

Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Psychosocial care in dementia in European higher education: Evidence from the SiDECar ("Skills in DEmentia Care") project

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

Published Version:

Psychosocial care in dementia in European higher education: Evidence from the SiDECar ("Skills in DEmentia Care") project / Ottoboni, G.; Chirico, I.; Povolná, P.; Dostálová, V.; Holmerová, I.; Janssen, N.; Dassen, F.; de Vugt, M.; Sánchez-Gómez, Ma.C.; García-Peñalvo, F.; Franco-Martin, M.A.; Chattat, R.. - In: NURSE EDUCATION TODAY. - ISSN 0260-6917. - STAMPA. - 103:(2021), pp. 104977.1-104977.6. [10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104977]

Availability:

This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/830929 since: 2021-09-01

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104977

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version. Psychosocial care in dementia in European Higher Education: evidence from the SiDECar ("Skills in DEmentia Care") project

Ottoboni G.¹, Chirico I.¹, Povolná P.^{2, 3}, Dostálová V.^{2, 3}, Holmerová I., ³², Janssen N.⁴, Dassen F.⁴, de Vugt M.⁴, Sánchez-Gómez, M^a.C.⁵, García-Peñalvo F.⁵, Franco-Martin, M. A.⁵, Chattat. R.¹

¹Department of Psychology, University of Bologna, Bologna Italy;

²Charles University, Faculty of Humanities;

³Institute for Postgraduate Medical Education;

⁴Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Alzheimer Center Limburg, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands;

⁵University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain

Orcid numbers and emails

Ottoboni G. Chirico I. Povolná P.	0000-0002-7649-6642 0000-0001-9799-0204 0000-0002-2786-0911	<u>giovanni.ottoboni@gmail.com</u> <u>ilaria.chirico2@unibo.it</u> povolna@ipvz.cz
Dostálová V.	0000-0002-6158-1334	vladka.dostalova@seznam.cz
Holmerová I.	0000-0003-2375-4174	iva.holmerova@gerontocentrum.cz
Janssen N.		niels.janssen@maastrichtuniversity.nl
Dassen F.	0000-0002-9590-252X	f.dassen@maastrichtuniversity.nl
de Vugt M.	0000-0002-2113-4134	m.devugt@maastrichtuniversity.nl
Sánchez-Gómez, Mª.C.	0000-0003-4726-7143	mcsago@usal.es
García-Peñalvo F. J.	0000-0001-9987-5584	fgarcia@usal.es
Franco-Martin, M. A.	0000-0002-3639-2523	mfm@intras.es
Chattat. R.	0000-0002-3889-2839	rabih.chattat@unibo.it

Corresponding author:

Please address any communication to Giovanni Ottoboni, PhD, Interdepartmental Cancer Research Centre "Giorgio Prodi", Policlinico S.Orsola-Malpighi, Padiglione 13, V piano, Via Massarenti, 11 40138 - Bologna giovanni.ottoboni@gmail.com

Description of authors' roles:

All the authors contributed on the study design, supervised the data collection and participated in paper finalization. GO drafted the manuscript, was responsible for data analysis and for coordinating the part of the SiDeCar Project whose outputs underpinned the present paper.

Conflict of interest declaration

No authors have conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this article.

Compliance with ethical standards

Informed consent

Due to the anonymous data collection, formal consent was not required for this study.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported under the KA2 Strategic partnership for Higher Education. *Skills in Dementia Care: Building psychosocial knowledge and best practice in dementia care (SiDeCar -* 2018-1-IT02-KA203-048402) project partners were University of Salamanca (ES), Maastricht University (NL), Institute for Postgraduate Medical Education (CZ); the project was coordinated by University of Bologna (IT).

Authors thank all the members affiliated to INTERDEM and Academy networks for their support.

Short-Bio

Giovanni Ottoboni (Orcid Number 0000-0002-7649-6642) is a PhD, licensed psychologist and psychotherapist working at University of Bologna. Research interests regard dementia, evidence-based treatments of support, psychosocial care in dementia, processes of translational in education. Currently, he is co-coordinating an Erasmus + project (SIDECAR) to develop Higher Education curricula on psychosocial care in dementia and is a member of the INTERDEM Network.

Chirico I. (Orcid Number 0000-0001-9799-0204) is a PhD, licensed psychologist and psychotherapist who works as a Post-Doctoral research fellow at the Department of Psychology, University of Bologna. She is involved in European and national projects dealing with psychosocial care in dementia, role of caregiving, young-onset dementia and the effectiveness of family therapy.

Povolná P. (Orcid Number 0000-0002-2786-0911) is the Head of the Paramedical Department Institute for postgraduate Medical Education, Assistant Professor at the Charles University - Faculty of humanities, Department of Management and Supervision in Health and Social Care and nurse in cardiology out-patient department. She lectures topics on health and social care area, organizes seminars and conferences, and submits educational programs to Ministry of health for accreditation.

Dostálová V. (Orcid number 0000-0002-6158-1334) is a Ph.D. student of Longevity studies at the Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Humanities. She graduated of the Department of Management and Supervision in Social and Health Care Organizations at the Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Humanities. University teacher at the Institute for postgraduate medical education.

Holmerová I. (Orcid number 0000-0003-2375-4174), MD, PhD (in social gerontology) is Associate Professor of Social anthropology Charles University Prague. She is founding director of the Centre of Gerontology, founder of Czech Alzheimer Society, and leads the Centre of Expertise in Longevity and Long-term Care, and the PhD programme Longevity Studies, Faculty of Humanities Charles University. She is also Chair of Alzheimer Europe and is a member of the board of INTERDEM and EUGMS. Her research activity spans among longevity, long-term care and dementia.

Janssen N. has a bachelor in Applied Psychology and holds a (research) master in health sciences, with a specialization in Health Technology Assessment. His PhD thesis focused on care needs, care use and access to care in people with dementia and early cognitive disorders. He currently works as a post-doctoral

researcher and interim training manager at the Alzheimer Centre Limburg, University of Maastricht, The Netherlands.

Dassen F. (Orcid number 0000-0002-9590-252X) currently works as Training Manager for INTERDEM Academy and DISTINCT, two innovative training networks for early career researchers in psychosocial dementia research. She is based at the Alzheimer Centre Limburg, University of Maastricht. Her PhD thesis focused on the role of executive functioning in eating behavior.

de Vugt M. (Orcid number 0000-0002-2113-4134) is a professor of Psychosocial Innovations in dementia. Trained as a neuropsychologist, she works as a clinical researcher and as a clinician in the memory clinic of the Maastricht University Medical Center+ (MUMC+). She is co-director of the Alzheimer Center Limburg and board member of the European network on research into early detection and timely intervention in dementia (INTERDEM).

Sánchez-Gómez, M.C. (Orcid number 0000-0003-4726-7143) is the Head of Department Didactics, Organization and Research Methods of the University of Salamanca. Professor of research method. Her research work is grounded in the Social Sciences and Health. She has more than 70 international publications indexed in ISI-JCR and SCOPUS, 10 books and 39 book chapters.

García-Peñalvo F. J. (Orcid number 0000-0001-9987-5584) is currently Full Professor, Coordinator of the Doctoral Program in Education in the Knowledge Society and Head of the Research Group in Interaction and e-Learning at University of Salamanca. His main research interests focus on eLearning, computers and education and digital ecosystems. He is the Editor in Chief of the Education in the Knowledge Society journal and the Journal of Information Technology Research.

Franco-Martin, M. A. (Orcid number 0000-0002-3639-2523) is Head of Psychiatric Department and Mental Health, University Rio Hortega Hospital (Valladolid) and Zamora Hospital; he coordinates the Strategic Alliance of Mental health in the Health areas of Zamora and Valladolid-West; he is member of the Academia of Medicine of Salamanca (Academic) and Director of the Research Psychosciences group of the IBSALHealth Research Institute certificated by Charles III National Institute. He is also President of the Spanish Psychogeriatrics association and member of the board of European Association of Geriatry Psychiatry and INTERDEM Network.

Chattat. R. (Orcid N. 0000-0002-3889-2839) (MD; PhD) is Associate Professor of Clinical Psychology at the University of Bologna, Italy. His main research and teaching topics are about psychosocial care in dementia and post-diagnostic support. He was involved in several national and European studies on the implementation of psychosocial interventions for people with dementia and their formal and informal caregivers. He contributed to the development of the regional and national dementia plan and is actively involved with local and national Alzheimer association in promoting inclusion and reducing stigma in dementia. He currently coordinates an Erasmus + project (SIDECAR) to develop Higher Education curricula on psychosocial care in dementia and is collaborator on a JPND project on social health.

Psychosocial care in dementia in European Higher Education: evidence from the SiDECar ("Skills in DEmentia Care") project

Abstract

In dementia care, psychosocial interventions can increase people's quality of life with dementia and their caregivers. Despite their effectiveness, however, their translation into practice lacks the desirable systematicity. Systematic educational programs on psychosocial interventions in dementia will improve this translation, as it prepares professionals to face the complexity of dementia care. This study aimed to systematically map out the extent to which higher education programs in Europe include teaching activities about psychosocial care of dementia.

We collected quantitative and qualitative data about 303 higher education teaching activities on psychosocial care in dementia across Europe. The analysis revealed that the number of teaching activities focusing on psychosocial care in dementia was relative.

Although the results reflected UNESCO's indications, the teaching activities on psychosocial care in dementia appeared less systematized than optimal. As world health agencies recommend, international higher education systems should consider more psychosocial care topics because they can prepare professionals to respond timely and effectively to dementia patients and caregivers' needs.

Keywords: Caregivers, Universities, Global Health, UNESCO, Translations, Surveys and Questionnaires, Health Services, Dementia

Running title: Higher Education on psychosocial care in dementia

1 Introduction

Dementia is a public health priority in many world agency agendas (e. g.,
Alzheimer Europe Office, 2018; 'G20 summit in Osaka, Japan, 28-29/06/2019—
Consilium', 2019; OECD; WHO & ADI, 2012). Annually, about 10 million new cases
of dementia are registered (WHO, 2017): by 2050, more than 40 million people in
"Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development" countries will develop
dementia if no remedies, drugs or curative interventions thrive meanwhile (Health
Policy Analyst, Health Division, OECD, 2018).

9 The legacy of these previsions implores stakeholders to reflect and act quickly to 10 identify the most beneficial series of actions capable of tackling the problem. 11 Although no effective cure exists yet, several psychosocial interventions aimed at maintaining or preserving personhood, improving wellbeing and interpersonal 12 relationships, everyday functional abilities, and cognitive capabilities exist 13 (Dickinson et al., 2017; McDermott et al., 2019; Olazarán et al., 2010; Moniz-Cook 14 & Manthorpe, 2009; Moniz-Cook et al., 2011). By taking into account the needs, 15 preferences, and abilities featuring both people with dementia, their family, and the 16 social context (Moniz-Cook et al., 2011), such interventions effectively improve the 17 quality of life of all the persons involved in the process of care (Cooke et al., 2001; 18 Herholz, Herholz and Herholz, 2013; Eggermont and Scherder, 2006; O'Connor et 19 al., 2009b, 2009a; Olazarán et al., 2010; Moniz-Cook et al., 2011; McDermott et al., 20 2019; Pusey and Richards, 2001). 21

Unfortunately, despite the reported efficacy, psychosocial cares are often offered 22 to people with dementia (PWD) in a sub-optimal way. Some authors discussed the 23 problem as originating from services' organizational structures (Cheston, 2000; 24 Gevers, 2006; Hinton et al., 2007; Cadieux, Garcia and Patrick, 2013); other 25 authors, instead, argue that the education patch needs to be improved (Downs et 26 al., 2009; Draper et al., 2009) as it impacts on workers' skills and attitudes (Gonczi, 27 2013; Van Der Roest et al., 2007; Cadieux, Garcia and Patrick, 2013; Krolak-28 Salmon et al., 2017). On the other hand, even the guidelines defining care 29 standards, education programs, and competency frameworks lack critical features 30 that a proper education may transfer (Traynor, Inoue, & Crookes, 2011). Moreover, 31

32 at the content level, the competencies appeared heterogeneous and not eligible to prepare professionals to face the complexity of dementia care (Traynor, Inoue, & 33 Crookes, 2011). Similar scenarios emerge in other reports (Downs et al., 2009; 34 Murphy, 2017; Pulsford, Hope, & Thompson, 2007). In the UK, Pulsford and 35 colleagues (2007) found that the topics concerning dementia were usually taught 36 indirectly, incorporated within broader teaching content, encapsulated in short 37 modules, or delivered through seminars. Moreover, care contents emerged to be 38 delivered flexibly through work-based learning programs or left elective. Pulsford 39 and colleagues (2007) concluded by reporting that most of the trainings UK 40 professionals received were CPD courses (Continuous Professional Development). 41 At that time, the number of diplomas and the degree level courses addressing 42 dementia care were scarce. Ten years later, the number of teaching courses 43 increases, but it was still sub-optimal (Murphy, 2017). 44

45 Aim

As no study had yet ascertained the ways teaching activities on psychosocial
care in dementia are systematized and widespread across Europe, in this work, we
investigated how the education on psychosocial care in dementia populated
European HE systems.

The work represents one of the actions composing the Erasmus+ project entitled *Skills in Dementia Care: Building psychosocial knowledge and best practice in dementia care* (SiDECar; https://sidecar-project.eu/). By capitalising on the indications from both the existing European Higher Education system and the European National Dementia Plans (Chirico et al., 2021), the SiDECar project is developing a well-systematized and evidence-based study program on psychosocial care in dementia capable of training the next European workforce.

57 Methods

To understand how teaching activities on psychosocial care in dementia populated
EU study programs, we collected and ascertained quantitative and qualitative data.
The data derived both from experts in dementia and manual searches authors
performed on the Internet. Once we collected the data, we implemented internal

comparisons to overview the European state of teaching psychosocial care
 activities in dementia.

64 Ethical aspects

Although participants could indicate the university where they worked and the
 hosting country, for this study, we did not request them to sign-up, or trace any
 personal information, IP addresses included.

68 Design

Data populated an online survey composed of ad-hoc made items. The survey accomplished a twofold task. It ordered the experts' teaching activities, and it served to pile the outcomes resulting from manual searches on the Internet.

Experts provided their contribution by following a link published on both the SiDeCar project website or recruited by emails sent to the INTERDEM Network and INTERDEM Academy (<u>http://interdem.org/</u>). The INTERDEM Network represents a European network of researchers and academics devoted to study, discuss and tackle psychosocial issues in dementia; INTERDEM Academy is the cognate training network for researchers in their early career stage. The link was also distributed to authors' contacts.

Furthermore, the survey structure assisted the authors' manual searches on the 79 universities' websites hosted in each SiDECar project partners' countries (i.e., Italy, 80 Czech Republic, The Netherlands, and Spain), plus Ireland and the United 81 Kingdom¹. The webpages we read by the project partners in each country by 82 seeking clues about psychosocial care in dementia, concerning study programs on 83 medicine, nursing, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, psychology, motor 84 sciences, and social sciences (sociology included). The search involved analysing 85 each teaching activity title; synopsis and the syllabus, in case provided, were used 86 to check for consistency. The information gathered during this part of the 87 88 investigation aimed at increasing the number of data provided by the experts. The data collection process lasted between November 2018 and July 2019. 89

¹ Please note that UK was still part of the EU in times of study design.

90 Instrument

Once participants accessed the survey, a few lines of introduction set both its 91 aim (i.e., "At this purpose we want to ask you a few questions to identify the EU 92 93 courses providing students with knowledge about psychosocial care in dementia.") and the aims of the project (i. e., to develop and disseminate an up-to-date and 94 innovative, evidence-based curriculum of studies concerning psychosocial care for 95 people with dementia, formal and informal caregivers). After that, participants 96 started responding the questions. These were all in English. 97 The first was a filter question: participants could only proceed if they indicated the 98 99 presence of teaching content on psychosocial care in dementia in their universities. 100 They could also specify the name of the university and the hosting country. The remaining questions asked participants to indicate any courses, modules, or 101 topics on psychosocial care in dementia they were aware of running in their 102 universities or in universities they know. Afterwards, participants must specify the 103 type of content hosting the teaching activity, i.e., in a First, Second or Third level 104 study content. To respond to the question, participants were acknowledged about 105 how the Bologna process structures its cycles (See Table 1). 106 Table 1: The table summarizes the three cycles of study programs as they are clustered in the Bologna process.

The First cycle study programmes includes undergraduate study programmes
 ISCED 6 level: from 3 to 4 years when following an ISCED level 3 (i.e., secondary school)
 from 1 to 2 years when following another ISCED level 6
 The Second cycle includes post-graduate programmes
 ISCED 7 level from 1 to 4 years when following an ISCED level 6
 from 5 to 7 years when following directly ISCED level 3 (e.g., medicine)
 The Third cycle includes doctoral study programmes
 ISCED 8 level, three years minimum.

After filling out these questions, we ascertained if the teaching activity regarded an entire course, if delivered during a module hosted within a course, or as a spare topic discussed occasionally.

Moreover, participants had to indicate whether the activity was mandatory or elective and delivered traditionally or blended (i.e., mix between online and inperson teachings). Besides, they should tell the number of hours and credits characterizing the teaching activity and the number of attending students. Finally, participants could report the person in charge to be publically contacted and the related website.

As already reported, no response after the first one was mandatory; participants could skip any question in case of missing information. Once they reached the last question, participants had the chance to amend what they indicated and to submit the inputs.

121 Data analysis

If the experts' data showed inconsistencies or irregularities, as truncated
 indications or misspelt, the authors performed additional searches on the Internet to
 reconcile the information. Once the database was consistent, the data were
 analysed both quantitatively and qualitatively.

The first analysis consisted of calculating the percentage of teaching activities 126 according to the Bologna three-cycle structure (i.e., bachelor, master, and Ph.D. 127 study programs). We figured how activities were provided as courses, modules or 128 spare teaching, how many were either required or elected activities, and how many 129 were traditional or blended activities. These data were then matched and sorted 130 according to the cycle. Finally, we averaged both the number of credits and the 131 number of teaching hours. These analyses were made separately on the two data 132 entries to check for entry bias. According to the data's nature, the analyses adopted 133 134 parametric or non-parametric tests (i.e., t-test, X₂ and Cohen's K).

For what concerned the qualitative analysis, a summative content analysis was conducted, in which teaching activities' titles were analysed to extract the underlying context (Hsieh and Shannon, 2005). The teaching activities were

processed if their title included at least one of the following terms: psychosocial
care, dementia. The analysis involved the title primarily; synopsis and syllabus
supported consistency check, in case provided. For the qualitative research, we did
not sort the data according to either data entries or features.

142 Results

143 Quantitative data

We gathered 303 teaching activities, of which 74.6% originated from the manualonline searches.

Most teaching activities were framed within the Second cycle of post-graduate programs (62%), while less derived from First cycle teaching activities (16.5%). In the remaining 21.5% entries, there was no cycle indication. Once we sorted the data according to the entry, the data appeared to spread more among the cycles when they were collected manually (See Table 2; manual entries, p < .001; experts, p > .5).

- 152
- 153 ---- Insert Table 2 about here ----

154 ---- Insert Table 3 about here ----

155

Many of the teaching activities were courses (58.1%; modules = 31.4%; topics = 156 9.6%). As before, even in this analysis, the data distribution appeared to 157 differentiate more within the manual entries than within the experts' ones (Table 2; 158 manual entries, p < .001; experts, p > .1). When the data were sorted according to 159 the study cycle (Table 3), courses and modules belonging to First cycle study 160 programs were similar (p > .1), and both much higher than topics (p < .001). In the 161 Second cycle, the number of courses was the highest (p < .001). 162 Besides, the activities were mostly required (58.4%, elective = 16.5%, NA = 163 25.1%), and the variability was driven by the data manually entered (Table 2. 164

165 Manual entries, p < .001; experts, p > .1). Once we sorted the data according to the

cycle of studies, the required activities overcome the elective ones in both of them(Ps < .005).

The activities were also delivered more traditionally (38.0%, blended = 20.8%, NA = 41.2%): even in this case, the variability emerged higher between the data manually entered (Table 2. Manual entries, p < .05; expert , p > .2). After we matched the data per cycle of studies. In both the cycles, the traditional activities were higher than the blended ones (Ps < .001).

The number of European Credit Transfer System (or ECTS) provided for the activities were 11.8 on average (standard deviation, SD = 10, n = 192), whereas, the number of hours was 35.1 on average (SD = 21.6, n = 31). In neither case, the data entries differed (ECTS, t(190) = 1.02, p = .30; Hours, t(29) = 1.27, p = .22. See Table 2).

Finally, the geographical data distribution was very different (Table 4; K = -.14, p = .03), and this emerged even when the distribution was analysed per data entry (Manual entries, p < .001; experts, p < .001).

181

---- Insert Table 4 about here ----

182 Qualitative data

The data showed that only one teaching activity explicitly mentioned 183 psychosocial care in dementia in its title. This activity was the UK Second cycle 184 course entitled "Psychosocial approaches to care and treatment of people with 185 dementia". Moreover, another UK Second cycle course referred to psychosocial 186 care in dementia, and its title was "Dementia in health and social care". Besides 187 these two instances, other thirty-six teaching activities embedded the terms 188 "dementia care" in their titles: 86.4% represented Second cycle activities (5.4% 189 First cycle courses, NA = 8.2); 29 were courses, 7 modules, but no spare topics. 190 Further analysis indicated that 27.7% of the teaching activities focused on practical 191 perspectives: in particular, three titles included the term "planning", thirty-seven of 192

them embedded the term "interventions", twenty-four titles displayed the word

¹⁹⁴ "therapy", nine of them had "approach" in the title, while seventeen titles reported

the term "rehabilitation", and three titles, the word "practicum". On the contrary,

196 3.0% of the data suggested that teaching activities focused on theoretical

perspectives: one teaching title reported the term "theories", three titles embedded
the word "perspective", three others displayed the word "ethic", and two of them the
word "society".

Moreover, data showed that 4.6% of the teaching activities seemed to focus on the health domain: in particular, five titles included the term "medicine", seven titles displayed the word "assessment", and two titles, the term "pharma". Again, 13.2% of the teaching activities had specific references to the ageing domain: 40 teaching titles included words such as older, (OR) elder, (OR) ageing.

Finally, 30 teachings explicitly referred to the people of interest: one teaching title referred to terms concerning people with dementia and caregiving, one title focused on informal caregivers, three titles specifically mentioned the family, and one title referred to formal and informal caregivers.

209 Teaching activities were part of the following degrees: Applied cognitive

210 psychology; Clinical psychology; Psychology; Psychological science and

techniques; Neuropsychology; Neuroscience and neuropsychological rehabilitation;

Nursing; midwifery and social work; Nursing - Dementia care; Social and territorial

213 policies; Advanced care in dementia; Dementia care and practice; Health care

214 practice; Health and social care; Dementia Studies; Medicine.

215 Discussion

Aimed at understanding the extent to which teaching activities on psychosocial 216 care in dementia resides within the European HE systems, we ascertain European 217 experts in dementia and searched European universities websites. Results from 218 both approaches were aggregated because of the low response rate of experts. 219 Results showed that teaching activities on psychosocial care in dementia mainly 220 221 were delivered in courses situated within study programs; a smaller amount 222 appertained to modules, and very few were spare topics provided within courses or modules. This pattern emerged more in teaching activities that belonged to the 223 Second cycle of study programs than in the ones included in the First cycle. The 224

same difference emerged when we sorted the activities per the required/electivefeature and the traditional/blended one.

Most of the activities within the courses belonging to the Second cycle of study 227 programs entails further that psychosocial care in dementia represents a complex 228 topic that necessitates the students to achieve propaedeutic knowledge. Indeed, 229 psychosocial interventions are those physical, cognitive, or social activities aimed at 230 minimizing the risk of future disability while maintaining- or improving interpersonal 231 relationships, functioning, and wellbeing in both people with dementia and their 232 carers (McDermott et al., 2019; Moniz- Cook, Vernooij-Dassen, Woods, Orrell, & 233 234 INTERDEM Network, 2011). By focusing on people's experience and history, 235 personal needs, preferences and abilities, as well as on the social context, they work to reduce the malignant social psychology (Kitwood & Kitwood, 1997; Moniz-236 Cook & Manthorpe, 2009; Moniz- Cook, Vernooii-Dassen, Woods, Orrell, & 237 INTERDEM Network, 2011). Such a perspective interests all the people involved in 238 the disease since the delivery of the diagnosis, i.e., patients, formal and informal 239 caregivers, the propaedeutic teachings psychosocial knowledge necessitates in the 240 EU HE systems indicate the students how complex is the context where dementia 241 insists. Simultaneously, the fact that the contents of psychosocial care in dementia 242 are delivered in required activities more frequently than in elective one endorses 243 that the teaching contents are critical in the study program. Moreover, the traditional 244 teaching method, i.e., the frontal/ in presence one, apart from being the most 245 frequent academic method, provides the opportunity to stress the importance of the 246 relationship in psychosocial care. During such teachings, students and lecturers 247 interact and may ascertain the social experiences at the basis of this form of care. 248 The data's geographical distribution shows a situation very similar to the one 249 featuring the national dementia plans (Chirico et al., 2021). In both cases, only 250 some countries have consistent dementia-related policies (Hvalič-Touzery et al., 251 2018). Finally, concerning the study effort, the data we yielded appear to reflect EU 252 indications. Typically, the study effort is quantified using a Bologna processes tool: 253 the ECTS. The system originated to make any study programs very transparent 254 and transferrable across Europe. Usually, 60 ECTS relate to teaching activities that 255

require a full-time learning year, spanning between 1,500 and 1,800 hours of study.
The credits can be allocated to the different activities, all inherent to achieving the
defined learning target. The activities range from educational components, i.e., selfcontained and formally structured learning experiences, to dissertations, worklearning activities, and reach work placements (European Commission, 2019).

Our results show that the knowledge about psychosocial care in dementia is 261 taught by considering both practical and theoretical perspectives. Teaching 262 activities that prepare students to plan interventions, organize sessions of 263 rehabilitation, or tackle practical issues are delivered alongside activities that focus 264 265 students on thinking about dementia as a status affecting their entire lives. 266 Psychosocial care is characterised by the theoretical shift both putting the person at the centre of the care and leaving the disease on the background (Kitwood, 2007; 267 Beer et al., 2009; Moniz-Cook et al., 2008, 2011; Huber et al., 2011; Vasse et al., 268 2012). In this light, the intense intersubjective interactions featuring the approach 269 requires a solid ability to handle both the practical and the theoretical aspects that 270 feature such a complexity. A relative number of teaching activities appeared to 271 address students' attention towards the person with dementia and her/his 272 caregivers, although not specifying further information. Caregiving is a crucial 273 aspect in dementia contexts. As pointed out elsewhere (Gérain & Zech, 2019; 274 Ottoboni et al., 2019;), the way caregivers experience their tasks is fundamental to 275 modulate PWD's quality of life, as well as it can exert a detrimental effect on 276 caregivers' health itself (Vitaliano, Zhang & Scanlan, 2003). 277

Psychosocial care in dementia does not cover just psychological or sociological
care. It considers the entire person from a multifaced perspective accounting for the
biological perspective alongside the views previously reported (Kitwood, 1997;
Huber *et al.*, 2011). In this light, these results show the teaching activities on
psychosocial care in dementia are timely host in various degrees, such as health,
nursing, psychology, social and medicine, reinforcing the cross-discipline nature of
the psychosocial perspective.

285 Moreover, such heterogeneity, together with the fact that most of the activities 286 are courses belonging to the Second cycle of studies, and required, indicates that

287 the effort deployed to modify the zeitgeist surrounding people with dementia needs more work. In fact, although the teaching activities are mainly required, the fact that 288 they are delivered in the Second cycle of studies minimally secure that the 289 290 knowledge about psychosocial care is spread across a broad range of 291 professionals. These are essential aspects in the context of dementia-friendly communities, where professionals with different background-also outside the 292 context of direct dementia care- could contribute if they are educated in such a way 293 of caring (Shannon, Bail & Neville, 2019). Moreover, with the steep rise in the 294 dementia population, it is of the utmost importance to interest and inspire new 295 generations of professionals in this field of research and/or care: to achieve all of 296 this, students' greater reach in the First cycle would indeed contribute. 297

At the same time, however, HE institutions should start discussing whether 298 psychosocial care in dementia may become a proper, separated discipline or 299 embedded into each academic course, preparing the future health and welfare 300 workforces. Indeed, the state of the art that we analyzed demonstrate that EU 301 countries fully respect the indications of the ISCED. Specifically, the agency 302 indicates neither dementia or psychosocial care can be included within the scientific 303 fields composing the international educational system (ISCED, 2014; UNESCO, 304 2015). Among the enclosed fields, Social Science, Health and Welfare are the ones 305 featured within psychosocial care contents. In particular, ISCED sorts the general 306 care for older people between the medical and the welfare domain. Within the 307 former, ISCED focuses on maintaining and caring for patients' health during illness 308 and rehabilitation; within the latter, ISCED indicates to deliver psychosocial care 309 both to older adults and people with disabilities. 310

However, within the Second cycle of studies, masters of various natures are hosted: ISCE includes masters offered to full-time students alongside masters for working professionals and study programs that do not provide accreditations to spend in the labour market (UNESCO, 2012; 2015). As observed elsewhere (Pulsford, Hope and Thompson, 2007; Downs *et al.*, 2009; Murphy, 2017; Hvalič-Touzery *et al.*, 2018), skills on dementia care are very often provided in courses that organized outside the universities, i.e., CPD learning programs, once people

already got a degree (Hvalič-Touzery *et al.*, 2018). Such heterogeneity can
confound students, academic officers, professionals and their agencies, the world
of work, and the general audience. It is time to reflect on this and update the ISCED
taxonomy to match HE and the labour market.

322 Strength and limitations

In this study, the main strength concerns its focus on the psychosocial aspects of dementia contexts; the main limitation regards the data entries. More experts' involvement and automatic search algorithms would be necessary to avoid biases deriving from the manual input and analysis in future research.

327 Conclusion

World agencies insist on the need to secure high levels of the quality of care provided to both PWD and their caregivers (WHO and ADI, 2012; WHO, 2017). One way to fulfil such a target entails securing the next generation of professionals with high levels of knowledge and training about dementia since the first level of studies.

The development of new, systematised, and regularly updated study programs 333 would build a new workforce comprehensively prepared to provide psychosocial 334 care for dementia (Beard et al. 2016). Moreover, it would contribute to the 335 development of a new culture in dementia care. Such a workforce would be 336 capable of speaking a common language to implement international and national 337 dementia plans and much more detailed and valuable guidelines. Again, by sharing 338 the same view, the new workforce would foster further the translation of what 339 research indicates as truly useful in both still-to-be-trained and already-trained 340 professionals. 341

342

343 Reference

- Adler, G., Lawrence, B. M., Ounpraseuth, S. T., & Asghar-Ali, A. A. (2015). A
- 345 Survey on Dementia Training Needs Among Staff at Community-Based
- 346 Outpatient Clinics. *Educational Gerontology*, *41*(12), 903–915.
- 347 https://doi.org/10.1080/03601277.2015.1071549
- Alzheimer Europe Office. (2018). Alzheimer Europe—Publications—Dementia in
 Europe Yearbooks. Dementia in Europe Yearbooks. https://www.alzheimer europe.org/Publications/Dementia-in-Europe-Yearbooks

ISCED, fields of education and training. Appendix I. (2014). 18–20.

Beard, John R., Alana Officer, Islene Araujo de Carvalho, Ritu Sadana, Anne

353 Margriet Pot, Jean-Pierre Michel, Peter Lloyd-Sherlock, JoAnne E. Epping-

Jordan, G. M. E. E. (Geeske) Peeters, Wahyu Retno Mahanani,

- Jotheeswaran Amuthavalli Thiyagarajan, e Somnath Chatterji. 2016. «The
- World Report on Ageing and Health: A Policy Framework for Healthy
- Ageing». The Lancet 387(10033):2145–54. doi: 10.1016/S0140-
- 358 6736(15)00516-4.
- Beer, C., Horner, B., Almeida, O. P., Scherer, S., Lautenschlager, N. T., Bretland,
 N., Flett, P., Schaper, F., & Flicker, L. (2009). Current experiences and
 educational preferences of general practitioners and staff caring for people
 with dementia living in residential facilities. *BMC Geriatrics*, *9*(1), 36.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-9-36
- Cadieux, M.-A., Garcia, L. J., & Patrick, J. (2013). Needs of people with dementia in
 long-term care: A systematic review. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*®, 28(8), 723–733.
- Cheston, R. (2000). Involving people who have dementia in the evaluation of
 services: A review. *Journal of Mental Health*, *9*(5), 471–479.
- Chirico, I., Chattat, R., Dostálová, V., Povolná, P., Holmerová, I., de Vugt, M. E., ...
- Ottoboni, G. (2021). The Integration of Psychosocial Care into National
- 371 Dementia Strategies Across Europe: Evidence from the Skills in DEmentia
- 372 Care (SiDECar) Project. International Journal of Environmental Research
- 373 *Public Health*, 18, 1–13. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073422

- Cooke, D. D., McNally, L., Mulligan, K. T., Harrison, M. J. G., & Newman, S. P.
 (2001). Psychosocial interventions for caregivers of people with dementia: A
 systematic review. *Aging & Mental Health*, *5*(2), 120–135.
- Dickinson, C., Dow, J., Gibson, G., Hayes, L., Robalino, S., & Robinson, L. (2017).
- Psychosocial intervention for carers of people with dementia: What
- components are most effective and when? A systematic review of systematic
- reviews. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 29(1), 31–43.
- 381 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610216001447
- Downs, M., Capstick, A., Baldwin, P. C., Surr, C., & Bruce, E. (2009). The role of
- higher education in transforming the quality of dementia care: Dementia
 studies at the University of Bradford. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 21(S1),

385 S3–S15. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610209008837

- Draper, B., Low, L.-F., Withall, A., Vickland, V., & Ward, T. (2009). Translating
- dementia research into practice. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 21(S1), S72.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610209008709
- Eggermont, L. H., & Scherder, E. J. (2006). Physical activity and behaviour in
 dementia: A review of the literature and implications for psychosocial
 intervention in primary care. *Dementia*, *5*(3), 411–428.
- European Commission. (2019, July). ECTS users' guide.
- 393 http://ec.europa.eu/education/ects/users-guide/key-features_en.htm#ectsTop
- G20 summit in Osaka, Japan, 28-29/06/2019—Consilium. (2019, giugno).
 Https://Www.Consilium.Europa.Eu/En/Meetings/International Summit/2019/06/28-29/.
- Gérain, P., & Zech, E. (2019). Informal Caregiver Burnout? Development of a
 Theoretical Framework to Understand the Impact of Caregiving. Frontiers in
 Psychology, 10, 1748. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01748
- Gevers, S. (2006). Dementia and the law. *European journal of health law*, *13*(3),
 209–217.
- Gonczi, A. (2013). Competency-Based Approaches: Linking theory and practice in
 professional education with particular reference to health education.
- Educational Philosophy and Theory, 45(12), 1290–1306.
- 405 https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2013.763590

- Health Policy Analyst, Health Division, OECD. (2018). *Renewing priority for*
- 407 *dementia: Where do we stand?* [Policy Brief]. OSCED.
- 408 http://www.oecd.org/health/dementia.htm
- Herholz, S. C., Herholz, R. S., & Herholz, K. (2013). Non-pharmacological
- interventions and neuroplasticity in early stage Alzheimer's disease. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, *13*(11), 1235–1245.
- 412 https://doi.org/10.1586/14737175.2013.845086
- Hinton, L., Franz, C. E., Reddy, G., Flores, Y., Kravitz, R. L., & Barker, J. C. (2007).
 Practice constraints, behavioral problems, and dementia care: Primary care
 physicians' perspectives. *Journal of general internal medicine*, *22*(11), 1487–
 1492.
- Hsieh, H.-F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content
 analysis. *Qualitative health research*, *15*(9), 1277–1288.
- Huber, M., Knottnerus, J. A., Green, L., Horst, H. v. d., Jadad, A. R., Kromhout, D.,
 Leonard, B., Lorig, K., Loureiro, M. I., Meer, J. W. M. v. d., Schnabel, P.,
- 421 Smith, R., Weel, C. v., & Smid, H. (2011). How should we define health?
- *BMJ*, *343*(jul26 2), d4163–d4163. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d4163
- Hvalič-Touzery, S., Skela-Savič, B., Macrae, R., Jack-Waugh, A., Tolson, D.,
- 424 Hellström, A., de Abreu, W., & Pesjak, K. (2018). The provision of accredited
- higher education on dementia in six European countries: An exploratory
- 426 study. *Nurse Education Today*, *60*, 161–169.
- 427 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.10.010
- Ibrahim, J. E., & Davis, M.-C. (2013). Availability of Education and Training for
 Medical Specialists about the Impact of Dementia on Comorbid Disease
- 430 Management. *Educational Gerontology*, *39*(12), 925–941.
- 431 https://doi.org/10.1080/03601277.2013.767657
- 432 Kitwood, T. (2007). On Dementia: A Reader And Critical Commentary (C. Baldwin
- 433 & A. Capstick, A c. Di). Open University Press.
- 434 https://books.google.it/books/about/Tom_Kitwood_on_Dementia_A_Reader_
- 435 and_Cri.html?id=XWn4AAAAQBAJ&source=kp_cover&redir_esc=y
- Kitwood, T. M., & Kitwood, T. M. (1997). Dementia reconsidered: The person
 comes first (Vol. 20). Open university press Buckingham.

Knifton, C., Macrae, R., Jack-Waugh, A., Brown, M., Surr, C. A., Thompson, R., &
Baillie, L. (2018). Dementia education in Higher Education Institutions, now
and in the future: The role of the professional regulatory bodies in the UK. *Journal of Research in Nursing*.

442 Krolak-Salmon, P., Leperre-Desplanques, A., Maillet, A., Moutet, C., Vanacore, N.,

443 Confaloni, A., Lacorte, E., Pucchio, A. D., Bacigalupo, I., Rejdak, K., Papuc,

444 E., Zaluska, W., Mehrabian, S., Spassov, V., Raycheva, M., Traykov, L.,

Fiandra, T. D., Knauf-Hubel, D., Politis, A., ... Mougias, A. (2017). *REPORT*ON THE BENEFITS & THE RISKS OF DEMENTIA DIAGNOSIS. 412.

447 McDermott, O., Charlesworth, G., Hogervorst, E., Stoner, C., Moniz-Cook, E.,

448 Spector, A., Csipke, E., & Orrell, M. (2019). Psychosocial interventions for 449 people with dementia: A synthesis of systematic reviews. *Aging & Mental* 450 *Health*, 23(4), 393–403. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2017.1423031

Mitchell, G., & Agnelli, J. (2015). Person-centred care for people with dementia:
Kitwood reconsidered. *Nursing Standard (2014+)*, *30*(7), 46.

453 Moniz-Cook, E., Vernooij-Dassen, M., Woods, B., Orrell, M., & Interdem Network.

454 (2011). Psychosocial interventions in dementia care research: The

455 INTERDEM manifesto. *Aging & Mental Health*, *15*(3), 283–290.

456 https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2010.543665

Moniz-Cook, E., Vernooij-Dassen, M., Woods, R., Verhey, F., Chattat, R., Vugt, M.
D., Mountain, G., O'connell, M., Harrison, J., Vasse, E., Dröes, R. M., & For
The Interdem* Group, M. O. (2008). A European consensus on outcome

460 measures for psychosocial intervention research in dementia care. Aging &

461 *Mental Health*, *12*(1), 14–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860801919850

462 Moniz-Cook, Esme, & Manthorpe, J. (A c. Di). (2009). *Early psychosocial*

interventions in dementia: Evidence-based practice. Kingsley.

Murphy, J. (2017). Positive Approaches to Care: A new look at dementia education.
 Primary Health Care, 27(1), 29–33. https://doi.org/10.7748/phc.2017.e1157

Nagle, B. J., Usita, P. M., & Edland, S. D. (2013). United States medical students'
 knowledge of Alzheimer disease. *Journal of Educational Evaluation for*

468 *Health Professions*, 10.

- O'Connor, D. W., Ames, D., Gardner, B., & King, M. (2009a). Psychosocial
 treatments of behavior symptoms in dementia: A systematic review of reports
- 471 meeting quality standards. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 21(2), 225–240.
- 472 O'Connor, D. W., Ames, D., Gardner, B., & King, M. (2009b). Psychosocial
- treatments of psychological symptoms in dementia: A systematic review of
- 474 reports meeting quality standards. International Psychogeriatrics, 21(2), 241-
- 475 251.
- 476 OECD. (2020). *Dementia*—OECD. Dementia.
- 477 http://www.oecd.org/health/dementia.htm
- Olazarán, J., Reisberg, B., Clare, L., Cruz, I., Peña-Casanova, J., Ser, T. del,
- 479 Woods, B., Beck, C., Auer, S., Lai, C., Spector, A., Fazio, S., Bond, J.,
- 480 Kivipelto, M., Brodaty, H., Rojo, J. M., Collins, H., Teri, L., Mittelman, M., ...
- 481 Muñiz, R. (2010). Non-pharmacological Therapies in Alzheimer's Disease: A
- 482 Systematic Review of Efficacy. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 483 *30*(2), 161–178.
- 484 Ottoboni, G., Amici, S., Iannizzi, P., Di Pucchio, A., Vanacore, N., Chattat, R.,
- Alunni, S., Bianchini, F., Cingolani, R., Leonardi, T., Petturiti, F., Spadoni, L.,
- 486 & On the behalf of DEMCAREGIVER Group. (2018). Italian revised memory
- 487 and behavior problems checklist (It-RMBPC): Validation and psychometric
- 488 properties in Alzheimer's disease caregivers. Aging Clinical and Experimental
 489 Research. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-018-0995-9
- Pulsford, D., Hope, K., & Thompson, R. (2007). Higher education provision for
 professionals working with people with dementia: A scoping exercise. *Nurse Education Today*, 27(1), 5–13.
- Pusey, H., & Richards, D. (2001). A systematic review of the effectiveness of
 psychosocial interventions for carers of people with dementia. *Aging & Mental Health*, *5*(2), 107–119.
- Shannon, K., Bail, K., & Neville, S. (2019). Dementia-friendly community initiatives:
 An integrative review. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 28(11-12), 2035-2045.
- Traynor, V., Inoue, K., & Crookes, P. (2011). Literature review: Understanding
- nursing competence in dementia care. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *20*(13–14),
 1948–1960.

- Tsolaki, M., Papaliagkas, V., Anogianakis, G., Bernabei, R., Emre, M., Frolich, L., 501 Visser, P. J., Michel, J. P., Pirttila, T., Rikkert, M. O., Soininen, H., Sobow, T., 502 Vellas, B., Verhey, F., & Winblad, B. (2010). Consensus Statement on 503 Dementia Education and Training in Europe. Journal of Nutrition Health and 504 Aging, 14(2), 131-135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-009-0238-z 505 UNESCO (2012). International standard classification of education: ISCED 2011. 506 UNESCO Institute for Statistics. 507 UNESCO (2015). International Standard Classification of Education: Fields of 508 education and training 2013 (ISCED-F 2013) Detailed field descriptions. 509 UNESCO Institute for Statistics. https://doi.org/10.15220/978-92-9189-179-5-510 en 511 Van Der Roest, H. G., Meiland, F. J., Maroccini, R., Comijs, H. C., Jonker, C., & 512 Dröes, R.-M. (2007). Subjective needs of people with dementia: A review of 513 the literature. International Psychogeriatrics, 19(3), 559–592. 514 Vasse, E., Vernooij-Dassen, M., Cantegreil, I., Franco, M., Dorenlot, P., Woods, B., 515 & Moniz-Cook, E. (2012). Guidelines for psychosocial interventions in 516 dementia care: A European survey and comparison. International Journal of 517 Geriatric Psychiatry, 27(1), 40–48. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.2687 518 Vitaliano, P. P., Zhang, J., & Scanlan, J. M. (2003). Is Caregiving Hazardous to 519 One's Physical Health? A Meta-Analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 129(6), 946-520 972. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.6.946 521 WHO. (2017). Global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017-522 2025. 523 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/259615/9789241513487-524
- eng.pdf;jsessionid=2BE9A3E1C6592D1B66EFE6709C30F17B?sequence=1
- 526 WHO, & ADI (A c. Di). (2012). Dementia: A public health priority.

Table 1: The table summarizes the three cycles of study programs as they are clustered in the Bologna process.

•	The First cycle study programmes includes undergraduate study programmes		
	ISCED 6 level:	from 3 to 4 years when following an ISCED level 3 (i.e., secondary school)	
		from 1 to 2 years when following another ISCED level 6	
•	The Second cycle includes post-graduate programmes		
	ISCED 7 level	from 1 to 4 years when following an ISCED level 6	
		from 5 to 7 years when following directly ISCED level 3 (e.g., medicine)	
•	The Third cycle includes doctoral study programmes		
	ISCED 8 level,	three years minimum.	
•	The Third cycle include	from 5 to 7 years when following directly ISCED level 3 (e.g., medicine) es doctoral study programmes	

	Manual search	Experts	
Data (n = 303)	74.6%	25.4%	
First cycle act.	13.5%	3.0%	
Second cycle act.	57.7%	4.3%	
Courses	49.8%	8.3%	
Modules	23.4%	7.9%	
Topics	.3%	9.3%	
Required act.	57.1%	1.3%	
Elective act.	11.2%	5.3%	
Traditionally delivered act.	34.0%	4.0%	
Blended act.	18.8%	2.0%	
ECTS	12 ± 10 (n = 174)	9.4 ± 9.8 (n = 18)	
Hours	39 ± 21 (n = 19)	29 ± 22 (n = 25)	

Table 2: The table represents the data sorted by entry (act. stays for activities).

	First cycle act.	act. Second cycle act.	
Courses	7.3%	43.9%	
Modules	7.9%	15.8%	
Topics	1.3%	1.3%	
Required act.	12.9%	47.9%	
Elective act.	2.6%	9.9%	
Traditionally delivered act.	9.6%	27.1%	
Blended act.	5.3%	15.5%	
ECTS	11 ± 14 (n = 32)	11 ± 9 (n = 157)	
Hours	34 ± 24 (n = 10)	37 ± 21 (n = 20)	

Table 3: The table represents the data sorted by cycle (act. stays for activities).

Country	Manual search	Expert
Spain	47	0
Italy	38	1
Czech Republic	22	0
Netherlands	0	8
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	88	1
Ireland	31	10
Malta	4	0
France	0	33
Germany	0	7
Portugal	0	6
Belgium	0	3
Norway	0	2
Austria	0	1

Table 4. The table indicates the distribution of responders per Nation per data entry.