This article has been accepted for publication in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society ©: 2021 The Authors. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Royal Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

ALMA survey of Lupus class III stars: Early planetesimal belt formation and rapid disc dispersal

J. B. Lovell[®], ¹* M. C. Wyatt[®], ¹* M. Ansdell, ² M. Kama[®], ^{1,3} G. M. Kennedy[®], ^{4,5} C. F. Manara[®], ⁶ S. Marino[®], ^{1,7} L. Matrà[®], ⁸ G. Rosotti[®], ⁹ M. Tazzari[®], ¹ L. Testi^{6,10} and J. P. Williams¹¹

¹Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

²National Aeronautics and Space Administration Headquarters, 300 E Street SW, Washington DC 20546, USA

³Tartu Observatory, University of Tartu, 61602 Tőravere, Estonia

⁵Centre for Exoplanets and Habitability, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

⁶European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany

⁷Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

⁸School of Physics, National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, Galway H91 TK33, Ireland

⁹Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands

¹⁰INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, L.go E. Fermi 5, I-50125 Firenze, Italy

¹¹Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai'i at Mänoa, Honolulu, HI 96822-1897, USA

Accepted 2020 October 22. Received 2020 October 5; in original form 2020 August 14

ABSTRACT

Class III stars are those in star forming regions without large non-photospheric infrared emission, suggesting recent dispersal of their protoplanetary discs. We observed 30 class III stars in the 1–3 Myr Lupus region with ALMA at ~856 μ m, resulting in four detections that we attribute to circumstellar dust. Inferred dust masses are $0.036-0.093M_{\oplus}$, ~1 order of magnitude lower than any previous measurements; one disc is resolved with radius ~80 au. Two class II sources in the field of view were also detected, and 11 other sources, consistent with sub-mm galaxy number counts. Stacking non-detections yields a marginal detection with mean dust mass ~0.0048 M_{\oplus} . We searched for gas emission from the CO J = 3-2 line, and present its detection to NO Lup inferring a gas mass (4.9 ± 1.1) × 10⁻⁵ M_{\oplus} and gas-to-dust ratio 1.0 ± 0.4 . Combining our survey with class II sources shows a gap in the disc mass distribution from $0.09-2M_{\oplus}$ for >0.7 M_{\odot} Lupus stars, evidence of rapid dispersal of mm-sized dust from protoplanetary discs. The class III disc mass distribution is consistent with a population model of planetesimal belts that go on to replenish the debris discs seen around main sequence stars. This suggests that planetesimal belt formation does not require long-lived protoplanetary discs, i.e. planetesimals form within ~2 Myr. While all four class III disc are consistent with collisional replenishment, for two the gas and/or mid-IR emission could indicate primordial circumstellar material in the final stages of protoplanetary disc dispersal. Two class III stars without sub-mm detections exhibit hot emission that could arise from ongoing planet formation processes inside ~1 au.

Key words: techniques: interferometric – planets and satellites: dynamical evolution and stability – (*stars:*) circumstellar matter – (*stars:*) planetary systems – submillimetre: planetary systems.

1 INTRODUCTION

Significant progress over the past \sim decade has been made in our understanding of the statistics of the planetary systems of nearby stars. We now know \sim 50% of Sun-like stars have tightly packed systems of low mass planets at <1 au, \sim 5% have Jupiter-like planets, and \sim 1% have Hot Jupiters (Winn & Fabrycky 2015). We also know \sim 20% of stars have detectable debris discs (i.e. belts of planetesimals at 20–100 au) that are analogous to, but much more massive than, the Solar System's Kuiper belt (Wyatt 2008; Hughes, Duchêne & Matthews 2018; Sibthorpe et al. 2018). The formation of these planets and planetesimals must occur in a star's protoplanetary

disc, which survives up to ~ 10 Myr, however these can disperse on time-scales <1 Myr (Williams & Cieza 2011). The finer details of planetesimal and planet formation processes and their time-scales are uncertain.

The growth of initially sub- μ m dust into cm-sized pebbles, as well as their radial drift due to gas drag, is well understood (Birnstiel, Dullemond & Brauer 2010). However, growth beyond cm-sized pebbles into planetesimals (that then either grow into planets, or feed the debris discs seen later on) is not. Growth beyond these pebbles is problematic due to radial drift and the bouncing barrier (Windmark et al. 2012; Pinte & Laibe 2014; Booth et al. 2018). Additionally such large objects cannot be observed directly. The way to form planetesimals may be to concentrate pebbles in regions sufficiently dense for gravitational instabilities to form them directly (Johansen & Youdin 2007). Such concentrations could arise in the earliest phases

doi:10.1093/mnras/staa3335

⁴Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

^{*} E-mail: jl638@cam.ac.uk (JBL); wyatt@ast.cam.ac.uk (MCW)

of evolution (<0.1 Myr) while discs are gravitationally unstable (Booth & Clarke 2016; Nixon, King & Pringle 2018), or at the end of a protoplanetary disc's life as it disperses (e.g. between 3 and 10 Myr, see Carrera et al. 2017), or indeed any time between these (i.e. 0.1-10 Myr) if the disc is radially stratified (Pinilla et al. 2016; Carrera et al. 2020). Theoretically therefore, planetesimal formation could occur at any point within this broad range of ages.

The collisional growth of planetesimals into planets is however well understood, it just takes time. This is not a problem close to the star where orbital time-scales are short. Indeed the formation of close-in super-Earths must be largely complete by \sim 3 Myr as \sim 50% of stars have such planets and \sim 50% of stars have lost their protoplanetary discs by this age (e.g. Haisch, Lada & Lada 2001). However, at 10s of au, the long time-scales required for planet growth may be more prohibitive (e.g. Kenyon & Bromley 2010). Whilst pebble accretion may account for the growth of planets at these distances (Bitsch, Lambrechts & Johansen 2015), these require planetary seeds that are ~Ceres sized, so this does not fully resolve this time-scale issue. Nevertheless, annular gaps are seen at 10s of au in 0.5–1 Myr protoplanetary discs (ALMA Partnership 2015; Andrews et al. 2018; Sheehan & Eisner 2018), and these could be carved by planets (Dipierro et al. 2015; Rosotti et al. 2016), suggesting planet formation processes in outer discs could be well advanced by $\sim 1 \text{ Myr}$.

While planetesimals are not detectable, the dust that is created in their collisions is. Such 'debris discs' are seen around $\sim 20\%$ of (>10 Myr) main sequence stars (Wyatt 2008) showing planetesimal formation is common at 10s of au. It is not possible however to say by looking at main sequence stars when their planetesimals formed; i.e. these could have had either short-lived or long-lived protoplanetary discs. Neither can observations of protoplanetary discs answer this question, as second generation debris disc dust would be fainter than the primordial protoplanetary disc it is embedded within (e.g. see Fig. 3 Wyatt 2008). Observations of young stars <10 Myr that have recently dispersed their protoplanetary discs would shed light on disc dispersal processes, and provide valuable constraints on where and when planetesimals form.

Young Stellar Objects (YSOs) in star forming regions can be classified based on their mid-infrared emission (e.g. 24μ m). Such emission originates from dust inside 10s of au of the star and is indicative of the presence of a protoplanetary disc (Adams, Lada & Shu 1987). The different classes can be considered as an evolutionary sequence, with newly born stars thought to evolve from class I for which a protoplanetary disc and envelope is present, to class II for which the protoplanetary disc emission dominates, and on to class III which are often considered to be disc-less since no (or relatively low levels of) mid-infrared emission is present above the stellar photosphere. Such evolution can be readily seen in the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of YSOs in these stages, although we note in between the class II and III stage also exist transition discs, with SEDs that can resemble a further intermediary evolutionary stage between these (Espaillat et al. 2014). Based on the amount of dust available and analysis of grain growth, evidence exists that planet core formation may have begun prior to the class II stage (Andrews et al. 2018; Manara, Morbidelli & Guillot 2018b), and potentially as early as the class 0/I phases (Greaves & Rice 2011; Tychoniec et al. 2020).

A related classification scheme is based on evidence for ongoing accretion, placing YSOs into classical T-Tauri stars (CTTS) and Weak-line T-Tauri stars (WTTS), which are broadly similar to the class II and III except that some WTTS have significant infrared emission and so massive discs (Manara et al. 2013, 2017; Alcalá et al. 2019). Thus it is studies of class III stars, which is those with a mid-IR spectral index $\alpha_{IR} < -1.6$ (Lada 1987; Williams & Cieza 2011), which provide information on the nature of systems with recently dispersed protoplanetary discs (although note that care must be taken when compiling YSO lists based on this classification, given that a range of wavelengths have been used to assess the mid-IR spectral index, see Espaillat et al. 2014).

Despite this, class III stars have received little attention due to an inherent (apparent) lack of infrared emission. However, constraints on their circumstellar dust levels are usually weak, since star forming regions are >100 pc. Moreover, the absence of 24μ m emission (i.e. at the longest wavelength for which large-scale surveys exist) does not rule out the presence of cold dust. Far-IR (70–160 μ m) measurements are more sensitive to cold dust, but are not available for all stars, at least not at levels deep enough to detect dust emission except at protoplanetary disc levels. Nowadays, sub-mm observations with ALMA provide the best sensitivity to discs at 10s of au, and there have been several sub-mm surveys of class II stars (e.g. Lupus, Taurus, Upper Sco, Ophiuchus; Andrews et al. 2013; Ansdell et al. 2016; Barenfeld et al. 2016; Cieza et al. 2019). However, only two sub-mm surveys included class III stars (Hardy et al. 2015; Cieza et al. 2019), and these were only sensitive enough to detect the most massive discs (at $M_{dust} > 0.2M_{\oplus}$). Thus, class III stars could host debris discs comparable in mass to those around nearby stars (e.g. such as Fomalhaut with $\sim 0.03 M_{\oplus}$, see Holland et al. 2017), a possibility also not ruled out by far-IR surveys of star forming regions.

In this work, we report on the first dedicated ALMA survey of class III stars in the young (1-3 Myr) and nearby (125-200 pc) Lupus star forming region (Comerón 2008). Previous surveys have observed all class II stars in this region at both 890μ m and 1.3 mm down to dust masses of ~0.2 M_\oplus resulting in 71/96 detections in Ansdell et al. (2016, 2018) with a further 3/6 detections of class II sources in Sanchis et al. (2020). However, at this age $\sim 25\%$ of stars have lost their protoplanetary discs (e.g. Fig. 1a of Dunham et al. 2015), so these class II stars are the \sim 75% with mid-IR indications of a protoplanetary disc. The class III stars we present here are those without protoplanetary discs, and therefore correspond to those within the population that for whatever reason had the shortest lived protoplanetary discs. The ALMA survey discussed here is deeper than any previous observations of class III YSOs by a factor of 5-10. At such levels we are able to detect emission down to debris disc levels (i.e. 0.024 M_{\oplus}).

This work is the first of two papers reporting on these class III observations. Here we discuss our survey of class III sources alongside a wider sample of Lupus YSOs, while Lovell, submitted. (2020) presents a more detailed analysis of NO Lup, a class III source found in this survey to have a CO gas counterpart. In Section 2, we discuss how we selected the sources for this survey, while further context for the photometric classification of the full sample of Lupus YSOs considered in this work is given in Section 3. The observational set up of the ALMA measurements is described in Section 4. We analyse the continuum measurements in Section 5, the CO measurements in Section 6, provide a detailed discussion of our results and their possible interpretations in Section 7, and summarize our key findings and conclusions in Section 8.

2 SOURCE SELECTION

We compiled a sample of 82 candidate class III sources from Hughes et al. (1994), Padgett et al. (2006), Merín et al. (2008), Mortier, Oliveira & van Dishoeck (2011), Alcalá et al. (2014). We used *Gaia*



Figure 1. *Gaia* data for the 69 candidate class III stars and 85 class II stars (for which *Gaia* data are available): (left-hand panel) histogram of parallaxes, (middle) proper motions, (right-hand panel) *G* band absolute magnitude versus colour. The 30 class III sources deemed to be background objects are shown in black, while the final sample of 30 class IIIs are shown with filled orange markers, the remaining 9 class III sources (not observed) with empty orange markers, and the observed class II sources shown with blue markers. Isochrones were included on the magnitude–colour plot to show the sample consistency with the expected age of Lupus.

astrometry to distinguish candidate class III YSOs from contaminant background objects from this sample. Indeed, it has been shown that many candidate class III YSOs in the Lupus star forming region are in reality background objects (Manara et al. 2018a). Of the 82 class III candidates, 13 did not have Gaia astrometric solutions (Gaia Collaboration 2018) and were removed from the sample (although we note may still be class III Lupus YSOs). Of the remaining 69, 30 were deemed to be background sources due to Gaia parallax smaller than 2 mas, corresponding to distances much greater than 200 pc whereas the distance to the majority of Lupus targets is $\sim 160 \,\mathrm{pc}$ (Manara et al. 2018a; Alcalá et al. 2019). In Fig. 1 the 30 background sources are seen with empty black markers, where it is also shown that the 39 class III sources (orange, either filled/unfilled) have proper motions consistent with Lupus YSOs, μ_{α} and $\mu_{\delta} = (-16 \pm 2,$ -21 ± 2) mas yr⁻¹ (Galli et al. 2013) and lie close to the 3 Myr isochrone in magnitude-colour space (see right-hand plot, except for one class III faint G-band magnitude source). The final sample of 30 was then chosen from these sources (excluding the faint Gband source, and preferentially choosing the closer sources whilst maintaining a spread of distances), shown as filled orange markers in Fig. 1.

The final sample of 30 Lupus class III's only included sources from Lupus Clouds I and III, and include 1 A-type, 14 K-type, and 15 M-types stars. Six of the sample of 30 are in known binary systems, with the survey star in each of these binaries being either an K-type or M-type star (Zurlo, submitted 2020), see Table 2 and Section 7.1.5 for more details. Stellar masses were available from the literature for 16/30 of the sources (Manara et al. 2013; Galli et al. 2015; Péricaud et al. 2017). We estimate the stellar masses of the remaining 14 class III's in Section 5.4. We note that the average distance to sources in the sample is 141 pc, slightly closer than the average distance for the class II sources of ~ 160 pc.

For the class II's also being considered as part of a larger sample of Lupus YSOs, we include the 95 ALMA observed sources discussed in Ansdell et al. (2016, 2018), the two class II sources J16104536-3854547 and J16121120-3832197 (both of which were ruled out by Ansdell et al. 2018), and the additional five brown dwarf class II sources discussed in Sanchis et al. (2020) in Fig. 1 with blue markers. Although 17 of these 102 class II sources were not found to have *Gaia* astrometric solutions (and are not plotted) we still include these in our sample for it to be as complete as possible. In the left-

hand plot of Fig. 1, six sources have *Gaia* parallaxes smaller than 3 mas, and five of those six also have low proper motions inconsistent with Lupus (Galli et al. 2013). Although we cannot rule these out as having erroneous *Gaia* data, we excluded these six sources from our analysis; these are J15430227-3444059, J16104536-3854547, Sz 123B, J16120445-3809589, and J16121120-3832197 from Ansdell et al. (2016) and Sz 102 from Ansdell et al. (2018), Sanchis et al. (2020), i.e. we also rule out two of the same sources as Ansdell et al. (2018). We therefore consider a total of 96 class II and 30 class III YSOs to form a representative sample of 126 Lupus class II and III stars. Further detailed discussion of the class II sources is included in Ansdell et al. (2016, 2018), Sanchis et al. (2020), which we refer to throughout.

3 PHOTOMETRIC CLASSIFICATION

3.1 Mid-IR photometry

The sample of class III stars described in Section 2 used the classification given in the literature (Skrutskie et al. 2006; Merín et al. 2008; Evans et al. 2009; Cutri et al. 2013; Alcalá et al. 2014). Fig. 2 considers how the infrared properties of the class III sub-sample compare with those of the class II sub-sample, by showing their [K]–[12] and [K]–[24] colours. The grey dashed lines indicate the regions for which dlog $\nu F_{\nu}/dlog \nu > -1.6$ between *K*-band (*2MASS*) and either 12 µm (*WISE*) or 24 µm (*Spitzer*) fluxes (i.e. the photometrically defined boundary between class II and III, for which all sources below both are defined as being in the lower left quadrant).

It can be seen that 29/30 of the class III stars are inside this lower left-hand quadrant, alongside two class II sources. We note that both of these class II sources are defined in Ansdell et al. (2016) as being obscured, and although form part of the sub-sample of class II stars, are removed from subsequent analysis for this reason. One class III source J16071400–385238 is outside of this region (in the upper left-hand quadrant), however further inspection of this finds this source to be confused at 12 μ m (i.e. it does not appear to be a point source in *WISE* W3). This source also lacks MIPS 24 μ m photometry (i.e. it was too faint to be detected by *Spitzer*), and has its [24] magnitude estimated from its *WISE* W4 (22 μ m) photometry, which was an upper limit, and also happens to be the



Figure 2. Lupus stars in our sample are shown in orange if class III, or else if they are class II, in blue or purple (if these were sourced from Ansdell et al. (2016) or Sanchis et al. (2020) respectively). Upper limits for those without 24 µm detections are shown with downward pointing triangles, those marked as blue '+' crosses were deemed as obscured (see Ansdell et al. 2016) for the class II sources, and those marked as orange 'x' crosses are deemed confused class III sources in this work. The grey dashed lines show that corresponding to α_{K-12} and $\alpha_{K-24} = -1.6$ (with the class III region shaded in green), and the green dot-dashed line represents the cut to which all YSOs in the Ophiuchus survey (Cieza et al. 2019) conformed (discussed further in Section 7). We note that 24 µm photometry for J16071400-385238 was not available, therefore this data point is plotted using its 22 µm *WISE* 4 upper limit flux instead.

faintest in the sample (in the *K* band). One class II source identified in Sanchis et al. (2020), AKC2006-18, falls below the α_{K-24} threshold, but not the α_{K-12} threshold, placing it in the lower right-hand quadrant (i.e. although it may have 24 µm photometry consistent with our class III definition, this is not the case for its 12 µm photometry). Nevertheless, this source remains distinct from the population of class III YSOs. Therefore, except for the three confused/obscured sources, the complete class II and III sample are seen to be well separated, and are shown to correspond as expected from α_{IR} between the 2.2 µm *K* band and 24 µm mid-IR fluxes.

In addition, we find that the majority of our class III sample sit in a cluster in the lower left-hand corner of Fig. 2, which is where stars exhibiting purely photospheric emission would be expected. However, falling outside or inside this cluster is no guarantee of a star having discernable excess emission or not. For that a more comprehensive analysis of the full SED is required, which we discuss in Section 5.4. For example, four of the sources in the lower left class III cluster are found in such an analysis to have infrared excesses (MULup, J155526–333823, MV Lup, CD-31 12522), while two of the non-confused sources external to this cluster are found to have no significant excesses (J1609085–390343 and SONYC Lup 3–5).

Considering the class III sources that lie outside the lower left cluster in more detail, both NO Lup and Sz 107 are later confirmed to have mid-IR excesses. Two class III sources are found outside the cluster but with 24 μ m upper limits that, while consistent with $\alpha_{K-24} < -1.6$, could also be compatible with an excess being present (these are also broadly consistent with having no 12 μ m excess). There are

two class III stars outside the cluster for which we consider the 12 µm emission to be confused, and so their position on the figure should not be taken as evidence for excess emission (Sz 109 and Sz 108). Inspecting Sz 109 with the IRSA Finder Chart, it is found with significant levels of diffuse emission nearby and does not well resemble a point source in WISE W3. This is one of the two faintest YSOs in the sample (along with J16071400-385238) and is therefore deemed as having confused photometry. Sz 108 is nearby (\sim 4 arcsec) to the class II star, Sz 108B. This star could have contributed to Sz 108's 12 µm flux, and we note that the WISE photometric flag suggests this is variable. Accounting for these confused sources, we therefore note that whilst the existence of a photospheric excess is not ruled-out by being part of the lower left cluster, there appears to be weak positive correlation between the sources outside/inside of this either having/not having a real mid-IR excess. We consider in more detail the significance of these 12 and 24 µm excesses in Section 5.4.

Six of the class II sources are found to have $\alpha_{IR} < -1.6$ if 12 μ m is used instead of 24 µm. This suggests that whilst these may have large 24 µm fluxes, their warmer 12 µm emission is less excessive in comparison to their photospheres. This might be expected if their discs have an inner cavity, i.e. the morphology of the class of protoplanetary disc known as a transition disc. From left-right (in [K]-[12]) these are J16083070-3828268, Sz 111, Sz 84, Sz 115, J16000060-4221567, and J16101857-3836125, and indeed the first three have previously been identified as transition discs (Merín et al. 2008, 2010; Ansdell et al. 2016; van der Marel et al. 2018). The left-hand side of this region (i.e. [K]-[12]<1.5, [K]-[24] > 3.3) may be a reasonable probe for transition disc objects. We note that whilst Sz 107 sits below the [K]-[24] magnitude threshold that results in classification as a class III, it is closer to the class II's Sz 84 and Sz 111 than any of the other class III stars in our sample, which we will consider further in Section 7.

3.2 H α equivalent widths

We briefly consider here whether or not the sample of 30 class III YSOs shows evidence for accretion based on their H α equivalent widths (EW). Using the classification scheme of White & Basri (2003) (i.e. based on the width of the H α line with respect to stellar type), we note here that 26/30 of our class III stars showed no evidence of accretion (Krautter et al. 1997; Cieza et al. 2007; Wahhaj et al. 2010; Manara et al. 2013; Galli et al. 2015). In the case of 4/30 sources (J16071400–385238, J160758.9–392435, J160908.5–390343, and J160917.1–392710) data were not available in the literature to check this (i.e. these appear to be missing spectra). Therefore, although in the case of four YSOs evidence for ongoing accretion cannot be determined, none of the class III stars for which data was available showed evidence of accretion. We will revisit this in Section 7 when we consider the evidence for other processes in these YSOs.

3.3 Summary of photometric classification

In summary, the classification in the literature translates well into the cuts shown in colour–colour space. Accounting for confusion and flux upper limits, we have shown that our sample of class III YSOs is photometrically distinct to the class II sample in having low 12 and 24 μ m spectral indices, defined as [K] – [24] < 3.3 and [K] – [12] < 2.2. We will discuss further the presence of mid-IR excesses in Section 5.4. Additionally, we showed that for all class III sources with data available, none showed evidence for accretion.

 Table 1. Observation data for the ALMA observing routine for the 42 scans on each source. Note that the Scan Time column represents the amount of time spent for each number of scans in a given schedule block.

D.M.Y	N _{scans}	Scan time (min)	Flux and bandpass	Phase
23.01.19	2	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
09.03.19	2	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
11.03.19	4	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
12.03.19	2	48	J1427-4206	J1610-3958
12.03.19	2	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
13.03.19	4	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
14.03.19	2	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
15.03.19	2	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
16.03.19	2	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
17.03.19	2	48	J1427-4206	J1610-3958
17.03.19	4	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
18.03.19	6	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958
19.03.19	2	48	J1427-4206	J1610-3958
19.03.19	6	48	J1517-2422	J1610-3958

4 OBSERVATIONS

ALMA was set up to observe the 30 class III YSOs in 21 separate scheduling blocks, each comprising of two scans of each star as part of programme 2018.1.00437.S. In total each source was observed 42 times (i.e. for a total of \sim 33.6 min on each). All measurements were made in ALMA cycle 6 over the course of the first two months of 2019, using 43 antennae and baselines ranging between 15 and 360 m. The observing routine and sources used as bandpass, flux, and phase calibrators are summarized in Table 1. The single phase calibrator J1610-3958 was used for all observations, and as both flux and bandpass calibrators, either J1517-2422 or J1427-4206 were used (as detailed in the table), and the precipitable water vapour (PWV) during these measurements ranged between 0.4 and 1.7 mm.

ALMA was used in Band 7, having correlators set up with three spectral windows centred on 343.240, 355.240, and 357.135 GHz each with a bandwidth of 2.0 GHz and channel widths of 15.625 MHz (for a total of 128 channels each) set up for continuum observations. A fourth spectral window was centred on 345.135 GHz with a bandwidth of 1.875 GHz and channel widths of 488.28 kHz (for a total of 3840 channels) set up for J = 3-2 CO spectral line observations. From these frequencies and bandwidths, we calculate a bandwidth weighted wavelength of $\lambda = 856\mu m$ and quote this as our ALMA Band 7 wavelength herein.

The Band 7 visibility data sets were calibrated using the CASA software version 5.1.1-5 with the standard pipeline provided by the ALMA Observatory. No data flagging was applied. Data for each source was concatenated using the CASA package CONCAT, and then the concatenated measurement sets (for the spectral windows conducting continuum measurements) were time averaged to 10 s widths and averaged into four channels (i.e. per spectral window) for each of the field observations. Separate measurement sets were produced for the analysis of J = 3-2 CO emission, which were not channel or time-averaged (i.e. these retained the full 3840 channels). The CASA STATWT task was run on all measurement sets with which we conducted our continuum analysis, as outlined in Section 5.

5 SURVEY CONTINUUM ANALYSIS

This section presents analysis of the sub-mm continuum observations of the 30 class III stars. Detection types are described in Section 5.1. In Section 5.2 constraints are presented for the sizes and masses of the detections, and upper limits are discussed in Section 5.3 for those where no such detection was made. SEDs of the class III sample are considered in Section 5.4 to further constrain their dust distribution, and we consider the potential for extragalactic confusion in Section 5.5.

5.1 Continuum detections

Non-interactive TCLEAN was used to image each measurement set, over 50 000 iterations with a threshold of ~122 Jy (~3 times the rms, see later) and AUTO-MULTITHRESH masking applied, shown in Fig. 3. The field of view of our images are set to show the entire primary beam (~12 arcsec radius). These images are scaled with respect to the image rms, which has a mean level across all images of $36.7 \pm 1.3 \,\mu$ Jy beam⁻¹. The average synthesized beam has a position angle 84.7° and size (0.75 × 0.62) arcsec when imaged with natural weighting. The range of major and minor axis extents, and position angles were <0.005, <0.017 arcsec, and <0.9^{\circ} respectively, demonstrating strong consistency in the synthesized beams for each source as expected given the observing sequence.

The Target ID and image number in Fig. 3 correspond to the 'No.' column in Table 2. We identified potential sources as those where the images exhibited brightnesses above 3σ . To confirm these as sources, we required a $>5\sigma$ detection of emission within an aperture centred on the peak pixel of the source. Three aperture sizes were used \sim (1.1 \times 0.9), \sim (1.5 \times 1.2), or \sim (2.3 \times 1.9) arcsec, to account for the possibility that the sources are unresolved, partially resolved, or well resolved, respectively. If the unresolved/partially resolved aperture sizes measured consistent flux values, then we report the measurement based on the smaller aperture (i.e. the one with the lower error). As expected, the unresolved aperture flux measurement is consistent with that of the source's peak pixel value in units of Jy beam⁻¹. In the case of the resolved source J155526.2-333823 (the only source requiring the largest aperture), the peak pixel is not wellcentred on the source, and we centred this aperture on the ALMA phase centre (which is expected to correspond to the centre of the disc).

In total, we detected 17 sources in 11 separate images, which we classified as either type 'A', 'B', or 'C' depending on their proximity to known class II and III stars. Detections within 1.0 arcsec of the image centre were given an ID of 'A' and considered associated with the class III star. Detections >1.0 arcsec from the image centre consistent with the position of a known class II star were given an ID of 'B'. Significant detections >1.0 arcsec from the image centre, and inconsistent with the position of known class II stars, were given an ID of 'C'. The separation between the image centre and the centroid of the detected sources is given for all significant source detections in the 'Sep.' column of Table 2. This analysis finds 4 A sources (1A, 2A, 3A, and 4A), 2 B sources (5B and 6B), and 11 C sources (4C, 5C, 8C1, 8C2, 11C1, 11C2, 11C3, 12C1, 12C2, 19C, and 22C), and Table 2 is divided into two parts based on this classification (i.e. depending on whether the images contain a type A or B detection in the upper section, or contain either a type C detection or no detection at all in the lower section). The following apertures were used for the source fluxes given in Table 2: the large aperture (2A), the partially resolved aperture (5B, 5C, 8C2, 12C1, 12C2, 19C, and 22C) and the unresolved aperture (4C, 6B, 8C1, 11C1, 11C2, and 11C3). For the 26 class III stars where no type A detections were made, we determined the 3σ upper limit on their fluxes from the rms within apertures with an area equal to the size of the beam (i.e. $3 \times 36.7 \,\mu$ Jy, which assumes disc radii \leq FWHM_{beam} \times d/2 \sim 50 au). Given that the expected sub-mm stellar flux for class III and class II sources is



Figure 3. ALMA survey image showing all 30 observations in scale of significance (with respect to each image rms). Significant emission is noted with either an 'A', 'B', or 'C', and numerically counted if more than one source type in a single image is detected. The source numbers in the bottom left-hand of each panel correspond to those in Table 2. In all images, North is up, East is left, the beam and 200 au scale bar are shown in the upper and lower right, respectively.

significantly lower than the detection limits, we interpret these 4 A detections and 2 B detections as due to circumstellar dust.

In image 5 we did not detect emission from the class III star Sz 108, but emission consistent with Sz 108's class II binary companion, Sz 108B. Given the >4.0 arcsec angular separation these sources are not confused. This is similarly true in image 6, in which the class III star Sz 119 was not detected, yet the nearby (~9.8 arcsec separated) class II source was (i.e. J16095628-3859518, which we refer to as Lup 818s herein, see López Martí, Eislöffel & Mundt 2005). In the five other binary systems noted, neither the source nor its companion were detected. Further discussion of stellar multiplicity in Lupus for these six binaries is provided in Zurlo, submitted (2020), and the effect of binarity on circumstellar disc evolution in our discussion section Section 7.1.5.

5.2 Continuum source analysis

5.2.1 Continuum source modelling

The class III (type A) detections were unresolved for MU Lup, NO Lup, and Sz 107, but resolved for J155526-333823. To find limits on the radii of the unresolved sources, and disc parameters for the resolved source, we used GALARIO (Tazzari, Beaujean & Testi 2018) to model the emission profiles of the 4 sub-mm detections.

For the unresolved observations, the upper limit radii of the sources was determined using a simple parametrized Gaussian model defined as

$$I(r) = I_0 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r}{2\sigma}\right)^2\right],\tag{1}$$

where I_0 is a normalization factor, r is the deprojected sky radius, and σ the Gaussian width of the profile. These parameters were fitted along with the positional offsets in RA and Dec, inclination (where $i = 0^\circ$ corresponds to a face-on disc) and position angle (with positive values taken as anticlockwise from North). By fitting with such a model, we find the average radius within which 95% of the source flux is bounded, R_{95} , which we define as the radius limit for our unresolved sources (in a manner consistent with Sanchis et al. 2020). For the resolved observation of J155526.2-333823, we followed the same procedure, but with a modified self-similar disc model with free parameters of the disc radius (R_c) and inner and outer exponents (γ_1 and γ_2), defined as

$$I(r) = I_0 \left(\frac{r}{R_c}\right)^{\gamma_1} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r}{R_c}\right)^{\gamma_2}\right].$$
 (2)

All the values determined from our EMCEE modelling (for which 100000 steps were used and either 36 or 48 walkers for the unresolved/resolved source modelling respectively;

No.	Target	Other name	RA [J2000]	Dec [J2000]	Lupus Cloud	SpT	M* (M _©)	d (pc)	Sep. (arcsec)	Ð	F _{856 μm} (mJy)	$M_{ m dust}$ $[M_\oplus]$	Notes
_	J154041.2-375619	MU Lup	$15:40:41.170^{i}$	$-37:56:18.540^{i}$	I	K6.0 ^j	0.89 ± 0.15^{m}	135.3 ± 0.8	0.12	A	0.26 ± 0.05	0.045 ± 0.008	I
7	J155526.2-333823	- 1	15:55:26.220	-33:38:23.24	Ι	$K5.0^{g}$	0.91 ± 0.15^m	119.2 ± 0.7	0.0	A	0.68 ± 0.07	0.093 ± 0.010	I
б	J160311.8-323920	NO Lup	16:03:11.812	-32:39:20.31	Ι	$\mathrm{K7.0}^{e,f}$	0.7^e	133.7 ± 0.7	0.0	A	0.21 ± 0.04	0.036 ± 0.007	I
4	J160841.8-390137	Sz 107	16:08:41.79	-39:01:37.0	III	M5.5 ^{<i>j</i>, <i>l</i>}	0.16 ± 0.10^{l}	152.3 ± 2.5	0.38	A	0.22 ± 0.04	0.050 ± 0.010	I
	Ι	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	12.3	C	1.45 ± 0.20^{a}	I	I
5	J160842.7-390618	Sz 108	16:08:42.73	-39:06:18.3	Ш	$M1.0^{c}$	0.43^{n}	151.3 ± 1.2	I	I	<0.11	<0.024	Binary ^d
		Sz 108B	16:08:42.86	-39:06:15.04	Ш			169 ± 3	4.1	В	23.5 ± 2.4	6.5 ± 0.6	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	5.4	U	1.20 ± 0.13	I	I
9	J160957.1-385948	Sz 119	16:09:57.07	-38:59:47.9	III	$M4.0^{c}$	0.30 ± 0.05^m	110 ± 4	I	I	<0.11	< 0.013	I
		Lup 818s	16:09:56.28	-38:59:51.90	Ш			157.0 ± 2.7	9.8	в	6.8 ± 0.7	1.63 ± 0.21	Ι
7	J153802.7-380723	MT Lup	15:38:02.724	-38:07:22.17	Ι	$K5.0^k$	0.90^{n}	133.0 ± 0.7	I	I	<0.11	<0.019	I
8	J154038.3-342137	Sz 67	15:40:38.27	-34:21:36.7	I	$M4.0^{c}$	0.26^n	110.1 ± 1.5	I	I	<0.11	<0.013	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1.8	CI	1.00 ± 0.11	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	4.1	C	0.41 ± 0.06	I	I
6	J154306.3-392020	I	$15:43:06.245^{i}$	$-39:20:19.482^{i}$	Ι	$K6.0^{i}$	0.91^{n}	166 ± 7	I	I	<0.11	< 0.030	Binarv ^d
10	J154641.2-361848	CD-35 10498	15:46:41.199	-36:18:47.44	П	$K1.0^{h}$	1.38 ± 0.46^{m}	149.5 ± 1.7	I	I	<0.11	<0.024	Binarv ^d
11	J154959.2-362958	MV Lup	15:49:59.219	-36:29:56.59	Ι	$K2.0^{f}$	1.55 ± 0.55^m	139.3 ± 0.7	I	I	<0.11	<0.021	1
	I	4 	I	I	I	I	I	I	9.5	Cl	2.75 ± 0.29	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	3.6	5	2.77 ± 0.28^{b}	I	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	5.9	5	1.48 ± 0.15^{b}	I	I
17	11557195-381037	MW L un	15.57.10 577	-38.10.31 36	Ļ	$KT 0^{f}$	0.80 ± 0.10^{m}	1303 ± 0.8	ì	; I	/0.11	~0.018	ı
1	10/100-01/1700 P	dnor with	77.77.1.77.71	00:10:01:00	-	0.121	11:0 + 00:0		10.2	5	273 ± 0.20	010.04	
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	11.2	58	2.12 ± 0.10	I	I
5		-		- 27 00 11 00	-	- WC OF	1 0 0		11.2	77	1.39 ± 0.19	1 0	I
<u>s</u>	1940/ 8-8.85ccc1L	MX Lup	ce/.55:cc:cl	-3/:09:41.08	-	K6.0'	"C8.0	129.6 ± 0.8	I	I	<0.11	<0.018	I
14	J160159.2-361256	NN Lup	16:01:59.272	-36:12:53.89	Ι	K3.0'	1.20 ± 0.29^{m}	136.3 ± 0.7	I	I	<0.11	<0.020	1
15	J160352.5-393902	CD-39 10292	16:03:52.502	-39:39:01.28	Ξ	$K3.0^{h}$	1.01 ± 0.25^{m}	130 ± 4	I	I	<0.11	<0.019	Binary ^a
16	J160430.6-320729	CD-31 12522	16:04:30.564	-32:07:28.81	III	$K2.0^{h}$	1.17^n	130.6 ± 0.8	I	I	<0.11	<0.018	I
17	J160713.7-383924	I	16:07:13.698	-38:39:23.88	Ξ	$K7.0^{f}$	0.75 ± 0.11^{m}	158.9 ± 2.3	I	I	<0.11	<0.027	I
18	J160714.0-385238	Lup 605	16:07:14.00	-38:52:37.9	III	$M6.5^{c}$	0.03^{n}	124 ± 4	I	I	<0.11	< 0.017	I
19	J160749.6-390429	Sz 94	16:07:49.60	-39:04:29.0	Π	M4.0 ^{i, l}	0.28^{l}	116.2 ± 0.9	I	I	<0.11	<0.015	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	10.4	U	1.67 ± 0.17	I	I
20	J160758.9-392435	Lup 714	16:07:58.90	-39:24:34.9	Π	$M5.0^{c}$	0.05^{n}	162 ± 3	I	I	<0.11	<0.028	I
21	J160816.0-390304	SONYC	16:08:16.03	-39:03:04.2	III	M6.5 ^{j, l}	0.05^{n}	164 ± 5	I	I	<0.11	<0.029	I
		Lup3-5											
22	J160834.6-390534	V1027 Sco	16:08:34.60	-39:05:34.0	Ш	$A3.0^{c}$	3.16^{n}	161.5 ± 2.2	I	I	<0.11	<0.028	I
	I	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	5.5	U	1.09 ± 0.15	Ι	I
23	J160848.2-390419	Sz 109	16:08:48.16	-39:04:19.2	Π	$M5.0^{c}$	0.05^{n}	184 ± 5	I	I	<0.11	<0.036	I
24	J160908.5-390343	Lup 608s	16:09:08.50	-39:03:43.1	Ш	$M5.0^{c}$	0.08^{n}	160.3 ± 2.4	I	I	<0.11	<0.028	I
25	J160917.1-392710	Lup 710	16:09:17.13	-39:27:09.7	Π	$M5.0^{c}$	0.03^{n}	131 ± 3	I	I	<0.11	<0.019	I
26	J160942.6-391941	Sz 116	16:09:42.57	-39:19:40.8	Π	$M1.5^{c}$	0.43 ± 0.10^m	146.5 ± 0.8	I	I	<0.11	<0.023	Binary ^d
27	J161012.2-392118	Sz 121	16:10:12.21	-39:21:18.3	Π	M3.0 ^{i, l}	0.31 ± 0.08^m	158.0 ± 1.7	I	I	<0.11	<0.028	I
28	J161016.4-390805	Sz 122	16:10:16.44	-39:08:05.4	Π	M2.0 ^{i, l}	0.39 ± 0.05^m	138.9 ± 0.6	I	I	<0.11	<0.021	I
29	J161153.4-390216	Sz 124	16:11:53.35	-39:02:16.1	III	$M0.0^{c}$	0.75^n	125.4 ± 0.7	I	I	<0.11	<0.017	I
30	J161302.4-400433	V1097 Sco	16:13:02.416	-40:04:32.93	III	$K7.0^{f}$	0.80 ± 0.13^m	139.9 ± 2.5	I	I	<0.11	<0.021	$Binary^d$
Note. ^{a} st	ource partially lost at ed	ge of image; total fl	lux uncertain, ^b twc) sources overlap; fl	ux may be	confused, ^c N	1erín et al. (2008),	^d Zurlo, submitted	l (2020), ^e H	ardy et a	ll. (2015) ^f Padge	tt et al. (2006), ^g K	öhler et al.
(2000),	^h Torres et al. (2006), ⁱ G	aia Collaboration ((2018), ^j Manara et	al. (2017), ^k Krautte	er et al. (19	97), ¹ Manara	t et al. (2013), ^m Ga	dli et al. (2015), ⁿ	this work.				

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/500/4/4878/5942672 by guest on 30 January 2024

 Table 3. Modelled parameters and constraints for the unresolved class III detections of MU Lup, NO Lup, and Sz 107 in the upper section, and the modelled parameters for the resolved detection of J155526.2-333823 in the lower section.

Source	I_0	σ (arcsec)	<i>R</i> ₉₅ (au)	R _c (au)	γ1	γ2	Inc (deg)	PA (deg)	ΔRA (mas)	ΔDec (mas)
MU Lup	8.2 ± 0.3	0.14 ± 0.07	<53	_	_	_	50 ± 30	90 ± 50	-50 ± 50	-90 ± 30
NO Lup	8.0 ± 0.4	0.19 ± 0.07	<56	_	_	_	50 ± 30	120 ± 50	20 ± 40	30 ± 40
Sz 107	_	-	<60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
J155526.2-333823	7.58 ± 0.10	-	_	80 ± 15	3.3 ± 1.5	3.8 ± 0.8	31 ± 12	138 ± 21	-30 ± 60	-90 ± 50

Table 4. SED blackbody model parameters for all class III stars determined to have excess emission above the stellar photosphere (note J155526.2-333823 is written here as J155526). The upper part of the table includes the four objects with sub-mm detections and two objects undetected in the sub-mm but with archival mid-IR observations found evidence for a warm component, and their respective modified blackbody fits. The lower part of the table includes the three sources determined as well-fitted by a two-component modified blackbody, though we note here the broad parameter space that can be fitted by these modified black bodies, and therefore whilst errors are defined, these are only weakly constrained.

Single component modelling									
Source	Т (К)	R _{bb} (au)	$f \times 0.01$						
MU Lup	50 ± 10	16 ± 9	0.1 ± 0.5						
J155526	52 ± 8	14 ± 5	0.3 ± 0.3						
NO Lup	117 ± 2	3.1 ± 0.1	0.34 ± 0.01						
Sz 107	144 ± 3	1.16 ± 0.05	1.39 ± 0.03						
MV Lup	600 ± 200	0.2 ± 0.1	0.09 ± 0.06						
CD-31 12522	750 ± 40	0.13 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.05						
Two component modelling									
Source	T_1	$R_{\rm bb1}$	f_1	T_2	$R_{\rm bb2}$	f_2			
	(K)	(au)	$\times 0.01$	(K)	(au)	$\times 0.01$			
J155526	>50	<15	0.01-1	20-60	10-100	0.01 - 1			
NO Lup	117	3.1	0.34	25-120	2-56	0.00002-0.4			
Sz 107	144	1.16	1.39	20-150	1-60	0.00005-4			

Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) are shown in Table 3. For Sz 107 the nearby bright type C source (likely background) means that the disc parameters found by GALARIO are much less accurate, so we use the results for NO Lup to set an upper limit on the disc radius given their similar flux measurements (taking account of their different distances).

The constraints on the disc sizes for MU Lup and NO Lup (and estimate for Sz 107) show that this emission arises from dust within \sim 60 au from their stars (and is entirely consistent with the size scales found by considering the FWHM of the source emission and the beam). Although the centroids of MU Lup and NO Lup are both well fitted by GALARIO, their inclinations and position angles are essentially unconstrained (as expected with these being unresolved). On the other hand, the disc of J155526.2-333823 is sufficiently large and bright that it was resolved and is well constrained by GALARIO, putting relatively tight bounds on its parameters (i.e. the radius, PA, and inclination). This disc is the largest detected, with a radius \sim 80 au, significantly greater than the blackbody radii obtained from SED fitting (see Table 4), where the positive γ_1 determines this to be a ring with an inner gap. Fig. 4 shows the ALMA image, the disc model that was subtracted from this, and the resulting residual image, within which the lack of residuals $>3\sigma$ demonstrates the reasonable fit.

We note here that the aim of the survey was to maximize the S/N of the detections. As such, the ~0.8 arcsec resolution was chosen that the majority should be unresolved, explaining why only 1 of our 4 detections was resolved. Follow-up high resolution measurements of

the three unresolved sources (and additionally the resolved source) would be needed to gather much tighter constraints on the radii, widths, and other morphological features of these discs.

5.2.2 Continuum dust masses

Based on the assumption that the type A and B detections are from optically thin, isothermal circumstellar dust, we estimated their dust masses (in M_{\oplus}) as

$$M_{\rm dust}[M_{\oplus}] = \frac{F_{\nu}d^2}{\kappa_{\nu}B_{\nu}(T_{\rm dust})} \approx 0.22 \left(\frac{d}{150}\right)^2 F_{856\mu\rm{m}},\tag{3}$$

where *d* is distance in pc and $F_{856\mu m}$ is the Band 7 flux in mJy, for an assumed dust temperature of $T_{dust} \sim 20$ K (e.g. the median for Taurus discs, see Andrews & Williams 2005) and a dust grain opacity κ_{ν} of 10 cm² g⁻¹ at 1000GHz, with an opacity power-law index $\beta =$ 1 (e.g. Hildebrand 1983; Beckwith et al. 1990). These dust masses are noted in Table 2. For the class III discs these masses range between 0.036 and 0.093 M_{\oplus} , and for the class II discs they are 6.5 and 1.63 M_{\oplus} respectively for sources Sz 108B (5B) and Lup 818s (6B). Given Ansdell et al. (2016) assumed these 2 class II sources to be at 200 pc, whereas here we have calculated their masses using their *Gaia* DR2 parallax distances, our dust mass measurements are smaller than those previously derived. After accounting for the different distances, these are consistent. For the type A sources with 3σ upper limits on their fluxes, equation (3) is used to provide the 3σ upper limits on their masses. Given the type C detections do not



Figure 4. Model fits to the ALMA image of J155526.2-333823. Left-hand panel: ALMA cleaned image. The contours represent emission at ± 2 and ± 3 times the rms. Centre: Best-fitting model image based on values in Table 3 with contours set to demonstrate the ring-like nature of the model. Right-hand panel: Residual image (data minus model), with contours set at ± 2 and ± 3 times the rms, and the dashed lines showing the model contours for reference. In all images North is up and East is left, and the ellipse in the lower left corner represents the size of the synthesized beam (~90 × 80 au).



Figure 5. Stacked image of all 26 sources in scale of significance (with respect to the rms of the combined image stack, $\sim 7.2 \,\mu$ Jy beam⁻¹). Within the inner ~ 0.68 arcsec radius circle there is a 2.8σ significant detection. Note that all other sources with significant emission are the non-type A detections as discussed in Section 5. In this stacked image, North is up, East is left.

coincide with known objects, these are most likely emission from background sub-mm extragalactic (SMG) sources, common in deep sub-mm observations (discussed further in Section 5.5), rather than stellar sources within Lupus. We therefore do not provide dust mass estimates for type C detections.

5.3 Survey non-detections

For the class III sources for which sub-mm emission was not detected, we produced a stacked image of their continuum images to constrain the average dust mass. The 26 images for which this was true combined to form the image shown in Fig. 5. Noticeable in this image are the B and C type detections that fall within the fields of view, however we are only concerned with the inner ~ 0.68 arcsec that is coincident with the class III stars (within one beam), as highlighted in

the expanded central region. By integrating the emission within this inner region we found a total of $20.2 \pm 7.2 \,\mu$ Jy, i.e. a tentative $\sim 2.8\sigma$ detection coincident with all of the class III stars which individually have non-detections.

The mean distance to the stars in our survey is 141 pc, and so equation (3) shows that this tentative stacked detection (if from circumstellar dust) corresponds to a dust mass of $M_{dust} =$ $0.0048 \pm 0.0017 M_{\oplus}$. This implies that whilst we only detected significant emission to 4/30 class III stars (i.e. type A sources), we may have detected further low intensity emission from the other sources. In the context of known dust masses, this would be a limit far below any previous measurements for stars of this age, and nearly an order of magnitude below the lowest mass type A detection in Section 5.2.2.

5.4 SED analysis

To investigate the nature of the infrared emission seen in the class III stars, SEDs for all sources were produced using the methodology of Yelverton et al. (2019), i.e. we fit a stellar photosphere to determine L_{\star} and $T_{\rm eff}$, plus a blackbody disc where merited, to the available photometry. We include mid- and far-IR data from WISE, Spitzer, and Herschel, but also data at shorter wavelengths that constrain the stellar photospheric emission. All 30 sources had photometry in J-, H-, and K-bands (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006; Cutri et al. 2013), Gaia G, B_P , and R_P bands (Gaia Collaboration 2018), WISE bands W1, W2, W3, and W4 (Wright et al. 2010), and the following number in each instrument and band: 28/30 in both IRAC 3.6 µm and IRAC 4.5 µm and 29/30 in both IRAC 5.8 µm and IRAC 8.0 µm, 29/30 in MIPS 24 µm (Rieke et al. 2004; Werner et al. 2004; Merin et al. 2009), 2/30 in PACS 70 µm (Pilbratt et al. 2010; Poglitsch et al. 2010; Cieza et al. 2013), alongside the ALMA Band 7 (\sim 856 µm) measurements presented in this work.

We found from the analysis of the full SEDs, that 24 of the sources are well explained with purely photospheric emission; i.e. there is no evidence for an additional circumstellar component in addition to their stellar photospheres. An additional emission component is required for the remaining six sources (MU Lup, J155526.2-333823, NO Lup, Sz 107, MV Lup, and CD-31 12522), which includes the four for which sub-mm continuum emission was detected, which



Figure 6. SEDs for MU Lup (SpT: K6.0): top left-hand panel, J155526.2-333823 (SpT: K5.0): top right-hand panel, NO Lup (SpT: K7.0): middle left-hand panel, Sz 107 (SpT: M5.5): middle right-hand panel, MV Lup (SpT: K2.0): bottom left-hand panel, and CD-31 12522 (SpT: K2.0): bottom right-hand panel. Each plot shows the fit to the stellar photosphere and the circumstellar emission as a single modified blackbody (solid line). In the case of MU Lup a single blackbody fit is plotted (red dashed line), and in the cases of J155526.2-333823, NO Lup, and Sz 107, two-component modified blackbody fits are plotted (red dashed line). The dots and triangles show the available photometry and upper limits.

we consider to be circumstellar emission. The SEDs of the six with excess emission are shown in Fig. 6, and the 24 purely photospheric SEDs in Appendix A.

The SED modelling process resulted in a fit to the stellar photospheric emission, predominantly constrained by the shorter wavelength data. In addition to being essential for predicting the stellar contribution at longer wavelengths, we used the resulting stellar parameters L_{\star} and $T_{\rm eff}$ to estimate the stellar masses shown in Table 2 for the 14/30 class III stars for which values were not available in the literature (i.e. those denoted with superscript 'n'). To do so, we applied the models of Siess, Dufour & Forestini (2000, for V1027 Sco) and Baraffe et al. (2015) for the 13 others, except Sz 109 and J160816.0-390304 for which estimates based on their spectral types were required (i.e. since these were poorly fitted by stellar models). In all cases where the stellar mass uncertainty is not stated in Table 2 (i.e. in the literature or as derived here), we assume this to be 10%.

We first consider the 12 and 24 µm excesses, given the discussion of [K]–[12] and [K]–[24] in Section 3 and the colour–colour diagram in Fig. 2. For the six sources with excess emission, these are all found to have significant excesses at 24 µm. Significant excesses were found at 12 µm for four sources (MU Lup, NO Lup, MV Lup, and CD-31 12522), while both J155526.2-333823 and Sz 107 were found to have tentative 12 μ m excesses (2.6 σ and 2.2 σ , respectively). Given that MU Lup, J155526.2-333823, MV Lup, and CD-31 12522 were not deemed as outliers in Fig. 2 (i.e. these sit with the majority of class III sources in the lower left-hand cluster), this illustrates that low [K]-[12] or [K]-[24] indices do not necessarily rule out the presence of excess emission. It is also notable that 4/6 of the class III sources with a 24 μ m excess were found to have detectable submm emission in the ALMA survey. This suggests that the presence of a mid-IR excess may raise the sub-mm detectability for class III YSOs. We discuss the implications of this warm emission further in Section 7

The excess emission for these six sources was first fitted with a single-component modified blackbody, parametrized by a temperature, T, fractional luminosity, $f = L_{disc}/L_{\star}$, λ_0 and β (the latter two parameters describe the location and gradient beyond which the modification to the blackbody fit is implemented). This temperature is readily converted to a blackbody radius, R_{bb}, by assuming this emission is from optically thin dust in thermal equilibrium with the stellar radiation of luminosity L_{\star} . Whilst this radius provides an indication of the size of the dust disc, it is expected that this radius will be systematically underestimated (e.g. since the dust is likely to be small enough to emit inefficiently and so be hotter than a blackbody, see Pawellek et al. 2014; Pawellek & Krivov 2015). These single-component blackbody SED fits are shown as brown solid lines $(F_{\nu, \text{ single}})$ on Fig. 6, and the model fits for the temperature, associated blackbody radius, and fractional luminosity are shown in the upper part of Table 4. We have not included the λ_0 and β values as these are poorly constrained and highly degenerate. In all six cases β can be 0, for which λ_0 is then meaningless and unconstrained. However, the joint 2D parameter space is somewhat constrained for NO Lup and Sz 107 because the dust temperatures are well constrained by their IRS spectra (Lebouteiller et al. 2011). For MU Lup, J155526.2-333823, MV Lup, and CD-31 12522 the SED of the excess emission is poorly sampled which means that a wide range of single-component modified blackbody fits can model the data by choosing different λ_0 and β . In the case of MU Lup, the solid line is plotted with $\lambda_0 \sim 120 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $\beta = 1.2$, whereas the dashed line is a pure blackbody (for which the same degeneracy exists in the cases of J155526.2-333823, MV Lup, and CD-31 12522). However, despite this allowed range of model fits, a pure blackbody model (i.e. $\beta = 0$) provides the warmest temperature fit (i.e. this corresponds to the lowest radius). For MU Lup, such a pure blackbody radius is at 10 au. Therefore, accounting for the range of allowed models, this suggests that the emission measured for MU Lup is likely from a component at tens of au.

Although their single-component blackbody radii are poorly constrained, the 16 au radius found for MU Lup is consistent with this source being unresolved in the sub-mm (i.e. <53 au in Section 5.2.1), and at ≤ 0.2 au for both MV Lup and CD-31 12522 it is not surprising that these were not detected in the sub-mm. However the single modified blackbody fits for J155526.2-333823, NO Lup, and Sz 107 are problematic. For J155526.2-333823, whilst this is found with a single blackbody radius of ~ 14 au, this source was resolved with a

sub-mm radius of \sim 80 au (i.e. more than a factor of 5 larger), and both NO Lup and Sz 107 (as accurately measured with IRS spectra, see Lebouteiller et al. 2011) are found to have well-constrained temperatures that result in blackbody radii less than \sim 3 au. In the case of Sz 107, it can also be seen that the single component modified blackbody is not as well fitted to both the sub-mm measurement and the warm emission. For these reasons, we therefore modelled J155526.2-333823, NO Lup, and Sz 107 as having two separate modified blackbody components, representing both warm and cool emission. While such an additional component is not required to fit the SED, this is required to explain the excess emission seen towards many older main sequence stars (e.g. Kennedy & Wyatt 2014) and so it is not unreasonable that these stars might exhibit two-component SEDs. Thus it is important to consider how such an additional component might affect our estimate of the radii of these discs.

The two-component models for J155526.2-333823, NO Lup, and Sz 107 are underconstrained in that their SEDs can be fitted by a range of two-component blackbody models, as illustrated by the shaded regions on the plots in Appendix B, where further discussion of their interpretation is provided. In the lower part of Table 4 we provide ranges of allowed temperatures, associated blackbody radii, and fractional luminosities. Fig. 6 shows examples for each system of one such possible two-component model with red dashed lines, with the warm component modelled to overlap with emission $\lambda < 100 \,\mu\text{m}$ and the cool component modelled to overlap with the sub-mm detection. Both NO Lup and Sz 107's warm components are tightly constrained, so match the results of the single modified blackbody fits, while the sub-mm emission originates in a cooler component that is consistent with being at 10s of au from the star (though must both still remain unresolved in our ALMA observations at <56 au and <60 au, respectively).

In summary, despite the limitations of modelling the SEDs for the six sources shown in Fig. 6, we have shown that reasonable single modified blackbody models are found for all sources, alongside alternative 2-component modified blackbody models for J155526.2-333823, NO Lup, and Sz 107. This modelling has shown that discs with blackbody radii of tens of au remain consistent with all four submm detections (i.e. the circumstellar material detected by ALMA may be >10s of au away from the star).

5.5 Background sources

In our sample we find 11 type C detections, 10 of which have fluxes >1.0 mJy, and 1 with a flux ~0.41 mJy. We previously inferred these to be sub-mm galaxies (SMGs) in Section 5.1, given that their locations are inconsistent with other known stellar sources in Lupus. This detection rate can be compared with that expected from surveys designed to determine SMG number counts at ~850 μ m (Simpson et al. 2015; Popping et al. 2020). To do so, we have to account for the primary beam correction, which means that while we could detect sources brighter than five times 36.7 μ Jy (i.e. ~0.2 mJy) towards the centre of the image, sources would need to be ~2 times brighter than this at a radius of 8.4 arcsec, and ~5 times brighter than this at 12.5 arcsec (i.e. at ~0.4 mJy and ~1.0 mJy, respectively).

The Popping et al. (2020) number counts predict that we should detect \sim 8 sources above 1 mJy inside 12.5 arsec and \sim 8 sources above 0.4 mJy inside 8.4 arcsec (all of which are scaled to cover the total survey area, i.e. inside an area equal to 30 circles with these three radii). Compared with the number of type C sources we measured in each of these regions, 10 and 6 respectively, these numbers therefore appear strongly consistent. At lower flux levels it may be notable that

we only detected 1 SMG in the range 0.4–1 mJy inside 8.4 arcsec, whereas the Popping et al. (2020) number counts predict we should have detected \sim 4. Given that these numbers are both low, we do not ascribe great significance to this difference, but note that a significant difference might be evidence for cosmic variance or a turnover in SMG number counts at low flux levels. Indeed, our survey could be considered as an independent measurement of 856 µm SMG number counts in this region of sky down to 1 mJy and indeed below, even if its interpretation is complicated by the possibility of Galactic sources in the field of view.

We further note that the four sub-mm detections towards class III stars (i.e. type A) were all detected within 0.4arcsec of their corresponding ALMA phase centres, with fluxes exceeding 0.2 mJy. Inside this region over the entire survey, the Popping et al. (2020) number counts correspond to an SMG detection probability of just $\sim 3\%$. The contamination rate may be even lower than this, given that our survey measured a lower number of >0.4 mJy sources than predicted by Popping et al. (2020). Thus, whilst it is possible that one of these class III (type A) detections is an SMG, this also appears highly unlikely.

6 SURVEY CO ANALYSIS

In this section, we use the ALMA observations to search for CO emission coincident with the class III stars, and with the two class II sources that fall in the field of view.

6.1 Survey detections

To produce image cubes for each measurement set, we first transformed this data to the barycentric reference frame, and subtracted a linear fit of the continuum that was fitted over line-free channels (i.e. those external to the region $\pm 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the CO J = 3– 2 spectral line) using the CASA task UVCONTSUB. These were then all imaged with the CASA task TCLEAN non-interactively, for only the initial major cycle to produce data cubes, with a rest frequency set to the CO J = 3–2 spectral line frequency, $f_{CO} = 345.79599$ GHz. The FOV was likewise chosen to show the entire primary beam.

6.1.1 Cloud contamination

Channel maps were produced from the sub-cubes of all survey sources and in 16/30 of these high intensity, large-scale CO emission was observed in the field of view in the channels in the range $f_{CO} \pm$ 10 km s⁻¹. In none of these cubes were emission signals found further away than a few channels from this frequency at this intensity. For all 30 sub-cubes, velocities from +13 to -16 km s⁻¹ are shown in Appendix C in Figs C1 and C2, with 16 of these demonstrating large-scale CO J = 3-2 emission unrelated to any detected continuum emission (in images 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30). The full cubes for these 16 sources were investigated and the intensity and scale of the observed CO emission was found to be inconsistent with being due to flagged data, missing baselines, or visibility values, and found irrespective of the UVCONTSUB task being applied.

We plotted the positions of all 30 class III stars on Fig. 7, overlaid on to an image of far-IR Milky Way Galactic dust extinction, obtained from the 'SFD' dustmap as described in Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) and updated by Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011). This can be used to indicate whether Galactic dust or CO gas might



Galactic Longitude

Figure 7. Sky map of the far-IR Galactic dust extinction in the Lupus star forming region, where the locations of the class III stars in this survey have all been noted. Stars represented by 'o' markers indicate that they are located in Lupus cloud I, whereas markers represented by a filled circle indicate the star is in Lupus cloud III. The white markers indicate that background CO emission was detected in the channel maps, whereas green markers indicate that no background CO was detected, discussed further in Section 6.

have contaminated our observations near the CO J = 3-2 transition frequency (discussed later in Section 7), and showed a correlation between the dust extinction coefficient and the presence of CO emission.

We therefore conclude that such large-scale CO emission is highly likely from Galactic CO gas, present in large abundance in the Lupus star forming region, and detected by our deep ALMA imaging (although we note that the intensity and extent of the gas emission may not be well traced by our images). All 16 of these sources are noted in Table 5 in the 'Cloud Emission' column with a 'Y' if the channel maps were affected. We note that cloud contamination was reported in the class II Lupus survey of Ansdell et al. (2018), however the depth of the observations in this class III survey has resulted in cloud emission being much brighter than in any previous ALMA imaging of Lupus.

Given the size scale of the emission, in the 16 measurement sets where CO cloud contamination was detected we implemented a uvbaseline cut during the imaging process to remove all visibility data with uv – distance < 100k λ , significantly reducing the structure from emission on large-scale sizes (>2 arcsec, i.e. larger than any CO emission that would be circumstellar). Moment-0 maps for all 30 sources were then produced from emission within 10 km s⁻¹ of the CO spectral line, shown in Fig. 8. These CO moment-0 maps show significant source detections in positions coincident with continuum emission sources 3A (NO Lup, i.e. one of the class III sources) and both class II sources, Sz 108B (5B) and Lup 818s (6B). No CO emission was detected within 1.0 arcsec of any other class III sources or type-C detections from our continuum maps.

Table 5. Shown are the total CO fluxes, estimated CO gas masses for an optically thin, LTE (50K) assumption, and whether or not CO cloud emission was present in the data.

No.	Name	Flux (CO) $(Jy km s^{-1})$	$M_{ m CO, LTE}$ $(10^{-5} \times M_{\oplus})$	Cloud Gas
1	MU Lup	< 0.12	<2.1	_
2	J155526.2-333823	< 0.12	<1.6	-
3	NO Lup	0.29 ± 0.07	4.9 ± 1.1	_
4	Sz 107	< 0.19	<4.2	Y
5	Sz 108	< 0.19	<4.2	Y
	Sz 108B	0.56 ± 0.06	12.5 ± 1.4	Y
6	Sz 119	< 0.19	<2.1	Y
	Lup 818s	0.037 ± 0.012	0.43 ± 0.14	Y
7	MT Lup	< 0.19	<3.2	Y
8	Sz 67	< 0.12	<1.4	-
9	J154306.3-392020	< 0.12	<3.2	-
10	CD-35 10498	< 0.12	<2.6	-
11	MV Lup	< 0.12	<2.3	-
12	MW Lup	< 0.19	<3.1	Y
13	MX Lup	< 0.12	<1.9	-
14	NN Lup	< 0.12	<2.2	-
15	CD-39 10292	< 0.19	<3.1	Y
16	CD-31 12522	< 0.12	<2.0	-
17	J160713.7-383924	< 0.12	<2.9	-
18	J160714.0-385238	< 0.12	<1.7	-
19	Sz 94	< 0.19	<2.4	Y
20	J160758.9-392435	< 0.19	<4.7	Y
21	J160816.0-390304	< 0.19	<4.7	Y
22	V1027 Sco	< 0.19	<4.7	Y
23	Sz 109	< 0.19	<6.0	Y
24	J160908.5-390343	< 0.19	<4.6	Y
25	J160917.1-392710	< 0.12	<2.0	-
26	Sz 116	< 0.19	<3.9	Y
27	Sz 121	< 0.19	<4.4	Y
28	Sz 122	< 0.12	<2.2	-
29	Sz 124	< 0.19	<2.9	Y
30	V1097 Sco	< 0.19	<3.5	Y

6.2 Class II and III CO analysis

Of the class III stars for which sub-mm continuum emission was detected (i.e. those designated type A), only one source was affected by the CO cloud contamination, Sz 107, for which we can only set an upper limit on the CO gas flux/mass (see later in this section). MU Lup and J155526-333823 showed no significant CO emission coincident with the star, and we can only derive upper limit fluxes/masses for these two sources also. NO Lup, however, demonstrates highly significant CO emission, in fact at higher significance than its continuum detection. Cloud contamination affected some channels for both of the class II sources (Sz 108B and Lup 818s), however the brightness of these two sources meant that their CO flux was still detectable above the background emission. We note here that it is also possible that cloud contamination has masked low level detections of CO gas in sources 19, 23, 24, and 30, given that these appear most affected at the class III stellar position. Further high resolution imaging of these sources may reveal if CO gas is present.

Spatially integrated spectra were produced for NO Lup, Sz 108B, and Lup 818s, and are shown in Fig. 9. For the NO Lup spectrum, emission was integrated within an elliptical mask with an aperture of (2.5×2.1) arcsec (i.e. such that the mask fully enveloped all significant CO emission). For the class II spectra, emission was integrated within elliptical masks centred on the two class II sources

with apertures of (1.6×1.4) and (1.2×1.0) arcsec for Sz 108B and Lup 818s, respectively. CO fluxes were determined by integrating the area under these spectra between the points at which these cross their respective 1σ thresholds; -11.0 to +8.1 km s⁻¹ for NO Lup, -9.0 to $+2.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for Sz 108B, and $-5.4 \text{ to} +1.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for Lup 818s. The median velocity of the detected CO emission is consistent with that expected from the radial velocities of Lupus stars, $RV_{Lup} = 2.8 \pm$ 4.2 kms⁻¹ (see Frasca et al. 2017). For NO Lup, emission is measured over a broad range of velocities $\sim 19 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. The spectrum may be consistent with the double-peaked structure typical of spectrally resolved, inclined rotating discs. Therefore, whilst the inclination of the NO Lup disc was not well constrained from its continuum emission (see Table 3), the CO spectrum suggests that this source may be inclined with respect to the plane of the sky. In both Sz 108B and Lup 818s, the spectral line shows only a single sharp peak; the lower negative peak of Sz 108B close to -2 km s^{-1} is likely due to cloud contamination absorbing the red-shifted side of the disc.

To determine the uncertainty on the CO line fluxes, we found for all non-contaminated sources, for an aperture with a size of (1.2×1.0) arcsec located at the image centre, the integrated emission uncertainty is $rms_{CO} = 3.0 \text{ mJy per } 0.42 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ channel (based on the emission excluding channels within $\pm 20 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ of the spectral line). For the sources affected by cloud contamination the rms is higher once uv-baseline cuts have been applied, and for these we instead find the integrated emission uncertainty $rms_{CO} = 4.7 \text{ mJy}$ per $0.42 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ channel (i.e. the uncertainty increased by ~50%). Although the channel width is $\Delta v = 0.42 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$, the effective spectral bandwidth is ~2.667 larger than this, since adjacent ALMA channels are not fully independent.¹ With these considerations, and by including an additional 10% flux calibration error in quadrature, we find the integrated fluxes and uncertainties for NO Lup, Sz 108B, and Lup 818s as shown in Table 5. Further, for an assumed spectral line width of $\sim 5 \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, we place 3σ upper bounds on the CO flux of all 29 other class III survey sources as either <0.19 or < $0.12 \,\mathrm{Jv \, km \, s^{-1}}$ (i.e. depending on whether these sources were affected by cloud contamination or not, respectively).

By further assuming that the CO gas is in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), has a low optical depth (i.e. $\tau < 1$), and a temperature of 50 K, we derived CO gas masses for NO Lup, Sz 108B, and Lup 818s, and upper limit masses on the 29 of our survey target sources. These calculations used equations (2) and (8) (for the J = 3-2 transition) from Matrà et al. (2015), for which all derived $M_{CO, LTE}$ masses are shown in Table 5. If the CO abundance (i.e. $(x_{CO} = [CO]/[H_2])$ has a protoplanetary disc-like abundance (i.e. 10^{-4} , as per Williams & Best 2014), we find the total gas-to-dust mass ratio for NO Lup of 1.0 ± 0.4 . For the class III sources with dust measurements but no CO counterpart, the 3σ upper limit gas-to-dust ratios are <0.25, <0.16, and <0.60 for MU Lup, J155526.2-333823, and Sz 107, respectively. A caveat to the above calculations is that if the emission comes from a sufficiently compact region, it may be optically thick, which would imply that the values stated in Table 5 could all be larger. Class II protoplanetary discs are usually optically thick in CO, but this is not necessarily the case for the low mass class III discs examined here, with dust masses comparable to debris discs (see later, Fig. 10). Reinforcing this point, we measure class III CO fluxes that are either upper limits, or in the case of NO Lup, a factor of \sim 5 times lower than the average F_{CO} of the Lupus class II sources (see Ansdell et al. 2016), and similar in line luminosity to

¹For a complete discussion, see https://safe.nrao.edu/wiki/pub/Main/ALMA WindowFunctions/Note_on_Spectral_Response.pdf



Figure 8. ALMA CO moment 0 images for all 30 class III stars. The colour scale is in units of significance with respect to each image's rms. The source numbers in the bottom left-hand panel of each panel correspond to those in Table 2. Note that the plots in which rms is higher were those which suffered from CO cloud contamination, further discussed in Section 6. In all images, North is up, East is left, and the beam and 200 au scale bar are shown in the upper and lower right, respectively.

nearby, optically thin CO-bearing debris discs (see Kral et al. 2017). Therefore, while higher angular resolution observations are needed to better estimate disc sizes and optical depth, we present optically thin masses as a reasonable estimate of the CO contents in these low F_{CO} class III sources, but note that the estimates for the gas-rich class II sources (e.g. Sz 108B) are likely underestimated. A second caveat is that the assumption of LTE may not apply if H₂ is not abundant in these discs, e.g. if CO gas is secondary, that is, released from planetesimals instead of being primordial (e.g. Dent et al. 2014; Marino et al. 2016; Matrà et al. 2017; Moór et al. 2017). If the CO gas is not in LTE, then CO gas mass limits are typically much higher as shown by Matrà et al. (2015, 2018), but this does not mean that the total gas-to-dust ratio is necessarily higher due to the absence of H₂. We discuss the implications of this further in Section 7.1.2.

6.3 Summary of CO analysis

By inspecting the CO emission from the 30 sources in our survey, whilst we found in 16 images large-scale emission from presumed CO in the Lupus cloud, we found that one of the class III stars with a continuum detection also has a CO counterpart, NO Lup, that will be discussed further in Lovell, submitted. (2020). After accounting for the likely Galactic CO emission from the Lupus clouds, we also detected CO towards both class II stars for which we also detected continuum emission, Sz 108B and Lup 818s. None of the other class III stars or background/other (type C) detections contained CO emission, and upper limit CO fluxes/masses were derived for all 29 class III sources. From these measurements, we have constrained the CO emission coincident with all class III and II sources present in this survey.

7 DISCUSSION

7.1 Summary of circumstellar material around class III stars

In Section 3, we showed the full set of YSOs that comprise our Lupus sample, and how the [K]–[12] and [K]–[24] photometry compares for the class II and III sources. We showed that the class II and III sources could be distinguished photometrically consistent with the Lada & Wilking (1984) classification, since the class II's all have either $\alpha_{K-24} > -1.6$ or $\alpha_{K-12} > -1.6$, which is not the case for the class III's (although some of these only have upper limits



Figure 9. CO spectra of the class III star NO Lup (left-hand panel), and the class II stars Sz 108B (middle) and Lup 818s (right-hand panel). The red, blue, and green dashed lines show the per-channel 1σ , 3σ , and 5σ noise levels, respectively, calculated from the rms signal of the integrated flux in channels distant from the CO emission.



Figure 10. Disc mass as a function of age for known debris discs and protoplanetary disc populations, alongside the 30 class III Lupus sources outlined in this work. The vertical dotted line at 10 Myr is only an approximate division between where protoplanetary and debris discs might be expected, and the horizontal line is the approximate division between sources in star forming regions that are considered class II and class III (noting that counter examples exist on either side of these lines). We plot as a green dashed line an illustrative path that a system may follow as it evolves from being a protoplanetary disc to a debris disc, via the class III stage explored in this work.

to their mid-IR emission). For the majority the former criterion using the 24 μ m photometry is sufficient to classify the sources (i.e. all except AKC2006-18). Furthermore, whilst the class II sources showed a large range in the colour–colour values, the class III sources were mostly confined to near-stellar colours. Nevertheless, detailed consideration of their SEDs showed that low level non-photospheric emission is present for 6/30 of the class III sources. Our analysis

led us to different conclusions about the nature of the circumstellar material for different stars in the 30 class III YSOs.

7.1.1 Likely debris discs: MU Lup and J155526.2-333823

These both have mm-sized dust which is inferred to be at 10s of au, with one of these resolved as a ring at ~80 au. Neither demonstrates any CO J = 3-2 emission. Upper limits on the CO gas masses imply a 3σ upper limit on the gas-to-dust ratios of <0.25 and <0.16 (for MU Lup and J155526.2-333823, respectively) if these had abundances similar to protoplanetary discs. We therefore consider these to be inconsistent with gas-dominated primordial discs. In addition, while these also fell inside the $\alpha_{IR} < -1.6$ classification for class III YSOs at both 12 and 24 µm, low level excess emission is present at 24 µm for both and at 12 µm for MU Lup. Neither shows evidence of ongoing accretion on to their stars. In conjunction, we find these two sources consistent with being young debris discs.

7.1.2 Uncertain if debris discs or protoplanetary discs: NO Lup and Sz 107

NO Lup is found to have both dust and gas. The SED of the dust component can be fitted as a single temperature component which would put it at ~ 3 au, but it is equally likely that there is an additional component at 10s of au (or a broad disc extending that far) that dominates the sub-mm continuum emission. Most surprising is the bright gas which has a high velocity width ($\sim 19 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) with a gas-to-dust mass ratio measured as 1.0 ± 0.4 , based on the assumption of the CO gas being in LTE and having $x_{CO} = 0.0001$. Whilst such assumptions are reasonable for protoplanetary discs, they may not be for NO Lup. For example, if the LTE assumption is invalid (i.e. in the case that the observed CO gas is secondary and instead replenished by planetesimals), so too may be the assumption that $x_{CO} = 0.0001$

(i.e. since primordial H₂ gas may have already dispersed, and in such a system x_{CO} could be much higher). Therefore, whilst non-LTE conditions can result in higher CO gas masses (as previously discussed in Section 6.2), the physics involved which violate such conditions (i.e. the dispersal of H₂ gas) can produce lower total gas masses. If this is the case for NO Lup (and indeed the other 3 sub-mm detected sources), then this total gas-to-dust mass ratio could be much lower than calculated, and the disc would instead be dust dominated. To assess how much second-generation gas we may expect around NO Lup, we can compare it with the ~ 10 Myr-old M-dwarf, TWA 7, where second-generation CO gas was recently detected (Matrà et al. 2019). If planetesimals in the NO Lup disc have the same volatile content as those around TWA 7, we can use the properties of its dust disc (setting the rate at which planetesimals are destroyed) to determine the level of second-generation CO that may be produced. Accounting for the differences in stellar mass and luminosity, fractional luminosity, belt radius, and width (assuming r \sim 30 au and a dr/r of 0.5 for NO Lup), we would expect \sim 18 times more CO gas mass around NO Lup than TWA 7. Thus, by taking the same LTE, optically thin excitation conditions for TWA 7, we would then predict $\sim 2.4 \times 10^{-5} M_{\oplus}$ of second-generation CO to be present around NO Lup. This is only a factor ~ 2 lower than the CO gas mass measured (see Table 5), and thus these estimates show broad consistency between the measured CO gas mass and a scenario in which this may be continuously replenished from exo-cometary ice (i.e. one in which the CO gas may no longer be primordial). This will be explored further in Lovell, submitted. (2020).

Sz 107 has mid-IR colours that puts it close to other class II transition discs, but its sub-mm dust mass is orders of magnitude lower (the dust masses of nearby [K]-[12] and [K]-[24] class II YSOs Sz 84 and Sz 111 are \sim 7.7 and \sim 75 M_{\oplus} , respectively). This suggests that whilst the mm-grains in this system have dispersed, modest levels of mid-IR emission persist (i.e. at similar levels to much more massive transition discs). Sz 107 therefore may provide a useful constraint on the rate at which this rapid mass dispersal takes place (i.e. since this is likely to have happened relatively recently), and also on the order, since it reinforces that the mm-sized grains in the outer disc seem to disappear before the mid-IR emission from the inner regions is finally cleared (e.g. Wyatt et al. 2015). Like NO Lup its dust emission could be fitted by a single-temperature component within a few au, but there could be an additional component at 10s of au (or a broad disc). There is no evidence for gas, but the limits are not as strong due to confusion from cloud emission. Nevertheless, we could only place a 3σ upper limit on the total gas-to-dust ratio of < 0.6(i.e. whilst it is likely this system is dust dominated, it may still have a large reservoir of gas comparable in mass to its dust). Neither of these sources showed evidence of ongoing accretion on to their stars.

We find these two sources therefore cannot be as clearly defined, being debris disc-like in terms of their dust mass and accretion properties, but having [K]–[24] excesses that are only slightly lower than known class II discs or transition discs. Moreover, in the case of NO Lup, the gas detection is consistent with a protoplanetary disc composition and a gas-to-dust ratio of unity, but is also with the gas being secondary. These two systems are therefore prime candidates for further deep ALMA imaging to constrain their physical sizes, CO gas masses, and origins in further detail.

7.1.3 Hot dust from asteroid belts or planet formation: MV Lup and CD-31 12522

Two stars have 24 μ m-only excesses, and neither showed evidence of ongoing accretion on to their stars. The SED fitted temperatures

puts the dust in these systems within 1 au, which could be from a close-in asteroid belt (Wyatt 2008; Chen et al. 2009; Morales et al. 2009; Kennedy & Wyatt 2014), or dust created during collisions between forming planets (e.g. the shake-down of Kepler planetary systems Kenyon & Bromley 2004; Genda, Kobayashi & Kokubo 2015; Wyatt & Jackson 2016; Su et al. 2020). We therefore place these in a separate category to the other class III YSOs, since while the mid-IR excess could be an indicator that planetesimal formation has taken place (and/or that planet formation is ongoing), it is not clear that this has taken place beyond a few au (i.e. at debris disc radii) given the limits set by the non-detection of sub-mm dust.

7.1.4 Photospheres: The remaining class III stars

The remaining 24 class III's appear to be bare photospheres as they do not exhibit infrared excesses or CO gas. For 20/24 of these class IIIs there is no evidence of ongoing accretion on to their stars (the remaining 4 of which we were unable to confirm, see Section 3.2). In all 24 cases however, the SED analysis concluded that no significant excess emission is present and so we believe that these are appropriately classed, but lack evidence for planetesimal formation, either in the mid-IR or in the sub-mm.

7.1.5 The effect of stellar binarity?

Zurlo, submitted (2020) observed 29/30 of the same class III stars in our survey for binarity (J160714.0-385238 was too faint to observe), within a separation range of 20-1200 au, and found that our sample contains six binaries. Three of these have wide (>100 au)separations: Sz 108B at ~683 au, Sz 116 at ~245 au, and CD-35 10498 at \sim 172 au, and three of these have intermediate (20-100 au) separations: J154306.3-392020 at ~24 au, CD-39 10292 at \sim 47 au, and V1097 Sco at \sim 21 au. Stellar binaries are known to impact the detection rate of discs, and thus may have influenced those observed in our class III survey, however for circumstellar emission with the extent probed by our observations, only those with intermediate separations are likely to significantly influence circumstellar disc properties (Jensen, Mathieu & Fuller 1996; Alexander 2012; Rosotti & Clarke 2018; Long et al. 2019; Manara et al. 2019). Indeed, Yelverton et al. (2019) showed that the detection rate of older debris discs was lower for binary separations between 25 and 135 au, thus if the circumstellar emission measured in our class III survey is dust from the progenitors of the debris discs observed at 10s of au, then a similar relationship may exist. While no circumstellar emission was detected towards the three class III stars with intermediate separation binaries, a detection rate of 0/3 is consistent with being the same as that of the class III stars without confirmed intermediate separation binaries (6/26). Thus there is no evidence in our sample that stellar binarity influences the detection fraction of circumstellar emission, although we cannot rule out that the binary companions of J154306.3-392020, CD-39 10292 and V1097 Sco accelerated the dispersal of their discs, and we cannot comment on the influence of binaries with separations outside of the 20–1200 au range probed by Zurlo, submitted (2020). There is also no evidence that intermediate separation binaries are overrepresented in the class III sample compared with that of class II's, as might be expected if such binaries disperse their protoplanetary discs more quickly; e.g. Zurlo, submitted (2020) found intermediate separation binaries towards 4/76 of their Lupus class II sample, which is consistent with the incidence rate of 3/29 towards class III's. Larger sample sizes would help to ascertain the influence of binarity on disc detection rates.

7.2 The Lupus disc mass distribution

This survey of 30 class III stars was sensitive to disc masses down to $0.024M_{\oplus}$, which for context we include on a plot of disc mass versus age in Fig. 10, alongside the survey populations of Upper Sco (Barenfeld et al. 2016), Lupus (class II) (Ansdell et al. 2016) and Ophiuchus (Cieza et al. 2019; Williams et al. 2019), and for the debris disc population in Holland et al. (2017). We note here that in comparing the masses of discs in different populations it is most important that the value of κ_{ν} is used consistently. Our value of $3.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (which does not account for the gas mass, since we are comparing their dust masses) is consistent with that used to estimate the dust masses for the Lupus class II, Upper Sco and Ophiuchus discs. However, the κ_v used to estimate the debris disc masses in Holland et al. (2017) and dust masses in Sanchis et al. (2020) were both lower by $\sim 60\%$, and thus we re-scaled these accordingly. For illustrative purposes we randomly assigned the ages of stars in Upper Sco, Lupus and Ophiuchus between 5-11, 1-3, and 0.75-1.0 Myr, respectively (consistent with the ages of stars in these regions). This plot demonstrates that whilst the masses of protoplanetary discs are significantly higher than those of the much older debris discs, the dust masses measured for the Lupus class IIIs are consistent with those of the much older debris disc population. It also shows that there has been a significant improvement in sensitivity compared with previous surveys which has allowed us to detect the lowest mass discs at 1-3 Myr. Whilst it may appear from the plot that an increase in dust mass is seen from those of the class III's we detected at \sim 2 Myr and the brightest debris discs at \sim 10–100 Myr, similar to that discussed in Turrini et al. (2019), this may be due to a spectral type bias; i.e. the class III sample is dominated by K and M stars, whereas the debris disc sample is dominated by G, F, and A type stars which we would expect to host more massive discs. The same caveat applies when comparing the detections on this plot between different regions; e.g. the large number of $0.1-0.3M_{\oplus}$ disc detections in Upper Sco could result from the different stellar mass, disc mass, and disc radius distribution compared with Lupus (Ansdell et al. 2016; Hendler et al. 2020), but also from other features of the sample selection as noted later in this section.

Here we combine these class III results with the previous surveys of class II stars (as outlined in Section 2), which were sensitive to disc masses of $\sim 0.2 M_{\oplus}$, to consider the disc mass distribution in Lupus as a whole. The previous class II surveys had showed that there is a dependence of disc mass on stellar mass, and Fig. 11 shows disc mass versus stellar mass for the combined sample (excluding class II sources without stellar masses, see Ansdell et al. 2016, 2018; Sanchis et al. 2020, for the complete list). Note that for the class II star V1094 Sco, we re-derived the disc mass from the flux in van Terwisga et al. (2018) using equation (1) of Ansdell et al. (2016) and the Gaia DR2 distance to this source. This recovers the aforementioned correlation for the class II population, with higher mass discs found only around higher mass stars, and lower mass discs only around lower mass stars (for which there was also a greater proportion of non-detections). Fitting to the detected discs in the class II population, Ansdell et al. (2016) found a positive relationship between $\log M_{dust}$ and $\log M_{\star}$ with a slope 1.8 \pm 0.4 (which we re-plot on our Fig. 11).

The detected class III discs all have a similar dust mass, and fall below the detection threshold of the class II survey. Whilst the class II and III detections cover a range of stellar masses, when non-



Figure 11. Disc masses in Lupus as a function of stellar mass for the class II and class III surveys. The dashed lines show the mean upper limit mass from the class II data in blue (Ansdell et al. 2016), purple (Sanchis et al. 2020), and for the class III sources (this work) in orange. The blue solid line shows the relationship for class II YSOs between their stellar mass and dust mass from Ansdell et al. (2016).

detections are included it may be clearer that neither this survey nor the Ansdell et al. (2016) survey were particularly sensitive to discs around the lowest mass stars $<0.30 \, M_{\odot}$. The Ansdell et al. (2016) class II survey deliberately excluded Brown Dwarf YSOs (i.e. those with masses $<0.1 M_{\odot}$), but we include those analysed by Sanchis et al. (2020) which observed sources down to YSO stellar masses of ${\sim}0.02\,M_{\odot}$ (of which 4/9 with ${<}0.1\,M_{\odot}$ are found with upper limit disc masses). For the sub-sample of 31 stars in Lupus that are $>0.7 M_{\odot}$ (including both class II and III stars), there were no non-detections of class II stars, and so this sub-sample can be considered to have been searched down to a uniform depth of $0.024M_{\oplus}$. Considering such stars, it is clear from Fig. 11 that there is a large gap in disc mass between the lowest mass class II disc at $\sim 2M_{\oplus}$ and the highest mass class III discs at $\sim 0.09M_{\oplus}$. The same is true when considering the 54 stars with masses $M_{\star} > 0.3 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, but the gap appears to get smaller because of the lower disc masses of the class II's. For even lower stellar masses, there is a large fraction of class II's with non-detections, and the correlation would suggest that many of these simply have discs just below the detection threshold of that survey.

Rather than comparing the disc mass distribution of class II's with class III's, we first consider in Fig. 12 the disc mass distribution for the combined sample of class II's and III's, but cutting this in stellar mass. This was calculated by comparing the number of stars with discs detected at a given mass with the number of stars for which such detections were possible (which is usually the full sample until the survey sensitivity is reached, though this is variable due to the different distances to the stars), with asymmetric error bars given by Poisson statistics (Gehrels 1986, see also Section 7.3). This further quantifies the gap mentioned above. Also evident from Fig. 12 is that a larger fraction of higher mass stars have lost their protoplanetary discs at this age (50% have $M_{dust} > 0.3M_{\oplus}$ for $M_{\star} > 0.7 M_{\odot}$) compared with lower mass stars (60% for $M_{\star} > 0.3 M_{\odot}$).

The presence of a gap in disc masses (see Figs 11 and 12) suggests that the process responsible for dispersing protoplanetary discs acts quickly, perhaps being triggered once the disc mass has decreased



Figure 12. Cumulative fraction of discs with a mass greater than those in the binned range for the combined Lupus class II and III surveys, for all stars with either $M_{\star} > 0.3 \, M_{\odot}$ (blue dashed) or those with $M_{\star} > 0.7 \, M_{\odot}$ (orange dotted). The shaded regions represent 1σ confidence intervals.

below a certain threshold. This is reminiscent of what has been called 'two-time-scale behaviour' in the observational literature about disc dispersal (see e.g. the seminal papers of Strom et al. 1989; Skrutskie et al. 1990), on the basis of infrared excesses. Because infrared excesses trace only the warm inner regions, our results imply that the disc dispersal process removes also the bulk of the disc's dust mass that is concentrated at 10s of au on roughly the same timescale, depleting this by at least an order of magnitude. Theoretically, the best explanation for the two-time-scale behaviour is the process of photo-evaporation (see e.g. Clarke, Gendrin & Sotomayor 2001; Alexander et al. 2014; Ercolano & Pascucci 2017), i.e. a disc wind driven by the gas thermal energy. Photo-evaporation disperses the inner part (<1 au) of the disc when the mass accretion rate on to the star becomes lower than the mass-loss rate of the wind. Because there is currently a large uncertainty about photo-evaporation massloss rates depending on which energy bands of the radiation from the central star provide the energy to drive mass-loss, it is interesting to estimate the mass-loss rates implied by our observations. A lower proto-planetary disc mass threshold of $\sim 3M_{Jup}$ (such as that found in Lupus for solar mass stars, assuming a dust-to-gas ratio of 100), for a typical viscous time-scale of 1 Myr (Lodato et al. 2017; Rosotti et al. 2017) would imply a photo-evaporative mass-loss rate of $\sim 3 \times$ $10^{-9} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}}$. This value is in the range of what can be explained by current models (Gorti & Hollenbach 2009; Owen, Ercolano & Clarke 2011; Picogna et al. 2019) including far ultraviolet and X-ray photons, while being too high to be explained by earlier models based only on extreme ultraviolet radiation (see e.g. Alexander, Clarke & Pringle 2006).

As an alternative, over the last few years it has been proposed that disc evolution and dispersal is controlled by disc winds driven by the disc magnetic field, rather than a thermal wind as in photo-evaporation. Because these models are still in their infancy, depending on how the magnetic field flux evolves over the disc lifetime they can predict a wide variety of outcomes (see e.g. Suzuki, Muto & Inutsuka 2010; Armitage, Simon & Martin 2013; Bai 2016), ranging from a slow 'fading' of the disc to a behaviour similar to that predicted by photo-evaporation. Our observational results constrain



Figure 13. Cumulative fraction of discs with a mass greater than those in the binned range for Lupus sources (blue), where class III are dashed and class II are dotted, and similarly for Ophiuchus (in orange) and class III sources in Upper Sco (in red), noting that for both Ophiuchus and Upper Sco the class III sources were selected based on different constraints to our Lupus survey, and are hence labelled as Class III X₁ or Class III X₂ here. The shaded regions represent 1σ confidence intervals.

that only the latter alternative is compatible, at least for $>\!0.3\,M_\odot$ stars with discs that disperse within ${\sim}2\,Myr.$

An important caveat is that our observations are not sensitive to gas mass, rather to dust mass which is dominated by mm-cm-sized grains. Photo-evaporation, or other types of disc winds, remove the gas, and while these can also entrain grains up to $\sim 1 \ \mu m$ in size (Takeuchi, Clarke & Lin 2005) they cannot be directly responsible for the rapid removal of larger dust grains. While Alexander & Armitage (2007) showed that the interplay with dust dynamics can remove the dust in the inner part of the disc (<1 au), dust at larger distances still remains in the disc. The problem of where the mm-sized dust ends up was highlighted by Wyatt et al. (2015) where it was argued that this must be removed in collisions, either grinding it into small dust or growing it into larger bodies. This feasibility of the former possibility was demonstrated by Owen & Kollmeier (2019), who showed that collisional destruction can grind the grains into micron-sized dust that is removed by radiation pressure. The latter possibility was also demonstrated by Carrera et al. (2017) which showed that the dust can grow into planetesimals, either through collisions or via the streaming instability. What our observations show is that whichever of these the dispersal mechanisms is acting, its effect on the mm-sized dust must be both rapid and complete, in removing over 90% of the dust mass. Although depletion may not be so substantial for lower mass stars (i.e. since the gap is not so pronounced), the transition disc of Sz 84 has a disc >100 times more massive than the disc of the class III star, Sz 107, despite these having approximately the same stellar mass (i.e. 0.18 and 0.16 M_{\odot} respectively), and mid-IR excess (see Fig. 2). Deeper observations of the lower mass class II sources (i.e. to at least the same sensitivity as this work) would therefore allow us to quantify if this gap persists for the lowest mass YSOs between the class II and class III stages.

7.3 Comparison with class IIIs in other star forming regions

The disc mass distribution is shown in Fig. 13 in the format shown commonly in other surveys of star forming regions, wherein the samples are split into their different classes. The complete sample of

Lupus YSOs is included, excluding only sources without confirmed stellar masses. This was produced by binning all such sources in their disc masses, and evaluating the uncertainty on the fraction in each bin using binomial statistics (i.e. for the total number of sources detected, and the total number of sources in each bin, see Gehrels 1986). This reinforces that the class III's in Lupus are orders of magnitude lower in mass than their class II counterparts. This class III distribution will be compared in Section 7.4 with that expected for debris discs at this age, which shows excellent agreement.

First we use Fig. 13 to compare the Lupus class III disc mass distribution with that of the only other two surveys that observed class III stars in Ophiuchus and Upper Sco (Williams et al. 2019; Barenfeld et al. 2016, respectively). However, it is important to note that there are two reasons why these three class III samples may not be equivalent. First, Ansdell et al. (2016) demonstrated that there is a different stellar mass distribution for Lupus compared with Upper Sco YSOs from Barenfeld et al. (2016), which may be important given the trend of class II disc mass with stellar mass in Fig. 11, and a similar trend for debris disc masses (Greaves & Wyatt 2003). Secondly, and perhaps most importantly, the class III sample selection criteria are different for the different surveys. While all have the same requirement for class III stars not having large 12 and 24 µm excesses (i.e. they are all samples of class III stars that lie in the green shaded region of Fig. 2), the Ophiuchus and Upper Sco surveys set additional constraints to exclude class III's in the bottom left-hand corner for which there was no evidence for mid-IR excess emission. The ODISEA survey in Ophiuchus excluded class III stars with [K]-[24]<1 (equivalent to excluding those in our survey in the cluster of near photospheric fluxes in the bottom corner of Fig. 2; see the horizontal green line on that figure) and the survey of Upper Sco excluded all class III stars with bare photospheres (see the discussion in both Luhman & Mamajek 2012; Barenfeld et al. 2016). This complicates the comparison between these different associations, and to acknowledge the different sample selection criteria we add the suffix X1 and X2 to class III in reference to the Ophiuchus and Upper Sco samples in Fig. 13.

We first compare Lupus with Ophiuchus. The class II's in Lupus and Ophiuchus have comparable mass distributions, although the masses are slightly lower for Ophiuchus. This is different to expectations of discs decreasing in mass with age, given that Ophiuchus is slightly younger than Lupus at \sim 1 Myr. However, this may simply reflect a stellar mass distribution with more lower mass stars given the correlation in Fig. 11, or it may be due to differences in the local environment (see further discussion in Williams et al. 2019). The possibility of overlapping populations (and therefore ages) in the YSOs of Ophiuchus (Luhman & Esplin 2020), and presumably Lupus, should also be considered. Nevertheless, it could also be the case that this mass distribution reflects an increase in dust production (e.g. from planetesimal collisions) at the slightly older age of Lupus in comparison to Ophiuchus (e.g. as indicated by the toy model of Gerbig, Lenz & Klahr 2019). The Ophiuchus class III X₁ survey was not as deep as that performed here, instead reaching a sensitivity comparable with the class II surveys of $\sim 0.2 M_{\oplus}$. Thus it appears surprising that the rate of detection of class III's is comparable for the two surveys, which translates into the disc mass distribution for Ophiuchus class III's looking like it is higher than those of Lupus by around half to one order of magnitude (see Fig. 13). However, as noted above, sources in the Ophiuchus class III X₁ sample all have large [K]-[24] excesses, which we have shown implies a larger disc mass, given that mid-IR excess is likely present. A deep survey of all Ophiuchus class III's would be required for a proper comparison. We can however, consider what a Lupus class III X₁ survey would

have found, noting that four of our class III's meet the class III X₁ K– 24 > 1 colour threshold (excluding those that are confused or which have mid-IR upper limits). Two of these had sub-mm detections, both with disc masses almost an order of magnitude lower than those in Williams et al. (2019). While the highest class III disc masses could be rarer in Lupus than in Ophiuchus, this is more likely a result of small number statistics. For example, we should not be surprised by the fact that we did not detect discs more massive than ~0.1 M_{\oplus} in our class III sample, since the model in Section 7.4 predicts these should occur at a rate ~3% (i.e. about 1 in 30). Such high disc masses would be expected to occur more frequently in a class III X₁ sample.

In the case of Upper Sco, we are also not comparing with a consistently selected sample nor observing depth. In the Barenfeld et al. (2016) survey all sources were measured to a sensitivity of ${\sim}0.1 M_{\oplus},$ and only sources named 'Debris/Ev. Trans' overlap with the same constraints we set for our class III sub-sample. As shown by Luhman & Mamajek (2012), there is a large population of low [K]-[12] versus [K]–[24] sources (i.e. bare photospheres) which were not observed, whereas in our class III sample such bare photospheres were included (i.e. the detection fractions cannot be compared as directly as implied by Fig. 13). Nevertheless, we found in our sample six stars not deemed to be bare photospheres (i.e. six stars which would have met the Luhman & Mamajek 2012, criteria and fall in what we are calling a class III X₂ sample). We detected submm circumstellar dust in four of these, and all of these were less massive than the 5/31 Upper Sco detections. Similar to the case in Ophiuchus, the lack of high mass class III discs could be down to small number statistics; e.g. if the rates of $>0.1M_{\oplus}$ class III X₂ discs is the same in the two regions then we would expect to have detected ~ 1 (i.e. 6 \times 5/31) in Lupus. However, it remains possible that the two disc mass distributions are different either due to evolution, since Upper Sco is older (i.e. 5-11 Myr, see Mathews et al. 2012), or because of the different stellar mass distributions (i.e. for which in comparison to our Lupus sample, there are a higher fraction of M-types and a lower fraction of K-types in Upper Sco, along with a number of G-type stars which did not feature in our sample). To consider these possibilities, comparisons between samples must be done in a consistent manner, and to fully consider the complete disc mass distribution of class III stars, sources without excesses (including bare photospheres) should be included.

7.4 Population model

The population of debris discs seen around older main sequence stars has been well characterized by far-IR surveys of both nearby field stars of co-eval populations in moving groups. These show a decay in disc brightness with stellar age that can be understood within the context of a population model in which all stars are born with a planetesimal belt that depletes due to steady state collisional erosion on a different time-scale according to its radius and initial mass (Wyatt et al. 2007). We use such a population model, specifically that with parameters that fit observations of nearby Sun-like stars from Sibthorpe et al. (2018), to predict what this debris disc population would have looked like at the age of Lupus, for which we used the stellar masses (see Table 2), temperatures and luminosities (derived for the sources as modelled by our SEDs in Section 5.4), and $\kappa_v \sim$ $3.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ to be representative of the Lupus class III sample and estimated consistently with our previous mass calculations. Fig. 14 shows the model predictions for the dust masses and blackbody radii (for a distribution ranging between 1 and 1000 au) of a population of 10 000 debris discs after just \sim 2 Myr of evolution, and in Fig. 13 the



Figure 14. Top: Predicted population dust mass and radii for a sample of 10 000 discs based on the models of Wyatt et al. (2007), Sibthorpe et al. (2018), and the expected detection thresholds at 24, 70 μ m (i.e. with *Spitzer*), 100, 160 μ m (i.e. with *Herschel*) and 850 μ m (i.e. with *ALMA*). Shown are the positions of the four sub-mm detections (lilac) and the two hot IR-excesses (pink). Note that the plotted radii are approximated by the blackbody SED fits (based on temperature and fractional luminosity which have a large range of values see Table 4) but that these span a wide range of values consistent with their detections/non-detections with either *Spitzer* (at 24 μ m) or ALMA (at 850 μ m).

cumulative disc mass distribution is shown alongside the measured rate from this survey.

There are reasons why extrapolating this population model back to ~ 2 Myr could result in erroneous predictions. For example, the model is constrained by the 20% of nearby stars for which their debris discs can be detected. This means that the discs of the remaining 80% of the population, other than being too faint to detect at old ages, are unconstrained. The model has accounted for these by assuming they have small radii $\ll 5$ au, since this means they have collisionally depleted below the detection threshold by Gyr ages, whereas this might not be the case for Lupus ages. Indeed, Fig. 14 shows that the model population includes a large fraction of small bright discs. However, where the population model is expected to make robust predictions is for bright discs with radii >5 au, since it is those that evolve into the discs that remain detectable after Gyr of evolution.

This population model was used to predict the detection rate for this survey, and finds at a mass sensitivity of $\sim 0.024 M_{\oplus}$ (i.e. one consistent with our survey) a rate of \sim 7%. Thus it was anticipated that, if class III stars host debris discs that are representative of the population seen around older stars, we should achieve detections of debris discs for $N_{det} = 2 \pm \sqrt{2}$ of the sample, consistent with the observed detection rate of 4/30. The debris disc mass distribution predicted in Fig. 13 is also close to that observed for the class III sample. Furthermore, the model predicted that the population of non-detectable discs would have a mean disc flux of 0.0136 mJy. Using equation (3) at the 141 pc average class III source distance, we find this flux to correspond to a mass of $0.0026M_{\oplus}$, consistent with (but slightly lower than) the measured stacked non-detection mass of 0.0048 \pm 0.0017 M_{\oplus} . This demonstrates that the model has both accurately predicted the detection rate of Lupus class III discs, and the mean disc mass of those below our detection threshold.

While for two of class III detections there remains some uncertainty about whether primordial gas or dust is present (i.e. NO Lup and Sz 107), the two remaining detections (i.e. of MU Lup and J155526-333823) are compatible with the predicted debris disc population. The good agreement of the model predictions with the discs observed around class III stars has a number of implications. First, it would seem that whatever processes have caused the 30 class III stars at this age to have lost their protoplanetary discs faster than the sample that are class II, those processes have not adversely affected the formation of planetesimals at 10s of au. This suggests that planetesimal formation, or more specifically the formation of the parent bodies of the debris discs seen around older stars, occurs earlier than 2 Myr, also in agreement with studies of young (class II) Brown Dwarfs in Ophiuchus and Lupus (e.g. Testi et al. 2016; Sanchis et al. 2020, respectively).

Having inferred that many (if not all) the detected class III discs are examples of young debris discs, given these are already bright this further suggests that debris discs are already stirred at this age (i.e. collisions are grinding planetesimals into dust, rather than collisions leading to growth). We confirm this for the 4 sub-mm detected discs by calculating the collisional lifetime of mm-cm sized grains (i.e. the time-scale over which bodies of this size collide in a collisional cascade, see Wyatt 2008, equation 15), which for dr/r = 0.5, e = 0.1, $Q_D^{\star} = 10^3 \,\mathrm{J \, kg^{-1}}$, the stellar masses in Table 2 and the disc radii in Table 3 (which for MU Lup, NO Lup and Sz 107 are upper limits), we find collision lifetimes in the ranges $\sim 1-10$ kyr, $\sim 3-30$ kyr, $\sim 3-30$ kyr, and $\sim 20-200$ kyr for MU Lup, J155526-333823, NO Lup, and Sz 107, respectively (i.e. all much less than the lower-bound age of Lupus of 2 Myr, although only $\sim 10\%$ in the case of cm-sized grain collisional lifetimes for the disc around Sz 107). Accounting for this range of ages, this means that even for recent protoplanetary disc dispersal (i.e. within 100-200 kyr), the collisional lifetime of mm-cm grains in at least three of these systems is much shorter than the system age (i.e. the dust must be replenished via the break-up of larger bodies).

Further, we can estimate the lower limit on the maximum planetesimal size required for this dust replenishment to occur based on these collisional ages, and find a range of sizes between 10 and 300 m (i.e. 10s to 100s of metre-sized bodies are required to replenish the mm and cm sized grains observed in the sub-mm). This is in good agreement with the debris disc population model, and measurements of aged debris discs, which both require the presence of \sim km-sized planetesimals. Although there are models in which debris discs are stirred by the growth of large Pluto-sized bodies within them (socalled self-stirring models: Kenvon & Bromley 2010), at tens of au on time-scales of 1-3 Myr these would be unable to stir debris discs (i.e. in order to produce the observed dust). For example, in the resolved 80 au belt of J155526.2-333823, this would take >1 Gyr, far in excess of the age of Lupus. Self-stirring of 1-3 Myr old discs at tens of au by Pluto-sized bodies is therefore incompatible with our observations. If these belts are not self-stirred, an alternative scenario may be one in which the stirring is forced by secular interactions between the planetesimals and unseen planets. Equation (15) of Mustill & Wyatt (2009) requires any such perturbing planets to be close to the belt and massive (i.e. given the time-scale of 2 Myr). For example, in the case of J155526.2-333823, a planet 10 au inside the belt with a relatively low eccentricity of e = 0.1 would need to have more mass than $2M_J$ in order to stir the disc within the age of Lupus. On the other hand, discs may simply be born stirred (as discussed by Booth & Clarke 2016), or stirred during the process of protoplanetary disc dispersal.

8 CONCLUSIONS

We report the ALMA observations of 30 class III stars in the 1– 3 Myr old Lupus Star Forming Region, having measured these to the deepest sensitivity thus far, to probe the disc masses present in each system. We detected significant continuum emission from four class III sources, two nearby class II sources (within the observation field of view), and marginally detect emission from the 26 class III sources without individual detections after stacking. We also report the first CO gas detection around a class III star, NO Lup.

We attribute this continuum emission to circumstellar dust present in these YSOs, and determine the range of dust masses from these four detections as $0.036-0.093M_{\oplus}$. Through stacking our sub-sample of non-detections, we tentatively report dust mass present at the level $\sim 0.0048M_{\oplus}$. By an order of magnitude, these discs are less massive than those previously detected in other star forming regions. We found one of the class III detections to be spatially resolved, for which we constrained the size of the disc to be 80 ± 15 au, and for the three unresolved class III detections, we set upper limits on their radii (all being < 60 au).

The detection of four class III discs is in accordance with steady-state debris disc model predictions, which suggest that the planetesimal belts observed around older systems (i.e. the ~10s of au debris discs) are already in place by ~2 Myr. By comparing the frequency of detections as a function of disc mass, for the first time, we probe the disc mass distribution of YSOs down to ~0.024 M_{\oplus} levels, and show that the evolution of dust mass between the class II and III stages is both rapid and complete, removing over 99% of dust. We show how this changes when considering different cuts in stellar mass, demonstrating that this may not be as substantial for lower mass stars. By also comparing this disc mass distribution with the debris disc evolution model, we show very good agreement between the predicted detection rate across the complete range of masses in our class III sample.

Considering the complete sample of class II and III Lupus YSOs outlined here (comprising 30/126 class III and 96/126 class II), we show that the processes which remove the protoplanetary discs around these stars have not affected the formation of planetesimals at tens of au (i.e. the planetesimals that form the parent bodies of debris discs). This further implies that such young discs are already stirred, such that they are bright enough to be detected.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous reviewer for their comments which improved the quality of this work. We also thank Alice Zurlo for useful discussions in relation to stellar multiplicity in Lupus. JBL is supported by an STFC (Science and Technology Facilities Council) postgraduate studentship. GMK is supported by the Royal Society as a Royal Society University Research Fellow. GR acknowledges support from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO, program number 016. Veni. 192.233). MT has been supported by the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 823823 (RISE DUSTBUSTERS project). MK gratefully acknowledges funding by the University of Tartu ASTRA project 2014-2020.4.01.16-0029 KOMEET. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 823823 (DUSTBUSTERS). This work was partly supported by the Deutsche Forschungs-Gemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Ref no. FOR 2634/1 TE 1024/1-1. This work was partly supported by the Italian Ministero dell'Istruzione, Università e Ricerca (MIUR) through the grantProgettiPremiali 2012 iALMA (CUP C52I13000140001) and by the DFG cluster of excellence Origin and Structure of the Universe (www.universe-cluster.de).

DATA AVAILABILITY

This work makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/ JAO.ALMA #2018.1.00437.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO, and NAOJ. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and operated by the California Institute of Technology. This publication makes use of data products from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

REFERENCES

- Adams F. C., Lada C. J., Shu F. H., 1987, ApJ, 312, 788
- Alcalá J. M., Manara C. F., France K., Schneider C. P., Arulanantham N., Miotello A., Günther H. M., Brown A., 2019, A&A, 629, A108
- Alcalá J. M. et al., 2014, A&A, 561, A2
- Alexander R., 2012, ApJ, 757, L29
- Alexander R., Pascucci I., Andrews S., Armitage P., Cieza L., 2014, in Beuther H., Klessen R. S., Dullemond C. P., Henning T., eds, Protostars and Planets VI. Univ. Arizona Press, Tuscon, AZ, p. 475
- Alexander R. D., Armitage P. J., 2007, MNRAS, 375, 500
- Alexander R. D., Clarke C. J., Pringle J. E., 2006, MNRAS, 369, 216
- ALMA Partnership, 2015, ApJ, 808, L3
- Andrews S. M., Rosenfeld K. A., Kraus A. L., Wilner D. J., 2013, ApJ, 771, 129
- Andrews S. M., Williams J. P., 2005, ApJ, 631, 1134
- Andrews S. M. et al., 2018, ApJ, 869, L41
- Ansdell M. et al., 2016, ApJ, 828, 46
- Ansdell M. et al., 2018, ApJ, 859, 21
- Armitage P. J., Simon J. B., Martin R. G., 2013, ApJ, 778, L14
- Bai X.-N., 2016, ApJ, 821, 80
- Baraffe I., Homeier D., Allard F., Chabrier G., 2015, A&A, 577, A42
- Barenfeld S. A., Carpenter J. M., Ricci L., Isella A., 2016, ApJ, 827, 142
- Beckwith S. V. W., Sargent A. I., Chini R. S., Guesten R., 1990, AJ, 99, 924
- Birnstiel T., Dullemond C. P., Brauer F., 2010, A&A, 513, A79
- Bitsch B., Lambrechts M., Johansen A., 2015, A&A, 582, A112
- Booth R. A., Clarke C. J., 2016, MNRAS, 458, 2676
- Booth R. A., Meru F., Lee M. H., Clarke C. J., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 167 Carrera D., Simon J. B., Li R., Kretke K. A., Klahr H., 2020, AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 52, preprint (arXiv:2008 01727)
- Carrera D., Gorti U., Johansen A., Davies M. B., 2017, ApJ, 839, 16
- Chen C. H., Sheehan P., Watson D. M., Manoj P., Najita J. R., 2009, ApJ, 701, 1367
- Cieza L. A. et al., 2013, ApJ, 762, 100
- Cieza L. A. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 482, 698
- Cieza L. et al., 2007, ApJ, 667, 308
- Clarke C. J., Gendrin A., Sotomayor M., 2001, MNRAS, 328, 485
- Comerón F., 2008, in Reipurth B., ed., The Lupus Clouds. Astronomical Society of the Pacific Monograph Publications, p. 295
- Cutri R. M. et al., 2013, VizieR Online Data Catalog, II/328
- Dent W. R. F. et al., 2014, Science, 343, 1490
- Dipierro G., Price D., Laibe G., Hirsh K., Cerioli A., Lodato G., 2015, MNRAS, 453, L73

- Dunham M. M. et al., 2015, ApJS, 220, 11
- Ercolano B., Pascucci I., 2017, R. Soc. Open Sci., 4, 170114
- Espaillat C. et al., 2014, in Beuther H., Klessen R. S., Dullemond C. P., Henning T., eds, Protostars and Planets VI. Univ. Arizona Press, Tuscon, AZ, p. 497
- Evans N. J., et al. 2009, APJS, 181, 0067
- Foreman-Mackey D., Hogg D. W., Lang D., Goodman J., 2013, PASP, 125, 306
- Frasca A. et al. 2017 Astronomy & Astrophysics, 602, 0004
- Gaia Collaboration, 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Galli P. A. B., Bertout C., Teixeira R., Ducourant C., 2013, A&A, 558, A77
- Galli P. A. B., Bertout C., Teixeira R., Ducourant C., 2015, A&A, 580, A26 Gehrels N., 1986, ApJ, 303, 336
- Genda H., Kobayashi H., Kokubo E., 2015, ApJ, 810, 136
- Gerbig K., Lenz C. T., Klahr H., 2019, A&A, 629, A116
- Gorti U., Hollenbach D., 2009, ApJ, 690, 1539
- Greaves J. S., Rice W. K. M., 2011, MNRAS, 412, L88
- Greaves J. S., Wyatt M. C., 2003, MNRAS, 345, 1212
- Haisch, Karl E. J., Lada E. A., Lada C. J., 2001, ApJ, 553, L153
- Hardy A. et al., 2015, A&A, 583, A66
- Hendler N., Pascucci I., Pinilla P., Tazzari M., Carpenter J., Malhotra R., Testi L., 2020, ApJ, 895, 126
- Hildebrand R. H., 1983, QJRAS, 24, 267
- Holland W. S. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 470, 3606
- Hughes A. M., Duchêne G., Matthews B. C., 2018, ARA&A, 56, 541
- Hughes J., Hartigan P., Krautter J., Kelemen J., 1994, AJ, 108, 1071
- Jensen E. L. N., Mathieu R. D., Fuller G. A., 1996, ApJ, 458, 312
- Johansen A., Youdin A., 2007, ApJ, 662, 627
- Kennedy G. M., Wyatt M. C., 2014, MNRAS, 444, 3164
- Kenyon S. J., Bromley B. C., 2004, ApJ, 602, L133
- Kenyon S. J., Bromley B. C., 2010, ApJS, 188, 242
- Kral Q., Matrà L., Wyatt M. C., Kennedy G. M., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 521
- Krautter J., Wichmann R., Schmitt J. H. M. M., Alcala J. M., Neuhauser R., Terranegra L., 1997, A&AS, 123, 329
- Köhler R., Kunkel M., Leinert C., Zinnecker H., 2000, A&A, 356, 541
- Lada C. J., 1987, in Peimbert M., Jugaku J., eds, Proc. IAU Symp. 115, Star Forming Regions. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, p. 1
- Lada C. J., Wilking B. A., 1984, ApJ, 287, 610
- Lebouteiller V., Barry D. J., Spoon H. W. W., Bernard-Salas J., Sloan G. C., Houck J. R., Weedman D. W., 2011, ApJS, 196, 8
- Lodato G., Scardoni C. E., Manara C. F., Testi L., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 4700 Long F. et al., 2019, ApJ, 882, 49
- Lovell J. B. et al., 2020, Rapid CO gas dispersal from NO Lup's class III circumstellar disc. Submitted
- Luhman K. L., Esplin T. L., 2020, AJ, 160, 44
- Luhman K. L., Mamajek E. E., 2012, ApJ, 758, 31
- López Martí B., Eislöffel J., Mundt R., 2005, A&A, 440, 139
- Manara C. F., Frasca A., Alcalá J. M., Natta A., Stelzer B., Testi L., 2017, A&A, 605, A86
- Manara C. F., Morbidelli A., Guillot T., 2018b, A&A, 618, L3
- Manara C. F. et al., 2013, A&A, 551, A107
- Manara C. F. et al., 2018a, A&A, 615, L1
- Manara C. F. et al., 2019, A&A, 628, A95
- Marino S. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 2933
- Mathews G. S., Williams J. P., Ménard F., Phillips N., Duchêne G., Pinte C., 2012, ApJ, 745, 23
- Matrà L., Panić O., Wyatt M. C., Dent W. R. F., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 3936
- Matrà L., Wilner D. J., Öberg K. I., Andrews S. M., Loomis R. A., Wyatt M. C., Dent W. R. F., 2018, ApJ, 853, 147
- Matrà L., Öberg K. I., Wilner D. J., Olofsson J., Bayo A., 2019, AJ, 157, 117
- Matrà L. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 1415
- Merin B. et al., 2009, VizieR Online Data Catalog, J/ApJS/177/551
- Merín B. et al., 2008, ApJS, 177, 551
- Merín B. et al., 2010, ApJ, 718, 1200
- Morales F. Y. et al., 2009, ApJ, 699, 1067
- Mortier A., Oliveira I., van Dishoeck E. F., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 1194

- ALMA survey of Lupus class III stars 4899
- Moór A. et al., 2017, ApJ, 849, 123
- Mustill A. J., Wyatt M. C., 2009, MNRAS, 399, 1403
- Nixon C. J., King A. R., Pringle J. E., 2018, MNRAS, 477, 3273
- Owen J. E., Ercolano B., Clarke C. J., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 13
- Owen J. E., Kollmeier J. A., 2019, MNRAS, 487, 3702
- Padgett D. L. et al., 2006, ApJ, 645, 1283
- Pawellek N., Krivov A. V., 2015, MNRAS, 454, 3207
- Pawellek N., Krivov A. V., Marshall J. P., Montesinos B., Ábrahám P., Moór A., Bryden G., Eiroa C., 2014, ApJ, 792, 65
- Picogna G., Ercolano B., Owen J. E., Weber M. L., 2019, MNRAS, 487, 691
- Pilbratt G. L. et al., 2010, A&A, 518, L1
- Pinilla P., Flock M., Ovelar M. de J., Birnstiel T., 2016, A&A, 596, A81
- Pinte C., Laibe G., 2014, A&A, 565, A129
- Poglitsch A. et al., 2010, A&A, 518, L2
- Popping G. et al., 2020, ApJ, 891, 135
- Péricaud J., Di Folco E., Dutrey A., Guilloteau S., Piétu V., 2017, A&A, 600, A62
- Rieke G. H. et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 25
- Rosotti G. P., Clarke C. J., 2018, MNRAS, 473, 5630
- Rosotti G. P., Clarke C. J., Manara C. F., Facchini S., 2017, MNRAS, 468, 1631
- Rosotti G. P., Juhasz A., Booth R. A., Clarke C. J., 2016, MNRAS, 459, 2790 Sanchis E. et al., 2020, A&A, 633, A114
- Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, ApJ, 737, 103
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Sheehan P. D., Eisner J. A., 2018, ApJ, 857, 18
- Sheenan T. D., Eisher J. A., 2010, ApJ, 057, 10
- Sibthorpe B., Kennedy G. M., Wyatt M. C., Lestrade J. F., Greaves J. S., Matthews B. C., Duchêne G., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 3046
- Siess L., Dufour E., Forestini M., 2000, A&A, 358, 593
- Simpson J. M. et al., 2015, ApJ, 807, 128
- Skrutskie M. F., Dutkevitch D., Strom S. E., Edwards S., Strom K. M., Shure M. A., 1990, AJ, 99, 1187
- Skrutskie M. F. et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 0004
- Strom K. M., Strom S. E., Edwards S., Cabrit S., Skrutskie M. F., 1989, AJ, 97, 1451
- Su K. Y. L., Rieke G. H., Melis C., Jackson A. P., Smith P. S., Meng H. Y. A., Gáspár A., 2020, ApJ, 898, 21
- Suzuki T. K., Muto T., Inutsuka S.-i., 2010, ApJ, 718, 1289
- Takeuchi T., Clarke C. J., Lin D. N. C., 2005, ApJ, 627, 286
- Tazzari M., Beaujean F., Testi L., 2018, MNRAS, 476, 4527
- Testi L., Natta A., Scholz A., Tazzari M., Ricci L., de Gregorio Monsalvo I., 2016, A&A, 593, A111
- Torres C. A. O., Quast G. R., da Silva L., de La Reza R., Melo C. H. F., Sterzik M., 2006, A&A, 460, 695
- Turrini D., Marzari F., Polychroni D., Testi L., 2019, ApJ, 877, 50
- Tychoniec Ł. et al., 2020, A&A, 640, A19
- van der Marel N. et al., 2018, ApJ, 854, 177
- van Terwisga S. E. et al., 2018, A&A, 616, A88
- Wahhaj Z. et al., 2010, ApJ, 724, 835

2012, A&A, 540, A73

3588

Werner M. W. et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 1

Wright E. L. et al., 2010, AJ, 140, 1868

A., Bryden G., 2007, ApJ, 663, 365

Wyatt M. C., 2008, ARA&A, 46, 339

- White R. J., Basri G., 2003, ApJ, 582, 1109
- Williams J. P., Best W. M. J., 2014, ApJ, 788, 59
- Williams J. P., Cieza L., Hales A., Ansdell M., Ruiz-Rodriguez D., Casassus S., Perez S., Zurlo A., 2019, ApJ, 875, L9

Windmark F., Birnstiel T., Güttler C., Blum J., Dullemond C. P., Henning T.,

Wyatt M. C., Panić O., Kennedy G. M., Matrà L., 2015, Ap&SS, 357, 103

Wyatt M. C., Smith R., Su K. Y. L., Rieke G. H., Greaves J. S., Beichman C.

Yelverton B., Kennedy G. M., Su K. Y. L., Wyatt M. C., 2019, MNRAS, 488,

MNRAS 500, 4878-4900 (2021)

Williams J. P., Cieza L. A., 2011, ARA&A, 49, 67

Winn J. N., Fabrycky D. C., 2015, ARA&A, 53, 409

Wyatt M. C., Jackson A. P., 2016, Space Sci. Rev., 205, 231

Zurlo A. et al., 2020, Stellar multiplicity in Lupus. Submitted

4900 *J. B. Lovell et al.*

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at MNRAS online.

SupplementaryMaterial_Lovell2020A_ClassIII.pdf

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors.

Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

This paper has been typeset from a $T_{\ensuremath{E}} X/\ensuremath{ \mbox{I\!=}} T_{\ensuremath{E}} X$ file prepared by the author.