Extracellular vesicles in seminal fluid and effects on male reproduction. An overview in farm animals and pets

1 **Extracellular vesicles in seminal fluid and its impact on male reproduction. An**

2 **overview in farm animals and pets**

3

4 Jordi Roca[1,*], Heriberto Rodriguez-Martinez[2], Lorena Padilla[1], Xiomara Lucas[1], Isabel

5 Barranco[3]

6

7 [1]Department of Medicine and Animal Surgery, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,

8 International Excellence Campus for Higher Education and Research "Campus Mare

9 Nostrum", University of Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain

10

11 [2]Department of Biomedical & Clinical Sciences (BKV), BKH/Obstetrics &

12 Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Linköping University, SE-

13 58185, Linköping, Sweden

14
15 [3]Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Ozzano

16 dell'Emilia, IT-40064, Bologna, Italy

17

18 [*]Correspondence: **roca@um.es**; Tel: +34-868884735

19

20

21

22

23

24

ABSTRACT

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are lipid bilayer nanovesicles released by most functional cells to body fluids, carrying bioactive molecules, mainly proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids deliverable to target cells. The EVs play an essential role in cell-to-cell communication by regulating different biological processes in the target cells. Male genital fluids, including seminal plasma, contain many extracellular vesicles (sEVs), which are less explored than those of other body fluids, particularly in farm animals and pets. The few existing studies demonstrated that epithelial cells of the testis, epididymis, ampulla of ductus deferens and many accessory sex glands release sEVs mainly following an apocrine mechanism. The released sEVs are morphologically heterogeneous and would bind to neighboring secretory cells, spermatozoa, and cells of the functional tissues of the female genital tract after mating or insemination. The sEVs encapsulate proteins and miRNAs useful for sperm function and male fertility. Therefore, sEVs could be strong candidates as reproductive biomarkers in breeding sires. However, it should also be noted that many of the current findings remain open to speculation and therefore pending experimental confirmation. Further studies are particularly needed to characterize both the membrane and contents of sEVs, as well as to examine the interaction between sEVs and target cells (spermatozoa and functional cells of the internal female genital tract). Priority for these studies is the development of methods that can be standardized and that are scalable, cost-effective and time-saving for the isolation of pure different subtypes of EVs present in the sEV pool.

Keywords: extracellular vesicles, epididymis, accessory sex glands, seminal plasma, pets, livestock species.

1. Introduction

The fertility potential of a sire is ruled not only by the delivered spermatozoa, but also by the accompanying seminal plasma (SP), the fluid built from the secretions of the male genital tract, mainly epididymis and accessory sex glands, that surrounds sperm during and after ejaculation. The SP is a complex fluid, rich in many active biomolecules that play key roles in regulating sperm function, fertilizing ability and signaling uterine immune tolerance to facilitate embryo and placental development (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2021a). Indeed, some SP-biomolecules directly affect key sperm functions such as motility or capacitation (López Rodríguez et al., 2013; Pereira et al., 2017). Other components of SP regulate the uterine immune system, promoting a tolerogenic and healthy environment (Waberski et al., 2018). Although some SP-biomolecules are free in the SP, many of them may be encapsulated into extracellular vesicles (EVs) where they remain safeguarded from the many natural inactivators existing in SP, such as proteases or nucleases. In this regard, SP, like other body fluids, contains a large number of EVs (e.g., billions in pig SP; Barranco et al., 2021), which are released by the functional secretory cells of the different organs of the male reproductive system.

Extracellular vesicles are lipid bilayer nanovesicles, 30 to 350 nm in diameter, released by the vast majority of functional cells to the body fluids, carrying bioactive molecules, mainly proteins, lipids and nucleic acids to be delivered to target cells (Jeppesen et al., 2019). The EVs play an essential role in cell-to-cell communication and regulate different biological processes in the target cells (Doyle and Wang, 2019). The presence of EVs in the fluids of the male genital tract was reported more than 50 years ago. In fact, these body fluids would be among the first where nanometer-sized vesicles surrounded by a membrane were identified. The first study reporting vesicle-like

4

membranous structures in semen was performed by Metz et al. (1968) in rabbits. Such membranous vesicles were later identified in the semen of human (Brody et al., 1983; Ronquist and Brody, 1985), and livestock: ovine (Breitbart et al., 1983; Breitbart and Rubinstein, 1982), bovine (Agrawal and Vanha-Perttula, 1988, 1987, 1986), equine (Arienti et al., 1998; Minelli et al., 1999, 1998) and porcine species (Ghaoui et al., 2004). These pioneering studies, based mainly on electron microscopy, were exploratory and provided elementary, yet relevant data, such as the size and shape of seminal EVs (sEVs). Despite this accumulation of early, exciting studies, the sEVs remaining poorly explored and their biogenesis, characterization and functional roles are far from being fully understood. In fact, sEVs are among the least explored among the EVs in the body. A global survey recently conducted by the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) highlighted that research on EVs has mainly focused on those circulating in blood, cerebrospinal fluid and urine; demoting those delivered in semen or colostrum to the miscellaneous group so called "other fluids", which together account for barely 1% of the total research carried out on EVs (Royo et al., 2020). Moreover, very few of these already limited investigations on sEVs have been conducted in livestock species, even though SP contains comparatively more EVs than cerebrospinal fluid or blood plasma, as demonstrated in the porcine species (Skalnikova et al., 2019).

Although sEV-research remains limited and is mostly conducted in humans or biomedical model species, there have been some very interesting research studies published in recent years in pets and livestock that provides both relevant findings for understanding sEV performance and a solid basis for future research. The objectives of this review are to showcase such research, highlighting the main findings, and also to offer a particular view of where future studies should be focused. Some findings from humans and animal models have helped to clarify critical methodological issues about

100 sEVs and to provide insights that could be extrapolated to sEVs from farm animals as

101 well as pets, specifically dogs and cats.

102

103 **2. Biogenesis and characterization of seminal extracellular vesicles**

104

105 *2.1.Biogenesis*

106 Conventionally, EVs are clustered into two subsets, namely exosomes (<150 nm)

107 and microvesicles (>100 nm) and this subdivision entails differences in their release

108 mechanism. Exosomes are released from cytoplasmic multivesicular bodies that fuse with

109 the plasma membrane, whereas microvesicles are budded directly from the plasma

110 membrane (Hessvik and Llorente, 2018). While these releasing mechanisms are also

111 present among the epithelial cells of male reproductive tissues, sEVs are primarily

112 delivered by apocrine secretion in many cell types (Foot and Kumar, 2021). This

113 mechanism involves the cytoplasmic protrusion of apical vesicles containing even

114 smaller vesicles in addition to other molecular components. These apical blebs, so-called

115 storage vesicles, detach from the secretory cells into the lumen, and decompose and

116 release the smaller vesicles (Hermo and Jacks, 2002). These small vesicles, show

117 different shapes and sizes and would be the EVs that freely appear in the fluids of the

118 male genital tract (**Figure 1**). Some of these newly released vesicles would have a very

119 short journey, at least in the epididymis, as they would mainly bind to neighboring

120 epithelial cells to influence their functional activity to promote a favorable

121 microenvironment for sperm maturation (Belleannée et al., 2013; Tamessar et al., 2021).

122 Traditionally, the EVs present in the fluids of the male genital tract are mainly

123 released by the epididymis and the prostate gland. In fact, epididymosomes and

124 prostasomes are the terms commonly used to refer to EVs released in the male genital

125 tract (Saez et al., 2003; Sullivan and Saez, 2013), with prostasomes being an inaccurate

126 term to refer to all EVs present in SP. In this review, we will use the umbrella name of

127 seminal extracellular vesicles (sEVs) to refer to all EVs released by the male genital tract,

128 regardless of the specific site of release. Conceptually, functional cells of any tissue of

129 the male genital tract should be able to release EVs, as occurs in the rest of the body

130 (Hessvik and Llorente, 2018). In addition to the epididymis and the prostate gland,

131 epithelial cells of vesicular glands (they are also anatomically referred to as seminal

132 vesicles) and the ampulla of the ductus deferens in the bull release EVs (Agrawal and

133 Vanha-Perttula, 1987; Renneberg et al., 1995). Moreover, the mechanism of apocrine

134 secretion for releasing EVs has also been demonstrated in the ductus deferens of mice

135 (Manin et al., 1995). Indirect evidence supports that sustentacular cells in the testis would

136 also be able to release EVs. Mancuso et al. (2018) demonstrated that porcine Sertoli cells

137 cultured *in-vitro* release EVs with microRNAs (miRNAs) and protein contents that vary

138 according to hormonal levels of FSH and testosterone, suggesting Sertoli cells provide

139 the seminiferous epithelium and beyond with signals mediated by EVs, which could even

140 include other sustentacular cells, such as the rete testis. Currently, there are no reports of

141 bulbourethral glands releasing EVs; these glands in the pig deliver all their secretion via

142 an apocrine, goblet-cell like mechanism (Badia et al., 2006). In summary, most internal

143 organs of the male genital system would deliver EVs, contributing to the heterogeneous

144 pool of EVs present in SP. Unfortunately, as the present time, we still lack specific

145 markers capable of differentiating EVs according to their releasing tissue source.

146

147   2.2. *Characterization*

148   In terms of morphological characterization and in the absence of specific studies

149 performed in pets and livestock species, the cryo-electron microscopy study performed

150   by Höög and Lötvall (2015) on human sEVs is uniquely illustrative. They identified

151   morphologically distinct subtypes of sEVs: spherical or oval in shape and with electron

152   dense or translucent contents. Extracellular vesicles with morphology similar to the above

153   subtypes can also be identified in the SP of the pig (Barranco et al., 2019; Skalnikova et

154   al., 2019) and chicken (Cordeiro et al., 2021). The transmission electron microscopy

155   images of **Figure 2** show porcine sEVs exhibiting some of these morphological subtypes.

156   These studies confirm the diversity of EVs in the SP-pool and Höög and Lötvall (2015)

157   postulated that each subtype of sEVs would have a specific cellular origin.

158   At present, there are limited reports characterizing the membrane of sEVs and

159   very few performed in pets and livestock. The only one of note would be that of Piehl et

160   al. (2006) that characterized the membrane of EVs and sperm isolated from the sperm-

161   rich fraction (SRF) of porcine ejaculates and identified the high concentration of

162   cholesterol and sphingomyelin, alike the sperm membrane basic constitution. One of the

163   most interesting tools to characterize EVs is the use of specific markers, as they allow

164   differentiation of EVs from other co-isolated nanoparticles and can also identify specific

165   EV subtypes. The EVs are also enriched in tetraspanins, a transmembrane protein family

166   (Jankovičová et al., 2020), in addition to other proteins. Accordingly, the International

167   Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) recommends analyzing some of these

168   transmembrane proteins, such as CD9, CD63, CD81, to characterize the isolated EVs

169   (Théry et al., 2018). Using these markers, Barranco et al. (2019) identified different

170   subtypes of EVs in porcine SP, which could indicate differences in the releasing tissue,

171   contents and also target cells of sEVs, as tetraspanins play a determining role in the

172   selective anchoring of EVs to cell target membranes (Gurung et al., 2021). In porcine

173   semen, Alvarez-Rodriguez et al. (2019) also cytometrically found sEVs expressing

174   CD44, a cell surface protein active in cell-to-cell interaction and adhesion. Interestingly,

175 the percentage of CD44-positive sEVs was found to vary according to objectively

176 collectable ejaculate fractions (10 first mL of SRF, rest of SRF and post-SRF), being

177 proportionally higher in the first 10 mL of SRF. The authors suggested that these CD44-

178 positive sEVs would come from the epididymis, since the SP of the first 10 mL of SRF

179 comes mostly from the epididymal cauda (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2021a). The same

180 authors also intended to characterize chicken sEVs showing that there were few and that

181 these did not express either CD9 or CD44 proteins (Alvarez-Rodriguez et al., 2020), but

182 contrasted with the more recent findings of Cordeiro et al. (2021). In sum, these studies

183 clearly show that the SP contains a heterogeneous mixture of EVs, which would have

184 different origin, contents and probably also target cells. For example, Sahlén et al. (2010)

185 reported in men that specific markers such as CD10, CD13 and CD26 are present in sEVs

186 released by the prostate, but not in those secreted by the vesicular glands.

187 Extracellular vesicles encapsulate a diversity of active biomolecules, mainly

188 lipids, a wide range of proteins, including cytokines and regulatory enzymes, and nucleic

189 acids, including DNA and both small non-coding and regulatory RNAs (Keerthikumar et

190 al., 2016), and protect them from natural inactivators in body fluids (e.g., proteases and

191 nucleases in SP). This complex contents is tailor-made by the releasing cells for delivery

192 to target cells. Consequently, there may be substantial differences in the contents of EVs

193 among body fluids. For instance, a study in cows comparing EVs revealed differences in

194 protein contents if isolated from milk or blood plasma (Koh et al., 2017). Looking at sEV

195 contents, the few existing studies in pets and livestock have focused mainly on proteomic

196 and transcriptomic profiling. In proteomics, two large-scale studies have been recently

197 performed, namely, Leahy et al. (2020) in ovine sEVs and Rowlison et al. (2020) in feline

198 sEVs. They identified a total of 520 and 3,008 proteins, respectively. The study by Leahy

199 et al. (2020) revealed that ovine sEVs are enriched in proteins related to vesicle

biogenesis, metabolism, and membrane adhesion and remodeling functions, the latter including several reproductive-specific proteins directly related to sperm fertilizing ability. The study by Rowlison et al. (2020), focused on epididymal EVs from domestic cats, comparing the proteome of EVs isolated from different epididymal segments and showing that the expression of several EV-proteins changes between segments. Some of these proteins are related to the epididymal sequential maturation of spermatozoa, specifically with their acquisition of motility and their ability to bind to the zona pellucida (ZP). Similar results were previously obtained by Girouard et al. (2011) on EVs isolated from the caput and cauda of the bull epididymis. In addition, there are other studies based on one- or two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) and first reported by Gatti et al. (2005) in EVs collected from ovine epididymal cauda. They compared the sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) banding pattern of these epididymal cauda EVs with that of epididymal cauda fluids (raw fluid and the supernatant fluid from ultra-centrifugation, i.e., EVs-free), SP, cytoplasmic droplets, and mature spermatozoa, and reported that the protein bands of epididymal cauda EVs were singular and different from that of other samples. The most highly expressed proteins in epididymal cauda EVs were grouped as membrane-bound proteins, metabolic enzymes and cytoskeleton-associated proteins. Frenette et al. (2006) compared the protein profile of EVs collected from caput and cauda bull epididymis and from ejaculated semen. The protein 2-DE profile varied among the sources of EV-origin, with those from caput epididymis showing many unique spots, which matched specific proteins such as heat shock protein HSP90B1 and HSPA5, with both relevant for oocyte fertilization (Dun et al., 2012). In contrast, other proteins related to sperm functionality, such as P25b, a protein involved in the binding of sperm to the ZP (Caballero et al., 2010), were only present in EVs isolated from epididymal cauda and ejaculates. It is worth mentioning that

225   sEVs carry immunoregulatory proteins such as transforming growth factor β isoforms 1-

226   3 (Barranco et al., 2019). Piehl et al. (2013) analyzed the protein composition of porcine

227   sEVs, identifying a total of 28 distinct proteins by MALDI-TOF (Matrix Assisted Laser

228   Desorption/Ionization Mass Spectrometry mass spectrometry). The identified proteins

229   were grouped as structural proteins (mainly actin), enzymes, intracellular ion channels

230   and spermadhesins, the most abundant proteins in porcine SP (Rodriguez-Martinez et al.,

231   2021a). Ronquist et al. (2013) compared the SDS-PAGE banding patterns of sEVs from

232   four species, namely human, canine, bovine and equine. Most of the protein bands were

233   within the molecular weight in the range of 10 to 150 kDa, as in pig sEVs (Piehl et al.,

234   2013), and with similar banding pattern among these species. However, there were

235   differentially expressed protein bands as in the case of canine EVs, where bands were

236   expressed with less intensity.

237   Using transcriptomics, four recent studies focused on disclosing the miRNA cargo

238   of bovine, porcine and equine sEVs. In bovine, Alves et al. (2021) explored the load of

239   miRNAs of sEVs, identifying 380 miRNAs. They listed all miRNAs but did not provide

240   possible relationships to reproductive functions as it was not the goal of the study. In

241   porcine sEVs, Xu et al. (2020) identified 325 mRNAs, predicting reproductive roles for

242   some of them. Specifically, roles in spermatogenesis (ssc-miR-148a-3p; ssc-miR-10a-5p)

243   and fertility (miR-10b, miR-191, miR-30d, and let-7a), with one of them (ssc-miR-200b)

244   particularly related to the number of piglets born per litter. They also noted that pig sEVs

245   are rich in PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs, they found 19,749), although they did not

246   link them to any reproductive function because of the lack of consultative databases. Also

247   in pigs, Zhang et al. (2020) explored the miRNA cargo of EVs isolated from urine, blood

248   plasma, SP and bile, and found that all EVs expressed well-defined miRNAs related to

249   immune functions. Also recently, Twenter et al. (2020) explored the miRNAs cargo of

equine sEVs from caput, corpus and cauda epididymis, showing some of the identified miRNAs putative roles in sperm motility and viability and also in oocyte maturation and embryo development. They also reported epididymal EVs are carriers of miRNAs from epididymal epithelial cells to maturing spermatozoa in transit through the duct. In addition to this delivery of miRNAs to maturing sperm, sEVs also deliver their contents to mature sperm, including miRNAs, after ejaculation as long as the sperm remain surrounded by SP (Trigg et al., 2019). Together these proteomics and transcriptomic studies clearly demonstrate that sEVs encapsulate biomolecules useful for sperm functionality and show that the biomolecule loaded in the sEVs varies between releasing tissues and between species. Besides these two variables, there are other factors influencing the contents of sEVs. The contents would be testosterone-dependent, and the sEVs released under low testosterone levels would be less effective for sperm function (Ma et al., 2018). Similarly, environmental factors, such as excessive air temperature, would also influence the load of sEVs, at least on the load of miRNAs, as shown in heat-stressed bulls (Alves et al., 2021).

3. Interaction between seminal EVs and spermatozoa

Once released from the secretory functional cells to the ductal lumen, sEVs interact with spermatozoa. The interaction involves three sequential events, namely, binding, fusion and cargo trafficking. Seminal EVs bind to specific sperm membrane receptors such as Rab family proteins and soluble N-ethylmaleimide-Sensitive Factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE), both identified in sperm and sEVs (Girouard et al., 2011). Components of membrane lipid raft microdomains would be involved in the fusion between sEVs and spermatozoa (Candenas and Chianese, 2020). It is still not

entirely clear how sEVs deliver their contents to sperm. Two alternative delivery mechanisms are currently contemplated; using either direct membrane fusion or the formation of transient fusion pores (Björkgren and Sipilä, 2019). The first mechanism would involve tetraspanins, such as CD9, and integrins to promote competent fusion sites after glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored mediated docking (Al-Dossary et al., 2015). The second mechanism would involve the mechanoenzyme dynamin 1 in the formation of transient fusion pores (Zhou et al., 2019). Milk fat globule factor 8 (MFGE8) protein, identified in ovine sEVs (Leahy et al., 2020), could also be relevant for efficient trafficking of biomolecules between sEVs and sperm (Trigg et al., 2021). It is also worth mentioning that the sEVs, in addition to delivering their contents to the sperm, could also remove "non-useful" proteins from the sperm membranes. Leahy et al. (2020) reached this conclusion after analyzing the protein contents of ovine sEVs and spermatozoa. Then, the interaction between sEVs and sperm would be transient, and the sEVs would bind, fuse, interchange and detach.

Some sEVs bind to sperm immediately after their release, during the journey of sperm through the male genital duct system. Others are free in the SP and are projected out together with spermatozoa at ejaculation. Some of these free sEVs bind to sperm after ejaculation (Du et al., 2016) and others do so once in the female genital tract after mating or AI (Aalberts et al., 2013). Interestingly, Aalberts et al. (2013), in an experiment conducted with equine sEVs, proposed that the three sequential interaction events, namely binding, fusion and cargo-release or -exchange, would not occur immediately one after the other for sEVs that bind to sperm in the female genital tract. They postulated that the binding would occur in the uterus and the fusion in the oviduct shortly before fertilization, under the strongly progesterone-dominated environment that follows ovulation. The pH of the environment would be a modulating factor of sEV-sperm

interaction although it is open to controversies. In humans, Murdica et al. (2019a) indicated that sEV-sperm binding would occur at neutral pH and fusion at acidic pH, which occurs in the vagina, the site of semen delivery during intercourse in humans. This would be feasible in species with vaginal deposition of semen but not in those with deposition in the cervix uteri and uterine body deposition, as it occurs in most farm animals. In equine, Aalberts et al. (2013) demonstrated that the binding of sEVs to viable sperm was optimal at pH of 7.5-8.0. Of note, to remember is that the spermatozoa entering the cervix in human are those present in the prostate-dominated, non-coagulating first part of the ejaculate, while those sperm in the vagina are entrapped in a coagulum formed by semenogelins, and not necessarily involved in fertilization (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2011).

The interaction between sEVs and sperm would be selective. Bovine (Schwarz et al., 2013) and ovine (Gatti et al., 2005) EVs from the caput epididymis have more fusogenic affinity for spermatozoa than those from the cauda segment. Moreover, among epididymal cauda EVs, CD-9-positive EVs would be the ones to transfer their contents to spermatozoa (Caballero et al., 2013) and for such delivery, the cooperation of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 protein, also known as CD-26, would be required. Interestingly, the epididymal EV-population lacking CD9 shows greater affinity for non-viable sperm, transferring epididymal sperm-binding protein 1 to them (D'Amours et al., 2012). Binding between spermatozoa and sEVs depends not only on sEVs, but also on spermatozoa. The *in vivo* sEV-to-sperm binding in the epididymal lumen is segment-dependent, greater in the caput and less in the cauda, as demonstrated in ovine (Gatti et al., 2005). However, such binding is also greater between caput EVs and cauda spermatozoa when they are cultured *in vitro* (Frenette et al., 2010). These findings would indicate that epididymal sperm would be more or less "attractive" to sEVs depending on

325 their level of maturation. The sEVs would also be selective in choosing the binding site

326 on sperm. Sperm have three structurally well-defined compartments, namely the head,

327 the mid-piece and the tail, each of them with well-defined functions. Vesicles from the

328 epididymis would have a greater targeting affinity for the post-acrosomal region of the

329 head (Zhou et al., 2019), whereas those derived from the accessory sex glands would

330 exhibit affinity for all head membrane domains (acrosome ridge, acrosome, and post-

331 acrosome) (Aalberts et al., 2013; Du et al., 2016). In this regard, our research group has

332 evidence that sEVs bind to sperm in the three main sperm compartments (**Figure 3**). The

333 different binding site would be linked to its functional impact and those bound to the

334 sperm head would influence capacitation, acrosomal reaction and oocyte binding

335 capacity, whereas those bound on the mid-piece and main piece of the tail would have a

336 greater impact on mitochondrial activity, energy metabolism and motility.

337

338 **4. Involvement of seminal EVs in sperm maturation and functionality**

339

340 Sperm maturation occurs during their journey through the epididymis and is

341 orchestrated by the sequential interaction of maturing sperm with changing intraluminal

342 fluids. This interaction leads to structural and compositional changes that enable sperm

343 to acquire the ability to move forward and fertilize the oocytes (Björkgren and Sipilä,

344 2019). Key players in this interaction are the sEVs released in the epididymis, the so-

345 called epididymosomes, that deliver bioactive molecules to maturating sperm for the

346 acquisition of forward motility and the ability to fertilize the oocyte (Sullivan, 2015).

347 Research conducted in bovine showed that epididymosomes influence sperm maturation

348 in two ways (Belleannée et al., 2013). The first, more direct, is by fusing with the

349 membrane of maturing sperm and delivering their contents to them. The second, indirect,

350 by interacting with neighboring epithelial epididymal cells to modulate their secretions

351 to provide a better epididymal environment for sperm maturation. The epididymis

352 environment and the involvement of epididymosomes in sperm maturation is discussed

353 in more detail in another review in this special issue (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2021b).

354 Most studies relating sEVs and sperm functional parameters have been conducted

355 in humans and mostly in men showing severe alterations of seminal parameters, such as

356 oligozoospermia, azoospermia, asthenozoospermia and teratozoospermia (Candenas and

357 Chianese, 2020). Highlighted should be the study by Murdica et al. (2019b),

358 demonstrating the influence of sEVs on the regulation of sperm motility and time of

359 capacitation after incubating ejaculated sperm with sEVs isolated from the SP of astheno-

360 or normozoospermic men. Specifically, they found that sEVs from normozoospermic

361 men but not from asthenozoospermic men, enhanced sperm motility and triggered

362 capacitation. This differential performance of sEVs would be related to differences in the

363 expression of proteins and miRNAs involved in reproductive processes between sEVs

364 from individuals with normal and altered semen parameters (Barceló et al., 2018; Murdica

365 et al., 2019a). Similar studies have not been conducted in livestock species, perhaps

366 because breeding sires are selected not only for their genetic traits, but also for yielding

367 ejaculates with satisfactory sperm quantity and quality, while those with poor semen

368 quality are culled.

369 The few studies in pets and farm animals relating sEVs and sperm functionality

370 also reported that sEVs would influence motility and capacitation, in addition to the

371 acrosomal reaction (Figure 4). In pigs, Piehl et al. (2013) and Du et al. (2016) conducted

372 similar studies by incubating/extending ejaculated sperm with sEVs and evaluating

373 effects on motility and capacitation. Regarding sperm motility, while Piehl et al. (2013)

374 found no differences between treated sperm incubated with sEVs and control sperm

incubated with extender without EVs. Du et al. (2016) noted that EVs enhanced sperm motility. Beyond the disagreement regarding sperm motility, both studies agree that sEVs stabilize sperm membranes and prevent premature capacitation and consequent acrosome exocytosis. However, in an earlier study in pigs, Siciliano et al. (2008) found that the acrosome rupture was triggered in sperm incubated with sEVs. In an experimental study conducted in equine semen, Aalberts et al. (2013) reported that incubation of ejaculated sperm with sEVs did not influence the timing of capacitation. In pets, Mogielnicka-Brzozowska et al. (2015) reported that the total and progressive motility of canine sperm improved after incubation with sEVs. The mechanism of action of sEVs in influencing sperm motility would be related to their ability to regulate sperm intracellular Ca^{2+} (Palmerini et al., 1999; Park et al., 2011). Recently, Zhang et al. (2021) proposed that sEVs would play this role by activating a cation channel of sperm (CatSper), which regulates motility during capacitation-related events (Vicente-Carrillo et al., 2017). Other EV-mechanisms could also be involved. For instance, sEVs synthesize ATP through glycolysis and this ATP would modulate sperm mitochondrial metabolism and, consequently, sperm motility (Guo et al., 2019). Further, sEVs would control the delivery of zinc ions to spermatozoa, an essential ion to stabilize sperm membranes and thus promote motility (Mogielnicka-Brzozowska et al., 2015). The mechanism of action of sEVs on regulating the timing of sperm capacitation is still unclear. In humans, Bechoua et al. (2011) suggested that sEVs modulate protein tyrosine phosphorylation, a pivotal event in sperm capacitation. However, Aalberts et al. (2013) conducted an experiment incubating equine ejaculated spermatozoa with sEVs showing that sEVs would have limited influence on tyrosine phosphorylation.

The above studies in pets and livestock showed some contradictory results regarding the influence of sEVs on sperm functionality, as also occurs in those performed

400   in humans (Foot and Kumar, 2021). Several explanations can be issued for these

401   inconsistencies, the most plausible being differences in methodologies employed between

402   studies to isolate sEVs and the intrinsic diversity in the contents and membrane

403   composition of isolated sEVs. Not all isolation methods used in the studies mentioned

404   above guarantee the purity of isolated sEVs, and some of the isolated sEVs may be

405   contaminated with proteins and miRNAs free in the SP (Royo et al., 2020). Another

406   differentiating factor would be the inherent diversity of isolated sEVs. Several subtypes

407   of EVs are present in the SP of farm animals (Alvarez-Rodriguez et al., 2019; Barranco

408   et al., 2019) and each of these subtypes would have a different cellular origin and,

409   therefore, also a different contents (Greening and Simpson, 2018). This diversity of EVs

410   transported through semen can selectively interact with target cells, whether spermatozoa

411   or cells of the male or female genital tract, providing a highly complex and yet, little

412   understood mode of cellular communication.

413   Successful long-term semen preservation in mammals still remains a challenge.

414   Current sperm freeze-thaw methods, even the most successful, remain suboptimal, as they

415   induce structural as well as biochemical and functional changes in sperm, impairing their

416   functional performance after thawing, including fertilization capacity (Khan et al., 2021;

417   Kumar et al., 2019; Yeste, 2016). To date, to our knowledge, there is only one study that

418   has explored the potential of EVs to mitigate the detrimental impact of freeze-thawing on

419   spermatozoa. The study of Rowlison et al. (2021) conducted in domestic cats showed that

420   frozen-thawed sperm improved motility after thawing when incubated with epididymal

421   EVs. However, a number of studies investigated the usefulness of EVs secreted outside

422   the male genital tract in improving sperm cryopreservation (reviewed by Saadeldin et al.,

423   2020). *In vitro* experiments conducted by Alcantara-Neto et al. (2020) demonstrated the

424   effectiveness of porcine oviductal EVs for improving the survival of thawed pig sperm.

425 Similar results were achieved by De Almeida Monteiro Melo Ferraz et al. (2020) in

426 frozen-thawed spermatozoa from red wolves and cheetahs incubated with dog and cat

427 oviductal EVs, respectively. Mesenchymal cell derived EVs have also been shown to be

428 effective. Qamar et al. (2019) improved the motility and integrity of plasma and

429 acrosomal membranes of frozen-thawed canine sperm by adding mesenchymal cell-

430 derived EVs to the freezing medium. Similar results were also reported by Mokarizadeh

431 et al. (2013) in mouse sperm. These studies did not demonstrate causal mechanisms for

432 this improvement, but Qamar et al. (2019) attributed the positive effect on the ability of

433 EVs to repair sperm membranes and reduce oxidative stress associated with

434 cryopreservation. In that study, they demonstrated expression changes in genes related to

435 membrane repair, modulation of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and chromatin

436 integrity. Mokarizadeh et al. (2013) also reported an increased expression of specific EVs

437 biomolecules in the membranes of thawed spermatozoa, namely CD29, CD44, ICAM-I

438 and VCAM-I. However, not all EVs would have positive effects on sperm functionality.

439 Extracellular vesicles from human embryonic kidney-derived cells, a scalable cell line

440 used for mass EV-production, did not influence the functionality of pig sperm after 5 h

441 of co-culture (Vilanova-Perez et al., 2020).

442 To the best of our knowledge, there is only one scientific report linking sEVs to

443 male *in vivo* fertility. Cordeiro et al. (2021) isolated sEVs from rooster ejaculates with

444 clear differences in sperm viability and motility and showed that ejaculates from more

445 fertile males had smaller sEVs than those from less fertile males. They also found

446 compositional differences between sEVs, showing higher HSP90AA1 expression in those

447 isolated from more fertile males. In addition to influencing the functional performance of

448 sperm and thus male *in vivo* fertility, sEVs would also contribute to the fertility success

449 of males through their interaction with the epithelial cells of the female genital tract after

450   mating or insemination delivering (Figure 4). Seminal EVs have the ability to be bound

451   and internalized by the endometrial cells (Paktinat et al., 2019). Bai et al. (2018)

452   demonstrated, in an *in vitro* experiment, that pig sEVs were able to up-regulate the

453   expression of genes related to immune and inflammatory responses in endometrial

454   epithelial cells. Accordingly, sEVs would play and essential role in regulating the immune

455   response of the female genital tract, facilitating the survival and functionality of sperm

456   and subsequent embryo and placental development. It should be noted that sEVs, like

457   those present in other body fluids, contain a large number of miRNAs with well-

458   documented immune-related functions (Zhang et al., 2020).

459

460   **5. Conclusions and targets for future research**

461

462   This review reveals that sEVs remain underexplored compared to those found in

463   other body fluids, such as those circulating in blood or cerebrospinal fluids, even though

464   there are comparatively more EVs in SP than in any other body fluid. This lack of

465   knowledge is particularly striking for those present in the SP of pets (dog and cat) and

466   farm animals. Summarizing the few existing research studies, it seems clear the epithelia

467   of the male genital tract releases EVs, including testes, epididymis, vas deferens ampulla

468   and some accessory sex glands, and they would do so mainly following an apocrine

469   mechanism. The released sEVs would bind to and regulate neighboring secretory cells,

470   using paracrine pathway, spermatozoa and cells of the functional tissues of the female

471   genital tract, following mating or insemination. In sperm, sEVs bind, fuse with the plasma

472   membrane and deliver their contents that, according to the current knowledge, would

473   influence epididymal maturation, motility and capacitation. Moreover, sEVs would also

474   remove non-functional proteins from spermatozoa. Once inside the female genital tract,

475 the sEVs would be bound and internalized by the epithelial cells modulating the immune

476 response against spermatozoa and embryos. The limited data accumulated so far provide

477 valuable information on sEVs, but many of these findings remain open to speculation and

478 therefore need to be confirmed in future studies. Consequently, the research of sEVs in

479 pets and livestock remains a challenge and different research approaches should be

480 considered.

481 Further characterization studies of both the membrane and contents of SVs are

482 essential, but to do so, will first require methods that can be standardized scalable,

483 inexpensive, and time-saving for isolation of pure sEVs. Currently, different isolation

484 methods are being used, generating some inconsistent and sometimes even contradictory

485 results, making their comparison difficult and limiting their clinical usefulness (Mercadal

486 et al., 2020). In addition, methods should be able to separately isolate the different

487 subtypes of EVs present in SP, as each subtype may have a different contents in active

488 biomolecules and thus different effects on target cells. These studies would allow

489 characterization of the different subtypes of EVs present in SP and allow labeling of the

490 distinctive molecules of each sEV-subtype for easy and rapid identification and selection.

491 Once the sEV subtypes are identified, it will be possible to better understand the

492 involvement of sEVs in sperm functionality and male fertility, which currently remains

493 unclear and controversial.

494 Finding biomarkers of male fertility remains a challenge today, both for domestic

495 animals as well as for humans. Seminal plasma biomolecules influence sperm

496 functionality, embryo development, and implantation (Bromfield, 2018; Druart et al.,

497 2019; Pérez-Patiño et al., 2018; Szczykutowicz et al., 2019). Consequently, some SP-

498 biomolecules have been posted as candidates for biomarkers of sperm functionality and

499 male fertility (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2021a). We now know that some of these

seminal biomolecules are encapsulated in sEVs, where they remain active by being

protected from the natural inactivators present in SP (e.g., proteases and nucleases).

Moreover, we also know that sEVs bind and interchange molecules with spermatozoa

and epithelial cells of the endometrium. Overall, these findings strongly point out to sEVs

as serious candidates for use as biomarkers of sperm functionality and male fertility.

Today, the search for biomarkers in sEVs is negligible, unlike those circulating/present

in other body fluids as in blood plasma or urine, which have been widely explored for

their use as biomarkers for diverse pathologies, include cancer (Simeone et al., 2020;

Street et al., 2017; Yekula et al., 2020). Only three papers listed in PubMed in May 2020

address this issue and they have been conducted in humans (Barceló et al., 2018; Larriba

and Bassas, 2021; Vickram et al., 2020). Consequently, finding out whether sEVs are

useful biomarkers of fertility is an exciting challenge. However, before tackling this task,

it is imperative to fully characterize all subtypes of vesicles circulating in male genital

tract fluids (Pucci and Rooman, 2017). Unfortunately, this is a research task that has not

yet been completed in pet and livestock species, making it a pending challenge.

The complete characterization of the sEV subtypes will facilitate that they can be

used as therapeutic tools (Peng et al., 2020; Sil et al., 2020). Today we know that sEVs

from normozoospermic ejaculates improve sperm motility while those of

asthenozoospermic ejaculates reduces it (Murdica et al., 2019b). These findings raise the

possibility of using sEVs to improve sperm quality in individuals showing idiopathic poor

sperm quality. It has also been shown that sEVs can improve sperm freezability (Qamar

et al., 2019). In some farm animals there are clear differences between sires in sperm

freezing capacity, impairing the use of poor sperm freezers as semen cryobankers (Roca

et al., 2006). Here, sEVs could be used to improve sperm cryotolerance in bad sperm

freezers by supplementing the freezing medium with sEVs from good sperm freezers. In

525 this case, EVs can be artificially enriched with specific molecules. Specific subtypes of

526 sEVs could be loaded with molecules of interest using proven procedures, such as

527 electroporation (for miRNAs), sonication (for proteins), or passive diffusion of

528 hydrophobic molecules (for soluble chemicals) (Lim and Kim, 2019). Thus, "engineered"

529 sEVs would be used to improve the *in vivo* bioavailability of molecules of interest to both

530 sperm and uterine cells and thus improve their functionality. Full characterization of sEVs

531 subtypes will also facilitate further studies for designing and producing synthetic EVs,

532 structurally similar to those of SP, which would load with specific biomolecules for

533 particular applications. For instance, as additives to semen extenders for improving both

534 sperm preservability and/or *in vivo* fertility of seminal AI-doses. These synthetic EVs

535 added to seminal AI-doses can also be used for delivering drugs to improve the

536 tolerogenic female local immunity.

537

538 **Ethical Statement**

539 The experiments with animals and specimens in the aforementioned studies developed by

540 the authors of this review were performed according to the European Directive

541 2010/63/EU, 22/09/2010 for animal experiments and approved by the Bioethics

542 Committee of Murcia University (research code: 639/2012).

543

544 **Funding**

549

Acknowledgments

554
Author contributions

556 Conceptualization, J.R. and I.B.; writing—original draft preparation, J.R.; writing—

557 review and editing, J.R.; H.R.-M., L.P. and I.B.; funding acquisition, J.R. and H.R.-M.

558

Declaration of Competing Interest

560 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. The funders had no influence

561 on the contents of the manuscript.

562

References

Aalberts, M., Sostaric, E., Wubbolts, R., Wauben, M.W.M., Nolte-'t Hoen, E.N.M., Gadella, B.M., Stout, T.A.E., Stoorvogel, W., 2013. Spermatozoa recruit prostasomes in response to capacitation induction. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1834, 2326–2335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2012.08.008

Agrawal, Y., Vanha-Perttula, T., 1988. Electron microscopic study of the secretion process in bovine reproductive organs. J. Androl. 9, 307–316. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1939-4640.1988.tb01056.x

Agrawal, Y., Vanha-Perttula, T., 1987. Effect of secretory particles in bovine seminal vesicle secretion on sperm motility and acrosome reaction. J. Reprod. Fertil. 79, 409–419. https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0790409

Agrawal, Y., Vanha-Perttula, T., 1986. Dipeptidyl peptidases in bovine reproductive organs and secretions. Int. J. Androl. 9, 435–452. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.1986.tb00906.x

Al-Dossary, A.A., Bathala, P., Caplan, J.L., Martin-DeLeon, P.A., 2015. Oviductosome-sperm membrane interaction in cargo delivery: detection of fusion and underlying molecular players using three-dimensional super-resolution structured illumination microscopy (SR-SIM). J. Biol. Chem. 290, 17710–17723. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.633156

Alcântara-Neto, A.S., Schmaltz, L., Caldas, E., Blache, M.-C., Mermillod, P., Almiñana, C., 2020. Porcine oviductal extracellular vesicles interact with gametes and regulate sperm motility and survival. Theriogenology 155, 240–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.05.043

Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Ljunggren, S.A., Karlsson, H., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., 2019. Exosomes in specific fractions of the boar ejaculate contain CD44: A marker for epididymosomes? Theriogenology 140, 143–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2019.08.023

Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Ntzouni, M., Wright, D., Khan, K.I., López-Béjar, M., Martinez, C.A., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., 2020. Chicken seminal fluid lacks CD9- and CD44-bearing extracellular vesicles. Reprod. Domest. Anim. 55, 293–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.13617

Alves, M.B.R., Arruda, R.P. de, Batissaco, L., Garcia-Oliveros, L.N., Gonzaga, V.H.G., Nogueira, V.J.M., Almeida, F.D.S., Pinto, S.C.C., Andrade, G.M., Perecin, F., da Silveira, J.C., Celeghini, E.C.C., 2021. Changes in miRNA levels of sperm and small extracellular vesicles of seminal plasma are associated with transient scrotal heat stress in bulls. Theriogenology 161, 26–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.11.015

Arienti, G., Carlini, E., De Cosmo, A.M., Di Profio, P., Palmerini, C.A., 1998. Prostasome-like particles in stallion semen. Biol. Reprod. 59, 309–313. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod59.2.309

Badia, E., Briz, M.D., Pinart, E., Sancho, S., Garcia, N., Bassols, J., Pruneda, A., Bussalleu, E., Yeste, M., Casas, I., Bonet, S., 2006. Structural and ultrastructural features of boar bulbourethral glands. Tissue Cell 38, 7–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tice.2005.09.004

Bai, R., Latifi, Z., Kusama, K., Nakamura, K., Shimada, M., Imakawa, K., 2018. Induction of immune-related gene expression by seminal exosomes in the porcine endometrium. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 495, 1094–1101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.11.100

Barceló, M., Mata, A., Bassas, L., Larriba, S., 2018. Exosomal microRNAs in seminal

613   plasma are markers of the origin of azoospermia and can predict the presence of
614   sperm in testicular tissue. Hum. Reprod. 33, 1087–1098.
615   https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dey072
616 Barranco, I., Padilla, L., Parrilla, I., Alvarez-Barrientos, A., Perez-Patino, C., Pena, F.J.,
617   Martinez, E.A., Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Roca, J., 2019. Extracellular vesicles
618   isolated from porcine seminal plasma exhibit different tetraspanin expression
619   profiles. Sci. Rep. 9, 11584. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48095-3
620 Barranco, I., Sanchez-Lopez, C.M., Marcilla, A., Roca, J., 2021. Comparative
621   proteomics of extracellular vesicles subsets isolated from pig seminal plasma. J.
622   Extracell. vesicles 10, 262–262. https://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12083
623 Bechoua, S., Rieu, I., Sion, B., Grizard, G., 2011. Prostasomes as potential modulators
624   of tyrosine phosphorylation in human spermatozoa. Syst. Biol. Reprod. Med. 57,
625   139–148. https://doi.org/10.3109/19396368.2010.549538
626 Belleannée, C., Calvo, É., Caballero, J., Sullivan, R., 2013. Epididymosomes convey
627   different repertoires of microRNAs throughout the bovine epididymis. Biol.
628   Reprod. 89, 30. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.113.110486
629 Björkgren, I., Sipilä, P., 2019. The impact of epididymal proteins on sperm function.
630   Reproduction 158, 155–167. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-18-0589
631 Breitbart, H., Rubinstein, S., 1982. Characterization of Mg^{2+}- and Ca^{2+}-ATPase activity
632   in membrane vesicles from ejaculated ram seminal plasma. Arch. Androl. 9, 147–
633   157. https://doi.org/10.3109/01485018208990233
634 Breitbart, H., Stern, B., Rubinstein, S., 1983. Calcium transport and Ca^{2+}-ATPase
635   activity in ram spermatozoa plasma membrane vesicles. Biochim. Biophys. Acta
636   728, 349–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2736(83)90505-9
637 Brody, I., Ronquist, G., Gottfries, A., 1983. Ultrastructural localization of the
638   prostasome - an organelle in human seminal plasma. Ups. J. Med. Sci. 88, 63–80.
639   https://doi.org/10.3109/03009738309178440
640 Bromfield, J.J., 2018. Review: The potential of seminal fluid mediated paternal-
641   maternal communication to optimise pregnancy success. Animal 12, 104–109.
642   https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731118000083
643 Caballero, J., Frenette, G., Sullivan, R., 2010. Post testicular sperm maturational
644   changes in the bull: important role of the epididymosomes and prostasomes. Vet.
645   Med. Int. 757194. https://doi.org/10.4061/2011/757194
646 Caballero, J.N., Frenette, G., Belleannée, C., Sullivan, R., 2013. CD9-positive
647   microvesicles mediate the transfer of molecules to Bovine Spermatozoa during
648   epididymal maturation. PLoS One 8, e65364.
649   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065364
650 Candenas, L., Chianese, R., 2020. Exosome composition and seminal plasma proteome:
651   a promising source of biomarkers of male infertility. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21,
652   7022.https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21197022
653 Cordeiro, L., Lin, H.-L.H., Vitorino Carvalho, A., Grasseau, I., Uzbekov, R., Blesbois,
654   E., 2021. First insights on seminal extracellular vesicles in chickens of contrasted
655   fertility. Reproduction 161, 489–498. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-20-0462
656 D'Amours, O., Frenette, G., Bordeleau, L.-J., Allard, N., Leclerc, P., Blondin, P.,
657   Sullivan, R., 2012. Epididymosomes transfer epididymal sperm binding protein 1
658   (ELSPBP1) to dead spermatozoa during epididymal transit in bovine. Biol.
659   Reprod. 87, 94. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.112.100990
660 de Almeida Monteiro Melo Ferraz, M., Nagashima, J.B., Noonan, M.J., Crosier, A.E.,
661   Songsasen, N., 2020. Oviductal extracellular vesicles improve post-thaw sperm
662   function in red wolves and cheetahs. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 3733.

663   https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21103733

664 Doyle, L.M., Wang, M.Z., 2019. Overview of extracellular vesicles, their origin,
665   composition, purpose, and methods for exosome isolation and analysis. Cells 8,
666   727. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8070727

667 Druart, X., Rickard, J.P., Tsikis, G., de Graaf, S.P., 2019. Seminal plasma proteins as
668   markers of sperm fertility. Theriogenology 137, 30–35.
669   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2019.05.034

670 Du, J., Shen, J., Wang, Y., Pan, C., Pang, W., Diao, H., Dong, W., 2016. Boar seminal
671   plasma exosomes maintain sperm function by infiltrating into the sperm
672   membrane. Oncotarget 7, 58832–58847. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11315

673 Dun, M.D., Aitken, R.J., Nixon, B., 2012. The role of molecular chaperones in
674   spermatogenesis and the post-testicular maturation of mammalian spermatozoa.
675   Hum. Reprod. Update 18, 420–435. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dms009

676 Foot, N.J., Kumar, S., 2021. The role of extracellular vesicles in sperm function and
677   male fertility. Subcell. Biochem. 97, 483–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-
678   67171-6_19

679 Frenette, G., Girouard, J., D'Amours, O., Allard, N., Tessier, L., Sullivan, R., 2010.
680   Characterization of two distinct populations of epididymosomes collected in the
681   intraluminal compartment of the bovine cauda epididymis. Biol. Reprod. 83, 473–
682   480. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.109.082438

683 Frenette, G., Girouard, J., Sullivan, R., 2006. Comparison between epididymosomes
684   collected in the intraluminal compartment of the bovine caput and cauda
685   epididymidis. Biol. Reprod. 75, 885–890.
686   https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.106.054692

687 Gatti, J.-L., Métayer, S., Belghazi, M., Dacheux, F., Dacheux, J.-L., 2005.
688   Identification, proteomic profiling, and origin of ram epididymal fluid exosome-
689   like vesicles. Biol. Reprod. 72, 1452–1465.
690   https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.104.036426

691 Ghaoui, R.E.-H., Thomson, P.C., Evans, G., Maxwell, W.M.C., 2004. Characterization
692   and localization of membrane vesicles in ejaculate fractions from the ram, boar and
693   stallion. Reprod. Domest. Anim. 39, 173–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-
694   0531.2004.00499.x

695 Girouard, J., Frenette, G., Sullivan, R., 2011. Comparative proteome and lipid profiles
696   of bovine epididymosomes collected in the intraluminal compartment of the caput
697   and cauda epididymidis. Int. J. Androl. 34, 475–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
698   2605.2011.01203.x

699 Greening, D.W., Simpson, R.J., 2018. Understanding extracellular vesicle diversity -
700   current status. Expert Rev. Proteomics 15, 887–910.
701   https://doi.org/10.1080/14789450.2018.1537788

702 Guo, H., Chang, Z., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Jiang, X., Yu, H., Zhang, Y., Zhao, R., He, B.,
703   2019. Extracellular ATPs produced in seminal plasma exosomes regulate boar
704   sperm motility and mitochondrial metabolism. Theriogenology 139, 113–120.
705   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2019.08.003

706 Gurung, S., Perocheau, D., Touramanidou, L., Baruteau, J., 2021. The exosome
707   journey: from biogenesis to uptake and intracellular signalling. Cell Commun.
708   Signal. 19, 47. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12964-021-00730-1

709 Hermo, L., Jacks, D., 2002. Nature's ingenuity: bypassing the classical secretory route
710   via apocrine secretion. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 63, 394–410.
711   https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.90023

712 Hessvik, N.P., Llorente, A., 2018. Current knowledge on exosome biogenesis and

release. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 75, 193–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-017-2595-9

Höög, J.L., Lötvall, J., 2015. Diversity of extracellular vesicles in human ejaculates revealed by cryo-electron microscopy. J. Extracell. vesicles 4, 28680. https://doi.org/10.3402/jev.v4.28680

Jankovičová, J., Sečová, P., Michalková, K., Antalíková, J., 2020. Tetraspanins, more than markers of extracellular vesicles in reproduction. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 7568. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21207568

Jeppesen, D.K., Fenix, A.M., Franklin, J.L., Higginbotham, J.N., Zhang, Q., Zimmerman, L.J., Liebler, D.C., Ping, J., Liu, Q., Evans, R., Fissell, W.H., Patton, J.G., Rome, L.H., Burnette, D.T., Coffey, R.J., 2019. Reassessment of exosome composition. Cell 177, 428–445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.029

Keerthikumar, S., Chisanga, D., Ariyaratne, D., Al Saffar, H., Anand, S., Zhao, K., Samuel, M., Pathan, M., Jois, M., Chilamkurti, N., Gangoda, L., Mathivanan, S., 2016. ExoCarta: a web-based compendium of exosomal cargo. J. Mol. Biol. 428, 688–692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.09.019

Khan, I.M., Cao, Z., Liu, H., Khan, A., Rahman, S.U., Khan, M.Z., Sathanawongs, A., Zhang, Y., 2021. Impact of cryopreservation on spermatozoa freeze-thawed traits and relevance OMICS to assess sperm cryo-tolerance in farm animals. Front. Vet. Sci. 8, 609180. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.609180

Koh, Y.Q., Peiris, H.N., Vaswani, K., Meier, S., Burke, C.R., Macdonald, K.A., Roche, J.R., Almughlliq, F., Arachchige, B.J., Reed, S., Mitchell, M.D., 2017. Characterization of exosomes from body fluids of dairy cows. J. Anim. Sci. 95, 3893–3904. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas2017.1727

Kumar, A., Prasad, J.K., Srivastava, N., Ghosh, S.K., 2019. Strategies to minimize various stress-related freeze-thaw damages during conventional cryopreservation of mammalian spermatozoa. Biopreserv. Biobank. 17, 603–612. https://doi.org/10.1089/bio.2019.0037

Larriba, S., Bassas, L., 2021. Extracellular vesicle ncRNAs in seminal plasma as biomarkers for nonobstructive azoospermia. Hum. Reprod. 36, 1452. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deab019

Leahy, T., Rickard, J.P., Pini, T., Gadella, B.M., de Graaf, S.P., 2020. Quantitative proteomic analysis of seminal plasma, sperm membrane proteins, and seminal extracellular vesicles suggests vesicular mechanisms aid in the removal and addition of proteins to the ram sperm membrane. Proteomics 20, e1900289. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201900289

Lim, W., Kim, H.-S., 2019. Exosomes as therapeutic vehicles for cancer. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med. 16, 213–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13770-019-00190-2

López Rodríguez, A., Rijsselaere, T., Beek, J., Vyt, P., Van Soom, A., Maes, D., 2013. Boar seminal plasma components and their relation with semen quality. Syst. Biol. Reprod. Med. 59, 5–12. https://doi.org/10.3109/19396368.2012.725120

Ma, J., Fan, Y., Zhang, J., Feng, S., Hu, Z., Qiu, W., Long, K., Jin, L., Tang, Q., Wang, X., Zhou, Q., Gu, Y., Xiao, W., Liu, L., Li, X., Li, M., 2018. Testosterone-Dependent miR-26a-5p and let-7g-5p Act as signaling mediators to regulate sperm apoptosis via targeting PTEN and PMAIP1. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19, 1233. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19041233

Mancuso, F., Calvitti, M., Milardi, D., Grande, G., Falabella, G., Arato, I., Giovagnoli, S., Vincenzoni, F., Mancini, F., Nastruzzi, C., Bodo, M., Baroni, T., Castagnola, M., Marana, R., Pontecorvi, A., Calafiore, R., Luca, G., 2018. Testosterone and FSH modulate Sertoli cell extracellular secretion: Proteomic analysis. Mol. Cell.

Endocrinol. 476, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2018.04.001

Manin, M., Lecher, P., Martinez, A., Tournadre, S., Jean, C., 1995. Exportation of mouse vas deferens protein, a protein without a signal peptide, from mouse vas deferens epithelium: a model of apocrine secretion. Biol. Reprod. 52, 50–62. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod52.1.50

Mercadal, M., Herrero, C., López-Rodrigo, O., Castells, M., de la Fuente, A., Vigués, F., Bassas, L., Larriba, S., 2020. Impact of extracellular vesicle isolation methods on downstream miRNA analysis in semen: a comparative study. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 5949. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21175949

Metz, C.B., Hinsch, G.W., Anika, J.L., 1968. Ultrastructure and antigens of particles from rabbit semen. J. Reprod. Fertil. 17, 195–198. https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0170195

Minelli, A., Allegrucci, C., Mezzasoma, I., Ronquist, G., Lluis, C., Franco, R., 1999. CD26 and adenosine deaminase interaction: its role in the fusion between horse membrane vesicles and spermatozoa. Biol. Reprod. 61, 802–808. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod61.3.802

Minelli, A., Moroni, M., Martínez, E., Mezzasoma, I., Ronquist, G., 1998. Occurrence of prostasome-like membrane vesicles in equine seminal plasma. J. Reprod. Fertil. 114, 237–243. https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.1140237

Mogielnicka-Brzozowska, M., Strzeżek, R., Wasilewska, K., Kordan, W., 2015. Prostasomes of canine seminal plasma - zinc-binding ability and effects on motility characteristics and plasma membrane integrity of spermatozoa. Reprod. Domest. Anim. 50, 484–491. https://doi.org/10.1111/rda.12516

Mokarizadeh, A., Rezvanfar, M.-A., Dorostkar, K., Abdollahi, M., 2013. Mesenchymal stem cell derived microvesicles: trophic shuttles for enhancement of sperm quality parameters. Reprod. Toxicol. 42, 78–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2013.07.024

Murdica, V., Cermisoni, G.C., Zarovni, N., Salonia, A., Viganò, P., Vago, R., 2019a. Proteomic analysis reveals the negative modulator of sperm function glycodelin as over-represented in semen exosomes isolated from asthenozoospermic patients. Hum. Reprod. 34, 1416–1427. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dez114

Murdica, V., Giacomini, E., Alteri, A., Bartolacci, A., Cermisoni, G.C., Zarovni, N., Papaleo, E., Montorsi, F., Salonia, A., Vigano, P., Vago, R., 2019b. Seminal plasma of men with severe asthenozoospermia contain exosomes that affect spermatozoa motility and capacitation. Fertil. Steril. 111, 897–908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.01.030

Paktinat, S., Hashemi, S.M., Ghaffari Novin, M., Mohammadi-Yeganeh, S., Salehpour, S., Karamian, A., Nazarian, H., 2019. Seminal exosomes induce interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 secretion by human endometrial stromal cells. Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol. 235, 71–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2019.02.010

Palmerini, C.A., Carlini, E., Nicolucci, A., Arienti, G., 1999. Increase of human spermatozoa intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration after fusion with prostasomes. Cell Calcium 25, 291–296. https://doi.org/10.1054/ceca.1999.0031

Park, K.-H., Kim, B.-J., Kang, J., Nam, T.-S., Lim, J.M., Kim, H.T., Park, J.K., Kim, Y.G., Chae, S.-W., Kim, U.-H., 2011. Ca^{2+} signaling tools acquired from prostasomes are required for progesterone-induced sperm motility. Sci. Signal. 4, 31. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2001595

Peng, H., Ji, W., Zhao, R., Yang, J., Lu, Z., Li, Y., Zhang, X., 2020. Exosome: a significant nano-scale drug delivery carrier. J. Mater. Chem. B 8, 7591–7608. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0tb01499k

813 Pereira, R., Sá, R., Barros, A., Sousa, M., 2017. Major regulatory mechanisms involved
814   in sperm motility. Asian J. Androl. 19, 5–14. https://doi.org/10.4103/1008-
815   682X.167716
816 Pérez-Patiño, C., Parrilla, I., Barranco, I., Vergara-Barberán, M., Simó-Alfonso, E.F.,
817   Herrero-Martínez, J.M., Rodriguez-Martínez, H., Martínez, E.A., Roca, J., 2018.
818   New in-depth analytical approach of the porcine seminal plasma proteome reveals
819   potential fertility biomarkers. J. Proteome Res. 17, 1065–1076.
820   https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.7b00728
821 Piehl, L.L., Cisale, H., Torres, N., Capani, F., Sterin-Speziale, N., Hager, A., 2006.
822   Biochemical characterization and membrane fluidity of membranous vesicles
823   isolated from boar seminal plasma. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 92, 401–410.
824   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2005.06.005
825 Piehl, L.L., Fischman, M.L., Hellman, U., Cisale, H., Miranda, P. V, 2013. Boar
826   seminal plasma exosomes: effect on sperm function and protein identification by
827   sequencing. Theriogenology 79, 1071–1082.
828   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2013.01.028
829 Pucci, F., Rooman, M., 2017. Physical and molecular bases of protein thermal stability
830   and cold adaptation. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 42, 117–128.
831   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2016.12.007
832 Qamar, A.Y., Fang, X., Kim, M.J., Cho, J., 2019. Improved post-thaw quality of canine
833   semen after treatment with exosomes from conditioned medium of adipose-derived
834   mesenchymal stem cells. Anim. 9, 865. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9110865
835 Renneberg, H., Konrad, L., Aumüller, G., 1995. Immunohistochemistry of secretory
836   particles ('vesiculosomes') from the epithelium of bovine seminal vesicles and
837   ampulla of the vas deferens. Acta Anat. 153, 273–281.
838   https://doi.org/10.1159/000147728
839 Roca, J., Hernandez, M., Carvajal, G., Vazquez, J.M., Martinez, E.A., 2006. Factors
840   influencing boar sperm cryosurvival. J. Anim. Sci. 84, 2692–2699.
841   https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-094
842 Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Kvist, U., Ernerudh, J., Sanz, L., Calvete, J.J., 2011. Seminal
843   plasma proteins: what role do they play? Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 66, 11–22.
844   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0897.2011.01033.x
845 Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Martinez, E.A., Calvete, J.J., Peña Vega, F.J., Roca, J., 2021a.
846   Seminal plasma: relevant for fertility? Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 4368.
847   https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094368
848 Rodriguez-Martinez, H., Roca, J., Alvarez-Rodriguez, M., Martinez-Serrano, C. A.,
849   2021b. How does the boar epididymis manage the emission of fertile spermatozoa?
850   Anim. Reprod. Sci. (in press).
851 Ronquist, G., Brody, I., 1985. The prostasome: its secretion and function in man.
852   Biochim. Biophys. Acta 822, 203–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-
853   4157(85)90008-5
854 Ronquist, K.G., Ek, B., Morrell, J., Stavreus-Evers, A., Ström Holst, B., Humblot, P.,
855   Ronquist, G., Larsson, A., 2013. Prostasomes from four different species are able
856   to produce extracellular adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Biochim. Biophys. Acta
857   1830, 4604–4610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.05.019
858 Rowlison, T., Cleland, T.P., Ottinger, M.A., Comizzoli, P., 2020. Novel proteomic
859   profiling of epididymal extracellular vesicles in the domestic cat reveals proteins
860   related to sequential sperm maturation with differences observed between
861   normospermic and teratospermic individuals. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 19, 2090–
862   2104. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.RA120.002251

863 Rowlison, T., Ottinger, M.A., Comizzoli, P., 2021. Exposure to epididymal
864   extracellular vesicles enhances immature sperm function and sustains vitality of
865   cryopreserved spermatozoa in the domestic cat model. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.
866   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-021-02214-0
867 Royo, F., Théry, C., Falcón-Pérez, J.M., Nieuwland, R., Witwer, K.W., 2020. Methods
868   for separation and characterization of extracellular vesicles: results of a worldwide
869   survey performed by the ISEV rigor and standardization subcommittee. Cells 9,
870   1955. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9091955
871 Saadeldin, I.M., Khalil, W.A., Alharbi, M.G., Lee, S.H., 2020. The current trends in
872   using nanoparticles, liposomes, and exosomes for semen cryopreservation. Anim.
873   10, 2281. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10122281
874 Saez, F., Frenette, G., Sullivan, R., 2003. Epididymosomes and prostasomes: their roles
875   in posttesticular maturation of the sperm cells. J. Androl. 24, 149–154.
876   https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1939-4640.2003.tb02653.x
877 Sahlén, G., Nilsson, O., Larsson, A., Carlsson, L., Norlén, B.J., Ronquist, G., 2010.
878   Secretions from seminal vesicles lack characteristic markers for prostasomes. Ups.
879   J. Med. Sci. 115, 107–112. https://doi.org/10.3109/03009730903366067
880 Schwarz, A., Wennemuth, G., Post, H., Brandenburger, T., Aumüller, G., Wilhelm, B.,
881   2013. Vesicular transfer of membrane components to bovine epididymal
882   spermatozoa. Cell Tissue Res. 353, 549–561. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-013-
883   1633-7
884 Siciliano, L., Marcianò, V., Carpino, A., 2008. Prostasome-like vesicles stimulate
885   acrosome reaction of pig spermatozoa. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 6, 5.
886   https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-6-5
887 Sil, S., Dagur, R.S., Liao, K., Peeples, E.S., Hu, G., Periyasamy, P., Buch, S., 2020.
888   Strategies for the use of extracellular vesicles for the delivery of therapeutics. J.
889   neuroimmune Pharmacol. 15, 422–442. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-019-
890   09873-y
891 Simeone, P., Bologna, G., Lanuti, P., Pierdomenico, L., Guagnano, M.T., Pieragostino,
892   D., Del Boccio, P., Vergara, D., Marchisio, M., Miscia, S., Mariani-Costantini, R.,
893   2020. Extracellular vesicles as signaling mediators and disease biomarkers across
894   biological barriers. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 2514.
895   https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21072514
896 Skalnikova, H.K., Bohuslavova, B., Turnovcova, K., Juhasova, J., Juhas, S., Rodinova,
897   M., Vodicka, P., 2019. Isolation and characterization of small extracellular vesicles
898   from porcine blood plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, and seminal plasma. Proteomes 7,
899   17. https://doi.org/10.3390/proteomes7020017
900 Street, J.M., Koritzinsky, E.H., Glispie, D.M., Star, R.A., Yuen, P.S.T., 2017. Urine
901   Exosomes: an emerging trove of biomarkers. Adv. Clin. Chem. 78, 103–122.
902   https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acc.2016.07.003
903 Sullivan, R., 2015. Epididymosomes: a heterogeneous population of microvesicles with
904   multiple functions in sperm maturation and storage. Asian J. Androl. 17, 726–729.
905   https://doi.org/10.4103/1008-682X.155255
906 Sullivan, R., Saez, F., 2013. Epididymosomes, prostasomes, and liposomes: their roles
907   in mammalian male reproductive physiology. Reproduction 146, 21–35.
908   https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-13-0058
909 Szczykutowicz, J., Kaluza, A., Kazmierowska-Niemczuk, M., Ferens-Sieczkowska, M.,
910   2019. The potential role of seminal plasma in the fertilization outcomes. Biomed
911   Res. Int. 5397804. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5397804
912 Tamessar, C.T., Trigg, N.A., Nixon, B., Skerrett-Byrne, D.A., Sharkey, D.J., Robertson,

913   S.A., Bromfield, E.G., Schjenken, J.E., 2021. Roles of male reproductive tract
914   extracellular vesicles in reproduction. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 85, 13338.
915   https://doi.org/10.1111/aji.13338

916 Théry, C., Witwer, K.W., Aikawa, E., Alcaraz, M.J., Anderson, J.D., Andriantsitohaina,
917   R., Antoniou, A., Arab, T., Archer, F., Atkin-Smith, G.K., et al., 2018. Minimal
918   information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position
919   statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the
920   MISEV2014 guidelines. J. Extracell. vesicles 7, 1535750.
921   https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750

922 Trigg, N.A., Eamens, A.L., Nixon, B., 2019. The contribution of epididymosomes to the
923   sperm small RNA profile. Reproduction 157, 209–223.
924   https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-18-0480

925 Trigg, N.A., Stanger, S.J., Zhou, W., Skerrett-Byrne, D.A., Sipilä, P., Dun, M.D.,
926   Eamens, A.L., De Iuliis, G.N., Bromfield, E.G., Roman, S.D., Nixon, B., 2021. A
927   novel role for milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 protein (MFGE8) in the mediation of
928   mouse sperm-extracellular vesicle interactions. Proteomics e2000079.
929   https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.202000079

930 Twenter, H., Klohonatz, K., Davis, K., Bass, L., Coleman, S.J., Bouma, G.J.,
931   Bruemmer, J.E., 2020. Transfer of MicroRNAs from epididymal epithelium to
932   equine spermatozoa. J. equine Vet. Sci. 87, 102841.
933   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2019.102841

934 Vicente-Carrillo, A., Álvarez-Rodríguez, M., Rodríguez-Martínez, H., 2017. The
935   CatSper channel modulates boar sperm motility during capacitation. Reprod. Biol.
936   17, 69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repbio.2017.01.001

937 Vickram, A.S., Samad, H.A., Latheef, S.K., Chakraborty, S., Dhama, K., Sridharan,
938   T.B., Sundaram, T., Gulothungan, G., 2020. Human prostasomes an extracellular
939   vesicle - Biomarkers for male infertility and prostrate cancer: The journey from
940   identification to current knowledge. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 146, 946–958.
941   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.09.218

942 Vilanova-Perez, T., Jones, C., Balint, S., Dragovic, R., L Dustin, M., Yeste, M.,
943   Coward, K., 2020. Exosomes derived from HEK293T cells interact in an efficient
944   and noninvasive manner with mammalian sperm in vitro. Nanomedicine (Lond).
945   15, 1965–1980. https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm-2020-0056

946 Waberski, D., Schäfer, J., Bölling, A., Scheld, M., Henning, H., Hambruch, N.,
947   Schuberth, H.-J., Pfarrer, C., Wrenzycki, C., Hunter, R.H.F., 2018. Seminal plasma
948   modulates the immune-cytokine network in the porcine uterine tissue and pre-
949   ovulatory follicles. PLoS One 13, e0202654.
950   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202654

951 Xu, Zhiqian, Xie, Y., Zhou, C., Hu, Q., Gu, T., Yang, J., Zheng, E., Huang, S., Xu,
952   Zheng, Cai, G., Liu, D., Wu, Z., Hong, L., 2020. Expression Pattern of seminal
953   plasma extracellular vesicle small rnas in boar semen. Front. Vet. Sci. 7, 585276.
954   https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.585276

955 Yekula, A., Muralidharan, K., Kang, K.M., Wang, L., Balaj, L., Carter, B.S., 2020.
956   From laboratory to clinic: translation of extracellular vesicle based cancer
957   biomarkers. Methods 177, 58–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2020.02.003

958 Yeste, M., 2016. Sperm cryopreservation update: Cryodamage, markers, and factors
959   affecting the sperm freezability in pigs. Theriogenology 85, 47–64.
960   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.09.047

961 Zhang, J., Luo, H., Xiong, Z., Wan, K., Liao, Q., He, H., 2020. High-throughput
962   sequencing reveals biofluid exosomal miRNAs associated with immunity in pigs.

963   Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 84, 53–62.
964   https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2019.1661767
965 Zhang, X., Song, D., Kang, H., Zhou, W., Chen, H., Zeng, X., 2021. Seminal plasma
966   exosomes evoke calcium signals via the CatSper channel to regulate human sperm
967   function. bioRxiv - Physiol. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.094433
968 Zhou, W., Stanger, S.J., Anderson, A.L., Bernstein, I.R., De Iuliis, G.N., McCluskey,
969   A., McLaughlin, E.A., Dun, M.D., Nixon, B., 2019. Mechanisms of tethering and
970   cargo transfer during epididymosome-sperm interactions. BMC Biol. 17, 35.
971   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-019-0653-5
972

973 **Figure legends**

974

975 **Figure 1.** Schematic drawing illustrating the mechanism of apocrine secretion, including

976 formation of apical vesicles and the fate of large released and decaying vesicles in the

977 lumen of the genital tract of the male pig (segments of the epididymis and accessory sex

978 glands) to finally deliver extracellular vesicles. The drawing was created in

979 BioRender.com.

980

Figure 2a-b. Transmission electron micrographs showing extracellular vesicles from porcine seminal plasma, and their diversity in size and shape. Extracellular vesicles were isolated by ultrafiltration (0.22μm plus Amicon®-100K) with size exclusion liquid chromatography (Barranco et al., 2021). The arrows identify some morphological subtypes of seminal extracellular vesicles according to the classification made by Höög and Lötvall (2015) in human semen: (1) single spherical vesicle (unfilled arrow), double spherical vesicle (filled arrow), oval vesicle (unfilled arrowhead) and double vesicle (filled arrowhead). Images, belonging to the authors, were generated at the Central Experimental Research Service (SCSIE) of the University of Valencia.

990

991 **Figure 3a-c.** Transmission electron micrographs showing extracellular vesicles bound to

992 different porcine sperm membrane domains in the head (a), neck (b) and tail (c). Images,

993 belonging to the authors, were generated at the Scientific and Technical Research Area

994 of the University of Murcia. The drawing of spermatozoon was created in

995 BioRender.com.

Source and putative roles of seminal extracellular vesicles

996

997 **Figure 4.** Scheme illustrating the seminal extracellular vesicle-releasing organs in the

998 male reproductive tract and the putative functions of released seminal extracellular

999 vesicles on both the spermatozoa, the male and the female reproductive tracts. The

1000 putative functions of sEVs are those reported in scientific studies in pet and livestock

1001 species. Drawings were created in BioRender.com.

1002

1003