



A direct proof of the Sharp Gårding inequality for symbols with limited smoothness

Massimo Cicognani¹

Received: 4 November 2022 / Revised: 4 November 2022 / Accepted: 7 November 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

We give a proof of the (possibly optimal) Sharp Gårding inequality for system operators with symbol of limited smoothness directly from the original symmetrization arguments by Friedrichs and Kumano-Go. The fact that only a few derivatives of the regularized symbol are really important was already there.

Keywords Sharp Gårding · Limited smoothness

1 Introduction and main results

The Sharp Gårding inequality is a powerful tool in the study of systems of PDE. Let $P = p(x, D_x) = (P_{jk})$ be an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of operators $P_{jk} = p_{jk}(x, D_x)$ with matrix symbol $p(x, \xi) = (p_{jk}(x, \xi)) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$, $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, that is satisfying

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}, \quad \langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Assume that the Hermitian part $p' = (p + p^*)/2$ of $p(x, \xi)$ is positive semidefinite. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\Re(Pu, u) \geq -C \|u\|_{H^{(m-\mu)/2}}^2, \quad \mu = \rho - \delta, \quad (1.2)$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{S}$. In particular, for $p(x, \xi) \in S_{1,0}^m$ we have

$$\Re(Pu, u) \geq -C \|u\|_{H^{(m-1)/2}}^2. \quad (1.3)$$

✉ Massimo Cicognani
massimo.cicognani@unibo.it

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna, Piazza di Porta San Donato, 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy

Hörmander [4] proved inequality (1.3) for scalar operators and Lax-Nirenberg [7] extended this result to systems. Friedrichs [3], Kumano-Go [5] and others improved it and simplified the proof.

For scalar operators, there is the great strengthening $\mu = 2(\rho - \delta)$ in (1.2) due to Fefferman and Phong [2] but for matrix operators with smooth symbol the bound for μ remains $\mu = \rho - \delta$.

In many applications operators with symbol of limited smoothness are involved. Let us consider $p(x, \xi)$ in the class $C^s S_{1,0}^m$ of symbols with C^s regularity in the space variable x defined by

$$\|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p(x, \xi)\|_{C^s} \leq C_{\alpha} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}. \quad (1.4)$$

For any fixed $\delta \in]0, 1[$ one can regularize the symbol obtaining a splitting

$$p(x, \xi) = p^{\sharp}(x, \xi) + p^b(x, \xi), \quad p^{\sharp}(x, \xi) \in S_{1,\delta}^m, \quad p^b(x, \xi) \in C^s S_{1,\delta}^{m-s\delta}, \quad (1.5)$$

e.g. Taylor [9]. If p' is positive semidefinite, then the Hermitian part of $p^{\sharp}(x, \xi)$ is positive semidefinite as well. Applying (1.2) to $P^{\sharp}(x, D_x)$ and using the boundedness

$$P^b(x, D_x) : H^m \rightarrow H^{m-s\delta},$$

the sharp Gårding inequality (1.2) for $P(x, D_x)$ holds true with a order

$$\mu \leq 1 - \delta, \quad \mu \leq s\delta.$$

Negotiating on δ as done in [9], one obtains (1.2) for $p(x, \xi) \in C^s S_{1,0}^m$ with

$$\mu = \frac{s}{s+1}. \quad (1.6)$$

Taylor's bound (1.6) gives $\mu \rightarrow 1$ for $s \rightarrow \infty$ but it is not optimal. By means of the paradifferential calculus, Bony [1] proved that the best possible bound $\mu = 1$ is achieved already for $s = 2$. For $0 < s < 2$ Bony obtained the bound $\mu = s/2$ which is better than Taylor's one for $1 < s < 2$ but it is worse for $0 < s < 1$.

Conjugating the operator with the FBI transform, Tataru [8] proved a generalization of the Sharp Gårding inequality for regular symbols from which he obtained also inequality (1.2) for symbols $p(x, \xi) \in C^s S_{1,0}^m$ with

$$\mu = \mu^*(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s \geq 2, \\ 2s/(s+2), & 0 < s < 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

We believe this one the optimal estimate for C^s symbols, agreeing with Tataru.

Our aim is to show that a generalization of the Sharp Gårding inequality for regular symbols, sufficient to get $\mu = \mu^*(s)$ in the case of C^s limited smoothness, can be proved directly from Friedrichs symmetrization, that is going back to the original proofs of (1.2) in [3, 5, 6].

As in Tataru's result, what is really important is the order of $\partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)$ with $|\beta| = 2$, let us denote $m + m_2$ this order. From $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ clearly we have $m_2 \leq 2\delta$. In case of equality one can not obtain better than $\mu = \rho - \delta$ in (1.2) but we can improve this bound in the case $m_2 < 2\delta$. As we will see later on, this is exactly what happens for $p^\sharp(x, \xi) \in S_{1, \delta}^m$ in the splitting (1.5) of $p(x, \xi) \in C^s S_{1, 0}^m$.

For sake of simplicity, from now on we take $\rho = 1$ which is the case of our interest. Here we prove the following generalization of inequality (1.2) for regular symbols.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $P = p(x, D_x) = (P_{jk})$ be an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of operators $P_{jk} = p_{jk}(x, D_x)$ with matrix symbol $p(x, \xi) = (p_{jk}(x, \xi)) \in S_{1, \delta}^m$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$, and such that*

$$\partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi) \in S_{1, \delta}^{m+m_1}, \quad |\beta| = 1; \quad \partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi) \in S_{1, \delta}^{m+m_2}, \quad |\beta| = 2. \quad (1.8)$$

Assume that the Hermitian part $p' = (p + p^)/2$ of $p(x, \xi)$ is positive semidefinite.*

Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\Re(Pu, u) \geq -C \|u\|_{(m-\mu^\sharp)/2}^2 \quad (1.9)$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{S}$, with

$$\mu^\sharp = \begin{cases} \min\{1 - m_1, 1 - m_2/2\}, & 2\delta - 1 \leq m_2/2, \\ \min\{1 - m_1, 2(1 - \delta)\}, & 2\delta - 1 > m_2/2. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

For the largest possible $m_2 = 2\delta$ of course we have $2\delta - 1 < m_2/2$ hence the general bound $\mu^\sharp = 1 - \delta$. The same we have with $m_1 = \delta$ and any $m_2 \leq 2\delta$.

With $m_2 < 2\delta$ and $m_1 < \delta$ there is a gain. For instance, for $m_1 = m_2 = 0$ we have $\mu^\sharp = 1$ for $0 \leq \delta \leq 1/2$ and $\mu^\sharp = 2(1 - \delta)$ for $1/2 < \delta < 1$. Spending such a gain we can prove the result for symbols of limited smoothness.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $P = p(x, D_x) = (P_{jk})$ be an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix of operators with symbol $p(x, \xi) = (p_{jk}(x, \xi)) \in C^s S_{1, 0}^m$. Assume that the Hermitian part $p' = (p + p^*)/2$ of $p(x, \xi)$ is positive semidefinite.*

Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\Re(Pu, u) \geq -C \|u\|_{(m-\mu^*(s))/2}^2 \quad (1.11)$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{S}$, with

$$\mu^*(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s \geq 2, \\ 2s/(s+2), & 0 < s < 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We follow the proof of Friedrichs [3] and Kumano-go [5, 6].

Let $p(x, \xi) \in S_{1,\delta}^m$ and for $\delta' \geq 2\delta - 1$, $\tau = (1 + \delta')/2$ ($\geq \delta$) let us consider

$$p_0(x, \xi) = \int p(x, \xi + \sigma \langle \xi \rangle^\tau) q(\sigma)^2 d\sigma \quad (2.1)$$

where $q(\sigma) \geq 0$ is a smooth function of $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with support for $|\sigma| < 1$, $q(\sigma) = q(-\sigma)$, $\int q(\sigma)^2 d\sigma = 1$.

In the original proof $\tau = (1 + \delta)/2$ that is $\delta' = \delta$ from the beginning. We take some advantage by fixing $\delta' \in [2\delta - 1, 1[$ related to m_2 later on.

Performing a change of variable in the integral (2.1) we have

$$p_0(x, \xi) = \int p(x, \zeta) F(\xi, \zeta)^2 d\zeta \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$F(\xi, \zeta) = q(\langle \zeta - \xi \rangle \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau}) \langle \xi \rangle^{-\tau n/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

To obtain a symmetric operator, we introduce the double symbol $p_F(\xi, x', \xi')$, such that $p_F(\xi, x, \xi) = p_0(x, \xi)$, defined by

$$p_F(\xi, x', \xi') = \int F(\xi, \zeta) p(x', \zeta) F(\xi', \zeta) d\zeta. \quad (2.4)$$

We denote again $p_F(x, \xi)$ the simplified symbol of the operator $P_F(x, D_x)$.

If the matrix is $p(x, \xi)$ is positive semidefinite, then P_F is a positive operator:

$$(P_F u, u) \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{S},$$

see Theorem 4.3 in [6].

Taking $\tau = (1 + \delta')/2 > \delta$ (this is the case with the original choice $\delta' = \delta$ of [6]), from the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [6] we have that the simplified symbol $p_F(x, \xi)$ of the operator P_F belongs to the class $S_{1,\delta}^m$ and has an asymptotic expansion

$$p_F(x, \xi) \sim p(x, \xi) + \sum_{|\beta|=1} \psi_\beta(\xi) p_{(\beta)}(x, \xi) + \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|\geq 2} \psi_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi),$$

$$\psi_\beta \in S^{-1}, \quad \psi_{\alpha,\beta} \in S^{\tau(|\alpha|-|\beta|)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Looking at the orders of ψ_β and $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}$ and at the orders of $\partial_x^\beta p(x, \xi)$ for $|\beta| \leq 2$ in (1.8), from the above expansion we get

$$p(x, \xi) = p_F(x, \xi) + p_1(x, \xi) + p_2(x, \xi),$$

$$p_1(x, \xi) \in S_{1,\delta}^{m-(1-m_1)}, \quad p_2(x, \xi) \in S_{1,\delta}^{m-\mu_2},$$

$$\mu_2 = \mu_2(\delta') = \min\{1 - \delta', 1 + \delta' - m_2\}. \quad (2.6)$$

In the limit case $\tau = (1 + \delta')/2 = \delta$ the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [6] still gives (2.6) with the difference $p_2(x, \xi) \in S_{\delta, \delta}^{m-\mu_2}$ instead of $S_{1, \delta}^{m-\mu_2}$ and what we loose in this case is the complete asymptotic expansion (2.5) which is not essential for our aims.

The positivity of the operator P_F and the orders of P_1, P_2 in the splitting (2.6) yield inequality (1.2) for $P = P_F + P_1 + P_2$ with

$$\mu \leq \min\{1 - m_1, \mu_2\}.$$

The order of P_1 gives the bound $\mu^\sharp \leq 1 - m_1$ for μ^\sharp in (1.10). Then, we have to maximize $\mu_2 = \mu_2(\delta')$ in (2.6) for $2\delta - 1 \leq \delta' < 1$ in order to get the best possible second bound. Since

$$\max_{2\delta-1 \leq \delta' < 1} \mu_2(\delta') = \begin{cases} 1 - m_2/2, & 2\delta - 1 \leq m_2/2, \\ 2 - 2\delta, & 2\delta - 1 > m_2/2, \end{cases}$$

we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us show how Theorem 1.1 implies Theorem 1.2. Coming back to the splitting (1.5) of $p(x, \xi) \in C^s S_{1,0}^m$, now we have to negotiate between $\mu^\sharp = \mu^\sharp(\delta, m_1, m_2)$ of Theorem 1.1 for p^\sharp and $s\delta$. We obtain the optimal bound $\mu = \mu^*(s)$ for

$$\mu^\sharp = s\delta.$$

We use the more precise estimates for the regularized part p^\sharp

$$\partial_x^\beta p^\sharp \in S_{1,\delta}^m, |\beta| \leq s; \quad \partial_x^\beta p^\sharp \in S_{1,\delta}^{m+\delta(|\beta|-s)}, |\beta| > s, \quad (3.1)$$

given by Proposition 1.3.D in [9]. This means, with our notation,

$$m_1 = m_1(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s \geq 1, \\ \delta(1 - s), & 0 < s < 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$m_2 = m_2(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s \geq 2, \\ \delta(2 - s), & 0 < s < 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

We have $m_1 \leq m_2/2$ in any case. In particular m_1 does not influence μ^\sharp and (1.10) for p^\sharp reduces to

$$\mu^\sharp = \begin{cases} 1 - m_2/2, & 2\delta - 1 \leq m_2/2, \\ 2(1 - \delta), & 2\delta - 1 > m_2/2. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Here the best choice, if it is possible to fix δ such that $2\delta - 1 \leq m_2/2$, is always $\mu^\sharp = 1 - m_2/2$.

For $s \geq 2$ we have $m_2 = 0$ in (3.3). Choosing $\delta = 1/s$, ($2\delta - 1 \leq m_2/2$ reads exactly $s \geq 2$), we have

$$\mu^\sharp = 1 - m_2/2 = 1 = s\delta \quad (3.5)$$

and the best possible bound $\mu = \mu^*(s) = 1$ is achieved in (1.12).

For $0 < s < 2$ we have $m_2 = \delta(2 - s)$ in (3.3). Choosing $\delta = 2/(s + 2)$ we have $2\delta - 1 = m_2/2$ and

$$\mu^\sharp = 1 - m_2/2 = 2s/(s + 2) = s\delta \quad (3.6)$$

that leads to $\mu = \mu^*(s) = 2s/(s + 2)$ in (1.12).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Funding Open access funding provided by Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Bony, J.M.: Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Ann. Sci. de l'École Norm. Supérieure* **14**, 209–246 (1981)
2. Fefferman, C., Phong, D.: On positivity of pseudodifferential operators. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **75**, 4673–4674 (1978)
3. Friedrichs, K.O.: Pseudo-Differential Operators: An Introduction, Lecture Notes. Courant Inst. Math. Sci., New York Univ (1968)
4. Hörmander, L.: Pseudo-differential operators and non-elliptic boundary problems. *Ann. Math.* **83**, 129–209 (1966)
5. Kumano-Go, H.: Algebras of pseudo-differential operators. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **17**, 31–50 (1970)
6. Kumano-Go, H.: Pseudo-Differential Operators. MIT Press, Cambridge (1981)
7. Lax, P.D., Nirenberg, L.: On stability for differences schemes: a sharp form of Gårding inequality. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **19**, 473–492 (1966)
8. Tataru, D.: On the Fefferman-Phong inequality and related problems. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **27**, 2101–2138 (2002)
9. Taylor, M.: Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston (1991)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.