher level for PFHxS than those reported in the EFSA opinion.
299 In EBC samples, PFOA was quantified in only one pool, and both LB and UB values were found to
300 be lower than those reported in the EFSA opinion. Overall, this finding agrees with the study on
301 ECLH.

302 A direct comparison of the data on EBC and ECLH highlighted the significantly ($P<0.01$) higher total
303 contaminant level (corresponding to a mixture of the four PFASs), as well as that for PFOS (both LB
304 and UB values) and PFNA (only UB values) in EBC (Table 3).

305 Figure 1 shows the distribution of PFASs in eggs produced in the two husbandry systems (EBC and
306 ECLH). A total of 52.6% of the analysed EBC samples showed the simultaneous presence of more
307 than one PFAS, whereas at least one PFAS was detected in 21.8% of the samples. On the other
308 hand, only 4.5% of the ECLH samples were contaminated by one PFAS, while none of the ECLH
309 samples were reported to contain two or more types of PFAS.

310

311




Fig. 1. Fraction (%) of eggs from ECLH and EBC reared in Italy contaminated with one or more PFASs

The observed differences between contamination levels in EBC and ECLH samples could be related to the outdoor rearing technique of hens in the former system, in which birds live in constant contact with the soil and consequently ingest soil-based particles, worms, and insects. It is well known that PFASs accumulate in the environment because of their stability and resistance to chemical and physical degradation. The Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research performed a screening to check for PFAS contamination in surface and underground water bodies in Italy (ISPRA, 2019). Among the perfluoroalkyl substances found in water, PFOS and PFOA were the most common.

PFASs can be adsorbed by the soil and sediment particles due to the interaction of the perfluoroalkyl tails with the organic carbon fraction of the soil, and to a lesser extent, through electrostatic interactions between the hydrophilic terminal group of PFAS and charged moieties present in the clay fraction (Higgins & Luthy, 2006). Longer-chain PFASs are, therefore, more easily

329 adsorbed into the soil, and sulfonated ones are more abundant than the carboxylated analogues.

330 PFOA is mainly encountered in the liquid phase, whereas PFOS has a higher adsorption capacity.

331 A number of other studies (Amundsen et al., 2008, Das, Megharaj, & Naidu 2015; D'Hollander, De

332 Bruyn, Hagenaaars, de Voogt, & Bervoets, 2014; Lasier, Washington, Hassan, & Jenkins, 2011) have

333 evaluated the transfer of PFASs from soil to earthworms. Even in these cases, it was found that the

334 tendency for bioaccumulation increases with increasing chain length and in the presence of a

335 sulfate group. Higher accumulation of sulfate PFASs in soil and earthworms could explain the

336 greater presence of PFOS than PFOA in EBC.

337 An earlier review (Brambilla, D'Hollander, Oliaei, Stahl, & Weber, 2015) modelled PFOS transfer

338 from water to extensive and free-range food-producing animals, while taking into account the

339 spread of contaminated sludge on agricultural land. Although the modelling indicated that

340 foraging behaviour led to 78% of exposure to contaminants in ruminating animals, the soil

341 accounted for >80% of exposure in the case of poultry and pigs raised outdoors. For free-range

342 hens, a soil-to-egg bioaccumulation ratio of 8.9 has been reported. Backyard hens generally live

343 longer than animals reared intensively, and therefore, they are exposed to contaminants for a

344 longer period of time. Moreover, the lower egg deposition rate of backyard hens than that of

345 commercial hybrids with higher reproductive potential could also lead to a higher PFOS content in

346 EBC, as these contaminants accumulate in a lower number of eggs. According to these authors

347 (Brambilla, D'Hollander, Oliaei, Stahl, & Weber, 2015), 'eggs from rural flocks may represent a

348 PFOS source'. Consistently, a study conducted in Italy with 500 women of reproductive age

349 revealed higher plasma levels of PFAS in those who consumed domestic eggs (Defelip et al., 2015).

350

3.3 Weekly intake estimation

Table 4 shows the estimated weekly intakes of the mixture of PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFHxS associated with the consumption of EBC for five different age groups in the Italian population. Similar to the previous analysis, these estimates have also been provided as LB and UB values. The resulting weekly intake was compared with the TWI limit of 4.4 ng/kg b.w. recently set by the EFSA for the mixture of the four PFASs (EFSA,2020). It is noteworthy that no data regarding the actual consumption of EBC are available for the Italian population. Therefore, the weekly intakes were estimated considering that egg consumption was exclusively EBC and represented the average exposure for the entire Italian population, as well as the population in each geographical area (North and Centre/South), according to the different age groups. These results showed that the consumption of EBC could substantially contribute to the dietary intake of PFASs. In particular, this is true for the 3-9 years age group, where this intake would represent 29 and 48% of the LB and UB values, respectively. Following the greater contamination of eggs produced in northern Italy, the resulting weekly intake for this region is worse for the same age group, with percentage values reaching 39% (LB) and 55% (UB) of the established limit. Notably, according to the latest EFSA scientific opinion (EFSA, 2020), the calculated LB exposure is likely to be more realistic than UB exposure.

Table 4. *Estimated weekly intake of the mixture of 4 PFASs via EBC in the Italian population for different intervals of age (data in italics refers to North or Centre/South of Italy), calculated in LB and UB concentrations and reported as a percentage of the TWI value set by EFSA (EFSA, 2020)*

373

| Age group (year) | | Weekly intake (ng/kg b.w.) | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| | | LB | % TWI | UB | % TWI |
| 0-2 | Italy | 0.70 | 16 | 1.16 | 26 |
| | North | 0.86 | 19 | 1.32 | 30 |
| | Centre/South | 0.52 | 12 | 0.94 | 21 |
| 3-9 | Italy | 1.29 | 29 | 2.11 | 48 |
| | North | 1.56 | 36 | 2.41 | 55 |
| | Centre/South | 0.94 | 21 | 1.72 | 39 |
| 10-17 | Italy | 0.64 | 15 | 1.06 | 24 |
| | North | 0.78 | 18 | 1.21 | 27 |
| | Centre/South | 0.47 | 11 | 0.86 | 20 |
| 18-64 | Italy | 0.47 | 11 | 0.78 | 18 |
| | North | 0.58 | 13 | 0.89 | 20 |
| | Centre/South | 0.35 | 8 | 0.64 | 14 |
| 65-97 | Italy | 0.46 | 10 | 0.76 | 17 |
| | North | 0.56 | 13 | 0.86 | 20 |
| | Centre/South | 0.34 | 8 | 0.61 | 14 |
| Total population | Italy | 0.54 | 12 | 0.88 | 20 |
| | North | 0.65 | 15 | 1.01 | 23 |
| | Centre/South | 0.39 | 9 | 0.72 | 16 |

374

375

376 4. Conclusion

377 To our knowledge, this study is the first to monitor levels of PFAS contamination in eggs produced
378 from backyard chicken reared in Italy, which represent a non-negligible share of total egg
379 consumption in the region. Our results revealed a relatively uniform level of contamination, with
380 PFOS representing the most abundant and widespread contaminant in EBC. Overall, the presence
381 and levels of PFAS contamination in EBC were remarkably higher than those previously observed
382 for ECLH. A plausible explanation for this difference could be that PFAS are persistent
383 environmental pollutants, and, therefore, backyard chickens, which live outdoors and are known
384 to forage in the soil, have higher exposure to these substances than do commercially raised hens
385 with no outdoor access. Furthermore, EBC raised in northern regions of Italy had higher PFAS
386 contamination than those raised in the central/southern regions. This could be related to the
387 greater contamination of the soil and environment in northern Italy due to different concentration
388 of industrial sites along the Italian peninsula. Finally, the weekly intake estimation revealed that

389 EBC could represent an important dietary source of PFASs. This is especially true for children (3-9
390 years) where, even considering the LB approach, the dietary intake could contribute to 29% of the
391 TWI value for PFASs.

392

393

394 *Funding*

395 This work was not supported by any specific grants from funding agencies in the public,
396 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

397

398 *References*

- 399 Amundsen, C.E., Forfang, I., Aase, R., Eggen, T., Sørheim, R., Hartnik, T., & Næs, K. (2008).
400 Screening of Polyfluorinated Organic Compounds at Four Fire Training Facilities in Norway;
401 Norwegian Pollution Control Authority; Document Number TA-2444/2008. Retrieved from
402 [https://evalueringsportalen.no/evaluating/screening-of-polyfluorinated-organic-compounds-at-](https://evalueringsportalen.no/evaluating/screening-of-polyfluorinated-organic-compounds-at-four-fire-training-facilities-in-norway/ta2444.pdf/@@inline)
403 [four-fire-training-facilities-in-norway/ta2444.pdf/@@inline](https://evalueringsportalen.no/evaluating/screening-of-polyfluorinated-organic-compounds-at-four-fire-training-facilities-in-norway/ta2444.pdf/@@inline). Accessed January 15, 2021
- 404
- 405 Barbarossa, A., Gazzotti, T., Farabegoli, F., Romana Mancini, F., Zironi, E., Badiani, A., Busani, L., &
406 Pagliuca, G. (2016). Comparison of perfluoroalkyl substances contamination in farmed and wild-
407 caught European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Food Control*, 63, 224-229.
408 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.12.011>.
- 409
- 410 Brambilla, G., D'Hollander, W., Oliaei, F., Stahl, T., & Weber, R. (2015). Pathways and factors for
411 food safety and food security at PFOS contaminated sites within a problem based learning
412 approach. *Chemosphere*, 129, 192–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.09.050>.

413

414 Buck, R.C., Franklin, J., Berger, U., Conder, J.M., Cousins, I.T., de Voogt, P., Jensen A.A.,
415 Kurunthachalam, K., Mabury, S.A. & van Leeuwen, S.P.J. (2011). Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl
416 substances in the environment: terminology, classification and origins. *Integrated Environmental*
417 *Assessment and Management*, 7, 513–541. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.258>.

418

419 Chiesa, L.M., Nobile, M., Pasquale, E., Balzaretti, C., Cagnardi, P., Tedesco, D., Panzeri, S., & Arioli,
420 F. (2018). Detection of perfluoroalkyl acids and sulphonates in Italian eel samples by HPLC-HRMS
421 Orbitrap. *Chemosphere*, 193, 358-364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.082>.

422

423 Das, P., Megharaj, M., & Naidu, R. (2015). Perfluorooctane sulfonate release pattern from soils of
424 fire training areas in Australia and its bioaccumulation potential in the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*.
425 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 8902-8910. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1782-y>.

427

428 De Felip, E., Abballe, A., Albano, F.L., Battista, T., Carraro, V., Conversano, M., Franchini, S.,
429 Giambanco, L., Iacovella, N., Ingelido, A.M., Maiorana, A., Maneschi, F., Marra, V., Mercurio, A.,
430 Nale, R., Nucci, B., Panella, V., Pirola, F., Porpora, M.G., Procopio, E., Suma, N., Valentini, S.,
431 Valsenti, L., & Vecchiè, V. (2015). Current exposure of Italian women of reproductive age to PFOS
432 and PFOA: A human biomonitoring study. *Chemosphere* 137, 1–8.
433 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.03.046>.

434

435 DeLuca, N.M., Angrish, M., Wilkins, A., Thayer, K., & Cohen Hubal, E.A. (2021). Human exposure
 436 pathways to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) from indoor media: A systematic review
 437 protocol. *Environment International*, 146, 106308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106308>
 438

439 D'Hollander, W., de Voogt, P., & Bervoets, L. (2011). Accumulation of perfluorinated chemicals in
 440 Belgian home-produced chicken eggs. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 73, 917-20.
 441

442 D'Hollander, W., De Bruyn, L., Hagenaars, A., de Voogt, P., & Bervoets, L. (2014). Characterisation
 443 of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) in a terrestrial ecosystem near a fluorochemical plant in
 444 Flanders, Belgium. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 21, 11856-66.
 445 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-2449-4>.
 446

447 EFSA, European Food Safety Authority. (2012). Perfluoroalkylated substances in food: Occurrence
 448 and dietary exposure. *EFSA Journal*, 10, 2743–2798. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2743>.
 449

450 EFSA, European Food Safety Authority. (2015). The EFSA comprehensive food consumption
 451 database. Retrieved from [https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/food-consumption/comprehensive-](https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/food-consumption/comprehensive-database)
 452 [database](https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/food-consumption/comprehensive-database). Accessed January 15, 2021.
 453

454 EFSA, Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). (2018). Scientific Opinion on the risk
 455 to human health related to the presence of perfluorooctanesulfonic acid and perfluorooctanoic
 456 acid in food. *EFSA Journal*, 16(12), 5194. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5194>.
 457

458 EFSA, Scientific Committee. (2019). Guidance on harmonised methodologies for human health,
 459 animal health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals. *EFSA*
 460 *Journal* 17(3), 5634. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5634>.
 461
 462 EFSA, Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). (2020). Scientific Opinion on the risk
 463 to human health related to the presence of perfluoroalkyl substances in food. *EFSA Journal*, 18 (9),
 464 6223. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6223>.
 465
 466 European Commission. (2002). Commission Decision of 12 August 2002 implementing council
 467 directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of
 468 results. *Official Journal of the European Communities* Vol. L 221, pp. 8-36.
 469
 470 European Commission. (2020). Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/784 of 8 April 2020
 471 amending Annex I to Regulation (EU) 2019/1021 of the European Parliament and of the Council as
 472 regards the listing of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), its salts and PFOA-related compounds. *Official*
 473 *Journal of the European Union* Vol. L 188, pp. 1-5.
 474
 475 European Parliament and Council. (2019). Regulation (EU) 2019/1021 of the European Parliament
 476 and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on persistent organic pollutants. *Official Journal of the*
 477 *European Union* (Vol. L 169, pp. 45-77).
 478
 479 FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). Faostat. Food and Agriculture Organization of the
 480 United Nations, Rome. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/>. Accessed January, 15
 481 2021.

482

483 Ghelli, E., Tondo, M.T., Zironi, E., Pagliuca, G., Sirri F., & Gazzotti T. (2019). Preliminary monitoring
484 of the presence of perfluoroalkyl substances in Italian eggs from different breeding systems.
485 *Italian Journal of Food Safety*, 8 (2), 7702, 120-123. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijfs.2019.7702>

486

487 Göckener, B., Eichhorn, M., Lämmer, R., Kotthoff, M., Kowalczyk, J., Numata, J., Schafft, H.,
488 Lahrssen-Wiederholt, M., & Mark Bücking, M. (2020) Transfer of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl
489 Substances (PFAS) from Feed into the Eggs of Laying Hens. Part 1: Analytical Results Including a
490 Modified Total Oxidizable Precursor Assay. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68 (45),
491 12527-12538. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c04456>

492

493 Guerranti, C., Perra, G., Corsolini, S., & Focardi, S.E. (2013). Pilot study on levels of perfluorooctane
494 sulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) in selected foodstuffs and human milk
495 from Italy. *Food Chemistry*, 140, 197-203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.12.066>.

496

497 Glüge, J., Scheringer, M., Cousins, I.T., DeWitt, J.C., Goldenman, G., Herzke, D., Lohmann, R., Ng,
498 C.A., Trier, X., & Wang, Z. (2020). An overview of the uses of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances
499 (PFAS). *Environmental Science Processes & Impacts*, 22, 2345.
500 <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00291G>

501

502 Higgins, C.P., & Luthy, R.G., (2006). Sorption of perfluorinated surfactants on sediments.
503 *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40, 7251-7256. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es061000n>.

504

505 Hlouskova, V., Hradkova, P., Poustka, J., Brambilla, G., De Filippis, S.P., D'Hollander, W., Bervoets,
 506 L., Herzke, D., Huber, S., de Voogt, P., & Pulkrabova, J. (2013). Occurrence of perfluoroalkyl
 507 substances (PFASs) in various food items of animal origin collected in four European countries.
 508 *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A*, 30, 1918–32.
 509 <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2013.837585>.
 510
 511 ISPRA, Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale. (2019). Indirizzi per la
 512 progettazione delle reti di monitoraggio delle sostanze perfluoroalchiliche (PFAS) nei corpi idrici
 513 superficiali e sotterranei. Rapporti 305/2019. Retrieved from
 514 https://www.isprambiente.gov.it/files2019/pubblicazioni/rapporti/R_305_19_Progettaz_PFAS.pdf
 515 Accessed January, 15 2021.
 516
 517 Jain, R.B. (2018). Contribution of diet and other factors to the observed levels of selected
 518 perfluoroalkyl acids in serum among US children aged 3-11 years. *Environmental Research*, 161,
 519 268–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.11.018>.
 520
 521 Jian, J.M., Guo, Y., Zeng, L., Liang Ying, L., Lu, Xi., Wang, F., & Zeng, E.Y. (2017). Global distribution
 522 of perfluorochemicals (PFCs) in potential human exposure source—A review. *Environment*
 523 *International*, 108, 51-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.07.024>.
 524
 525 Kennedy, G.L., & Symons, J.M. (2015). Carcinogenicity of Perfluoroalkyl Compounds. In: J. DeWitt
 526 (Eds.), *Toxicological Effects of Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances. Molecular and*
 527 *Integrative Toxicology* (pp. 265-304). Humana Press Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15518-0_12)
 528 [15518-0_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15518-0_12)

529

530 Lasier, P.J., Washington, J.W., Hassan, S.M., & Jenkins, T.M. (2011). Perfluorinated Chemicals in
531 Surface Waters and Sediments from Northwest Georgia, USA, and their Bioaccumulation in
532 *Lumbriculus variegatus*. *Environmental Toxicology & Chemistry*, 30, 2194-2201.
533 <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.622>.

534

535 Leclercq, C., Arcella, D., Piccinelli, R., Sette, S., Le Donne, C., & Turrini, A. (2009). The Italian
536 National Food Consumption Survey INRAN-SCAI 2005-06: main results in terms of food
537 consumption. *Public Health Nutrition*, 12 (12), 2504–2532.
538 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980009005035>.

539

540 Letcher, R.J., Su, G., Moore, J.N., Williams, L.L., Martin, P.A., de Solla, S.R., & Bowerman, W.W.
541 (2015). Perfluorinated sulfonate and carboxylate compounds and precursors in herring gull eggs
542 from across the Laurentian Great Lakes of North America: Temporal and recent spatial
543 comparisons and exposure implications. *Science of the Total Environment*, 538, 468–77.
544 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.083>.

545

546 Liew, Z., Goudarzi H., & Oulhote Y. (2018). Developmental Exposures to Perfluoroalkyl Substances
547 (PFASs): An Update of Associated Health Outcomes. *Current Environmental Health Reports* 5, 1–19
548 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-018-0173-4>

549

550 Miller, A., Elliott, J.E., Elliott, K.H., Lee, S., & Cyr, F. (2015). Temporal trends of perfluoroalkyl
551 substances (PFAS) in eggs of coastal and offshore birds: increasing PFAS levels associated with

552 offshore bird species breeding on the Pacific coast of Canada and wintering near Asia.
 553 *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 34, 1799–1808. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2992>.
 554
 555 Pajurek, M., Pietron, W., Maszewski, S., Mikolajczyk, S., & Piskorska Pliszczynska, J. (2019). Poultry
 556 eggs as a source of PCDD/Fs, PCBs, PBDEs and PBDD/Fs, *Chemosphere*, 223, 651-658.
 557 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.023>.
 558
 559 Su, H., Shi, Y., Lu, Y., Wang, P., Zhang, M., Sweetman, A., Jones, K., & Johnson, A. (2017). Home
 560 produced eggs: An important pathway of human exposure to perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) and
 561 perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) around a fluorochemical industrial park in China. *Environment*
 562 *International*, 101, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.01.016>.
 563
 564 Sunderland, E.M., Hu, X.C., Dassuncao, C., Tokranov, A.K., Wagner, C.C., & Allen, J.G. (2019). A
 565 review of the pathways of human exposure to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) and
 566 present understanding of health effects. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental*
 567 *Epidemiology*, 29, 131–147. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0094-1>.
 568
 569 Vestergren, R., Berger, U., Glynn, A. & Cousins, I.T. (2012). Dietary exposure to perfluoroalkyl acids
 570 for the Swedish population in 1999, 2005 and 2010. *Environment International*, 49, 120–7.
 571 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.08.016>.
 572
 573 Zafeiraki, E., Costopoulou, D., Vassiliadou, I., Leondiadis, L., Dassenakis, E., Hoogenboom, R.L.A.P.
 574 & van Leeuwen, S.P.J. (2016). Perfluoroalkylated substances (PFASs) in home and commercially

575 produced chicken eggs from the Netherlands and Greece. *Chemosphere*, 144, 2106–12.

576 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.105>.

577

578