

# Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna Archivio istituzionale della ricerca

Approaching phantom complex after limb amputation in cats

This is the final peer-reviewed author's accepted manuscript (postprint) of the following publication:

*Published Version:* Menchetti, M., Della Rocca, G., Tartari, I., Gandini, G., Di Salvo, A., Rosati, M. (2022). Approaching phantom complex after limb amputation in cats. JOURNAL OF VETERINARY BEHAVIOR, 50, 23-29 [10.1016/j.jveb.2022.01.002].

Availability: This version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/11585/902518 since: 2022-11-14

Published:

DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2022.01.002

Terms of use:

Some rights reserved. The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. For all terms of use and more information see the publisher's website.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università di Bologna (https://cris.unibo.it/). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

#### 1 Abstract

2 The aims of this study was to describe the presence, clinical manifestations, risk factors, 3 quality of life and measurement of mechanical nociceptive threshold (MNT) of phantom limb 4 complex in a feline population that underwent amputation of a limb. A questionnaire was 5 developed containing 3 sections with a total of 71 closed-end questions. Clinical cases were 6 retrospectively reviewed. The evaluation of MNT was conducted applying an algometer at 7 the level of the stump of the amputated limb and exerting a gradually increasing pressure. 8 Descriptive statistics and frequency distribution analyses were performed on the collected 9 data. Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test were used for assessment of the associations 10 between categorical variables. A total of 27 amputee cats were included in the study. All 11 owners answered the questionnaire, while the mechanical nociceptive threshold assessment 12 was conducted in 44% patients. The most frequent reason for amputation was related to 13 trauma. The presence of pain after limb amputation was commonly described by owners, and 14 the time between diagnosis and amputation was found to be significantly associated with the 15 presence of pain after amputation. The majority of owners described different manifestations 16 of pain or discomfort both before and after amputation, with environmental and physical 17 stress described as related to the onset of pain in some cases. Furthermore, a significant reduction of the nociceptive threshold in the amputated region was highlighted. 18 19 This pilot study introduces previously unreported signs that may be interpreted as expressions 20 of pain in amputee cats. 21 22 23

*Keywords:* Cat; Pain; Phantom Limb Complex; Neuropathic pain; Quality of life
 25

#### 26 Introduction

27

Amputation of a limb is a procedure commonly performed on small animals (Kirpensteijn,
van den Bos, and Endenburg, 1999).

30 In humans, as a consequence of amputation, a syndrome called "phantom limb complex"

31 (PLC) can develop including signs of: (1) stump pain (SP), defined as pain localized in the

32 remaining post-amputation stump due to development of neuromas ; (2) phantom limb

33 sensation (PLS), defined as the perception of any sensation other than pain which originates

34 from the amputated limb; (3) phantom limb pain (PLP), defined as painful sensations

35 perceived in the area of the amputated limb (Hill, 1999; Nikolajsen and Jensen, 2001). These

36 three elements can coexist in the same patient and their differentiation in pets is particularly

37 challenging (Menchetti et al., 2017).

38 PLP occurs in 60-80% of patients in the first two years post-amputation and its onset usually

dates to the first post-amputation week (Nikolajsen and Jensen, 2000; Probstner et al., 2010).

40 Clinically, PLP may be confused with common postsurgical pain (PSP) as they might

41 temporally overlap. However, PSP progressively improves with wound healing, while PLP

42 worsens and evolves into chronic pain with lifelong persistence in 5-10% of cases (Melzack,

43 1971; Nikolajsen and Jensen, 2001).

44 In human medicine, the diagnosis of pain is based on verbal indicators and pain scales.

45 Furthermore, electrodiagnostic tests and quantitative sensory tests can be used to detect,

46 quantify and possibly monitor the presence of allodynia and hyperalgesia both in humans and

47 animals (Rolke, 2006; Dixon et al., 2007; Taylor et al, 2007; Harris et al., 2018; Hunt et al.,

48 2019).

49 In veterinary literature, studies have been carried out to investigate the degree of adaptation

50 to limb amputation, risk factors associated with poor quality of life and owner satisfaction

51 (Withrow and Hirsch, 1979; Kirpensteijn, van den Bos, and Endenburg, 1999; Dickerson et 52 al., 2015; Galindo-Zamora et al., 2016). A recent study described the occurrence of PLC 53 signs in dogs undergoing limb amputation. In particular, the duration of pre- amputation pain 54 and time between diagnosis and amputation were identified as risk factors for a higher 55 frequency of post-amputation pain episodes in amputated dogs (Menchetti et al., 2017). Post-56 amputation limb behavior changes have been described in amputated cats (Forster et al., 57 2010). However, aspects relating to the onset and semiology of these changes have not yet 58 been investigated. Despite a lack of evaluation scales for neuropathic pain in animals, direct 59 measurements for the investigation of thermal and mechanical nociceptive threshold have 60 been published (Dixon et al., 2007; Taylor et al, 2007; Harris et al., 2018; Hunt et al., 2019). 61 Whit specific reference to amputation, the measurement of mechanical nociceptive threshold 62 for the evaluation of post-amputation sensitization following tail docking in cow and swine 63 has been successfully applied (Di Gimignani et al., 2017; Troncoso et al., 2018). The aims of the present study were to document the prevalence of PLC in a population of cats 64 65 with limb amputation, identifying signs and behaviors suggestive of neuropathic pain, evaluate risk factors associated with PLC occurrence and determine the owners' perceptions 66 of the quality of life (QoL) of their 3-legged pets. Secondly, measurement of mechanical 67 nociceptive threshold was implemented in the clinical evaluation of selected patients for 68 69 objective identification of allodynia and/or hyperalgesia. 70

- 71 Materials and Methods
- 72

<sup>73</sup> Study population

Clinical data of cats presented at the Veterinary Teaching Hospital (VTH) of the Department
of Veterinary Medical Sciences of the University of Bologna between January 2007 and
February 2018 were reviewed.

Inclusion criteria comprised cats that had undergone either complete or partial surgical
amputation of 1 limb at least 3-months before the survey, with complete medical records
including signalment (breed, sex, age, body weight) and detailed information about
amputation (cause, affected limb and level at which the amputation occurred, age of the
patient at the time of amputation and time elapsed between diagnosis and amputation). This
post-surgical interval of 3 months was considered an adequate period for a reliable
discrimination between PSP and allodynia and/or hyperalgesia associated with PLC.

84

#### 85 Questionnaire design and description

A trial questionnaire was designed based on a study previously conducted on a canine
population that had undergone the amputation of a limb (Menchetti et al., 2017). WSAVA
guidelines for recognition, assessment and treatment of pain and studies on pain-related
behaviors in cats were consulted for identification of feline-specific behavioral indicators
related to the presence of pain (Waran et al., 2007; Holden et al., 2014; Mathews et al., 2014;
Merola & Mills, 2016).

92 The questionnaire included 3 sections with a total of 71 closed-end questions.

93 The first section consisted of 7 questions retrieving descriptive data about signalment, the 94 patient's environment, reason for amputation, and if the cat was owned at the time of the 95 amputation or was adopted soon after the injury.

The second section consisted of 59 questions intended to collect data regarding the pre- and post -amputation periods, with special focus on pain characters, pain-related behaviors, postsurgical complications, therapies and post-amputation Quality of Life (QoL). Pain was

99 characterized in terms of (1) prevalence, as pain observed by the owner before and after 100 amputation; (2) *onset*, as the time in which the cat started showing pain-related behaviors; (3) 101 *duration*, as time in which the pain-related behaviors persisted; (4) *frequency*, as pain 102 recorded episodes (several times daily, weekly, monthly); and (5) *type*, as pain quality 103 described as persistent, waxing and waning, or sudden and transient, referring to a 7-day pre-104 amputation, a "typical week" and a "typical month" post-amputation (with "typical week" 105 and "typical month" referring to a representative time-frame of the ordinary pet's life during 106 the pre- and post-amputation periods). Pain-related behaviors comprised both specific signs 107 of pain and more general behavioral changes (not necessarily related to pain) such as changes 108 in activity and social interactions, reduced food intake and sleep disturbances. 109 The last section consisted of 5 questions that evaluated the owner's satisfaction regarding the cat's wellbeing and the impact of limb amputation on cat-owner relationship. 110 111 The questionnaire was administered to the owners by phone interview during February 2018. 112 Ethical approval was granted by the University of Bologna ethics committee (ID 664/2016).

- 113 An English translation of the original Italian version is available as supplementary material.
- 114

# 115 Mechanical nociceptive threshold assessment

116 The evaluation of mechanical nociceptive threshold (MNT) was conducted by a veterinary

117 specialist (GDR). After manual investigation of the stump, the MNT was measured with a

118 ProdPro® algometer (Topcat Metrology Ltd).

The measurement was carried out applying the algometer probe at the level of the stump of the amputated limb and exerting a gradually increasing pressure. The algometer was removed as soon as the patient showed signs of discomfort, such as withdrawal of the limb from pressure or attempt to escape, and the maximum pressure applied was recorded. The same procedure was also performed at the same level in the healthy contralateral limb. Three measurements were made alternatively for each limb, and a mean value was then calculated. Measurements were performed on cats that had a minimum post-surgical interval of least 3 months. Evaluation of the MNT was conducted upon owners' written informed consent as required by the University of Bologna ethics committee (ID 664/2016).

128

129 Statistical analysis

130 Data analysis was performed using statistical analysis software (PAST 3.x The past of the 131 future, Hammer and Harper, Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway). 132 The contingency tables and graphs were obtained using an electronic spreadsheet (Microsoft 133 Excel, Microsoft Corporation, Microsoft Redmond campus, Redmond, Washington, United 134 States). The distribution characteristics of the values were checked for each linear parameter 135 by Shapiro-Wilk test and normal probability plotting. Contingency tables were generated for 136 the categorical variables (signalment, the pre- and post-amputation period questions and the 137 owner QoL satisfaction) and were described as percentages of the total respondents to each 138 individual question. The distribution of categorical variables was compared between dogs in 139 the pre- and post-amputation period by the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test depending on 140 whether the value in one or more of the cells of the contingency table was five or less. Data 141 regarding the nociceptive threshold measurements were compared by Student's t test. 142 *p* values were considered significant when < 0.05. 143 144

- 145 **Results**
- 146

147 Descriptive data

- 148 A total of 27 cats that underwent limb amputation were included in the study. All owners
- 149 (27/27) answered the questionnaire, while the MNT assessment was conducted in 12/27
- 150 (44%) patients. At the time of the questionnaire, the majority of cats included in the study
- 151 were still alive (17/27; 63%).
- 152 All cats were European Shorthair (ESH) breed. Seventeen (63%) were males, 13 of which
- 153 (76%) neutered, whereas 10 (37%) were females, 5 of which (50%) spayed. At the time of
- 154 amputation, the median age was 5 years (range 4 months 18 years) and the mean weight was 155  $3.98 \text{ kg} (\pm 0.35).$
- 156 Twenty-two cats (81%) were already owned at the time of the amputation, while the
- remaining 5 (19%) were traumatized cats and adopted shortly after the time of trauma.
- 158 At the time of investigation, most of the cats lived indoors (16/27; 59%), while the remaining
- (11/27; 41%) had access to the garden.
- 160 The majority of cats (16/27; 59%) lived alone and unattended in the house from 4 to 8 hours a
- 161 day, some of them (8/27; 30%) were left companionless for less than 4 hours/day and the
- 162 remaining cats (3/27; 11%) were never alone in the house.
- 163 The main reason for amputation was trauma (17/27; 63%), followed by neoplasia (8/27;
- 164 29%), limb malformation (1/27; 4%) and infection (1/27; 4%).
- 165 Of the 27 cats, 12/27 (45%) underwent amputation of a thoracic limb, while 15/27 (55%)
- 166 underwent amputation of a pelvic limb. In 20/27 (74%) cats, the entire limb was amputated,
- 167 whereas the remaining 7/27 (26%) underwent partial amputation.
- 168

### 169 *Owners' perception of pain prevalence, onset, duration, frequency and type*

- 170 According to the owners' perspective, pain was reported in 12/27 of cats before amputation
- 171 (44%) and in 11/27 of cats after amputation (41%)
- 172 Of cats showing pain before amputation, the majority (8/12; 67%) had a history of trauma.

The majority of owners noticed that cats experienced pain less than one month beforeamputation (7/12; 58%).

175 After the amputation, the majority of owners (9/11; 82%) felt that their cats experienced pain 176 only in the post-surgical recovery period (from 24 hours to 1 week after amputation) and only 177 2/11 (18%) cats had pain protracted for more than one year after surgery. 178 According to our investigation, the time course of pain before surgery was not associated 179 with the development of postsurgical pain (p=0.09; chi-square test, degrees freedom: 1). 180 Although not in the majority of cats, in a large percentage (10/27; 37%) the time elapsed 181 between the aetiological diagnosis and surgery ranged from one month to more than six 182 months. This factor was found to be significantly associated with the presence of pain after 183 amputation (p=0.04; Fisher's exact test). Indeed, 7/11 (64%) of cats with post-surgical pain 184 showed the longest interval (from one to six months or more) between the time of diagnosis 185 and the amputation surgery. 186 Regarding the frequency of pre- and post-amputation pain episodes, no statistically 187 differences were observed (p=1; Fisher's exact test), as cats experienced mostly daily 188 episodes of pain before (11/12; 92%) and after surgery (7/8; 87%). 189 Three of the 11 owners of cats experiencing pain after surgery were not able to answer the 190 question regarding the frequency of pain in the first week post-amputation, as those cats had 191 been hospitalized and this data was not available from medical charts. 192 Regarding the type of pain, during a "typical week" it was mostly described as "persistent" 193 (8/11; 73%). This data was not statistically different from a "typical month" after the 194 amputation (2/4; 50%) (p=0.06; chi-square test, degrees freedom: 1).

195

#### 196 Pain related manifestations and behavioral changes

With respect to pain behaviors reported by the WSAVA guidelines, the majority of owners
described several indicators of pain or discomfort both before (17/27; 63%) and after (23/27;
85%) amputation (Supplementary Table 1). Pain behaviors showed by cats in the time frame
comprised between 1 month and more than 1 year after amputation are showed in Table 1.
This time frame was defined by the authors in order to avoid the post-surgical pain.

| Possible manifestations of pain               | number/total<br>(percentage) |
|---|------------------------------|
| Muscular twitching in the stump region        | 11/13 (85%)                  |
| Licking the stump                             | 5/13 (38%)                   |
| Looking at the stump                          | 5/13 (38%)                   |
| Restlessness                                  | 4/13 (31%)                   |
| Preferring to lie on a chilly floor           | 3/13 (23%)                   |
| Looking anxious                               | 2/13 (15%)                   |
| Attitude of isolation                         | 2/13 (15%)                   |
| Continuous change of position to find comfort | 2/13 (15%)                   |
| Reluctance to move                            | 1/13 (8%)                    |
| Biting and/or scratching the affected limb    | 1/13 (8%)                    |
| Vocalization                                  | 1/13 (8%)                    |
| Low ears                                      | 1/13 (8%)                    |
| Contracted cheecks                            | 1/13 (8%)                    |
| Aggression toward animals                     | 1/13 (8%)                    |
| Aggression toward humans                      | 1/13 (8%)                    |

203

205

Table 1: pain behaviors described by the owners in the timeframe comprised between 1

month and more than 1 year after amputation.

206

No statistically significant relation was found among the above pain behaviors after the amputation and their time frame of onset (p= 0.5; chi-square test, degrees freedom: 2) or duration (p= 0.1; Fisher's exact test). Despite it was not statistically significant (p= 0.05; chisquare test, degrees freedom: 1) a tendency toward a relation between the presence of pain before the amputation and the development of pain manifestations after amputation was observed.

213 Behavioral changes in terms of activity and withdrawal from interactions with humans and

animals were described both before and after surgery (Table 2).

215

| Changes in behavior                                  | Pre-<br>amputation<br>number/total<br>(percentage) | Post-<br>amputation<br>number/total<br>(percentage) |
|--|--|---|
| Reduction of activity level                          | 11/27; (41%)                                       | 3/27 (11%)  |
| Appetite loss  | 5/27 (19%)   | 1/27 (4%)   |
| Tendency to prevent contacts with humans and animals | 3/27 (11%)   | 3/27 (11%)  |
| Reduced sleep  | 3/27 (11%)   | 0/27 (0%)   |
| Negative emotional state                             | /  | 3/27 (11%)  |
| Reduced self-grooming                                | /  | 2/27 (7%)   |

216

217Table 2: changes in behaviour before and after the amputation. /= the question was not218included.

219

| 220 | The presenc | e of pain in | the pre-an | putation | period was | significantl | y related wi | th the presence |
|-----|-------------|--------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
|-----|-------------|--------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|

of behavioral changes (p=0.01; chi-square test, degrees freedom: 1) and manifestations of

pain or discomfort (p=0.01; chi-square test, degrees freedom: 1) showed by cats before

| 223 | surgery. Indeed, 8/11 (73%) cats with changes in behavior and 11/17 (65%) cats showing       |
|-----|--|
| 224 | signs of pain or discomfort in the pre-amputation period were also considered painful before |
| 225 | the amputation.  |
| 226 | Accessory symptom that could possibly account for pain, were described by 9/27 owners        |

. . . .

- 227 (33%) in the pre-amputation period and 5/27 owners (19%) in the post-amputation period.
- 228 Environmental factors and/or physical stress, as judged by the owner, were reported in

229 relation to the onset of pain in 4/27 cats (15%).

1 0/11 (500)

- 230
- 231 Post-surgical complications
- 232 Complications after surgery occurred in 3/27 (11%) cats, and comprised suture failure (3/3)
- 233 and wound infection (1/3). The presence of complications was not associated to the presence

234 of post-surgical pain (p=0.3; chi-square test, degrees freedom: 1).

235

#### 236 **Therapies**

- 237 Medical treatment before amputation was administered to 13/27 (48%) patients
- 238 (Supplementary Table 2).
- 239 In 8/13 (62%) cats, these treatments were administered for a period between 24 hours to 7
- 240 days. The administration of therapies before amputation was not statistically associated with
- 241 the occurrence of pain during the post-amputation period (p=0.07; chi-square test, degrees
- 242 freedom: 1).
- 243 After amputation, treatments were administered to 23/27 cats (85%) (Supplementary Table
- 244 2).
- 245 When specifically asked about drugs administered because of pain after amputation, the most
- 246 frequent reported medications were anti-inflammatory drugs (14/27) followed by pain killers
- 247 (10/27), while specific treatments for neuropathic pain, such as gabapentin, were

1 4 4 /4 5 / 6 5 6 4 4

administered in only one cat.

249

#### 250 **Owners' Quality of life perception**

251

The degree of adaptation after amputation was described from "good" to "excellent" in 26/27
(96%) cats, and 21/27 (78%) animals were able to ambulate within the first week after
amputation.

Without considering the first post-operative week, all owners described their cat's quality oflife after amputation from "good" to "excellent".

257

# 258 *Owners' satisfaction and perspective*

259 After the amputation, the majority of owners (14/22; 64%) did not noted any modification in 260 the quality of their relationship with their pets despite the pre-amputation period (for this question only owned cats before amputation were surveyed) and for 14/27 owners (52%) the 261 262 overall response of the family to the amputation was considered to be "very positive". 263 However, during the first month after amputation, 5/27 (19%) owners felt their cat limited 264 their independence and 1/27 (4%) that his pet caused conflicts in his work or daily activities. Nevertheless, the majority of owners (26/27; 96%) said they did not regret the decision to 265 266 have their pet amputated and all of them felt that they had been well informed by their 267 veterinarian during the decision-making process.

268

### 269 Mechanical nociceptive threshold assessment

The stump palpation and the mechanical nociceptive threshold assessment made by the use of a ProdPro® algometer was performed on 12/27 cats included in the study. Of these, one cat was excluded from the measurements because of restlessness and aggressiveness, whichcould have led to a biased assessment.

The measurements of the nociceptive threshold, performed in 11/27 cats (41%), revealed a mean MNT of the amputated region of  $6.3 \pm 3.7$  newtons, which was significantly lower than that of the contralateral healthy limb (10.05 ± 3.5 newtons) (*p*= 0.02; Student's t test).

277

278

279 Discussion

The present investigation represents a preliminary step approaching PLC in cats afteramputation of a limb.

282 In human literature, the onset of chronic post-surgical pain is found in up to 60-80% of 283 patients during the first two years after the amputation of a limb (Nikolajsen and Jensen, 284 2000; Probstner et al., 2010). According to our survey, 41% of owners similarly felt that their cats were in pain in the post-amputation period. In veterinary medicine, data from other 285 286 studies are in line with this result (Forster et al., 2010). According to Foster et al. (2010), 35% 287 of owners perceived their cat felt pain after discharge following limb amputation. In a 288 previous study conducted on a canine population after limb amputation, the presence of pain 289 in the post-amputation period was described by 85% of owners (Menchetti et al., 2017). 290 In human medicine, the onset of pain at the stump level is mostly found during the first week 291 after amputation and usually decreases with the healing of the surgical wound (Nikolajsen 292 and Jensen, 2001). However, in 5-10% of patients pain may persist over time and even 293 worsen, leading to neuropathic pain development (Nikolajsen and Jensen, 2001). 294 Despite the majority of owners in our study described the presence of pain during the first 295 week after surgery, a small number reported its persistence for more than one year. This 296 observation, while requiring a greater number of clinical cases to draw conclusions on, may

297 suggest that even in cats the onset of pain can occur months after surgery. In these subjects, 298 pain goes probably ahead its biological purpose (i.e. acute, inflammatory pain), and 299 neurological changes can occur leading to maladaptive (chronic, neuropathic) pain. As a 300 result, post-operative analgesic treatment might be extended for longer than strictly necessary 301 for wound healing, considering the long-term use of drugs aimed to prevent the occurrence of 302 neuropathic pain, and periodic assessment should cover a longer period than the usual 3-4 303 weeks. Similar findings were documented in a previously investigated canine population 304 (Menchetti et al., 2017) in which 79% of patients presented pain only in the first 4 weeks 305 following the surgery, while in 14% the pain occurred between one month and six months 306 after amputation.

307 Clinical assessment for allodynia and hyperalgesia at the stump level can be reliably 308 implemented in postoperative care via specific instrumental measurements (Fischer, 1998; 309 Hui et al., 2012). In the present study, the evaluation of the MNT showed a significant 310 reduction of the nociceptive threshold in the amputated region compared to the healthy 311 contralateral dermatomes. A similarly finding was obtained by Troncoso et al. (2018) 312 following the MNT evaluation at the stump level of docked tail with respect to intact tail in 313 cows. This result may be due to the establishment of synaptic changes and re-wiring of the 314 peripheral and central nervous system (neuroplasticity) following amputation (Flor, 2002; 315 Luo and Anderson, 2016; Collins et al., 2018). In veterinary medicine, there are currently no 316 studies that have objectively evaluated this event in companion animals that have undergone 317 limb amputation. Further studies are required for the integration of these measurements as 318 part of postoperative clinical care.

319 The role of the duration of pre-amputation pain in the development of PLC is still highly

debated. Specifically, a study conducted by Jensen et al. (1985) in human amputees

321 highlighted how the presence of pain for more than a month in the pre-amputation period can

322 be considered a risk factor for the development of PLC. However, this relationship was not 323 confirmed in other studies (Nikolajsen<sup>a</sup> et al., 1997; Hanley et al., 2007). 324 In veterinary medicine, only one study investigated the effect of duration of pain before 325 surgery and PLC in a canine population, showing that the duration of pain before amputation 326 was related with high frequency (daily) of pain episodes after surgery, but was not related 327 with the presence of pain after surgery per sé (Menchetti et al., 2017). Results of the present 328 feline investigation are not in line with the canine data, as in cats there was not a relationship 329 between duration of pain before- and presence of pain after-amputation. In contrast with the 330 results obtained in the canine population (Menchetti et al., 2017), the surveyed feline 331 population did not show any difference in frequency of pain episodes, as they were mostly 332 daily both before and after the amputation. 333 In the present study, the time elapsed between diagnosis and amputation was associated with 334 the presence of pain in the post-amputation period. Specifically, the longer the time between 335 diagnosis and amputation, the greater the probability that the cat developed pain in the post-

amputation period. This correlation was not observed in a previous study on a canine

337 population (Menchetti et al., 2017).

338 Numerous studies have been conducted on human patients to evaluate the effects of 339 pharmacological treatments on the development of pain following surgery. Many of them 340 have shown that pain control in the pre-amputation period does not necessarily prevent the development of pain in the post-amputation phase (Nikolajsen<sup>b</sup> et al., 1997; Dahm et al., 341 342 1998; Lambert et al., 2001). In line with this literature, in the present study there was no 343 significant relationship between the administration of pain control drugs in the pre-344 amputation phase and the presence of pain in the post-amputation period. This data could 345 possibly suggest that there is a lack of correct management of the pain condition before the 346 surgery. However, this data remain to prove and there is need of more extensive large studies. 347 In our survey, owners reported behavioral modifications and changes in daily habits both

348 before and after amputation. Interestingly, similar changes have been previously described in

349 canine amputation patients, with modifications including aggression and anxiety

350 (Kirpensteijn et al., 1999; Menchetti et al., 2017). However, it is not possible to determine the

reason for these changes, as they could be related to the presence of pain, the change of

352 functional physical status due to a three legs condition or could not be related to the

amputation at all (Kirpensteijn et al., 1999; Menchetti et al., 2017).

In humans, the amputation of a limb has a negative impact on the everyday life of patients,

and the quality of life of amputated patients is lower when compared with the rest of the

356 general population (Pell et al., 1993; Sinha et al., 2011). In the present study, the quality of

357 life of amputee cats was perceived as good or excellent by the totality of owners. Similar data

358 was found in the study of a canine amputated population, in which 94% of owners defined

the quality of life of their pet as good and excellent following surgical intervention

360 (Menchetti et al., 2017). Differences emerged between human experience and the first

361 findings in veterinary medicine are perhaps due to the fact that animals have a less or no

362 negative perception of physical disability.

Following surgery, the majority of cats were given anti-inflammatories, analgesic or a combination of them as analgesic therapy. However, 27% patients received no medications for pain control. This data still highlights the lack of awareness of veterinarians and owners regarding the need for pain relief after surgery. This may be due, in addition to the animal's inability to verbalize, to the lack of knowledge and perception of pain related behaviors in this species (Waran et al., 2007).

In veterinary medicine, the decision to have a pet amputated is often very difficult for the
owner, due to concerns that have mainly emotional and aesthetic implications (Withrow and
Hirsch, 1979; Kirpensteijn, van den Bos and Endenburg, 1999).

372 Previous studies investigated the satisfaction of the owners following the amputation,

373 highlighting that most of the owners did not regret their decision about amputation (Withrow

and Hirsch, 1979; Kirpensteijn, van den Bos and Endenburg, 1999; Forster et al., 2010;

375 Dickerson et al., 2015; Galindo-Zamora et al., 2016; Menchetti et al., 2017). In line with what 376 has been described before, the results of this study show that the majority of the cats' owners 377 did not regret this decision. These data further represent valuable references suggesting and 378 supporting the decision-making process towards amputation, often emotionally burdening for 379 the owners.

380 This study is the first attempt to identify and analyze the presence of pain and other clinical

381 signs related to PLC in amputated cats. Due to its nature, it presents with some limitations.

382 The restricted number of cases included prevents from further and wider generalization about

383 the clinical aspects described herein, but suggests at least a heightened attention for

384 behavioral changes in patients undergone amputation. Other limitations rely on the absence

385 of validated and objective scales for the assessment of pain in amputee dogs and cats.

386 Furthermore, the recognition of pain, especially in cats, is difficult due to the elusive nature

387 of its manifestation in the feline species and the lack of specific signs. Besides, the owner's

awareness of pain is subjective and may have partly influenced the results of the

389 questionnaire.

A larger study population, the development of validated scales and the serial execution of
instrumental measurements for the evaluation of the nociceptive threshold will allow in the
future to obtain more detailed information regarding the presence of pain in amputee cats.

393

# 394 Conclusions

This study highlighted the presence of clinical signs and behavioral manifestations which canbe interpreted as expression of pain in amputated cats.

397 Furthermore, the presence of behavioral manifestations and alterations in daily habits in the 398 pre-amputation period resulted to be related to the presence of pain in this period, while the 399 time elapsed between diagnosis and amputation was significantly correlated to the 400 development of pain in the post-amputation period. Finally, the measurement of the mechanical nociceptive threshold at the level of the amputated region highlighted a mean 401 402 nociceptive threshold in the affected area significantly lower than the healthy contralateral 403 dermatome, confirming a development of pathologic pain perception over time probably due 404 to a reorganization of the peripheral/central sensory pathways.

- 405
- 406

# 407 References

- 408 Collins, K. L., Russel, H. G., Schumacher, P. J., Robinson-Freeman, K. E., O'Conor, E. C.,
- 409 Gibney, K. D., Yambem, O., Dykes, R. W., Waters, R. S., Tsao, J. W., 2018. A review of
- 410 current theories and treatments for phantom limb pain. J. Clin. Invest. 128, 2168-2176.
- 411 Dahm, P. O., Nitescu, P. V., Appelgren, L. K., Curelaru, I. D., 1998. Long-term intrathecal
- 412 infusion of opioid and/or bupivacaine in the prophylaxis and treatment of phantom limb pain.
- 413 Neuromodulation 1, 111- 128.
- 414 Della Rocca, G., Di Salvo, A., Vuerich, M., Mandara, M. T., 2011. Il dolore neuropatico
- 415 negli animali. Veterinaria 25, 7-22.
- 416 Dickerson, V.M., Coleman, K.D., Ogawa, M., Saba, C.F., Cornell, K.K., Radlinsky, M.G.,
- 417 Schmiedt, C.W., 2015. Outcomes of dogs undergoing limb amputation, owner satisfaction
- 418 with limb amputation procedures, and owner perceptions regarding post- surgical adaptation:
- 419 64 cases (2005-2012). J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 247, 786-792.

- 420 Di Giminiani, P., Edwards, S.A., Malcolm, E.M., Leach, M.C., Herskin, M.S., Sandercock,
- 421 D.A., 2017. Characterization of short- and long-term mechanical sensitisation following
- 422 surgical tail amputation in pigs. Sci. Rep. 7, 4827.
- 423
- 424 Dixon, M.J., Taylor, P.M., Steagall, P.V.M., Brondani, J.T., Luna, S.P.L., 2007.
- 425 Development of a pressure nociceptive threshold testing device for evaluation of analgesics
  426 in cats. Res. Vet. Sci. 82, 85–92.
- 427
- Flor, H., 2002. Phantom-limb pain: characteristics, causes and treatment. Lancet Neurol 1,
  182-189.
- 430 Fischer, A.A., 1998. Algometry in diagnosis of musculoskeletal pain and evaluation of
- 431 treatment outcome: an update. J. Musculoskelet. Pain 6, 5-32.
- 432 Forster, L. M., Wathes, C. M., Bessant, C., Corr, S. A., 2010. Owners' observations of
- domestic cats after limb amputation. Vet. Rec. 167, 734-739.
- 434 Galindo-Zamora, V., von Babo, V., Eberle, N., Betz, D., Nolte, L., Wefstaedt, P., 2016.
- 435 Kinetic, kinematic, magnetic resonance and owner evaluation of dogs before and after the
- 436 amputation of a hind limb. BMC Vet. Res. 12, 20.
- Hanley, M.A., Jensen, M.P., Smith, D.G., Ehde, D.M., Edwards, W.T., Robinson, L.R., 2007.
  Preamputation pain and acute pain predict chronic pain after lower extremity amputation. J.
  Pain 8, 102-109.
- 440 Harris, L.K., Whay, H.R., Murrell, J.C., 2018. An investigation of mechanical nociceptive
- thresholds in dogs with hind limb joint pain compared to healthy control dogs. Vet J 234, 85-
- 442 90.

- 443 Hill, A., 1999. Phantom limb pain: a review of the literature on attributes and potential
- 444 mechanisms. J. Pain. Symptom Manage 17, 125-142.
- Holden, E., Calvo, G., Collins, M., Bell, A., Reid, J., Scott, E. M., Nolan, A. M., 2014.
- 446 Evaluation of facial expression in acute pain in cats. J. Small Anim. Pract. 55, 615-621.
- Hui, B., Hughes, D., Wu, H., Bhatti, O., Zhao, S., Johnson, M., 2012. An MR safe algometer
  to study phantom and residual limb pain. Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2012, 146149.
- 450 Hunt, J., Knazovicky, D., Lascelles, B.D.X., Murrell, J., 2019. Quantitative sensory testing in
- 451 dogs with painful disease: A window to pain mechanisms?. Vet. J. 243, 33–41
- 452 Kirpensteijn, J., van den Bos, R., Endenburg, N., 1999. Adaptation of dogs to the amputation
  453 of a limb and their owners' satisfaction with the procedure. Vet. Rec. 144, 115-118.
- 454 Lambert, A. W., Dashfield, A. K., Cosgrove, C., Wilkins, D. C., Walker, A. J., Ashley, S.,
- 455 2001. Randomized prospective study comparing preoperative epidural and intraoperative
- 456 perineural analgesia for the prevention of postoperative stump and phantom limb pain
- 457 following major amputation. Re. Anesth. Pain Med. 26, 316-321.
- Luo, Y., Anderson, T. A., 2016. Phantom limb pain: a review. Int. Anesthesiol. Clin. 54, 121-139.
- 460 Melzack, R., 1971. Phantom limb pain: implications for treatment of pathologic pain.
- 461 Anesthesiology 35, 409-419.
- 462 Mathews, K., Kronen, P.W., Lascelles, D., Nolan, A., Robertson, S., Steagall, P.V.M.,
- 463 Wright, B., Yamashita, K., 2014. Guidelines for recognition, assessment and treatment of

464 pain. J. Small Anim. Pract. 55, E10-E68

465

- 466 Menchetti, M., Gandini, G., Gallucci, A., Della Rocca, G., Matiasek, L., Matiasek, K.,
- 467 Gentilini, F., Rosati, M., 2017. Approaching phantom complex after limb amputation in the
- 468 canine species. J. Vet. Behav. 22, 24-28.
- Merola, I., Mills, D. S., 2016. Behavioural signs of pain in cats: an expert consensus. PloS
  one 11, e0150040.
- 471 Nikolajsen, L., Jensen, T. S., 2000. Phantom limb pain. Curr. Rev. Pain. 4, 166-170.
- 472 Nikolajsen, L., Jensen, T.S., 2001. Phantom limb pain. Br. J. Anaesth. 87, 107-116.
- Nikolajsen<sup>a</sup>, L., Ilkjaer, S., Kroner, K., Christensen, J.H., Jensen, T.S., 1997. The influence of
  preamputation pain on postamputation stump and phantom pain. Pain 72, 393-405.
- 475 Nikolajsen<sup>b</sup>, L., Ilkjaer, S., Christensen, J. H., Krøner, K., Jensen, T. S., 1997. Randomised
- trial of epidural bupivacaine and morphine in prevention of stump and phantom pain in
- 477 lower-limb amputation. Lancet 350, 1353-1357.
- 478 Pell, J. P., Donnan, P. T., Fowkes, F. G. R., Ruckley, C. V., 1993. Quality of life following
- 479 lower limb amputation for peripheral arterial disease. Eur. J. Vasc. Surg. 7, 448-451.
- 480 Probstner, D., Thuler, L.C., Ishikawa, N.M., Alvarenga, R.M., 2010. Phantom limb
- 481 phenomena in cancer amputees. Pain Pract. 10, 249-256.
- 482 Rolke, R., Magerl, W., Campbell, K. A., Schalber, C., Caspari, S., Birklein, F., Treede, R. D.,
- 483 2006. Quantitative sensory testing: a comprehensive protocol for clinical trials. Eur. J. Pain484 10, 77-88.

- 485 Sinha, R., van den Heuvel, W. J., Arokiasamy, P., 2011. Factors affecting quality of life in
- 486 lower limb amputees. Prosthet. Orthot. Int. 35, 90-96.
- 487 Taylor, P.M., Robertson, S.A., Dixon, M., 2007. Evaluation of the use of thermal thresholds
- to investigate NSAID analgesia in a model of inflammatory pain in cats. J. Feline Med. Surg.
- 489 9, 313-318.
- 490 Troncoso, R.J., Herzberg, D.E., Meneses, C.S., Müller, H.Y., Werner, M.P., Bustamante, H.,
- 491 2018. Mechanical/thermal sensitivity and superficial temperature in the stump of long-term
- 492 tail-docked dairy cows. PeerJ. 6, e5213.
- 493 Waran, N., Best, L., Williams, V., Salinsky, J., Dale, A., Clarke, N., 2007. A preliminary
- 494 study of behaviour-based indicators of pain in cats. Anim. Welfare 16, 105-108.
- 495 Withrow, S.J., Hirsch, V.M., 1979. Owner response to amputation of a pet's leg. Vet. Med.
- 496 Small. Anim. Clin. 74, 332-334.
- 497

498

#### 499 Supplementary Tables

#### 500

|                        | Yes         | No         |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Pain before amputation | 17/27 (63%) | 6/27 (37%) |
| Pain after amputation  | 23/27 (85%) | 4/27 (15%) |

Supplementary Table 1: pain behaviors reported by the WSAVA guidelines, the

majority of owners described several indicators of pain or discomfort both before and

after amputation.

501

506

508

| Muscular twitching in the stump region        | 11/13 (85%) |
|---|-------------|
| Licking the stump                             | 5/13 (38%)  |
| Looking at the stump                          | 5/13 (38%)  |
| Restlessness                                  | 4/13 (31%)  |
| Preferring to lie on a chilly floor           | 3/13 (23%)  |
| Looking anxious                               | 2/13 (15%)  |
| Attitude of isolation                         | 2/13 (15%)  |
| Continuous change of position to find comfort | 2/13 (15%)  |
| Reluctance to move                            | 1/13 (8%)   |
| Biting and/or scratching the affected limb    | 1/13 (8%)   |
| Vocalization                                  | 1/13 (8%)   |
| Low ears                                      | 1/13 (8%)   |
| Contracted cheecks                            | 1/13 (8%)   |
| Aggression toward animals                     | 1/13 (8%)   |
| Aggression toward humans                      | 1/13 (8%)   |

511 Supplementary table 2: pain behaviors described by the owners in the time frame 512 comprised between 1 month and more than 1 year after amputation.