

## Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

A genome-wide association study for the number of teats in European rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) identifies several candidate genes affecting this trait

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Bovo, S., Schiavo, G., Utzeri, V.J., Ribani, A., Schiavitto, M., Buttazzoni, L., et al. (2021). A genome-wide association study for the number of teats in European rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) identifies several candidate genes affecting this trait. ANIMAL GENETICS, 52(2), 237-243 [10.1111/age.13036].

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/847553 since: 2025-01-15

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1111/age.13036

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

### 1 SHORT COMMUNICATION

3	A genome-wide association study for the number of teats in European rabbits (Oryctolagus
4	cuniculus) identifies several candidate genes affecting this trait
5	
6	Samuele Bovo <sup>*</sup> , Giuseppina Schiavo <sup>*</sup> , Valerio Joe Utzeri <sup>*</sup> , Anisa Ribani <sup>*</sup> , Michele Schiavitto <sup>†</sup> , Luca
7	Buttazzoni <sup>‡</sup> , Riccardo Negrini <sup>¶</sup> and Luca Fontanesi <sup>*</sup>
8	
9	* Division of Animal Sciences, Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of
10	Bologna, Viale Giuseppe Fanin 46, 40127 Bologna, Italy
11	<sup>†</sup> Associazione Nazionale Coniglicoltori Italiani (ANCI), Contrada Giancola snc, 71030 Volturara
12	Appula (FG), Italy
13	<sup>‡</sup> Research Centre for Animal Production and Aquaculture (CREA), Monterotondo (Roma), Italy
14	<sup>¶</sup> Associazione Italiana Allevatori, Via G. Tomassetti 9, 00161 Roma, Italy
15	
16	
17	Corresponding author: Luca Fontanesi, Email: luca.fontanesi@unibo.it
18	
19	
20	Short title: Genome-wide scan for teat number in rabbits

#### 22 Summary

23 In the European rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus), a polytocous livestock species, the number of 24 teats indirectly impacts the doe reproduction efficiency and, in turn, the sustainable production of 25 rabbit meat. In this study, we carried out a genome-wide association study (GWAS) for the total 26 number of teats in 247 Italian White does included in the Italian White rabbit breed selection program, by applying a selective genotyping approach. Does had either 8 (N = 121) or 10 teats (N = 126). All 27 rabbits were genotyped with the Affymetrix Axiom OrcunSNP Array. Genomic data from the two 28 29 extreme groups of rabbits were also analysed with the single-marker Fixation Index (F<sub>ST</sub>) statistic and 30 combined with the GWAS results. The GWAS identified 50 significant SNPs and the FsT analysis identified a total of 20 SNPs that trespassed the 99.98th percentile threshold, 19 of which confirmed 31 the GWAS results. The most significant SNP ( $P = 4.31 \times 10^{-11}$ ) was located on OCU1, close to the 32 NUDT2 gene, a breast carcinoma cells proliferation promoter. Another significant SNP identified as 33 34 candidate gene NR6A1, which is well known to play an important role in affecting the correlated 35 number of vertebrae in pigs. Other significant markers were close to candidate genes involved in 36 determining body length in mice. Markers associated with increased number of teats could be 37 included in selection programmes to speed up the improvement for this trait in rabbit lines that need 38 to increase maternal performances.

39



41

42 **Text** 

The European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), thereafter indicated as rabbit, is a polytocous animal that is listed within the top ten most important meat species. Rabbit meat production is a growing industry, particularly in Southern Europe and in developing countries. With an estimated number of slaughtered animals of about 24.5 million heads, Italy is among the top three rabbit meat producing countries in the world (European Union 2017).

48 The rabbit national selection programme in Italy involves three meat breeds, i.e. Italian White 49 (Bianca Italiana), Italian Spotted (Macchiata Italiana) and Italian Silver (Argentata Italiana), which 50 are described in the rabbit Herd Book that is managed by the Italian Rabbit Breeders Association 51 (ANCI). These breeds are used in a three-way crossbreeding scheme. Within breed selection 52 programmes are mainly based on reproductive and growth traits in the two maternal lines (Italian 53 White and Italian Spotted) and growth efficiency and carcass traits in the paternal line (Argentata 54 Italiana; ANCI 2010). Among the considered reproduction related traits, the number of teats is 55 selected to maintain at least 10 functional teats in the maternal breeds.

In rabbit, as in other mammals the number of teats indirectly impacts on the reproduction efficiency and, in turn, on growth rate of suckling rabbits and on the number of weaned rabbits per litter. This trait is considered a quantitative trait partially under genetic control (polygenic inheritance), with a high level of heritability that can facilitate selection to improve doe maternal performances (Szendrő *et al.* 1991, 1992).

The number of teats in the other main polytocous livestock species, the pig, has been the matter of several recent genome-wide analyses aimed to identify chromosome regions and candidate gene variants involved in its variability and in the variability of other pleiotropic traits, mainly related to the number of vertebrae (Rohrer 2000; Mikawa *et al.* 2005, 2011; Duijvesteijn *et al.* 2014; Verando *et al.* 2015; Rohrer & Nonneman 2017; Tan *et al.* 2017; Tang *et al.* 2017; Dall'Olio *et al.* 2018; Van Son *et al.* 2019; Moscatelli *et al.* 2020). However, nothing is currently known on the genetic architecture of the number of teats in rabbits. Genomic tools and genome-wide information, like an assembled reference genome and SNP data, have been recently produced for this species (Bertolini *et al.* 2014; Carneiro *et al.* 2014) and used to develop a commercial SNP chip panel, already applied
in a few genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for relevant production traits in selected rabbit
lines (e.g. Sosa *et al.* 2020a, 2020b).

72 In this study, we carried out a GWAS for the total number of teats in 247 Italian White rabbit 73 does (obtained from 86 bucks) included in the Italian White breed selection program (ANCI, 2010), 74 by applying a selective genotyping approach. Does presented either 8 (N = 121) or 10 teats (N = 126), 75 as recorded by the visual inspection of a trained operator. These two groups represent two extremes 76 for the number of teats that could be identified in the population. Animal with less than 10 teats are 77 discarded from selection. Rabbits presented standard breed characteristics and were chosen by 78 avoiding highly related individuals (no full- or half-sibs). DNA was collected by means of buccal 79 swaps and it was extracted by using the Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega 80 Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). Animals were genotyped with the Affymetrix Axiom OrcunSNP 81 Array (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), which analyses a total of 199692 DNA markers, 82 following the manufacturer's procedures. A first quality control based on the Axiom<sup>™</sup> Analysis Suite 83 led to discard 65320 low quality markers. PLINK v. 1.9 (Chang et al. 2015) was used to discard DNA 84 markers presenting a call rate < 0.90 and a minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.01. After filtering, a 85 total of 247 animals and 101503 DNA markers were used in the subsequent analyses. Genome-wide 86 association was based on a case-control study (rabbits with 8 teats vs rabbits with 10 teats, coded as 87 0 and 1, respectively). An additive genetic model was used to specify the dependency of the trait on 88 genotype categories:  $y = x\beta + q + e$ , where y (n × 1) is a vector containing the phenotype for the  $n^{\text{th}}$  animal,  $\mathbf{x}$  ( $n \times 1$ ) is the vector containing genotypes for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  DNA marker,  $\beta$  is the additive fixed 89 effect of the *i*<sup>th</sup> DNA marker on the phenotype,  $\mathbf{g} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{g}^{2} \mathbf{K})$  is a multivariate Gaussian polygenic 90 91 effect, with covariance matrix proportional to the genomic centered relatedness matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  ( $n \times n$ ) and 92  $e \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2 I)$  is a multivariate Gaussian vector of uncorrelated residuals. The assessment of the

93 association was obtained by testing the null hypothesis  $H_0:\beta = 0$  (Wald test). The model was fitted 94 with GEMMA v. 0.98 (Zhou and Stephens 2012) after computation of the relatedness matrix K 95 accounting for the population structure. DNA markers presenting a P < 0.05 (Bonferroni corrected) were considered associated. GEMMA was used to estimate the chip or SNP heritability  $(h_{SNP}^2)$ . The 96 97 genomic control inflation factors ( $\lambda_{GC}$ ) was computed in R v. 3.6.0 (R Core Team, 2018). Quantile-98 quantile (QQ) plots and Manhattan plots were generated in R by using the *qqman* package (Turner 99 2018). Haploview software (Barret et al. 2005) was used to study the linkage disequilibrium (LD) 100 patterns of the associated genomic regions. The proportion of variance in phenotype (PVE) explained 101 by a given SNP was computed as described by Shim et al. 2015. To further evaluate the results and 102 confirm the significant SNPs detected in GWAS, single-marker Fixation Index (F<sub>ST</sub>) analysis was 103 carried out in PLINK by comparing the two extreme groups of rabbits. We considered as outliers the SNPs presenting an F<sub>ST</sub> value above the 99.98<sup>th</sup> percentile of the related distribution. Then we 104 105 combined the results of the two genome-wide analyses to identify significant SNPs that trespassed 106 the thresholds in both analyses, as previously described (Schiavo et al. 2018, 2019). Biological 107 annotation of DNA markers was carried out by retrieving from the OryCun2.0 NCBI's GFF file the 108 annotated protein coding genes from a region spanning  $\pm 0.5$  Mb over the marker positions. Relevance 109 of the genes was evaluated through Gene Cards information (https://www.genecards.org/), the 110 NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/), the Mouse Genome Informatics database 111 (http://www.informatics.jax.org/) and scientific literature.

Figure 1 shows the Manhattan plots obtained from the GWAS and  $F_{ST}$  analyses. The QQ-plot obtained from the GWAS is reported in Fig. S1. DNA markers that were detected simultaneously by both analyses are reported in Table 1 whereas Table S1 lists the full set of DNA markers detected within each genome scan (i.e. the GWAS and the  $F_{ST}$  analysis). The genomic control inflation factor was equal to 1.02, suggesting that population stratification in the analysed cohort did not affect the reliability of the results. Heritability of the number of teats, estimated from the genome-wide data ( $h_{SNP}^2$ ) was equal to 0.64 (s.e. = 0.13). Szendrő *et al.* (1992) inferred high heritability values for teat number in rabbits by following pedigree data with this trait recorded, even if a formal analysis was not provided. The genomic estimate here reported is higher than what was reported in a similar analysis in pigs ( $h_{SNP}^2 = 0.36$ ; Moscatelli *et al.* 2020) but it is in the range of other pedigree-based estimates obtained in several pig populations (Arakawa *et al.* 2015; Balzani *et al.* 2016; Chalkias *et al.* 2013; Rohrer & Nonneman 2017; Toro *et al.* 1986). However, we should carefully evaluate and compare these results as the two heritability estimation procedure are based on different data type (SNPs *vs* pedigree records).

The GWAS identified 50 significant SNPs located on 15 different rabbit chromosomes (OCU) and 14 genome scaffolds (Table S1). The  $F_{ST}$  analysis identified a total of 20 SNPs that trespassed the applied threshold (Table S1), 19 of which confirmed the GWAS results (Table 1). The combination of the two analyses reduced the probability to detect false positive results (associated markers) that are likely to emerge when GWAS is applied in a small population with small effective population size (Schiavo *et al.* 2018, 2020).

The most significantly associated DNA marker was AX-147080594 ( $P = 4.31 \times 10^{-11}$ ), located 132 133 on OCU1:19.4 Mb, within the family with sequence similarity 219 member A (FAM219A) gene. While 134 FAM219A seems not linked to the phenotype (its function is not well known), this region harbours 135 the nudix hydrolase 2 (NUDT2) gene, a breast carcinoma cells proliferation promoter (Oka et al. 2011). Among the top associated markers, the SNP AX-147142871 ( $P = 1.04 \times 10^{-10}$ ) was located on 136 137 the scaffold GL018740: 0.97 Mb, in a region that contains the ADP-ribosylation factor-like 4A 138 (ARL4A) gene, a member of the ADP-ribosylation factor family of GTP-binding proteins. Other 139 members of this family have been linked to variation in body length (ARL4D in mice; Mouse Genome 140 Informatics: 1933155) and teat number (ARL4C in pigs; van Son et al. 2019). Interestingly, another 141 SNP (AX-147019338; GL018736: 1.8 Mb), located near the ARL4C gene, was significantly associated in this study with the number of teats ( $P = 1.51 \times 10^{-08}$ ; Table S1) even if the F<sub>ST</sub> analysis 142 did not confirm this result as this marker was only included in the top 99.97<sup>th</sup> percentile list ( $F_{ST}$  = 143 0.152). 144

145 Other markers mapped close to genes that, according to the current information available on 146 their functions, could be considered candidate genes affecting the investigated trait. For example, 147 marker AX-147178840 (OCU6: 23.8 Mb) mapped close to the activator of transcription and 148 developmental regulator AUTS2 gene (AUTS2). Mutations in this gene have been linked to variability 149 in body length (Mouse Genome Informatics: 1919847). Both the GWAS and F<sub>ST</sub> analyses identified 150 the DNA marker AX-147108764 (mapped in the scaffold GL018699: 2.9 Mb). This genome region 151 harbours the nuclear receptor subfamily 6 group A member 1 (NR6A1) gene, which affects the 152 variability of the number of vertebrae in pigs and that has been also suggested to play an important role in determining the number of teats in this species (Mikawa et al. 2007; Ding et al. 2009; 153 154 Duijvesteijn et al. 2014; Rohrer & Nonneman 2017). Mutational studies in mice linked this gene to the variability in body length (Mouse Genome Informatics: 1352459). Comparative genome analyses 155 156 between the rabbit and human genomes using synteny information could place the rabbit NR6A1 157 gene, at present not assembled in any chromosome in the OryCun2.0 genome version, on OCU1 (Fig. 158 S2).

159 Other significant markers identified in this study were within or close to genes described to 160 affect body length in mice (Table 1), a trait that is usually highly associated with the number of teats 161 and the number of vertebrae in pigs (e.g. van Son et al. 2019). Among these genes we can mention: 162 the jumonji domain containing 1C (JMJD1C) gene, close to the DNA marker AX-147027111 163 (OCU18: 22.56 Mb), the zinc fingers and homeoboxes 3 (ZHX3) gene near the DNA marker AX-147118652 (GL018718: 1.02 Mb) and the growth hormone releasing hormone (GHRH) gene, close 164 165 to the marker AX-147111821 (OCU4: 2.95 Mb). The related mutant phenotypes are described in the 166 Mouse Genome Informatics database, entries MGI:1918614, MGI:2444772 and MGI:95709, respectively. Linkage disequilibrium analyses (Fig. S3) of the associated genomic regions further 167 168 supported the involvement of the proposed genes except for ZHX3 (marker AX-147027111) that 169 seems part of a different LD block.

170	This study provided, for the first time, information on genetic markers associated with the
171	number of teats in Oryctolagus cuniculus, a recently domesticated species (Carneiro et al. 2014). It
172	will be interesting to evaluate if the domestication process and then the directional selection pressure
173	towards more productive does could have contributed to shape the rabbit genome in regions affecting
174	the number of teats, similarly to what may have happened for the pig genome (Rubin et al. 2012;
175	Ribani et al. 2019; Bovo et al. 2020). Other morphological changes, including number of vertebrae
176	and body length, could be also derived considering the high general correlation that might exists
177	between these traits. These hypotheses are worthy of further investigations and other studies are
178	needed to clarify these matters in the rabbit. Markers associated with increased number of teats could
179	be included in selection programmes to speed up the improvements for this trait in rabbit lines that
180	need to increase maternal performances.
181	
182	Acknowledgements
183	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project
183 184	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by
183 184 185	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna
183 184 185 186	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme.
183 184 185 186 187	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme.
<ol> <li>183</li> <li>184</li> <li>185</li> <li>186</li> <li>187</li> <li>188</li> </ol>	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme.
<ol> <li>183</li> <li>184</li> <li>185</li> <li>186</li> <li>187</li> <li>188</li> <li>189</li> </ol>	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme. Competing interests The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests.
<ol> <li>183</li> <li>184</li> <li>185</li> <li>186</li> <li>187</li> <li>188</li> <li>189</li> <li>190</li> </ol>	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme. Competing interests The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests.
<ol> <li>183</li> <li>184</li> <li>185</li> <li>186</li> <li>187</li> <li>188</li> <li>189</li> <li>190</li> <li>191</li> </ol>	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme. Competing interests The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests. Availability of data
<ol> <li>183</li> <li>184</li> <li>185</li> <li>186</li> <li>187</li> <li>188</li> <li>189</li> <li>190</li> <li>191</li> <li>192</li> </ol>	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme. Competing interests The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests. Availability of data Data reported in this work can be shared after signature of an agreement on their use with University
<ol> <li>183</li> <li>184</li> <li>185</li> <li>186</li> <li>187</li> <li>188</li> <li>189</li> <li>190</li> <li>191</li> <li>192</li> <li>193</li> </ol>	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme. Competing interests The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests. Availability of data Data reported in this work can be shared after signature of an agreement on their use with University of Bologna.
<ol> <li>183</li> <li>184</li> <li>185</li> <li>186</li> <li>187</li> <li>188</li> <li>189</li> <li>190</li> <li>191</li> <li>192</li> <li>193</li> <li>194</li> </ol>	The study was funded by the PSRN (Progetto di Sviluppo Rurale Nazionale) Cun-Fu project (co-funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development of the European Union and by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry - MiPAAF) and by the University of Bologna RFO 2019 programme. Competing interests The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests. Availability of data Data reported in this work can be shared after signature of an agreement on their use with University of Bologna.

- 196 ANCI (2010) Disciplinare del Libro genealogico e del Registro anagrafico della specie cunicola
- 197 <u>https://www.anci-aia.it/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Disciplinare-del-Libro-genealogico-e-</u>
   198 del-Registro-anagrafico-della-specie-cunicola-ANCI-2010.pdf
- Arakawa A., Okumura N., Taniguchi M., *et al.* (2015) Genome-wide association QTL mapping for
  teat number in a purebred population of Duroc pigs. *Animal Genetics* 46, 571-5.
- Balzani A., Cordell H.J., Sutcliffe E., Edwards S.A. (2016) Heritability of udder morphology and
  colostrum quality traits in swine. *Journal of Animal Science* 94, 3636-44.
- Barrett J.C., Fry B., Maller J., Daly M.J. (2005) Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and
  haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 21, 263-5.
- Bertolini F., Schiavo G., Scotti E., Ribani A., Martelli P.L., Casadio R. & Fontanesi L. (2014) High
   throughput SNP discovery in the rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) genome by next generation
   semiconductor based-sequencing. *Animal Genetics* 45, 304-7.
- Bovo S., Ribani A., Muñoz M., *et al.* (2020). Whole-genome sequencing of European autochthonous
   and commercial pig breeds allows the detection of signatures of selection for adaptation of
   genetic resources to different breeding and production systems. *Genetics Selection Evolution*
- **52**, 1-19.
- Carneiro M., Rubin C.J., Di Palma F., *et al.* (2014) Rabbit genome analysis reveals a polygenic basis
  for phenotypic change during domestication. *Science* 345, 1074-9.
- Chalkias H., Rydhmer L. & Lundeheim N. (2013) Genetic analysis of functional and nonfunctional
  teats in a population of Yorkshire pigs. *Livestock Science* 152, 127-34.
- Chang C.C., Chow C.C., Tellier L.C. Vattikuti S., Purcell S.M. & Lee J.J. (2015) Second-generation
  PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* 4, 7.
- 218 Dall'Olio S., Ribani A., Moscatelli G., Zambonelli P., Gallo M., Nanni Costa L. & Fontanesi L. (2018)
- 219 Teat number parameters in Italian Large White pigs: Phenotypic analysis and association with
- 220 vertnin (*VRTN*) gene allele variants. *Livestock Science* **210**, 68-72.

221	Ding N., Guo Y., Knorr C., et al. (2009) Genome-wide QTL mapping for three traits related to teat
222	number in a White Duroc $\times$ Erhualian pig resource population. <i>BMC Genetics</i> <b>10</b> , 6.
223	Duijvesteijn N., Veltmaat J.M., Knol E.F. & Harlizius B. (2014) High-resolution association mapping

- of number of teats in pigs reveals regions controlling vertebral development. *BMC Genomics*15, 542.
- European Union (2017) Overview Report: Commercial Rabbit Farming in the European Union.
   Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. 16 s. DOI: 10.2772/62174.
   <a href="https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5029d977-387c-11e8-b5fe-">https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5029d977-387c-11e8-b5fe-</a>
- 229 <u>01aa75ed71a1/language-en</u>
- Mikawa S., Hayashi T., Nii M., Shimanuki S., Morozumi T. & Awata T. (2005) Two quantitative
  trait loci on *Sus scrofa* chromosomes 1 and 7 affecting the number of vertebrae. Journal of *Animal Science* 83, 2247-54.
- Mikawa S., Morozumi T., Shimanuki S.-I., *et al.* (2007) Fine mapping of a swine quantitative trait
   locus for number of vertebrae and analysis of an orphan nuclear receptor, germ cell nuclear
   factor (NR6A1). *Genome Research* 17, 586-93.
- Mikawa S., Sato S., Nii M., *et al.* (2011) Identification of a second gene associated with variation in
  vertebral number in domestic pigs. *BMC Genetics* 12, 5.
- 238 Moscatelli G., Dall'Olio S., Bovo S., Schiavo G., Kazemi H., Ribani A., Zambonelli P., Tinarelli S.,
- Gallo M., Bertolini F. & Fontanesi L. (2020) Genome-wide association studies for the number
  of teats and teat asymmetry patterns in Large White pigs. *Animal Genetics* 51, 595-600.
- Oka K., Suzuki, T., Onodera, Y., *et al.* (2011) Nudix-type motif 2 in human breast carcinoma: a potent
   prognostic factor associated with cell proliferation. *International Journal of Cancer* 128, 1770 82.
- R Development Core Team (2018) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
  Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org.

- Ribani A., Utzeri V.J., Geraci C., *et al.* (2019) Signatures of de-domestication in autochthonous pig
  breeds and of domestication in wild boar populations from *MC1R* and *NR6A1* allele
  distribution. *Animal Genetics* 50, 166-71.
- Rohrer G.A. & Nonneman D.J. (2017) Genetic analysis of teat number in pigs reveals some
  developmental pathways independent of vertebra number and several loci which only affect a
  specific side. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 49, 4.
- Rohrer G.A. (2000) Identification of quantitative trait loci affecting birth characters and accumulation
  of backfat and weight in a Meishan-White Composite resource population. *Journal of Animal Science* 78, 2547-53.
- Rubin C.J., Megens H.J., Barrio A.M., *et al.* (2012). Strong signatures of selection in the domestic
  pig genome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 109, 19529-36.
- 257 Schiavo G., Bertolini F., Utzeri V.J., Ribani A., Geraci C., Santoro L., Óvilo C., Fernández A.I., Gallo
- M. & Fontanesi L. (2018) Taking advantage from phenotype variability in a local animal genetic resource: identification of genomic regions associated with the hairless phenotype in Casertana pigs. *Animal Genetics* **49**, 321-325.
- 261 Schiavo G., Bovo S., Tinarelli S., Bertolini F., Dall'Olio S., Gallo M. & Fontanesi L. (2019) Genome-
- wide association analyses for several exterior traits in the autochthonous Casertana pig breed.
   *Livestock Science* 230, 103842.
- Schiavo G., Bovo S., Tinarelli S., Gallo M., Dall'Olio S. & Fontanesi L. (2020) Genome-wide
   association analyses for coat colour patterns in the autochthonous Nero Siciliano pig breed.
   *Livestock Science* 236, 104015.
- 267 Shim H., Chasman D.I., Smith J.D., Mora S., Ridker P.M., Nickerson D.A., Krauss R.M. & Stephens
- 268 M. (2015) A multivariate genome-wide association analysis of 10 LDL subfractions, and their 269 response to statin treatment, in 1868 Caucasians. *PLoS One* **10**, e0120758.

- Sosa-Madrid B.S., Hernández P., Blasco A., Haley C.S., Fontanesi L., Santacreu M.A., Pena R.N.,
   Navarro P. & Ibañez-Escriche N. (2020a) Genomic regions influencing intramuscular fat in
   divergently selected rabbit lines. *Animal Genetics* 51, 58-69.
- Sosa-Madrid B.S., Santacreu M.A., Blasco A., Fontanesi L., Pena R.N. & Ibáñez-Escriche N. (2020b)
   A genome-wide association study in divergently selected lines in rabbits reveals novel genomic
- 276 Szendrő Zs., Mohamed M.M.A. & Biró-Németh E. (1991) Teat number of new-born rabbits

regions associated with litter size traits. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics 137, 123-38.

275

depending on the teat number of their parents. *Journal of Applied Rabbit Research* **14**, 133-5.

- Szendrő Zs., Mohamed M.M.A., Biró-Németh E. & Radnai, I. (1992) Heritability of teat number of
  rabbits. *Journal of Applied Rabbit Research* 15, 174-80.
- 280 Tan C., Wu Z., Ren J., Huang Z., Liu D., He X., Prakapenka D., Zhang R., Li N., Da Y. & Hu X.

(2017) Genome-wide association study and accuracy of genomic prediction for teat number in
 Duroc pigs using genotyping-by-sequencing. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 49, 35.

- Tang J., Zhang Z., Yang B., *et al.* (2017) Identification of loci affecting teat number by genome-wide
   association studies on three pig populations. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science* 30,
   1-7.
- Toro M.A., Dobao M.T., Rodriganez J. & Silio L. (1986) Heritability of a canalized trait: teat number
  in Iberian pigs. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 18, 173-84.
- Turner S.D. (2014) qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using QQ and Manhattan
  plots. Biorxiv 005165.
- van Son M., Lopes M.S., Martell H.J., et al. (2019) A QTL for number of teats shows breed specific
- effects on number of vertebrae in pigs: bridging the gap between molecular and quantitative
  genetics. *Frontiers in Genetics* 10, 272.
- 293 Verardo L.L., Silva F.F., Varona L., Resende M.D.V., Bastiaansen J.W.M., Lopes P.S. & Guimarães
- 294 S.E.F. (2015) Bayesian GWAS and network analysis revealed new candidate genes for number
- 295 of teats in pigs. *Journal of Applied Genetics* **56**, 123-32.

- 296 Zhou X. & Stephens M. (2012) Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association studies.
- *Nature Genetics* **44**, 821-4.

299 **Table 1.** List of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with the number of teats in rabbits and detected in both GWAS (*P* < 0.05,

300 Bonferroni corrected) and F<sub>ST</sub> analysis (99.98<sup>th</sup> percentile). Data are ordered by *P* of association. The full lists of significant markers identified in the

301 GWAS and all SNPs of the 99.98<sup>th</sup> percentile in the  $F_{ST}$  analysis are given in Table S1.

Marker <sup>1</sup>	OCU <sup>2</sup>	Pos <sup>3</sup>	Min <sup>4</sup>	Maj <sup>5</sup>	MAF <sup>6</sup>	β <sup>7</sup>	<b>P</b> <sup>8</sup>	Fst <sup>9</sup>	PVE <sup>10</sup>	Genes <sup>11</sup>
AX-147080594	1	19404556	С	А	0.109	0.512	4.31×10 <sup>-11</sup>	0.186	16.2	DCAF12; DCTN3; <b>FAM219A</b> ; DNA11; UBAP2; UBAP1; LOC100347619; CCL21; CCL27; GALT; LOC108176349; NUDT2; RPP25L; FAM205C; LOC100347365; CNTFR; PHF24; KIAA1161; ARID3C; CCL19; ENHO; C1H9orf24; KIF24; IL11RA; SIGMAR1
AX-147173940	1	20900106	Т	С	0.099	0.538	5.67×10 <sup>-11</sup>	0.177	16.0	DDX58; NDUFB6; TMEM215; ACO1; APTX; TOPORS
AX-147162558	7	68775751	Т	G	0.099	0.532	5.81×10 <sup>-11</sup>	0.176	16.0	LOC100347899; ACTR3
AX-147142871	GL018740	965628	Т	С	0.109	0.497	1.04×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.177	15.6	LOC103352516; LOC100356405; LOC100356924; LOC108175498; PPP2R3B; LOC100356149; LOC100357437; LOC100355902; CRLF2; A <b>RL4A</b>
AX-147036777	19	7022754	Т	С	0.105	0.513	1.26×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.176	15.4	ARHGAP44; MYOCD; ELAC2
AX-147178840	6	23830178	G	А	0.114	0.451	1.49×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.192	15.4	AUTS2; WBSCR17
AX-147037069	3	40049384	G	Т	0.095	0.532	1.61×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.169	15.3	EBF1
AX-147108764	GL018699	2909467	G	А	0.092	0.532	2.33×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.168	15.0	NEK6; OLFML2A; ARPC5L; N <b>R6A1</b> ; RPL35; ADGRD2; LOC103352017; DENND1A; GOLGA1; LOC100349446; NR5A1; LHX2; WDR38
AX-147033079	GL018755	1507787	Т	С	0.104	0.492	4.02×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.174	14.7	PPP1R3D; SYCP2; PHACTR3; FAM217B
AX-147027111	18	22564826	G	Т	0.100	0.505	4.41×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.163	14.6	REEP3; NRBF2; JMJD1C
AX-147154664	13	59533425	Т	G	0.112	0.461	5.32×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.174	14.5	LOC103350105
AX-147118652	GL018718	1022162	А	G	0.092	0.524	5.54×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.168	14.4	EMILIN3; LPIN3; CHD6; ZHX3; TOP1; PLCG1
AX-147114988	3	25019807	G	С	0.103	0.483	8.60×10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.171	14.2	NR3C1; ARHGAP26; FGF1
AX-146993766	1	6087385	C	Т	0.103	0.464	1.00×10 <sup>-09</sup>	0.169	14.1	LOC103347974; LOC100357282; KLF4; RAD23B; LOC108178114
AX-147144556	GL018864	275213	G	А	0.100	0.489	1.14×10 <sup>-09</sup>	0.163	14.0	BRI3BP; LOC100343523; LOC100342501; SCARB1; AACS; TMEM132B; DHX37
AX-147126930	9	35546563	Т	С	0.087	0.479	1.45×10 <sup>-09</sup>	0.171	13.8	FAM19A1
AX-147161194	GL018803	762803	А	G	0.097	0.494	1.48×10 <sup>-09</sup>	0.160	13.8	IAH1; CPSF3; YWHAQ; KIDINS220; MBOAT2; ADAM17; ITGB1BP1; ASAP2
AX-147111821	4	2949855	Т	G	0.083	0.509	3.45×10 <sup>-09</sup>	0.159	13.2	<i>SRC; RPN2; GHRH; SAMHD1; RBL1; LOC103348200; DSN1; TLDC2; BLCAP; SLA2; LOC100342874;</i>

										CTNNBL1; MANBAL; MROH8; NNAT; NDRG3; TGIF2; SOGA1; MYL9
AX-147105176	GL018704	479221	A	G	0.121	0.417	8.29×10 <sup>-09</sup>	0.170	12.6	<i>GNL2; POU3F1; LOC100356330; RSPO1;</i> <i>LOC103352105; FHL3; MTF1; LOC100353815; YRDC;</i> <i>INPP5B; DNAL11; UTP11; SNIP1; SF3A3;</i> <i>LOC100345534; CDCA8; LOC103352122; MANEAL;</i> <i>MEAF6; LOC100349353</i>

- <sup>1</sup> SNP identifier used by the Affymetrix Axiom OrcunSNP Array.
- 303 <sup>2</sup> Oryctolagus cuniculus chromosome.
- <sup>3</sup> Position in base pairs on the *O. cuniculus* reference genome (OryCun2.0).
- 305 <sup>4</sup> Minor allele.
- <sup>5</sup> Major allele.
- <sup>6</sup> Minor allele frequency.
- 308 <sup>7</sup> Regression coefficient.
- $309 \quad {}^{8}P$  at the Wald test (GEMMA) of the GWAS.
- 310 <sup>9</sup> Fixation index value.
- 311 <sup>10</sup> Percentage phenotypic variation explained.
- 312 <sup>11</sup> Genes overlapping the SNP position  $\pm$  0.5 Mb as annotated in the OryCun2.0 genome version. In bold are reported the candidate genes discussed
- 313 in the text.

Figure 1. Manhattan plots of the GWAS (top) and the  $F_{ST}$  analysis (bottom) for the number of teats in rabbits. Each dot represents a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). Scaffolds are grouped tighter at the end of the plot. Reds lines represent the thresholds in GWAS (P < 0.05, Bonferroni corrected) and  $F_{ST}$  analysis (99.98th percentile). Green dots represent significant SNPs. Red stars mark SNPs detected in GWAS and confirmed by the  $F_{ST}$  analysis.



321

#### Legends to Supplementary Material

322

323 **Figure S1.** Quantile-Quantile-plot of the genome-wide association study.

324

Figure S2. Schematic representation of the human chromosome 9 region containing the *NR6A1* gene and the position of the syntenic regions in the rabbit genome (OCU1 and scaffold GL0118699, where the significant SNP close to the rabbit *NR6A1* gene is located).

328

Figure S3. Pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis of genomic regions carrying candidate
genes for the number of teats in rabbits. LD was measured as r<sup>2</sup> and it is presented in each box
coloured considering the magnitude of linkage. The associated SNP is highlighted with a red star
symbol. The proposed candidate gene is also reported and its position is marked with a blue line. A)
DNA marker AX-147080594. B) DNA marker AX-147142871 C) DNA marker AX-147178840. D)
DNA marker AX-147108764. E) DNA marker AX-147027111. F) DNA marker AX-147118652. G)
DNA marker AX-147111821.

Table S1. List of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected in the GWAS (P < 0.05, Bonferroni corrected) and F<sub>ST</sub> analysis (99.98th percentile) for the number of teats in rabbits. Data are ordered by *P* of association.

#### **Supplementary material**

# A genome-wide association study for the number of teats in European rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) identifies several candidate genes affecting this trait

Samuele Bovo<sup>\*</sup>, Giuseppina Schiavo<sup>\*</sup>, Valerio Joe Utzeri<sup>\*</sup>, Anisa Ribani<sup>\*</sup>, Michele Schiavitto<sup>†</sup>, Luca Buttazzoni<sup>‡</sup>, Riccardo Negrini<sup>¶</sup> and Luca Fontanesi<sup>\*</sup>

\* Division of Animal Sciences, Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna, Viale Giuseppe Fanin 46, 40127 Bologna, Italy

<sup>†</sup> Associazione Nazionale Coniglicoltori Italiani (ANCI), Contrada Giancola snc, 71030 Volturara Appula (FG), Italy

<sup>‡</sup>Research Centre for Animal Production and Aquaculture (CREA), Monterotondo (Roma), Italy

<sup>¶</sup> Associazione Italiana Allevatori, Via G. Tomassetti 9, 00161 Roma, Italy

Figure S1. Quantile-Quantile-plot of the genome-wide association study.



**Figure S2.** Schematic representation of the human chromosome 9 region containing the *NR6A1* gene and the position of the syntenic regions in the rabbit genome (OCU1 and scaffold GL0118699, where the significant SNP close to the rabbit *NR6A1* gene is located).



**Figure S3.** Pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis of genomic regions carrying candidate genes for the number of teats in rabbits. LD was measured as r<sup>2</sup> and it is presented in each box coloured considering the magnitude of linkage. The associated SNP is highlighted with a red star symbol. The proposed candidate gene is also reported and its position is marked with a blue line. A) DNA marker AX-147080594. B) DNA marker AX-147142871 C) DNA marker AX-147178840. D) DNA marker AX-147108764. E) DNA marker AX-147027111. F) DNA marker AX-147118652. G) DNA marker AX-147111821.



**Table S1.** List of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected in the GWAS (P < 0.05, Bonferroni corrected) and F<sub>ST</sub> (99.98<sup>th</sup> percentile)

analyses for the number of teats in rabbits. Data are ordered by P of association.

Marker <sup>1</sup>	OCU <sup>2</sup>	Pos <sup>3</sup>	Min/Maj <sup>4</sup>	MAF <sup>5</sup>	Beta <sup>6</sup>	<b>P</b> <sup>7</sup>	F <sub>ST</sub> <sup>8</sup>	PVE <sup>9</sup>	Analysis <sup>10</sup>	Genes <sup>11</sup>
AX-147180998	GL019669	6241	C/T	0.096	-0.526	1.21E-11	0.126	17.0	GWAS	DCAF12, DCTN3, FAM219A, DNA11, UBAP2, UBAP1, LOC100347619, CCL21, CCL27, GALT, LOC108176349, NUDT2, RPP25L, FAM205C, LOC100347365, CNTFR, PHF24, KIAA1161, ARID3C, CCL19, ENHO, C1H9orf24, KIF24, IL11RA, SIGMAR1
AX-147080594	1	19404556	C/A	0.109	0.512	4.31E-11	0.186	16.2	GWAS- FST	DTD1, SCP2D1, SLC24A3
AX-147173940	1	20900106	T/C	0.099	0.538	5.67E-11	0.177	16.0	GWAS- F <sub>ST</sub>	UBAP2, DNAJA1, AQP3, AQP7, LOC103345142, NFX1, DCAF12, APTX, BAG1, SMU1, TMEM215, B4GALT1, NOL6, SPINK4, UBE2R2, CHMP5
AX-147162558	7	68775751	T/G	0.099	0.532	5.81E-11	0.176	16.0	GWAS- FST	LSAMP, GAP43, LOC100339409
AX-147142871	GL018740	965628	T/C	0.109	0.497	1.04E-10	0.177	15.6	GWAS- FST	APC, SRP19, NREP, REEP5, EPB41L4A
AX-147036777	19	7022754	T/C	0.105	0.513	1.26E-10	0.176	15.4	GWAS- FST	BACE2, LOC103346181
AX-147178840	6	23830178	G/A	0.114	0.451	1.49E-10	0.192	15.4	GWAS- FST	MECOM, LRRIQ4, LRRC34, MYNN, ACTRT3
AX-147037069	3	40049384	G/T	0.095	0.532	1.61E-10	0.169	15.3	GWAS- FST	TSHZ2, ZNF217, BCAS1
AX-147108764	GL018699	2909467	G/A	0.092	0.532	2.33E-10	0.168	15.0	GWAS- FST	SCEL, SLAINI, EDNRB, MYCBP2
AX-147087265	23	28420299	G/T	0.082	0.539	3.10E-10	NA	14.9	GWAS	FOXP2, LOC103348720, PPP1R3A, LOC100346274
AX-147033079	GL018755	1507787	T/C	0.104	0.492	4.02E-10	0.174	14.7	GWAS- FST	GRHPR, ZBTB5, FBXO10, PAX5, MELK, POLR1E, ZCCHC7
AX-147027111	18	22564826	G/T	0.1	0.505	4.41E-10	0.163	14.6	GWAS- Fst	B3GNT5, LOC100353443, ST6GAL1, SST, MCF2L2, MASP1, LOC108177987, RTP4, RTP2, ADIPOQ
AX-147154664	13	59533425	T/G	0.112	0.461	5.32E-10	0.174	14.5	GWAS- F <sub>ST</sub>	FREM3, USP38, SMARCA5, GAB1
AX-147118652	GL018718	1022162	A/G	0.092	0.524	5.54E-10	0.168	14.4	GWAS- F <sub>ST</sub>	TMEM261
AX-147070994	23	92444500	T/C	0.095	0.514	5.62E-10	NA	14.5	GWAS	TMEM38B, SLC44A1, FKTN, FSD1L, TAL2
AX-147114988	3	25019807	G/C	0.103	0.483	8.60E-10	0.171	14.2	GWAS- FST	LOC108177271, FER, FBXL17
AX-146993766	1	6087385	C/T	0.103	0.464	1.00E-09	0.169	14.1	GWAS- F <sub>ST</sub>	SPAG17, WDR3, GDAP2, MAN1A2, FAM46C
AX-147144556	GL018864	275213	G/A	0.100	0.489	1.14E-09	0.163	14.0	GWAS- F <sub>ST</sub>	LOC100351959, RPS5, CHMP2A, LOC103345283, LOC100351453, MZF1, RNF225, A1BG, ZNF324, TRIM28, LOC100353729, ZNF329, LOC100352209, LOC100352972, LOC108175676, ZNF584, SLC27A5, LOC108175679, LOC108175678

										ZNF446, UBE2M, ZSCAN18, ORYCUNV1R1556, ZBTB45, LOC100352717, ZNF274, ZNF132, ZNF135
AX-147134772	18	52989526	A/G	0.085	0.525	1.26E-09	0.151	13.9	GWAS	IAH1, CPSF3, YWHAQ, KIDINS220, MBOAT2, ADAM17, ITGB1BP1, ASAP2
AX-146985826	23	29778013	G/A	0.084	0.522	1.40E-09	NA	13.8	GWAS	ISCU, TMEM119, DAO, LOC103345380, SELPLG, CORO1C, SVOP, FICD, SSH1, SART3, CMKLR1
AX-147126930	9	35546563	T/C	0.087	0.479	1.45E-09	0.171	13.8	GWAS- FST	PHTF1, LOC103350094, LRIG2, SLC16A1, PPM1J, RSBN1, MAG13, LOC108177607, FAM19A3
AX-147161194	GL018803	762803	A/G	0.097	0.494	1.48E-09	0.160	13.8	GWAS- FST	GNAT3, GNAI1
AX-147161353	14	10088641	A/G	0.092	0.502	1.88E-09	0.158	13.6	GWAS	HPCAL1, PDIA6, KCNF1, NOL10, RRM2, PQLC3, ATP6V1C2, ROCK2, CYS1, ODC1
AX-147081568	18	10464751	C/T	0.086	0.521	2.08E-09	0.155	13.5	GWAS	KCNMA1
AX-147111821	4	2949855	T/G	0.083	0.509	3.45E-09	0.159	13.2	GWAS- FST	HJURP, MROH2A, SH3BP4, TRPM8, SPP2, ARL4C
AX-147059338	16	35713998	A/C	0.086	0.497	6.96E-09	0.157	12.7	GWAS	SRC, RPN2, GHRH, SAMHD1, RBL1, LOC103348200, DSN1, TLDC2, BLCAP, SLA2, LOC100342874, CTNNBL1, MANBAL, MROH8, NNAT, NDRG3, TGIF2, SOGA1, MYL9
AX-147105176	GL018704	479221	A/G	0.121	0.417	8.29E-09	0.170	12.6	GWAS- FST	TMEM64, OSGIN2, CALB1, RIPK2, NBN, DECR1
AX-147121683	GL018795	362725	T/C	0.115	0.455	1.11E-08	0.144	12.4	GWAS	SORCS3
AX-147007632	7	1.25E+08	A/G	0.082	0.5	1.37E-08	0.134	12.3	GWAS	-
AX-147019338	GL018736	1822716	C/T	0.084	0.48	1.51E-08	0.152	12.2	GWAS	NKIRAS1, LOC103350360, THRB, RPL15, NR1D2, LOC100354960
AX-147047442	7	35911714	G/A	0.091	0.469	2.07E-08	0.157	12.0	GWAS	GNL2, POU3F1, LOC100356330, RSP01, LOC103352105, FHL3, MTF1, LOC100353815, YRDC, INPP5B, DNAL11, UTP11, SNIP1, SF3A3, LOC100345534, CDCA8, LOC103352122, MANEAL, MEAF6, LOC100349353
AX-147124436	GL018763	1423677	T/C	0.097	0.446	5.79E-08	0.132	11.3	GWAS	LOC103352516, LOC100356405, LOC100356924, LOC108175498, PPP2R3B, LOC100356149, LOC100357437, LOC100355902, CRLF2, ARL4A
AX-147048907	14	82851725	C/T	0.093	0.424	6.49E-08	0.145	11.2	GWAS	-
AX-147052744	8	79279002	A/G	0.072	0.496	6.73E-08	0.14	11.1	GWAS	LOC103347974, LOC100357282, KLF4, RAD23B, LOC108178114
AX-147028523	1	46414727	T/C	0.108	0.343	9.80E-08	0.157	10.9	GWAS	MAP10, DISC1, SIPA1L2
AX-146982385	GL018712	2963020	G/A	0.076	0.483	1.02E-07	0.139	10.9	GWAS	-
AX-147087748	13	46528135	T/C	0.110	0.396	1.07E-07	0.133	10.8	GWAS	AUTS2, WBSCR17
AX-147037138	3	1.06E+08	T/C	0.063	0.514	1.15E-07	0.132	10.8	GWAS	ARHGAP44, MYOCD, ELAC2
AX-147171391	13	50949460	G/C	0.083	0.465	1.46E-07	0.133	10.6	GWAS	NEK6, OLFML2A, ARPC5L, NR6A1, RPL35, ADGRD2, LOC103352017, DENND1A, GOLGA1, LOC100349446, NR5A1, LHX2, WDR38

AX-147028777	11	28090766	T/C	0.079	0.465	1.67E-07	0.133	10.5	GWAS	EBF1
AX-147005356	15	21130687	A/G	0.078	0.462	1.68E-07	0.138	10.5	GWAS	EMILIN3, LPIN3, CHD6, ZHX3, TOP1, PLCG1
AX-147156218	1	16996176	T/C	0.098	0.405	1.86E-07	0.146	10.4	GWAS	FAM19A1
AX-147080455	GL018832	683056	G/A	0.087	0.441	1.87E-07	0.138	10.5	GWAS	LOC103350105
AX-147036367	14	1.03E+08	A/G	0.060	0.502	2.03E-07	0.123	10.4	GWAS	ADAMTS13, CACFD1
AX-147150946	1	20183530	A/G	0.073	0.468	2.28E-07	0.131	10.3	GWAS	LOC100347899, ACTR3
AX-147080391	GL018744	1600109	A/G	0.085	0.445	2.83E-07	0.127	10.1	GWAS	DDX58, NDUFB6, TMEM215, ACO1, APTX, TOPORS
AX-147059974	4	17266266	C/A	0.073	0.475	2.92E-07	0.138	10.1	GWAS	REEP3, NRBF2, JMJD1C
AX-147078864	14	64269915	G/A	0.114	0.396	3.12E-07	0.135	10.1	GWAS	PPP1R3D, SYCP2, PHACTR3, FAM217B
AX-147032537	7	31518328	C/T	0.100	0.370	4.10E-07	0.143	9.9	GWAS	BRI3BP, LOC100343523, LOC100342501, SCARBI, AACS, TMEM132B, DHX37
AX-147167042	1	7235487	T/C	0.087	0.400	4.35E-07	0.140	9.8	GWAS	NR3C1, ARHGAP26, FGF1
AX-146999069	GL018777	142249	T/C	0.172	0.238	2.98E-05	0.159	6.8	Fst	ZNF804A

<sup>1</sup>SNP identifier used by the Affymetrix Axiom OrcunSNP Array;

<sup>2</sup> Oryctolagus cuniculus chromosome;

<sup>3</sup> Position, in basepairs, on the *O. cuniculus* reference genome (OryCun2.0);

<sup>4</sup> Minor/Major alleles;

<sup>5</sup> Minor allele frequency;

<sup>6</sup> Regression effect;

<sup>7</sup> P at the Wald test (GEMMA) of the GWAS.

<sup>8</sup> Fixation Index value;

<sup>9</sup> Percentage phenotypic variation explained;

<sup>10</sup> Analysis;

 $^{11}$  Genes overlapping the SNP position  $\pm 0.5 \text{ Mb}$